

TOXICOLOGICAL REVIEW

OF

ACRYLAMIDE

(CAS No. 79-06-1)

In Support of Summary Information on the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS)

August 2009

NOTICE

This information is distributed solely for the purpose of pre-dissemination peer review under applicable information quality guidelines. It has not been formally disseminated by EPA. It does not represent and should not be construed to represent any Agency determination or policy. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Washington, DC

DISCLAIMER

This document is a preliminary review draft for review purposes only. This information is distributed solely for the purpose of pre-dissemination peer review under applicable information quality guidelines. It has not been formally disseminated by EPA. It does not represent and should not be construed to represent any Agency determination or policy. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

CONTENTS -- TOXICOLOGICAL REVIEW OF ACRYLAMIDE

(CAS No. 79-06 1)

LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	xviii
FOREWORD	xxi
AUTHORS, CONTRIBUTORS, AND REVIEWERS	xxii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL INFORMATION RELEVANT TO ASSESSMENTS	3
2.1. CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL INFORMATION	3
2.2. SOURCES OF EXPOSURE, FATE AND TRANSPORT	4
3. TOXICOKINETICS RELEVANT TO ASSESSMENTS	12
3.1. ABSORPTION	12
3.2. DISTRIBUTION	19
3.3. METABOLISM	22
3.4. ELIMINATION	35
3.5. PHYSIOLOGICALLY BASED TOXICOKINETIC MODELS Error! Bookman	rk not
defined.	
4. HAZARD IDENTIFICATION	59
4.1. STUDIES IN HUMANS—EPIDEMIOLOGY, CASE REPORTS, CLINICAL	
CONTROLS	59
4.2. SUBCHRONIC AND CHRONIC STUDIES AND CANCER BIOASSAYS IN	
ANIMALS—ORAL AND INHALATION	86
4.2.1. Oral Exposure	86
4.2.1.1. Subchronic Studies	86
4.2.1.2. Chronic Studies	91
4.2.2. Inhalation Exposure	103
4.2.2.1. Subchronic Studies	104
4.2.2.2. Chronic Studies	104
4.3. REPRODUCTIVE/DEVELOPMENTAL STUDIES—ORAL AND INHALATIO	N 104
4.3.1. Reproductive Toxicity Studies	104
4.3.2. Developmental Toxicity Studies	123
4.4 HERITABLE GERM CELL STUDIES	134
4.5. OTHER DURATION OR ENDPOINT-SPECIFIC STUDIES	141
4.5.1. Neurotoxicity Studies	141
4.5.2. Other Cancer Studies	142
4.6. MECHANISTIC DATA AND OTHER STUDIES IN SUPPORT OF THE MODE	OF
ACTION	146
4.6.1. Neurotoxicity StudiesError! Bookmark not de	efined.
4.6.2. Genotoxicity StudiesError! Bookmark not de	efined.
4.7. SYNTHESIS AND EVALUATION OF MAJOR NONCANCER EFFECTS	frror!
Bookmark not defined.	
4.7.1. OralError! Bookmark not de	etined.
4.7.2. Inhalation	160
4.7.3. Mode-of-Action Information	161
4.8. EVALUATION OF CARCINOGENICITY	167
4.8.1. Summary of Overall Weight of Evidence	167

4.8.2.	Synthesis of Human, Animal, and Other Supporting Evidence	.169
4.8.3.	Mode of Action for Carcinogenicity	.173
4.8	3.3.1. Hypothesized Mode of Action—Mutagenicity	174
4.8	3.3.2. Alternative Mode of Action—Disruption of Hormone Levels or Signaling	184
4.8	3.3.3. Conclusion About the Mode of Action	193
4.9. SUS	CEPTIBLE POPULATIONS	194
4.9.1.	Possible Childhood Susceptibility	.194
4.9.2.	Possible Gender Differences	.196
4.9.3.	Other	.196
5. DOSE-RE	SPONSE ASSESSMENTS	198
5.1. ORA	L REFERENCE DOSE	198
5.1.1.	Choice of Principal Study and Critical Effect—with Rationale and	
Justifi	cation	.198
5.1.2.	Methods of Analysis—Including Models (PBTK, BMD, etc.)	.203
5.1.3.	RfD Derivation—Including Application of Uncertainty Factors	.205
5.1.4.	Previous RfD Assessment	.216
5.2. INHA	ALATION REFERENCE CONCENTRATION (RfC)	216
5.2.1.	Choice of Principal Study and Critical Effect—with Rationale and	
Justifi	cation	.216
5.2.2.	Methods of Analysis—Including Model (PBTK, BMD, etc.)	.218
5.2.3.	RfC Derivation—Including Application of Uncertainty Factors	.218
5.2.4.	Previous RfC Assessment	.219
5.3. UNC	ERTAINTIES IN THE ORAL REFERENCE DOSE AND INHALATION	
REF	ERENCE CONCENTRATION	220
5.3.1 A	Areas of Uncertainty	.221
5.3.2 1	Uncertainty Factors in Deriving the RfD and RfCError! Bookmark not defi	ned.
5.4. CAN	CER ASSESSMENT	229
5.4.1.	Choice of Study/Data—with Rationale and Justification	.229
5.4.2.	Dose-Response Data	.231
5.4.3.	Dose Adjustments and Extrapolation Method(s)	.232
5.4.4.	Human Equivalent Concentration Using the PBTK ModelError! Bookmark	not defined.
5.4.5.	Oral Slope Factor and Inhalation Unit Risk	.241
5.4	4.5.1. Oral Slope Factor	241
5.4	4.5.2. Inhalation Unit Risk	242
5.4.6	Application of Age-Dependent Adjustment Factors	.244
5.4.7.	Uncertainties in Cancer Risk Values	.246
5.4	4.7.1. Areas of Uncertainty	250
5.4.8.	Previous Cancer Assessment	.254
5.5. QUA	NTITATING RISK FOR HERITABLE GERM CELL EFFECTS	255
5.5.1.	Quantitative Approaches	.256
6. MAJOR C	ONCLUSIONS IN THE CHARACTERIZATION OF HAZARD	267
AND DOSE I	RESPONSE	267
6.1. HUN	IAN HAZARD POTENTIAL	267
6.2. DOS	E RESPONSE	270
6.2.1.	Noncancer/Oral	.270
6.2.2.	Noncancer/Inhalation	.271
6.2.3.	Cancer/Oral	.273
6.2.4.	Cancer/Inhalation	.274

7. REFERENCES	276
APPENDIX A. Summary of External Peer Review and Public Comments and Disposition	1
APPENDIX B. MUTAGENICITY TEST RESULTS	1
APPENDIX C. DOSE-RESPONSE MODELING FOR DERIVING THE RfD	1
APPENDIX D. DOSE-RESPONSE MODELING FOR CANCER	1
APPENDIX E. KIRMAN ET AL. (2003) PBTK MODEL SUPPORTING DOCUMENTAT	ION
	32
APPENDIX F. YOUNG ET AL (2007) PBTK/TD MODEL SUPPORTING	
DOCUMENTATION Bookmark not defi	ned.
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	xviii
FOREWORD	. xxi
AUTHORS, CONTRIBUTORS, AND REVIEWERS	xxii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL INFORMATION RELEVANT TO ASSESSMENTS	3
2.1. CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL INFORMATION	3
2.2. SOURCES OF EXPOSURE, FATE AND TRANSPORT	4
3. TOXICOKINETICS RELEVANT TO ASSESSMENTS	12
3.1. ABSORPTION	12
3.2. DISTRIBUTION	19
3.3. METABOLISM	22
3.4. ELIMINATION	35
3.5. HEMOGLOBIN ADDUCTS AND URINARY METABOLITES AS BIOMARKEI	XS
	41
3.6. PHYSIOLOGICALLY BASED TOXICOKINETIC MODELS	52
4. HAZAKD IDEN HEICATION	59
4.1. STUDIES IN HUMANS—EPIDEMIOLOGY, CASE REPORTS, CLINICAL	50
	39
4.2. SUBCHRONIC AND CHRONIC STUDIES AND CANCER BIOASSAYS IN	96
ANIMALS—OKAL AND INHALATION	80
4.2.1. Oral Exposure	00
4.2.1.1. Subchronic Studies	00
4.2.1.2. Chronic Studies	102
4.2.2. Initiation Exposure	104
4.2.2.1. Subchronic Studies.	104
4.2.2.2. CHIOMIC SHURES	104
4.3.1 Reproductive Toxicity Studies	104
4.3.2 Developmental Toxicity Studies	123
4.4 HERITARI E GERM CELL STUDIES	134
4.5 OTHER DURATION OR ENDPOINT-SPECIFIC STUDIES	141
4.5.1. Neurotoxicity Studies	.141
4.5.2. Other Cancer Studies	.142
4.6. MECHANISTIC DATA AND OTHER STUDIES IN SUPPORT OF THE MODE ()F
ACTION	146
4.6.1. Studies on the Hypothalamus-Pituitary-Thyroid Axis	.146
4.6.2. Genotoxicity Studies	.149
•	

4.7. SYNTHESIS AND EVALUATION OF MAJOR NONCANCER EFFECTS	155
4.7.1. Oral	155
4.7.2. Inhalation	160
4.7.3. Mode-of-Action Information	161
4.7.3.1. Neurotoxic Effects	161
4.7.3.2. Reproductive Effects	166
4.8. EVALUATION OF CARCINOGENICITY	167
4.8.1. Summary of Overall Weight of Evidence	167
4.8.2. Synthesis of Human, Animal, and Other Supporting Evidence	169
4.8.3. Mode of Action for Carcinogenicity	173
4.8.3.1. Hypothesized Mode of Action—Mutagenicity	174
4.8.3.2. Alternative Mode of Action—Disruption of Hormone Levels or Signali	ing 184
4.8.3.3. Conclusion About the Mode of Action	193
4.9. SUSCEPTIBLE POPULATIONS	194
4.9.1. Possible Childhood Susceptibility	194
4.9.2. Possible Gender Differences	196
4.9.3. Other	196
5. DOSE-RESPONSE ASSESSMENTS	198
5.1. ORAL REFERENCE DOSE	198
5.1.1. Choice of Principal Study and Critical Effect—with Rationale and	
Justification	198
5.1.2. Methods of Analysis—Including Models (BMD, equivalent AUCs, in vivo	
rate constants, etc.)	203
5.1.3. RfD Derivation—Including Application of Uncertainty Factors	205
5.1.4. Previous RfD Assessment.	216
5.2. INHALATION REFERENCE CONCENTRATION (RfC)	216
5.2.1. Choice of Principal Study and Critical Effect—with Rationale and	
Justification	216
5.2.2. Methods of Analysis— Including Models (BMD, equivalent AUCs, in	
vivo rate constants, etc.)	218
5.2.3. RfC Derivation—Including Application of Uncertainty Factors	218
5.2.5. Previous RfC Assessment	219
5.3. UNCERTAINTIES IN THE ORAL REFERENCE DOSE AND INHALATION	
REFERENCE CONCENTRATION	220
5.3.1. Areas of Uncertainty	224
5.4. CANCER ASSESSMENT	229
5.4.1. Choice of Study/Data—with Rationale and Justification	229
5.4.2. Dose-Response Data	231
5.4.3. Dose Adjustments and Extrapolation Method(s)	232
5.4.4. Human Equivalent Concentration (HEC) - based on equivalent areas under	
the time-concentration curve (AUC) for serum AA or GA.	239
5.4.5. Oral Slope Factor and Inhalation Unit Risk	241
5.4.5.1. Oral Slope Factor	241
5.4.5.2. Inhalation Unit Risk	242
5.4.6. Application of Age-Dependent Adjustment Factors	244
5.4.7. Uncertainties in Cancer Risk Values	246
5.4.7.1. Areas of Uncertainty	250
5.4.8. Previous Cancer Assessment	254

5.5. QUANTITATING RISK FOR HERITABLE GERM CELL EFFECTS	255
5.5.1. Quantitative Approaches	256
6. MAJOR CONCLUSIONS IN THE CHARACTERIZATION OF HAZARD	
AND DOSE RESPONSE	
6.1. HUMAN HAZARD POTENTIAL	
6.2. DOSE RESPONSE	270
6.2.1. Noncancer/Oral	
6.2.2. Noncancer/Inhalation	271
6.2.3. Cancer/Oral	273
6.2.4. Cancer/Inhalation	274
7. REFERENCES	276
APPENDIX A. SUMMARY OF EXTERNAL PEER REVIEW AND PUBLIC COMM	IENTS
AND DISPOSITION	1
APPENDIX B. MUTAGENICITY TEST RESULTS	1
APPENDIX C. DOSE-RESPONSE MODELING FOR DERIVING THE RfD	1
APPENDIX D. DOSE-RESPONSE MODELING FOR CANCER	1
APPENDIX E. DERIVATION OF IN VIVO SECOND ORDER RATE CONSTANTS	AND
THE ADDUCT FORMATION SIMULATION MODEL	1
APPENDIX F. ALTERNATE RFC BASED ON HUMAN EPIDEMIOLOGY DATA	1

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1.	Summary of acrylamide levels in food (ppb) derived from the FDA data collected
	from 2002 through October 1, 2003)
Table 2-2.	Acrylamide levels in food (ppb) as collected by the European Union Joint Research
	Center (updated June 2004)
Table 2-3.	Exposure estimates from 2002–2006
Table 2-4.	Summary of exposure estimates (μ g/kg-day) by sources and population groups 10
Table 3-1.	Second order rate constants for reaction of acrylamide or glycidamide with the N-
	terminal valine residue of hemoglobinError! Bookmark not defined.
Table 3-2.	Metabolites detected in urine collected for 24 hours following oral administration of
	[1,2,3 ⁻¹³ C]-labeled acrylamide (50 mg/kg) to male F344 rats or male B6C3F1 mice 27
Table 4-1.	Observed deaths and SMRs for selected causes by follow up period for all workers
	(compared with the general US population)
Table 4-2.	Observed deaths and SMRs for selected cancer sites by duration of employment, time
	since first employment, and measures of exposure to acrylamide, all U.S. workers,
	1950–1994 (compared with the local male populations)
Table 4-3.	Neurological symptoms self-reported by acrylamide workers and nonexposed
	workers77
Table 4-4.	Scoring system for the neurotoxicity index
Table 4-5.	Group means \pm SD of biomarkers in different categories of workers
Table 4-6.	Correlation coefficients (linear regression) for relationships between biomarkers and
	neurotoxicity index
Table 4-7.	Incidences of symptoms in 210 tunnel workers classified into exposure groups based
	on levels of hemoglobin adducts of acrylamide
Table 4-8.	Light and electron microscopic data for left sciatic nerves from rats exposed to
	acrylamide in drinking water for 90 days
Table 4-9.	Light microscopic data for tibial nerves from F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in
	drinking water for 2 years Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 4-10	. Incidences of selected tumors in male and female F344 rats exposed to acrylamide
	in drinking water for 2 years
Table 4-11	. Dosing parameters of groups of rats given acrylamide in drinking water for 106–108
	weeks in the carcinogenicity study
Table 4-12	. Light microscopic data for sciatic nerves from F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in
	drinking water for 2 years
Table 4-13	. Incidences of tumors in male F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for
	2 years

Table 4-14. Incidences of tumors in female F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water
for 2 years
Table 4-15. Reevaluation and comparison of mesothelial lesions and extent of Leydig cell
neoplasia in male F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for 2 years 103
Table 4-16. Changes in reproductive parameters in F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking
water for two generations 107
Table 4-17. Results of the dominant lethal mutation assay in F344 rats
Table 4-18. Results of dominant lethality testing in male Swiss CD-1 mice exposed to
acrylamide in the drinking water
Table 4-19. Effects of acrylamide in drinking water on grip strength of mice
Table 4-20. Fertility rates and pregnancy outcomes in Long-Evans rats following 72-day oral
exposure of males to acrylamide in the drinking water
Table 4-21. Results of sperm analysis (baseline and week 9) and male fertility testing (following
10 weeks of treatment) of Long-Evans rats exposed to acrylamide in the drinking
water
Table 4-22. Reproductive effects following exposure of male ddY mice to acrylamide in
drinking water for 4 weeks and subsequent mating with untreated females 121
Table 4-23. Maternal and fetal effects in Sprague-Dawley rats and CD-1 mice following oral
(gavage) administration of acrylamide to pregnant dams 125
Table 4-24. Differences in marker enzymes in the small intestine of pups cross-fostered to
acrylamide-treated or control dams during postnatal lactation
Table 4-25. Frequency of translocation carriers in offspring derived from males exposed to
acrylamide or glycidamide135
Table 4-26. Results for specific locus mutations recovered in offspring of male mice exposed i.p
to 50 mg/kg acrylamide on 5 consecutive days
Table 4-27. Results for specific locus mutations recovered in offspring of male mice exposed to
acrylamide as a single 100 or 125 mg/kg i.p. dose
Table 4-29. Acrylamide initiation of squamous cell carcinomas or papillomas in female
SENCAR mice
Table 4-30. Acrylamide initiation of skin tumor masses > 1 mm in female SENCAR mice 143
Table 4-31. Noncancer effects in animals repeatedly exposed to acrylamide by the oral route
Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 4-32. Neurological effects following exposure to acrylamide in species other than the rat
and mouse
Table 4-33. Incidence of tumors with statistically significant increases in both 2-year bioassays
with F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water

Table 4-34. Circulating thyroid hormone l	evels in F344 rats following exposure to acrylamide in
drinking water for 14 or 28 day	sError! Bookmark not defined.

Table 5-1. Incidence data for degenerative changes detected by light microscopy in nerves of male and female F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for 2 years 204

- Table 5-4. PBTK model simulation results for HEC based on the rat neurotoxicity BMD. Error! Bookmark not defined.
- Table 5-5. PBTK model simulation results for HEC based on the rat neurotoxicity BMD. Error! Bookmark not defined.
- Table 5-6. Estimated POD (mg/kg-day) from best-fitting models for doses associated with a 5% extra risk for nerve degeneration in male and female rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water.

 227
- Table 5-7. Summary of uncertainty in the acrylamide noncancer risk assessment.......
 Error!

 Bookmark not defined.

- Table 5-10. Predictions from time-to-tumor model for doses associated with 10% extra risk for TVM alone, thyroid tumors alone, or combined TVM or thyroid tumors in male rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water, with associated rat cancer slope factors . 235
- Table 5-12. PBTK model simulation results for HEC to derive the inhalation unit risk based on male rat oral exposure cancer data......Error! Bookmark not defined.
- Table 5-13. Summary of uncertainty in the acrylamide cancer risk assessment.
 Error!

 Bookmark not defined.

Tuble 5 The Herituble genetic fish estimates for numuus exposed to del flumacioni alla	Table 5-14.	Heritable gen	etic risk estimate	s for humans ex	posed to acry	ylamide	264
--	-------------	---------------	--------------------	-----------------	---------------	---------	-----

Table C-1. Incidence data for degenerative changes detected by light microscopy in nerves of male and female F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for 2 years 1

 Table D-4. Risk estimates for separate and combined incidence of TVMs or thyroid tumors in

 male rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water

 7

 Table E-1: Original Model Parameter Values for Rats in the Kirman et al. (2003) PBTK Model.

 Source: Kirman et al. (2003)......

 Error! Bookmark not defined.

```
Table E-2: Data used to recalibrate the Kirman et al. (2003) model parametersError! Bookmark not defined.
```

- Table E-3: AUC Predictions from the Original Kirman Model versus AUCs Derived from

 Hemoglobin Adduct Data.

 Error! Bookmark not defined.
- Table E-4:Recalibrated PBTK Model Parameter Values for the Rat Error! Bookmark not defined.

Table E-5:	Results of the Recalibratedl Kirman et al (2003) Model versus Urinary Metabolite
	Data and AUCs Derived from Hemoglobin Adduct Data Error! Bookmark not
	defined.
Table E-6:	Estimated Internal AUC Acrylamide and Glycidamide Doses Produced by Various
	Drinking Water Intakes
Table E-7:	Available Data for Calibration of the Human PBTK model Error! Bookmark not
	defined.
Table E-8.	Parameters for the Human (male) Acrylamide PBTK Model
Table E-9:	Human PBTK Model Predictions versus AUCs and Urinary Metabolites Error!
	Bookmark not defined.
Table E-10	2: Estimated AUCs in Humans for Acrylamide and Glycidamide from a Drinking
	Water ExposureError! Bookmark not defined.
Table E-1	1: Estimated AUCs in Humans for Acrylamide and Glycidamide from An Inhalation
	ExposureError! Bookmark not defined.
Table F-1:	Data Generated at NCTR on AA and GA in rats and mice Error! Bookmark not
	defined.
Table F-2:	Pharmacokinetic and Pharmacodynamic Parameters from AA and GA Administration
	to Rats [Mean \pm Standard Deviation (Range)]Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table F-3:	Pharmacokinetic and Pharmacodynamic Parameters from AA and GA Administration
	to Mice ^a Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table F-5:	. Pharmacokinetic Parameters from AA Administration to Human Volunteers Error!
	Bookmark not defined.
Table 2-1.	Summary of acrylamide levels in food (ppb) derived from the FDA data collected
	from 2002 through October 1, 2003
Table 2-2.	Acrylamide levels in food (ppb) as collected by the European Union Joint Research
	Center (updated June 2004)
Table 2-3.	Exposure estimates from 2002–2006
Table 2-4.	Summary of exposure estimates (μ g/kg-day) by sources and population groups 10
Table 3-1.	Urinary metabolites collected for 24 hours following oral administration of [1,2,3-
	13 C]-labeled acrylamide (50 mg/kg) to male F344 rats or male B6C3F ₁ mice 27
Table 3-2.	Comparison of molar percentage of dose excreted in urine of rodents and humans
	after oral administration of acrylamide (Source: Hartmann et al., 2009)
Table 3-3.	The advantages and disadvantages of available biomarkers of exposure for
	acrylamide (from Hays and Alyward 2008b)
Table 3-4.	Estimated human serum AA AUC normalized to administered dose based on
	measured Hb adduct levels and in vitro derived second order rate constants (from
	Fennell et al., 2005)

Table 3-5. Second order rate constants for reaction of acrylamide or glycidamide with the N-	
terminal valine residue of hemoglobin	. 47
Table 3-6. Measured and estimated AA AUCs normalized to dose in humans and F344 rats	. 48
Table 3-7. Measured and estimated GA AUCs normalized to Dose in Humans and F344 rats.	. 49
Table 3-8. Selected published measurements of acrylamide-derived hemoglobin adducts and	
urinary metabolites in groups of nonsmokersa (from Doerge et al., 2008)	. 50
Table 4-1. Observed deaths and SMRs for selected causes by follow up period for all workers	S
(compared with the general U.S. population)	. 64
Table 4-2. Observed deaths and SMRs for selected cancer sites by duration of employment, t	ime
since first employment, and measures of exposure to acrylamide, all U.S. workers	5,
1950–2002 (compared with the local male populations)	. 66
Table 4-3. Neurological symptoms self-reported by acrylamide workers and nonexposed	
workers	. 77
Table 4-4. Scoring system for the neurotoxicity index	. 79
Table 4-5. Group means \pm SD of biomarkers in different categories of workers	. 81
Table 4-6. Correlation coefficients (linear regression) for relationships between biomarkers a	nd
neurotoxicity index	. 82
Table 4-7. Incidences of symptoms in 210 tunnel workers classified into exposure groups bas	ed
on levels of hemoglobin adducts of acrylamide	. 84
Table 4-8. Light and electron microscopic data for left sciatic nerves from rats exposed to	
acrylamide in drinking water for 90 days	. 90
Table 4-9. Light microscopic data for tibial nerves from F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in	
drinking water for 2 years	. 94
Table 4-10. Incidences of selected tumors in male and female F344 rats exposed to acrylamic	le
in drinking water for 2 years	. 95
Table 4-11. Dosing parameters of groups of rats given acrylamide in drinking water for 106-	108
weeks in the carcinogenicity study	. 96
Table 4-12. Light microscopic data for sciatic nerves from F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in	n
drinking water for 2 years	. 98
Table 4-13. Incidences of tumors in male F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water	for
2 years	. 99
Table 4-14. Incidences of tumors in female F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking wate	r
for 2 years	100
Table 4-15. Reevaluation and comparison of mesothelial lesions and extent of Leydig cell	
neoplasia in male F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for 2 years.	103
Table 4-16. Changes in reproductive parameters in F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinki	ng
water for two generations	107

Table 4-17. Results of the dominant lethal mutation assay in F344 rats 108	3
Table 4-18. Results of dominant lethality testing in male Swiss CD-1 mice exposed to	
acrylamide in the drinking water	l
Table 4-19. Effects of acrylamide in drinking water on grip strength of mice	2
Table 4-20. Fertility rates and pregnancy outcomes in Long-Evans rats following 72-day oral	
exposure of males to acrylamide in the drinking water	1
Table 4-21. Results of sperm analysis (baseline and week 9) and male fertility testing (following	5
10 weeks of treatment) of Long-Evans rats exposed to acrylamide in the drinking	
water	3
Table 4-22. Reproductive effects following exposure of male ddY mice to acrylamide in	
drinking water for 4 weeks and subsequent mating with untreated females	l
Table 4-23. Maternal and fetal effects in Sprague-Dawley rats and CD-1 mice following oral	
(gavage) administration of acrylamide to pregnant dams 125	5
Table 4-24. Differences in marker enzymes in the small intestine of pups cross-fostered to	
acrylamide-treated or control dams during postnatal lactation	3
Table 4-25. Frequency of translocation carriers in offspring derived from males exposed to	
acrylamide or glycidamide	5
Table 4-26. Results for specific locus mutations recovered in offspring of male mice exposed i.p)
to 50 mg/kg acrylamide on 5 consecutive days 135	5
Table 4-27. Results for specific locus mutations recovered in offspring of male mice exposed to	
acrylamide as a single 100 or 125 mg/kg i.p. dose 136	5
Table 4-28. Acrylamide initiation of squamous cell carcinomas or papillomas in female	
SENCAR mice	2
Table 4-29. Acrylamide initiation of skin tumor masses >1mm in female SENCAR mice 143	3
Table 4-30. Circulating thyroid hormone levels in F344 rats following exposure to AA in	
drinking water for 14 or 28 days 147	7
Table 4-31. Plasma TSH, BrdU incorporation in thyroid, and PCNA expression in thyroid in	
male Sprague-Dawley rats exposed to acrylamide by an unspecified route for up to	
28 days	3
Table 4-32. Noncancer effects in animals repeatedly exposed to acrylamide by the oral route 155	5
Table 4-33. Neurological effects following exposure to acrylamide in species other than the rat	
and mouse	3
Table 5-1. Acrylamide oral exposure: selected NOAELs and LOAELs)
Table 5-2. Incidence data for degenerative changes detected by light microscopy in nerves of	
male and female F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for 2 years 204	1

Table 5-3. Predictions (mg/kg-day) from best-fitting models for doses associated with a 10, 5,	
and 1% extra risk for nerve degeneration in male and female rats exposed to	
acrylamide in drinking water (Johnson et al 1986))5
Table 5-4. Predictions (mg/kg-day) from best-fitting models for doses associated with 10, 5, and	ıd
1% extra risk for sciatic nerve changes in male and female rats exposed to	
acrylamide in drinking water (Friedman et al 1995))5
Table 5-5. Second order rate constants for reaction of acrylamide or glycidamide with the N-	
terminal valine residue of hemoglobin20)7
Table 5-6. Measured and estimated AA AUCs normalized to dose in humans and F344 rats 20)8
Table 5-7. Measured and estimated GA AUCs normalized to Dose in Humans and F344 rats.20)9
Table 5-8. Serum AUC from Doerge et al. (2005c) and hemoglobin adduct levels from Tareke	et
al (2008) for a 0.1 mg/kg single dose of AA in male and female F344 rats 2	11
Table 5-9. Summary of uncertainty in the acrylamide noncancer risk assessment	21
Table 5-10. Estimated POD (mg/kg-day) from best-fitting models for doses associated with a	
5% extra risk for nerve degeneration in male and female rats exposed to acrylamide)
in drinking water	27
Table 5-11. Incidence of tumors with statistically significant increases in 2-year bioassays with	1
F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water	32
Table 5-12. Points of departure and oral slope factors derived from Friedman et al. (1995) tume	or
incidence data for female rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water	35
Table 5-13. Points of departure and oral slope factors derived from Friedman et al. (1995)	
tumors incidence data for male F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water.	
	35
Table 5-14. Points of departure and oral slope factors derived from Johnson et al. (1986) tumo	r
incidence data for female F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water 22	37
Table 5-15. Points of departure and oral slope factors derived from Johnson et al. (1986) tumor	•
incidence data for for male F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water 23	37
Table 5-16. Comparison of oral slope factors based on summed risks for tumors at several sites	3
in two bioassays of F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water	38
Table 5-15. Summary of uncertainty in the acrylamide cancer risk assessment	17
Table 5-16. Heritable genetic risk estimates for humans exposed to acrylamide	54
Table B-1. Results of acrylamide mutagenicity testing	1
Table C-1. Incidence data for degenerative changes detected by light microscopy in nerves of	
male and female F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for 2 years	1
Table C-2. Predictions (mg/kg-day) from models for doses associated with a 10% extra risk for	r
nerve degeneration in male rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water	2

Table C-3.	Predictions (mg/kg-day) from models for doses associated with a 10% extra risk for
	nerve degeneration in female rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water

Table E-1. Serum AUC from Doerge et al. (2005c) and hemoglobin adduct levels from Tarekeet al (2008) for a 0.1 mg/kg single dose of AA in male and female F344 rats.2

 Table E-2: Fitted AUC's and Rates Based on the Use of Rate Constants Derived from In Vivo

 Data
 8

Table F-1.	Scoring system for the neurotoxicity index	2
Table F-2.	Group means \pm SD of biomarkers in different categories of workers	3

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AA	acrylamide
AAMA	N-acetyl-S-(2-carbamoylethyl)-L-cysteine
AAVal	acrylamide-hemoglobin-terminal-valine adduct, N-(2-carbamoylethyl)valine
ABT	1-aminobenzotriazole
ADAF	age-dependent adjustment factor
AIC	Akaike's Information Criterion
ALT	alanine aminotransferase
AUC	area under the curve
BB	Big Blue
BMD	benchmark dose
BMDL	95% lower bound on BMD
BMDS	benchmark dose software
BMR	benchmark response
bw, BW	body weight
C-C	control dams with control pups
CERHR	National Toxicology Program / Center for the Evaluation of Risks to Human
	Reproduction
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CI	confidence interval
CIR	Cosmetic Industry Review Expert Panel (4) (ref.)
CNS	central nervous system
C-T	control dams with treated pups
dAdo	2'-deoxyadenosine
dCyd	2'-deoxycytidine
dGua	2'-deoxyguanosine
dThd	2'-deoxythymidine
ED	effective dose
ENMG	electroneuromyographic
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FAO	Food and Agricultural Organization
FDA	U.S. Food and Drug Administration
FISH	fluorescence in situ hybridization
GA	glycidamide
GABA	gamma-aminobutyric acid
GAMA	N-(R,S)-acetyl-S-(carbamoyl-2-hydroxyethyl)-L-cysteine
GAVal	glycidamide-hemoglobin-terminal-valine adduct, N-(2-carbamoyl-2-
	hydroxyethyl)valine
GC-MS	gas chromatography-mass spectrometry
GD	gestational day
GSH	glutathione
Hb	hemoglobin
HBSS	Hanks' balanced salt solution
HEC	human equivalent concentration
HID	highest ineffective dose/concentration
HSDB	Hazardous Substances Data Bank

i.p.	intraperitoneal or intraperitoneally
i.v.	intravenous or intravenously
IARC	International Agency for Research on Cancer
IRB	Institute Review Board
IRIS	Integrated Risk Information System
IRMM	Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements
JECFA	Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives
JIFSAN	Joint Institute for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition
LD ₅₀	median lethal dose
LED	95% lower bound on ED
LFB/PAS	luxol fast blue-periodic acid Schiff (59)
LH	luteinizing hormone
LOAEL	lowest-observed-adverse-effect level
LSD	Fisher's Least Significant Difference Test
MF	mutant frequency
MLE	maximum likelihood estimate
MN	micronucleus or micronuclei
MN-RET	micronucleated reticulocytes
MOA	mode of action
MPDS	mortality and population data system: maintained at the University of
	Pittshurgh
N3-GA-Ade	N3-(2-carbamovl-2-hydroxyethyl)adenine
NECS	National Food Consumption Survey (Netherlands)
NIOSH	National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health
NMA	N-methylolacrylamide
NOAFL	no-observed-adverse-effect level
OR	odds ratio
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
PRTK	physiologically based toxicokinetic (as in PBTK model)
PCNA	proliferating cell nuclear antigen
PFI	permissible exposure limit
	protein kinase A
PND	postnatal day
POD	point of departure
R	rick
RFI	recommended exposure limit
REL	reference concentration
RfD	reference dose
SCF	Scientific Committee on Food of the European Commission
SEM	standard error of the mean
SHF	Svrian hamster embryo
SMR	standardized mortality ratio
SNEA	Swedish National Food Agency
SNT	Statene noringemiddeltiley: the Norwagian Food Control Authority
	trijodothyronine
13 T/	thyrovin
	troated dame with control pupe
1-U TDA	12 O tetradocenevil nhorhol 12 costate
IFA	12-0-tetradecanoy1-pnorbo1-13-acetate

TSH	thyroid stimulating hormone
T-T	treated dams with treated pups
TVM	tunica vaginalis mesothelioma
UCL	upper confidence limit
UCLE	upper confidence limit estimate
UDS	unscheduled DNA synthesis
UF	uncertainty factor

FOREWORD

The purpose of this Toxicological Review is to provide scientific support and rationale for the hazard and dose-response assessment in IRIS pertaining to chronic exposure to acrylamide. It is not intended to be a comprehensive treatise on the chemical or toxicological nature of acrylamide.

The intent of Section 6, *Major Conclusions in the Characterization of Hazard and Dose Response*, is to present the major conclusions reached in the derivation of the reference dose, reference concentration and cancer assessment, where applicable, and to characterize the overall confidence in the quantitative and qualitative aspects of hazard and dose response by addressing the quality of data and related uncertainties. The discussion is intended to convey the limitations of the assessment and to aid and guide the risk assessor in the ensuing steps of the risk assessment process.

For other general information about this assessment or other questions relating to IRIS, the reader is referred to EPA's IRIS Hotline at (202) 566-1676 (phone), (202) 566-1749 (fax), or hotline.iris@epa.gov (email address).

AUTHORS, CONTRIBUTORS, AND REVIEWERS

CHEMICAL MANAGER

Robert S. DeWoskin, Ph.D., DABT Office of Research and Development National Center for Environmental Assessment U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Research Triangle Park, NC

AUTHORS (EPA)

Robert S. DeWoskin, Ph.D., DABT Office of Research and Development National Center for Environmental Assessment U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Research Triangle Park, NC

Cancer Assessment

Karen Hogan Office of Research and Development National Center for Environmental Assessment U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Washington, DC

AUTHORS (CONTRACT)

David W. Wohlers, Ph.D. Peter R. McClure, Ph.D., DABT Jennifer Rhoades, B.S. Kelly Salinas, Ph.D. Environmental Science Center SRC, Inc. North Syracuse, NY Contract Number: GS-00F-0019L

Hemoglobin Adduct Formation Rate Modeling

Justin G. Teeguarden, PhD, DABT Battelle, Pacific Northwest Division 902 Battelle Blvd. Richland, WA, 99352

REVIEWERS

This document has been reviewed by EPA scientists, interagency reviewers from other federal agencies and White House offices, and the public, and peer reviewed by independent scientists external to EPA. A summary and EPA's disposition of the comments from the independent external peer reviewers and from the public is included in Appendix A.

INTERNAL EPA REVIEWERS

Ila Cote, Ph.D., DABT Office of Research and Development National Center for Environmental Assessment

Kevin Crofton, Ph.D. Office of Research and Development National Health and Environmental Effects Laboratory

Sally Darney, Ph.D. Office of Research and Development National Health and Environmental Effects Laboratory

Kerry Dearfield, Ph.D. Office of Research and Development Office of The Science Advisor [Currently with the US Department of Agriculture, Food Safety and Inspection Service]

Lynn Flowers, Ph.D., DABT Office of Research and Development National Center for Environmental Assessment

Gary Foureman, Ph.D. Office of Research and Development National Center for Environmental Assessment

Angela Howard, Ph.D. Office of Research and Development National Center for Environmental Assessment

Gene Hsu, Ph.D. Office of Research and Development National Center for Environmental Assessment [Currently with Merck & Co Inc, West Point, PA]

Reeder Sams, Ph.D. Office of Research and Development National Center for Environmental Assessment

08/28/2009

John Vandenberg, Ph.D. Office of Research and Development National Center for Environmental Assessment

EXTERNAL PEER REVIEWERS

CHAIR

Dr. Deborah Cory-Slechta, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY

PANEL MEMBERS

Dr. Alfred Branen, University of Idaho, Coeur d'Alene, ID

Dr. Daniel R. Doerge, National Center for Toxicological Research, Food and Drug Administration, Jefferson, AR

Dr. James S. Felton, University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA

Dr Timothy Fennell, RTI International, Research Triangle Park, NC

Dr. Penelope Fenner-Crisp, Independent Consultant, North Garden, VA

Dr. Jeffrey Fisher, University of Georgia, Athens, GA

Mr. Sean Hays, Summit Toxicology, Allenspark, CO

Dr. Steven Heeringa, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

Dr. Richard M. LoPachin, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY

Dr. Lorelei Mucci, Harvard Medical School, Channing Laboratory, Boston, MA

Dr. Jerry M. Rice, Georgetown University Medical Center, Washington, DC

Dr. Dale Sickles, Medical College of Georgia, Augusta, GA

Dr. Gina Solomon, Natural Resources Defense Council, San Francisco, CA

Dr. Anne Sweeney, The Commonwealth Medical College, Scranton, PA

Dr. Lauren Zeise, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, California Environmental Protection Agency, Oakland, CA

1. INTRODUCTION

This document presents background information and justification for the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) Summary of the hazard and dose-response assessment of acrylamide. IRIS Summaries may include oral reference dose (RfD) and inhalation reference concentration (RfC) values for chronic and other exposure durations, and a carcinogenicity assessment.

The RfD and RfC, if derived, provide quantitative information for use in risk assessments for health effects known or assumed to be produced through a nonlinear (presumed threshold) mode of action (MOA). The RfD (expressed in units of mg/kg-day) is defined as an estimate (with uncertainty spanning perhaps an order of magnitude) of a daily exposure to the human population (including sensitive subgroups) that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious effects during a lifetime. The inhalation RfC (expressed in units of mg/m³) is analogous to the oral RfD, but provides a continuous inhalation exposure estimate. The inhalation RfC considers toxic effects for both the respiratory system (portal of entry) and for effects peripheral to the respiratory system (extrarespiratory or systemic effects). Reference values are generally derived for chronic exposures (up to a lifetime), but may also be derived for acute (\leq 24 hours), short-term (>24 hours up to 30 days), and subchronic (>30 days up to 10% of lifetime) exposure durations, all of which are derived based on an assumption of continuous exposure throughout the duration specified. Unless specified otherwise, the RfD and RfC are derived for chronic exposure duration.

The carcinogenicity assessment provides information on the carcinogenic hazard potential of the substance in question and quantitative estimates of risk from oral and inhalation exposure may be derived. The information includes a weight-of-evidence judgment of the likelihood that the agent is a human carcinogen and the conditions under which the carcinogenic effects may be expressed. Quantitative risk estimates may be derived from the application of a low-dose extrapolation procedure. If derived, the oral slope factor is a plausible upper bound on the estimate of risk per mg/kg-day of oral exposure. Similarly, a plausible inhalation unit risk is an upper bound on the estimate of risk per $\mu g/m^3$ air breathed.

Development of these hazard identification and dose-response assessments for acrylamide has followed the general guidelines for risk assessment as set forth by the National Research Council (NRC, 1983). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) Guidelines and Risk Assessment Forum Technical Panel Reports that may have been used in the development of this assessment include the following: *Guidelines for the Health Risk Assessment of Chemical Mixtures* (U.S. EPA, 1986a), *Guidelines for Mutagenicity Risk Assessment* (U.S. EPA, 1986b), *Recommendations for and Documentation of Biological Values for Use in Risk Assessment* (U.S. EPA, 1988), (proposed) *Interim Policy for Particle Size and Limit Concentration Issues in Inhalation Toxicity* (U.S. EPA, 1994a), *Methods for Derivation of*

DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

Inhalation Reference Concentrations and Application of Inhalation Dosimetry (U.S. EPA, 1994b), Use of the Benchmark Dose Approach in Health Risk Assessment (U.S. EPA, 1995), Science Policy Council Handbook: Risk Characterization (U.S. EPA, 2000a), Benchmark Dose Technical Guidance Document (U.S. EPA, 2000b), Supplementary Guidance for Conducting Health Risk Assessment of Chemical Mixtures (U.S. EPA, 2000c), A Review of the Reference Dose and Reference Concentration Processes (U.S. EPA, 2002), Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment (U.S. EPA, 2005a), Supplemental Guidance for Assessing Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens (U.S. EPA, 2005b), Science Policy Council Handbook: Peer Review (U.S. EPA, 2006a), and A Framework for Assessing Health Risks of Environmental Exposures to Children (U.S. EPA, 2006b).

The literature search strategy employed for this compound was based on the Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number (CASRN) and at least one common name. Any pertinent scientific information submitted by the public to the IRIS Submission Desk was also considered in the development of this document. The relevant literature was reviewed through April 2009

2. CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL INFORMATION RELEVANT TO ASSESSMENTS

2.1. CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL INFORMATION

Acrylamide (AA) is an odorless, white, crystalline solid. Synonyms include acrylic amide, acrylic acid amide, ethylenecarboxamide, propenamide, and propenoic acid amide. The structure of AA is shown below in Figure 2-1 (carbons are numbered).



Figure 2-1. Chemical structure of acrylamide (AA) with carbon numbers indicated.

References for the selected chemical and physical properties of acrylamide listed below or in the subsequent text include HSDB, 2005; Budavari, 2001; Verschueren, 2001; Lide, 2000; Lewis, 1997; Hansch et al., 1995; IARC, 1994a; and Petersen et al., 1985.

CAS number:	79-06-1 (Verschueren, 2001)
Molecular weight:	71.08 (Verschueren, 2001); 74.0 for 1,2,3- ¹³ C3 labeled AA
	(Fennell et al. 2005)
Chemical Formula:	C ₃ H ₅ NO (Verschueren, 2001)
Boiling point:	192.6°C (Verschueren, 2001)
Melting point:	84.5°C (Verschueren, 2001)
Vapor pressure:	0.007 mm Hg at 25°C (HSDB, 2005)
Density:	1.12 g/mL at 30°C (Budavari, 2001)
Vapor density:	2.46 (air = 1) (Verschueren, 2001)
Water solubility:	2.155 g/mL at 30°C (Verschueren, 2001)
Other solubilities at 30°C:	Acetone (0.631 g/mL), chloroform (0.027 g/mL), diethyl ether
	(0.862 g/mL), ethanol (0.862 g/mL), ethyl acetate (0.126
	g/mL), methanol (1.55 g/mL), heptane (0.068 g/mL) (Budavari,
	2001; Lide, 2000)
Partition coefficient (K _{ow}):	$\log K_{ow} = -0.67$ (octanol/water) (Hansch et al., 1995)
Partition coefficient (K _{oc}):	$\log K_{oc} = 1$ (organic carbon/water) (HSDB, 2005)
pH:	5.0–6.5 (50% aqueous solution) (HSDB, 2005)
Henry's law constant:	1.7×10^{-9} atm-m ³ /mol at 25°C (HSDB, 2005)
Bioconcentration factor:	1 for fingerling trout (Petersen et al., 1985)
Stability	Stable at room temperature but may polymerize violently on
	melting (HSDB, 2005)
Conversion factors:	$1 \text{ mg/m}^3 = 0.34 \text{ ppm}, 1 \text{ ppm} = 2.95 \text{ mg/m}^3$ (Verschueren, 2001)
	1 gr = 14.07 mmoles

Acrylamide is a highly water-soluble α , β -unsaturated amide that reacts with nucleophilic sites in macromolecules in Michael-type additions (Calleman, 1996; Segerbäck et al., 1995). Monomeric AA readily participates in radical-initiated polymerization reactions, whose products form the basis of most of its industrial applications (Calleman, 1996).

2.2. SOURCES OF EXPOSURE, FATE AND TRANSPORT

Acrylamide from industrial sources

Acrylamide was initially produced for commercial purposes by reaction of acrylonitrile with hydrated sulfuric acid and separation of the product from its sulfate salt. Relatively high levels of impurities resulted from this process, which was replaced in the 1970s by catalytic hydration with copper metal or a Raney copper catalyst and lower levels of impurities. With catalytic hydration, a solution of acrylonitrile in water is passed over a fixed bed of copper catalyst at 85°C to produce AA. A third production method, developed in 1985, uses microorganisms to convert acrylonitrile into acrylamide by enzymatic hydration (HSDB, 2005; IARC, 1994a). Direct uses of acrylamide include photopolymerization systems, adhesives and grouts, and polymer cross-linking. The primary use of AA is in the production of polyacrylamides, which are used for enhanced oil recovery in water flooding, in oil well drilling fluids, in fracturing aids, in sewage treatment flocculants, in soil conditioning and stabilization, in papermaking aids and thickeners, in adhesion-promoting polymers, in dye acceptors, in textile additives, and in paint softeners (HSDB, 2005; IARC, 1994a).

Release of AA to the environment may occur during its production and use or in the production of polyacrylamide. Products and compounds containing polyacrylamide may serve as sources of exposure to residues of acrylamide. Examples include polyacrylamide compounds used in oil well drilling operations (well drilling muds), as flocculents in water treatment, coagulants in food processing, sealing grouts and some coatings, and as foam builders, lubricants, and emollients in some personal care and grooming products (CFR, 2005; CIR, 1991). Localized contamination may arise from the use of acrylamide in grouting operations (HSDB, 2005). U.S. EPA (2003) requires drinking water authorities to certify that, for polyacrylamides used as coagulants or flocculents in drinking water treatment, the level of acrylamide monomer in the polymer does not exceed 0.05% and the application rate for the polymer does not exceed 1 mg/L. The National Sanitation Foundation/American National Standards Institute (NSF/ANSI) Standard 60 for Drinking Water Treatment Chemicals - Health Effects provides the restrictions for the use of polyacrylamides in well drilling muds and grouts for potable water wells based on acrylamide monomer levels.

If released to air, the vapor pressure of 0.007 mm Hg at 25°C indicates that AA will exist solely as a vapor in the ambient atmosphere. Vapor-phase AA will be degraded in the atmosphere by reaction with photochemically produced hydroxyl radicals; the half-life for this

reaction in air is estimated to be 1.4 days. The half-life for the reaction of vapor-phase AA with ozone is estimated to be 6.5 days. Acrylamide is not expected to be susceptible to direct photolysis in sunlight since it does not absorb light with wavelengths >290 nm (HSDB, 2005).

With a K_{oc} of 10, AA is expected to be highly mobile in soils. Volatilization of AA from dry or moist soil surfaces is not expected to be an important fate process, based on its vapor pressure and estimated Henry's law constant of 1.7×10^{-9} atm-m³/mol (HSDB, 2005). Acrylamide is expected to degrade in soil. Degradation in the range of 74–94% within 14 days and 79–80% in 6 days was reported for AA in several soils that had been moistened to field capacity (Abdelmagid and Tabatabai, 1982). Half-lives of 18–45 hours were observed for four central New York soils that had been moistened to 70% field capacity (Lande et al., 1979).

If released to water, AA is not expected to adsorb to suspended solids or sediment, based on the K_{oc} (HSDB, 2005). In a river die-away test, 90% of AA disappeared in approximately 150 hours (Croll et al., 1974). The hydrolysis half-life of acrylamide has been reported as >38 years (HSDB, 2005). Volatilization of acrylamide from water surfaces is not expected, based on the compound's Henry's law constant. An estimated bioconcentration factor of 1 for fingerling trout (Petersen et al., 1985) suggests that bioconcentration in aquatic organisms is low (HSDB, 2005). Microbial degradation of acrylamide can occur under light or dark, aerobic or anaerobic conditions (Brown et al., 1980; Lande et al., 1979; Croll et al., 1974).

Acrylamide was formerly thought to only be present as an industrially manufactured chemical and not a naturally occurring contaminant (IARC, 1994a). It is now known that acrylamide is present in cigarette smoke, and can form in certain foods during cooking or processing.

Acrylamide in cigarette smoke

Acrylamide is a component of cigarette smoke, and AA content in mainstream cigarette smoke has been estimated at $1.1-2.34 \mu g$ per cigarette (Smith et al., 2000). Smoking is a source of human inhalation exposure, and secondhand smoke could contribute to AA in indoor air, although no data were found on indoor air levels of acrylamide from environmental tobacco smoke. Boettcher et al. (2005) measured the AA and AA metabolites in human urine, and reported median levels in smokers (n = 13) about four times higher than in nonsmokers (n = 16) indicating that cigarette smoke is clearly an important source of acrylamide exposure.

Acrylamide formation in foods during processing

In early 2002, high concentrations of AA were reported in certain fried, baked, and deepfried foods (Swedish National Food Agency, 2002). This discovery dramatically increased the interest in nonindustrial sources of acrylamide exposure to the general public. Subsequent research in many European countries and the United States determined that AA is formed primarily in carbohydrate-rich foods prepared or cooked at high temperatures (i.e., >120°C) (Tareke et al., 2002, 2000). The predominant chemistry involves a Maillard reaction, a nonenzymatic browning reaction that occurs by a condensation of the amino group of the amino acid, asparagine, and the carbonyl group of reducing sugars (fructose and glucose) during high-temperature heating (Mottram et al., 2002; Stadler et al., 2002). Thus, browned crispy crusts in foods like French fries, potato chips, crackers, pretzel-like snacks, cereals, and browned breads tend to have the highest levels of AA. Acrylamide has been detected in some food products that are processed at temperatures in the 98–116°C range and in high moisture conditions (e.g., canned black olives [not oil cured] and prune juice) [Roach et al., 2003]), so there are other pathways of formation that do not involve temperatures over 120°C and crispiness, and these are being further evaluated (JIFSAN, 2004). It is worth noting that, since AA appears to form from standard cooking methods like baking, frying, and roasting, it has been in the human diet for many thousands of years.

Dybing et al. (2005) list AA concentrations in various foods in the United States as determined by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA, 2006) in Table 2-1 and, in Table 2-2, in foods in Europe from data compiled by the Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements (IRMM, 2004).

Estimates of acrylamide exposure based on diet and acrylamide content in foods

The FDA has estimated overall daily intake levels of acrylamide from exposures in the U.S. diet to be around $0.4 \mu g/kg$ -day with a 90th percentile of $0.95 \mu g/kg$ -day (U.S. FDA, 2006). Table 2-3 is a compilation by Dybing et al. (2005) of exposure estimates from many different national organizations. Estimated daily intake in populations around the world are reasonably similar to FDA's estimate, with the variability assumed to result from cultural differences in food preferences (i.e., different composition of diet among populations), processing methods (i.e., that result in different AA levels among local foods), and consumption levels. A 2004 expert panel review of risk for human reproductive toxicity from exposure to AA compiled a table of estimates for total exposures, presented here as Table 2-4 (NTP/CERHR,

2004).

Table 2-1.	Summary of	f acrylamide l	levels in fo	ood (ppb)	derived	from 1	the
FDA data	collected from	m 2002 throu	gh Octobe	er 1, 2003			

Food							Standard
commodity	n	Minimum	25%	Median	75%	Maximum	deviation
Baby food and	36	0.0	0.0	10.0	31.8	130.0	36.6
infant formula							
French fries and	97	20.0	220.0	318.0	462.0	2,762.0	427.9
chips							
Protein foods	21	0.0	0.0	10.0	25.0	116.0	27.7
Breads and	49	0.0	15.0	34.0	96.0	432.0	107.9
bakery products ^a							
Cereals and	23	11.0	49.0	77.0	166.0	1,057.0	249.1
muesli							
Crackers and	32	12.0	92.5	169.0	302.3	1243.0	331.1
snack foods							
Gravies and	13	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	151.0	43.4
seasonings							
Nuts and butters	13	0.0	28.0	89.0	236.0	457.0	143.0
Chocolate	14	0.0	2.5	20.5	84.3	909.0	243.6
products							
Canned fruits and	33	0.0	0.0	10.0	70.0	1,925.0	411.7
vegetables							
Coffee, ground	59	37.0	158.0	205.0	299.0	539.0	106.3
Coffee, brewed	20	3.0	6.0	6.5	8.0	13.0	2.4
Miscellaneous ^b	41	0.0	0.0	10.0	43.0	5,399.0	1,018.8

^aIncludes cookies, pies and pastry, bagels.

^bHot beverages other than coffee (Postum, caffeine-free coffee substitute), frozen vegetables, dried foods, dairy, juice, and other miscellaneous.

Data were calculated from the data published by the FDA on the Internet ("Exploratory Data on Acrylamide in Food," March 2004 [http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~dms/acrydata.html]). The database contains data collected from 2002 through October 1, 2003. The categories were used as given by the FDA. For coffee, only data for roasted coffee were used (total sample number [n] = 439).

Source: Dybing et al. (2005).

Food	n	Minimum	25%	Median	75%	Maximum
commodity						
French fries	741	5.0	90.0	178.0	326.0	2,228.0
Chips	569	5.0	378.0	600.0	980.0	3,770.0
Potato fritter ^a	75	15.0	215.0	492.0	797.6	2,779.0
Fine bakery	485	5.0	67.0	160.0	366.0	3,324.0
ware						
Gingerbread	414	5.0	152.0	298.5	650.7	7,834.0
Crispbread	261	5.0	81.0	251.0	602.0	2,838.0
Infant biscuits	63	5.0	64.3	90.0	275.1	910.0
Diabetics' cakes	212	5.0	92.5	291.5	772.3	3,044.0
and biscuits						
Breakfast	162	5.0	30.0	60.0	152.5	846.0
cereals						
Coffee, roasted	102	79.0	192.0	264.0	337.0	975.0
Coffee,	50	115.6	439.4	739.0	1,321.8	2,955.0
substitutes						

Table 2-2. Acrylamide levels in food (ppb) as collected by the EuropeanUnion Joint Research Center (updated June 2004)

^aGrated potatoes fried into a pancake.

Note: Data were calculated from the monitoring database on acrylamide levels in food

(http://www.irmm.jrc.be/) maintained by the IRMM, together with the Directorate General for Health and Consumer Affairs. This database comprises 3,442 samples of acrylamide levels in food products throughout the EU, including the data collection from the Confédération des Industries Agro-Alimentaires de l'Union Européenne. The categories were used as given in the data collection.

Source: Dybing et al. (2005).

Daily intake µg	/kg-day	Source		
Mean (age group)	Percentile ^{a,b}			
0.3–0.8		http://www.who.int/foodsafety/publ ications/chem/en/acrylamide_full. pdf		
0.2–0.4		http://europa.eu.int/comm/food/fs/sc /scf/out131 en.pdf		
1.1 (15–18)	3.4ª	http://www.bfr.bund.de/cm/208/Abs chaetzung_der_Acrylamid_Aufnah me_durch_ hochbelastete_Nahrungsmittel_in_		
0.28 (16–57)		Deutschland_Studie.pdf http://www.bag.admin.ch/verbrau/a ktuell/d/DDS%20acrylamide%20pr eliminary%20communication.pdf		
0.5 (>15)	1.1^{a}	http://www.afssa.fr/ftp/afssa/basedo		
1.4 (2–14) 0.7	2.9"	c/acrylpoint2sansannex.pdf http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~dms/acr yexpo.html		
0.43 (>2) 1.06 (2–5)	0.92 ^b 2.31 ^b	http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~dms/acr yexpo.html		
0.40 (>2) 1.07 (2–5)	0.95 ^a 2.33 ^b	http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~dms/acr yexpo.html		
0.48 (1–97) 1.04 (1–6) 0.71 (7–18)	0.6^{a} 1.1^{a} 0.9^{a}	Konigs et al. (2003)		
0.45 (18–74)	0.9 1.03	Svensson et al. (2003)		
0.49 (males) 0.46 (females)	1.01 ^b 0.86 ^b	Dybing and Sanner (2003)		
0.36 (9, boys) 0.32 (9, girls)	0.72 ⁶ 0.61 ^b			
0.52 (13, boys) 0.49 (13, girls)	1.35 ^b 1.2 ^b			
0.53 (16–30, males) 0.50 (16–30, females)				
	Daily intake μ g Mean (age group) 0.3–0.8 0.2–0.4 1.1 (15–18) 0.28 (16–57) 0.5 (>15) 1.4 (2–14) 0.7 0.43 (>2) 1.06 (2–5) 0.40 (>2) 1.07 (2–5) 0.48 (1–97) 1.04 (1–6) 0.71 (7–18) 0.45 (18–74) 0.49 (males) 0.36 (9, boys) 0.32 (9, girls) 0.52 (13, boys) 0.49 (13, girls) 0.53 (16–30, males)	Daily intake $\mu g/kg-day$ Mean (age group)Percentile ^{a,b} $0.3-0.8$ $0.2-0.4$ $0.2-0.4$ $1.1 (15-18)$ $1.1 (15-18)$ 3.4^a $0.28 (16-57)$ 1.1^a $0.28 (16-57)$ 1.1^a $0.5 (>15)$ 1.1^a $1.4 (2-14)$ 2.9^a 0.7 $0.43 (>2)$ $0.43 (>2)$ 0.92^b $1.06 (2-5)$ 2.31^b $0.40 (>2)$ 0.95^a $1.07 (2-5)$ 2.33^b $0.48 (1-97)$ 0.6^a $1.04 (1-6)$ 1.1^a $0.71 (7-18)$ 0.9^a $0.45 (18-74)$ 1.03 $0.49 (males)$ 1.01^b $0.36 (9, boys)$ 0.72^b $0.32 (9, girls)$ 0.61^b $0.53 (16-30, males)$ $0.50 (16-30, males)$		

Table 2-3. Exposure estimates from 2002–2006

^a95th percentile. ^b90th percentile.

Source: For all exposures estimates from 2002 to 2004, Dybing et al. (2005) except the FDA estimates; FDA exposure estimates 2002–2006 (directly from the FDA website: http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~dms/acryexpo.html.

population Stoups		
Source of exposure	Mean or median ^a	90th percentile or upper boundary ^a
Diet: general population	0.43	0.92
2- to 5-year-olds	1.06	2.31
Drinking water	No data	<0.01
Personal care products	~0.5	1.1 (female)
Cigarette smoking	0.67 (from cigarette data)	1.3
	2.6 (from adduct data) ^b	~6
Occupational exposures	1.4–18	43 (based on PEL^{e})
Totals (adults)		
General population		
Nonsmokers	0.98 ^c	2.0
	0.85 (from adduct data)	
Smokers	1.7 (from cigarette data)	3.2
	3.6 (from adduct data)	
Occupational exposure ^d		45-52
Nonsmokers	2.4–19	45
Smokers	3.1–20 (cigarette data)	46
	5–22 (adduct data)	51

Table 2-4. Summary of exposure estimates $(\mu g/kg-day)$ by sources and population groups

^aDose levels in experimental animal studies are expressed as mg/kg-day, human exposures are expressed as μ g/kg-day. To convert figures in table to mg/kg-day, divide by 1,000.

^bAcrylamide exposure in smokers based on adduct formation was estimated by taking the value for total exposure in smokers (3.4 μ g/kg-day) and subtracting the value for total exposure in nonsmokers (0.85 μ g/kg-day). ^cEstimated from diet, water, and personal care products. The adduct-derived estimates are considered more comprehensive.

^dOccupational exposures include monomer and polymer production and grouting applications.

^ePEL = permissible exposure limit. The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) permissible exposure level (PEL) for acrylamide is 0.3 mg/m³. Based on a geometric means of 0.01–0.13 mg/m³ and an upper bound exposure of 0.3 mg/m³ (PEL), the NTP/CERHR Expert Panel estimated mean and upper bound workplace acrylamide inhalation exposures at 1.4–18.6 μ g/kg bw/day and 43 μ g/kg bw/day, respectively.

Source: NTP/CERHR (2004).

Alternate methods for estimating exposure to the general population are based on internal levels of biomarkers of exposure including levels of hemoglobin adducts or urinary metabolites. Recent comparisons of biomarker studies from many different studies are being used to estimate risk (Doerge et al., 2008) or to compare estimates of exposure in the general nonsmoking population (Hartmann et al., 2008). Hartmann et al. (2008) developed exposure estimates based on levels of hemoglobin adducts or urinary metabolites as biomarker in a nonsmoking population of children, adolescents, and adults from the general population in Germany (n=91; 45 males, 46 females; aged 6 -80 years; median age = 36 years). Median daily intakes were estimated at 0.43 (0.21-1.04) μ g/kg-day based on hemoglobin adducts levels; and 0.51(<LOD-2.32) μ g/kg-day based on urinary mercapturic acid levels. The internal exposure to AA and GA, measured as hemoglobin adducts, was virtually the same for both sexes. The blood adduct levels, which can
be used as long-term exposure markers, were in both sexes identical with median levels of 30 pmol/g of globin for AAVal and 34 pmol/g of globin for GAVal. The results, however, indicated that children take up approximately1.3-1.5 times more AA per kilogram of body weight than adults. The ratio GAMA/AAMA was also significantly higher in the group of young children (6-10 years) with a median level of 0.5. The Hartmann et al (2008) results are consistent with the US population average intake estimates of 0.4 μ g AA/kg bw-day, as well as intake estimates from other Eurpoean countries summarized by FAO/WHO as ranging from 0.2 – 2.0 μ g/kg-day, with a WHO designated representative average level for the general population of 1 μ g/kg-day (FAO/WHO, 2005).

Additional information on estimates of exposure based on hemoglobin adducts and urinary metabolites can be found in the Section 3-5 in the next chapter on the toxicokinetics of acrylamide, and in Chapter 5 on the derivation of the human equivalent concentration and reference values.

3. TOXICOKINETICS RELEVANT TO ASSESSMENTS

Much of the information in this section describes interactions of acrylamide (AA) and its principal and toxicologically significant (epoxide) metabolite, glycidamide (GA) with various biologically significant targets such as cellular thiols (e.g., glutathione), various proteins and bases in DNA. The chemical basis for these interactions is strongly associated with the degree of electrophilicity (electron deficiency) of such agents as AA and GA with nucleophilic centers (i.e., unshared electrons) that may be present in biological targets. Electrophiles and nucleophiles are generally characterized as being either "hard" or "soft" corresponding to a spectral range of high or low charge densities or electronegativity for reactivity (Pearson and Songstad, 1967). Due to its $\dot{\alpha}_{\beta}$ -unsaturated structure and ready capacity to undergo Michaeltype additions, acrylamide may be classified as a "soft" electrophile. Soft electrophiles like AA react readily with soft nucleophiles such as the thiol groups of proteins or glutathione. GA, on the other hand, has a relatively high positive charge density, and acts as a hard electrophile, more capable of reacting with centers of high electronegativity (i.e., hard nucleophiles) such as the purine and pyrimidine bases in DNA (Lopachin and DeCaprio, 2005; Dearfield et al, 1995). A recent evaluation of soft-soft interactions based on frontier molecular orbital characteristics (as defined by the quantum mechanical parameters for softness [sigma] and chemical potential [mu]) suggest that the thiolate state of cysteine residues is the corresponding adduct target for AA (Lopachin et al., 2007a). This information is useful in understanding the differences discussed in this section between the types of adducts formed by AA and GA (e.g., hemoglobin and/or DNA) and the binding rates.

3.1. ABSORPTION

Hemoglobin adducts as a biomarker of exposure/absorption

Numerous studies, including a recent study by Fennell et al. (2005), support the use of acrylamide hemoglobin adducts as a biomarker of exposure. (See the Metabolism Section 3.3 for a detailed discussion of the chemistry of AA and GA hemoglobin adducts, and GA DNA adducts). Estimates of exposure using hemoglobin adduct levels are based on the assumption that a measured adduct level represents a steady state level from a continuous exposure to acrylamide over the previous 120 days, which is the average life span of a red blood cell. Fennell et al. (2005) calculated AA exposure by using the results of the toxicokinetic study described above in 24 volunteer adult males. The estimated average daily background exposure to AA was 1.26 µg/kg-day based on the subject's preexposure background AA-hemoglobin-terminal-valine adduct levels (AAVal) (averaging about 80 fmol/mg globin). In an occupational

exposure study, Hagmar et al. (2001) reported a background range of 20–70 fmol AAVal/mg globin in the unexposed reference group. Using the Hagmar et al. (2001) lower range and their observed average as an upper value (i.e., a range of 20–80 fmol AAVal/mg globin), Fennell et al. (2005) estimated a daily AA intake of 0.31–1.26 μ g/kg-day. For a 70 kg adult this translates into a total daily intake of 22–88 μ g of AA. As can be seen in Table 2-3, many of the estimates of daily intakes in adults based on exposure estimates in foods are in the 0.4–0.8 μ g/kg-day range, suggesting that adults with higher adduct levels may be exposed to AA from sources other than food (e.g., smoking, occupational, or from an as yet unknown source).

Detection of hemoglobin adducts of AA in workers exposed via inhalation and dermal exposure provides qualitative evidence of absorption by these routes and suggests that dermal exposure was the predominant route of absorption in these workers (Hagmar et al., 2001; Bergmark et al., 1993). Hemoglobin adduct levels were measured in 41 Chinese workers who were exposed to acrylamide for 0.1-8 years (Bergmark et al., 1993). Adducts measured in this study were those at N-terminal valine residues in hemoglobin. Workers were involved in the production of acrylamide (via the hydration of acrylonitrile) and polyacrylamide. The adduct levels in exposed workers ranged from 0.3 to 34 nmol acrylamide/g hemoglobin. Hemoglobin adducts of AA were not detected in blood samples from 10 control workers from the same city who had not been exposed to AA (or acrylonitrile). Blood samples from 5 of the 41 exposed workers were also analyzed for hemoglobin adducts of GA (a principal metabolite of AA in both humans and animals) (see Section 3.3). There was a statistically significant linear relationship between levels of hemoglobin adducts of AA and GA in these five workers; the ratio between GA and AA adducts was approximately 3:10. Average levels of AA in air samples were 1.52 and 0.73 mg/m³ for workplaces involved with polymerization and synthesis processes, respectively. Workers involved in these processes, however, showed average hemoglobin adduct levels of acrylamide of 7.3 ± 3.4 nmol/g hemoglobin (n = 12, polymerization) and 14.7 ± 10.6 nmol/g hemoglobin (n = 14, synthesis). The study authors calculated the levels of hemoglobin adducts of AA in these workers that would have resulted from the observed exposure concentrations, based on an assumption that exposure was only via inhalation (as well as additional assumptions)¹, and derived levels of 0.93 (instead of 7.3) nmol/g hemoglobin for the polymerization workers and 0.44 (instead of 14.7) nmol/g hemoglobin for synthesis workers. Thus, Bergmark et al. (1993) state that the observed and predicted adduct levels were

¹ The calculation assumed that (1) adducts are stable during the life of erythrocytes; (2) the life span of human erythrocytes is about 120 days (17 weeks); (3) the second-order reaction rate constant for the reaction of acrylamide with N-terminal value residues in human hemoglobin is 4.4×10^{-6} L/g Hb/hour (based on in vitro experiments); (4) the human ventilation rate is 0.2 L/min-kg; and 5) inhaled acrylamide is 100% absorbed.

inconsistent with exposure only via inhalation and hypothesize that dermal exposure was the predominant route of absorption in these workers.

Hagmar et al. (2001) measured hemoglobin adducts in a group of 210 tunnel construction workers who were occupationally exposed for 2 months without personal protection devices to a chemical grouting agent containing AA and N-methylolacrylamide. An important caveat in interpreting the hemoglobin adduct data relative to AA absorption is that both AA and N-methylolacrylamide form the same N-(2-carbamoylethyl)valine adduct in hemoglobin and subsequent chemical measures of adduct levels cannot distinguish which parent compound formed the adduct (Fennell et al., 2003) (see additional discussion in the next section). Blood samples were drawn within a month after construction work was completed and analyzed for levels of N-terminal value adducts. Workers were expected to have experienced dermal exposure to varying extents, as well as inhalation exposure. Quantitative exposure data were limited to two personal air samples showing concentrations of 0.27 and 0.34 mg/m^3 for the sum of AA and N-methylolacrylamide; further analysis suggested that the air contained a 50:50 mixture of these compounds. Hemoglobin adduct levels for 18 nonsmoking unexposed reference subjects varied between 0.02 and 0.07 nmol/g globin. The frequency distribution of adduct levels in the 210 tunnel workers was as follows: 47 with <0.08 nmol/g globin; 89 with 0.08-0.29 nmol/g globin; 36 with 0.3-1.0 nmol/g globin; and 38 with 1.0-17.7 nmol/g globin. Adduct levels were determined in blood samples collected at intervals up to 5 months after cessation of exposure from five workers with initial levels ranging from about 2.2 to 4.4 nmol/g. Adduct levels decreased to background levels within 120 days, consistent with the approximate 120-day life of red blood cells.

Human oral/dermal exposure

Fennell et al. (2005) evaluated metabolism and hemoglobin adduct formation following oral and dermal administration of AA to 24 adult male volunteers. The 24 volunteers were all male Caucasians (with the exception of one Native American), weighing between 71 and 101 kg, and between 26 and 68 years of age. All volunteers were aspermic (i.e., clinically sterile because of the potential for adverse effects of AA on sperm), and all volunteers had not used tobacco products for the past 6 months. The study was conducted in accordance with the Code of Federal Regulations (CFRs) governing protection of human subjects (21 CFR 50), Institute Review Board (IRB) (21 CFR 56), and retention of data (21 CFR 312) as applicable and consistent with the Declaration of Helsinki. The study used radiolabeled [1,2,3-¹³C]-acrylamide, and, prior to the conduct of exposures in humans, a low-dose study protocol was evaluated in rats administered 3 mg/kg [1,2,3-¹³C]-acrylamide by gavage. The [1,2,3-¹³C]-acrylamide human

study protocol was reviewed and approved by IRBs both at the researchers' facility (Research Triangle Institute International), where the sample analysis occurred, and by the clinical research center conducting the study (Covance Clinical Research Unit [CRU]). The health of the volunteers, exposed under controlled conditions, was continually monitored.

Acrylamide was administered orally in an aqueous solution (single dose of 0.5, 1.0, or 3.0 mg/kg) or dermally (three daily doses of 3.0 mg/kg) to the male volunteers. Approximately 34% of the administered dose of AA was recovered in the total urinary metabolites within 24 hours of administration, representing a lower bound on total absorption from the oral route. No other estimate of total absorption from an oral exposure was reported.

The results of the dermal exposure in Fennell et al. (2005) indicate much lower levels of AAVal and GA-hemoglobin-terminal-valine adduct (GAVal) formed than with an equivalent dose via the oral route. Based on total amount administered, formation of AAVal after dermal exposure was much lower than after oral administration (4.9 nmol/g globin/mmol AA/kg vs. 74.7 nmol/g globin/mmol AA/kg bw). These numbers can be used to estimate that approximately 6.6% of the dermally administered dose was absorbed compared to a comparable orally administered dose, assuming that there was 100% oral absorption. Similarly, dermal exposure also resulted in much lower formation of GAVal, 9.7% of that formed following oral exposure. However, approximately 66% of the dermally administered dose of AA was recovered in the occluding solutions (data not included in the report) and thus was not systemically absorbed on dermal administration. This suggests that a maximum of 3% of the dermally applied dose could have been absorbed. An estimate of dermal absorption based on the formation of AAVal adducts normalized to the absorbed dose yields a value of 17.0% of the amount formed following oral exposure (12.7 nmol/g globin/mmol AA/kg for dermal vs. 74.7 nmol/g globin/mmol AA/kg for oral). Similarly, GAVal formation following dermal exposure was 25.3% of that formed on oral administration (7.3 pmol/g globin/mmol AA/kg for dermal vs. 28.9 pmol/g globin/mmol AA/kg for oral). This suggests that as much as 83% of the AA penetrating the skin was not available systemically. An alternative hypothesis is that AA and GA clearance is different following dermal exposure, resulting in a lower area under the curve (AUC) and lower adduct formation on a mg/kg basis. Ongoing study of urinary metabolites in dermally exposed individuals may help resolve the reason(s) for these differences.

Fuhr et al. (2006) evaluated the toxicokinetics of acrylamide in six young healthy volunteers after the consumption of a meal containing 0.94 mg of acrylamide. Urine was collected up to 72 hours thereafter. Unchanged acrylamide, its mercapturic acid metabolite N-acetyl-S-(2-carbamoylethyl)cysteine (AAMA), its epoxy derivative GA, and the respective metabolite of GA, N-acetyl-S-(2-hydroxy-2-carbamoylethyl)cysteine (GAMA), were quantified

in the urine by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. Toxicokinetic variables were obtained by noncompartmental methods. Overall, $60.3 \pm 11.2\%$ of the dose was recovered in the urine. Although no GA was found, unchanged acrylamide, AAMA, and GAMA accounted for urinary excretion of (mean \pm SD) 4.4 ± 1.5 , 50.0 ± 9.4 , and $5.9 \pm 1.2\%$ of the dose, respectively. These results indicate that most of the acrylamide ingested with food is absorbed in humans.

Boettcher et al. (2006b) reported the influence of an AA-free diet on the excretion of urinary mercapturic acid metabolites derived from AA in three healthy volunteers who fasted for 48 hours. Urinary AA mercapturic acid metabolites were considerably reduced after 48 hours of fasting, with levels even well below the median level in nonsmokers. These results indicate that the acrylamide in the diet is the main source of environmental AA exposure in humans, apart from smoking.

Bjellaas et al. (2007) reported urinary mercapturic acid derivatives of AA and in a clinical study comprising of 53 subjects. Median intakes (range) of AA were estimated based on 24 hour dietary recall as 21 (13–178) μ g for nonsmokers and 26 (12–67) μ g for smokers. The median dietary exposure to acrylamide was estimated to be 0.47 (range 0.17–1.16) μ g /kg body weight per day. The median (range) total excretion of acrylamide in urine during 24 hours was 16 (7–47) μ g acrylamide for nonsmokers and 74 (38–106) μ g acrylamide for smokers. In a multiple linear regression analysis, the urinary excretion of acrylamide metabolites correlated statistically significant with intake of aspartic acid, protein, starch and coffee. Consumption of citrus fruits correlated negatively with excretion of acrylamide metabolites.

Animal oral exposure

Studies in rats indicate that orally administered AA is rapidly and extensively absorbed by the gastrointestinal tract (Doerge et al., 2005b; Fennell et al., 2005; Kadry et al., 1999; Dow Chemical Co., 1984; Dixit et al., 1982; Miller et al., 1982).

Doerge et al. (2005b) compared the toxicokinetics of AA and GA in serum and tissues of male and female $B6C3F_1$ mice following a single dose by intravenous (i.v.) injection or gavage of 0.1 mg/kg AA or a comparable dose of 0.1 mg/kg AA from a feeding exposure for 30 minutes. Study groups also received an equimolar amount of GA from either an i.v. injection or gavage dose. AA was rapidly absorbed following oral dosing, widely distributed to tissues, and efficiently converted to GA. Liver levels of GA-DNA adducts were increased at 8 hours postdosing, which is a time point where AA has been eliminated from the serum. Oral GA dosing also resulted in rapid absorption, wide distribution to tissues, and liver DNA adduct levels that were approximately 40% higher than those from an equimolar dose of orally administered AA. Based on the kinetics of AA following i.v. injection, oral administration from

the diet attenuated AA bioavailability to 23% of the i.v. dose, and aqueous gavage attenuated AA bioavailability to 32–52%. In contrast, oral exposure resulted in higher relative internal levels of GA compared with levels following an i.v. exposure, likely due to a first-pass effect but possibly the result of some other kinetic change.

Fennell et al. (2005) administered 3 mg/kg $[1,2,3^{-13}C]$ -AA by gavage to male F344 rats (n = 4). The total amount of AA metabolites recovered in urine by 24 hours after dosing was 50%, which is similar to that reported by Miller et al. (1982) and by Kadry et al. (1999).

The time course and extent of urinary elimination of radioactivity from male F344 rats (n = 3) during a 7-day period following administration of either a single gavage or an i.v. dose of 10 mg/kg [2,3-¹⁴C]-acrylamide (in water vehicle) was essentially the same, indicating that 100% of the oral dose was absorbed (Miller et al., 1982). The time courses of urinary elimination of radioactivity for groups of rats (n = 3) given single oral doses of 1, 10, or 100 mg/kg [2,3-¹⁴C]-acrylamide were also similar, indicating that the extent of absorption was not affected by dose level in this experimental range. The rapidity of absorption was demonstrated by observations that peak plasma levels of radioactivity were attained by 1 hour after administration and that 53–67% of administered radioactivity was detected in the urine collected within 24 hours of administration (Miller et al., 1982).

Similar results indicating rapid and extensive oral absorption were reported for studies with male Sprague-Dawley rats (n = 5–7) given single oral doses of 50 mg/kg $[1-^{14}C]$ -acrylamide (Kadry et al., 1999). Radioactivity was detected in blood 5 minutes after administration, and peak plasma levels of radioactivity occurred at 38 minutes after administration. Approximately 51% of administered radioactivity was detected in urine collected within 24 hours of administration (Kadry et al., 1999).

Animal inhalation exposure

Animal studies indicate that inhaled AA is readily absorbed (Sumner et al., 2003). Male F344 rats and B6C3F₁ mice were exposed to approximately 3 ppm of a mixture of [¹³C]-labeled acrylamide and [¹⁴C]-labeled acrylamide vapor via nose-only inhalation for 6 hours. Selected rats and mice were sacrificed immediately following the exposure period for determination of [¹⁴C] content in tissues, an indicator of the extent of absorption of inhaled AA. The remaining rats and mice were monitored for 24-hour elimination of radiolabeled AA and metabolites via urine, feces, and expired air. Immediately following the 6-hour exposure period, approximately 18 and 8 µmol of [¹⁴C]-equivalents were recovered from tissues and carcasses of the rats and mice, respectively. At the end of the 24-hour postexposure period, 42% of the total recovered radioactivity was in urine, feces, and nose-tube and cage washes of rats; less than 3% was in

exhaled air; and 56% remained in the body. In mice, 51% was recovered in urine, feces, and nose-tube and cage washes; <3% was in exhaled air; and 46% remained in the body. Fractional absorption could not be determined from the presented data because ventilation rates were apparently not measured.²

Animal dermal exposure

Studies on dermal absorption in animals indicate that considerable amounts of AA can be absorbed by the skin within short time frames (Sumner et al., 2003; Frantz et al., 1995; Dow Chemical Co., 1984).

In male F344 rats, 14–30% (mean 22%) of an occluded dermal dose of [2,3-¹⁴C]-labeled acrylamide (162 mg/kg in distilled water) was absorbed during a 24-hour exposure period (Sumner et al., 2003). By 24 hours postapplication, approximately 44% of recovered radioactivity (excluding material from dermal patch and wash of application site at termination of exposure) was in the urine, feces, and cage washes; 3% was in exhaled air; and 53% remained in tissues.

Frantz et al. (1995) applied a 0.5% aqueous solution of $[^{14}C]$ -labeled acrylamide to the skin of male F344 rats at a single dose level of 2 mg/kg. The test material penetrated the skin and was systemically distributed in male F344 rats within 24 hours; about 31% of the applied dose penetrated the skin at the dosing site (was not removed by washing) and was considered available for further absorption.

Peak plasma concentrations of radioactivity occurred at about 2 and 5 hours after dermal administration of 2 and 50 mg/kg to F344 rats, respectively, indicating rapid absorption by the skin (Dow Chemical Co., 1984). Aqueous solutions (1%) of [1,3-¹⁴C]-labeled acrylamide in a nonionic detergent were applied at 2 or 50 mg/kg to areas of clipped skin on the backs of groups of three male F344 rats. Radioactivity was measured in plasma and urine samples collected for 48 hours following administration. The peak concentration following administration of 50 mg/kg was about 20-fold higher than the peak concentration following administration of 2 mg/kg. Following attainment of peak concentrations, plasma concentrations declined with time, showing slopes that were similar to slopes of curves following i.v. administration of 2 or

² If reference minute ventilation rates for rats (0.7 cm³/min-gram) or mice (1.5 cm³/min-gram) and midpoints of the reported ranges of the experimental animal body weights (211 grams, rats, and 30 grams, mice) are used, the amounts of acrylamide inhaled in the 6-hour exposure period are calculated to be 6.5 and 2 µmol acrylamide/exposure period for rats and mice, respectively. Given that the measured amounts of recovered acrylamide equivalents were about three- to fourfold higher than these calculated values, it is expected that the animals had much higher minute ventilation rates during exposure than reference values. Sample calculations: 3 ppm × 71.08/24.45 = 8.7 mg/m³; (8.7mg/m³) × (0.7cm³/min-gram) × (60 min/hour) × (6 hours/exposure) × (211 grams/rat) × (m³/10⁶ cm³) × (mmol/71.08 mg) × (10³µmol/mmol) = 6.5 µmol/rat-exposure period.

50 mg/kg doses of $[1,3^{-14}\text{C}]$ -labeled acrylamide. The fraction of dermally applied compound that was absorbed was not reported.

Results of several in vitro studies describe dermal absorption of acrylamide. Frantz et al. (1995) applied a 0.5% [¹⁴C]-labeled acrylamide in aqueous solution to excised skin discs from male F344 rats and noted considerable dermal penetration after 24 hours. Approximately 54% of the radioactivity was recovered in effluents and 13% was retained in washed skin. Diembeck et al. (1998) applied a 0.5% [¹⁴C]-labeled acrylamide in aqueous solution to excised sections of female pig skin for 24 hours. Approximately 6% of the applied dose was found on the skin surface; 17.5% in the horny layer, 2% in the epidermis, 52.5% in the dermis, and 22% in the receptor fluid. Marty and Vincent (1998) applied [¹⁴C]-labeled acrylamide (in an aqueous gel of 2% polyacrylamide) to biopsied human abdominal skin for 24 hours at acrylamide concentrations of 1.28 or 2 ppm. Approximately 28 and 21% of the applied doses was recovered in dermis and epidermis. The authors estimated total absorption of acrylamide to be 33.2 and 26.7% at low and high concentration, respectively, based on radioactivity recovered collectively from the receptor phase, epidermis, and dermis.

3.2. DISTRIBUTION

No human data on distribution of acrylamide were identified. Results from several animal studies indicate that, following absorption, radioactivity from radiolabeled AA is distributed among tissues with no specific accumulation in any tissues other than red blood cells (Barber et al., 2001; Kadry et al., 1999; Crofton et al., 1996; Marlowe et al., 1986; Ikeda et al., 1985; Dow Chemical Co., 1984; Miller et al., 1982; Edwards, 1975; Hashimoto and Aldridge, 1970) and late-staged spermatids (Sega et al., 1989).

Animal oral exposure

Following 13 daily oral doses of $[1,3^{-14}C]$ -labeled acrylamide (at levels of 0.05 or 30 mg/kg), tissue concentrations of acrylamide in male F344 rats were similar among tissues with the exception of red blood cells, which showed higher concentrations, presumably due to the formation of hemoglobin adducts of AA or GA (Dow Chemical Co., 1984). In rats exposed to 30 mg/kg, mean concentrations (µg equivalents [¹⁴C]-acrylamide per gram of tissue) were as follows: red blood cells, 383.70; liver, 87.74; kidneys, 70.43; epididymides, 70.60; testes, 67.14; sciatic nerve, 54.00; brain, 53.52; carcass, 47.56; skin, 39.11; and plasma, 16.45. In rats exposed to 0.05 mg/kg, the mean concentration in red blood cells was 1.26 µg/g [¹⁴C]-acrylamide equivalents (approximately 61% of the dose that was recovered from all tissues) compared with

a range of 0.07–0.13 μ g/g [¹⁴C]-acrylamide equivalents in the other tissues (Dow Chemical Co., 1984).

In Sprague-Dawley rats given single oral doses of 50 mg/kg $[1-^{14}C]$ -labeled acrylamide, tissue concentrations of radioactivity, 28 and 144 hours after administration, were indicative of wide distribution of AA metabolites among tissues with no evidence for accumulation in toxicity targets, i.e., AA bound, but did not accumulate in erythrocytes or neural tissue (Kadry et al., 1999). At 28 hours, brain, thyroid, testes, adrenal, pancreas, thymus, liver, kidney, heart, and spleen showed a narrow range of mean concentrations (based on values for five rats), 0.05–0.10% of initial dose/g. Higher concentrations were noted in the skin, bone marrow, stomach, and lung, ranging from 0.15 to 0.18% of initial dose/g, and only the gastric contents showed a markedly higher concentration, 1.37% of initial dose/g. At 144 hours after administration, tissue concentrations were uniformly low for tissues including the gastric contents, ranging from 0.01 to 0.05% of initial dose/g, with the exception of skin, bone marrow, and lung, which had mean concentrations of 0.06, 0.08, and 0.19% of initial dose/g, respectively.

Animal dermal exposure

Following 24-hour dermal exposure of male F344 rats to [¹⁴C]-labeled acrylamide (150 mg/kg), blood cells had the highest concentration of AA equivalents (excluding skin at the site of exposure), about 1 μ mol/g (71 μ g equivalents/g), followed by skin at the nondosing site (~28 μ g/g); liver, spleen, testes, and kidneys (~21 μ g/g); lungs, thymus, brain, and epididymis (~14 μ g/g); and fat (<4 μ g/g) (Sumner et al., 2003).

Animal inhalation exposure

Immediately following a 6-hour inhalation exposure of male F344 rats to 3 ppm $[^{14}C]/[^{13}C]$ -labeled acrylamide vapor, blood cells had the highest concentration (~7 µg/g), followed by concentrations in testes, skin, liver, and kidneys (~6 µg/g) and brain, spleen, lung, and epididymis (~4 µg/g) (Sumner et al., 2003). Immediately following a 6-hour inhalation exposure to the same concentration, male B6C3F₁ mice showed the following order of decreasing AA equivalent concentrations: testes (~14 µg/g), skin and liver (~11 µg/g), kidney (~10 µg/g), epididymis (~8 µg/g), brain (~7 µg/g), lung and blood (~6 µg/g), and fat (~5 µg/g). These differences in distribution pattern between rats and mice following inhalation exposure are unexplained, but more data are needed to support a consistent difference and to determine the kinetic determinants.

Animal intravenous or intraperitoneal administration

Similar results were reported in male albino Porton rats injected with single i.v. doses of 100 mg/kg $[1-^{14}C]$ -labeled AA (Hashimoto and Aldridge, 1970). Twenty-four hours and 14 days after dosing, tissue concentrations of radioactivity (µg equivalents/g) were as follows: whole blood, 90.9 and 54.7; kidney, 36.1 and 6.5; liver, 26.1 and 4.0; brain, 18.6 and 5.1; spinal cord, 12.4 and 5.0; sciatic nerve, 10.6 and 4.0; and plasma, 4.5 and 0.4 (Hashimoto and Aldridge, 1970).

Doerge et al. (2005a) measured DNA adducts following a single intraperitoneal (i.p.) administration of AA and GA to adult $B6C3F_1$ mice and F344 rats at 50 mg AA/kg or an equimolar dose of GA (61 mg/kg). GA-derived DNA adducts of adenine and guanine were formed in all tissues examined for both AA and GA dosing, including both target tissues identified in rodent carcinogenicity bioassays and nontarget tissues (including liver, brain, thyroid, leukocytes, mammary gland, and testis in rats), and in liver, lung, kidney, leukocytes, and testis in mice,; indicating widespread distribution.

Concentrations of radiolabel did not differ in neural tissues (brain, sciatic nerve, spinal cord) and nonneural tissues (fat, liver, kidney, testes, lung, small intestine, skin, muscle), following single i.v. injections of 10 mg/kg [2,3-¹⁴C]-labeled AA into groups of three male F344 rats sacrificed at time intervals ranging from 15 minutes to 7 days after dosing (Miller et al., 1982). Radioactivity was rapidly distributed to all tissues and eliminated from most tissues (and plasma) with biphasic kinetics showing half-lives of elimination of about 5 hours or less for the first phase and about 8 days or less for the second phase. Peak concentrations of radiolabel were observed by 1 hour after dose administration in liver, fat, kidney, nervous tissues, and testes. Red blood cells did not show an elimination of the radioactivity with time up to 70 hours after dose administration, consistent with the formation of AA and GA adducts with hemoglobin. Less than 1% of the dose was contained in the brain, spinal cord, or sciatic nerve at any time point, indicating no special accumulation of AA or metabolites in these targets of AA toxicity (Miller et al., 1982).

Following i.p. injection of [¹⁴C]-labeled acrylamide (125 mg/kg) into male (C3H \times 101)F1 mice, peak levels of radioactivity appeared 8–12 days postdosing in sperm heads recovered from the vasa deferentia and caudal epididymides from a 3-week period of monitoring (Sega et al., 1989). Essentially all of the covalently bound radioactivity in spermheads was shown to be alkylated protamine; alkylation of DNA represented generally <0.5% of the spermhead alkylation radioactivity. The time course of alkylation of sperm-head protamine paralleled the time course of AA-induced dominant lethality in mice injected with the same dose (125 mg/kg) of AA (Sega et al., 1989). In another study using whole-body autoradiography of Swiss-Webster mice orally exposed to [¹⁴C]-labeled acrylamide, (120 mg/kg), radioactivity

moved through the testis and the reproductive tract in a sequence that paralleled the movement of spermatids (Marlowe et al., 1986).

Further evidence that AA does not accumulate in most tissues is provided by observations that, 30 minutes after the final i.p. dose in a daily repeated exposure from 10 to 90 days, at dose levels between 3.3 and 30 mg/kg-day, AA concentrations in rat sciatic nerves or in serum were similar to concentrations in rats exposed to that dose for the first time (Crofton et al., 1996). The ranges and durations of exposure to groups of three male Long-Evans hooded rats in this study were 0, 7.5, 15, or 30 mg/kg-day for 10 days of exposure; 0, 5, 10, 15, or 20 mg/kg-day for 30 days; and 0, 3.3, 6.7, or 10 mg/kg-day for 90 days.

Results from studies with pregnant animals indicate that absorbed AA is distributed across the placenta (Marlowe et al., 1986; Ikeda et al., 1985, 1983). Two hours following i.v. administration of 5 mg/kg $[1-^{14}C]$ -labeled AA to pregnant beagle dogs (n = 6), concentrations of radioactivity in blood, brain, heart, and lung were similar in both maternal and fetal tissues (Ikeda et al., 1985). Average concentrations of radioactivity in maternal tissues were only about 1.1- to 1.2-fold higher than those in fetal tissues. Comparable results were found with pregnant miniature pigs treated similarly (Ikeda et al., 1985). Whole-body radiographs of pregnant Swiss-Webster mice, 3 or 24 hours following gavage administration of 120 mg/kg $[2,3-^{14}C]$ -labeled AA on gestation day (GD) 13 or 17, showed uniform distribution of radioactivity among fetal tissues that was similar to that seen in maternal tissues, with the exception of increased label in fetal brain regions at 13 days and in fetal skin regions at 17 days (Marlowe et al., 1986). The autoradiographic technique used, however, provided only qualitative information.

3.3. METABOLISM

Human metabolism

In the Fennell et al. (2005) study on 24 adult male volunteers previously discussed in the absorption section, approximately 86% of the urinary metabolites were derived from glutathione (GSH) conjugation and excreted as N-acetyl-S-(3-amino-3-oxopropyl)cysteine and its S-oxide. GA, glyceramide (2,3-dihydroxypropionamide), and low levels of N-acetyl-S-(3-amino-2-hydroxy-3-oxopropyl)cysteine were detected in urine. On oral administration, a linear dose response was observed for AAVal and GAVal in hemoglobin. The authors reported that the urinary metabolites of AA in humans showed similarities and differences with data obtained previously in the rat and mouse. The main pathway of metabolism in humans was via direct glutathione conjugation, forming N-acetyl-S-(3-amino-3-oxopropyl)cysteine, as observed in the rat and mouse, and its S-oxide, which has not been reported previously. Epoxidation to GA was the other important pathway, with glyceramide formed as a major metabolite in humans. GA

was detected in low amounts. The glutathione conjugation of GA, which is a major pathway in rodents, appeared to occur at very low levels in humans. Metabolism via GA (i.e., derived from GA and glyceramide) in humans was approximately 12% of the total urinary metabolites. This is considerably lower than the amount of GA derived metabolites reported for oral administration of AA in rats (28% at 50 mg/kg, [Sumner et al., 2003]) and in mice (59% at 50 mg/kg [Sumner et al., 1992]).

Boettcher et al. (2005) measured the mercapturic acid of AA and its epoxide GA, i.e., N-acetyl-S-(2-carbamoylethyl)-L-cysteine (AAMA) and N-(R,S)-acetyl-S-(carbamoyl-2-hydroxyethyl)-L-cysteine (GAMA) in human urine as biomarkers of the internal exposure to acrylamide in the general population. The median levels in smokers (n = 13) were found to be about four times higher than in nonsmokers (n = 16) with median levels of 127 µg/L vs. 29 µg/L for AAMA and 19 µg/L vs. 5 µg/L for GAMA. The level of AAMA in the occupationally nonexposed collective (n = 29) ranged from 3 to 338 µg/L, the level of GAMA from below level of detection to 45 µg/L. The authors noted that the ratio of GAMA:AAMA varied from 0.03 to 0.53; the median was 0.16, which is in reasonable agreement with results of different studies on rats. They concluded that the metabolic conversion of AA to its genotoxic epoxide GA seems to occur to a comparable extent in rats and humans. They also measured the hemoglobin adducts of AA and GA in the blood of 26 participants. These results were compared with those of the mercapturic acids to deduce a steady state for AA uptake and demonstrate a higher reactivity of GA in comparison to AA towards hemoglobin compared to GSH in humans.

Boettcher et al. (2006a) investigated the human metabolism of AA to AAMA and GAMA in a healthy male volunteer who received a single dose of about 1 mg deuterium-labelled acrylamide (d(3)-AA), representing 13 μ g/kg body weight, in drinking water. Urine samples before dosing and within 46 hours after the dose were analyzed for d(3)-AAMA and d(3)-GAMA by LC-ESI-MS/MS. Total recovery in urine after 24 hours was about 51% as the sum of AAMA and GAMA and was similar to recoveries in rats (53–66%) given a gavage dose of 0.1 mg/kg bw (Doerge et al., 2007). After 2 days AAMA accounted for 52% of the total AA dose, and was the major metabolite of AA in humans. GAMA accounted for 5%, and appeared as a minor metabolite of AA. A urinary ratio of 0.1 was observed for GAMA/AAMA compared to previously reported values of 0.2 for rats and 0.5 for mice (Doerge et al., 2005a). The authors conclude that the metabolic fate of AA in humans was more similar to that in rats than in mice as previously demonstrated in terms of haemoglobin adducts.

Fuhr et al. (2006) evaluated the urinary levels of AA, AAMA, GA, and GAMA in six young healthy volunteers after the consumption of a meal containing 0.94 mg of acrylamide. Urine was collected up to 72 hours thereafter. No GA was found. Unchanged acrylamide,

AAMA, and GAMA accounted for urinary excretion of (mean \pm SD) 4.4 \pm 1.5, 50.0 \pm 9.4, and 5.9 \pm 1.2% of the dose, respectively. Conjugation with glutathione exceeded the formation of the reactive metabolite GA. The data suggests an at least twofold and fourfold lower relative internal exposure for GA from dietary acrylamide in humans compared with rats or mice, respectively.

Paulsson et al. (2005) evaluated variability in human metabolism of acylamide and glycidamide due to genetic polymorphic enzymes in the detoxification of acrylamide and its metabolite glycidamide. Enzymes that enhance conjugation with glutathione (GSH), the glutathione transferases (GSTs), may influence the detoxification of both acrylamide and glycidamide, whereas the enzyme epoxide hydrolase (EH) should only catalyse the hydrolysis of glycidamide. Paulsson et al. estimated the internal doses of acrylamide or glycidamide measured as specific adducts to hemoglobin (Hb) in blood samples after in vitro incubation with these compounds. Blood samples from individuals with different genotypes for GSTT1 and GSTM1 were studied. No significant differences in adduct levels depending on genotype were noted. In a parallel experiment, incubation with ethylene oxide was used as positive control. In this experiment individuals lacking GSTT1 showed lower adduct level increments from ethylene oxide than individuals lacking GSTT1. Furthermore, addition of ethacrynic acid or laurylamine, compounds which inhibit GST and EH, respectively, did not affect the adduct levels. Based on their results, the authors suggest that neither GSTs nor EH has any significant effect on the blood dose, measured as Hb-adducts over time, after exposure to acrylamide or glycidamide.

Animal studies

Results from rat and mouse studies also indicate that acrylamide is rapidly metabolized and excreted predominantly in the urine as metabolites (Twaddle et al., 2004; Sumner et al., 2003, 1999, 1992; Dow Chemical Co., 1984; Dixit et al., 1982; Miller et al., 1982; Edwards, 1975). Formation of AA and GA hemoglobin adducts in rats was initially reported by Bergmark et al. (1991) and second rate constants have been subsequently derived by in vitro and in vivo studies (Bergmark et al. 1993; Fennell et al., 2005; Tareke et al., 2006; Törnqvist et al., 2008). Bergmark et al. (1991) reported that the hemoglobin binding index of AA to cysteine was found to be 6,400 pmol/g Hb/µmol AA/kg, higher than for any other substance studied so far in the rat, and the hemoglobin binding index of GA to cysteine was 1,820 pmol/g Hb/µmol GA/kg. The difference between AA and GA rates was proposed as being due primarily to a lower reactivity of GA than AA toward Hb-cysteine and a shorter half-life for GA in blood (based on determinations of these values in this study). The more recent studies have focused on the AA and GA binding to the N-terminal valine residue (Bergmark et al. 1993; Fennell et al., 2005; Tareke et al., 2006; Törnqvist et al., 2008).

A metabolic scheme for acrylamide, based on results from these and other studies, is illustrated in Figure 3-1. AA reacts readily with glutathione to form a glutathione conjugate, which is further metabolized to N-acetyl-S-(3-amino-3-oxopropyl)cysteine or S-(3-amino-3-oxopropyl)cysteine. N-acetyl-S-(3-amino-3-oxopropyl)cysteine has been identified as the major urinary metabolite of acrylamide in male F344 rats exposed to oral doses of 1–100 mg/kg $[2,3-^{14}C]$ -labeled acrylamide (Miller et al., 1982) and in male F344 rats and B6C3F₁ mice exposed to oral doses of 50 mg/kg $[1,2,3-^{13}C]$ -labeled acrylamide (Sumner et al., 1992).



Note: Processes involving several steps are represented with broken arrows. Abbreviations: Hb, hemoglobin; GSH, reduced glutathione; N-AcCys, N-acetylcysteine.

Sources: Adapted from Sumner et al. (1999); Calleman (1996); IARC (1994a).

Table 3-1 lists the relative amounts of AA metabolites determined by [13 C]-NMR analysis of urine collected for 24 hours in the latter of these studies. In another study with wild-type C57BL/6N × Sv129 mice exposed to 50 mg/kg [1,2,3- 13 C]-labeled acrylamide, N-acetyl-S-(3-amino-3-oxopropyl)cysteine and S-(3-amino-3-oxopropyl)cysteine accounted for 29 and 20% of total metabolites excreted within 24 hours in the urine (Sumner et al., 1999).

Table 3-1. Urinary metabolites collected for 24 hours following oral administration of $[1,2,3^{-13}C]$ -labeled acrylamide (50 mg/kg) to male F344 rats or male B6C3F₁ mice

	Percent of total metabolites excreted in urine in 24 hours (mean + SD, $n = 3$)		
Metabolite ^a	Rat	Mouse ^b	
From AA precursor			
N-acetyl-S-(3-amino-3-oxopropyl)cysteine	67.4 ± 3.6	41.2 ± 2.2	
GA	5.5 ± 1.0	16.8 ± 2.1	
From GA precursor			
N-acetyl-S-(3-amino-2-hydroxy-3-oxopropyl)cysteine	15.7 ± 1.3	21.3 ± 0.6	
N-acetyl-S-(1-carbamoyl-2-hydroxyethyl)cysteine	9.0 ± 1.1	11.7 ± 0.6	
2,3-Dihydroxypropionamide	2.4 ± 0.7	5.3 ± 1.2	

^{a13}C-NMR analysis was used to detect, identify, and quantify metabolites in urine. Urinary metabolites accounted for about 50% of the administered dose in both species. Unchanged acrylamide was detected in urine but was not quantified. In other studies with F344 rats exposed to $[2,3-^{14}C]$ -labeled acrylamide, less than 2% of administered radiolabel was excreted in urine and bile as unchanged acrylamide (Miller et al., 1982).

^bIn mice, an epoxide degradation product accounted for 4% of the total metabolites excreted.

Source: Sumner et al. (1992).

Another initial step, catalyzed by CYP2E1, involves oxidation of AA to the epoxide derivative, GA. GA (either at the number 2 or 3 carbon) can react with GSH to form conjugates that are further metabolized to N-acetyl-S-(3-amino-2-hydroxy-3-oxopropyl)cysteine or N-acetyl-S-(1-carbamoyl-2-hydroxyethyl)cysteine. GA may also undergo hydrolysis, perhaps catalyzed by epoxide hydrolases (Sumner et al., 1999, 1992), leading to the formation of 2,3-dihydroxypropionamide and 2,3-dihydroxypropionic acid. GA and metabolites (or degradation products) derived from it accounted for about 33 and 59% of the total metabolites excreted in rat and mouse urine within 24 hours, respectively (Table 3-2), indicating that, under these test conditions, the rate of transformation from AA to GA is about two-fold greater in mice than in rats. Similar results were reported in a study of metabolites in urine collected for 24 hours after 6-hour inhalation exposure (nose only) to 3 ppm acrylamide (Sumner et al., 2003). GA and metabolites derived from it accounted for 36 ± 2.4 and $73 \pm 3.7\%$ of total metabolites excreted in rat and mouse urine within 24 hours, respectively (Sumner et al., 2003).

Doroshyenko et al. (2009) investigated acrylamide toxicokinetics in 16 healthy volunteers in a four-period change-over trial and evaluated the respective role of cytochrome

P450 2E1 (CYP2E1) and GSTs. Participants ingested potato chips containing acrylamide (1 mg) without co-medication, after CYP2E1 inhibition (500 mg disulfiram, single dose) or induction (48 g/d ethanol for 1 week), and were phenotyped for CYP2E1 with chlorzoxazone (250 mg, single dose). Acrylamide-containing potato chips were prepared by frying 150g batches of selfprepared potato chips ("Princess" potatoes) at 190°C for 5 minutes. Unchanged acrylamide and the mercapturic acids N-acetyl- S-(2-carbamoylethyl)-cysteine (AAMA) and N-acetyl- S-(2hydroxy-2-carbamoylethyl)-cysteine (GAMA) accounted for urinary excretion [geometric mean (percent coefficient of variation)] of 2.9% (42), 65% (23), and 1.7% (65) of the acrylamide dose in the reference period. Hemoglobin adducts clearly increased following the acrylamide testmeal. The increases in cumulative amounts of acrylamide, AAMA, and GAMA excreted, and in AA adducts were significant during CYP2E1 blockade [point estimate (90% confidence interval)] to the 1.34-fold (1.14-1.58), 1.18-fold (1.02-1.36), 0.44-fold (0.31-0.61), and 1.08-fold (1.02-1.15) of the reference period, respectively, but were not changed significantly during moderate CYP2E1 induction. Individual baseline CYP2E1 activity, CYP2E1*6, GSTP1 313A>G and 341T>C single nucleotide polymorphisms, and GSTM1- and GSTT1-null genotypes had no major effect on acrylamide disposition. The changes in acrylamide toxicokinetics upon CYP2E1 blockade provide evidence that CYP2E1 is a major but not the only enzyme mediating acrylamide epoxidation in vivo to glycidamide in humans. The authors reported no obvious genetic risks or protective factors in xenobiotic-metabolizing enzymes could be determined for exposed subjects.

Age related increases in human CYP2E1 expression have been reported. Johnsrud et al. (2003) evaluated the content of CYP2E1 in human hepatic microsomes from samples spanning fetal (n = 73, 8-37 weeks) and postnatal (n = 165, 1 day–18 years) ages. Measurable immunodetectable CYP2E1 was seen in 18 of 49 second-trimester fetal samples (93-186 gestational days; median level = 0.35 pmol/mg microsomal protein) and 12 of 15 thirdtrimester samples (>186 days, median level = 6.7 pmol/mg microsomal protein). CYP2E1 in neonatal samples was low and less than that of infants 31–90 days of age, which was less than that of older infants, children, and young adults (median [range] = 8.8 [0-70]; 23.8 [10-43]; 41.4 [18–95] pmol/mg microsomal protein, respectively; each p < 0.001, analysis of variance, posthoc). Among those older than 90 days of age, CYP2E1 content was similar. A fourfold or greater intersubject variation was observed among samples from each age group, with the greatest variation, 80-fold, seen among neonatal samples. These results suggest that infants less than 90 days old may have decreased clearance of CYP2E1 substrates such as acrylamide (i.e., decreased levels of glycidamide) compared with older infants, children, and adults. However, actual differences in the total amount metabolized and parent compound cleared (and the resulting spectrum of adverse effects) would depend upon the delivery rate and substrate concentration relative to the value of the Michaelis-Menten constant (Km) for CYP2E1

(Lipscomb, 2004; Lipscomb et al., 2003). The higher the substrate concentration relative to Km, the more marked will be the influence of enzyme level (i.e., maximum activity level) on total clearance for a saturable enzyme like CYP2E1.

Results from mouse studies indicate that mouse CYP2E1 is the only CYP isozyme that catalyzes the oxidative formation of GA from AA. Following oral administration of single 50 mg/kg doses of [1,2,3-¹³C]-labeled AA, no evidence of metabolites formed through GA was found by $[^{13}C]$ -NMR analysis of urine collected for 24 hours from C57BL/6N × Sv129 mice devoid of CYP2E1 (CYP2E1 null) or wild-type mice of the same strain treated with the CYP2E1 inhibitor, aminobenzotriazole (ABT) (50 mg/kg i.p. injection 2 hours preexposure) (Sumner et al., 1999). In contrast, urine collected from wild-type mice contained considerable amounts of metabolites derived from GA (Sumner et al., 1999). With wild-type mice in this study, 22% of excreted metabolites were accounted for by metabolites derived from glutathione conjugation with GA (N-acetyl-S-[3-amino-2-hydroxy-3-oxopropyl]cysteine and N-acetyl-S-[1-carbamoyl-2-hydroxyethyl]cysteine) and 28% of excreted metabolites were accounted for by GA and its hydrolysis products (2,3-dihydroxypropionamide and 2,3-dihydroxypropionic acid). The wildtype and CYP2E1-null mice excreted a similar percentage of the administered dose in the urine within 24 hours (about 30%), suggesting that the CYP2E1-null mice compensated for the CYP2E1 deficiency by metabolizing more of the administered AA via direct conjugation with GSH.

Figure 3-1 does not include a possible minor pathway hypothesized to result in the release of CO_2 from hydrolysis products of GA. This pathway is not included because of conflicting results from several studies. Following i.v. administration of 100 mg/kg $[1-^{14}C]$ labeled AA to male albino Porton rats, about 6% of the injected dose of radioactivity was exhaled as CO₂ in 8 hours (Hashimoto and Aldridge, 1970), but following administration of [2,3-¹⁴C]-labeled AA to male F344 rats, no radioactivity was detected in exhaled breath (Miller et al., 1982). Sumner et al. (1992) noted that these results may be consistent with the existence of a minor pathway involving metabolism of 2,3-dihydroxypropionamide (glyceramide) to glycerate and hydroxypyruvate with the subsequent release of CO₂ and production of glycolaldehyde, but they did not detect labeled two-carbon metabolites in urine of mice exposed to [1,2,3-¹³C]labeled AA. In other experiments, no exhaled ¹⁴CO₂ was detected following oral administration of 50 mg/kg [1-¹⁴C]-labeled AA to male Sprague-Dawley rats (Kadry et al., 1999), whereas 3– 4% of i.v. injected [1,3-¹⁴C]-AA (2 or 100 mg/kg) was detected as ¹⁴CO₂ in exhaled breath in male F344 rats (Dow Chemical Co., 1984). During a 24-hour period following a 24-hour dermal exposure of male F344 rats to 162 mg/kg $[2,3^{-14}C]$ -labeled AA, ¹⁴CO₂ in exhaled breath accounted for $1.8 \pm 0.2\%$ of radioactivity recovered in exhaled air, urine, feces, and tissues (Sumner et al., 2003). Similarly, ¹⁴CO₂ in exhaled breath accounted for 1.7 ± 0.1 and $0.9 \pm 0.2\%$ of radioactivity recovered in exhaled air, urine, feces, and tissues in male B6C3F1 mice and F344 rats, respectively, following nose-only inhalation exposure to 3 ppm of a mixture of $[1,2,3^{-13}C]$ -AA and $[2,3^{-14}C]$ -AA (Sumner et al., 2003).

Route-to-Route Differences

Results from a rat kinetic study by Sumner et al. (2003) indicate an intraparenteral (i.p.). or gavage route of exposure had a small effect on the percentage of AA conjugated to GSH vs. the percentage of AA converted to GA. Following i.p. or gavage administration of 50 mg/kg [1,2,3-¹³C]-AA to male F344 rats, $69 \pm 0.9\%$ or $71 \pm 3.8\%$ of total urinary metabolites, respectively, were metabolites associated with direct conjugation of AA with glutathione. Similarly, in the only available animal inhalation kinetic study (i.e., no human inhalation kinetic studies are available), the metabolites associated with direct conjugation of AA with glutathione following a 6-hour inhalation (nose only) exposure of male F344 rats to 3 ppm of a mixture of radiolabeled [1,2,3-¹³C]- and [2,3-¹⁴C]-acrylamide accounted for $64 \pm 2.4\%$ of metabolites in urine collected for 24 hours. The percentages of total urinary metabolites associated with GA formation were 31 ± 0.9 , 28 ± 3.8 , and $36 \pm 2.4\%$ following i.p., gavage, and inhalation exposure, respectively.

In this same study, Sumner et al. (2003) report statistically significantly larger percentages of urinary metabolites associated with GA formation following an inhalation exposure compared with an i.p. and gavage exposure. GA-Val levels are also higher and AA-Val levels lower (as indicators of serum AUCs), following the single 6 hr inhalation exposures versus the single gavage dose in rats, however, statistical significance was not reported for the adduct level differences, and the numbers are within two fold of each other. Doerge et al. (2005b, 2005c) report an increased percentage of GA formation observed in mice and F344 rats from a gavage or dietary exposure compared to an i.v. exposure that, in conjunction with the Sumner et al. (2003) results, indicate first pass metabolism in the lungs following an inhalation exposure similar to the first pass metabolism in the liver from an oral exposure, but apparently the lungs may have a larger percent of oxidative metabolism of AA to GA.

Lehning et al. (1998) report that repeated oral exposures of 26–45 days to AA at relatively low doses (e.g., 20 mg/kg-day from drinking water concentrations of 20 mM) induces axonal degeneration, but shorter-term (11 days) exposure to higher i.p. doses (50 mg/kg-day) does not. Barber et al. (2001) compared AA metabolism and toxicokinetics for these dosing regimens, but did not find differences that provided a clear explanation for the occurrence of degeneration with the longer oral dosing regimen. In this study, plasma concentrations of radioactivity in AA and GA were determined from tail-vein blood samples that were collected from groups of five to seven Sprague-Dawley rats at nine intervals from 0 to 580 minutes following a single administration of [2,3-¹⁴C]-labeled AA by gavage (24 hours after the last dose of a drinking water solution of 20 mg/kg-day nonlabeled AA for 34 days) or by a single i.p.

injection (on day 11 of the i.p. administration of 50 mg/kg-day for 11 days). The authors noted that the toxicokinetics from a single gavage dose had been evaluated in separate experiments, and in the opinion of the authors, gave a reasonable estimate of the AUCs and half-life of a drinking water exposure that was simulated with multiple smaller doses (i.e., data not shown).

Barber et al. (2001) also measured the activities of CYP2E1 and epoxide hydrolase in liver microsomes, as well as concentrations of AA-hemoglobin and GA-hemoglobin adducts before treatment, after i.p. exposure for 5 or 11 days and after 15, 34, and 47 days of oral exposure. With both dosing regimens, AA appeared rapidly in plasma and rose to peak concentrations within 60-90 minutes, followed by peak levels of GA. Respective plasma halflives (t¹/₂) were approximately 2 hours and peak plasma levels for each route were directly related to the magnitude of the respective daily dose (i.e., the i.p. dose and resulting C_{max} were both 2.5 times larger than comparable oral parameters). The only differences found in metabolic or toxicokinetic parameters for the two dosing regimens involved some, but not all, parameters that determined GA formation and metabolism. Derived areas under the plasma concentration vs. time curves (AUCs) indicated that a larger proportion of plasma AA was converted to GA following a single oral dose of 20 mg/kg (22%) than following a single i.p. dose of 50 mg/kg (10%). A larger proportion of plasma AA was also converted to GA following the 34 days of repeat oral dosing (30%) compared with 11-days of i.p. dosing (8%). No correlation was found to the different enzyme activities involved in GA formation (CYP2E1) or metabolism (epoxide hydrolase). Concordant with the serum data, concentrations of AA-hemoglobin adducts were about 36% lower in the oral dosing regimen (8 µmol adduct/g globin at 15 days) compared with the i.p. regimen (12.5 µmol adduct/g globin at 11 days), and concentrations of GA-hemoglobin adducts were about two fold higher. Barber et al. (2001) noted that, although it has been proposed that GA might mediate axonal degeneration, peak concentrations of free GA with the subchronic oral regime were relatively low and other studies showed that GA is only a weak neurotoxicant. It was concluded that the mechanism of axonal degeneration did not appear to involve route- or dose-rate differences in metabolism or disposition of AA.

Doerge et al. (2005b) compared the toxicokinetics of AA and GA in serum and tissues of male and female B6C3F₁ mice following a single dose by i.v. injection or gavage of 0.1 mg/kg AA, or a comparable dose of 0.1 mg/kg AA from a feeding exposure for 30 minutes. Study groups also received an equimolar amount of GA from either an i.v. injection or gavage dose. Oral exposure to AA resulted in higher relative internal levels of GA compared with levels following an i.v. exposure, due either to a first-pass effect or some other factors that affect the kinetic disposition from an i.v. dose. Similar results were observed by Doerge et al (2005c) in a comparable study with F344 rats.

In comparing the results of the Doerge et al (2005b) mouse study with previous studies from that laboratory at a 500-fold higher concentration (Twaddle et al., 2004), an increase in

relative internal GA levels was observed, suggesting that as dose rate decreases, the conversion of AA to GA in mice is more efficient.

Differences in mouse and rat metabolism

Twaddle et al. (2004) administered AA at approximately 50 mg/kg via gavage to adult male and female B6C3F1 mice. Serum concentrations of AA and GA were taken at 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 8 hours postdosing. Livers were removed from control and AA-treated mice at all exposure times, and analyzed for GA derived DNA adducts. The results indicated no systematic sex differences in AA and GA serum levels at each time point for the species and doses in this study. Twaddle et al. (2004) estimated an AA half-life of elimination from plasma at 0.73 hours in these $B6C3F_1$ mice. This value in mice can be compared to an estimate of 2 hours in F344 rats following a subchronic oral administration of 2.8 mM AA in drinking water for 34 days or subacute i.p. doses at 50 mg/kg-day for 11 days (Barber et al., 2001). Miller et al. (1982) estimated a 1.7 hour half-life for AA in rat blood following a 10 mg/kg i.v. dose. For GA, Twaddle et al. (2004) report that the mice had an elimination half-life for GA of 1.9 hours, which is identical to that measured by Barber et al. (2001) in rats. Barber et al. (2001) also reported a GA/AA-AUC ratio of 0.18 for Sprague-Dawley rats treated with 20 mg/kg AA by gavage. This contrasts to Twaddle et al.'s (2004) observation of equal AUCs for AA and GA in B6C3F₁ mice. Since rats and mice had a comparable GA elimination half-life, this approximately fivefold difference in internal exposure to GA for mice compared with rats (i.e., a GA/AA-AUC ratio of 1 in mice vs. a GA/AA-AUC ratio of 0.18 in rats) is considered to be the result of an increased rate of GA formation in the mouse.

Formation of DNA adducts

Doerge et al. (2005a) measured DNA adducts following a single i.p. administration of AA to adult B6C3F₁ mice and F344 rats at 50 mg AA/kg, or an equimolar dose of GA (61 mg/kg). They report GA-derived DNA adducts of adenine and guanine formed in all tissues examined, including both target tissues identified in rodent carcinogenicity bioassays and nontarget tissues, including liver, brain, thyroid, leukocytes, mammary gland, and testis in rats, and in liver, lung, kidney, leukocytes, and testis in mice. Dosing rats and mice with an equimolar amount of GA typically produced higher levels of DNA adducts than those observed from the AA dose.

Doerge et al. (2005a) also measured DNA adduct formation following oral administration of a single dose of AA (50 mg/kg), and accumulation from repeat dosing at 1 mg/kg-day. The formation of DNA adducts was consistent with the previously reported mutagenicity of AA and GA in vitro, which involved reaction of GA with adenine and guanine bases. These results provide support for a mutagenic mechanism of AA carcinogenicity in rodents.

AA and GA react with nucleophilic sites in macromolecules (including hemoglobin and DNA [Figure 3-2]) in Michael-type additions (Segerbäck et al., 1995; Bergmark et al., 1993, 1991; Solomon et al., 1985). Solomon et al. (1985) conducted in vitro studies for the reaction of AA at pH 7.0 and 37°C for 10 and 40 days with 2'-deoxyadenosine (dAdo), 2'-deoxycytidine (dCyd), 2'-deoxyguanosine (dGua), and 2'-deoxythymidine (dThd), which resulted in the formation of 2-formamidoethyl and 2-carboxyethyl adducts via Michael addition. However, AA reacted extremely weakly with DNA (second order rate constant of 9×10^{-12} L/mg DNA-hour at pH 7 and 37°C for all adducts), even under in vitro conditions, producing significant levels of adducts only after incubations of several weeks with high acrylamide concentrations (Solomon et al., 1985). Based on the second order rate constant derived by Solomon et al. (1985), Segerbäck et al. (1995) estimated formation of 25 fmol/mg DNA for all adducts from an in vivo i.p. AA dose of 50 mg/kg. Only about 14% of these would be adducts to the N-7 atom of guanine. This amount was considered to be negligible compared with observed levels of N-7-(2-carbamoyl-2-hydroxyethyl)guanine adducts with GA, which were in the 20–30 pmol/mg DNA range for the in liver of both mice and rats from a comparable (46-53 mg/kg) i.p. dose (Segerbäck et al., 1995). Two additional GA-DNA adducts have been identified in vitro, N3-(2-carbamoyl-2-hydroxyethyl)adenine (N3-GA-Ade) and N1-(2-carboxy-2-hydroxyethyl)-2'-deoxyadenosine (Gamboa da Costa et al., 2003). Using liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry and isotope dilution, Gamboa da Costa et al. (2003) measured DNA adduct formation in selected tissues of adult and whole body DNA of 3-day-old neonatal mice treated with AA and GA. In adult mice, DNA adduct formation was observed in liver, lung, and kidney with levels of N7-GA-Gua around 2,000 adducts/10⁸ nucleotides and N3-GA-Ade around 20 adducts/10⁸ nucleotides. Adduct levels were modestly higher in adult mice dosed with GA as opposed to AA; however, treatment of neonatal mice with GA produced five- to sevenfold higher whole body DNA adduct levels than with AA. The authors suggest that this is due to lower oxidative enzyme activity in newborn mice. DNA adduct formation from AA treatment in adult mice showed a supralinear dose-response relationship, consistent with saturation of oxidative metabolism at higher doses.



Sources: Dearfield et al. (1995); Bergmark et al. (1993, 1991).

Figure 3-2. Hemoglobin and DNA adducts of acrylamide and glycidamide.

Potential confounders for the hemoglobin adduct biomarker of acrylamide exposure

Other related compounds like acrylonitrile and N-methylolacrylamide (NMA) also form hemoglobin adducts. NMA is produced by the reaction of formaldehyde with AA and, like AA, is used in the production of grouting agents. Acrylonitrile can be used as a precursor in one method to manufacture AA, and is also formed when AA is dehydrogenated.

Studies that use AA hemoglobin adducts as a biomarker for exposure should address the potential presence of NMA. Acrylonitrile forms an N-(2-cyanoethyl)valine adduct that is distinguishable from the AA N-(2-carbamoylethyl)valine adduct with gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis after derivatization with pentafluorophenyl isothiocyanate (Bergmark et al., 1993). N-methylolacrylamide, however, forms the same adduct as AA, the N-(2-carbamoylethyl)valine adduct. It is not known whether NMA undergoes loss of the hydroxymethyl group to form AA, which can then react with globin to form AAVal, or if NMA reacts directly with globin and then loses the hydroxymethyl group to form AAVal. Both reactions, involving loss of formaldehyde, could occur on a chemical basis without the involvement of metabolism (Fennell et al., 2003). There are also differing results on the relative rate of formation of the N-(2-carbamoylethyl) valine adduct from AA or NMA (Paulsson et al., 2002; Fennell et al., 2003).

Paulsson et al. (2002) measured hemoglobin adducts (and micronucleus [MN] frequencies) in mice and rats after AA or NMA treatment. Male CBA mice were treated by i.p. injection of 0.35, 0.7, and 1.4 mmol/kg for both compounds (i.e., 25, 50, and 100 mg AA/kg, or 35, 71, and 142 mg NMA/kg). The rats were only treated with the highest dose of AA or NMA, 100 mg/kg or 142 mg/kg, respectively. Mice were sacrificed after 48 hours and blood was collected for hemoglobin adduct measurement. One group of rats was sacrificed after 24 hours

and one group after 48 hours for the hemoglobin adduct analysis. The identical (N-[2-carbamoylethyl]valine) adduct and the respective epoxide metabolite (N-[2-carbamoyl-2-hydroxyethyl]valine) adduct were monitored for either the AA or NMA exposure. Per unit of administered amount, AA gave rise to three to six times higher hemoglobin adduct levels than NMA in mice and rats. Mice exhibited higher in vivo doses of the epoxy metabolites, compared with rats, indicating that AA and NMA were more efficiently metabolized in the mice. In mice the AA and NMA induced dose-dependent increases in both hemoglobin adduct level and MN frequency in peripheral erythrocytes. Per unit of administered dose, NMA showed only half the potency for inducing micronuclei compared with AA, although the MN frequency per unit of in vivo dose of measured epoxy metabolite was three times higher for NMA than for AA. No increase in MN frequency was observed in rat bone marrow erythrocytes after treatment with either compound. This is compatible with a lower sensitivity of the rat than of the mouse to the carcinogenic action of these compounds.

Fennell et al. (2003) also measured levels of N-(2-carbamoylethyl)valine adducts following gavage exposure of male F344 rats (4/group) to equimolar levels of AA or NMA. The nominal dose of $[1,2,3-^{13}C]$ -AA was 50 mg/kg, and NMA was administered at a nominal dose of 71 mg/kg. The AA and NMA dose solutions were prepared in distilled water and delivered at 1 mL/kg. In contrast to Paulsson et al. (2002), Fennell et al. (2003) reported that AA exposure resulted in the formation of 21 ± 1.7 pmol/mg globin (mean \pm SD), less than the equimolar dose of NMA that resulted in 41 ± 4.9 pmol/mg. Since rates of formation of the N-terminal valine adduct are not comparable (regardless of whether more or less) and both compounds form the same adduct, caution should be exercised when drawing conclusions about AA exposure based on N-terminal valine levels if there is also a potential for concurrent exposure to NMA.

3.4. ELIMINATION

Human data

Boettcher et al. (2005) measured the mercapturic acid of AA and its epoxide GA, i.e., N-acetyl-S-(2-carbamoylethyl)-L-cysteine (AAMA) and N-(R,S)-acetyl-S-(2-carbamoyl-2-hydroxyethyl)-L-cysteine (GAMA) in human urine. Median levels in smokers (n = 13) were found to be about four times higher than in nonsmokers (n = 16) with median levels of 127 μ g/L versus 29 μ g/L for AAMA and μ g/L versus μ g/L for GAMA indicating that cigarette smoke is clearly an important source of AA exposure. The level of AAMA in the occupationally nonexposed collective (n = 29) ranged from 3 to 338 μ g/L, the level of GAMA from <LOD to 45 μ g/L.

Fennell et al. (2005) report that approximately 34% of the orally administered dose of 3 mg AA/kg to adult male volunteers was recovered in the total urinary metabolites within

24 hours of administration. A dermal exposure to humans was part of this study but no elimination data from the dermal exposure were reported.

Boettcher et al. (2006a) investigated the human metabolism of AA to AAMA and GAMA in a healthy male volunteer who received a single dose of about 1 mg deuterium-labelled AA (d(3)-AA), representing 13 μ g/kg body weight, in drinking water. Urine samples before dosing and within 46 hours after the dose were analyzed for d(3)-AAMA and d(3)-GAMA by LC-ESI-MS/MS. A first phase of increase in urinary concentration was found to last 18 hours with a broad plateau between 8 and 18 hours for AAMA, and 22 hours for GAMA. Elimination half-lives of both AAMA and GAMA were estimated to be approximately 3.5 hours for the first phase and more than 10 hours up to few days for the second phase. Total recovery in urine after 24 hours was about 51% as the sum of AAMA and GAMA and was similar to recoveries in rats (53–66%) given a gavage dose of 0.1 mg/kg bw (Doerge et al., 2007). After 2 days AAMA accounted for 52% of the total AA dose, and was the major metabolite of AA in humans. GAMA accounted for 5%, and appeared as a minor metabolite of AA.

Fuhr et al. (2006) measured AA and metabolite levels in a 72 hour urine collection from six young healthy volunteers after the consumption of a meal containing 0.94 mg of acrylamide. Overall, $60.3 \pm 11.2\%$ of the dose was recovered in the urine. Although no GA was found, unchanged AA, AAMA, and GAMA accounted for urinary excretion of (mean \pm SD) 4.4 \pm 1.5, 50.0 ± 9.4 , and $5.9 \pm 1.2\%$ of the dose, respectively. Toxicokinetic variables were obtained by noncompartmental methods, with apparent terminal elimination half-lives for the unchanged AA, AAMA of 2.4 ± 0.4 , 17.4 ± 3.9 , and 25.1 ± 6.4 hours, respectively.

Boettcher et al. (2006b) evaluated urinary mercapturic acid metabolites derived from AA in three healthy volunteers who fasted for 48 hours. Urinary AA mercapturic acid metabolites were considerably reduced after 48 hours of fasting, with levels well below the median level in nonsmokers. These results indicate that, for nonsmokers, AA in the diet is the main source of environmental AA exposure in humans.

Hartmann et al. (2009) determined the relationship between the oxidative and reductive metabolic pathways of acrylamide (AA) in the nonsmoking general population, measuring both blood protein adducts and the urinary metabolites of AA and glycidamide (GA) in an especially designed study group with even distribution of age and gender. The hemoglobin adducts N-carbamoylethylvaline (AAVal) and N-(R,S)-2-hydroxy-2-carbamoylethylvaline (GAVal) were detected by GC-MS/MS in all blood samples with median levels of 30 and 34 pmol/g of globin, respectively. Concentrations ranged from 15 to 71 pmol/g of globin for AAVal and from 14 to 66 pmol/g of globin for GAVal. The ratio GAVal/AAVal was 0.4-2.7 (median = 1.1). The urinary metabolites were determined by LC-MS/MS. Of all urine samples examined 99% of N-acetyl-S-(2-carbamoylethyl)-Lcysteine (AAMA) levels and 73% of N-(R/S)-acetyl-S-(2-carbamoylethyl)-L-cysteine (GAMA) levels were above the LOD (1.5 μ g/L).

Concentrations ranged from <LOD to 229 μ g/L (median = 29 μ g/L) for AAMA and from <LOD to 85 μ g/L (median = 7 μ g/L) for GAMA. The ratio of GAMA/AAMA varied from 0.004 to 1.4 (median = 0.3).

Hartmann et al. (2009) summarized the data from human and rat urinary metabolite studies in a table that indicated reasonable concordance of results, and that is reproduced below as Table 3-2.

Species — dose	AA	AAMA	AAMA-sulfoxide	GA	GAMA	Glyceramide	∑GA ^a /∑AA ^b	Total of dose ^c
M – 50 mg/kg ^d	NQ	21.0±1.10	ND	8.6±1.1	17±0.60	2.70±0.60	1.3	50.4
M – 0.1 mg/kg ^e	0.6-0.7	5-9	ND	16-18	9-22	ND	4.2	33-48
R — 50 mg/kg ^d	NQ	34.0±1.80	ND	2.8 ± 0.50	12±0.60	1.20±0.40	0.47	50.7
R – 50 mg/kg ^f	NQ	38	ND	3.9	10.5	0.6	0.39	53
R – 3 mg/kg ^g	NQ	29.0 ± 4.50	ND	ND	21 ±2.42	ND	0.72	50.0±8.60
R – 0.1 mg/kg ^e	2	31	ND	6	27-29	ND	1-1.1	64-66
R — 20 μg/kg ^h	ND	29.7 ± 5.13	ND	ND	25.4±6.20	ND	0.86	55.1 ± 11.8
R – 0.1 mg/kg ^h	ND	34.9±7.40	ND	ND	26.7±4.64	ND	0.77	61.7±10.5
H – 3.0 mg/kg ^g	NQ	22.0±5.30	4.20 ± 1.10	0.79 ± 0.24	ND	3.30±1.10	0.16	34.0±5.70
H — 13 μg/kg ⁱ	ND	45.1	ND	ND	2.8	ND	0.06	47.7
H – 0.5 mg/kg ^j	4.67 ± 1.34	31.2±6.55	8.26±2.39	0.43 ±0.20	0.82±0.16	ND	0.03	45.6±8.50
H — 1.0 mg/kg ^j	5.02 ± 1.65	34.4±5.21	8.68 ± 1.21	0.63 ±0.33	0.82±0.11	ND	0.03	49.9±6.30
H – 3.0 mg/kg ^j	3.23 ±0.49	27.8 ± 7.99	7.25 ± 2.40	0.65 ± 0.21	0.70±0.22	ND	0.03	39.9 ± 9.90
Η — 0.5 μg/kg ^k	ND	41.4±3.47	7.19±1.40	ND	3.83±0.78	ND	0.08	52.4±3.59
H – 20 μg/kg ^k	ND	37.4±2.92	6.33±1.77	ND	3.23±0.69	ND	0.07	46.9±3.70

Table 3-2. Comparison of molar percentage of dose excreted in urine of rodents and humans after oral administration of acrylamide (Source: Hartmann et al., 2009)

All information given is referenced to collection periods of 24 h after administration.

NQ=not quantified, ND=not determined.

- ^a This sum represents GA+GAMA+Glyceramide.
 ^b This sum represents AA+AAMA+AAMA-sulfoxide.
 ^c Total amount excreted within 24 h after exposure calculated as % of dose.
- ^d Sunner et al., 1992. Gavage male rats; gavage male mice.
 ^e Doerge et al., 2007. Gavage male mice; gavage male rats.
 ^f Sunner et al., 2003. Gavage male rats.

- ^g Fennell et al., 2005. Gavage male rats; oral administration male humans.
- h This paper. Gavage male rats.

¹ Boettcher et al., 2006. Oral administration male human. Excretion within 22 h following exposure.

^j Fennell et al., 2006. Oral administration male humans.

k Hartmann et al., 2009. Oral administration male and female humans. Excretion within 22 h following exposure.

Source: Hartmann et al., 2009

Animal data

Results from animal studies indicate that urinary excretion of metabolites is the principal route of elimination of absorbed AA, with minor amounts of metabolites being excreted via bile in the feces, and as CO_2 in exhaled breath (Barber et al., 2001; Kadry et al., 1999; Sumner et al., 1999, 1992; Dow Chemical Co., 1984; Miller et al., 1982; Hashimoto and Aldridge, 1970).

Fennell et al. (2005) administered 3 mg/kg [1,2,3-¹³C]-AA by gavage to F344 rats. The low 3 mg/kg dose of AA by gavage to rats resulted in a greater amount of metabolism via GA (41% of the urinary metabolites) compared with a higher dose of 59 mg/kg (28% of the urinary metabolites) (Sumner et al., 2003). The fate of GA was primarily conjugation with GSH, resulting in the excretion of two mercapturic acids. The total amount of AA metabolites recovered by 24 hours after dosing was 50%, similar to that reported by Kadry et al. (1999) and Miller et al. (1982).

In male F344 rats given i.v. (10 mg/kg) or oral (1, 10, or 100 mg/kg) doses of [2,3-¹⁴C]-acrylamide, about 60 and 70% of the administered radioactivity was excreted in urine collected within 24 hours and 7 days, respectively (Miller et al., 1982). Less than 2% of radioactivity in the urine was accounted for by AA. With either route of administration, elimination of radioactivity from tissues was described as biphasic, with half-lives of about 5 hours for the first phase and 8 days for the second phase. The elimination time course of parent AA from tissues followed a single-phase exponential decrease with a half-life of about 2 hours. Calleman (1996) noted that this is a relatively slow elimination half-life for an electrophilic chemical, citing the elimination half-life of acrylonitrile, a related electrophilic chemical, at about 10 minutes in rats. Fecal excretion accounted for 4.8 and 6% of administered radioactivity at 24 hours and 7 days, respectively (Miller et al., 1982). Bile-duct-cannulated rats given single i.v. doses of 10 mg/kg [2,3-¹⁴C]-labeled AA excreted about 15% of the administered radioactivity in bile as metabolites within about 6 hours; less than 1% of radioactivity in the bile was in the form of AA. These results are consistent with the existence of enterohepatic circulation of metabolites.

No radiolabeled CO_2 was captured when two rats given [2,3-¹⁴C]-labeled AA were placed in metabolism cages designed to trap expired air (Miller et al., 1982). In contrast, studies with radiolabel in the carbon-1 position suggest that exhalation of CO_2 following cleavage of the amide group is possible but likely represents a minor metabolic and elimination pathway (see Figures 2-1 and 3-1 for carbon numbering and metabolic pathways, respectively). About 6% of an injected dose of 100 mg/kg [1-¹⁴C]-labeled AA (Hashimoto and Aldridge, 1970) and about 4% of an injected dose of 2 mg/kg [1,3-¹⁴C]-labeled AA (Dow Chemical Co., 1984) were exhaled by rats as CO_2 in 6–8 hours. As noted earlier, however, no exhaled ¹⁴CO₂ was detected following oral administration of 50 mg/kg [1-¹⁴C]-labeled AA to male Sprague-Dawley rats (Kadry et al., 1999).

In studies with male F344 rats given single i.v. doses of [1,3-¹⁴C]-labeled AA, percentages of the administered dose recovered in excreta, carcass, and cage wash after 72 hours were as follows for four rats exposed to 2 mg/kg: 67% urine; 1.5% feces; 4.2% CO₂; 1.5% skin; 13.1% carcass; and 0.6% cage wash (Dow Chemical Co., 1984). Similar percentages were reported for four rats injected with 100 mg/kg. Other groups of rats were given single i.v. injections of 50 mg/kg [1,3-¹⁴C]-labeled AA and were killed in groups of 3–4 after 0, 6, 12, 18, 24, or 48 hours for determination of radioactivity in blood plasma, red blood cells, and selected tissues (testes, epididymis, kidney, and sciatic nerve). The clearance of radioactivity from the plasma and the tissues was consistent with biphasic elimination with an initial rapid phase, followed by a slower phase. Plasma elimination half-times were estimated at 2 hours for the initial phase and 10 hours for the second slower phase. GC/MS analysis indicated that the initial phase was primarily due to clearance of AA, whereas the second phase was due to clearance of radiolabeled metabolites from the plasma.

Tong et al. (2004) estimated the second order rate constants for reaction of AA with human serum albumin and glutathione at 0.0054 and 0.021/mol-second, respectively. These rates were determined under physiological conditions by following the loss of their thiol groups in the presence of excess AA. Based on these in vitro values, the authors concluded that the reactions of AA with these thiols appears to account for most of AA's elimination from the body.

More recently, Doerge et al. (2007) measured 24 hour urinary metabolites, including free AA and GA and their mercapturic acid conjugates (AAMA and GAMA, respectively), using LC/MS/MS in F344 rats and B6C3F(1) mice following a dose of 0.1 mg/kg bw given by intravenous, gavage, and dietary routes of administration. The results were compared with serum/tissue toxicokinetic and adduct data (DNA and hemoglobin) from previous studies in the same laboratory using the identical dosing protocols (Doerge et al., 2005a,b, c). The goal was to investigate relationships between urinary and circulating biomarkers of exposure, toxicokinetic parameters for AA and GA, and tissue GA-DNA adducts in rodents from single doses of AA. The molar percentage of the total intravenously delivered dose that was recovered as free AA and metabolites in a 24 hour urine collection was 57–74 and 54–57% in male and female rats, respectively; and 62–82 and 60–63% in male and female mice, respectively. Significant linear correlations were observed between urinary levels of AA with AAMA and GA with GAMA in the current data sets for rats (AA vs. AAMA, $r^2 = 0.78$, p < 0.001; GA vs. GAMA, $r^2 = 0.57$, p < 0.001).

Concentrations of urinary AA or AAMA correlated significantly with average AUC values for serum AA determined previously in groups of rats (AUC-AA vs. AA, $r^2 = 0.74$, p < 0.001; AUC-AA vs. AAMA, $r^2 = 0.83$, p < 0.001) and mice (AUC-AA vs. AA, $r^2 = 0.41$, p < 0.011; AUC-AA vs. AAMA, $r^2 = 0.41$, p < 0.01) similarly dosed with AA. Correlation coefficients for urinary GA and GAMA concentrations versus AUC serum GA and liver GA-DNA adducts were smaller that for the AA and AAMA, but still significant in rats (AUC-GA vs. GA, $r^2 = 0.53$, p < 0.001; AUC-GA vs. GAMA, $r^2 = 0.32$, p < 0.02) and mice (AUC-GA vs. GA, $r^2 = 0.34$, p < 0.022; AUC-GA vs. GAMA, $r^2 = 0.56$, p < 0.0001). Significant linear correlations were also observed in rats between urinary concentrations of either GA or GAMA with average GA-DNA adducts (p = 0.001 and 0.2, respectively); data not presented in the publication. In mice, a significant linear correlation was observed between urinary concentrations of GA (p = 0.03), but not GAMA (p = 0.2), with average GA-DNA adducts; data not presented in the publication. In both rats and mice, significant linear correlations were observed between AA or AAMA and average GA-DNA adduct levels (p = 0.0005 and 0.004, respectively); data not presented in the publication. Although considerable interindividual variability observed in all urinary measurements weakened the correlation with either average toxicokinetic or biomarker data collected from different groups of animals, overall the results indicate that urinary biomarkers do reflect internal levels of AA and GA, and may be useful (accompanied by appropriate caveats) in estimating levels of exposure and potential risk for adverse effects.

3.5. HEMOGLOBIN ADDUCTS AND URINARY METABOLITES AS BIOMARKERS OF EXPOSURE

Hays and Alyward (2008a) report the results of a seminal workshop that conceptualized and developed methods to generate what were called "Biomonitoring Equivalents" (BEs), which are estimates of the concentration of a chemical or metabolite in a biological medium that is consistent with an existing exposure guidance value such as a tolerable daily intake or a reference dose. BE's address the need for a context to interpret data that are increasingly becoming available on trace concentrations of chemicals in human biological media. Case studies for four chemicals (toluene, 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, cadmium and acrylamide) were published to demonstrate the derivation of BEs for various kinds of data. The case study for acrylamide (Hays and Alyward 2008b) clearly shows the utility of acrylamide hemoglobin adduct and urinary metabolite concentrations as biomarkers of exposure, as well as the methods to estimate daily intake levels based upon those concentrations. A table by Hays and Alyward (2008b), and reproduced below as Table 3-3, summarizes the advantages and disadvantages of the various biomarkers of exposure for acrylamide. This current toxicological review of acrylamide utilizes the direct relationship between AA and GA hemoglobin adducts and serum levels of AA and GA to estimate the dose-response relationship in humans based on the observed dose-response relationship from animal studies.

Table 3-3. The advantages and disadvantages of available biomarkers of exposure for acrylamide (from Hays and Alyward 2008b).

Analyte	Medium	Advantages	Disadvantages
AA GA	Serum Serum	Relevant to effect(s) of interest Relevant to effect(s) of interest	Short half-life; Invasive (requires blood sample) Short half-life; Invasive (requires blood sample)
AA—as hemoglobin adduct GA—as hemoglobin adduct AAMA (mercapturic acid metabolite of AA) GAMA (mercapturic acid conjugate of	Blood Blood Urine Urine	Longer half-life; Relevant to effect(s) of interest Longer half-life; Relevant to effect(s) of interest Non-invasive; slower half-life leads to more stable profile in urine under chronic exposure conditions Non-invasive; slower half-life leads to more stable	Invasive (requires blood sample) Invasive (requires blood sample) Not directly related to critical target tissue dose(s); measure of metabolic deactivation Not directly related to critical target tissue dose(s);
GA) and other urinary metabolites		profile in urine under chronic exposure conditions	lower proportion of administered dose than AAMA

Hemoglobin adducts were first proposed as biomarkers of exposure to acrylamide by WHO (1985), and the initial analytical techniques were developed by Bailey et al. (1986). Early studies in people who were occupationally exposed or who smoked tobacco evaluated the relationship between AA and GA hemoglobin adducts and exposure (Bergmark, 1997; Calleman et al., 1994; Bergmark et al., 1993). AA was reported to form the N-(2-carbamoylethyl) valine and GA the N-(2-carbamoyl-2-hydroxyethyl)valine and the N-(1-carbamoyl-2- hydroxyethyl)-valine (Bergmark, 1997; Bergmark et al., 1993; Calleman et al., 1994). The detection of GA adducts of hemoglobin in AA-exposed workers demonstrated the transformation of acrylamide to GA in humans (Bergmark et al., 1993). Other related compounds like acrylonitrile and N-methylolacrylamide (NMA) also form hemoglobin adducts, so these potential confounders should always be considered in studies that use AA hemoglobin adducts as the basis for estimating exposure to acrylamide.

Use of Measured Hemoglobin Adducts to Estimate Administered Dose or Serum AUC

The equations used to estimate the area under the time-concentration curve (AUC) of AA or GA in serum based upon measured hemoglobin adduct levels are straightforward. For a single dose over a short time frame (i.e., no need to adjust for accumulation or steady state levels of adducts from multiple doses) the serum AUC is calculated as:

Serum AUC = Hb-adduct concentration / second order rate constant

If the adduct levels are normalized to the administered dose then one can derive an estimate of the AUC per dose, as exemplified in the following data and discussion from Fennell et al. 2005.

Fennell et al. (2005) measured the amount of hemoglobin adducts from AA and GA following administration of a defined dose of AA to adult male volunteers. Both AAVal and GAVal increased linearly with increasing dose of AA administered orally, suggesting that, over the range of 0.5–3.0 mg/kg, there is no saturation of metabolism of AA to GA. The ratio of GAVal:AAVal produced by administration of AA was similar to the ratio of the background adducts prior to exposure. Compared with the equivalent oral administration in rats (3 mg/kg), the ratio of [¹³C]-GAVal: [¹³C]-AAVal in humans was lower (0.44 \pm 0.06) than in rats (0.84 \pm 0.07), and the absolute amount (i.e., not scaled to body weight) of [¹³C]-AAVal formed in humans was approximately 2.7-fold higher than in the rat. The absolute amount of [¹³C]-GAVal was approximately 1.4-fold higher than that formed in the rat.

Table 3-4 shows the data used by Fennell et al. (2005) to estimate the internal serum concentrations of acrylamide based on adduct levels and second order rate constants that they measured in vitro by adding AA or GA to extracted human hemoglobin.

Table 3-4. Estimated human serum AA AUC normalized to administered dose based on measured Hb adduct levels and in vitro derived second order rate constants (from Fennell et al., 2005)

		Hemoglobin adducts/actual administered dose	Human AA AUC per administered dose ^a
Human Nominal Dose (route) (mg/kg)	Actual Dose (uM AA/kg bw)	Concentration of ¹³ C3-AAVal normalized to actual dose (nM/g globin/mM AA/kg)	(¹³ C mM AA/mM-hr AA/kg bw)
Human Dose			
0.5 (oral)	5.9 ± 0.2	86.4 ± 7.5b	20.2
1.0 (oral)	12.5 ± 0.2	73.4 ± 9.8b	17.2
3 (oral)	38.7 ± 0.5	64.2 ± 17.7b	15.0
Combined (oral)		74.7 ± 14.9b	17.5
^a Hemoglobin adduct le	vels divided b	y second order formation rate cor	nstant of 4.27E-06 l/g
globin/hr			

The above human serum AA AUC of 17.5 mMoles-hr AA /mMoles of AA /kg bw is converted to 246 μ M-hr of AA per mg AA/kg bw using the following unit conversions (i.e., the units in Fennel et al. (2005) are divided by the molecular weight of acrylamide (71.08), and multiplied by 1000 to convert mMoles to μ Moles):

$$\frac{17.5 \, mM - hr \, AA}{mM \, AA / kg \, bw} x \frac{1 \, mM \, AA}{71.08 \, mg \, AA} = \frac{0.227 \, mM - hr \, AA}{mg \, AA / kg \, bw} x 1000 = \frac{246 \, uM - hr \, AA}{mg \, AA / kg \, bw}$$

where: the ¹³C3-AA-Val adduct concentration normalized to administered dose of 74.7 nMoles/g globin/mMoles AM/kg bw is converted to 17.5 mMoles-hr AA /mMoles of AA /kg bw based on a second order rate constant for AA-Val from in vitro studies of 4.27×10^{-6} L/g Hb /hour.

For a known dosing period Törnqvist et al. (2008) used the following equation (developed in previous work, Granath et al., 1992) to adjust the total adduct concentration to an estimate of the daily increase in adduct level.

$$AAVal_{DAILY} = AAVal_{TOTAL} \frac{1.07}{Days of Treatment}$$

To estimate steady state level of adducts or to estimate the AUC based on an assumed steady state adduct level, Bergmark et al. (1991) used the following equations:

$$AAVal = AA_{AUC} \times k_{AA} \times \frac{t_{RBC}}{2}$$
$$GAVal = GA_{AUC} \times k_{GA} \times \frac{t_{RBC}}{2}$$

In the above equations, AAVal is the steady state level of AA-hemoglobin adducts (umol/g globin), AA_{AUC} is the daily serum AA _{AUC} (μ M h/d), kAA is the rate constant for the reaction of AA with the N-terminal value residue of hemoglobin, and t_{RBC} is the life span of a human red blood cell with often used values ranging from 120 days (Osterman-Golkar et sl. 1976) to 126 days in humans (Hartmann et al., 2009).

Fennell et al. (2005) calculated the expected amount of adduct that would accumulate in adult male humans from continuous exposure based on the amount of adduct formed/day of exposure, and from the life span of the erythrocyte. Exposure via oral intake to 1 μ g/kg AA (1.05 fmol AAVal/mg globin/day) for the life span of the erythrocyte (120 days) was estimated to result in the accumulation of adducts to 63 fmol/mg globin. Daily dermal exposure to 1 μ g/kg AA (0.18 fmol AAVal/mg globin/day) for the life span of the erythrocyte (120 days) would

result in the accumulation of adducts to 10.8 fmol AAVal/mg globin. With workplace exposure of 5 days/week, this would decrease to approximately 7.8 fmol AAVal/mg globin.

Hartmann et al (2009) used the following equations to estimate the daily intake of acrylamide based on measured hemoglobin adduct levels (Schettgen et al., 2003; Calleman et al., 1996). These equations adjust an assumed steady state adduct level to estimate the internal serum AUC based, and then apply values for an elimination rate and the volume of distribution to convert an AUC to an estimated daily intake.

$$AA[ug / kg bw / day] = \frac{AAVal[pmol / g globin]}{k \times erthrocyte \ lifespan \times 1/2} \times E_k \times MW \ acrylamide \times VD$$

where: k is the human Hb adduct formation rate constant for AAVal ($4.4 \times 10-6$ L/ g of globin/ h; Bergmark et al., 1993), the value for the middle erythrocyte lifespan is 63 days, the elimination rate constant E_k in humans is 0.15 h⁻¹ (Calleman et al., 1996) and the volume of distribution VD is 0.38 L/kg (Fennell et al., 2005).

An important caveat about these estimates of administered dose or AUC level based on measured adduct levels is the direct dependence of the results on the value of the second order rate constant. Currently, there are no available formation rate constants derived from "in vivo" human data, which would require human studies where data were collected for all three of the critical variables needed to derive an in vivo human adduct formation rate, namely 1) the administered dose, 2) the time course serum levels, and 3) the time course adduct levels (including sufficient post dosing sample times to determine elimination rates). Kopp and Dekant (2008) only measured human serum data and administered dose, and Fennell et al. (2005) only measured hemoglobin adduct levels and administered dose. Thus, current derivations of human serum AUCs or daily intakes that have been reported in the published literature are based on hemoglobin adduct levels and second order rate constants that were derived from in vitro studies where AA or GA are added to extracted human hemoglobin (Fennell et al., 2005; Bergmark et al;., 1993, Tareke et al., 2006). Second order rate constants have also been estimated from in vitro studies using rat hemoglobin (Tareke et al., 2006; Törnqvist et al. 2009, Fennell et al., 2005; Bergmark et al;., 1993). Recently, however, rat and mice in vivo data have been published by Doerge et al (2005 a, b, c) and Tareke et al. (2006) sufficient to allow the generation of in vivo animal adduct formation rates. EPA used these data, which include in vivo time course serum data from single doses of acrylamide with different routes of administration

(Doerge et al., 2005c) and the corresponding hemoglobin adduct levels (Tareke et al., 2006) to derive second order rate constants for AA and GA hemoglobin adducts in rats. Table 3-5 below is a compilation of these various rat and human adduct formation rate constants. The in vivo rat adduct formation rates were then used to estimate rat internal AUCs for AA and GA based upon the time course hemoglobin adduct levels reported by Tareke for rats given acrylamide in drinking water for 42 days (Tareke et al., 2006; Doerge et al., 2005a). Table 3-6 and Table 3-7 presents these estimated serum acrylamide and glycidamide AUCs normalized to the administered dose of acrylamide. The values in these tables are used to derive the reference values in this assessment, and are discussed in greater detail in Section 5.
Table 3-5. Second order rate constants for reaction of acrylamide or glycidamide with the N-terminal value residue of hemoglobin.

	Second Order Rate Constant for Formation of Hemoglobin Adducts (I/g globin/h) x 10 ⁶					
Source	Male Rat	Female Rat	Average or pooled Male and Female Rat	Gender Not Specified Rat	Pooled Rat and Mouse	Human
AA –Val In Vivo Adduct Formation Rate ^a Based on all rat and mice Tareke et al. (2006) adduct data and measured serum AUCs in Doerge et al. (2005 b, c) single dose studies. Based on gender specific rat Tareke et al. (2006) adduct data and measured serum AUCs in Doerge et al. (2005c) single dose studies Based on all rat Tareke et al. (2006) adduct data and measured serum AUCs in Doerge et et (2005a) single dose studies	8.9	5.9	7.4		7.5	
al. (2005c) single dose studies.			7.5			
AA –Val In Vitro Rate Adduct Formation Rate						
As reported by Fennell et al. (2005) As reported by Bergmark et al. (1993) As reported by Tareke et al. (2006) As reported by Törnqvist et al. (2008)	3.82			2.9 4.6		4.27 4.4 7.4
GA –Val In Vivo Adduct Formation Rate ^a Based on all rat and mice Tareke et al. (2006) adduct data and measured serum AUCs in Doerge et al. (2005 b, c) single dose studies. Based on gender specific rat Tareke et al. (2006) adduct data and measured serum AUCs in Doerge et al. (2005c) single dose studies	35.3	20.0	27.6		32.5	
Based on all rat Tareke et al. (2006) adduct data and measured serum AUCs in Doerge et al. (2005c) single dose studies.			34.0			
GA –Val In Vitro Rate Adduct Formation						
As reported by Fennell et al. (2005) As reported by Bergmark et al. (1993) ^b As reported by Tareke et al. (2006) As reported by Törnqvist et al. (2008)	4.96			12.0 9.5 13.6		6.72 11.0 59.0

^a See Appendix E for a complete description of the derivation of the in vivo adduct formation rates.

^b Note: Bergmark derived the rat GA-Val residue such that kval = (GA-Val *kcys)/GA cys; the human GA-Val adduct was measured directly.

Table 3-6. Measured and estimated AA AUCs normalized to dose in humans and F344rats.

	AA AUC in µM-hr per mg AA/kg bw Average of				
	Male	Female	Male and Female	Unspecifie d Gender	Humon
AA in Humans	Rat	Rat	Rat	Rat	numan
Measured					
Kopp and Dekant 2009 - human serum data AA (single dose of 20 μg/kg, n=3F,3M)					2.83
Estimated using human adduct data and test animal in vivo rate constants Fennell et al 2005 - human adduct data and in vivo rate constants derived from Tareke et al. (2006) adduct data for all rat and mice in Doerge et al. (2005 b, c) single dose AUCs.					140.1
Estimated using human adduct data and					
human in vitro rate constants					
Fennell in vitro rate constants					246.0
Fennell et al 2005 - human adduct data and Bergmark et al. 1993 in vitro rate constants					238.8
Estimated using human adduct data and rat in vitro rate constants					
Fennell et al 2005 - human adduct data and Törnqvist et al. 2008 in vitro rate constants					228.5
AA in F344 Rats					
Measured					
single dietary exposure	18.0	15.0	16.5		
Doerge et al. 2005 c - time course data from a					
single gavage exposure	24.0	45.0	34.5		
Estimated using rat adduct data and rat in vivo rate constants					
Tareke et al (2006) adduct data for the Doerge et al. (2005a) 42 day drinking water study, and					
gender specific in vivo derived rate constants from Tareke et al. (2006) and Doerge et al. (2005c)	22	48	35		
Estimated using rat adduct data and rat in					
Törngvist et al. 2008 - adduct data from drinking					
water studies and in vitro rate constants	34.0	48.0	41.0		
Fennell et al. 2005 - adduct data single dose gavage studies and in vitro rate constant.				80.2	

Table 3-7. Measured and estimated GA AUCs normalized to Dose in Humans and F344rats.

GA AUC in μ M-hr per mg AA/kg bw

	Male Rat	Female Rat	Average of Male and Female Rat	Unspecified Gender Rat	Human
GA in Humans					
Estimated using human adduct data and test animal in vivo rate constants Fennell et al 2005 - human adduct data and in vivo rate constants derived from Tareke et al. (2006) adduct data for all rat and mice in Doerge et al. (2005 b, c) single dose AUCs.					12.5
Estimated using human adduct data and in vitro rate constants					
Fennell et al 2005 - human adduct data and Fennell in vitro rate constants					60.4
Fennell et al 2005 - human adduct data and Bergmark et al. 1993 in vitro rate constants					37.0
Estimated using human adduct data and rat in vitro rate constants					
Törnqvist et al. 2008 in vitro rate constants					29.9
GA in F344 Rats					
Measured					
Doerge et al. 2005 c - time course data from a single dietary exposure	19.0	15.0	17.0		
single gavage exposure	13.0	44.0	28.5		
Estimated using rat adduct data and rat in vivo rate constants Tareke et al (2006) adduct data for the Doerge et al. (2005a) 42 day drinking water study, and gender specific in vivo derived rate constants from Tareke et al. (2006) and Doerge et al. (2005c)	15.0	48.0	31.5		
Estimated using rat adduct data and rat in vitro rate constants					
water studies and in vitro rate constants Fennell et al. 2005 - adduct data single dose	18.0	34.0	26.0	50.4	
gavage studies and in vitro rate constant.				52.1	

Urinary Metabolites as Biomarkers of Exposure

Urinary metabolites (along with hemoglobin adducts) have been measured in a number of studies to estimate daily intake levels in the general population. Doerge et al. (2008) compiled a summary of selected studies reproduced below as Table 3-8.

Table 3-8. Selected published measurements of acrylamide-derived hemog	lobin
adducts and urinary metabolites in groups of nonsmokersa (from Doerge et	t al.,
2008)	

~	,				
study	group size (n)	AAMA (µg/L)	GAMA (µg/L)	AA-Val (fmol/mg)	GA-Val (fmol/mg)
Paulsson et al. (54)	5	_b	_	27	26
Boettcher et al. (49)	16	29	5	19	17
Bjellaas et al. (55)	65	39	31	_	-
Bjellaas et al. (<i>22</i>)	44	-	_	38	20
Urban et al. (56)	60	73	16	28	3
Vesper et al. (57)	6	_	_	43	26
Fennell et al. (58)	24	ND^{c}	ND	76	29
Kellert et al. (59)	13	26	3	_	_
Chevolleau et al. (60)	52	_	_	27	22
Vesper et al. (61)	61	_	_	51	34

^a Urinary concentrations of acrylamide- and glycidamide-derived mercapturic acids in urine (AAMA and GAMA, respectively) and N-terminal valine adducts of hemoglobin with acrylamide and glycidamide (AA-Val and GA-Val, respectively) are reported from the respective studies of nonsmoking humans. ^b -, not measured. ^c Not detected.

[Paulsson et al., 2003b; Bjellaas et al., 2005 [55], 2007b [22]; Urban et al., 2006; Vesper et al., 2005 [57], 2007 [61]; Fennell et al., 2005; Kellert et al., 2006; Chevolleau et al., 2007; Boettcher et al., 2005]

Hays and Alyward discuss the methods used to estimate the external dose of acrylamide based upon the many studies that have measured urinary concentration and correlated the levels to daily intake. As an example for the glutathione metabolite of AA, acetyl-S-(2carbamoylethyl)-L-cysteine (AAMA), under steady-state exposure conditions consistent with chronic exposure, the daily elimination of AAMA on a molar basis should be equal to approximately 50% of the daily intake (Fuhr et al., 2006; Boettcher et al., 2006). The daily mass of AAMA excreted in urine as a function of the daily intake of AA can be estimated as follows:

$$AAMA_{urine} = AA \times \left[\frac{MW_{AAMA}}{MW_{AA}}\right] \times 0.5$$

where: AAMA_{urine} is the mass of AAMA excreted in urine per day (mg); AA is the total daily dose of acrylamide (mg); MW_{AAMA} and MW_{AA} are the molecular weights of AAMA and AA (234.1 and 71.08), respectively.

These estimates of daily intakle based upon urinary concentrations requires some additional assumptions (with the accompanying uncertainties). The best estimates would be based on a 24-h urine specimen, and the subject's age, gender, lean body mass (a function of height and weight), dietary patterns, and other factors including kidney function status would all be known. Hays and Alward (2008b) note that, in practice, collection of 24 hour samples is difficult and impractical for large biomonitoring studies such as the NHANES/CDC effort. As a result, urinary concentrations are generally reported based on spot urine sample collection. The absolute concentration of compounds in such samples can vary substantially due simply to differences in hydration rates and to other factors. Thus, in addition to reporting absolute urinary concentrations of such chemicals (for example, in units of $\mu g/L$), CDC and other researchers generally also report levels adjusted to creatinine levels (e.g., μg chemical/g creatinine). While hydration status introduces variability into interpretation of urinary concentrations on a volume basis, creatinine adjustment also introduces variability into the analysis. Because the total intake is also a function of weight (these values are generally specified in terms of mg of intake per kg bodyweight per day), estimates of the creatinine-adjusted concentration in urine associated with any daily intake can also vary substantially among individuals. The reader is referred to the Hays and Alyward (2008b) acrylamide case study for additional discussion of these uncertainties and two approaches to estimating daily intake based on urinary metabolite levels that adjust for creatinine (μ g chemical/g creatinine) and for urinary volume (μ g chemical/liter of urine).

As an example of a daily itake estimate, Bjellaas et al. (2007) reported urinary mercapturic acid derivatives of AA in a clinical study of 53 subjects. Urinary metabolite levels were determined using solid-phase extraction and liquid chromatography with positive electrospray MS/MS detection. The median (range) total excretion of AA in urine during 24 hours was 16 (7–47) μ g AA for nonsmokers and 74 (38–106) μ g AA for smokers. Median intakes (range) of AA were estimated based on 24 hour dietary recalls as 21 (13–178) μ g for nonsmokers and 26 (12–67) μ g for smokers. The median dietary exposure to AA was estimated to be 0.47 (range 0.17–1.16) μ g /kg body weight per day. In a multiple linear regression analysis, the urinary excretion of AA metabolites correlated statistically significant with intake of aspartic acid, protein, starch and coffee. Consumption of citrus fruits correlated negatively with excretion of AA metabolites.

In the Hartmann et al., 2009 analysis of acrylamide (AA) exposure in the nonsmoking general population, hemoglobin adduct levels in blood and mercapturic acid excretion in urine were used to calculate daily AA intake, and gave practically identical values. The median daily intakes were 0.43 (0.21-1.04) μ g/kg of body weight(bw)/day using Hb adducts and 0.51 (<LOD-2.32) μ g/kg of bw/day using mercapturic acids for calculations. Children were reported to have intakes of up to approximately 1.3-1.5 times more AA per kilogram of body weight than adults. The ratio GAMA/AAMA was significantly higher in the group of young children (6-10 years) with a median level of 0.5. A gender-related difference in internal exposure and metabolism was not observed.

Similarily, Heudorf et al. (2009) measured AAMA and GAMA in urine specimens of 110 children, and provided evidence for a background exposure by nutrition. Median (95th percentile) uptake of AA in children was 0.54 (1.91) mg/kg body weight/day, exceeding exposure in adults by 50%. The authors suggest that children may have a higher AA intake than adults, and that children more effectively oxidize AA.

3.6. PHYSIOLOGICALLY BASED TOXICOKINETIC MODELS

Three physiologically based toxicokinetic (PBTK) models for AA are available from the peer reviewed published literature (Kirman et al., 2003; Walker et al., 2007; Young et al., 2007). Kirman et al. (2003) developed a PBTK model (see Figure 3-3) that simulated the disposition of AA and its epoxide metabolite, GA, in the rat based upon the available kinetic data from the 1980s and early 1990s, including limited measurements of acrylamide blood and nervous tissue concentrations (Raymer et al., 1993), measurements of total radioactivity (Miller et al., 1982; Ramsey et al., 1984) and urinary metabolite data to set the metabolic parameters (Sumner et al., 1992). Walker et al. (2007) recalibrated the Kirman et al (2003) PBPK model based upon rat and human hemoglobin adduct and urinary metabolite data (Fennell et al., 2003, 2005; Sumner et al. 2003, Bergmark et al., 1991), and second order adduct formation rate constants that were developed from in vitro addition of acrylamide or glycidamide and human or rat red blood cell hemoglobin (Fennell et al., 2005). EPA used the Walker et al (2007) model in the derivation of reference values in a previous draft of the EPA acrylamide assessment (EPA 2008) specifically to estimate the human internal dose equivalent to the point of departures from rat bioassay dose-response data.



Source: Kirman et al. (2003).

Figure 3-3. Schematic of the Kirman et al. PBTK model for acrylamide.

Young et al. (2007) also developed a PBTK/TD (toxicodynamic) model (see Figure 3-4) that simulates AA and GA kinetics in mice, rats, and humans, and adds representation of GA-DNA adduct formation (considered a toxicodynamic event in the pathway leading to mutagenicity). The Young et al. model parameter values were based on rat and mouse kinetic data generated at the US FDA's National Center for Toxicological Research (NCTR) (Doerge et al., 2005a, b, c) and from the literature (Sumner et al., 2003, 1992; Barber et al., 2001; Raymer et al., 1993); on published human urinary excretion data (Fuhr et al., 2006; Fennel et al., 2005) and on human hemoglobin adduct data from a dietary exposure (Boettcher et al., 2005). Young et al. use the PBTK model to fit individual animal PK data, and then evaluate the resulting differences in parameter values (and distributions). The Young et al. (2007) model simulated liver DNAadduct levels based upon data from Doerge et al. (2005a), and was subsequently used to integrate the findings of rodent neurotoxicity and cancer into estimates of risks from human AA exposure through the diet (Doerge et al., 2008). The approach taken in Young et al. (2007) was to adjust the model parameter values to fit individual data sets, rather than develop a single set of parameters that best fit all of the data. For the Young et al. (2007) model to be used for specific EPA application in deriving a toxicity value, additional work is needed to determine which individual parameter values would be the most appropriate to use for each derivation, or preferably, what set of parameters could be developed that would best fit all of the data.



Source: Young et al. (2007).

Figure 3-4. Schematic of the Young et al. PBTK model for acrylamide.

Additional kinetic data have become available that can be used to further calibrate and test the predictive capability of an acrylamide PBPK model and to further refine the parameter estimates. These data include time course serum data in F344 rats (Doerge et al., 2005c, Kopp and Dekant, 2009) and in humans (Kopp and Dekant, 2008), additional adduct data in F344 rats (Tareke et al., 2006; Törnqvist et al., 2008) and humans (Hartmann et al., 2008), and additional urinary metabolite data in rats and humans (Doroshyenko et al., 2009; Hartmann et al., 2008; Kopp and Dekant, 2009; Heudorf et al., 2009, Boettcher et al., 2006a, 2006b). An acrylamide PBPK model has not yet been published and peer reviewed that incorporates and integrates all of these newer data sets. PBPK models are often the only viable alternative to uncertainty factors by which to extrapolate the animal to human dose-response relationship (i.e., to derive human reference values). In this case, however, the hemoglobin adduct and serum data, provide a means to conduct a direct extrapolation from rat to human of the area under the time-concentration in blood of AA or GA sufficient to derive the oral reference values for AA's potential noncancer and cancer effects (see discussion above on the use of adducts to estimate AUC and daily intake, and the discussion in Section 5 on the use of these data and equations to derive reference values).

The following discussion provides a general description of the Kirman et al. (2003), Walker et al. (2007), and Young et al. (2007) PBPK models. The reader is referred to the published articles for additional detailed information on model parameters and simulation results.

Kirman et al. (2003) PBTK model

A diagram of the Kirman et al. (2003) model is presented in Figure 3-3. This model simulates the distribution of AA and GA within five compartments-arterial blood, venous blood, liver, lung, and all other tissues lumped together. The arterial and venous blood compartments are further divided into serum and blood cell subcompartments to model specific data sets (e.g., chemical bound to hemoglobin in red blood cells). Different routes of exposure to AA are represented in the Kirman et al. model including intravenous (i.v.), intraperitoneal (i.p.), gavage, oral drinking water, and inhalation. Metabolism of AA and GA are represented only in the liver. Hepatic metabolism of AA proceeds via two pathways: (1) saturable epoxidation by cytochrome P-450 to produce GA; and (2) first-order conjugation with glutathione (GSH) via glutathione S-transferase (GST) to ultimately yield N-acetyl-S-(3-amino-3-oxopropyl)cysteine. Hepatic metabolism of GA proceeds either with: (1) a first-order conjugation with GSH to yield N-acetyl-S-(3-amino-2-hydroxy-3-oxopropyl)cysteine and N-acetyl-S-(carbamoyl-2-hydroxyethyl)cysteine; or (2) with further saturable metabolism by epoxide hydrolase to yield 2,3-dihydroxypropionamide. Based on the reactivity of AA and GA with GSH, and the potential for depletion of hepatic GSH with sufficiently high doses of AA, GSH depletion and resynthesis are also represented in the model structure. Free GA enters into the GA portion of the model from the oxidative metabolism of AA in the liver compartment. The model also represents binding of AA and GA to hemoglobin, or to liver, tissue, or blood macromolecules. The model was originally developed in ACSL, version 11.8.4 (Aegis Technologies Group, Huntsville, AL), and has subsequently been revised in acslXtreme version 2.3.014, as well as inplemented in Excel.

The model parameters values and sources include measured or calculated values for rat physiological parameters from the literature (tissue volumes, blood flows), estimates for the tissue partition coefficients for AA based on a published algorithm or specific chemical properties (e.g., solubility in water and octanol, vapor pressure), estimates for GA tissue partition coefficients from values for AA using a proportionality constant of 3.2 derived from the ratio of structural analogs (acrylonitrile and its epoxide metabolite, cyanoethylene oxide), and estimates of metabolism and tissue binding rates optimized to fit tissue levels of administered [¹⁴C]-radiolabeled AA (Ramsey et al., 1984; Miller et al., 1982), or to urinary metabolite levels (Raymer et al. 1993 Sumner et al., 1992; Miller et al., 1982). Once the initial metabolism parameters were defined, these values were held fixed, and the model terms for tissue binding were adjusted to match the tissue-binding data sets, which include the radiolabel time-course

data of Miller et al. (1982) and Ramsey et al. (1984). The model terms for metabolism were fine-tuned by refitting simulations of the reparameterized model to the metabolism data sets. Similarly, the model terms for tissue binding were fine-tuned by refitting simulations of the reparameterized model to the tissue binding data sets. This process was repeated until an adequate visual fit was achieved for all data sets using a single set of parameter values.

Walker et al. (2007) PBTK model

The original Kirman et al. (2003) model was not parameterized for humans, and the data used to calibrate the model were limited (i.e., urinary metabolite data and AA radiolabel). Additional kinetic and hemoglobin binding data in rats and humans (Boettcher et al., 2005; Fennell et al., 2003, 2005; Sumner et al., 2003; Bergmark et al., 1991) were used by Walker et al. (2007) to recalibrate the Kirman et al. (2003).

Walker et al. (2007) recalibrated the Kirman et al PBTK model based on hemoglobin adduct data as a surrogate for serum levels of AA and GA because the formation of hemoglobin adducts occurs as a direct function of the blood concentration of the reactive agents and the time that red cells are exposed in vivo. The use of urinary data as a surrogate for serum levels is based on the assumption that urinary metabolites (and ratios of urinary metabolites) are an accurate reflection of specific metabolic pathways and actual levels in the blood or tissues from those pathways. Uncertainties in this assumption arise if not all of the metabolic pathways that could have a significant effect on disposition are known, and if there are other clearances that may be influencing the levels of urinary metabolites or their ratios. The relative levels of "unrecovered" metabolites are also a source of uncertainty, since fractional recoveries in urine (i.e., the total amount of parent and metabolite recovered in urine compared to the dose) are typically far less than 100%. Hemoglobin adduct levels, however, provide a direct measure of the total amount of parent AA and GA metabolite in the blood over a given time period, which is quantified as the area under the curve (AUC in amount-unit time/volume). AUC is the integral of "concentration" (e.g., mg or mmol/L) × "time" (e.g., minutes or hours). Under the reasonable assumption that the amount of parent or reactive toxicant in blood indicates the amount available to bind to tissue macromolecules or DNA, hemoglobin adducts provide a more relevant internal metric to use to calibrate a PBTK model for use in estimating the risk of AA-induced toxicity.

A caveat in the use of the model developed Walker et al. (2007) or any updated model based on the currently available studies, is that the estimated serum AUCs are directly related to the value of the second order adduct formation rate, and at present there are only three estimates of this rate, all derived from in vitro studies (Bergmark et al., 1993; Fennell et al., 2005; Tareke et al, 2006); and only one human serum study (Kopp and Dekant, 2009), with an estimated AUC normalized to administered mg/kg bw n the Kopp and Dekant (2009) study that is not consistent with the normalized AUCs reported in Fennell et al. (2005) based on the in vitro rates. There is

a clear data need for accurate human in vivo second order rate constants for AA and GA hemoglobin adduct formation and elimination. Specifically studies are needed that measure all three of the critical variables needed to resolve these rate constants – administered dose, time course serum levels, and time course adduct levels.

Young et al. (2007) PBTK/TD Model

Young et al. (2007) published a PBTK model developed by the US FDA's National Center for Toxicological Research (NCTR) to simulate AA and GA kinetics in mice, rats, and humans, and to add representation of GA-DNA adduct formation. The model was developed in a general purpose PBTK/TD modeling software program called PostNatal (developed at NCTR). PostNatal is a Windows based program that controls up to four PBTK models under one shell with multiple input and output options for various routes (or combinations of routes) of exposure. Each PBTK unit is comprised of 28 organ/tissue/fluids compartments, and each unit can be maintained as an independent unit or be connected through metabolic pathways to simulate complex exposure regimens or to evaluate drug metabolism and disposition in adult mice, rats, dogs, or humans. For the PBTK model for AA, Young et al. represented the kinetics of AA, GA, AA bound to glutathione, and GA bound to glutathione in separate models coupled by input and output terms with urinary excretion represented in each model (see Figure 3-4). AA or GA dosing is represented by the input terms in the AA and GA model, respectively.

Physiological parameter values in the Young et al. model (organ/tissue weights, blood flows) are assigned with values within the PostNatal program based on animal species, gender, and total body weight (specific values and literature sources not specified). The data used to calibrate the Young et al. model for rats and mice include AA serum levels in rats from an i.p. acute exposure (Raymer et al., 1993), plasma AA and GA levels, and AA and GA hemoglobin adduct levels following relatively high (50 mg/kg bw) repeat i.p. dosing in rats for 11 days or 2.8 mM of AA in drinking water for 47 days (Barber et al., 2001), urinary excretion profile and AA and GA hemoglobin adduct levels following dosing via i.p. (50 mg/kg bw), gavage (50 mg/kg bw) dermal (150 mg/kg bw) or inhalation (3 ppm for 6 hours) (Sumner et al., 2003); and serum and tissue (liver, lung, muscle, brain) levels of AA and GA, and liver GA-DNA adduct data in rats and mice following relatively low dose dosing via i.v. (AA and GA at 0.1–0.12 mg/kg bw), gavage (AA and GA at 0.12 and 50 mg/kg bw), diet (~0.1 mg/kg bw over 30 minutes), and in drinking water (~1 mg/kg bw AA over 42 days) (Doerge et al., 2005a, b, c). The single and multiple oral data from Barber et al. (2001) were combined with the urinary elimination data of Sumner et al. (2003, 1992) and simulated with the model. The Raymer et al. (1993) data were also combined with the urinary elimination data of Sumner et al. (2003, 1992) and simulated in a similar manner. The NCTR tissue data (Doerge et al., 2005a, b, c) were used to develop partition coefficients. Only those tissues specifically analyzed for AA or GA were partitioned

differently from the blood compartment, i.e., assigned a partition coefficient other than 1 (see Table 3-3). Values for the human parameters were calibrated against urinary excretion data (Fuhr et al., 2006; Fennel et al., 2005) and hemoglobin adduct data from a dietary exposure (Boettcher et al., 2005).

Values for the metabolism and elimination of AA or GA, for AA or GA binding to hemoglobin, and for GA-DNA adduct formation were derived by optimizing the fit of the simulation results to individual animal data (i.e., by minimizing the weighted sum of squares of the difference between each data point and its simulated value). All rate constants for the metabolic and elimination processes, the binding and decay of AA or GA to hemoglobin, and the binding of GA to liver macromolecule are represented as first order (i.e., rate constants of min⁻¹). Although Young et al. calibrated their model parameter values in a logical sequence against the data identified in the paper, a number of sensitive parameters were allowed to vary when fitting the individual animal data so as to optimize the model fit to each set of data. The authors evaluate the resulting differences among the model parameter values relative to gender and study conditions for insights into the toxicokinetics of AA and GA, and to assess the uncertainty in the model parameter values. Although in some cases there are statistically significant differences in the fitted model parameter values for basic physiological functions such as excretion of AA-GSH conjugates in urine (which varies as much as four to sixfold for model fits to different studies), the authors argue that the ranges of values are not exceedingly wide considering that different routes of administration for different chemicals are all being compared, and that there is very little difference for each metabolic rate constant when comparing across gender, dose, and route.

For use in the derivation of a toxicity value, a PBTK model is generally developed with the aim of resolving a single set of parameter values that either fits all of the available data best (i.e., provides the broadest predictive capability) or fits the most relevant data for a specific application (e.g., oral and inhalation data for a route-to-route extrapolation). Evaluating the importance of uncertainty in a parameter value or combination of values also depends upon the choice of the dose metric used in a risk assessment, and how sensitive that metric is to the parameter(s) of interest. For the Young et al. (2007) model to be applicable for use in the development of toxicity values for AA, some additional work will therefore be needed to identify a single set of parameters, and to evaluate the sensitivity of various dose metrics to the parameters that are the most uncertain.

4. HAZARD IDENTIFICATION

The importance of assessing the potential health effects from exposure to AA in food has resulted in a unique international collaboration as reflected in international meetings (JIFSAN, 2004, 2002), research programs (U.S. FDA, 2009), special journal issues (*Mutation Research* vol. 580, issues 1–2, 2005), hazard and exposure assessments (JECFA, 2005; NTP/CERHR, 2004), and internet sites (U.S. FDA, 2009; FAO/WHO, 2009) solely dedicated to providing the research and regulatory community (as well as the private and public sectors) access to the latest information. The discussion here identifies key studies that were used to derive EPA's noncancer and cancer toxicity values and that provide scientific support to the cancer descriptor and the characterization of the noncancer and cancer modes of action.

4.1. STUDIES IN HUMANS—EPIDEMIOLOGY, CASE REPORTS, CLINICAL CONTROLS

Numerous case reports of occupational exposure to AA involving both inhalation and dermal exposure report neurological impairment in humans from exposure to AA, but levels of exposure are generally not measured (Gjerløff et al., 2001; Mulloy, 1996; Dumitru, 1989; Donovan and Pearson, 1987; Kesson et al., 1977; Mapp et al., 1977; Davenport et al., 1976; Igisu et al., 1975; Takahashi et al., 1971; Fullerton, 1969; Auld and Bedwell, 1967; Garland and Patterson, 1967). Substances like AA that are highly reactive with short half-lives in the blood are more challenging to monitor for estimates of exposure. AA, however, forms adducts with hemoglobin that persist throughout the life of the adducted red blood cell (estimated at around 120 days), and hemoglobin adducts have been used as biomarker of exposure. There are two cross-sectional health surveillance studies of AA-exposed workers that correlate AA-hemoglobin adduct levels and measures of neurological impairment in AA workers (Hagmar et al., 2001; Calleman et al., 1994).

A quantitative human study on the toxicokinetics of AA was conducted by Fennell et al. (2005) to evaluate metabolism and hemoglobin adduct formation following oral and dermal administration of AA to 24 adult male volunteers. The 24 volunteers were all male Caucasians (with the exception of one Native American), weighing between 71 and 101 kg, and between 26 and 68 years of age. All volunteers were aspermic (i.e., clinically sterile because of the potential for adverse effects of AA on sperm), and had not used tobacco products for the past 6 months. The study was conducted in accordance with the Code of Federal Regulations (CFRs) governing protection of human subjects (21 CFR 50), IRB (21 CFR 56), and retention of data (21 CFR 312) as applicable and consistent with the Declaration of Helsinki. The study used [1,2,3-¹³C]-AA, and, prior to the conduct of exposures in humans, a low-dose study protocol was evaluated in rats administered 3 mg/kg [1,2,3-¹³C]-AA by gavage. Subjects were administered a

59 DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

single oral dose of 0.5, 1.0, or 3.0 mg/kg or a daily dermal dose of 3.0 mg/kg for 3 consecutive days. A comprehensive physical exam was conducted on each individual upon check-in to the clinic, at 24 hours after compound administration, and 7 days after checkout. This exam included medical history, demographic data, neurological examination, 12-lead ECG, vital signs (including oral temperature, respiratory rate, and automated seated pulse and blood pressure), clinical laboratory evaluation (including clinical chemistry, hematology, and complete urinalysis). Each individual also had screens for HIV, hepatitis, and selected drugs of abuse and provided a semen sample to confirm aspermia. Additional ECG, neurological evaluation, abbreviated physical examination, and subjective evaluation were conducted at 4 hours after each AA administration.

No adverse events were reported in the oral phase of the Fennell et al. (2005) study. With the dermal administration, one individual was observed to have a mild contact dermatitis, which is a known response to AA and was part of the informed consent. This individual was seen by a dermatologist who performed a skin biopsy that was consistent with a delayed hypersensitivity reaction. The skin reaction resolved 39 days after the first application of AA and 23 days after the reaction was manifested. An increase in the liver enzyme alanine aminotransferase (ALT) was observed above the upper limit of the reference range (normal) in four of the five individuals who received AA by dermal application, one of whom had a preexisting elevation of this enzyme prior to receiving the dose (data and time of observation not reported). One individual who received dermal AA also had an elevation in serum aspartate transaminase (data and time of observation not reported). The elevated liver function tests returned to within or near the reference range at subsequent determinations and were judged to be not clinically significant by the study physician. When administered to the skin, AA may cause a moderate increase in ALT levels. Serum prolactin, testosterone, and luteinizing hormone did not differ between subjects who received AA at these levels and those who received placebo (data not reported). All blood parameters and hormone levels were within the normal range. There were no neurological or cardiovascular findings in the study participants at either 24 hours or 7 days postexposure.

The recent discovery of AA in foods has prompted a number of studies to evaluate a potential association between dietary AA intake and cancer. Available epidemiology studies on increased risk of cancer from AA in food include a number of case-control studies (Wilson et al., 2009a; Pelucchi et al., 2007, 2006; Michels et al., 2006; Mucci et al., 2005, 2004, 2003) and numerous reports from several ongoing prospective studies (Larsson et al., 2009a, b, c, d; Wilson et al., 2009b; Hogervorst et al., 2008a, b, 2007; Mucci et al., 2006). These studies evaluated Swedish, Danish, Dutch, or Italian populations; available assessment of a U.S. population is restricted to the prospective study of Wilson et al. (2009b). Some of the tumor sites observed in

animal studies (thyroid, testis, central nervous system [CNS]) have also not been evaluated, and there are limitations in some of the study methods and cohort sizes.

In addition two case-control studies have examined possible associations between AA-Hb adduct levels in red blood cells and risks for breast cancer (Olesen et al., 2008) and prostate cancer (Wilson et al., 2009a).

Two cohort mortality studies (Collins et al., 1989; Sobel et al., 1986) with follow-up analyses (Marsh et al., 2007, 1999; Swaen et al., 2007) evaluated increased risk for cancer in AA workers.

No human studies were identified that assessed the potential for adverse reproductive or developmental effects from exposure to AA.

An important factor in evaluating epidemiology studies that relate dietary intake to effects concerns the characterization of the variability in AA internal dose relative to differences in diet composition and consumption rates. Hagmar et al. (2005) observed relatively narrow interindividual variation in AA adduct levels, and suggests that estimates of individual dietary AA intake will need to be very precise to be useful in cancer epidemiology. Hagmar et al. (2005) evaluated variation in dietary exposure to AA relative to measurement of AA hemoglobin adduct levels (as a biomarker of exposure) in blood samples from the Malmö Diet and Cancer Cohort (n = 28,098). The blood donors were well characterized with regard to their food habits, and 142 individuals were selected to obtain the highest possible variation in the adduct levels from AA (i.e., none, random, or high intake of coffee, fried potatoes, crispbreads, and snacks, food items estimated to have high levels of AA). The median hemoglobin adduct level in the randomly selected group of nonsmokers was compatible with earlier studies (0.031 nmol/g). The variation in the average internal dose, measured as hemoglobin adducts, was somewhat smaller than estimated for daily intake by food consumption questionnaires in other studies. Among 70 nonsmokers, the AA adduct levels varied by a factor of 5 (range: 0.02–0.1 nmol/g), with considerable overlap in AA-adduct levels among the different dietary groups. There was a significant difference between men with high dietary exposure to AA compared to men with low dietary exposure (p = 0.04). No such difference was found for women. As expected, smokers had a higher level (range: 0.03–0.43 nmol/g) of AA adducts. Smoking women with high dietary exposure to AA had significantly higher AA adduct levels compared to smoking women with low dietary exposure (p = 0.01), however, no significant difference was found in smoking men.

Cohort mortality studies

Collins et al. (1989) conducted a cohort mortality study of all male workers (8,854, of which 2,293 were exposed to AA) who had been hired between January 1, 1925 and January 31, 1973 at four American Cyanamid factories, three in the United States (Fortier, LA [1295 workers]; Warners, NJ [7,153 workers]; and Kalamazoo, MI [60 workers]) and one in the

Netherlands (Botlek [346 workers]). Estimations of AA exposure were based on available monitoring data and worker knowledge of past jobs and processes. Industrial hygiene monitoring was in place at all four plants in 1977. AA levels monitored at that time were typically considered to be representative of levels during the entire period of plant operation. Workers were classified as unexposed when cumulative AA exposure was less than 0.001 mg/m³-years. Exposure groups were divided into three categories of cumulative exposure: 0.001 to 0.030, 0.030 to 0.30, and greater than 0.30 mg/m³-years. Smoking history records were available for approximately 35% of the total cohort, 76% of whom were smokers. Smoking status of the other workers was unknown. Mortality rates among the factory workers were compared with the expected number of deaths among men of the United States from 1925 to 1980 or the Netherlands from 1950 to 1982 to derive standardized mortality ratios (SMRs) as a measure of relative risk for each cohort. No statistically significantly elevated all cause or cause-specific SMRs were found among AA-exposed workers (including cancer of the digestive or respiratory systems, bone, skin, reproductive organs, bladder, kidney, eye, CNS, thyroid, or lymphatic system). All causes of both exposed and nonexposed workers were significantly (p < p(0.05) lower than expected (SMRs = 0.81 and 0.91, respectively; 95% confidence intervals [CI] were not reported). Trend tests showed no increased risk of mortality due to cancer at several sites (digestive tract, respiratory system, prostate, CNS, or lymphopoietic system) with increasing level of exposure to AA.

The most recent update report (Marsh et al., 2007) of the cohort of Collins et al. (1989) includes study periods of 1925–2002 for the 8,508 workers in the three facilities in the United States, and 1965–2004 for the 344 workers at the Botlek plant in the Netherlands (the original cohort of 346 included 2 females who were excluded in the follow-up). In the Dutch cohort, deficits in deaths were reported for all sites of *a priori* interest (Marsh et al., 2007). Among the workers at the three facilities in the United States (during which 4,650 deaths occurred among the 8,508 workers in the period of 1925–2002), excess and deficit overall mortality risks were observed for cancer sites implicated in experimental animal studies: brain and other CNS (SMR 0.67, 95% CI 0.40–1.05), thyroid gland (SMR 1.38, 95% CI 0.28–4.02), and testis and other male genital organs (SMR 0.64, 95% CI 0.08–2.30); and for sites selected in the original report (Collins et al., 1989) of this cohort: respiratory system cancer (SMR 1.17, 95% CI 1.06–1.27), esophagus (SMR 1.20, 95% CI 0.86–1.63), rectum (SMR 1.25, 95% CI 0.84–1.78), pancreas (SMR 0.94, 95% CI 0.70–1.22), and kidney (SMR 1.01, 95% CI 0.66–1.46). None of the mortality excesses were statistically significant, except for respiratory system cancer, which Collins et al. (1989) attributed to muriatic acid exposure. Table 4-1 lists all of the observed deaths and SMRs for selected causes among the U.S. workers who died between 1950 and 2002. Table 4-2 lists the SMRs from observed deaths for selected cancer sites (rectum, pancreas, and kidney) for all U.S. workers who died between 1950 and 2002, according to the following

exposure parameters and categories: duration of employment (<1, 1–, and 15+ years), time since first employment (<20, 20–, and 30+ years), duration of exposure (unexposed, 0.001–, 5–, and 20+ years), cumulative exposure (<0.001, 0.001–, 0.03–, and 0.30+ mg/m³-years), and estimated mean exposure concentrations (unexposed, 0.001–, 0.02–, and 0.3+ mg/m³). In these exploratory exposure-response analyses of rectal, pancreatic, and kidney cancers, no statistically significantly elevated SMRs were found.

		1925–1994	ł		1995-200	2		1925-2002	2
Cause of death (ICDA-8) ^a	Obs	SMR	95% CI	Obs	SMR	95% CI	Obs	SMR	95% CI
All causes (000–999):	3,557	0.93 ^b	0.90-0.96	1,093	0.95	0.89 - 1.00	4,650	0.93 ^b	0.90-0.96
All malignant neoplasms (140-209)	913	1.06	0.99-1.13	291	0.97	0.86 - 1.08	1,204	1.04	0.98-1.10
Buccal cavity and pharynx (140–149)	24	0.99	0.63-1.47	8	1.67	0.72 - 3.28	32	1.10	0.75 - 1.56
Digestive organs and peritoneum (150–159)	240	1.08	0.95 - 1.22	68	0.92	0.75-1.23	308	1.05	0.94–1.18
Esophagus (150)	32	1.27	0.87 - 1.79	9	1.01	0.46-1.91	41	1.20	0.86-1.63
Stomach (151)	48	1.24	0.91–1.64	8	0.99	0.43-1.95	56	1.19	0.90-1.55
Large intestine (153)	72	0.97	0.76 - 1.22	33	1.29	0.89-1.81	105	1.05	0.86-1.27
Rectum (154)	26	1.31	0.86-1.93	4	0.94	0.26 - 2.40	30	1.25	0.84 - 1.78
Liver (155, 156)	13	0.72	0.38 - 1.24	4	0.45	0.12 - 1.16	17	0.63	0.37 - 1.02
Pancreas (157)	45	1.04	0.76-1.39	9	0.62	0.28 - 1.18	54	0.94	0.70-1.22
Respiratory system (160–163)	369	1.19 ^b	1.07 - 1.32	110	1.08	0.89-1.31	479	1.17^{b}	1.06 - 1.27
Larynx (161)	15	1.24	0.70 - 2.05	1	0.32	0.01 - 1.79	16	1.05	0.60-1.71
Lung (162, 163)	354	1.21 ^b	1.08 - 1.34	109	1.12	0.92-1.35	463	1.18^{b}	1.08 - 1.30
Bone (170)	2	0.69	0.08 - 2.50	1	2.30	0.06-12.83	3	0.90	0.19–2.63
Skin (172, 173)	10	0.67	0.32 - 1.23	4	0.74	0.20-1.89	14	0.69	0.38-1.15
Prostate (185)	73	0.98	0.77 - 1.24	38	0.93	0.66-1.28	111	0.97	0.79–1.16
Testis and other male genital organs (186, 187)	1	0.36	0.01-1.99	1	2.96	0.07-16.51	2	0.64	0.08 - 2.30
Bladder (188)	29	1.30	0.87 - 1.87	10	1.09	0.52 - 2.00	39	1.24	0.88 - 1.70
Kidney (189)	23	1.16	0.73 - 1.74	4	0.57	0.16-1.47	27	1.01	0.66–1.46
Brain and other CNS (191, 192)	15	0.69	0.39–1.15	3	0.55	0.11 - 1.62	18	0.67	0.40 - 1.05
Thyroid gland (193)	3	2.10	0.43-6.14	0	_	0.00-4.89	3	1.38	0.28-4.02
All lymphopoietic tissue (200–209)	62	0.80	0.61-1.03	22	0.74	0.47 - 1.12	84	0.78°	0.63-0.97
Lymphosarcoma and reticulosarcoma (200)	6	0.62	0.23-1.35	0	-	0.00 - 14.14	6	0.60	0.22-1.31
Hodgkin's disease (201)	9	1.33	0.61 - 2.52	1	2.13	0.05 - 11.85	10	1.38	0.66-2.53
Leukemia and aleukemia (204–207)	23	0.75	0.48 - 1.12	9	0.79	0.36-1.51	32	0.76	0.52 - 1.08
Other lymphatic tissue (202, 203, 208)	23	0.76	0.48 - 1.14	12	0.68	0.35 - 1.20	35	0.73	0.51 - 1.02
Benign neoplasms (210–239)	10	1.01	0.49–1.86	2	1.11	0.14-4.02	12	1.03	0.53-1.79
Diabetes mellitus (250)	47	0.76	0.56 - 1.02	37	1.18	0.83-1.63	84	0.91	0.72 - 1.12
Diseases of the circulatory system (390–458)	1,569	0.91 ^b	0.86-0.95	383	0.78 ^b	0.70-0.86	1,952	0.88 ^b	0.84-0.92
Nonmalignant respiratory disease (460-519)	196	0.76^{b}	0.66–0.87	99	0.77 ^b	0.62-0.93	295	0.76^{b}	0.68-0.85
Cirrhosis of the liver (571)	83	0.96	0.76-1.19	9	0.79	0.36-1.50	92	0.94	0.76-1.15
All external causes of death (800–998)	251	0.72 ^b	0.63-0.81	20	0.77	0.47 - 1.19	271	0.72 ^b	0.64-0.81
Unknown causes (999.9)	202			108			310		
People (n)		8,508			4,565			8,508	
Person-years		288,126			32,219			320,345	

Table 4-1. Observed deaths and SMRs for selected causes by follow up period for all workers (compared with the general U.S. population)

^aMonson life table program ICD-8 categories, labels and codes for U.S. plants for 1925–1989; corresponding rates for 1990–2001 from the mortality and population data system (MPDS) maintained at the University of Pittsburgh.

 ${}^{\rm b}p \le 0.01$.

 $^{c}p \le 0.05.$

Source: Marsh et al. (2007).

Table 4-2. Observed deaths and SMRs for selected cancer sites by duration of employment, time since first employment, and measures of exposure to acrylamide, all U.S. workers, 1950–2002 (compared with the local male populations)

	Rectum			Pancreas			Kidney		
	Obs	SMR	95% CI	Obs	SMR	95% CI	Obs	SMR	95% CI
Duration of employment									
(years)									
<1	8	0.63	0.27-1.24	22	0.82	0.51-1.24	10	0.73	0.35-1.34
1–	13	1.25	0.66-2.13	17	0.84	0.49–1.35	9	0.87	0.40-1.64
15+	7	1.05	0.42-2.12	15	1.17	0.66-1.93	8	1.20	0.52-2.37
Time since first									
employment (years)									
<20	3	0.71	0.15-2.06	4	0.66	0.18-1.68	2	0.58	0.07-2.09
20-	5	0.79	0.26-1.83	11	0.96	0.48-1.72	4	0.65	0.18-1.66
30+	20	1.04	0.64-1.61	39	0.92	0.65-1.26	21	1.00	0.62-1.52
Duration of exposure									
(years)									
Unexposed	21	0.85	0.52-1.29	38	0.78	0.55 - 1.08	19	0.78	0.48-1.22
0.001-	3	1.32	0.27-3.86	6	1.12	0.41-2.43	4	1.31	0.36-3.36
5–	2	1.15	0.14-4.14	6	1.55	0.57-3.38	3	1.37	0.28-4.00
20+	2	1.96	0.24-7.07	4	1.81	0.49-4.63	1	0.88	0.02-4.88
Cumulative exposure									
(mg/m ³ -years)									
< 0.001	21	0.85	0.52-1.29	38	0.78	0.55 - 1.08	19	0.78	0.47-1.22
0.001-	1	1.43	0.04-7.98	3	1.65	0.34-4.83	1	0.88	0.02-4.92
0.03–	4	2.44	0.67-6.25	4	0.94	0.26-2.40	4	1.56	0.42-4.00
0.30+	2	0.75	0.09-2.71	9	1.71	0.78-3.25	3	1.15	0.24-3.36
Mean intensity of									
exposure (mg/m³)									
Unexposed	21	0.85	0.52-1.29	38	0.78	0.55-1.08	19	0.78	0.47-1.22
0.001-	4	2.96	0.81-7.58	5	1.34	0.44-3.14	2	0.86	0.10-3.10
0.02-	0	_	0.00-1.64	5	1.11	0.36-2.60	3	1.27	0.26-3.71
0.30+	3	2.08	0.43-6.09	6	1.85	0.68-4.03	3	1.77	0.37-5.18

Source: Marsh et al. (2007).

Although an earlier update analysis (Marsh et al., 1999) of the Collins et al. (1989) cohort reported a significant 2.26-fold risk (95% CI 1.03–4.29) for pancreatic cancer among workers with cumulative exposure to AA >0.30 mg/m³-years, the excess in the most recent update (Marsh et al., 2007) was not statistically significant (SMR 1.71, 95% CI 0.78–3.25). Marsh et al. (2007) concluded that exposure to AA at the levels reported in their study sites "was not associated with elevated cancer mortality risks". Limitations of the study are the large proportion of short-term workers in the cohort, incomplete smoking data, and somewhat limited follow-up duration (about 54% of the cohort had died through 2002). Strengths of the study include the relatively large size of the cohort and the quantitative measures of exposure that were made; with continued follow-up, additional important information will be gathered.

Sobel et al. (1986) conducted a mortality study on a cohort of 371 workers assigned to AA and polymerization operations at a Dow Chemical facility in the United States. The cohort was identified from annual and monthly census lists generated between 1955 and 1979. Analysis and review of air monitoring data and job classifications resulted in estimates of personal 8-hour time-weighted average AA concentrations of 0.1–1.0 mg/m³ before 1957, 0.1–0.6 mg/m³ from 1957 to 1970, and 0.1 mg/m³ thereafter. Fourteen of the 371 workers had been exposed to organic dyes in another area of the facility for 5 or more years but moved to the AA areas when organic dye processes were discontinued. SMRs, calculated for categories in which at least two deaths were observed, were based on mortality of white males in the United States.

A total of 29 deaths from all causes was observed among the cohort up until 1982, compared to 38 expected. Incidences of tumors of the CNS, thyroid gland, and endocrine organs, as well as mesotheliomas, were of particular interest within the cohort in view of a report of increased tumor incidences at these sites in AA-exposed rats (Johnson et al., 1986); however, no statistically significantly increased incidences of cancer-related deaths were observed. Mortality from cancer among the entire cohort was slightly elevated (11 vs. 7.9 expected) but was lower than expected when the workers with previous exposure to the organic dyes were excluded (4 deaths vs. 6.5 expected). This study is limited by small cohort size, exposure to other chemicals (e.g., acrylonitrile), relatively short duration of employment for many of the workers (276 were employed for 4 years or less, 167 of whom had less than 1 year of employment at the facility), limited follow-up duration, and the inability to detect small increases in risk among site-specific cancers.

Swaen et al. (2007) provide an update of the Sobel study cohort (of 371 AA workers) and expand the cohort to include employees hired since 1979. A total of 696 AA workers were followed from 1955 through 2001 to ascertain the long-term health effects of occupational exposure to AA among production and polymerization workers and the cause of death. Exposure to AA was retrospectively assessed based on personal samples from the 1970s onwards and area samples over the whole study period. The study reports fewer of the AA workers died (n = 141) compared to an expected number of 172.1 (SMR 81.9, 95% CI 69.0–96.6). No causespecific SMR for any of the investigated types of cancer was exposure related. The authors report more total pancreatic cancer deaths (n = 5) than expected (n = 2.3) (SMR 222.2, 95% CI 72.1–518.5), however, 3 of the 5 were in the low dose group, with no apparent dose-response relationship with AA exposure, and thus questionable support for an AA related carcinogenicity. Although these studies provide no good evidence of a cancer risk from occupational exposure to AA at production facilities, additional studies are needed to further evaluate the potential carcinogenicity in humans from exposure to AA.

Case-control studies

No statistically significant associations were found between high consumption of foods with high (300–1,200 µg/kg) or moderate (30–299 µg/kg) AA concentrations and an increased risk of large bowel, kidney, or bladder cancer in a reanalysis (Mucci et al., 2003) of an existing population-based case-control study (Augustsson et al., 1999). Augustsson et al. (1999) identified the existing population to study the relation between heterocyclic amines in fried foods and cancer of the large bowel and urinary tract. Individuals in this study were born in Sweden between 1918 and 1942 and resided in Stockholm for at least 1 month between November 1992 and December 1994. Cases were identified from a national cancer registry. Controls were selected from a national population registry and matched by age and gender to cases. Questionnaires concerning dietary habits in the 5 years previous to the study were mailed to 692 controls and 875, 391, and 186 cases of cancer of the large bowel, bladder, and kidney, respectively. Based on completed questionnaires, the final sample size was 538 controls, 591 large bowel cancer cases, 263 bladder cancer cases, and 133 kidney cancer cases. In an unconditional logistic regression analysis, odds ratios (ORs) were calculated for frequency and amounts consumed of 14 food types with high (e.g., potato crisps, French fried potatoes) or moderate (e.g., various types of breakfast cereals and breads) levels of AA vs. each type of cancer. No statistically significantly elevated ORs were found for frequent consumption of any of these food types and risks for large bowel, bladder, or kidney cancer. A summary measure of dietary AA intake was estimated for each individual, based on the results of the questionnaire and median concentrations of AA in foods determined by the Swedish National Food Administration. Quartiles of the summary dietary AA measure were based on distribution in the control group and were modeled as categorical variables with the lowest quartile as the referent group. Tests for trend were calculated using likelihood ratio tests, where the categorical medians of each quartile were modeled as covariates. In regression analyses that adjusted for age and gender or several additional potential confounding variables (e.g., smoking, alcohol intake, and fruit and vegetable intake), no statistically significant trends for increasing ORs with increasing AA exposure measure were found for the three types of cancers. Strengths of this study include

the population basis of the design, the moderately high participation rate, the large number of cases, and the estimation of individual dietary exposures to AA. Limitations of the study to detect increased cancer risks include the relatively low dietary intake of the study population compared with the intake of AA in rat bioassays demonstrating cancer and the restriction of the cases to large bowel, kidney, and bladder cancers. Other limitations include the relevance a 5-year recall questionnaire would have to a lifetime exposure estimate for individuals born between 1918 and 1942. There may also have been considerable changes in food processing and the types of food in the diet over that time period, e.g., potato crisp and French fry intake may have been considerably different pre-World War II, and breads and cereal products have changed considerably over time.

In the renal cancer cell study, Mucci et al. (2004) reanalyzed data from a large population-based Swedish case-control study of renal cell cancer. Again, food frequency data were linked with national food databases on AA content, and daily AA intake was estimated for participants. The risk of renal cell cancer was evaluated for intake of food items with elevated AA levels and for total daily AA dose. Adjusting for potential confounders, there was no evidence that food items with elevated AA, including coffee (OR [highest vs. lowest quartile] = 0.7; 95% CI = 0.4-1.1), crispbreads (OR [highest vs. lowest quartile] = 1.0; 95% CI = 0.6-1.6), and fried potatoes (OR [highest vs. lowest quartile] = 1.1; 95% CI = 0.7-1.7), were associated with a higher risk of renal cell cancer risk. There was also no association between estimated daily AA intake through diet and cancer risk (OR [highest vs. lowest quartile] = 1.1; 95% CI = 0.7-1.8; p = 0.8 for trend). The authors state that the results of this study were in line with the previous studies examining dietary AA, suggesting that there is no association between dietary AA and risk of renal cell cancer.

In the breast cancer evaluation, Mucci et al. (2005) assessed AA intake of more than 43,000 women, including 667 breast cancer cases, who were enrolled in the Swedish Women's Lifestyle and Health Cohort. AA intake was determined from food frequency questionnaires (FFQs) reported by the women in 1991, and the women's health status was tracked via national health registers until the end of 2002. The average daily AA intake among the participants was estimated at 25.9 μ g/day, with less than 1.5% of the women consuming more than 1 μ g/kg-day of AA. The foods that contributed the most to AA intake were coffee (54% of AA dose), fried potatoes (12% of dose), and crispbreads (9% of dose). Mucci et al. (2005) compared women in the study who had the lowest daily AA intake with women whose intake was higher and reported no significant increased risk of breast cancer in the higher intake group.

A different research group reported similar findings for a broad spectrum of cancers. Pelucchi et al. (2006) evaluated data from an integrated network of Italian and Swiss hospitalbased case-control studies to investigate the relation between dietary AA intake and cancers of the oral cavity and pharynx (749 cases, 1,772 controls), esophagus (395 cases, 1,066 controls), large bowel (1,394 cases of colon cancer, 886 cases of rectal cancer, 4,765 controls), larynx (527 cases, 1,297 controls), breast (2,900 cases, 3,122 controls), ovary (1,031 cases, 2,411 controls), and prostate (1,294 cases, 1,451 controls). All the studies included incident, histologically confirmed cancer cases and controls admitted to the same network of hospitals for acute nonneoplastic conditions. Odds ratios were derived from multivariate logistic regression models, adjusted for energy intake and other major covariates of interest. The ORs for the highest vs. the lowest quintile of AA intake were 1.12 (95% CI = 0.76-1.66) for cancer of the oral cavity/pharynx, 1.10 (95% CI = 0.65-1.86) for esophageal, 0.97 (95% CI = 0.80-1.18) for colorectal, 1.23 (95% CI = 0.80-1.90) for laryngeal, 1.06 (95% CI = 0.88-1.28) for breast, 0.97 (95% CI = 0.73-1.31) for ovarian, and 0.92 (95% CI = 0.69-1.23) for prostate. None of the risk trends were significant. The authors concluded that this uniquely large and comprehensive data set did not show any consistent association between intake of AA and the risk of breast and several other common cancers.

Pelucchi et al. (2007) subsequently reported the results of a case-control study to investigate the relation between dietary AA intake and renal cell cancer that was conducted in four areas of Italy between 1992 and 2004. The study design was similar to that of Pelucchi et al. (2006). Incident, histologically confirmed renal cell cancer cases were 767 patients (494 men, 273 women). Controls consisted of 1,534 subjects (988 men, 546 women) matched with cases by study center, sex, and age; controls were admitted to hospitals for acute nonneoplastic conditions, which were not related to known or potential risk factors for renal cell cancer or long-term dietary modifications. ORs for increasing quartiles of total AA intake (20.4–31.2, 31.2–44.1, and >44.1 µg/day) were 1.21 (95% CI 0.94–1.57), 1.14 (95% CI 0.86–1.51), and 1.20 (95% CI 0.88–1.63), respectively, compared to the lowest quartile ($\leq 20.4 \mu g/day$); there was no trend in risk (p = 0.35). The study authors stated that with respect to estimated total AA intake, risk of renal cell cancer was consistent across strata of sex and age. Estimated average AA intake was 37 μ g/day. With respect to consumption of selected foods containing AA and their relative contribution to estimated total AA intake (fried/baked potatoes, 29.6%; white bread, 28.6%; sweet biscuits, 15.0%; coffee, 12.4%; crackers, 6.5%), only white bread exhibited statistically significantly elevated ORs (1.49, 95% CI 1.18–1.87; 1.70, 95% CI 1.25–2.30) for weekly portions of 7–<21 and ≥ 21 , respectively. The study authors indicated that the relationship between white bread consumption and renal cell cancer might be explained by a high glycemic content and consequent effect on levels of insulin-like growth factors. It was concluded that the study results confirm the results of Mucci et al. (2004, 2003) in which there was no significant association between food items containing elevated levels of AA and risk of kidney or renal cell cancer.

Wilson et al. (2009a) conducted a case-control study to assess possible associations between AA and prostate cancer risk using two measures of AA exposure: intake from FFQs and AA-Hb adduct levels in blood samples. Dietary data were available for 1,499 prostate cancer cases and 1,118 controls from a Cancer of the Prostate in Sweden (CAPS) populationbased case-control study. AA-Hb adduct levels were measured in blood samples from a subset of 170 prostate cancer cases and 161 controls. Incident cases of prostate cancer were pathologically or cytologically verified; clinical data were available for 95% of the cases in the study. Controls were randomly selected from the Swedish Population Registry and were frequency matched to cases by five-year age groups and region of residence. No significant association was found between AA exposure (as measured by FFQ or AA-Hb adduct levels) and risk of prostate cancer. The FFQ OR for the highest versus the lowest quintile was 0.97 (95% CI: 0.75–1.27), with adjustments made for age, smoking, body mass index, zinc intake and energy intake. The AA-Hb adduct OR for the highest versus the lowest quintile was 0.93 (95% CI: 0.47-1.85), with adjustment for age, region, body mass index, laboratory batch, and smoking.

Michels et al. (2006) conducted a case-control study to evaluate whether diet during preschool age affected a woman's risk of breast cancer later in life. The case-control study is a nested study that included 582 women with breast cancer and 1,569 controls free of breast cancer, selected from participants in two prospective cohort studies, the Nurses' Health Study and the Nurses' Health Study II. The cohorts in the two prospective studies consisted of 121,700 and 116,678 female registered nurses, respectively, born between 1921 and 1965. For both cohorts, biennial self-administered questionnaires provided updated information on demographic, anthropometric, and lifestyle factors and on newly diagnosed diseases, including breast cancer. Pathology reports confirmed a breast cancer diagnosis, and the current study was restricted to cases of invasive breast cancer. Information concerning childhood diet of the nurses at ages 3–5 years was obtained from the mothers of the participants with a 30-item food-frequency self-administered questionnaire. The median year of birth for the cases is not reported but is calculated from the data in the report to be around 1939. The date of the questionnaire is not stated in the report, but 1993 is when the cases were identified.

Frequencies of intake of the individual foods were converted into servings/day (e.g., number of glasses of milk per day) or servings/week depending on the food, and used as continuous variables. For 718 nurses, complete data on the frequencies of food intake were available, but for 1,433 participants data were missing or the mother did not remember the frequency of intake of one or more food items. On average mothers marked the "don't remember" option for 8.5% of the food items and left 3.8% of food items blank. Overall, the proportion of missingness (blanks and don't remembers) ranged from 4.5% for milk to 21% for cheese. Odds ratios were obtained using unconditional logistic regression models. The association between food consumption and breast cancer was estimated for each individual food item, combinations of foods, and nutrients. Of the 582 breast cancer cases and 1,569 controls,

63% were premenopausal, 27% were postmenopausal, and 10% were of uncertain menopausal status.

The results indicated an increased risk of breast cancer among woman who had frequently consumed French fries at preschool age. For one additional serving of French fries per week, the OR for breast cancer adjusted for adult life breast cancer risk factors was 1.27 (95% CI = 1.12-1.44). Consumption of whole milk was associated with a slightly decreased risk of breast cancer (covariate-adjusted OR for every additional glass of milk per day = 0.90; 95% CI = 0.82-0.99). Intake of none of the nutrients calculated was related to the breast cancer risk in this study. The authors noted that they did not observe a similar association of breast cancer with frequent consumption of hot dogs or ground beef, suggesting that French fry consumption was not a marker of "fast food" habits. A caveat here is the time frame of the 3- to 5-year-olds, which for at least half of the cases would be in the early 1940s, when restaurants and diets were considerably different from today.

The study results suggest a possible association between diet before puberty and the subsequent risk of breast cancer, but the conclusions and the study are of limited use. No information is available on cooking methods or AA content in the foods being evaluated, and the ability of mothers to accurately recall preschool diets from 30 to 50 years ago is questionable. The researchers do attempt to assess the validity of the diet questionnaire protocol by administering a questionnaire to mothers of participants in a similar longitudinal study population (the Fels Longitudinal Study) for whom 7-day diet records were kept by the mothers when the participants were 3-6 years old. These participants were born between 1929 and 1950, and the questionnaire was administered in 1997. The mothers in this validation study ranged in age from 60 to 93 years old. The sample size of completed questionnaires was small (n = 29). Spearman correlations of mean daily consumption of foods reported by the mothers on the 7-day diet records and on the recall questionnaire were 0.46 (p = 0.2) for whole milk, 0.37 (p = 0.07) for broccoli, and 0.36 (p = 0.07) for French fries. Since these mothers took records during the years of interest for the Fels cohort (in contrast to the mothers in the Nurses' Health Study cohort), the above correlations can be considered an upper bound, suggesting high uncertainty in the accuracy of the recall results.

Olesen et al. (2008) conducted a nested case-control study to examine associations between breast cancer and AA exposure using AA-Hb and GA-Hb adduct levels in red blood cells as biomarkers. The study design included separate analyses for endocrine receptor positive and negative (ER+ and ER–) breast cancer cases. The study included 374 breast cancer cases and 374 age-matched controls selected from a cohort of 24,697 postmenopausal women participating in the Danish Diet, Cancer, and Health prospective cohort study. Information on cancer occurrence was obtained from the Danish Cancer Registry. ER status was obtained from the Danish Breast Cancer Co-operative Group. The median age of the cases and controls at entry into the cohort was 57 years; the median length of follow-up was 4.2 years. ER status was obtained for 348 (93%) of the breast cancer cases; 269 were reported as ER+. Mean AA-Hb and GA-HB adduct levels were 47 and 26 pmol/g globin in the 374 breast cancer cases, 47 and 28 pmol/g globin in the 374 matched controls, 48 and 27 pmol/g globin in the 269 ER+ breast cancer cases, and 40 and 23 pmol/g globin in the 79 ER– breast cancer cases. No significant association was found between AA-Hb or GA-Hb adduct levels and total breast cancer either with or without adjusting for smoking status. However, the study authors reported a statistically significant positive association between AA-Hb adduct level and ER+ breast cancer (estimated incidence rate ratios of 4.9, 95% CI 1.2–20) per 10-fold increase in AA-Hb adduct level in smokers and 2.7 (95% CI 1.1–6.6) per 10-fold increase in AA-Hb level after adjustment for smoking.

Prospective studies for cancer

Mucci et al. (2006) conducted a prospective study to evaluate an association between AA in food and risk of colon and rectal cancers using prospective data from the Swedish Mammography Cohort. The cohort comprised 61,467 women at baseline between 1987 and 1990. Through 2003, the cohort contributed 823,072 person-years, and 504 cases of colon and 237 of rectal cancer occurred. Mean intake of AA through diet was 24.6 μ g/day (Q25–70 = 18.7–29.9). Coffee (44%), fried potato products (16%), crispbreads (15%), and other breads (12%) were the greatest contributors. After adjusting for potential confounders, the authors report no association between estimated AA intake and colorectal cancer. Comparing extreme quintiles, the adjusted relative risks (95% CI; *p* for trend) were for colorectal cancer 0.9 (0.7–1.3; *p* = 0.80), colon cancer 0.9 (0.6–1.4; *p* = 0.83), and rectal cancer 1.0 (0.6–1.8; *p* = 0.77). Intake of specific food items with elevated AA (e.g., coffee, crispbreads, and fried potato products) was not associated with cancer risk.

Wilson et al. (2009b) evaluated possible associations between AA in food and risks of breast cancer in a cohort of 90,628 registered nurses from the Nurses' Health Study II (United States) who were premenopausal, had baseline diet information, were without a diagnosis of cancer before baseline in 1991, and had plausible energy intake. FFQs with more than 130 food items (including major AA-containing foods) were completed in 1991, 1995, 1999, and 2003. Newly diagnosed cases of breast cancer were identified in biennial follow-up questionnaires. Pathology reports confirmed 98% of the self-reported breast cancers. Information on ER/progesterone receptor (PR) status, available for 916 of the breast cancer cases, indicated that 597 were ER+/PR+ and 196 were ER-/PR-.

During 14 years (945,764 person-years) of follow-up, 1,179 cases of breast cancer were identified in the cohort of 90,628 premenoposal women. The ages at breast cancer diagnosis ranged from 26 to 56 years. Mean AA intakes in the lowest and highest quintiles were 10.8 and

37.8 µg/day, respectively. The major contributors to AA intake were French fries (23%), coffee (15%), cold breakfast cereal (12%), potato chips (9%), and other potatoes (5%). Women in the highest quintile of AA consumption tended to be current smokers and were less likely to exercise than women in the lowest quintile. After adjusting for potential confounders, the authors reported no association between estimated AA intake and risk of breast cancer. The relative risk (95% CI; *p* for trend) of premenopausal breast cancer was 0.92 (0.76–1.11; *p* = 0.61) for the highest quintile versus the lowest quintile. Results were similar regardless of ER or PR status of the tumors, smoking status, and specific AA-containing food type.

Larsson and coworkers conducted a series of prospective studies to evaluate associations between exposure to AA in food and risks of breast cancer (Larsson et al., 2009d), endometrial cancer (Larsson et al., 2009a), and epithelial ovarian cancer (Larsson et al., 2009b) in cohorts of Swedish women (n > 61,000 in each cohort); and colorectal cancer in a cohort of 45,306 Swedish men (Larsson et al., 2009c). The cohorts were cancer free at enrollment in 1987–1990, completed FFQs at baseline and again in 1997, and were followed for averages of 17.4–17.7 years. The mean daily intake of AA at baseline was 24.6 μ g (±7.6, SD) in the female cohorts and 36.1 μ g (±9.6, SD) in the male cohort. After adjusting for potential confounders, the authors reported no association between estimated AA intake and risk of breast, endometrial, or epithelial ovarian cancer in the female cohorts, and colorectal cancer in the male cohort. Comparing extreme quartiles, the adjusted relative risk (95% CI; p for trend) in the female cohorts were 1.17 (0.84–1.64; p = 0.76) for breast cancer, 0.96 (0.76–1.21; p = 0.72) for endometrial cancer, and 0.86 (0.63–1.16; p = 0.39) for total ovarian cancer. In the male cohort, the adjusted relative risks (95% CI; p for trend) were 0.95 (0.74–1.20; p = 0.69) for colorectal cancer, 0.97 (0.71–1.31; p = 0.78) for colon cancer, and 0.91 (0.62–1.34; p = 0.78) for rectal cancer.

Hogervorst and coworkers selected the Netherlands Cohort Study on diet and cancer to evaluate associations between exposure to AA in food and risks of endometrial, ovarian, and breast cancer (Hogervorst et al. 2007); renal cell, bladder, and prostate cancer (Hogervorst et al., 2008a); and gastrointestinal cancer (Hogervorst et al., 2008b). At baseline (1986), the participants completed a self-administered questionnaire on diet and other cancer risk factors. A case-cohort approach was used in which cases were enumerated for the entire cohort (consisting of 58,279 men and 62,573 presumed menopausal women) to provide the numerator information for estimating incidence rates and randomly-sampled subcohorts (2,589 women in the study of endometrial, ovarian, and breast cancer; 5,000 cohort members for the studies of other cancer sites) from the entire cohort at baseline to provide the denominator information for estimating incidence rates.

Hogervorst et al. (2007), identified 327, 300, and 1,835 cases of endometrial, ovarian, and breast cancer, respectively during 11.3 years of follow-up. The estimated mean daily intake

of AA was $21 \pm 11.9 \ \mu\text{g/day}$ in the subcohort. The investigators found no increased risk of breast cancer, but reported increased risks of postmenopausal endometrial and ovarian cancer with increasing dietary AA intake, particularly among never-smokers. Comparing the lowest quintile of AA intake (mean intake 8.9 μ g/day) with the highest quintile (mean intake 40.2 μ g/day), adjusted hazard rate ratios (HRs) (95% CI; *p* for trend) were 0.93 (0.73–1.19; *p* = 0.79) for breast cancer, 1.29 (0.81–2.07; *p* = 0.18) for endometrial cancer, and 1.78 (1.10–2.88; *p* = 0.02) for ovarian cancer. Among never-smokers, HRs were 1.10 (0.80–1.52; *p* = 0.55) for breast cancer, 1.99 (1.12–3.52; *p* = 0.03) for endometrial cancer, and 2.22 (1.20–4.08; *p* = 0.01) for ovarian cancer.

Hogervorst et al. (2008a) identified 339, 1,210, and 2,246 cases of renal cell, bladder, and prostate cancer, respectively, during 13.3 years of follow-up. The estimated mean daily intake of AA was $21.8 \pm 12.0 \mu$ g/day in the subcohort. The investigators found no increased risk of bladder or prostate cancer, but reported increased risk of renal cell cancer with increasing dietary AA intake. Comparing the lowest quintile of AA intake (mean intake 9.5 μ g/day) with the highest quintile (mean intake 40.8 μ g/day), adjusted HRs were 0.91 (0.73–1.15; *p* = 0.60) for renal cell cancer, 1.06 (0.87–1.30; *p* = 0.69) for prostate cancer, and 1.59 (1.09–2.30; *p* = 0.04) for ovarian cancer.

Hogervorst et al. (2008b) identified 2,190, 563, 349, and 216 cases of colorectal, gastric, pancreatic, and esophageal cancer, respectively, during 13.3 years of follow-up. The estimated mean daily intake of AA was $21.7 \pm 12.1 \mu g/day$ in the subcohort. This study found no significant association between AA intake and risk of colorectal, gastric, pancreatic, or esophageal cancer. Comparing the lowest quintile of AA intake with the highest quintile, adjusted HRs were 1.00 (0.84–1.20; p = 0.94) for colorectal cancer, 1.06 (0.78–1.45; p = 0.77) for gastric cancer, 0.98 (0.68–1.40; p = 0.75) for pancreatic cancer, and 0.83 (0.54–1.30; p = 0.68) for esophageal cancer.

Cross-sectional neurological evaluations

He et al. (1989) studied 71 workers (45 males and 26 females) between 17 and 41 years of age who were exposed to AA 8 hours/day, 6 days/week for 1 to 18 months at a factory in China. A referent group consisted of 33 male and 18 female unexposed workers (17 to 35 years of age) from the same town. Production of AA was initiated in May 1984, and subjects were tested in October 1985. Atmospheric concentrations of AA reached 5.56–9.02 mg/m³ between March and June 1985 during an exceptional increase in production, and decreased to an average of 0.0324 mg/m³ after July 1985. The workers were evaluated in October 1985. An AA level of 410 mg/L was measured in the water in which three of the workers washed their hands. Clinical and laboratory examinations included personal interviews to obtain information on demographic factors, occupational history, symptoms, past illnesses, and family history. Physical and

neurological examinations, visual acuity, and visual field testing, skin temperature measurements, electrocardiography, and electroencephalography were performed. Laboratory analysis included routine blood and urine tests, liver function (serum glutamate pyruvate transaminase and the thymol turbidity test for increased globulin components in sera), serum hepatitis B surface antigen, serum β -glucuronidase, and immunoglobulins. Sixty-nine of the exposed workers and 48 of the referent workers were subjected to electroneuromyographic examinations that included measurements of electrical activity in abductor pollicis brevis and abductor digiti minimi muscles of the hand, maximal motor nerve conduction velocity in the lower arm and leg, maximal sensory nerve conduction velocity in the lower arm, and the Hreflex and Achilles tendon reflex. Statistical methods employed included the χ^2 test to analyze symptoms and clinical signs and the Student's t-test to assess electroneuromyographic parameters. The level of statistical significance was p < 0.05.

The prevalence of a variety of symptoms reported by the exposed and referent groups is shown in Table 4-3. Compared to the referent group, significantly greater percentages of the AA-exposed group reported skin peeling from the hands, anorexia, numbness and coldness in hands and feet, lassitude, sleepiness, muscle weakness, clumsiness of the hands, unsteady gait, difficulty in grasping, and stumbling and falling. The authors stated that initial symptoms of skin peeling were the result of dermal exposure to aqueous AA and that other symptoms appeared following 3 to 10 months of occupational exposure. Additional statistically significant signs included greater percentages of exposed workers exhibiting erythema of the hands, sensory impairments (vibration, pain, and touch sensation), diminished reflexes in biceps, knee, and ankle, loss of reflexes in the knee and ankle, and intention tremor. Results from visual acuity and visual field testing were normal.

	Acrylamide g	roup (n = 71)	Reference group (n = 51)		
Symptoms	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Skin peeling from the hands	38	53.5 ^a	2	3.9	
Numbness in the hands and feet	15	21.1 ^b	2	3.9	
Lassitude	14	19.7 ^b	1	1.9	
Sleepiness	12	16.9 ^b	0	0	
Muscle weakness	11	15.4 ^b	0	0	
Clumsiness of the hands	8	11.2 ^a	0	0	
Anorexia	8	11.2 ^a	1	1.9	
Unsteady gait	6	8.4 ^a	0	0	
Coldness of the hands and feet	6	8.4 ^a	0	0	
Difficulty in grasping	5	7.0^{a}	0	0	
Stumbling and falling	5	7.0^{a}	0	0	
Sweating	27	38.0	14	27.4	
Dizziness	7	9.8	2	3.9	
Cramping pain	6	8.4	5	9.8	

 Table 4-3. Neurological symptoms self-reported by acrylamide workers and nonexposed workers

 $^{a}p < 0.05.$ $^{b}p < 0.01 \ (\chi^{2} \text{ test}).$

Source: He et al. (1989).

Electrical activity, monitored in both the abductor pollicis brevis and abductor digiti minimi muscles of the hand of 69 exposed workers, revealed denervation potentials (3/69 exposed workers), prolonged duration of motor units (40/69), increased polyphasic potentials (29/69), and discrete pattern of recruitment (9/69). These abnormalities were not seen in the group of 48 referent workers, with the exception of prolonged duration of motor units (4/48 referents). Significantly increased mean duration and mean amplitude of motor unit potentials were seen in both the abductor pollicis brevis and abductor digiti minimi muscles of the exposed group. Twenty-seven of the 69 exposed subjects had neuropathologic signs (e.g., impairment of distal sensation or reflexes). When these 27 were excluded from the exposed group, the remaining 42 subjects (i.e., with no observed neuropathologic signs) still demonstrated a statistically significant effect of AA exposure on motor unit potentials (with the exception of mean amplitude in the abductor pollicis brevis muscle). The H-reflex was nonresponsive in 18 of the 27 exposed subjects with neuropathologic signs and was significantly longer in mean latency among the 9 subjects in which a reflex was detected. Seventeen of the 27 exposed subjects with neuropathologic signs, and 4 of the 42 exposed subjects without neuropathologic signs were nonresponsive to the Achilles tendon reflex test. Among the remaining exposed subjects with (n = 10) or without (n = 38) neuropathologic signs, considered separately or combined (n = 48), observed Achilles reflexes were significantly longer in mean latency compared with referent values. Sensory action potentials in the wrist (both median and ulnar nerves) and sural nerve of the 27 exposed subjects with neuropathologic signs, as well as the entire group of 69 exposed subjects, were significantly lower in mean amplitude than those

of the referents. Similar measurements in the elbow revealed a significantly lower mean amplitude in the 27 exposed subjects with neuropathologic signs. Assessment of nerve conduction velocity, electrocardiography, electroencephalography, and laboratory test results revealed no statistically significant exposure-related effects.

This study associated abnormalities in nervous activity with occupational exposure to AA. The results suggest that some measures of abnormal electrical activity may be used to identify early stages of AA-induced neurotoxicity. However, exposure scenarios were poorly characterized. Dermal exposure was likely a major source of exposure for at least some of the exposed workers, as evidenced by numerous reports of peeling of the skin and excessive sweating of the hands. But inhalation exposure was also likely, based on measurable concentrations of airborne AA. The study does not include information concerning dose-response relationships or hemoglobin adduct levels in the group of exposure to other chemicals.

Calleman et al. (1994) performed a cross-sectional analysis of hemoglobin adduct formation and neurological effects in a group of 41 factory workers (34 males and 7 females, aged 18 to 42 years) who were exposed to AA (and acrylonitrile, from which AA is formed) for 1 month to 11.5 years (mean 3 years) during the production of AA in a factory in China. Other reports on this population include those by Bergmark et al. (1993) who detected GA adducts of hemoglobin in AA-exposed workers indicating that the transformation of AA to GA occurs in humans, and by Deng et al. (1993). AA mean exposure concentrations, measured during the summer of 1991, were 1.07 and 3.27 mg/m^3 in the synthesis and polymerization rooms, respectively. Exposure concentrations measured during the time of collection of biomarker data (September 1991) were lower, averaging 0.61 and 0.58 mg/m³ in the synthesis and polymerization rooms, respectively. The exposed group included 13 synthesis workers, 12 polymerization workers, 5 packaging workers, and 6 ambulatory workers, classified according to their primary work location. The remaining four workers were either exposed for less than 6 months (two subjects) or had not been exposed to AA during the 4 months preceding the study. Blood sampling and medical and neurological examinations were performed approximately 1 hour after a work shift. The beginning of a work shift marked the beginning of 24-hour urine sampling. For vibration sensitivity testing, a referent group consisted of 105 unexposed healthy adults (51 males and 54 females aged 20-60 years). A historical control of 80 persons was used as referent for electroneuromyography tests. A group of 10 nonexposed male workers from the same city as the exposed group was used as a referent group for biomarkers of exposure and signs and symptoms of neurotoxicity.

Information regarding demographic factors, smoking and drinking habits, height and weight, occupational history, past illnesses, current symptoms, and reproductive history were collected by questionnaire. Vibration sensitivity thresholds were measured in fingers and toes using the Vibratron II instrument (Deng et al., 1993). Physical and neurological examinations and electroneuromyographic (ENMG) testing were similar to those described by He et al. (1989). A neurotoxicity index, with a maximal score of 50, was used to express severity of peripheral neuropathy (Table 4-4); the information used to derive the score was collected by questionnaire. The prevalence of specific symptoms was also assessed individually. Biomarkers of exposure to AA that were reported in the study included free AA in plasma, mercapturic acids in urine, and the hemoglobin adduct formed by the reaction of AA with the N-terminal valine of hemoglobin (AAVal).

Endpoint	Points ^a
Numbness of extremities	1
Cramping pain	1
Loss of position sensation	2
Loss of pain sensation	$0, 1, 2, \text{ or } 3^{\text{b}}$
Loss of touch sensation	0, 1, 2, or 3 ^b
Loss of vibration sensation ^c	
According to tuning fork	1
Vibration threshold in big toe	0, 1, or 2
Vibration threshold in index finger	0, 1, or 2
Clumsiness of hands	4
Difficulty grasping	4
Unsteady gait	4
Decrease or loss of ankle reflexes	3 or 5
Muscular atrophy	6
Electroneuromyographic abnormalities ^d	0.5 per abnormality (maximum 6)
Maximum total score	50

Table 4-4. Scoring system for the neurotoxicity index

^aPoints were intended to reflect weight given to these observations by a clinical physician diagnosing a peripheral neuropathy.

^bWorkers who had lost their pain or touch sensation were assigned 1 to 3 points depending on the extent of loss: fingers, hands, or forearms.

^cThe ratio between the vibration threshold of an individual and that of the corresponding control group with regard to age was used for scoring vibration sensitivity using the Vibratron instrument. One point was given if this ratio was 1.5–2.5 for fingers or 1.5–4.0 for toes and 2 points if it was 2.5–5.0 for fingers or 4.0–8.0 for toes. ^dAbnormalities consisted of measured alterations in electrical activity of selected muscles and nerves.

Source: Calleman et al. (1994).

Statistical analyses included the χ^2 test to analyze symptoms and clinical signs and the Student's t-test to assess ENMG parameters. Variance analysis and the *Q*-test were used in the comparison of vibration thresholds between the reference group and the exposed group. Univariate and multivariate linear regression analysis was used to estimate correlation coefficients and levels of statistical significance for biomarkers of exposure. The level of statistical significance was p < 0.05.

Significant differences in vibration threshold were observed among three age subgroups of referents (<31, 31–40, and >40 years of age). Comparisons of vibration threshold between AA-exposed workers and referents within these age groupings showed a significant increase in

the exposed workers. Comparison of the results of ENMG measurements between the exposed workers and the referent group revealed a 10–20% decrease in conduction velocity in the peroneal and sural nerves and 25–36% increase in latency in median, ulnar, and peroneal nerves within the exposed group.

The prevalence of symptoms and signs of adverse health effects in the AA-exposed workers (n = 41) that were not reported in the referent group (n = 10) included statistically significant incidences of numbness (71%), fatigue (71%), sweating of hands and feet (68%), skin peeling (59%), loss of pain sensation (54%), loss of touch sensation (46%), dizziness (44%), anorexia (41%), loss of vibration sensation (41%), and nausea (39%). Other signs and symptoms that were observed only in the exposed group but were not found to be statistically different from referents included loss of ankle reflexes (29%), headache (27%), unsteady gait (22%), loss of knee jerk (20%), unsteady Romberg sign (20%), and loss of triceps and biceps reflexes (10%).

Group mean biomarker levels and neurotoxicity indices are presented in Table 4-5 for controls and the work locations of packaging, polymerization, ambulatory, and synthesis. The average neurotoxicity index scores, as well as the averages of the hemoglobin adduct levels of AA, decreased with physical distance from the synthesis room where the monomer itself was handled. This relationship was not reflected by measured free plasma AA, urinary mercapturic acid, or hemoglobin adduct levels of acrylonitrile or by results of hand or foot vibration sensitivity measurements or estimates of accumulated in vivo doses of AA. Statistically significant correlations were reported between each of the biomarkers of exposure and the calculated neurotoxicity indices, with the exception of free plasma AA concentrations.

	Free AA ^a (µmol/L)	Merc. ac. ^b (µmol/24 hours)	AAVal ^c (nmol/g)	ANVal ^d (nmol/g)	AccD _{AA} ^e (mM/hour)	NIn ^f
Controls	0.92	3 ± 1.8	0.0 ± 0.0	0.23 ± 0.18	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0
Packaging	2.2	93 ± 72	3.9 ± 2.5	19.1 ± 5.7	8.1 ± 6.6	8.9 ± 9.1
Polymerization	1.3	58 ± 75	7.7 ± 3.4	19.1 ± 12.9	27.0 ± 23.9	10.0 ± 5.8
Ambulatory	2.0	53 ± 35	9.5 ± 7.3	16.3 ± 3.7	37.6 ± 21.9	11.3 ± 9.8
Synthesis	1.8 ± 0.8	64 ± 46	13.4 ± 9.8	19.5 ± 7.6	68.3 ± 64.2	19.2 ± 10.6

Table 4-5. Group means \pm SD of biomarkers in different categories of workers

^aFree plasma AA.

^bUrinary mercapturic acid.

^cHemoglobin adduct between N-terminal valine and AA.

^dHemoglobin adduct between N-terminal valine and acrylonitrile.

^ePredicted cumulative in vivo AA dose (based on rates of AA-hemoglobin adduct formation in human globin hydrolysates and mean AA exposure concentrations measured in areas of polymerization and synthesis by station sampling) (see Section 3.1 and Bergmark et al. [1993] for additional information). ^fNeurotoxicity index.

Source: Calleman et al. (1994).

A principal finding of the study of Calleman et al. (1994) was the strong correlation between hemoglobin adduct levels of AA and neurological impairment (Table 4-6), as assessed by a combined index of self-reported symptoms and clinically assessed effects. No significant correlation was found between free plasma AA levels and neurotoxicity index, but significant correlations were found between neurotoxicity index and the other markers of exposure indicated in Table 4-5. The data provide a description of the relationship between an internal measure of dose (hemoglobin adducts) from repeated exposure to AA (1 month–11.5 years; mean = 3 years) and an index of neurological impairment. Quantitative assessment of contributions of dermal and inhalation exposure were not made, although in the synthesis area of the factory where neurological symptoms were most severe, dermal exposure was considered to have been the major exposure route.

X variable	Y variable	Correlation coefficient	<i>p</i> -Value
Free AA ^a	NIn ^f	0.15	0.31
Merc. ac. ^b	NIn	0.42	< 0.01
AAVal ^c	NIn	0.67	< 0.001
ANVal ^d	NIn	0.69	< 0.001
$AccD_{AA}^{e}$	NIn	0.60	<0.001

 Table 4-6. Correlation coefficients (linear regression) for relationships

 between biomarkers and neurotoxicity index

^aFree plasma AA.

^bUrinary mercapturic acid.

^cHemoglobin adduct between N-terminal valine and AA.

^dHemoglobin adduct between N-terminal valine and acrylonitrile.

^ePredicted cumulative in vivo AA dose (based on rates of AA-hemoglobin adduct formation in human globin hydrolysates and mean AA exposure concentrations measured in areas of polymerization and synthesis by station sampling) (see Section 3.1 and Bergmark et al. [1993] for additional information). ^fNeurotoxicity index.

Source: Calleman et al. (1994).

Hagmar et al. (2001) performed a health examination on a group of 210 tunnel construction workers who had been occupationally exposed for 2 months to a chemical grouting agent containing AA and N-methylolacrylamide. Workers were expected to have experienced dermal as well as inhalation exposure. The workers were exposed to the grouting agent for 55 days (August 4 through September 30, 1997), after which exposure was stopped due to the development of neurological symptoms in cows that drank water from a creek that contained leakage water from the tunnel. One week after grouting stopped, 210 workers (of 242 total workers) agreed to participate in the study. Venous blood samples were drawn and questionnaires and physical examinations were administered 1–5 weeks after exposure was stopped. Quantitative exposure data were limited to two personal air samples showing concentrations of 0.27 and 0.34 mg/m³ for the sum of AA and NMA; further analysis suggested that the air contained a 50:50 mixture of these compounds. Workers were classified by exposure level. The levels were designated as "high" (103 subjects who had injected the grouting agent), "some" (89 subjects), or "none" (18 subjects without obvious exposure), based on self-reported exposure. The health examination included an extensive questionnaire and a physical examination that included unspecified tests of peripheral nerve function. Blood samples for the analysis of adducts of AA with N-terminal valines in hemoglobin were drawn within a month after construction work was completed. A group of 50 subjects who claimed recently developed or deteriorated peripheral nervous function at the initial physical examination was subjected to more detailed neurophysiologic examinations and 6-month follow-up clinical (n = 29) and neurophysiological (n = 26) examinations. Those with remaining symptoms were examined for up to 18 months postexposure.

An important caveat in interpreting the hemoglobin adduct data relative to neurotoxic responses to AA in the Hagmar et al. (2001) study is that both AA and NMA form the same
N-(2-carbamoylethyl)valine adduct in hemoglobin. Fennell et al. (2003) measured levels of this adduct following separate exposure to equimolar doses of AA and NMA to rats and reported formation of 21 ± 1.7 pmol/mg globin from AA and 41 ± 4.9 pmol/mg from NMA (mean \pm SD, n = 4). Since the levels of adduct formation were not comparable and there is no way to distinguish whether the N-(2-carbamoylethyl)valine arose from reaction of hemoglobin with AA or with NMA, conclusions about AA exposure (with adducts as the surrogate for internal exposure) vs. responses are confounded by not being able to reliably distinguish the AA internal dose from the NMA internal dose in humans.

Hemoglobin adduct levels for 18 nonsmoking unexposed reference subjects varied between 0.02 and 0.07 nmol/g globin. Adduct levels in 47 of the 210 tunnel workers did not exceed the highest level of the referents. The remaining workers were divided into three categories according to adduct levels as follows: 89 with 0.08–0.29 nmol/g globin, 36 with 0.3– 1.0 nmol/g globin, and 38 with 1.0–17.7 nmol/g globin. The study authors noted a significant (p < 0.05) association between self-reported exposure categories and adduct levels.

Clear relationships (statistically significant trend tests) were found between increasing levels of hemoglobin adducts and increased incidences of self-reported symptoms of peripheral neurological impairment and irritation of the eyes. Statistically significant positive correlations (p < 0.05) between prevalence of peripheral nervous symptoms, irritant symptoms, and symptoms of general discomfort with adduct levels were found. For example, in the groups with adduct levels <0.08 nmol/g globin, 0.08–0.29 nmol/g globin, 0.3–1.0 nmol/g globin, and >1.0 nmol/g globin, incidences of reported numbness or tingling in the feet or legs were 2/47 (4%), 10/89 (11%), 9/36 (25%), and 14/38 (37%), respectively. This symptom is consistent with peripheral nervous impairment and was noted with the highest frequency among the reported symptoms in this study. Irritant symptoms and symptoms of general discomfort typically disappeared following the end of a workday, whereas peripheral nervous symptoms persisted. Follow-up examinations revealed that 58% of the subjects with early signs of impaired peripheral nervous function improved, while only 4% showed signs of deterioration. Table 4-7 summarizes the symptoms showing the greatest increases in incidences with increasing hemoglobin adduct levels.

	Hemoglobin adducts of acrylamide (nmol/g globin) ^a					
Symptoms with trend test <i>p</i> -value <0.001	<0.08	0.08-0.29	0.30-1.00	1.00-17.7		
Numbness/tingling in feet or legs	2/47 (4)	10/89 (11)	9/36 (25)	14/38 (37)		
Leg cramps	3/47 (6)	6/89 (7)	2/36 (6)	10/38 (26)		
Eye irritation	6/47 (14)	19/87 (23)	17/36 (47)	29/38 (76)		
Nose irritation	6/47 (14)	17/89 (21)	13/36 (36)	20/38 (53)		
Throat irritation	4/47 (10)	19/89 (23)	17/36 (47)	28/38 (47)		
Coughing	4/47 (10)	9/89 (11)	11/36 (31)	19/38 (50)		
Headache	6/47 (14)	27/89 (33)	11/36 (31)	24/38 (63)		

 Table 4-7. Incidences of symptoms in 210 tunnel workers classified into exposure groups based on levels of hemoglobin adducts of acrylamide

^aPercentages of workers reporting symptoms are noted in parentheses.

Source: Hagmar et al. (2001).

The principal findings of the study of Hagmar et al. (2001) are the positive correlations between measures of exposure (hemoglobin adducts) and self-reported symptoms of neurological impairment. Pairwise comparisons (Fisher's Exact test performed by Syracuse Research Corporation) between the group of subjects with adduct levels <0.08 nmol/g globin and each of the three groups with higher adduct levels (0.08–0.29, 0.30–1.00, and >1.00 nmol/g globin) show statistically significantly (p < 0.05) increased prevalence of numbness or tingling in the feet or legs for the two higher exposure groups, but not in the group with lower adduct levels (0.08–0.29 nmol/g globin). This analysis indicates that an adduct level in the range of 0.08–0.29 nmol/g globin was the NOAEL, and 0.30–1.00 nmol/g globin was the LOAEL, for self-reported symptoms of AA-induced peripheral neuropathy. Limitations of this study, with respect to describing dose-response relationships for chronic exposure to AA, are the relatively short period (2 months) of occupational exposure to AA, the possible confounding contribution of NMA to the noted effects, and the fact that both AA and NMA form the same N-terminal valine hemoglobin adduct (Fennell et al., 2003) that was used as an internal measure of dose.

Myers and Macun (1991) investigated peripheral neuropathy in a cohort of 66 workers in a South African factory that produced polyacrylamide. The investigation followed clinical diagnosis of peripheral neuropathy in five workers at the factory. The workforce was divided into a number of exposure categories, based on environmental sampling and discussions with workers. Exposure levels for the various tasks ranged from 0.07 to 2.5 times the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) recommended exposure limit (REL) of 0.3 mg/m^3 . Workers were then classified as being exposed to airborne AA when exposure levels exceeded the REL (n = 22), and unexposed when exposure levels were below the REL (n = 41). Workers completed a questionnaire that was designed to capture social, medical, and occupational history. A standard blind neurological examination was also performed.

The mean age of the subjects was 30 years and the mean length of service 24 months; no significant differences were seen for these variables between exposed and unexposed groups.

The exposed group showed higher prevalences of abnormalities for all symptoms (weakness, sensation, balance, fatigue, visual, loss of weight, urogenital, and fingertip skin), most signs (fingertip effects, light touch, tactile discrimination, pain), and reflexes, coordination, motor weakness, gait, and Rombergism. Statistically significant differences between exposed and unexposed groups for individual effects were seen only for abnormal sensation symptoms and signs in fingertip skin (including color, peeling, and sweating). The overall prevalence of AA-related abnormalities (inclusive) among the exposed was 66.7%, which was statistically significantly higher (p < 0.05) than that of the unexposed group (prevalence of 14.3%). The authors stated that most workers observed to have abnormalities (number not reported) were employed in areas where exposures were highest (1.6–2.5 times the REL).

Bachmann et al. (1992) performed a follow-up investigation in July 1990 at the same South African factory that had been examined in 1986 by Myers and Macun (1991). The study design was similar to that of Myers and Macun (1991) but included measurements of vibration sensation threshold with a Vibratron II vibration sensation tester that was not available in the earlier investigation. Among 82 workers employed at follow-up, increased prevalences of symptoms of tingling and numbness in hands and feet, weakness and pain in arms and legs, peeling hand skin, and sweating hands were reported by exposed workers, compared with those classified as being unexposed. The symptoms of numbness, limb pain, and peeling and sweating of hands were statistically significantly increased in exposed workers. Results of clinical examinations provided supporting evidence for the reported increased symptoms of peeling and sweating of the hands. No gross neurological abnormalities were found. Mean vibration sensation thresholds were similar among unexposed and exposed groups, even when adjusting for age, and no association was found between vibration thresholds and any symptoms.

The studies of Myers and Macun (1991) and Bachmann et al. (1992) show an association between occupational exposure to AA above the NIOSH REL of 0.3 mg/m^3 and signs and symptoms of mild neuropathy. However, in the absence of more reliable measures of exposure (e.g., hemoglobin adduct levels), meaningful effect levels were not established.

Case reports

Numerous case reports have been published in which exposure to AA, predominantly in occupational settings, has been associated with observed cutaneous and neurological effects ranging from dermal effects, such as peeling of skin in fingertips, to numerous signs of impaired neurological performance in peripheral nervous system and CNS (Gjerløff et al., 2001; Mulloy, 1996; Dumitru, 1989; Donovan and Pearson, 1987; Kesson et al., 1977; Mapp et al., 1977; Davenport et al., 1976; Igisu et al., 1975; Takahashi et al., 1971; Fullerton, 1969; Auld and Bedwell, 1967; Garland and Patterson, 1967). Although these reports provide supportive

evidence of AA-induced neurotoxicity, they lack information regarding primary exposure routes and exposure-response relationships.

4.2. SUBCHRONIC AND CHRONIC STUDIES AND CANCER BIOASSAYS IN ANIMALS—ORAL AND INHALATION

4.2.1. Oral Exposure

The standard bioassay database for subchronic and chronic oral exposures to AA consists of one 90-day drinking water study in F344 rats (Burek et al., 1980) that demonstrated neurotoxicity and two 2-year drinking water studies in F344 rats with the main effects being neurotoxicity and cancer (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986, 1984).

4.2.1.1. Subchronic Studies

Neurotoxic effects

Burek et al. (1980) administered AA to groups of 6-week-old male (23-29/group) and female (10/group) F344 rats in the drinking water for up to 93 days at concentrations designed to result in AA intakes of 0, 0.05, 0.2, 1, 5, or 20 mg/kg-day. Ten rats/sex/group were assigned to the basic 90-day study and were observed for body weight and water consumption (recorded weekly) throughout the treatment period. Following 7 and 33 days of treatment, three control and three high-dose male rats were sacrificed for interim electron microscopic examination of the sciatic nerve. Ten male (nine in the high-dose group, due to one death prior to treatment termination) and all female rats from each treatment group were subjected to gross and histopathologic examination of all major organs and tissues at the end of the treatment period, at which time three other male rats from each group were processed for electron microscopic examination of the sciatic nerve. The remaining rats (all males) in each group were observed for signs of recovery from treatment-related effects for up to 144 days following cessation of treatment. Three rats/group were subjected to microscopic examination of the sciatic nerve on days 25 and 111 posttreatment. Body weights were recorded for two rats/dose level prior to sacrifice on recovery day 111. At the end of the 144-day recovery period, the remaining four rats of each dose level were weighed and sacrificed for gross and histopathologic examination of all major organs and tissues. Three of these rats were processed for electron microscopic examination of the sciatic nerve.

All rats were observed daily (during the 5 day workweek) for general health and clinical signs. Hindlimb foot splay was measured weekly in four control and four high-dose (20 mg/kg-day) male and female rats until the onset of neuropathy was detected, after which neuropathy in the high-dose group was monitored by clinical signs. After neuropathy was detected in high-dose rats, male and female rats in the 5 mg/kg-day dose groups were also subjected to weekly testing of foot splay (rats in the lower treatment groups were not tested due to the lack of

response at 5 mg/kg-day). Blood samples collected from seven rats/sex in the control and highdose groups on treatment day 76 and from all rats alive on day 60 of the recovery period were examined for packed cell volume, total erythrocyte count, total and differential leukocyte counts, and hemoglobin concentration. The study design included urinary sampling from 10 control and 10 high-dose rats per sex on treatment day 76 and at the end of the treatment period. Blood serum was collected from the 10 rats/sex/dose that were sacrificed at the end of treatment and from the 4 male rats/group that were maintained throughout the 144-day recovery period. Blood urea nitrogen, alkaline phosphatase, serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase, and serum cholinesterase activity were determined.

Light microscopic examinations were performed on brain, spinal cord, and peripheral nerves (including brachial plexus, sciatic, and femoral nerves) that had been fixed in glutaraldehyde-paraformaldehyde and stained with hematoxylin eosin. Additional sections of brain, spinal cord, and peripheral nerves were subjected to the luxol fast blue-periodic acid Schiff (LFB/PAS) reaction for myelin staining and to Bodian's stain to elucidate more subtle axonal changes. Myelin and axonal degeneration was classified as severe (degeneration in approximately 50% of the observed fibers), moderate (degeneration in 20–50% of observed fibers), slight (degeneration in less than 20% of observed fibers), very slight (effects restricted to focal or multifocal changes in individual nerves), or equivocal (nerves could not be graded as clearly normal). Only the sciatic nerve was examined by electron microscopy. Three blocks of sciatic nerve fibers, two longitudinal and one transverse, were selected per rat for thin sectioning and ultrastructural analysis. Ultrastructural alterations were counted by examining a maximum of 50 fields per block, a field defined as a section through any Schwann cell. This resulted in an examined maximum of 150 fields/rat or 450 fields/treatment group of three rats.

Hematology, urinary and clinical chemistry parameters, body weights, organ-to-body weight ratio data, foot spread results, and water consumption were statistically analyzed by one-way analysis of variance followed by Dunnett's test. The level of significance chosen was p < 0.05. The study report did not, however, include individual or averaged incidences or extent of changes in these parameters, so an independent analysis of the results of body and organ weights, water consumption, foot splay, hematology, urinalysis, or serum chemistry was not possible.

Significantly lower body weights were reported in male and female rats of the 20 mg/kgday group relative to controls: 8% lower (males and females) on treatment days 13 and 20, and 21 and 24% lower (males and females, respectively) on treatment day 91. No significant body weight effect was seen in rats of lower dose groups. At the 20 mg/kg-day dose level, treatmentrelated effects on organ weights included significantly decreased absolute liver, kidney, and thymus weights in males (also testicular) and females, significantly decreased absolute brain and heart weights in females (trend for decreased weights in males), increased relative brain, heart, liver, and kidney weights in males and females, and decreased relative thymus (females only) and testicular weight in males. Absolute and relative liver weight was increased in 5 mg/kg-day males. Marginally statistically significant increases in relative heart weight in 0.05 and 0.2 mg/kg-day females were not considered to be of toxicological significance due to the lack of a dose response. Female rats of the 20 mg/kg-day dose level exhibited significantly decreased water consumption (15–39% decreased) between treatment days 20 and 90. Although decreased water consumption was noted in high-dose males, the decrease reached the level of statistical significance in only 4 of the 13 intervals recorded. The few instances of significantly increased water consumption in low-dose rats did not follow a consistent pattern or trend, and may be of no toxicological significance. By day 144 of the posttreatment recovery period, the high-dose group had recovered with higher (but not statistically significant) body weights than controls, significantly higher absolute liver and kidney weights, as well as significantly higher relative brain and liver weights.

Significantly increased instances of hindlimb foot splay were observed in 20 mg/kg-day male and female rats on treatment day 22 (incidences were not reported), which became more pronounced on treatment day 29. Foot splay testing was terminated with this treatment group (to prevent injury), but clinical signs of neuropathy (including curling of the toes, rear limb splay, incoordination, and posterior weakness) progressed in severity throughout the remainder of the treatment period. Beginning on treatment day 29, rats of the 5 mg/kg-day dose level were tested, but foot splay was not detected at this treatment level in either males or females. No other treatment-related clinical effects were observed in the 5 mg/kg-day males or females or any of the lower dose groups. By day 7 of the posttreatment recovery period, the 20 mg/kg-day groups showed cleared signs of improvements continuing to day 111 with only slight posterior weakness and curling of the toes. By day 144, these high dose treated rats appeared clinically similar to the controls.

At the end of the treatment period, serum cholinesterase activity was increased and alkaline phosphatase activity was statistically significantly increased in 20 mg/kg-day females. Significant decreases in packed cell volume, total erythrocyte count, and hemoglobin concentrations in 20 mg/kg-day males and females and 5 mg/kg-day females were noted. Results of urinalysis did not reveal any AA-induced abnormalities. By day 144 posttreatment, the 20 mg/kg-day group (sex not specified) had statistically significant decreased serum cholinesterase levels and no significant differences in other clinical chemistry parameters.

Upon necropsy, gross observations of rats following the 92- or 93-day treatment period revealed treatment-related alterations only in the 20 mg/kg-day treatment group, including perineal soiling, decreased adipose tissue, decreased liver size, darkened kidneys, foci or mottled appearance of lungs, decreased size or flaccid testicles, decreased size of male accessory genitalia, decreased uterus size, altered appearance of peripheral nerves, atrophy of skeletal

muscle in the posterior portion of the body, bladder distention, and diffuse mural thickening of the stomach. The authors did not include incidence data regarding gross examination data, however. Histopathologic examination at the 20 mg/kg-day treatment level revealed effects such as atrophy of skeletal muscle (2/10 males, 8/10 females), slightly increased hematogenous pigment in the spleen (4/9 males), ulcerative gastritis or hyperkeratosis in the nonglandular stomach (4/10 males), atrophy of mesenteric fat (8/10 females), vacuolization of the smooth muscle in the bladder wall (1/10 males, 2/9 females), inflammation in the lungs (3/10 males, 5/10 females), and testicular effects that included atrophy (10/10), mineralization in seminiferous tubules (5/10), and increased cellular debris and/or decreased spermatogenic segments in the tubular lamina of the epididymides (9/10). The statistical significance of these findings could not be assessed because incidence data for controls were not reported. By day 144 posttreatment, only the high dose rats had persistent gross pathological effects, primarily dark testicles and slightly distended bladders. The testicular histological lesions consisted of focal or multifocal atrophy to individual seminiferous tubules, some with mineral and cellular debris, and indication of partial reversibility of the testicular atrophy.

Results of sciatic nerve examinations using light and electron microscopy are summarized in Table 4-8. Light microscopic examination of the sciatic nerve sections (stained with hematoxylin and eosin) revealed severe degeneration in the 20 mg/kg-day group that was characterized by demyelinization (LFB/PAS-treated sections) and axonal degeneration (Bodian's-treated sections) in 10/10 females and similar but less severe effects in males (degeneration moderate in 5/10 and severe in the other 5). These lesions were also seen in other peripheral nerve sections (brachial plexus and femoral nerve) but varied in severity from equivocal to severe (incidences not reported). The authors noted equivocal to very slight degenerative changes in peripheral nerves of 5 mg/kg-day males (9/10) and females (6/10) but found no light microscopic evidence of peripheral nerve lesions in 0.05, 0.2, or 1 mg/kg-day treatment groups. Very slight to slight degenerative changes (demyelinization, swollen astrocytes and axons) were seen in spinal cord sections of 20 mg/kg-day male (5/10) and female (9/10) rats. No treatment-related lesions were observed at any dose level within brain sections examined by light microscopy. After 144 days of posttreatment recovery no nerve tissue alterations were observed in any of the 5 mg/kg-day or lower dose groups. In the high dose group, alterations ranged from very slight to slight in the sciatic nerve and no alteration in sections of the brachial nerve. The authors stated that if the recovery period had been extended beyond 144 days, the remaining tissue changes would likely have completely reversed.

			Dose (n	ng/kg-day)		
Endpoint	0	0.05	0.2	1	5	20
Electron microscopy						
Number of rats (only males were examined)	3	3	3	3	3	3
Total fields examined	450	450	350	453	443	435
Axolemma invaginations	36	24	27	30	33	8
Axolemma invaginations with cell	32	15	17	78	109	48
organelles and/or dense bodies						
Schwann cells without axons and/or with	0	0	0	0	7	183
degenerating myelin						
Incidence of fields with any alteration	68/450	39/450	44/350	108/453	149/443	239/435
Light microscopy						
(10 rats/sex/dose were examined)						
Moderate to severe degeneration						
Female	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	10/10
Male	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	10/10
Equivocal to very slight degeneration						
Female	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	6/10	0/10
Male	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	9/10	0/10

 Table 4-8. Light and electron microscopic data for left sciatic nerves from rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for 90 days

Source: Burek et al. (1980).

Electron microscopic examinations of sciatic nerve preparations from three male rats/group included the examination of fields (defined as a section through any Schwann cell) for signs of axolemma invaginations, axonal invaginations with cell organelles and/or dense bodies, and Schwann cells without axons and/or with degenerating myelin. After 7 days of treatment, no significant differences were seen between control and 20 mg/kg-day rats (other treatment groups were not subjected to 7-day interim sacrifice). After 33 days of treatment, 20 mg/kg-day male rats exhibited increased prevalence of fields showing axolemma invaginations with cell organelles and/or dense bodies and fields exhibiting Schwann cells without axons and/or with degenerating myelin (other groups were not subjected to 33-day interim sacrifice). Following 90 days of treatment, severe axonal degeneration and axonal loss were seen at the 20 mg/kg-day dose level. Approximately 55% of the fields examined exhibited alterations in myelinated nerves or Schwann cells (compared with 12 and 21% after treatment days 7 and 33, respectively). Similar, but less severe, ultrastructural alterations in approximately 34% of the fields examined were seen in the 5 mg/kg-day dose group. At the 1 mg/kg-day dose level, approximately 24% of the fields examined showed axolemma invaginations with or without cell organelles and/or dense bodies, but not more severe signs of ultrastructural alterations. The alterations in the sciatic nerve fields examined in the control, 0.05, and 0.2 mg/kg-day groups were roughly comparable (15, 9, and 12%, respectively), suggesting that there were no adverse effects at the 0.05 and 0.2 mg/kg-day doses. Importantly, the increase in lesions observed via electron microscopy in the 1 and 5 mg/kg-day groups appeared to have completely reversed by

days 25 and 111 posttreatment, respectively. The observed lesions in the 20 mg/kg-day group were partially or completely reversed by day 144 posttreatment.

In summary, the 90-day toxicity study of F344 rats exposed to AA in the drinking water (Burek et al., 1980) identified a NOAEL of 0.2 mg/kg-day and a LOAEL of 1 mg/kg-day, based on ultrastructural degeneration (axolemma invaginations with or without cell organelles and/or dense bodies) in the sciatic nerve of male rats (as detected by electron microscopic examinations, which were limited to males). The increased frequency was characterized by the study authors as "slight" for the LOAEL at 1 mg/kg-day, and the lesions were reversible (back to control levels) by day 25 posttreatment in all 1 mg/kg-day treated rats. At the resolution of the light microscope, the 5 mg/kg-day dose was the lowest dose resulting in degenerative effects in the sciatic nerve of male rats.

4.2.1.2. Chronic Studies

Johnson et al. (1986, 1984) study

Johnson et al. (1986, 1984) conducted a chronic toxicity and carcinogenicity study in which groups of F344 rats (90/sex/treatment group) were administered AA in the drinking water at concentrations calculated to provide AA doses of 0, 0.01, 0.1, 0.5, or 2.0 mg/kg-day for up to 2 years. Ten rats/sex/treatment group were randomly selected for interim sacrifices after 6, 12, or 18 months of treatment. Rats were observed twice daily on workdays for clinical signs and examined monthly for palpable masses. Individual body weights were recorded monthly and fasting body weights were measured at scheduled necropsy. Based on body weight and water consumption data from a subgroup of 20 rats/treatment group, recorded weekly for the first 3 months and monthly (water consumption measured for 1 week each month) thereafter, concentrations of AA in the drinking water were adjusted to maintain target doses for the remaining rats of each treatment group. During the final 6 months of treatment, mean group weights of all rats, rather than those of the subgroup, were used in calculating the concentrations of AA required to maintain target treatment levels.

Blood and urine were collected randomly from 10 rats/sex/group at 3 months and just prior to 6-, 12-, 18-, and 24-month scheduled necropsies. Hematological parameters investigated included packed cell volume, hemoglobin, total erythrocytes, leukocyte count, platelet count, and red cell indices. Stained blood smear examinations and differential leukocyte counts were conducted. Urine was analyzed for specific gravity, pH, protein, glucose, blood, ketones, bilirubin, and urobilinogen. During necropsy, blood serum was collected and analyzed for concentrations of glutamic-pyruvate transaminase, alkaline phosphatase, blood urea nitrogen, total protein, albumin, glucose, and cholinesterase.

Complete postmortem gross pathologic examinations were performed on all rats in the study. Organ-to-body weight ratios were calculated for brain, heart, liver, kidneys, and testes.

Representative sections from all major organs and tissues were stained with hematoxylin and eosin and subjected to histopathologic examination. Light microscopic examinations were performed on sections of three separate peripheral nerves (tibial nerve and two unspecified nerves), three locations of the spinal cord, and six sections through the brain and olfactory bulbs that had been stained with hematoxylin and eosin.

Cumulative mortality data were analyzed by the Gehan-Wilcoxon test. Analysis of variance and Dunnett's *t* test were used to analyze body weight data, clinical chemistry, hematology, urine specific gravity, and organ weight. Cumulative incidence of microscopic pathologic findings was analyzed by Fisher's Exact probability test. For observations with a control incidence of at least 6%, a Bonferonni correction for multiple treatment-control comparisons was applied. In the absence of a positive Fisher's Exact test for a microscopic lesion, the Cochran-Armitage test for linear trend was performed. Supplemental mortality-adjusted tests of Peto, and the analogous extension of the Cochran-Armitage test, were performed when deemed appropriate. The level of significance chosen for all tests was $p \le 0.05$.

Additional groups of rats (18/sex/group) were added to the study for independent assessment of neurohistopathologic effects (the results of this portion of the study were reported by Johnson et al., 1985). Three rats/sex were sacrificed at each scheduled interim examination (3, 6, 12, and 18 months) and at terminal sacrifice (24 months). An additional three rats/sex/dose were placed on study to provide for adequate number of rats at the 24-month sacrifice. All survivors were sacrificed at 24 months. Both light and electron microscopic examinations were performed on nerve tissue samples taken from the same regions as those described above. As in the Burek et al. (1980) study, preparations for light microscopy included the use of LFB/PAS reaction for myelin staining and Bodian's stain to elucidate more subtle axonal changes.

Nonneoplastic results—primarily neurotoxicity

Incidence data were presented only for mortality and tibial nerve degeneration (at terminal necropsy). Other nonneoplastic results were typically described according to statistical comparison with controls, but the report did not include incidence or mean data.

Based on water consumption data, AA doses varied from 94 to 105% of target levels. Cumulative mortality data showed no apparent dose-related effect before 21 months of treatment, after which the 2.0 mg/kg-day group (especially females) exhibited increasing mortality that was significantly higher than controls after 24 months of treatment (approximately 32% in 2.0 mg/kg-day females vs. 20% in control females and 41% in 2.0 mg/kg-day males vs. 26% in control males). Beginning on treatment day 89, mean body weight of 2.0 mg/kg-day males was significantly lower (about 2%) than controls. By the end of the study, the difference had increased to approximately 4%. No consistent significant treatment-related body weight effects were seen in 2.0 mg/kg-day females or rats of either sex from lower dose groups. There were no treatment-related effects on food or water consumption. Clinical observations, hematology, clinical chemistry, and urinalysis did not reveal any indications of treatment-related effects in any treatment group. On study day 210, some male and female rats from all dose groups exhibited excessive lacrimation and enlarged salivary glands consistent with sialodacryoadenitis virus infection. Both males and females appeared to be equally affected, and the symptoms resolved within about 10 days.

Light microscopic examination of peripheral nerve section revealed degenerative changes that consisted of focal swelling of individual nerve fibers with fragmentation of the myelin and axon and formation of vacuoles containing small round eosinophilic globules and macrophages. The study authors graded nerve degeneration as very slight, slight, moderate, or severe but did not further characterize the grading scheme. "Minimal" tibial nerve degeneration was observed in control and all treated groups beginning at the 12-month necropsy. Although the report indicated that 12-month assessment revealed increases in both incidence and degree of degeneration in the 2.0 mg/kg-day group, particularly the males, the actual data were not presented, precluding an independent analysis of the findings. Incidences of nerve degeneration increased in controls and treated groups alike throughout the remainder of the treatment period. Table 4-9 summarizes the light microscopic findings in tibial nerve sections of the groups of rats from the main study that were treated for 2 years. There were no indications of significant effects on incidence of very slight or slight degeneration in control or treated males or females. There was a statistically significant trend towards increased moderate and severe degeneration in tibial nerves of male rats up to the 2.0 mg/kg-day dose level, although the increase for the pooled moderate-to-severe data at the high dose was not statistically different from controls. There was a statistically significant increase in pooled incidence of slight-to-moderate degeneration in tibial nerves for female rats at 2.0 mg/kg-day.

	Dose (mg/kg-day)					
Endpoint	0	0.01	0.1	0.5	2	
Males						
Number of rats examined	60	60	60	60	60	
Within normal limits	2	3	4	3	4	
Degeneration						
Very slight	30	29	23	25	19	
Slight	19	22	21	19	21	
Moderate	8	5	12	13	12	
Severe	1	1	0	0	4	
Moderate + severe	9	6	12	13	16 ^{a,b}	
Females						
Number of rats examined	60	60	60	60	61	
Within normal limits	12	10	10	11	8	
Degeneration						
Very slight	45	43	45	42	37	
Slight	3	7	5	7	13	
Moderate	0	0	0	0	3	
Slight + moderate	3	7	5	7	16 ^{c,d}	

 Table 4-9. Light microscopic data for tibial nerves from F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for 2 years

^aThe data for moderate and severe degeneration were pooled due to low incidence. ^bIndicates a linear trend by the Mantel-Haenszel extension of the Cochran-Armitage test (p < 0.05) for pooled moderate and severe degeneration. Note no statistical significance for the high dose group. ^cThe data for slight and moderate degeneration were pooled due to low incidence.

^dStatistically different from control group, mortality adjusted via Mantel-Haenszel procedures (p < 0.05).

Source: Johnson et al. (1986).

Electron microscopic examinations of peripheral nerve sections from rats in the groups destined for independent neuropathologic assessment revealed slightly increased incidences of axolemma invaginations in 2 mg/kg-day male (but not female) rats, relative to controls, at 3- and 6-month interim sacrifices. There were no indications of treatment-related degenerative effects at lower treatment levels. At 12-month interim examination, degenerative myelin and axonal changes were observed in controls as well as all treatment groups and were considered to be the result of aging. High background incidences of degenerative changes at 18 and 24 months precluded the usefulness of electron microscopic analysis to detect differences between control and exposed groups.

In summary, the most significant noncancer chronic effects observed in F344 rats exposed to AA in the drinking water for 2 years (Johnson et al., 1986, 1985, 1984) were increased incidences of axolemma invaginations (observed by electron microscopy) in the tibial branch of the sciatic nerve of male rats following 3 and 6 months of treatment and increased prevalence of "moderate" to "severe" degeneration (observed by light microscopy) in both males and females following 2 years of treatment. A NOAEL for these neurological effects was identified at 0.5 mg/kg-day, and a LOAEL was identified at the 2.0 mg/kg-day dose level.

Neoplastic results—tumors at multiple sites

Until the last few months of treatment, observations of palpable masses were infrequent. The authors noted that rats dosed at 2.0 mg/kg-day appeared to have slightly increased incidences of palpable masses during the last 4 months of treatment, most of which were subsequently identified as tumors originating from the skin or subcutaneous tissues and glands, particularly the mammary gland. Study results provide evidence of carcinogenicity from chronic high dose exposure to AA, as presented in Table 4-10. Upon histopathological examination at the end of 2 years, male F344 rats exposed to 2.0 mg/kg-day of AA in water had developed statistically significantly increased incidences of thyroid (follicular cell) adenomas (no carcinomas), mesotheliomas of the tunica vaginalis testis (i.e., scrotal sac), and benign adrenal pheochromocytoma. Female F344 rats exposed to 2.0 mg/kg-day for 2 years developed statistically significantly increased incidences of mammary gland benign tumors (adenoma, fibroadenoma, or fibroma), CNS tumors of glial origin, thyroid (follicular cell) adenomas or adenocarcinomas, squamous papillomas of the oral cavity, uterine adenocarcinomas, benign clitoral gland adenomas, and pituitary gland adenomas. Statistically significant increases in tunica vaginalis testicular mesotheliomas were also observed in male rats exposed to 0.5 mg/kgday of AA in water. No other significant increases were observed at other sites for males or females at AA doses less than or equal to 0.5 mg/kg-day.

Table 4-10. Incidences of selected tumors in male and female F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for 2 years

	Dose (mg/kg-day)				
Tumor type	0	0.01	0.1	0.5	2.0
Males					
CNS tumors or glial proliferation suggestive of early tumor	5/60	2/60	0/60	3/60	8/60
Thyroid (follicular cell) adenoma (no carcinomas found)	1/60	0/58	2/59	1/59	7/59 ^a
Tunica vaginalis testis mesothelioma	3/60	0/60	7/60	11/60 ^a	10/60 ^a
Squamous cell carcinoma or papilloma, oral cavity	6/60	7/60	1/60	5/60	6/60
Pheochromocytomas, benign (adrenal)	3/60	7/59	7/60	5/60	10/60 ^a
Females					
Mammary gland adenocarcinoma	2/60	1/60	1/60	2/58	6/61
Mammary gland benign tumors (adenoma, fibroadenoma, or					
fibroma)	10/60	11/60	9/60	19/58	23/61 ^a
CNS tumors of glial origin	1/60	2/59	1/60	1/60	9/61 ^a
Thyroid (follicular cell) adenoma or adenocarcinoma	1/58	0/59	1/59	1/58	5/60 ^a
Squamous cell carcinoma, oral cavity	0/60	0/60	0/60	2/60	1/61
Squamous papilloma, oral cavity	0/60	3/60	2/60	1/60	7/61 ^a
Uterus adenocarcinoma	1/60	2/60	1/60	0/59	5/60 ^a
Clitoral adenoma, benign	0/2	1/3	3/4	2/4	5/5 ^a
Pituitary gland adenoma	25/59	30/60	32/60	27/60	$32/60^{a}$

^aSignificantly different from control, p < 0.05, after Mantel-Haenszel mortality adjustment.

Source: Johnson et al. (1986).

In summary, chronic exposure of male and female F344 rats to the highest dose of 2.0 mg/kg-day of AA in water (Johnson et al., 1986) resulted in increased incidences for tumors at multiple sites in both sexes. Chronic exposure to the next lowest dose of 0.5 mg/kg-day resulted in a significant increase only in male testicular sac mesotheliomas. No significant increases over controls were observed in female tumors at the 0.5 mg/kg-day dose or in male or female tumors at doses lower than 0.5 mg/kg-day.

Friedman et al. (1995) study

A second cancer bioassay in F344 rats exposed to AA in drinking water (Friedman et al., 1995; Tegeris Laboratories, 1989) included 204 male rats in the 0.1 mg/kg-day group to increase the statistical power sufficient to detect a 5% increase in incidence of scrotal sac mesotheliomas over an expected background incidence of this tumor for F344 rats of about 1%. The study also had different dose group spacing for female rats to improve the characterization of the dose-response relationships (see Table 4-11). Ambiguities in the Johnson et al. (1986) study (e.g., abnormally high background for CNS and oral cavity tumors in the control males and possible confounding from a sialodacryoadenitis virus infection) also prompted the design and conduct of this second study.

 Table 4-11. Dosing parameters of groups of rats given acrylamide in drinking water for 106–108 weeks in the carcinogenicity study

	Males		Females		
Group	Number of rats	Dose (mg/kg-day)	Number of rats	Dose (mg/kg-day)	
1	102	0	50	0	
2	102	0	50	0	
3	204	0.1	-	-	
4	102	0.5	100	1.0	
5	75	2.0	100	3.0	

Sources: Friedman et al. (1995); Tegeris Laboratories (1989).

Water consumption was measured weekly throughout the study. Body weight and food consumption were recorded for each animal prior to the start of treatment, weekly for the initial 16 weeks of treatment, and every 4 weeks thereafter. All animals were observed twice daily for mortality, morbidity, and obvious clinical signs of toxicity. Physical examinations were performed weekly for the first 16 weeks, every 4 weeks for the ensuing 24 weeks, and biweekly for the remainder of the study. Examinations for palpable masses were initiated in study month 6 but the frequency of these examinations was not included in the study report.

Complete postmortem gross pathologic examinations were performed on all rats in the study. Brain, liver, kidneys, and testes were excised and weighed. Group mean organ weights and organ-to-body weight ratios were calculated. Representative sections from all major organs and tissues (including the sciatic nerve) were stained with hematoxylin and eosin for

histopathologic examination. Initially, microscopic examination was completed only on highdose and control rats. Based on histopathologic results in these groups, examinations were performed on specific tissues harvested from rats of lower dose groups. Histopathologic examination was performed on thyroid, brain (three levels, females only), mammary glands (females), and testes (males) in all rats. In addition, spinal cord (three levels), uterus, and gross lesions were evaluated in all control and high dose females, and in low dose female rats found dead or sacrificed moribund. Brain (three levels), spinal cord (three levels), and gross lesions were examined in all control and high-dose males and in low- and mid-dose male rats found dead or sacrificed moribund. No special staining methods were used to enhance light microscopic detection of degenerative changes in nervous tissues.

Body weight, food consumption, and water consumption were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance; Dunnett's t-test was used to determine if means of treated groups were significantly different from controls. Statistical evaluations included comparisons of all groups relative to each control group, as well as to pooled controls. Pairwise t-tests were used to compare the mean absolute organ weights (and mean percentage relative organ weights) between the pooled control groups and each treated group by sex and organ. Two-sided trend tests were performed to determine whether the mean weights increased or decreased with increasing dose. Statistical analysis of survival included the Kaplan-Meier method, the log rank test, and a test for dose-related trend in survival. Tumor incidence data were also analyzed using lifetime tumor rates that were not time adjusted, utilizing the Cochran-Armitage trend test. Tarone's method of analysis was used to assess the lethality of mesotheliomas of the tunica vaginalis testis. For all tumor types, the interval-based method of Peto and the logistic score test were used. Results of statistical tests were generally considered significant at the p < 0.05 level.

Nonneoplastic results—primarily neurotoxicity

Cumulative mortality data were depicted graphically, and statistical significance was not reported. There were only minor dose-related increases in cumulative mortality observed among the male rat groups during the first 60 weeks of treatment, after which mortality increased in high dose males compared with all other groups, increasing by the end of the study to 75% vs. 53 and 44% in control groups 1 and 2, respectively. Differences in mortality among the male control groups were greater than differences among either control groups and the low- or mid-dose-treated males at study end. There were only minor differences in female rat mortality within the first 23 months; however, by study end, mortality rates in controls 1 and 2 and the 1.0 and 3.0 mg/kg-day treatment groups were 40, 28, 35, and 49%, respectively.

Group mean body weights for control and treated groups were depicted graphically. No significant differences were seen among experimental groups regarding food or water consumption. Mean body weights of 2.0 mg/kg-day male rats were consistently decreased from

those of control groups starting at week 8 and were significantly decreased from week 40 (398 g vs. 408 g in controls, approximately 2.5% lower) to study end (375 g vs. 412 g in controls, approximately 9% lower). Body weights of 0.1 and 0.5 mg/kg-day males did not differ significantly from controls at any time during the study. Mean body weights of 3.0 mg/kg-day females were significantly lower than controls from week 3 to study end, although the data in the graphical depiction indicated that the difference was greatest near study end and did not exceed 8%. Slight but significantly lower mean body weight was observed in 1 mg/kg-day females from weeks 8 to 32. However, this treatment group did not exhibit significant differences in mean body weight at other time points. The study authors did not provide data concerning organ weights but stated that slight differences (significant in some cases) between group mean organ weights generally reflected group differences in mean final body weight.

. Table 4-12 summarizes the light microscopic findings in sciatic nerve sections of selected rats of each sex and treatment level. Sciatic nerve degeneration was characterized by vacuolated nerve fibers of minimal-to-mild severity. The authors did not include results of statistical analysis of increased incidences of sciatic nerve degeneration among high-dose male and female rats, relative to controls. However, application of Fisher's Exact test shows significantly increased incidences of sciatic nerve degeneration among both male and female high-dose rats.

	Dose (mg/kg-day)							
Endpoint	0	0	0.1	0.5	1	2	3	
Males								
Number examined	83	88	65	38	_	49	_	
Degeneration ^a	30	29	21	13		26		
	(36%)	(33%)	(32%)	(34%)		(53%) ^b		
Females								
Number examined	37	43	—	-	20	_	86	
Degeneration ^a	7	12			2		38	
	(19%)	(28%)			(10%)		$(44\%)^{b}$	

 Table 4-12. Light microscopic data for sciatic nerves from F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for 2 years

^aNumber of sciatic nerves (% of examined nerves) that exhibited light microscopic evidence of degeneration. ^bStatistically different from control groups according to Fisher's Exact test (p < 0.05) performed by Syracuse Research Corporation.

Sources: Friedman et al. (1995); Tegeris Laboratories (1989).

The authors stated that palpable masses in male rats, located primarily in the inguinal area and most likely associated with inflammation of the preputial gland, were observed beginning in the first 12 months of the study. The incidences of these masses were similar in all dose groups during the second year of treatment. Although no dose-related differences were seen in the percentage of rats with masses at individual locations, the total percentage of rats

with palpable masses was increased in the high-dose group, compared with either control group or the pooled controls (specific data not presented).

To summarize, the noncancer effects, the Friedman et al. (1995) study observed peripheral nerve degeneration based on light microscopic examination (electron microscopy was not conducted) in F344 rats exposed to AA in drinking water for 2 years. A NOAEL of 1 mg/kg-day was identified in female rats (0.5 mg/kg-day in male rats) with a LOAEL of 2 mg/kgday for male rats.

Neoplastic results—tumors at multiple sites

Incidences of selected neoplastic lesions in male and female rats are presented in Tables 4-13 and 4-14, respectively. Histopathologic examination revealed significantly increased incidences of male thyroid gland (follicular cell) adenoma (and adenoma or carcinoma combined) and tunica vaginalis mesothelioma in the 2.0 mg/kg-day group. Females exposed to 1.0 and 3.0 mg/kg-day developed a significantly increased incidence of mammary gland fibroadenomas or combined fibroadenomas and carcinomas. Only the high-dose (3.0 mg/kg-day) females exhibited a significantly increased incidence of thyroid gland follicular cell neoplasms (adenomas or carcinomas combined).

	Dose (mg/kg-day)					
	0	0	0.1	0.5	2.0	
Number of animals/group	102	102	204	102	75	
Tissue/lesion						
Brain (glial origin) ^a						
Astrocytoma	1/102	0/102	0/98	0/50	2/75	
Oligodendroglioma	0/102	1/102	1/98	1/50	0/75	
Spinal cord (glial origin)						
Astrocytoma	0/82	0/90	1/68	0/37	1/51	
Reproductive organs and accessory tissues						
Tunica vaginalis testis mesothelioma	4/102	4/102	9/204	8/102	13/75 ^b	
Thyroid gland (follicular cell)						
Adenoma	2/100	0/102 ^c	9/203	5/101	15/75 ^{b,d}	
Carcinoma	1/100	2/102	3/203	0/101	3/75	
Adenoma or carcinoma (combined)	3/100	2/102 ^c	12/203	5/101	17/75 ^{b,e}	

 Table 4-13. Incidences of tumors in male F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for 2 years

^aDoes not include two rats with "malignant reticulosis" of the brain, one dosed male and one control male. The male 0.1 mg/kg-day group had only 98/204 brains and 68/204 spinal cords examined. The male 0.5 mg/kg-day had only 50/102 brains and 37/102 spinal cords examined. All male brains of high-dose rats and all male control brains (both subgroups) were examined, but only 82/102 and 90/102 control spinal cords and 51/75 high dose spinal cords were examined. (Footnote from Rice, 2005).

^bSignificantly different from control, p < 0.05.

^cThe data reported in Table 4 in Friedman et al. (1995) list one follicular cell adenoma in the second control group; however, the raw data obtained in the Tegeris Laboratories (1989) report (and used in the time-to-tumor analysis) list no follicular cell adenomas in this group. The corrected number for adenomas (0) and the total number of combined adenomas and carcinomas (2) in the second control group are used in this table and this assessment. ^dTwelve rats had a single follicular cell adenoma and three rats had multiple follicular cell adenomas. ^eA single rat had both an adenoma and a carcinoma.

Source: Friedman et al. (1995).

	Dose (mg/kg-day)				
	0	0	1.0	3.0	
Number of animals/group	50	50	100	100	
Tissue/lesion					
Brain (glial origin) ^a					
Astrocytoma	0/50	0/50	2/100	2/100	
Oligodendroglioma	0/50	0/50	0/100	0/100	
Spinal cord (glial origin)					
Astrocytoma	0/45	0/44	0/21	1/90	
Mammary gland					
Fibroadenoma	5/46	4/50	20/94 ^b	26/95 ^b	
Adenocarcinoma	2/46	0/50	2/94	4/95	
Adenoma or carcinoma (combined)	7/46	4/50	21/94 ^b	30/95 ^b	
Thyroid gland (follicular cell)					
Adenoma	0/50	0/50	7/100	16/100 ^c	
Carcinoma	1/50	1/50	3/100	7/100	
Adenoma or carcinoma (combined)	1/50	1/50	10/100	23/100 ^b	

Table 4-14. Incidences of tumors in female F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for 2 years

^aDoes not include five dosed female rats with "malignant reticulosis" of the brain. All female brains were examined, but only 45/50, 44/50, 21/100, and 90/100 spinal cords in control 1, control 2, low-, and high-dose females, respectively, were examined. (Footnote from Rice, 2005).

^bSignificantly different from control, p < 0.001 as reported by Friedman et al. (1995).

^cStatistically different from control groups according to Fisher's Exact test (p < 0.05) performed by Syracuse Research Corporation.

Source: Friedman et al. (1995).

These findings confirm the results of the earlier Johnson et al. (1986) drinking water bioassay with F344 rats; i.e., significantly increased incidences of thyroid follicular cell tumors in males and females, tunica vaginalis testis mesotheliomas in males, and mammary gland tumors in females. Results of the study of Johnson et al. (1986) that were not reported as being replicated in the study of Friedman et al. (1995) include the statistically significantly increased incidences of adrenal pheochromocytomas in males, CNS tumors of glial origin in females, oral cavity tumors in females, and clitoral or uterus tumors in females. In a review of the Friedman et al. (1995) study data, Rice (2005) noted that, although glial tumors of brain and spinal cord were reported not to be increased, not all of the brains and spinal cords in the test animals were examined, and seven cases of a morphologically distinctive category of primary brain tumor described as "malignant reticulosis" were reported but were excluded from the authors' analysis. Rice (2005) comments that it is unusual to exclude brain tumors of this kind from the results of a bioassay. The neoplasms diagnosed as "malignant reticulosis" are of uncertain origin but have some features in common with anaplastic astrocytomas. Both astrocytomas and neoplasms consistent with a descriptive designation of "malignant reticulosis" are also induced in rats by the structurally closely related compound, acrylonitrile (IARC, 1994b) and by the simple epoxide carcinogen, ethylene oxide (IARC, 1999). Rice (2005) concluded that the primary brain tumors were underreported in the Friedman et al (1995) study and provided the following details from his review of the study records (also see footnotes in Tables 4-13 and 4-14):

... tabulated data in the study report does not include seven rats with "malignant reticulosis" of the brain, including five dosed females, one dosed male and one control male. The male 0.1 mg/kg-day group had only 98/204 brains and 68/204 spinal cords examined. The male 0.5 mg/kg-day had only 50/102 brains and 37/102 spinal cords examined. All male brains of high-dose rats and all male control brains (both subgroups) were examined, but only 82/102 and 90/102 control spinal cords and 51–75 high dose spinal cords were examined. All female brains were examined, but only 45/50, 44/50, 21/100 and 90/100 spinal cords in control, control, low- and high-dose females, respectively were examined.

EPA agrees that the brain tumor incidence rates and analyses should have been more fully documented in the Friedman et al. (1995) report tables and discussion, and concurs with the Rice (2005) conclusion that the CNS tumors be considered one of the tumor types replicated in the Friedman et al. (1995) study, even though the incomplete brain and spinal cord tumor data set precludes a quantitative analysis of CNS tumor incidence in the characterization of doseresponse.

Iatropoulos et al. (1998) reevaluated reproductive tissue from the 38 male rats originally diagnosed with tunica vaginalis mesotheliomas and arrived at a different diagnosis than the original analysis (which considered all of the mesotheliomas to be malignant as reported in Friedman et al. [1995] and Tegeris Laboratories [1989]). Using criteria specified by McConnell et al. (1992), tissue blocks and slides were reevaluated and reclassified into one of three types of mesothelial lesions: (1) focal mesothelial hyperplasia, (2) benign mesothelioma, and (3) malignant mesothelioma. Proliferating cells from the mesothelial lesions were stained for proliferating cell nuclear antigen to assess the fraction of cells that were replicating. In addition, for each rat, the extent of Leydig cell neoplastic proliferation was assessed as occupying 25, 50, 75, or 100% of the testes. The evaluations were reported to have been conducted in a blinded

101 DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

fashion. The reevaluation assessed that not all of the previously diagnosed mesotheliomas were malignant (see Table 4-15). All rats reevaluated as having malignant mesotheliomas were assessed as having 75 or 100% of the testes occupied by Leydig cell neoplasia. In contrast, rats reevaluated as having focal mesothelial hyperplasia or benign mesothelioma showed either no Leydig cell neoplasia or 25 or 50% of the testes occupied by Leydig cell neoplasia. The comparison suggests that the extent of Leydig cell neoplasia and the development of malignant mesotheliomas may have been linked.

Dose	Rat	•		Levdig cell
(mg/kg-day)	no.	Diagnosis ^a	Evidence of metastasis or invasion	neoplasia ^b
Control	126	No mesothelial tissue was present	Metastasis to mesentery	 L+++
Group 1	134	Benign mesothelioma, focal		L+
1	170	Malignant mesothelioma		L+++
	179	Benign mesothelioma, focal	Metastasis to seminal vesicles	L++
Control	257	Malignant mesothelioma	Metastasis to peritoneal cavity	L++++
Group 2	301	Focal mesothelial hyperplasia		L+
-	335	Focal mesothelial hyperplasia		L+
	353	Malignant mesothelioma	Invasion through the serosa	L+++
0.1	432	No mesothelial change		_
	457	Malignant mesothelioma	Metastasis to neighboring skeletal muscle	L++++
	473	Malignant mesothelioma	Metastasis to mesentery	L+++
	484	Malignant mesothelioma	Invasion through the serosa	L++++
	514	Focal mesothelial hyperplasia		L+
	564	Malignant mesothelioma	Metastasis to mesentery	L+++
	594	Focal mesothelial hyperplasia		L+
	603	Malignant mesothelioma	Metastasis to hepatic serosa	L+++
	606	Focal mesothelial hyperplasia		L+
0.5	729	Malignant mesothelioma	Metastasis to mesentery, splenic serosa	L+++
	732	Malignant mesothelioma	Metastasis to splenic serosa	L+++
	756	Benign mesothelioma, focal		L+
	758	Benign mesothelioma, focal		-
	762	Malignant mesothelioma	Metastasis to neighboring skeletal muscle,	L++++
			splenic serosa	
	767	Focal mesothelial hyperplasia		_
	780	Benign mesothelioma, focal		L++
	783	Benign mesothelioma, focal		L++
2.0	810	Benign mesothelioma, focal		L+
	813	Malignant mesothelioma	Metastasis to urinary bladder	L+++
	814	Benign mesothelioma, focal		L+
	816	Malignant mesothelioma	Invasion through the serosa	L+++
	821	Focal mesothelial hyperplasia		-
	824	Focal mesothelial hyperplasia		L+
	832	Malignant mesothelioma	Metastasis to seminal vesicles, epididymis	L+++
	841	Benign mesothelioma, focal		L++
	844	Malignant mesothelioma	Metastasis to neighboring skeletal muscle,	L+++
			mesentery	
	847	Benign mesothelioma, focal		L++
	850	Benign mesothelioma, focal		L++
	868	Malignant mesothelioma	Metastasis to mesentery	L+++
	878	Benign mesothelioma		L++

Table 4-15. Reevaluation and comparison of mesothelial lesions and extent of Leydig cell neoplasia in male F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for 2 years

^aRats previously diagnosed as having mesothelioma of the tunica vaginalis testis (Friedman et al., 1995). ^bLeydig cell neoplasms occupying 25% (+), 50% (++), 75% (+++), or 100% (++++) of testes; – denotes no neoplasm.

Source: Iatropoulos et al. (1998).

4.2.2. Inhalation Exposure

Information on the response to subchoronic or chronic exposure to inhaled AA in animals is limited to three subchronic studies in cats, dogs, and rats from the mid-1950s (Hazleton

Laboratories, 1954, 1953) with demonstration of neurotoxicity dependent on dose and species tested. No chronic animal inhalation studies for exposure to AA were identified.

4.2.2.1. Subchronic Studies

Exposure of four cats to AA vapors at a mean analytical concentration of 1.65 ppm (4.8 mg/m³), 6 hours/day, 5 days/week for 3 months, resulted in no apparent clinical signs or adverse effects on body weight (Hazleton Laboratories, 1954). Results of periodic blood studies (hematocrit, hemoglobin, sedimentation rates, and white blood counts) and plasma pseudocholinesterase activity levels were within normal limits.

Exposure of dogs and rats to an aerosol of AA dust at a concentration of 15.6 mg/m³, 6 hours/day, 5 days/week for up to 12 exposures, resulted in progressive signs of neurotoxicity and death (Hazleton Laboratories, 1953). Simultaneously exposed guinea pigs showed no neurotoxic signs.

4.2.2.2. Chronic Studies

No chronic inhalation animal studies were identified.

4.3. REPRODUCTIVE/DEVELOPMENTAL STUDIES—ORAL AND INHALATION

There is a large database for reproductive effects from oral exposure to AA, and the reproductive section begins with a discussion of the recent expert panel review of the database (NTP/CERHR, 2004).

There were no inhalation studies found in the literature that measured reproductive or developmental in animals exposed to AA.

4.3.1. Reproductive Toxicity Studies

An NTP-sponsored expert panel (NTP/CERHR, 2004) conducted a comprehensive review of reproductive and developmental toxicity studies for a variety of exposure routes: by drinking water in rats or mice (NTP, 1993; Smith et al., 1986; Zenick et al., 1986), by gavage in rats (Sublet et al., 1989; Working et al., 1987b), by i.p. injection in mice (Holland et al., 1999; Nagao, 1994; Ehling and Neuhäuser-Klaus, 1992; Dobrzynska et al., 1990; Shelby et al., 1987, 1986), and by dermal application in mice (Gutierrez-Espeleta, 1992). The NTP/CERHR (2004) report summarized that the lowest effective doses of AA reported were 30 ppm in drinking water in rats (a cumulative dose of about 200 mg/kg by the time of mating) (Smith et al., 1986), 6.78 mg/kg-day in drinking water in mice (a cumulative dose of 949 mg/kg over the 20-week exposure period) (NTP, 1993), 15 mg/kg-day for 5 days by gavage in rats (Sublet et al., 1989), 75 mg/kg i.p. in mice (single dose) (Ehling and Neuhäuser-Klaus, 1992). The panel concluded that the

dominant lethal data provide firm in vivo postmetabolic evidence of genotoxicity in mammals and that AA was effective via all routes in all species at comparable doses. The report notes that the stage effect was consistent but that the dominant lethal test does not effectively assess damage in spermatogonial stem cells. The panel cautioned against assigning stage-specific effects in these studies based on the kinetics of spermatogenesis, given that some chemical agents (including, perhaps, AA) may alter the kinetics of spermatogenesis. In the case of AA, the dominant lethal studies most likely indicate an effect on the ability of epididymal spermatozoa and spermatids to fertilize an oocyte, along with potential pre- and postimplantation genetic effects. Although the antifertilization effect may be due to nongenetic actions, the doses needed to elicit the antifertilization effects were generally higher than that needed to elicit the postimplantation genetic effects, and thus the antifertilization effects are of limited utility for predicting human risk.

The following discussion presents details of the oral studies, including twogeneration/dominant lethal studies (Tyl et al., 2000a; Chapin et al., 1995) and dominant lethal studies (Tyl et al., 2000b; Sublet et al., 1989; Working et al., 1987a, b; Smith et al., 1986; Zenick et al., 1986). The results for other reproductive function endpoints are also discussed (Sakamoto et al., 1988; Sakamoto and Hashimoto, 1986; Zenick et al., 1986).

Tyl et al. (2000a) two-generation/dominant lethal study

Tyl et al. (2000a) performed a two-generation reproduction and dominant lethal study of AA in F344 rats. Groups of F0 weanlings (30/sex/group) were exposed to AA in the drinking water at concentrations that would provide dose levels of 0, 0.5, 2.0, or 5.0 mg/kg-day during a prebreeding period of 10 weeks. The breeding period consisted of 14 days of cohabitation, during which males and females were paired one-to-one. During mating, gestation, and the first week of lactation, female rats of each treatment group were given the same concentration of AA in the drinking water as that to which they had been exposed during the final week prior to mating; during the cohabitation mating period, males were exposed to AA based on the body weights of the corresponding females during mating to avoid overexposure of the females. As soon as each successful mating was confirmed, each pair was separated. Mated females were weighed on GDs 0, 6, 13, and 20. Dams and litters were weighed on postnatal days (PNDs) 1, 4, 7, 14, 21, and 28. Pups were weaned on PND 28. Following mating, F0 males were maintained on their respective AA doses until 2 days prior to being mated with naive unexposed females in the dominant lethal portion of the study, after which impregnated females were separated from the males and sacrificed on GD 14. Gross examinations were performed and number of ovarian corpora lutea and number and distribution of total uterine implantation sites, resorption sites, and live and dead implants were determined.

Thirty F1 male and 30 F1 female rats of each dose group were selected to be continued on AA (in the same manner as their parents) to produce F2 pups. The prebreeding treatment period for F1 rats was 11 weeks. All F0 and F1 parental rats in all treatment groups were subjected to gross necropsy. In addition, 30 male and 30 female F1 parental rats each from control and high-dose groups were subjected to histologic examination of major reproductive tissues and representative target neurological tissues (peripheral nerves, brain, and spinal cord). Sciatic and tibial nerve sections from six high-dose male and three control male F1 adults and spinal cord sections from three high-dose and two control female F1 adults were stained with Bodian's method for additional histologic examination. Selected F1 and F2 weanling rats were subjected to the same histologic examinations as were the F1 parental rats. The study report does not indicate that tissues from F0 rats were histologically examined.

Results for quantitative continuous variables were analyzed using Levene's test for equal variances, ANOVA, and t-tests. Nonparametric data were statistically evaluated by using the Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by the Mann-Whitney U-test for pairwise comparisons. Fisher's Exact test was used to compare frequency data. For all statistical tests, the level of significance was p < 0.05.

F0 males in all three treatment groups showed statistically significantly reduced mean body weight compared with controls (~4–6% decreased), starting after 4–6 weeks and continuing through 13 weeks when exposure ceased. Body weights in 2.0 and 5.0 mg/kg-day F1 males showed similar depressions of body weight throughout their 13 weeks of exposure. Body weights in F0 females were statistically significantly lower than controls during the latter 4 weeks of the prebreeding period in the 2.0 and 5.0 mg/kg-day groups (~4–6% decreased), at the end of gestation in the 5.0 mg/kg-day group (~9% decreased), and most of the lactation period in the 5.0 mg/kg-day group (~4–6% decreased). Body weights in F1 females were statistically significantly lower than controls during the latter 8 weeks of prebreeding in the 2.0 and 5.0 mg/kg-day groups (~5% decreased), at the end of gestation in the 2.0 (~3% decreased) and 5.0 mg/kg-day groups (~12% decreased), and during the middle 3 weeks of lactation in the 5.0 mg/kg-day group (~4–6% decreased). In F2 offspring, statistically significant changes in body weight were restricted to the 5.0 mg/kg-day group at PND 14 (~7% decreased). The depressions in body weight, although not large, provide evidence of mild systemic toxicity, most consistently in 2.0 and 5.0 mg/kg-day F0 and F1 adult males.

Increased incidences of rats with foot splay occurred in F0 exposure groups relative to controls. Incidences for foot splay were 3/30, 10/30, 7/30, and 10/30 for control through 5.0 mg/kg-day F0 males and 1/30, 2/30, 6/30, and 6/30 for F0 females. Fisher's Exact test (performed by Syracuse Research Corporation) indicated that incidences were statistically significantly (p < 0.05) elevated in the low- and high-dose male groups; incidences in the mid-and high-dose female groups were marginally (p = 0.51) elevated compared with controls. No

foot splay was observed in F1 males or F1 females in any groups. Head tilt was displayed by some F0 and F1 males and F1 females, but the incidences of this sign of neurotoxicity were not statistically significantly different from controls, except for a marginally significant (p = 0.056) elevation in the 5.0 mg/kg-day F1 males (0/30, 0/30, 0/30, and 4/30).

Gross examinations of all F0 rats, all F1 pups that died during lactation, and selected F1 weanlings yielded no treatment-related findings. Histopathologic examination of reproductive and nervous system tissues of the F1 weanlings revealed no signs of treatment-related adverse effects. Histopathology of selected nervous system tissues from control and 5.0 mg/kg-day F1 adults and all necropsied F2 weanlings showed no exposure-related lesions with conventional staining (hematoxylin and eosin). However, when peripheral nerve sections (from sciatic and tibial nerves) were examined with Bodian's stain, minimal to mild axonal fragmentation and/or swelling was observed in 6/6 F1 5.0 mg/kg-day males compared with 0/3 control F1 males (female tissues were not examined). Spinal cord sections from three high-dose females and two control females, stained by the same method, showed no lesions (male tissues were not examined histologically.

AA treatment did not significantly affect F0 or F1 reproductive parameters involving success of mating and impregnation or gestation length, but 5.0 mg/kg-day induced statistically significantly decreased numbers of implantations/dam and live pups/litter on PND 0, and increased postimplantation loss in the F0 and F1 generations (Table 4-16). F1 and F2 pup survival between PNDs 0 to 4 was unaffected by treatment, with the exception that, in the 5.0 mg/kg-day group, three one-pup F1 litters and three one-pup F2 litters did not survive.

	Dose (mg/kg-day)				
Parameters	0	0.5	2.0	5.0	
F0 parents/F1 mating (30 pairs/group)					
No. males impregnating	17	24	22	21	
No. females pregnant	20	24	26	18	
No. implantations/dam	10.4 ± 2.5	10.0 ± 3.6	10.2 ± 2.2	$6.8\pm3.1^{\rm a}$	
No. live pups/litter (PND 0)	9.8 ± 3.1	9.8 ± 3.5	9.7 ± 2.4	$4.5\pm2.6^{\rm a}$	
Postimplantation loss (%)	7.9 ± 18.5	2.1 ± 4.7	5.7 ± 9.1	$34.4\pm25.9^{\rm a}$	
F1 parents/F2 mating (30 pairs/group)					
No. males impregnating	23	25	25	27	
No. females pregnant	23	25	27	23	
No. implantations/dam	11.3 ± 1.5	10.0 ± 3.4	10.5 ± 2.1	$6.8\pm3.3^{\rm a}$	
No. live pups/litter (PND 0)	10.8 ± 1.5	10.0 ± 2.9	9.6 ± 2.4	$5.1\pm3.2^{\mathrm{a}}$	
Postimplantation loss (%)	4.4 ± 7.6	3.3 ± 7.9	9.1 ± 14.4	23.1 ± 28.2	

Table 4-16. Changes in reproductive parameters in F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for two generations

^aSignificantly (p < 0.05) different from control value. Values are group means \pm SD.

Source: Tyl et al. (2000a).

No effects on F1 pup body weights were seen on PNDs 1, 4, or 7. However, measurements made on PNDs 14, 21, and 28 (when rat pups had begun to drink and feed themselves) revealed significantly reduced pup weight (8–11% lower than controls) in 5.0 mg/kg-day males. Significantly reduced mean F2 pup body weight (approximately 8%) was seen only in 5.0 mg/kg-day pups and only on PND 14.

Dominant lethal results

In the dominant lethal mutation protocol in which exposed male rats were mated with nonexposed female rats, exposure did not adversely affect fertility or mating indices or the number of corpora lutea (Table 4-17). However, the total number of implants/litter and the percentages of pre- and postimplantation loss were statistically significantly different from controls in nonexposed females mated to treated 5.0 mg/kg-day F0 males.

	Acrylamide dose (mg/kg-day) in the drinking water						
Parameter	0.0	0.5	2.0	5.0			
No. males paired	30	30	30	30			
No. females paired	60	60	60	60			
No. fecund males ^a	29 (96.7%)	30 (100.0%)	30 (100.0%)	30 (100.0%)			
No. fertile males ^b	28 (93.3%)	29 (96.7%)	29 (96.7%)	29 (96.7%)			
No. plug- or sperm-positive females	57 (95.0%)	56 (93.3%)	59 (98.3%)	57 (95.0%)			
No. pregnant females	52 (91.2%)	50 (89.3%)	57 (96.6%)	52 (91.2%)			
Mating index ^c	52/60 (86.7%)	50/60 (93.3%)	57/60 (95.0%)	52/60 (86.7%)			
No. corpora lutea/dam	11.8 ± 2.1^{d}	11.5 ± 1.1	11.8 ± 1.1	11.4 ± 1.2			
No. total implants/litter	10.0 ± 2.3	9.9 ± 2.5	10.2 ± 2.2	$8.6\pm2.7^{\rm f}$			
Percent preimplantation loss	14.3 ± 19.6	14.3 ± 21.2	13.5 ± 18.4	$24.9\pm22.7^{\rm f}$			
Live implants/litter	9.4 ± 2.2	9.5 ± 2.5	9.6 ± 2.3	$7.5\pm2.6^{ m g}$			
Nonlive implants/litter	0.6 ± 0.7	$0.4\pm0.7^{ m e}$	0.6 ± 0.7	$1.1 \pm 1.0^{\rm e}$			
Percent postimplantation loss	6.2 ± 7.0	3.7 ± 6.8^{e}	6.1 ± 6.9	$14.2\pm17.1^{\rm f}$			

Table 4-17. Results of the dominant lethal mutation assay in F344 rats

^aNumber of males that produced at least one plug- or sperm-positive female.

^bNumber of males that produced at least one pregnant female.

^cRatio of pregnant females to paired females.

^dMean \pm SD. ^ep < 0.05.

 $p^{f} < 0.001$ $p^{f} < 0.001$. $p^{g} < 0.001$.

p < 0.001.

Source: Tyl et al. (2000a).

Chapin et al. (1995) two-generation/dominant lethal/grip strength study

Chapin et al. (1995) conducted a two-generation continuous breeding reproductive toxicity study in CD-1 mice that included an assessment of grip strength in F0 and F1 adult mice. Male and female CD-1 mice (20/sex/treatment group) were individually housed and administered AA in the drinking water at concentrations of 3, 10, or 30 ppm for 7 days, followed by continuous dosing during 14 weeks of cohabitation as mating pairs. At test concentrations of 3, 10, and 30 ppm, the authors estimated AA doses of 0.81, 3.19, and 7.22 mg/kg-day for both male and female F0 mice, based on water consumption data of F0 females. A control group consisted of 40 mating pairs. Mice were monitored for clinical signs, but the frequency of observations was not specified. Body weights of F0 mice were recorded following the delivery of each litter produced during the cohabitation period, at necropsy, and at other unspecified time points. Pups from each litter were counted, sexed, weighed, and killed. Reproductive indices measured included fertility (number of pairs delivering at least one litter), number of litters/pair, and number of live pups/litter, sex ratio, day of delivery, and pup birth weight. Parental food and water consumption were measured for 1 week both immediately prior to (study week 1) and following (study week 16) the cohabitation period (study weeks 2–15). Forelimb and hindlimb grip strength were assessed in 10 male and 10 female F0 mice/group during study weeks 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, and 17.

At the end of the 14-week cohabitation period, the F0 pairs were separated and dosed for an additional 6 weeks, during which time pregnant dams were allowed to deliver and wean F1 litters. The F1 pups were culled to two/sex/litter and maintained on the same dosing regimen as their parents. Upon reaching 74 days of age, F1 females were mated to nonsibling males of the same treatment group for up to 1 week then separated and continued on their respective AA treatment levels until delivery of the F2 generation. Reproductive variables evaluated for the F1 parental mice were the same as those for the F0 generation. Grip strength was measured in F1 parental mice at weeks 3, 5, 7, 10, and 16 (necropsy week) of treatment. At necropsy, body and selected organ weights were recorded. Microscopic examinations were performed on sural and gastrocnemius nerves of both sexes of F1 mice, testes and epididymides of F1 males, and visible gross lesions.

During the 6-week separation period following 98 days of F0 cohabitation, selected control and exposed F0 males were cohabited with three untreated females for up to 4 days in order to evaluate dominant lethal effects in the males. Pregnant females were subjected to necropsy on GD 16. Uteri were examined for number of live, dead, and resorbed implants.

Following the 6-week separation period, crossover mating tests of control and high-dose male and female F0 mice were performed, which resulted in pairings of control males with control females, control males with high-dose females, and high-dose males with control females. The pairs were allowed to mate for 1 week, during which time AA treatment was suspended. Treatment then continued throughout gestation and delivery. Reproductive indices measured included fertility (number of pairs delivering at least one litter), number of litters/pair, and number of live pups/litter, sex ratio, day of delivery, and pup birth weight. Estrous cyclicity in parental females was assessed for 12 days following delivery. At necropsy, body and selected organ weights were determined for all F0 mice.

Grip strength measurements were performed by testing forelimb first, then hindlimb. The results of three such trials were averaged for each animal tested. Grip strength values were compared by ANOVA. In the dominant lethal tests, all data from females mated to a given male were pooled. Differences in results between treated and control groups were considered significant at the level of p < 0.05.

AA treatment did not affect body weight or food consumption in F0 males or F0 females, but water consumption was erratic in males. In F1 mice selected for mating, exposure-related effects on body weight were not found, except for an 8% decrease in body weight, compared with controls, in 30-ppm females. The authors estimated AA doses to be approximately 0.86, 2.9, and 7.7 mg/kg-day, based on water consumption during the week following mating. To compare with other AA toxicity studies, approximate average doses for the groups in this study are taken to be 0, 0.8, 3.1, and 7.5 mg/kg-day.

No treatment-related effects were observed regarding proportion of F0 fertile pairs, percentage of cohabiting F0 pairs with litters, average number of F1 litters/pair, proportion of live F1 pups born, sex ratio, or mean live F1 pup weight. A slight, but statistically significant, decrease in aggregate mean number of live F1 pups was observed at 30 ppm (12.2 ± 0.5 , n = 18, vs. 13.6 ± 0.5 , n = 39, for controls). This 10% change was due to significantly reduced numbers of live pups in the second and third litters of high-dose mice but not in the first, fourth, or fifth litters.

AA treatment had no adverse effect on postnatal survival or body weight gain prior to weaning in F1 mice selected for mating. No treatment-related effects were seen regarding the numbers of impregnated F1 females or percentage of F1 females that delivered offspring. The mean number of live F2 pups was significantly decreased in the 30-ppm group (7.9 ± 1.0 live pups/litter vs. 14.8 ± 0.5 in controls) in the absence of a significant treatment-related alteration in live pup birth weight. Postpartum dam body weight was significantly lower (11%) in 30-ppm F1 dams (34.1 ± 0.9 g vs. 37.7 ± 0.9 g in controls).

Dominant lethal results

When exposed F0 male mice were mated with nonexposed females, dominant lethal effects were observed at the 30-ppm exposure level. Significantly increased early resorptions, total postimplantation loss, and decreased number of live fetuses were observed in the 30-ppm group (see Table 4-18). Percentages of impregnated females were 83, 83, 81, and 77 for the control through 30-ppm groups, respectively, indicating no effects on male fertility.

	Acrylamide concentration (ppm)						
	0	3	10	30			
Number of males tested	20	20	19	20			
Early resorptions	$0.86\pm0.1^{\rm a}$	0.78 ± 0.26	1.04 ± 0.17	$1.74 \pm 0.17^{\rm b,c}$			
Dead fetuses	0.03 ± 0.02	0.06 ± 0.03	0.04 ± 0.02	0.09 ± 0.06			
Total implantation loss	0.98 ± 0.12	0.99 ± 0.28	1.14 ± 0.16	$1.95 \pm 0.17^{\rm b,c}$			
Live fetuses	12.5 ± 0.3	12.5 ± 0.2	12.5 ± 0.4	$11.5\pm0.4^{\rm b}$			

 Table 4-18. Results of dominant lethality testing in male Swiss CD-1 mice

 exposed to acrylamide in the drinking water

^aMean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM); number/litter/male.

^bSignificantly different from controls (p < 0.05).

^cDose-related trend (p < 0.05).

Source: Chapin et al. (1995).

The crossover mating tests of control males with control females, control males with high-dose females, and high-dose males with control females resulted in averages of 11.4, 11.5, and 9.4 pups/litter, respectively. The study authors found no statistically significant differences in litter sizes among the different groups but suggested that the smaller average litter size in the group of high-dose males mated with control females (9.4 pups/litter, compared with 11.4 and 11.5 pups/litter in the other two groups) indicated that the dominant lethal effect was related to toxicity in males rather than females. However, the study report did not include additional details of the results (incidence data or variation from mean values).

Necropsy results of all F0 mice did not reveal any signs of treatment-related adverse effects on body weight or absolute or relative weights of liver, kidneys/adrenals, right ovary, right testis or cauda epididymis, prostate, or seminal vesicles. Sperm analysis revealed no treatment-related effects on epididymal sperm concentration, motility, frequency of abnormal forms, or total spermatid heads/testis. However, the mean number of spermatids/gram testis was statistically significantly (p < 0.05) lower in the 10- and 30-ppm F0 males (11.1 ± 0.4 , 10.6 ± 0.4 , 9.8 ± 0.8 , and 10.0 ± 0.5 spermatids/gram testis in controls through 30 ppm). No AA-related effect on estrous cyclicity was seen in females (data were not shown).

Gross necropsy of F1 parental mice did not reveal treatment-related effects on male terminal body weight or weight of liver, kidneys/adrenals, right testis, epididymis, or seminal vesicles. AA treatment did not adversely affect female terminal body weight, absolute or relative liver weight, or right ovary weight. Absolute kidney and adrenal weight (combined) of 10- and 30-ppm females was significantly lower than controls ($550.4 \pm 8.5 \text{ mg}$, $540 \pm 12.2 \text{ mg}$, $503.7 \pm 11.7 \text{ mg}$, and $519 \pm 22.9 \text{ mg}$ for controls, low-, mid-, and high-dose groups, respectively). Relative liver weight was significantly increased (12 and 6%) in mid- and highdose females, respectively. The authors reported a dose-related decrease in absolute mean prostate weight that was statistically significant in the 30-ppm male F1 group (controls $34.6 \pm 1.9 \text{ mg}$; high dose $29.7 \pm 1.7 \text{ mg}$), but mean weights of other treatment groups were not specified. Relative prostate weights were not significantly different from controls. No significant effects were seen regarding sperm quality or estrous cycle length. Upon histopathologic examination, testicular degeneration was noted in 1/10 mid- and high-dose males but was not observed in males of low-dose or control groups. AA treatment did not increase the incidence of grossly visible lesions or histopathologic findings in examined nerve tissues of male or female F1 parental mice.

Grip strength results

Absolute grip strength increased over time in control and exposed F0 groups during 17 weeks of exposure, and was reported to not be adversely affected by exposure. However, 30-ppm male and female F0 mice showed statistically significantly smaller increases over time, relative to controls (see Table 4-19). Statistically significantly reduced forelimb absolute grip strength was observed in 10- and 30-ppm F1 males (compared with controls) following 10 weeks of AA treatment. However, the biological significance of this finding is uncertain since the authors found no treatment-related effects on grip strength in F1 males or females following 3, 5, 7, or 16 weeks of treatment.

	Acrylamide concentration (ppm)				
	0	3	10	30	
F0 relative grip strength increase (%) ^a					
Males					
Forelimb	43.4 ± 18.3	39.6 ± 10.4	2.4 ± 11.7	$6.9 \pm 5.5^{ m c,d}$	
Hindlimb	108.9 ± 12.2	66.4 ± 14.1	89.8 ± 11.8	$67.6\pm9.2^{c,d}$	
Females					
Forelimb	37.3 ± 13.8	44.3 ± 12.6	$3.2\pm5.4^{\circ}$	$1.4\pm7.3^{\mathrm{c,d}}$	
Hindlimb	112.4 ± 28.6	126.0 ± 14.8	94.8 ± 15.8	72.6 ± 12.1	
F1 absolute grip strength (g) ^b					
Males					
Forelimb	96.4 ± 4.1	94.8 ± 4.4	$81.4\pm4.8^{\rm c}$	$84.5 \pm 2.6^{c,d}$	
Hindlimb	118.2 ± 4.0	123.5 ± 5.5	122.8 ± 5.9	115.6 ± 2.2	
Females					
Forelimb	79.6 ± 2.7	74.7 ± 5.0	76.7 ± 4.8	80.0 ± 4.3	
Hindlimb	103.1 ± 3.6	126.0 ± 14.8	102.7 ± 6.3	102.2 ± 4.1	

Table 4-19. Effects of acrylamide in drinking water on grip strength of mice

^aPercentage increase in grip strength during growth after 17 weeks of treatment (mean \pm SEM, n = 10). ^bGrip strength measured at F1 parental treatment week 10 (mean \pm SEM, n = 10).

^cSignificantly different from controls (p < 0.05).

^dDose-related trend (p < 0.05).

Source: Chapin et al. (1995).

In summary, the results presented by Chapin et al. (1995) identified 30 ppm AA in drinking water (7.5 mg/kg-day) as a LOAEL and 10 ppm (3.1 mg/kg-day) as a NOAEL for reproductive toxicity effects (e.g., increased early resorptions, total postimplantation loss; decreased number of live fetuses, decreased number of live F1 and F2 pups/litter) that appear to

be male-mediated in Swiss CD-1 mice. No clear and consistent exposure-related effects on fertility, gross necropsy, organ or body weights, or histology of testicular or nervous system tissues were found. Mild changes in grip strength were noted in F0 and F1 male and female mice of the 30-ppm exposure groups and in F0 female and F1 male mice of the 10-ppm exposure groups.

Additional oral exposure dominant lethal studies

In a study designed to assess dominant lethal effects of AA, groups of male Long-Evans rats (10-11/group) were administered AA in the drinking water at concentrations of 0, 15, 30, or 60 ppm for a total of 80 days (Smith et al., 1986). Based on twice weekly recording of body weights and water consumption, the authors calculated the AA doses in the 15-, 30-, and 60-ppm exposure groups to be 1.5, 2.8, and 5.8 mg/kg-day, respectively. During the final 8 days of treatment, each male rat was paired nightly with two virgin untreated females until each male had impregnated two females or until the end of the treatment period. Sperm-positive female rats were sacrificed on GD 14 and examined for numbers of corpora lutea and for living and dead fetal implants. Fertility rates and percentages of pre- and postimplantation losses were calculated. Following the completion of the mating period, six males of each group were sacrificed for histologic analysis of sperm. Segments of sacral, sciatic, and tibial nerves were excised, fixed, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin or toluidine blue for histopathologic examination. The remaining treated males were sacrificed 12 weeks after the end of treatment for assessment of reciprocal translocations in spermatocytes. Data on fertility rates were analyzed using γ^2 statistics. Effects on pre- and postimplantation loss were analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA with Mann-Whitney U-test for posthoc comparisons.

There were no statistically significant differences among controls and treated rats regarding body weights or water consumption. As shown in Table 4-20, fertility rates did not differ significantly among the groups. A significant elevation in preimplantation loss occurred only in females that had been mated with high-dose males. Postimplantation loss was statistically significantly higher in females mated with mid- or high-dose males relative to low-dose or control males. At the high dose, the percentage was more than 6 times higher than that of controls. None of the treated males exhibited hindlimb splaying, a characteristic sign of AA-induced neurotoxicity. No significant pathological lesions were seen in preparations of the sciatic nerve. The NOAEL in this study is 15 ppm (1.5 mg/kg-day) and the LOAEL is 30 ppm (2.8 mg/kg-day) for male-mediated reproductive effects (increased postimplantation loss). No histological changes were found in sacral, sciatic, and tibial nerves, and no evidence of hindlimb splaying was found in rats exposed to AA concentrations as high as 60 ppm (5.8 mg/kg-day).

Number of males/group	Exposure level (ppm)	Dose (mg/kg-day)	Fertility (%) ^a	Preimplantation loss (%) ^b	Postimplantation loss (%) ^c
9	0	0	87	10.4 ± 1.8	5.7 ± 1.6
9	15	1.5	76	9.3 ± 2.3	7.2 ± 1.6
10	30	2.8	95	12.2 ± 1.4	13.3 ± 2.1^{e}
11	60	5.8	80	25.1 ± 4.0^{d}	36.7 ± 5.6^{d}

Table 4-20. Fertility rates and pregnancy outcomes in Long-Evans rats following 72-day oral exposure of males to acrylamide in the drinking water

^a(Number pregnant/number mated) \times 100.

^b([(Number corpora lutea – number implants]/[number corpora lutea]) × 100.

^c([Number implants – number fetuses]/[number implants]) × 100.

^dSignificantly different from control, low-, and mid-dose groups, $p \le 0.01$.

^eSignificantly different from control, low-, and high-dose groups, $p \le 0.01$.

Source: Smith et al. (1986).

Several additional studies have demonstrated reversible dominant lethal effects and reversible effects on male fertility in animals orally exposed to AA for short time periods. Working et al. (1987a, b) observed reversible male-mediated reproductive effects (dominant lethal effects: increased implantation losses) in F344 rats exposed to 30 mg/kg-day for 5 days. Sublet et al. (1989) observed dominant lethal effects (increased implantation losses) and effects on male impregnation success in Long-Evans male rats exposed to oral doses as low as 15 mg/kg-day for 5 days. In this study, males were gavaged with 0, 5, 15, 30, 45, or 60 mg/kg AA for 5 days prior to mating. Reduced fertility and increased preimplantation loss were found in all dose groups except 5 mg/kg at week 1 posttreatment. Increased postimplantation loss was seen at weeks 2 and 3 in the 15, 30, 45, and 60 mg/kg groups. In sperm samples collected from the 45 mg/kg group, the percentage of motile sperm was modestly decreased to a statistically significant degree (58% vs. 73% in controls) at week 3 but not at weeks 2 or 4. Sublet et al. (1989) concluded that altered motility of sperm may have contributed to, "but can not completely account for, the poorer reproductive performance of these males." Similarly, Tyl et al. (2000b) observed significantly decreased fertility and increased postimplantation losses following mating of untreated female rats with males that had been administered AA at gavage doses of 15, 30, 45, or 60 mg/kg-day for 5 days prior to mating. No statistically significant effects were seen regarding motility or concentration of epididymal sperm from AA-treated males, although sperm beat cross frequency (in cycles/second), a measure of sperm motion and swimming pattern, was significantly increased in the 60 mg/kg-day group. Clinical signs of neurotoxicity, including unsteady movement and lethargy, were observed at the 45 and 60 mg/kg-day dose levels. Highdose males exhibited significantly lower hindlimb grip strength than controls, in the absence of microscopic evidence of sciatic nerve lesions.

GA as the putative toxin for dominant lethal effects

To determine the relative potencies between AA and GA for dominant lethal effects, Adler et al. (2000) administered 1-aminobenzotriazole (ABT), an inhibitor of CYP450 metabolism, to reduce the levels of the epoxide GA. Male mice were pretreated with ABT (i.p. at 3×50 mg/kg) on 3 consecutive days followed by AA treatment (i.p. at 125 mg/kg) on day 4. Parallel groups of animals were treated with AA (i.p. at 125 mg/kg), ABT (i.p. at 3×50 mg/kg) or with the solvent double-distilled water. The experiment was repeated once with slightly varied mating parameters. The authors state that results of both experiments showed that ABT inhibited or significantly reduced the AA-induced dominant lethal effects supporting the hypothesis that the AA metabolite GA is the ultimate clastogen in mouse spermatids. In the NTP/CERHR (2004) review, however, the panel noted that the dominant lethals were decreased 2 weeks after treatment, but that, during the first week after treatment ABT did not decrease the dominant lethal effect of AA, suggesting either that AA itself has dominant lethal effects or that ABT requires more than 1 week to completely prevent metabolism to GA. A lack of a good explanation for the delay before effect and other weaknesses in the results/argument (including a decrease in the rate of dominant lethals in their study compared with other studies in mice, lack of direct confirmatory evidence that ABT actually affected AA metabolism, and evidence that ABT was also spermatotoxic and did not effectively antagonize the spermatotoxic effect of AA treatment) prompted the panel to conclude that this study alone does not provide compelling evidence for the effect of ABT treatment in support of the hypothesis that GA is the ultimate clastogen in mouse spermatids.

More definitive support for GA as the primary toxin for dominant lethal effects comes from a recent study by Ghanayem et al. (2005a), who compared germ-cell mutagenicity in male CYP2E1-null and wild-type mice treated with AA. CYP2E1-null and wild-type male mice were treated by i.p. injection with 0, 12.5, 25, or 50 mg AA in 5 mL saline/kg-day for 5 consecutive days. At defined times after exposure, males were mated to untreated B6C3F₁ females. Females were killed in late gestation, and uterine contents were examined. Dose-related increases in resorption moles (chromosomally aberrant embryos) and decreases in the numbers of pregnant females and the proportion of living fetuses were seen in females mated to AA-treated wild-type mice. No changes in any fertility parameters were seen in females mated to AA-treated CYP2E1-null mice. The authors state that their results constitute the first unequivocal demonstration that AA-induced germ cell mutations in male mice require CYP2E1-mediated epoxidation of AA. A further study by Ghanayem et al. (2005b) demonstrated the absence of AA-induced genotoxicity in somatic cells in CYP2E1-null mice compared with wild-type mice treated with AA. These results support further evaluation of CYP2E1 polymorphisms in human populations as a major determinant of variability in, and susceptibility to, AA genotoxicity in the human population. The results also provide insight into results from previous investigations of AA's germ cell activity in mice where stronger effects were observed after repeated

administration of low doses compared with a single high dose. The differences may be due to nonlinearities in AA metabolism (and thus internal levels and distribution of GA) for different dose rates and durations.

Other reproductive function studies

Zenick et al. (1986) reproductive function study

Zenick et al. (1986) examined the potential effects of AA on male and female reproductive function in Long-Evans rats. Male reproductive function was assessed in rats that were given 0, 50, 100, or 200 ppm of AA in the drinking water (average AA intakes of 0, 4.6, 7.9, and 11.9 mg/kg-day)³ for 10 weeks. During a 3-week pretreatment period, males were allowed to mate several times with ovariectomized, hormonally primed females. Body weights of males were recorded at least once per week, and water consumption was monitored daily throughout the study. During the treatment period, males were observed for clinical signs of toxicity (frequency of observations was not reported) and mated with untreated primed females on a weekly basis. Copulatory behavior (mount frequency, number of mounts and intromissions, and ejaculation latency) with primed females was recorded during the mating session in which a baseline was established (1 week prior to the start of AA treatment) and on alternating weeks during treatment. At baseline and at treatment week 9, mated females were sacrificed and ejaculate was removed from the genital tract for measurements of total sperm count, percent motility, sperm morphology, and seminal plug weight. During treatment week 10, each control and mid-dose (100 ppm) male was housed with an untreated estrous female overnight in order to assess the reproductive success of AA-treated males. Following the sacrifice of dams on GD 17, the number of fetuses and implantation sites were recorded. All treated males that survived the treatment period were sacrificed during the following week and assessed for selected organ weights (liver, brain, kidney, adrenals, spleen, heart, and reproductive organs). Histologic examinations were performed on one testis and one epididymis per rat; the other testis and epididymis were used for spermatid and sperm counting. The level of significance was $p \le 0.05$ for results of statistical analyses.

During treatment week 5, one 200-ppm male was found dead and two others were sacrificed moribund. All other 200 ppm high-dose males were sacrificed during week 6 (i.e., this dose group was terminated due to high mortality). No mortality was observed in any other treatment groups. Throughout treatment, until death or sacrifice at week 6, the high-dose group exhibited significantly lower mean body weight and water consumption than controls. Body weight and water consumption in the mid-dose group were consistently, but not statistically significantly, lower than controls. There were no statistically significant treatment-related

³ Calculated from graphically presented data on body weight and water consumption.

effects on body or organ weights or sperm parameters in 50- or 100-ppm males following 10 weeks of treatment.

Hindlimb splaying was observed in the 200-ppm males by treatment week 4 and less severely in 100-ppm males at week 8. Clinical signs of neurotoxicity were not seen in the 50-ppm group. Prior to the appearance of clinical signs of neurotoxicity, biweekly assessments of copulatory behavior (data plotted graphically as square root or logarithmic transformations) revealed statistically significantly increased numbers of mounts in the 100- and 200-ppm groups relative to controls. At week 9, a nonsignificant increase in number of mounts was noted in low-dose males. At treatment weeks 4 and 9, high- and mid-dose males, respectively, exhibited statistically significant increases in the number of intromissions compared with controls. No statistically significant treatment-related changes were seen in mount or ejaculation latency, although the authors noted that only 4/12 200-ppm and 11/15 100-ppm males ejaculated within a 30-minute period on the final weeks of assessment (weeks 6 and 9, respectively).

Results of sperm analysis through week 9 of treatment and male fertility testing following 10 weeks of treatment are shown in Table 4-21. Mean sperm count was statistically significantly lower in mid-dose males compared with controls, but the authors indicated that vaginal leakage may have influenced total sample recovery, particularly in light of the fact that no adverse effects on sperm parameters were seen in low- and mid-dose males examined histologically after 10 weeks of treatment. Sperm motility and morphology evaluations could not be performed in the mid-dose group because sperm was recovered from the uterus of only 1 of the 11 females in which ejaculation had been observed. Low-dose treatment had no statistically significant effect on sperm parameters assessed. Statistically significant findings of fertility testing (performed only on controls and mid-dose males) included a decreased number of pregnant females and increased postimplantation loss in the mid-dose males.

	Acrylamide concentration (ppm)			
	0	50	100	
Parameter	(n = 15)	(n = 15)	$(n = 11)^{a}$	
Sperm count ($\times 10^6$)				
Baseline	46 ± 12^{b}	45 ± 19	43 ± 14	
Week 9	56 ± 18	36 ± 23	$14 \pm 20^{\circ}$	
Sperm motility (%)				
Baseline	43 ± 9.1	39 ± 9.2	41 ± 6.3	
Week 9	41 ± 11.3	46 ± 11.2	d	
Sperm morphology (% normal)				
Baseline	96 ± 2.7	96 ± 2.3	95 ± 1.8	
Week 9	94 ± 3.6	96 ± 2.0	d	
Seminal plug weight (mg)				
Baseline	115 ± 20	100 ± 38	111 ± 20	
Week 9	118 ± 42	117 ± 27	146 ± 49	
Females sperm positive/females mated	14/14	—	15/15	
Females pregnant/females mated (%)	11/14 (79%)		5/15 (33%) ^f	
Postimplantation loss (%) ^e	8.0 ± 1.1		$31.7\pm3.8^{\rm f}$	

Table 4-21. Results of sperm analysis (baseline and week 9) and male fertility testing (following 10 weeks of treatment) of Long-Evans rats exposed to acrylamide in the drinking water

^aFour males failed to ejaculate in a 30-minute trial.

^bMean \pm SD.

^cSignificantly different from control, p < 0.05.

^dSperm recovered from the uterus of only 1 female.

^ePostimplantation loss = ([number of implants – number of fetuses]/[number of implants]) × 100 $p^{f} < 0.01$.

Note: The 200 ppm male dose group was terminated at week 6 due to high mortality.

Source: Zenick et al. (1986).

In a female reproduction assessment phase, Zenick et al. (1986) exposed regular-estrous female Long-Evans rats (15/group) to AA in the drinking water at concentrations of 0, 25, 50, or 100 ppm for 2 weeks prior to mating and throughout gestation and lactation. The study authors did not specify the intake levels of AA for the various exposure groups; however, dam body weights were recorded at least once per week and water consumption was monitored daily throughout the study. Based on graphically presented weekly mean body weight and water consumption data, time-weighted average AA doses were approximately 3.4, 5.6, and 11.1 mg/kg-day during the 2-week prebreeding period; 5.3, 9.5, and 17.2 mg/kg-day during 3 weeks of gestation; and 6.5, 11.3, and 15.4 mg/kg-day during 3 weeks of lactation for the 25-, 50-, and 100-ppm treatment groups, respectively. Overall average doses for females were calculated to be 5.1, 8.8, and 14.6 mg/kg-day.

During treatment week 3, untreated males were placed with the females at night for up to 7 nights. Presence of sperm in the vagina or a copulatory plug marked day 1 of gestation. Dams were observed for clinical signs of toxicity, but the frequency of clinical observations was not reported. Rat pups were sexed and weighed at birth (weighed weekly thereafter). Litters were
culled to four/sex on lactation day 4 and to two/sex at weaning. Terminal sacrifice was performed on PND 42.

High-dose dams exhibited hindlimb splaying as early as gestation week 2. The mean body weight of this treatment group was statistically significantly lower than that of controls by the end of the prebreeding treatment period and was more than 10 and 20% lower than controls at some time points during gestation and lactation, respectively. Slightly, but significantly lower mean body weight (approximately 6% lower) was seen in mid-dose dams but only during lactation. The body weight effects were at least partially reflected in decreased water consumption.

No statistically significant effects were seen regarding mating efficiency, live litter size, or 4- or 21-day pup survival in any treatment group. Comparisons of body weights between pups of treated dams and pups of control dams revealed slightly (but statistically significantly) lower mean pup birth weights in male and female pups of high-dose dams. Significantly depressed mean body weights were seen in male and female pups of mid- and high-dose dams during lactation and postweaning periods (approximately 30–35 and 10% lower, respectively). The study authors stated that statistical analysis revealed an association between cumulative AA dose to dams and effects on pup body weight, but no significant associations between pup body weights and dam body weights or water consumption.

In summary, the Zenick et al. (1986) study supports a LOAEL of 100 ppm of AA in drinking water (7.9 mg/kg-day) for 10 weeks, based on male-mediated reproductive effects (decreased percentage impregnation of nonexposed females and increased postimplantation loss) in Long-Evans rats. No NOAEL was identified, as reproductive performance was not assessed in the 50-ppm exposure group. Increased numbers of mounts and incidence of hindlimb splaying were observed in the 100- and 200-ppm (7.9 and 11.9 mg/kg-day) exposure groups. Effects on female reproductive performance were only observed as depressed body weights in offspring of 50- and 100-ppm dams, accompanied by decreased dam body weight. No effects on mating efficiency, liver litter size, or pup survival were observed. For female-mediated reproductive effects (decreased pup body weight), this study supports a LOAEL of 50 ppm (8.8 mg/kg-day) and a NOAEL of 25 ppm (5.1 mg/kg-day).

Sakamoto and Hashimoto (1986) reproductive function study

Sakamoto and Hashimoto (1986) conducted a crossover study in ddY mice. In the assessment of male reproductive effects, groups of males (14 controls and 14 at the high dose, 9/group at the other dose levels) were administered AA at levels of 0, 0.3, 0.6, 0.9, or 1.2 mM in the drinking water for 4 weeks, resulting in doses of approximately 0, 3.3, 9.0, 13.3, and 16.3 mg/kg-day, respectively, based on body weight and water consumption data provided by the authors. Half of the treated males were allowed to mate with untreated females (one male per

three females) for a period of 8 days. All of the dams in each group (only half of the high-dose group) were sacrificed on GD 13 and examined for numbers of implantations and resorptions. After the remaining dams of the high-dose pairings were allowed to deliver, the number and body weights of offspring were recorded. Offspring were observed for 4 weeks for any signs of abnormal behavior and body weight gain. The remaining treated males were sacrificed immediately following the dosing period, after which weights of liver, testis, and seminal vesicle were recorded. Sperm counts and sperm morphology were assessed from epididymal samples.

The high-dose males exhibited slight signs of hindlimb weakness during or following exposure. As shown in Table 4-22, results of examinations after 13 days of gestation revealed significantly decreased fertility at the highest exposure level, significantly reduced numbers of fetuses/dam, and increased numbers of resorptions at the two highest exposure levels relative to controls. Significant decreases in both fertility and number of offspring were seen among dams allowed to deliver. There were no significant treatment-related effects regarding pup body weights or selected organ weights. Sperm analysis revealed significantly reduced numbers of sperm and increased percentages of abnormal sperm in high-dose males.

Effects observed following 13 days of gestation						
Treatment	Calculated dose			Number of		
(mM)	(mg/kg-day)	Fertility rate ^a	Number of fetuses/dam	resorptions/dam		
0	0	8/9	$11.3 \pm 1.4^{\rm b}$	0.3 ± 0.4		
0.3	3.3	9/12	11.2 ± 2.5	0.7 ± 0.7		
0.6	9.0	11/12	10.4 ± 3.9	1.3 ± 2.9		
0.9	13.3	10/12	$7.8\pm3.7^{ m d}$	2.9 ± 3.4		
1.2	16.3	2/9 ^c	$2.5\pm1.5^{ m d}$	3.0 ± 0.0^{d}		
		Effects observe	d on the day of delivery			
Treatment	Calculated dose		Number of	Offspring body weight		
(mM)	(mg/kg-day)	Fertility rate	offspring/dam	(g)		
0	0	12/15	11.1 ± 1.2	1.75 ± 0.12		
1.2	16.3	3/15 ^c	3.7 ± 1.2^{d}	1.81 ± 0.16		
		Effects on spern	n count and morphology			
Treatment	Calculated dose		Sperm count	Percentage abnormal		
(mM)	(mg/kg-day)		(×10 ⁵ /mg epididymis)	sperm		
0	0		35.8 ± 4.3	3.65 ± 0.73		
0.3	3.3		43.7 ± 6.3	4.37 ± 2.54		
0.6	9.0		47.7 ± 4.2^{d}	4.22 ± 0.88		
0.9	13.3		$49.9\pm7.1^{\rm d}$	4.21 ± 2.80		
1.2	16.3		$23.1\pm2.8^{\rm d}$	8.12 ± 2.32^{d}		

Table 4-22. Reproductive effects following exposure of male ddY mice to acrylamide in drinking water for 4 weeks and subsequent mating with untreated females

^aNumber of fertile females/number of mated females.

^bMean \pm SD.

 ${}^{c}p < 0.05$ vs. control by Fisher's Exact test. ${}^{d}p < 0.05$ by one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan's multiple-comparison procedure.

Source: Sakamoto and Hashimoto (1986).

The results identify 0.6 mM AA (9.0 mg/kg-day for 4 weeks) as a NOAEL and 0.9 mM (13.3 mg/kg-day) as a LOAEL for male-mediated reproductive effects (decreased number of fetuses/dam) in ddY mice (Sakamoto and Hashimoto, 1986). At a higher exposure level, 1.2 mM (16.3 mg/kg-day), more severe effects were observed, including decreased fertility, increased resorptions, and sperm alterations. In female mice exposed to 1.2 mM (18.7 mg/kgday) for 4 weeks and mated with nonexposed mice, no clearly adverse reproductive effects were observed.

Sakamoto et al. (1988) histology of testicular lesions

Sakamoto et al. (1988) administered AA (95% purity) to ddY mice as a single oral dose (presumably gavage) of 100 or 150 mg/kg at age 30 days (prepubertal) or 60 days (adult). Animals were killed 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, or 10 days after dosing. Testes were fixed in Bouin's fluid for 1 hour, cut, and then further fixed in formalin. Sections were stained with periodic acid-Schiff stain and hematoxylin and eosin. Four animals were used for each treatment condition and evaluation time point. The 150 mg/kg dose was lethal to 50% of the 30-day-old mice and 65%

of the 60-day-old mice. In the prepubertal mice, body weight was significantly decreased at 1 and 5 days after dosing with 150 mg/kg AA. The numeric values for mean body weight at 2 and 3 days after dosing were similar to the 1- and 5-day values, but the larger standard deviation prevented identification of statistical significance. In the adult mice, body weight was significantly reduced 1, 2, and 3 days after dosing with 150 mg/kg AA. There were no significant alterations in testicular weight at either dose of AA. There were no deaths and no significant effects on body weight at 100 mg/kg AA in either age group. Histologic abnormalities in the testes of prepubertal animals treated with 150 mg/kg AA appeared in spermatids, particularly round spermatids (Golgi and cap phase) 1 day after treatment. Nuclear vacuolization and swelling were the most common lesions in the spermatids. Degeneration of spermatocytes and spermatogonia was also noted. By the second day after treatment, spermatid degeneration was more prominent. On day 3, multinucleated giant cells were frequent. By days 7-10, clearing of the histologic abnormalities was evident. The description of the pattern of histologic alteration was similar after treatment with 100 mg/kg and in adult animals. Overall, spermatogonia, spermatocytes, Sertoli cells, and Leydig cells appeared more resistant to AA-induced cell death than did spermatids.

Several additional studies have demonstrated reversible dominant lethal effects and reversible effects on male fertility in animals orally exposed to AA for short time periods. Working et al. (1987a, b) observed reversible male-mediated reproductive effects (dominant lethal effects: increased implantation losses) in male F344 rats exposed to 30 mg/kg-day for 5 days. Sublet et al. (1989) observed dominant lethal effects (increased implantation losses) and effects on male impregnation success in Long-Evans male rats exposed to oral doses as low as 15 mg/kg-day for 5 days. In this study, males were gavaged with 0, 5, 15, 30, 45, or 60 mg/kg AA for 5 days prior to mating. Reduced fertility and increased preimplantation loss were found in all dose groups except 5 mg/kg at week 1 posttreatment. Increased postimplantation loss was seen at weeks 2 and 3 in the 15, 30, 45, and 60 mg/kg groups. In sperm samples collected from the 45 mg/kg group, the percentage of motile sperm was modestly decreased to a statistically significant degree (58% vs. 73% in controls) at week 3 but not at weeks 2 or 4. Sublet et al. (1989) concluded that altered motility of sperm may have contributed to, "but can not completely account for, the poorer reproductive performance of these males." Similarly, Tyl et al. (2000b) observed significantly decreased fertility and increased postimplantation losses following mating of untreated female rats with males that had been administered AA at gavage doses of 15, 30, 45, or 60 mg/kg-day for 5 days prior to mating. No statistically significant effects were seen regarding motility or concentration of epididymal sperm from AA-treated males, although sperm beat cross frequency was significantly increased in the 60 mg/kg-day group. Clinical signs of neurotoxicity, including unsteady movement and lethargy, were observed at the 45 and

60 mg/kg-day dose levels. High-dose males exhibited significantly lower hindlimb grip strength than controls, in the absence of microscopic evidence of sciatic nerve lesions.

In a summary paper, Bishop et al. (1997) reported tests of female "total reproductive capacity" involving 29 chemicals tested over a 10-year period. Female mice were treated with a single i.p. dose of AA (purity not stated) in Hanks' balanced salt solution (HBSS) at 0 or 125 mg/kg. The female mice were F1 hybrid SEC \times C57BL6 and the males were F1 hybrid $C3H/R1 \times C57BL10$. The following day, females were paired with males for approximately 1 year. When litters were produced, pups were removed, counted, and killed. The number of litters produced over either 347 or 366 days (the design changed during the course of these studies, and the specific length for the AA study was not given) and the total number of offspring produced was used to assess total reproductive capacity. There were no significant differences between the AA- and vehicle-treated females in number of offspring/female (AA 142.6, control 146.2) or number of litters/female (AA 14.3, control 14.6). The paper lists 34 breeding pairs; it is assumed (but not stated) that this number refers to the AA-treated animals. In a separate table describing vehicle groups used for the 29 chemicals, the HBSS group with 146.2 offspring/female and 14.6 litters/female contained seven animals. (It was not stated that controls were run concurrently. Neither standard error nor standard deviation were given.) Because this is a summary of a large number of studies, the specifics of the AA study are neither available nor presented, which represents a weakness, and it is difficult to ascertain the specifics of the AA experiment or whether there were any characteristics that might flag the results as unusual or give grounds for caution, another weakness in the AA portion of this study. The lack of specifics and details moderate the conclusions that can be reached concerning AA's lack of effect on female reproductive function.

4.3.2. Developmental Toxicity Studies

Developmental effects associated with oral exposure to AA are restricted to body weight decreases in rats (Wise et al., 1995; Field et al., 1990; Zenick et al., 1986) and mice (Field et al., 1990) and neurobehavioral changes in the offspring of female Sprague-Dawley rats exposed on GDs 6–10 to 15 mg/kg-day, but not to 10 mg/kg-day (Wise et al., 1995) and in adolescent F344 rats exposed during gestation and lactation and extending through 12 weeks of age at an average dose of 6 mg/kg-day, but not at 1.3 mg/kg-day (Garey and Paule, 2007). No exposure-related fetal malformations or variations (gross, visceral, or skeletal) were found in Sprague-Dawley rats exposed to doses up to 15 mg/kg-day on GDs 6–20 or in CD-1 mice exposed to doses up to 45 mg/kg-day on GDs 6–17 (Field et al., 1990). These doses decreased the maternal weight gain. No signs of hindlimb foot splay or other gross signs of peripheral or central neuropathy were noted in suckling offspring of female Wistar rats that were given gavage doses of 25 mg/kg-day during the postnatal lactation period (Friedman et al., 1999a). The results of these

studies are summarized in Section 4.7.1, and discussed below, except for the Zenick et al. (1986) study, which has been discussed previously in Section 4.3.1. It is worth noting that many of the adverse effects discussed in the mutagenicity and heritable germ cell sections can also be considered adverse developmental effects (e.g., dominant lethality, heritable translocations, specific locus mutations, abnormal conceptus).

Field et al. (1990) developmental toxicity study—gestational exposure

Field et al. (1990) administered AA (in distilled water) to groups of timed-mated Sprague-Dawley rat dams (29–30/group) in gavage doses of 0, 2.5, 7.5, or 15 mg/kg-day on GDs 6–20 and to groups of timed-mated CD-1 mice (30/group) at doses of 0, 3, 15, or 45 mg/kg-day on GDs 6–17. Body weights were recorded on GD 0 and daily during treatment. Dosed animals were observed daily for clinical signs of toxicity and sacrificed on the last treatment day. Maternal body, liver, and intact uterus weights were recorded. Uteri were examined for number of implant sites and resorptions. Live fetuses were counted, weighed, and examined for external and visceral abnormalities, as well as skeletal variations and abnormalities.

Treatment-related effects are summarized in Table 4-23. Hindlimb splaying was observed only in mice of the highest dose group (45 mg/kg-day). Statistically significant adverse effects, relative to respective controls, included reduced maternal body weight gain during treatment at high dose in both species, reduced weight gain corrected for gravid uterine weight in rat dams of the 7.5 and 15 mg/kg-day groups (approximately 12 and 18% lower, respectively), and reduced male and female fetal weights in the high-dose group of mice (approximately 15% lower than controls). AA treatment did not adversely affect maternal liver weight in rats or mice, percentages of pregnant rats or mice at sacrifice, number of implantations in either species, or incidences of external, visceral, or skeletal malformations in rat or mouse fetuses. The percentage of resorptions/litter did not differ significantly among treated and control rats and mice, although a significantly increased percentage of litters with resorptions was seen in mid-, but not high-dose mice. In rats, 15 mg/kg-day is the LOAEL and 7.5 mg/kgday is the NOAEL for maternal toxicity displayed as decreased weight gain. The highest dose level, 15 mg/kg-day, is a NOAEL for fetal developmental effects (e.g., external, visceral, or skeletal malformations or variations were not increased). In mice, 15 mg/kg-day is the NOAEL and 45 mg/kg-day the LOAEL for maternal toxicity (decreased weight gain). The highest dose level, 45 mg/kg-day, is a NOAEL for developmental effects in mouse fetuses.

	Dose (mg/kg-day)					
Effects in rats	0	2.5	7.5	15		
Number (%) dams pregnant at sacrifice	23 (85)	26 (96)	26 (90)	24 (89)		
Maternal weight gain (g) ^a						
Gestation period	151.1 ± 4.1	152.0 ± 4.2	143.4 ± 4.0	139.2 ± 3.8		
Treatment period	107.7 ± 4.0	111.0 ± 3.5	100.2 ± 3.6	$96.3 \pm 3.2^{\circ}$		
Corrected weight gain ^b	78.6 ± 2.3	75.8 ± 3.2	$69.4 \pm 2.7^{\circ}$	$64.3 \pm 3.7^{\circ}$		
		Dose (mg	g/kg-day)			
Effects in mice	0	3	15	45		
Number (%) dams pregnant at sacrifice	28 (93)	26 (87)	29 (100)	25 (89)		
Maternal weight gain (g) ^a						
Gestation period	23.6 ± 0.7	24.6 ± 0.8	21.5 ± 1.1	$19.9 \pm 0.7^{\circ}$		
Treatment period	21.2 ± 0.7	22.1 ± 0.7	19.5 ± 1.0	$17.7 \pm 0.8^{\circ}$		
Corrected weight gain ^b	4.7 ± 0.4	5.2 ± 0.4	5.0 ± 0.4	3.8 ± 0.4		
Gravid uterine weight (g)	18.8 ± 0.6	19.4 ± 0.5	$16.5 \pm 0.8^{\circ}$	$16.1 \pm 0.7^{\circ}$		
Number of litters	28	26	29	25		
% resorptions/litter	3.5 ± 1.1	5.5 ± 1.5	11.7 ± 3.9	3.4 ± 1.6		
% litters with resorptions	32.1	46.2	58.6 ^c	24.0		
Mean male fetal body weight (g)/litter	1.05 ± 0.02	1.03 ± 0.02	1.02 ± 0.01	$0.89 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$		
Mean female fetal body weight (g)/litter	1.01 ± 0.02	0.97 ± 0.02	0.99 ± 0.01	$0.86\pm0.02^{\rm c}$		

 Table 4-23. Maternal and fetal effects in Sprague-Dawley rats and CD-1

 mice following oral (gavage) administration of acrylamide to pregnant dams

^aIncludes all dams pregnant at sacrifice, mean \pm SEM.

^bWeight gain during gestation minus gravid uterine weight. ^cSignificantly different from controls; p < 0.05.

Source: Field et al. (1990).

Wise et al. (1995) developmental neurotoxicity study—gestational exposure

Wise et al. (1995) investigated developmental neurotoxicity in pups of Sprague-Dawley rat dams (12/group) that had been administered AA (in deionized water) at doses of 0, 5, 10, 15, or 20 mg/kg-day from GD 6 to lactation day 10. Dams were observed daily for clinical signs. Dam body weights were recorded periodically throughout gestation and lactation. All F1 pups were counted, sexed, examined for external abnormalities, and weighed at birth. On PND 3, each litter was reduced to five pups/sex. An additional four pups/sex/litter were retained for behavioral assessment. Open-field behavior was tested on a single F1 rat/sex/litter on PNDs 13, 17, and 21 (the same animals were used for each session) and on PND 59 (F1 rats that had been previously assessed for auditory startle habituation). Auditory startle habituation was tested on PND 22 (naive F1 rats) and PND 59 (F1 rats previously subjected to open-field testing). Shortterm learning was assessed using a passive avoidance paradigm in previously untested F1 rats on PNDs 24 and 59, and long-term retention was assessed in these rats 1 week later. The level of significance was p < 0.05 for results of statistical analyses.

Postsacrifice examinations were performed on one F1 pup/sex/litter following interim sacrifice on PND 11 and on one F1 rat/sex/litter that had been used for passive avoidance testing (sacrificed during postnatal week 11). Following sacrifice, body and brain weights were recorded. Nervous tissues (brain, spinal cord, and unspecified peripheral nerve) were processed

and stained with hematoxylin eosin. Histologic examinations were performed on these tissues only from F1 rats of the control and 15 mg/kg-day treatment groups. All other F1 rats were euthanized and discarded without further examination following completion of designated testing.

Hindlimb splaying was observed in all F0 dams of the two highest dose levels (15 and 20 mg/kg-day) during the first few days of lactation. No clinical signs of neurotoxicity were seen in F0 dams of lower dose groups. Statistically significant decreases in average maternal weight gain between GDs 6 and 20 were observed in 15 and 20 mg/kg-day groups (14 and 26% below controls, respectively). No adverse effects on maternal body weight gain during gestation were seen at lower dose levels. All F0 and F1 rats of the 20 mg/kg-day dose group were euthanized between GD 24 and PND 4, due to high pup mortality (33% by PND 3) that was likely the result of obvious maternal toxicity in this dose group. Between PNDs 4 and 21, pup mortality (13%) was also seen in the 15 mg/kg-day dose group but not in other groups. Visceral examination of dead pups did not reveal a cause of death. During the lactational dosing period (PNDs 0–10), F0 dams of the 10 and 15 mg/kg-day dose groups exhibited statistically significant decreased average weight gain (45 and 90% lower than controls, respectively). No adverse effect on maternal weight gain during lactation was seen in the 5 mg/kg-day group.

The study authors noted statistically significant, dose-related decreases in average pup weights during the preweaning period. The effect was slight and transient in the 5 mg/kg-day group (5–9% below controls and statistically significant only in female pups), moderate in the 10 mg/kg-day group (9–23% lower than controls), and still more severe in the 15 mg/kg-day group. During the postweaning period, male and female F1 rats of the 15 mg/kg-day group continued to exhibit significantly decreased average body weight (23 and 15% lower than control at postnatal week 9). Body weight gain in F1 males (but not F1 females) was also significantly depressed in the 15 mg/kg-day group. The average body weight of F1 males of the 10 mg/kg-day group was significantly less than controls (6% lower) at postnatal week 9, but overall weight gain in this group was similar to that of controls during this period. No adverse effects on postweaning F1 body weights were seen in the 5 mg/kg-day group. No deaths or adverse clinical signs were seen in any group of F1 rats during the postweaning period.

No significant treatment-related effects were seen concerning open-field activity of F1 rats tested on PNDs 13 or 17. When tested on PND 21, the only statistically significant effect observed was that of increased overall average horizontal activity among female (but not male) pups of the 15 mg/kg-day group. This effect was not seen in any groups that were tested as adults. A decrease in the overall average peak amplitude of the auditory startle habituation test was seen only in male and female F1 rats of the 15 mg/kg-day group tested on PND 22 and in female F1 rats tested as adults. No apparent treatment-related effects were seen regarding performance in passive avoidance testing.

The results indicate that 5 mg/kg-day is the NOAEL and 10 mg/kg-day is the LOAEL for maternal toxicity (decreased weight gain) in Sprague-Dawley rats (Wise et al., 1995). Higher doses (15 and 20 mg/kg-day) produced hindlimb splaying and more severe effects on maternal weight gain. The lowest dose, 5 mg/kg-day, is a developmental LOAEL for decreased body weights in the offspring during the preweaning period. Neurodevelopmental effects in the offspring (increased overall average horizontal activity and decreased auditory startle response) were observed at 15 mg/kg-day but not at 5 or 10 mg/kg-day. Histologic examination of brain, spinal cord, or peripheral nerve tissue samples collected on PND 11 and postnatal week 11 revealed no changes, relative to controls, in 15 mg/kg-day offspring.

Husain et al. (1987) developmental neurotoxicity study—lactational and postnatal exposure

Husain et al. (1987) assessed the potential for AA-induced neurotoxic effects on levels of catecholamines (noradrenaline, dopamine, and 5-hydroxytryptamine) and activity of selected enzymes in the brain of the developing rat. Two separate protocols were used in the study. In one protocol, pups (number was not reported) were exposed during lactation via their nursing mothers, which were administered AA orally at a dose level of 25 mg/kg-day (in 0.15 M NaCl) throughout lactation. Brain levels of the catecholamines and enzymes of interest were measured in selected pups that were serially sacrificed at 2, 4, 8, 15, 30, 60, and 90 days of age. The second protocol involved the oral administration of AA (25 mg/kg-day) for 5 consecutive days to rats of 12, 15, 21, or 60 days of age, followed by analysis of catecholamine levels in various brain regions. Vehicle controls were included in both protocols. The level of significance was p < 0.05 for results of statistical analyses.

No treatment-related effects on body or brain weights were seen in rats that had been exposed via their mothers. Between the ages of 2 and 15 days, statistically significantly decreased levels of noradrenaline, dopamine, and 5-hydroxytryptamine were observed in the whole brains of offspring (5-hydroxytryptamine levels were also decreased in 30-day-old offspring) but not at later time points. Compared with age-matched controls, the brain activity of monoamine oxidase was significantly increased and that of acetylcholine esterase was significantly decreased in offspring sacrificed at 2–30 days of age but not in 60- and 90-day-old rats. Twelve-, 15-, and 21-day-old (but not 60-day-old) rats, treated according to the second protocol, exhibited significantly decreased concentrations of noradrenaline in pons medulla and basal ganglia, relative to age-matched controls. Noradrenaline was significantly decreased in the mid-brain of all tested age groups. Other significant treatment-related alterations in brain catecholamines included decreased levels of dopamine in cerebellum and midbrain at all ages tested and in pons medulla of 12-, 15-, and 21-day-old rats and decreased levels of 5-hydroxytryptamine in pons medulla, hypothalamus, and cerebral cortex at all ages tested. The study authors stated that decreased levels of catecholamines were associated with "progressive

development of behavioral disorders leading to complete hindlimb paralysis," but the report does not describe any specific observations of behavior in the rats. Thus, the report provides evidence of neurochemical changes in the male offspring of rats exposed to 25 mg/kg-day during 21 days of lactation but does not provide clear information that the male offspring had behavioral disorders including hindlimb paralysis.

Friedman et al. (1999a) developmental neurotoxicity study-lactational exposure

Friedman et al. (1999a) administered AA to female Wistar rats (15/group) with litters at gavage doses of 0 or 25 mg/kg-day in saline throughout the lactation period (PNDs 0–21). Dams were weighed on PNDs 0, 4, 7, 14, and 21. Maternal food and water consumption were measured for the intervals of PNDs 0–4, 4–7, 7–14, 14–21, and 0–21. Clinical observations were made at least twice daily during the dosing period. On PNDs 7, 14, and 21, dams were evaluated by an extensive functional observational battery that included observations of home cage and open field behavior, clinical signs during handling, and sensory and neuromuscular assessment (tail pinch response, hindlimb foot splay and grip strength, approach response, pupil response, startle response, and pupil size). All live pups were individually counted, sexed, weighed, and examined grossly at birth. Pups were examined at least twice daily for mortality and morbidity.

At weaning on PND 21, maternal rats were weighed and sacrificed. Thoracic and abdominal cavities and organs were examined, uterine implantation sites counted, and brain and one sciatic nerve were fixed. Histopathologic examinations were performed on the sciatic nerve preparation of each maternal rat, but details on tissue preparation and staining were not provided. Female offspring were subjected to gross external examination and sacrificed on PND 21. Brain, pituitary, and one sciatic nerve from one female pup of each litter were retained in fixative. Male pups were weighed individually on PND 21 and weekly thereafter until PND 91. Ten male pups/group were selected for grip strength measurements (forelimb and hindlimb) on PNDs 30, 60, and 90. Any selected male rat not available for grip strength assessment was replaced by another male from the same litter, if possible. On PNDs 30, 60, and 91 (following grip strength testing), one male rat/litter was sacrificed (when possible), and brain, pituitary, and one sciatic nerve were retained in fixative. On PND 91, all remaining male pups were subjected to external examination at terminal sacrifice.

For statistical analysis of results, the unit of comparison was the maternal female or the litter. Statistical analysis of the data included Bartlett's test for homogeneity of variances, general linear models procedures for ANOVA, the Kruskal-Wallis test, χ^2 test, and a test for statistical outliers. Differences in results between treated and control groups were considered significant at the level of p < 0.05.

Mean maternal body weight was similar between controls and treated groups just prior to the beginning of dosing. Significantly lower body weight among AA-treated dams (relative to controls) was noted as early as PND 4. Between PNDs 14 and 21, both controls and treated dams exhibited weight loss, although the weight loss of treated dams was significantly greater than that of controls. For the entire treatment period (PNDs 0–21), treated dams exhibited a mean weight loss of 14 g, whereas a net mean weight gain of 47 g was seen in controls. Clinical signs of toxicity were apparent in treated dams, beginning on PND 4; the range of clinical signs broadened and increased in severity during the remainder of the treatment period. By PND 21, two of the dams had been sacrificed moribund (PNDs 18 and 20), and there were numerous signs (clinical, behavioral, and functional observational battery) of neurotoxicity in the surviving dams. No histopathologic evidence of degeneration in sciatic nerve preparations from treated dams was found.

Increased mortality and reduced body weights were observed in offspring of AA-treated dams during the lactation period and were likely the result of maternal toxicity. Likewise, clinical signs and gross examination of offspring during the lactation period were consistent with inanition (i.e., little or no milk in the stomach). Body weight gain of postweaning males paralleled that of controls, although the mean body weight in the AA-treated group remained lower than that of controls throughout the postweaning observation period. Grip strength was significantly lower in the AA-treatment group of male weanlings when tested on PND 30 but was not significantly different from controls when tested on subsequent PNDs 60 and 90.

The study identifies 25 mg/kg-day for 21 days during lactation as a LOAEL producing progressive signs of neurobehavioral disorders, including hindlimb foot splay in Sprague-Dawley rat dams without histologic evidence of sciatic nerve damage. Nursing offspring of exposed dams showed reduced weight gain, increased mortality, and little or no evidence of milk in their stomachs. After weaning, surviving pups showed signs of recovery, including normal weight gain and increasing grip strength over time. Characteristic signs of AA neurotoxicity, such as hindlimb splaying, were not observed in the offspring.

Garey and Paule (2007) developmental neurotoxicity study—exposure during gestation, lactation, and through 12 weeks of age

Garey and Paule (2007) evaluated performance in an operant test of cognitive motivation in adolescent male and female F344 rats exposed to AA during gestation, lactation, and through 12 weeks of age. Pregnant F344 rats were exposed by gavage throughout gestation to AA doses of 0, 0.1, 0.3, 1.0, or 5.0 mg/kg-day. On PNDs 1–22, offspring received the same gavage dose received by their dam. On PNDs 22–85, offspring were provided AA in drinking water at concentrations (0, 1, 3, 10, and 50 ppm) designed to maintain similar administered doses (based on an assumed drinking water intake of 10% of body weight per day). Average daily doses between PNDs 40 and 85 are estimated at approximately 0, 0.2, 0.5, 1.6, or 7.8 mg/kg-day, from reported average water intake values for offspring between PNDs 40 and 85 (15.5% of body weight per day) and the reports that average water intakes for high-dose offspring between PND 40 and 50 was about 20% of body weight per day and average daily AA dose was 20 mg/kg-day during this period. Offspring (one male and one female/litter; eight or nine/sex/exposure group) were trained between 3 and 6 weeks of age to operate levers to obtain food pellets. Offspring were subsequently evaluated in a progressive ratio task of motivation to obtain food in 14 10-minute sessions distributed between weeks 6 and 12 of age. In each session, food was obtained with a progressively greater number of actions. For example, the first food pellet ("reinforcer") was obtained after one press of the lever; the second pellet was obtained after two presses; and the third with three presses. Measured behavioral endpoints were number of food pellets obtained per session (i.e., "number of food reinforcers earned"), post-reinforcement pause (average duration from reinforcer delivery to the next lever press), and response rate (number of presses/second over the entire 10-minute test session). No significant (p < 0.05) differences were found between control and exposed groups in drinking water intake or body weight throughout the testing period. Offspring in the high-dose group showed significant (p < 0.05) decreases, compared with control values, in average reinforcers earned per session ($5.5 \pm SEM$ $0.2 \text{ vs. } 7.2 \pm \text{SEM } 0.2$), and response rate ($0.041 \pm \text{SEM } 0.002 \text{ vs. } 0.065 \pm \text{SEM } 0.003$). No significant changes in these endpoints, compared with controls, were found in the other exposure groups. No significant effect of exposure was found on post-reinforcement pause in any exposure group. The high dose in this study, (about 6 mg/kg-day—an average of the gavage dose and the estimated drinking water dose during testing) is a LOAEL for effects on measures of cognitive motivation; the NOAEL is 1.3 mg/kg-day.

Other developmental toxicity studies

Genotoxic effects observed in the germ cells of mice following i.p. injection of 125 mg/kg AA included a weakly positive result for sperm head DNA dealkylation and a positive result for sperm head protamine alkylation (Sega et al., 1989). Significant increases in sperm head abnormalities were observed in epididymal samples taken from male ddY mice that had received AA in the drinking water at a concentration of 1.2 mM for 4 weeks (Sakamoto and Hashimoto, 1986).

Edwards (1976) treated Porton strain rats with AA (purity not specified) in the diet. In the first experiment, eight females were given 200 ppm in powdered feed from the day a plug was found until parturition. Offspring were apparently reared by their dams and were followed until 6 weeks of age with weekly weights taken and observations made for abnormal gait. The dams were described as showing "slight abnormalities of gait" at the times the litters were born. There were no external abnormalities. The birth weights were similar to a control group (it is not clear if this control group was the same as the control group used in the second experiment, described below), and litters were described as gaining weight normally until weaning, without abnormalities of gait. No detailed information was presented.

In a second experiment by Edwards (1976), six pregnant females were given 400 ppm AA in the diet from the day of mating until 20 days thereafter when they underwent cesarean section. Six control dams were fed powdered diet without AA. Uteri were examined for resorptions (presumably uteri: the text states that placentas were examined for resorptions). One third of fetuses underwent Wilson sectioning, and the remaining fetuses were processed in alizarin red for skeletal evaluation. Maternal feed intake was reduced in the AA group $(12.0 \pm 0.8 \text{ g/rat/day}, \text{mean} \pm \text{SEM})$ compared to the control group $(23.0 \pm 1.8 \text{ g/rat/day})$. The weights of the rats were not given (assuming a 300 gram pregnant rat, 12 g/rat/day feed containing 400 ppm AA represents a daily dose of 16 mg/kg-day). Fetal weights were reduced by AA treatment (AA 2.4 \pm 0.05 g, control 3.2 \pm 0.05 g, mean \pm SEM). (The *p*-value reported by the authors using the Student t-test was >0.2; however, the t-test performed by CERHR gave p < 0.0001.) Four fetuses were found dead in one uterine horn in the AA-treated group, and three resorptions were present in one litter in the control group. There were no fetuses with abnormalities and "there was no increase in the occurrence (approx. 10%) of a naturally occurring defect in the rib structure." No data were shown.

In a third experiment, Edwards (1976) administered 100 mg/kg AA in water i.v. to each of four pregnant rats on GD 9 (plug date unspecified). The rationale for this timing was the statement that GD 9 is when the nervous system is most susceptible to teratogenic effects. Pups were apparently delivered and reared by their dams and on the third day of life, pups were examined for external appearance and righting reflex. Offspring were followed for 6 weeks during which the day of eye opening was noted and animals were evaluated for gait and were weighed weekly. Two rats from each litter (sex unspecified) were perfused with formaldehyde/acetic acid/methanol, and brains, spinal cord, and peripheral nerves were evaluated by light microscopy (sectioning and staining unspecified). Two rats/litter (sex unspecified) were killed with a barbiturate for dissection for gross abnormalities. Brain weight was recorded. Four pregnant control rats were injected with saline and presumably handled in the same manner. There were no differences among groups in birth weight, pup weight 24 hours or 3 days after birth, righting reflex, or day of eye opening (data were not shown). There were no abnormalities of nervous system tissues by gross examination or by light microscopy.

In summary of all three studies, due to the limited number of doses, very limited number of pregnant rats/group, limited number of outcomes measured, and missing data necessary for full evaluation of this report, the conclusions presented in the report are questionable.

Bio/dynamics Inc. (1979) administered AA in the feed to female Sprague-Dawley CD rats at 0, 25, or 50 ppm for 2 weeks prior to mating, and on GDs 0–19. AA intake was estimated

at 1.75–1.90 and 3.45–3.82 mg/kg-day in the 25 and 50 ppm dose groups, respectively. Litters were standardized to three male and three female pups on PND 4 and pups were examined for postnatal growth and mortality until weaning (PND 21). A slight but significant reduction in body weight gain was observed in the 50 ppm dams during the premating period. No difference among treatment groups was observed for mating and pregnancy indices, gestation length, neonatal viability, live litter size at birth, pup survival throughout the lactation period, and pup weights. Albert Einstein College of Medicine (1980) conducted a histopathologic evaluation of brain and spinal cord and sciatic, tibial, and plantar nerves and reported that AA-associated changes were confined to scattered nerve fiber degeneration in the sciatic and optic nerves. The incidence and severity of these histologic effects were not provided.

In a study conducted at the National Institute for Environmental Health and Sciences, Walden et al. (1981) evaluated the activity of five intestinal enzymes in the offspring of AA-treated Sprague-Dawley rats. Dams were treated from GD 6 to 17 (insemination = GD 0) with AA (purity not given) 20 mg/kg-day or water by gavage for a total cumulative dose of 200 mg/kg. There were 17 dams in each treatment group. On the day of birth (PND 0), pups in each treatment group were pooled and divided among dams to produce four groups: control dams with control pups (C-C); treated dams with treated pups (T-T); control dams with treated pups (C-T); and treated dams with control pups (T-C). Four pups were removed from each litter without regard to sex for intestinal enzyme analysis on PND 14, 21, and 60. The first 10–15 cm of intestinal mucosa was scraped and homogenized (the report implies that the scrapings of the four animals were pooled). Kinetic spectrophotometric assays were performed for alkaline phosphatase, citrate synthase, and lactate dehydrogenase. Endpoint spectrophotometric assays were performed for acid phosphatase and β -glucuronidase. Dams were killed on PND 24, after weaning, and intestinal enzymes were measured by the same methods. The results of differences (either increases or decreases) in enzyme activities for pups in the different groups were indicative of prenatal effects (C-T compared with C-C), lactational effects (T-C compared with C-C), or enhancement of prenatal effects (T-T compared with C-T) and are presented in Table 4-24. Statistical analysis was performed by Mann-Whitney U-test (2p < 0.05). The results indicate that prenatal exposure to AA in Sprague-Dawley dams at the doses stated above, and lactational exposure to pups, significantly changed intestinal enzyme levels in pups during early development. It is unknown whether these changes result in subsequent adverse structural or functional effects. There were no differences in maternal body weight or in litter averages for pup number, weight, or sex ratio. Dam intestinal enzyme levels did not differ from this exposure level of AA.

Table 4-24. Differences in marker enzymes in the small intestine of pups cross-fostered to acrylamide-treated or control dams during postnatal lactation

			Postnatal day	
Intestinal enzyme	Effect ^a	14	21	60
Alkaline phosphatase	Prenatal ^b	↑	1	\downarrow
	Lactational ^c	-	1	\downarrow
	Enhancement of prenatal effect ^d	↑	1	\uparrow
Citrate synthase	Prenatal	_	-	—
	Lactational	-	-	—
	Enhancement of prenatal effect	-	-	-
Lactate dehydrogenase	Prenatal	_	-	—
	Lactational	_	1	_
	Enhancement of prenatal effect	_	-	—
Acid phosphatase	Prenatal	↑	_	\downarrow
	Lactational	↑	-	—
	Enhancement of prenatal effect	-	\downarrow	1
β-glucuronidase	Prenatal	-	1	-
	Lactational	-	1	-
	Enhancement of prenatal effect	\downarrow	\uparrow	_

^a \uparrow = Increase; \downarrow = decrease; – = not significantly different. All reported effects are significant at the 2*p* < 0.05 level using the Mann-Whitney U-test.

^bC-T values compared with C-C values.

^cT-C values compared with C-C values.

^dT-T values compared with C-T values.

Source: Walden et al. (1981).

A study by Rutledge et al. (1992) is unique in that female mice were dosed with AA selectively during the perifertilization period at 125 mg/kg i.p. 1, 6, 9, or 25 hours after mating. These times represented fertilization, the early pronuclear stage, pronuclear DNA synthesis, and the two-cell stage, respectively. On GD 17, the uteri were inspected for resorptions, embryonic death, and live fetuses. Live fetuses were inspected for external abnormalities. The number of live fetuses was decreased and the number of resorptions was increased at all treatment times. Among live fetuses, abnormalities were increased with treatment 6, 9, and 25 hours after mating. In spite of the lack of important details in the paper and a discrepancy between text and table in reporting the results, this study showed that an acute administration of AA at a high dose during the perifertilization period can produce very early death or structural malformations.

Walum and Flint (1993) evaluated the effect of AA (purity not given) on rat midbrain cells (obtained from embryos collected on day 13 postmating) in culture. This brain area is one rich in both dopamine and gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptors developmentally. In this assay, sometimes called micromass culture, neural epithelial cells in suspension aggregate into foci of interconnected cells. A reduction in the number of such foci without a reduction in cell number or viability is taken as evidence of disruption of developmental processes. In this study, $10 \mu g/mL$ AA was determined to reduce the number of foci by 25% without decreasing

cell number, assessed by neutral red staining and protein content. Uptake of dopamine and GABA were also decreased by AA exposure (the text indicates that GABA uptake was "virtually" unaffected; the data table shows a statistically significant 8% reduction in GABA uptake). The authors concluded that AA may reduce the "differentiation and development of dopaminergic projections" in the developing rat brain. This study provides an in vitro assessment of a potential mechanism of AA toxicity and a suggestion of how this mechanism might be established. This approach is a good beginning for whole-animal researchers to follow-up concerning these events within an in vivo model.

4.4. HERITABLE GERM CELL STUDIES

Five heritable translocation studies (Adler et al., 2004, 1994;Generoso et al., 1996; Adler, 1990; Shelby et al., 1987) and two specific mouse locus mutagenicity assays (Ehling and Neuhäuser-Klaus, 1992; Russell et al., 1991) are available. These studies all found positive results following exposure of male mice to 40–100 mg/kg i.p. doses of AA, but do not provide information for possible effects at lower exposure levels. No experiments have studied the potential for AA to induce heritable germ cell effects in the female germ line. The heritable germ cell effects in male mice are consistent with the extensive evidence supporting dominant lethal effects in male murine test animals. In addition, there are two reports of increased incidence of male-mediated stable chromosomal aberrations in two-cell mouse embryos following exposure of male mice to 50 mg/kg AA and mating to unexposed females (Marchetti et al., 2009, 1997). These studies found correlations between stable chromosomal aberrations and the percentages of offspring with reciprocal translocations.

The seven heritable germ cell studies in mice are briefly discussed below, and the results, as tabulated by Favor and Shelby (2005), are included in Tables 4-25, 4-26, and 4-27. These studies are also listed in Appendix B, Table B-1 that summarizes the mutagenicity assay results. Recent reviews and discussions regarding the results of available heritable germ cell studies for AA include Besaratinia and Pfeifer (2007), Carere (2006), Exon (2006), Shipp et al. (2006), Favor and Shelby (2005), and NTP/CERHR (2004).

	F ₁ progeny	y tested	Translocatio	on carriers	
Mating interval ^b	Males	Females	Males	Females	Reference
	11,292 ^d		7 (0.06)		Generoso et al. (1996)
	9,890 ^{e,f}		$5(0.05)^{\rm f}$		Adler et al. (2002)
7–16	362 ^f		$2(0.55)^{\rm f}$		Adler et al. (1994)
7–16	367 ^f		$10(2.72)^{\rm f}$		Adler et al. (1994)
3.5-7.5	669		135 (20.17)		Generoso et al. (1996)
7–10	162		39 (24.07)		Shelby et al. (1987)
7–10	125		49 (39.20)		Shelby et al. (1987)
7–11	57	48	17 (29.82)	6 (12.5)	Adler (1990)
36–42	556	449	2 (0.36)	0 (0)	Adler (1990)
1.5-8.5	258	217	28 (10.85)	13 (5.99)	Adler et al. (2004)
	Mating interval ^b — 7–16 7–16 3.5–7.5 7–10 7–10 7–10 7–11 36–42 1.5–8.5	$\begin{array}{c c} & & & & & & & \\ \hline Mating interval^b & & Males & & \\ \hline & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & &$	F_1 progeny testedMating interval ^b MalesFemales $11,292^d$ $9,890^{e,f}$ 7-16 362^f 7-16 367^f 3.5-7.5 669 7-10 162 7-10 125 7-11 57 4836-42 556 449 $1.5-8.5$ 258 217	F_1 progeny testedI ranslocationMating interval ^b MalesFemalesMales $11,292^d$ 7 (0.06) $9,890^{e,f}$ $5 (0.05)^f$ 7-16 362^f $2 (0.55)^f$ 7-16 367^f $10 (2.72)^f$ $3.5-7.5$ 669 $135 (20.17)$ 7-10 162 $39 (24.07)$ 7-10 125 $49 (39.20)$ 7-11 57 48 $17 (29.82)$ $36-42$ 556 449 $2 (0.36)$ $1.5-8.5$ 258 217 $28 (10.85)$	F_1 progeny testedTranslocation carriers'Mating interval ^b MalesFemales11,292 ^d 7 (0.06)9,890 ^{e,f} 5 (0.05) ^f 7-16362 ^f 2 (0.55) ^f 7-16367 ^f 10 (2.72) ^f 3.5-7.5669135 (20.17)7-1016239 (24.07)7-1012549 (39.20)7-11574817 (29.82)36-425564492 (0.36)0 (0)1.5-8.525821728 (10.85)13 (5.99)

Table 4-25. Frequency of translocation carriers in offspring derived from males exposed to acrylamide or glycidamide F. progeny tested Translocation carriers⁶

 $^a5 \times 40$ and 5 $\times 50$ represent 40 or 50 mg AA/kg on 5 consecutive days.

^bDays posttreatment.

^cSee text for methods to ascertain translocation carriers. Frequency (%) of translocation carriers given in parentheses.

^dLaboratory historical control used for statistical comparisons of the translocation frequencies reported by Shelby et al. (1987) and Generoso et al. (1996). ^eLaboratory historical control used for statistical comparisons of the translocation frequencies reported by Adler (1990) and Adler et al. (2004, 1994). ^fBoth male and female F1 animals were tested but not reported separately.

Source: Favor and Shelby (2005).

Mating interval (days posttreatment)	Number of offspring	Number of mutations ^a
1–7	113	0 (0)
8–14	1,506	2 (0.13)
15–21	5,077	1 (0.02)
22–28	5,191	0 (0)
29–35	5,312	0 (0)
36–42	5,353	1 (0.02)
43–49	6,419	1 (0.02)
>49	17,112	0 (0)
Historical control	801,406	43 (0.01)

Table 4-26. Results for specific locus mutations recovered in offspring of male mice exposed i.p to 50 mg/kg acrylamide on 5 consecutive days

^aFrequencies (%) of specific locus mutations given in parentheses.

Sources: Data from Russell et al. (1991); table from Favor and Shelby (2005).

Dose (mg/kg)	Mating interval (days posttreatment)	Number of offspring	Number of mutations ^a
Historical control	—	248,413	22 (0.01)
100	1-4	1,362	0 (0)
	5–8	2,226	1 (0.04)
	9–12	2,421	2 (0.08)
	13–16	2,453	0 (0)
	17–20	2,574	0 (0)
	21–42	2,925	0 (0)
	>42	23,489	6 (0.03)
125	1-4	771	0 (0)
	5–8	1,924	2 (0.10)
	9–12	1,948	1 (0.05)
	13–16	2,419	0 (0)
	17–20	2,598	0 (0)

 Table 4-27. Results for specific locus mutations recovered in offspring of male mice exposed to acrylamide as a single 100 or 125 mg/kg i.p. dose

^aFrequencies (%) of specific locus mutations given in parentheses.

Note: Only the 100 mg/kg-treated males were used to establish a permanent monogamist mating starting on day 21 to assay for effects on spermatogonia (i.e., for effects \geq 43 days posttreatment).

Sources: Data from Ehling and Neuhäuser-Klaus (1992); table from Favor and Shelby (2005).

Heritable translocation studies

Shelby et al. (1987) administered AA i.p. at 40–50 mg/kg-day for 5 consecutive days to male C3H/El mice. Matings on days 7–10 following the last injection yielded a high frequency of translocation carriers in the F1 male population, demonstrating that AA is an effective inducer of translocations in postmeiotic germ cells. The proportions of male progeny that were sterile or semi-sterile after paternal treatment with 50 and 40 mg/kg-day for 5 days were 49/125 and 39/162, respectively, compared with 17/8,095 in the historical control. All 10 of the semi-sterile males sampled from the 5×50 treatment for cytogenetic analysis of spermatocytes had translocations.

Adler (1990) administered AA i.p. at 50 mg/kg-day for 5 consecutive days to male C3H/E1 mice, which were then mated to untreated female 102/E1 mice on days 7–11 and again on days 36–42 posttreatment. There were 23 translocation heterozygotes among 105 progeny from the offspring of the 7–11 day mating interval. Among the offspring of the treated males, there were 17 male translocation carriers among 57 male offspring and 6 female translocation carriers among 48 female offspring (male vs. female, p < 0.05). In the second mating interval (36–42 days after treatment), 1,005 offspring were produced, of which 2 males were translocation carriers. This rate did not differ from the historical control in the author's laboratory when considered on a total-offspring basis but was significantly greater than the historical control (p = 0.03) if considered on a male-offspring basis. All semi-sterile and sterile mice from treated parental males were analyzed cytogenetically, with 22/25 semi-sterile mice and 3/4 sterile mice confirmed as translocation carriers. This study provides further evidence for AA-induced chromosomal damage in postmeiotic rodent germ cells.

Adler et al. (1994) administered AA i.p. as a single 50 or 100 mg/kg dose to male C3H/E1 mice, which were then mated on days 7–16 posttreatment to untreated female 102/E1 mice. Translocation carriers among the F1 progeny were selected by a sequential procedure of fertility testing and cytogenetic analysis, including G-band karyotyping, to determine the chromosomes involved in the respective translocations. The frequency of confirmed translocation carriers was 2/362 in the 50 mg/kg treatment group and 10/367 in the 100 mg/kg treatment group. Both frequencies were significantly greater than the historical control, 5/9,890. Clustering was not apparent, as indicated by the fact that all translocations were unique.

Adler et al. (2004) conducted heritable translocation tests with dermal exposure of male mice to AA. Male C3H/El mice were treated with five dermal exposures of 50 mg/kg AA and mated 1.5–8.5 days after the end of exposure to untreated female 102/El mice. Pregnant females were allowed to come to term and all offspring were raised to maturity. Translocation carriers among the F1 progeny were selected by a sequential fertility testing and cytogenetic analysis including G-band karyotyping and M-FISH. A total of 475 offspring were screened and

41 translocation carriers were identified. The observed translocation frequency after dermal exposure was 8.6% as compared to 21.9% after similar i.p. exposure (Adler, 1990). The calculated ratio of end effects in this study of i.p. vs. dermal exposure is 0.39.

Favor and Shelby (2005) summarized the cytogenetic analysis from the Adler et al. (2004, 1994) and Adler (1990) studies to emphasize the appearance of complicated chromosomal rearrangements induced by AA. Among the 77 semi-sterile and sterile animals analyzed, 66 were carriers of reciprocal translocations between two chromosomes, 2 carried translocations each between two chromosomes, 6 were carriers of a reciprocal translocation between two chromosomes plus an inversion on a third chromosome, and one animal carried a translocation among three chromosomes plus a reciprocal translocation between two chromosomes.

Generoso et al. (1996) administered a single i.p. dose of GA at 100 mg/kg to male $(C3H/RL \times 101/RL)F1$ mice. Among the 669 male progeny of GA-treated sires, 135 (20.18%) were confirmed as heterozygous translocation carriers, compared with 6% from the historical controls. The GA treatment generated a much higher frequency of translocations in male progeny than the comparable 100 mg/kg i.p. dose from AA reported in Adler et al. (1994) (20.17 vs. 2.72%). Although the mating interval was different (3.5–6.5 days posttreatment for GA and 7–10 days posttreatment for AA) and thus the spermatogonial stages were different and the studies were conducted in two different laboratories, the results demonstrate that GA is a potent inducer of chromosomal damage in postmeiotic rodent germ cells.

Specific locus studies

Russell et al. (1991) evaluated specific locus mutations, as well as fertility (measured as litter size/fertile female) and dominant lethals resulting from AA exposure to male mice from an i.p. 50 mg/kg-day dose for 5 consecutive days. Males were mated at specific intervals after mating to T-stock females homozygous for a (non-agouti), b (brown), cch (chinchilla), p (pink-eyed dilution), d (dilute), se (short ear), and s (piebald). AA was effective in the first 2 weeks posttreatment, corresponding to germ cells exposed in the spermatozoa or spermatid stages. The results confirmed previous dominant lethal studies and showed that germ cell stages in which the treatment induced dominant lethals jointly yielded the highest frequency of specific locus mutations. Specific locus mutations occurred in 5/28,971 offspring with exposures 1-7 weeks after treatment, which was significantly higher than the historical control rate of 43/801,406 (p = 0.026 in a one-tailed Fisher Exact test). The two mutants arising from matings 1 and 2 weeks after treatment represented a significantly higher mutation rate than the three mutants arising from matings in weeks 3-7; the rate in this latter period was not significantly higher than the control rate. No mutations were recovered in 17,112 offspring derived from treated stem cell spermatogonia (fertilizations occurring >49 days posttreatment). The major

conclusions are that AA is mutagenically active in the late spermatid–spermatozoa stages, the recovered mutations are associated with chromosomal aberration-type events (deletions and/or translocations), and AA is not mutagenically active in stem cell spermatogonia. Russell et al. (1991) reported that two specific locus mutations recovered in offspring derived from fertilizations (in which the male gametes were exposed to AA at the spermatozoa and spermatid stages) were homozygous lethal, of which one was associated with a cytogenetically visible deletion, and concluded that the specific locus mutations were due to large, multilocus deletions.

Ehling and Neuhäuser-Klaus (1992) exposed male mice to a single i.p. dose of AA at 100 or 125 mg/kg. Immediately after treatment, males were housed with untreated, test-stock females homozygous for a (non-agouti), b (brown), cch (chinchilla), p (pink-eyed dilution), d (dilute), se (short ear), and s (piebald). For the 100 mg/kg-treated males, a permanent monogamist mating was established, starting on day 21. The offspring of the permanent mating were classified according to their day of conception into those derived from treated spermatocytes and differentiating spermatogonia (conception 21-42 days posttreatment), and those from treated spermatogonia (\geq 43 days posttreatment). Ehling and Neuhäuser-Klaus (1992) grouped their specific locus results for conceptions occurring in the intervals days 5-8 and 9-12posttreatment, respectively, and reported an increased frequency of mutations due to exposure of parental males to these levels of AA. They reported that, of the six specific-locus mutations recovered following AA exposure of spermatids or spermatozoa, four had reduced viability, one was sterile, and one was homozygous lethal. As in the Russell et al. (1991) study, the authors concluded that the specific-locus mutations recovered in offspring derived from parental exposure to AA were associated with multi-locus deletions. Unlike Russell et al. (1991), who reported no increase in the frequency of specific-locus mutations in offspring derived from germ cells exposed as stem-cell spermatogonia, Ehling and Neuhäuser-Klaus (1992) observed a significant increase in the frequency of specific-locus mutations following exposure of spermatogonia to AA. Favor and Shelby (2005) reevaluated the mating intervals to more directly compare the results and noted that in the results of Russell et al. (1991) for spermatogonial exposure (days >42 posttreatment), the frequency of specific-locus mutations, 1/23,531, was not significantly higher than the frequency in the historical control. By contrast, Ehling and Neuhäuser-Klaus (1992) demonstrated a significantly higher specific-locus mutation frequency in treated spermatogonia (6/23,489) than in their historical control. The difference in the specific-locus mutation frequency for spermatogonia exposed to AA between Russell et al. (1991) (higher total accumulated dose, 50 mg AA/kg on 5 consecutive days) and Ehling and Neuhäuser-Klaus (1992) (lower dose, 100 mg AA/kg) approached significance (p = 0.070, Fisher's Exact test, two-tailed). Further, the intervals between treatment and conception for all specific-locus mutations recovered in the spermatogonia exposure group were noted by Ehling and Neuhäuser-Klaus (1992). One mutation resulted from a conception 43 days posttreatment

and represented an exposure at the differentiating spermatogonial stage. Russell et al. (1991) also recovered one specific-locus mutation following exposure at this stage. The remaining five mutations recovered for treatment of spermatogonia by Ehling and Neuhäuser-Klaus (1992) all had conceptions much later (70, 181, 201, 234, and 436 days posttreatment) and represented exposures of stem-cell spermatogonia.

Synthesis and evaluation of heritable germ cell effects

Available heritable germ cell studies clearly demonstrate AA-induced heritable germ cell effects. These findings are of particular concern because the human relevance has not been determined. In the absence of experimental data from which to assess the potential for AA to act as a human germ cell mutagen, the animal data must be considered potentially relevant to humans.

Animal studies did not include adequate assessment of dose-response relationships for the heritable germ cell effects, and doses employed in the various studies were relatively high. Single or repeated i.p. doses of AA or GA ranged from 40 to 125 mg/kg and one study employed five daily dermal applications of AA at 50 mg/kg. Heritable translocations appeared at high frequency at the lowest doses tested, which indicates that lower doses may have also elicited heritable translocations. Well-designed animal studies are needed to assess dose-response relationships for AA- and GA-induced heritable germ cell effects, particularly in the low dose region that is expected to be more relevant to human exposure.

The results of each of the above-described heritable germ cell studies suggest that AA and/or GA act as clastogenic agents (Favor and Shelby 2005; Dearfield et al., 1988). Possible mechanisms involved include (1) covalent modifications of protamines associated with DNA by AA or GA, and (2) direct alkylation of DNA by GA or a combination of both modes of action (Besaratinia and Pfeifer, 2007, 2004; Carere, 2006; Doerge et al., 2005a; Schmid et al., 1999; Dearfield et al., 1995; Segerbäck et al., 1995; Moore et al., 1987).

Limited information is available regarding specific mechanisms of AA-induced heritable germ cell effects in laboratory animals. Demonstrations that GA binds more strongly than AA to DNA and some indication that the genetic damage in germ cells of mice is dependent on metabolism of AA to GA by CYP2E1 (Ghanayem et al., 2005b) led Carere (2006) to suggest that GA-DNA adducts may be responsible for gene mutations observed in the laboratory animal germ cell studies.

Sega et al. (1989) proposed AA alkylation of protamine in late-stage spermatids as a mechanism for AA-induced dominant lethal effects based on a parallel time course for protamine alkylation and dominant lethal effects in spermatids of mice treated with AA. The involvement of protamine binding as a mechanism of AA-induced heritable translocations is suggested because both AA-induced dominant lethal mutations and heritable translocations appear to be

late-stage germ cell effects and because AA has been shown to exert effects on the synaptoneural complex and on the spindle. Furthermore, AA exhibits a relatively stronger binding to proteins than does GA. Based on these observations, Carere (2006) suggested that AA-protamine binding may explain some chromosomal effects in germ cells.

It is critical to determine mechanisms whereby AA induces heritable germ cell effects and the critical germ cell stages at which the heritable germ cell effects occur because, as Favor and Shelby (2005) note, if the mutagenic activity of AA is confined to postspermatogonial stages, the risk of effects would be relative to the dose accumulated during the sensitive postspermatogonial stages and this would be only a fraction of the lifetime accumulated exposure. If, however, stem cell spermatogonia are sensitive to mutation induction by AA, the risk would be relative to lifetime accumulated dose up to the time of fertilization.

4.5. OTHER DURATION OR ENDPOINT-SPECIFIC STUDIES

4.5.1. Neurotoxicity Studies

The oral toxicity animal studies described in detail in Sections 4.2 and 4.3 include those most relevant to describing dose-response relationships for chronic exposure. Numerous additional reports have been published in which AA-induced neurotoxicity has been assessed in animal species following single or repeated oral exposure to AA. For example, both Fullerton and Barnes (1966) and Tilson and Cabe (1979) observed clinical signs of neurotoxicity in rats following single oral dosing with AA in the range of 100 to 200 mg/kg; repeated administration at lower dose levels also resulted in neurotoxic signs. Aldous et al. (1983) reported overt signs of neurotoxicity as early as day 4 in rats administered AA by gavage at a dose level of 50 mg/kg-day.

Dixit et al. (1981) noted neurotoxicity in rats following 14 days of oral treatment at a dose level of 25 mg/kg-day. Severe loss of hindlimb function was reported as early as day 21 in rats administered AA in the diet for up to 90 days at a concentration that resulted in an estimated dose of 30 mg/kg-day (McCollister et al., 1964). Fullerton and Barnes (1966) noted slight leg weakness in rats after 40 weeks of dietary exposure at a concentration that resulted in a dose ranging from approximately 6 to 9 mg/kg-day (according to the authors); the effect did not appear to become more severe during the remaining 8 weeks of exposure.

Alterations in gait (home-cage and open-field assessment of neuromuscular function and equilibrium) were reported in adult male and female Long-Evans rats administered i.p. injections of AA at doses as low as 1 mg/kg-day for as little as 30 to 60 days (Moser et al., 1992). AA was administered 5 days/week for 13 weeks and included dose levels of 1, 4, and 12 mg/kg-day. Neurobehavioral observations were performed prior to dosing, at treatment days 29–31 and 58–62, and immediately following treatment termination. Significantly increased foot splay was observed at 4 mg/kg-day (females) and 12 mg/kg-day (males and females) at 60-day

examination. All other signs of neurotoxicity (impaired mobility and righting reflex, decreased grip strength, and axonal degeneration in peripheral nerves and spinal cord) were seen only at the high dose (12 mg/kg-day).

Other investigators have reported AA-induced neurotoxicity in mice (Gilbert and Maurissen, 1982; Hashimoto et al., 1981), cats (Post and McLeod, 1977; McCollister et al., 1964), dogs (Hersch et al., 1989; Satchell and McLeod, 1981), and monkeys (Eskin et al., 1985; Maurissen et al., 1983; McCollister et al., 1964).

4.5.2. Other Cancer Studies

The potential of AA to initiate skin tumors has been examined in female SENCAR mice (40/group, 6 to 8 weeks of age) exposed via oral (gavage), i.p. injection, and dermal application (Bull et al., 1984a). AA was dissolved in distilled water for oral and injection routes and in ethanol for dermal applications. AA was administered at dose levels of 0, 12.5, 25, or 50 mg/kg-day, 6 times during a 2-week period for each route (total AA doses of 0, 75, 150, or 300 mg/kg). Two weeks later, dermal doses of a promoter, 1.0 µg 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA) (in 0.2 mL acetone) were applied to the shaved back 3 times/week for 20 weeks. Two types of control groups (20–40 mice/group) were included for each route of administration: (1) vehicle initiation with TPA promotion; and (2) 50 mg/kg-day AA plus vehicle promotion. All animals were killed at 52 weeks, and all gross lesions in the skin were histologically examined. The incidences of histologically confirmed squamous cell carcinomas or squamous cell papillomas for the 0, 12.5, 25, or 50 mg/kg-day AA groups with TPA, followed by the incidence for the 50 mg/kg-day group without TPA are shown in Table 4-28.

	Skin carcinomas ^a						Sk	in papillo	omas ^a	
	Dose (mg/kg-day)									
	No					No				
		With	n TPA		TPA	A With TPA			TPA	
	0	12.5	25	50	50	0	12.5	25	50	50
Oral	0/34	2/35	7/33 ^b	6/38 ^b	0/17	0/34	3/35	8/33 ^b	11/38 ^b	0/17
Intraperitonea	0/35	2/38	4/36	4/35	0/17	0/35	2/38	3/36	6/35 ^b	0/17
1										
Dermal	0/36	1/38	2/35	3/34	0/20	5/36	3/38	3/35	2/34	0/20

Table 4-28. Acrylamide initiation of squamous cell carcinomas orpapillomas in female SENCAR mice

^aDenominator is the number of surviving mice at 52 weeks with acceptable nonautolyzed tissues. ^bSignificantly different (p < 0.05) from the vehicle initiation/TPA promotion group by Fisher's Exact test.

Source: Bull et al. (1984a).

Incidences were also reported for the number of skin tumor-bearing mice/total mice in each group (Bull et al., 1984a). In this analysis, tumors were described as skin masses with diameter >1 mm that were detected during a minimum of 3 consecutive weeks in the study.

Incidences for the 0, 12.5, 25, or 50 mg/kg-day/+TPA promotion groups, followed by the 50 mg/kg-day/vehicle promotion group, for the three routes of administration are displayed in Table 4-29.

	Skin tumor masses with diameter >1 mm							
	Dose (mg/kg-day)							
	With TPA No TPA							
	0	50	50					
Oral	2/40	12/40 ^a	23/40 ^a	30/40 ^a	0/20			
Intraperitoneal	0/40	10/40 ^a	13/40 ^a	21/40 ^a	0/20			
Dermal	7/40	4/40	11/40	18/40 ^a	0/20			

 Table 4-29. Acrylamide initiation of skin tumor masses >1mm in female

 SENCAR mice

^aSignificantly different (p < 0.05) from the vehicle initiation/+TPA promotion group by Fisher's Exact test.

Source: Bull et al. (1984a).

Overall, the data indicate that AA at oral dose levels of 25 or 50 mg/kg-day initiated TPA-promoted skin tumors in SENCAR mice. However, the incidences of histologically confirmed skin tumors were not statistically significantly elevated in mice receiving initiating doses of AA by i.p. injection or dermal administration, with the exception of papillomas in mice exposed to 50 mg/kg-day by i.p. injection followed by TPA promotion.

In another skin tumor initiation-promotion study, female Swiss-ICR mice (40/group) were administered AA in oral doses of 0, 12.5, 25, or 50 mg/kg-day, 3 times/week for 2 weeks (Bull et al., 1984b). Two weeks later, 2.5 μ g TPA in acetone was applied to the shaved backs, 3 times/week for 20 weeks. Another group of 40 mice received 6 doses of 50 mg/kg-day AA during 2 weeks, followed by dermal application in acetone without TPA for 20 weeks. Mice were examined for skin papillomas on a weekly basis, until sacrifice at 52 weeks after start of the initiation period. The skin and lungs were preserved for histologic examination of all gross lesions. The combined incidence of mice with histologically confirmed skin papillomas or carcinomas for the 0, 12.5, 25, or 50 mg/kg-day AA groups with TPA, followed by the incidence for the 50 mg/kg-day group without TPA were as follows (* indicates significantly different [p < 0.05] from the vehicle/+TPA promotion group by Fisher's Exact test; denominator is the number of mice surviving to 52 weeks with acceptable nonautolyzed tissue): 0/35, 2/34, 3/32, 10/32*, and 1/36. Respective incidences for skin carcinomas alone were: 0/35, 1/34, 3/32, 4/32*, and 1/36. The data indicate that orally administered AA (50 mg/kg-day, 6 times during a 2-week period) initiated histologically confirmed mouse skin tumors promoted by TPA.

Support for the skin tumor initiation activity of AA is provided by an analysis in which tumors were described as skin masses with diameter >1 mm that were detected during a minimum of 3 consecutive weeks in the study (Bull et al., 1984b). In this analysis, incidences of skin-tumor bearing animals were 0/40, 4/40, 4/40, and 13/40* for the 0, 12.5, 25, and 50 mg/kg-

day /+TPA groups, respectively, and 10/40* for the 50 mg/kg-day/vehicle promotion group. Incidences in the 50 mg/kg-day AA-exposed groups were statistically significantly elevated (* p < 0.05 by Fisher's Exact test) compared with the vehicle/+TPA control group.

Lung tumors were also found in the Swiss-ICR mice that survived to 52 weeks (Bull et al., 1984b). The combined incidences of mice with histologically confirmed alveolar bronchiolar adenomas or carcinomas for the 0, 12.5, 25, or 50 mg/kg-day/+TPA promotion groups, followed by the incidence for the 50 mg/kg-day/vehicle promotion were as follows: 4/36, 8/34, 6/36, 11/34*, and 14/36*. The respective incidences for carcinomas were: 1/36, 2/34, 1/36, 1/34, and 10/36*. The incidences for combined adenomas and carcinomas were statistically significantly (Fisher's Exact test, * p < 0.05) elevated in both groups treated with 50 mg/kg-day 6 times during 2 weeks, but only 1/11 lung tumors in the 50-mg/kg-day/+TPA group was a carcinoma, in contrast to 10 carcinomas/14 lung tumors in the 50-mg/kg-day/–TPA group.

Bull et al. (1984a) also performed mouse lung adenoma bioassays on groups of 8-weekold male and female A/J mice, a strain that is very susceptible to lung tumor formation. AA was administered to mice (16/sex/group) via i.p. injection at doses of 1, 3, 10, 30, or 60 mg/kg-day, 3 times/week for 8 weeks. Untreated and vehicle control (distilled water) groups were also employed. The mice injected with 60 mg/kg-day showed severe peripheral neuropathy and deaths within the first 3 weeks of treatment and were not examined for lung tumor development. Surviving mice in other groups were sacrificed at 8 months, lungs were fixed, and surface adenomas were counted after 24 hours. AA exposure caused increased incidences of mice with lung tumors at dose levels ≥ 3 mg/kg. Incidences were 12/30 and 3/31 for untreated and vehicle controls, compared with 14/33, 15/33*, 21/31*, and 28/30* for the 1, 3, 10, and 30 mg/kg-day groups, respectively (* indicates significantly different from combined control incidence by Fisher's Exact test). Some evidence was also presented for increasing average number of lung tumors/mouse ("tumor yield") with increasing AA exposure: 0.4 ± 0.5 , untreated control; 0.1 ± 0.3 , vehicle control; 0.6 ± 0.8 , 1 mg/kg; 0.8 ± 1.0 , 3 mg/kg; 1.2 ± 1.4 , 10 mg/kg; and 2.2 ± 1.5 , 30 mg/kg. In a later report, Bull et al. (1984b) reported that the tumor yield in this study "displayed a reasonably strong relationship with dose (p < 0.03)" but did not provide specific information on the statistical analysis performed.

Robinson et al. (1986) compared skin and lung tumor yields (number of tumors/mouse) in several strains of mice (SENCAR, BALB/c, A/J, and ICR) injected i.p. with single 50 mg/kg doses of AA followed by topical application of TPA 3 times weekly for 20 weeks. Groups of 60 mice of each strain received initiating injections with AA or water (vehicle); 40 mice in each group then received TPA at the following dose levels: $1.0 \mu g$ for SENCAR, $5.0 \mu g$ for BALB/c, and $2.5 \mu g$ for A/J and ICR. The mice were sacrificed at 36 weeks. Microscopic examinations were conducted on all gross lesions found in lungs and skin and only lung adenomas and skin papillomas were included in the tumor count and calculation of tumor yield. One experiment

included all four strains, and a second experiment only examined SENCAR mice. Lung tumor yields were statistically significantly increased by the AA treatment (0.42 tumors/mouse), compared with vehicle controls (0.04 tumors/mouse), in the SENCAR strain but not in the BALB/c, A/J, or ICR strains. However, in the other experiment with SENCAR mice, lung tumor yields were not statistically significantly elevated (0.38 vs. 0.22 tumors/mouse). Skin tumor yields were statistically significantly elevated in SENCAR mice in the two experiments (0.25 vs. 0.08 tumors/mouse and 0.38 vs. 0.05 tumors/mouse) but were not significantly elevated in the other three strains. Robinson et al. (1986) only reported mean skin and lung tumor yield data, so the value of the reported data are only of limited use for cancer hazard identification purposes.

Jin et al. (2008) assessed the potential for AA to induce thyroid tumors in female CD1 mice administered AA in drinking water. The study comprised both short-term (2-month) and longer-term (up to 8 months) experiments. Each experiment included six groups of mice (20– 30/group); groups 1–3 received drinking water without AA and groups 4–6 were exposed to AA in the drinking water. In addition, groups 2 and 5 received thyroxine in the drinking water to depress activity of the thyroid and groups 3 and 6 received methimazole that causes thyroid activation. Concentrations of AA in the drinking water were adjusted to deliver AA at an intended dose of 3 mg/kg-day, although the concentration was increased during the later portion of the longer-term experiment. The exposures designed to alter activity of the thyroid produced the intended results. In the longer-term experiment, mice receiving thyroxine treatment with or without AA exposure died or were sacrificed moribund after 6 months. Peripheral neuropathy was noted in mice receiving AA in the longer-term experiment, particularly later in the experiment when AA concentrations were increased. There were no indications of AA-induced effects on thyroid weights or thyroid tumorigenesis, including those mice with hyper- or hypostimulated thyroids. Although the AA in the drinking water of the mice resulted in AA doses comparable to those associated with thyroid tumors in rats treated for 2 years (Friedman et al., 1995), the mice were only treated and examined for up to 8 months (Jin et al. 2008).

Ølstørn et al. (2007) assessed the tumorigenicity of subcutaneous administration of AA or GA during early perinatal periods in the intestine of C57BL/6J Min/+ mice and their wild type. The Min/+ mice are heterozygous for a mutation in the tumor suppressor gene (Apc), which leads to the development of multiple intestinal neoplasms, particularly in the small intestine. The study consisted of two experiments. In the first experiment, Min/+ and wild type mice were subcutaneously injected with AA or GA (0, 10, or 50 mg/kg-bw) at 1 and 2 weeks postpartum and sacrificed after 8 (Min/+) or 32 (wild type) weeks. Respective numbers of mice included in the control though high-dose AA groups were: 19, 19, and 17 for Min/+ mice, and 31, 12, and 24 for WT mice. Respective group sizes for the low- and high-dose GA groups were: 26 and 21 for Min/+ mice and 24 and 24 for WT mice. At the 50 mg/kg dose level, GA (but not AA) induced significantly (p < 0.05) increased number of small intestinal tumors per

mouse in the Min/+ mice (1.3-fold increase compared with control value) and significantly (p < 0.05) increased incidence of WT mice with intestinal neoplasms (25 vs. 3% in controls). In the second experiment, Min/+ and wild type mice were exposed to AA or GA either in utero via single subcutaneous injections of their dams at 1 week prior to birth, postpartum subcutaneous injections at 1 and 2 weeks, or both. In the second experiment, the number of small intestinal and colonic tumors per mouse in the Min/+ mice correlated positively with the number of GA injections. The results indicate that early life subcutaneous exposure of Min/+ and WT C57BL/J6 mice to 50 mg/kg GA, but not 50 mg/kg AA, elicited tumorigenic responses in the intestine. The tumorigenic response to GA, but not to AA, in experiment one of this study could potentially be explained by relatively low expression of CYP2E1 (and thus low capability to convert AA to GA) during the early life exposure period.

4.6. MECHANISTIC DATA AND OTHER STUDIES IN SUPPORT OF THE MODE OF ACTION

4.6.1. Studies on the Hypothalamus-Pituitary-Thyroid Axis

Both of the available chronic oral exposure studies for AA in F344 rats reported statistically significant increased incidences of thyroid follicular cell adenomas, or combined adenomas and carcinomas, at the highest dose levels of 2–3 mg/kg-day (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986). Chemicals that alter thyroid hormone homeostasis by interfering with synthesis or secretion of triiodothyronine (T_3) or thyroxin (T_4) or by increasing T_3 or T_4 metabolism can lead to compensatory release of TSH from the pituitary, which, if sustained, may induce thyroid follicular cell hyperplasia that may progress to neoplasia (U.S. EPA, 1998c). These findings have led to several investigations of effects of AA on hypothalamus-pituitary-thyroid axis endpoints. To date, there is no clear and consistent evidence to support the hypothesis that AA induces sustained follicular cell proliferation by altering thyroid hormone homeostasis.

Exposure of female F344 rats to 2 or 15 mg/kg-day for 2 or 7 days induced follicular cell morphometric changes (decreased colloid area and increased cell height) without significantly changing circulating levels of T_4 or TSH (Khan et al., 1999). In female F344 rats exposed to 2 or 15 mg/kg-day AA for 2 or 7 days, no statistically significant changes, compared with controls, were found in plasma levels of T_4 , TSH, or prolactin, in pituitary levels of TSH or prolactin, or in body, pituitary, or adrenal weights, whereas thyroid gland morphometry showed statistically significant decreased colloid area (56–57% decrease compared with control) and increased follicular cell height (18–22% increase compared with control) (Khan et al., 1999).

In an unpublished study, blood levels of T_3 , T_4 , or TSH were evaluated in male or female F344 rats exposed to AA in drinking water for 14 or 28 days at dose levels ranging from about 1 to 25 mg/kg-day (Table 4-30) (Friedman et al., 1999b). A significant decrease in T_3 and T_4 in

high dose males is reported at 28 days, but T_4 in high-dose males increased at 14 days, and overall, there is inadequate support for a consistent, significant change in blood levels of T_3 , T_4 , or TSH.

Dose		T ₃		T_4		TSH	
(mg/kg-day)		(ng/dL)		(ng/dL)		(ng/mL)	
Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
			14 a	days			
0	0	85.2 ± 14.4	78.8 ± 8.4	3.5 ± 0.5	2.8 ± 0.6	2.7 ± 1.1	2.1 ± 0.6
1.4	1.3	75.2 ± 16.0	77.5 ± 6.6	3.3 ± 0.3	2.8 ± 0.3	3.7 ± 1.7	2.2 ± 0.4
4.1	4.3	80.3 ± 7.7	91.0 ± 13	3.8 ± 0.3	$3.4\pm0.5^{\rm a}$	3.1 ± 1.3	1.8 ± 0.3
12	9.0	81.6 ± 10.2	81.6 ± 8.7	3.6 ± 0.3	3.2 ± 0.5	2.9 ± 1.4	1.8 ± 0.4
19	19	92 ± 20.2	101.9 ± 10.3^{a}	4.0 ± 0.5	3.2 ± 0.3	3.7 ± 1.0	2.1 ± 0.9
25	24	91.9 ± 13.2	89 ± 15	$4.1\pm0.4^{\rm a}$	3.0 ± 0.8	2.8 ± 0.8	$2.8\pm0.2^{\rm a}$
			28 a	days			
0	0	90.8 ± 13.3	78.9 ± 13.5	3.9 ± 0.6	2.5 ± 0.7	2.0 ± 0.7	1.5 ± 0.4
1.4	1.3	90.6 ± 13.8	75.5 ± 13.0	4.0 ± 0.5	2.4 ± 0.6	2.3 ± 1.2	1.8 ± 0.6
4.1	4.3	82.0 ± 13.1	79.6 ± 8.2	3.9 ± 0.5	2.5 ± 0.4	2.1 ± 0.9	1.6 ± 0.2
12	9.0	80.3 ± 11.5	84.9 ± 4.4	3.7 ± 0.4	2.7 ± 0.3	2.1 ± 0.4	1.7 ± 0.4
19	19	71.2 ± 10.3^{a}	81.6 ± 7.9	3.3 ± 0.5	2.7 ± 0.3	1.9 ± 0.4	1.9 ± 0.9
25	24	61.4 ± 32.4^{a}	65.2 ± 23.6	$2.6\pm1.0^{\rm a}$	2.4 ± 0.6	2.8 ± 1.2	1.6 ± 0.4

Table 4-30. Circulating thyroid hormone levels in F344 rats followingexposure to AA in drinking water for 14 or 28 days

^aStatistically significantly different (p < 0.01) from control by an unspecified statistical test with unspecified number. Available report does not specify if values are means \pm SEM or SD.

Source: Friedman et al. (1999b).

In another unpublished study, no changes in plasma TSH levels were found in male Sprague-Dawley rats exposed to 2 or 15 mg/kg-day AA for up to 28 days by an unspecified route of administration, and evidence for a sustained statistically significant increase in DNA synthesis in the thyroid of exposed rats, compared with control rats, was not found (Klaunig, 2000, as cited in Environ, 2002). DNA synthesis in the thyroid was assayed as "BrdU incorporation and proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) expression", but further methodological details were not specified in the available report of this study. The results (as cited in Environ, 2002) are shown in Table 4-31. The quality of these data, however, is poor due to lack of information on methodological details and the fact that the data were neither published nor peer reviewed.

Dose (mg/kg-day)	Day	TSH (ng/mL)	BrdU (units not reported)	PCNA (units not reported)
0	7	2.92 (0.90)	0.47 (0.11)	0.20 (0.07)
2		3.28 (1.12)	$4.09 (1.04)^{a}$	2.64 (1.39) ^a
15		4.09 (2.16)	1.92 (0.55)	2.29 (0.91) ^a
0	14	5.02 (2.44)	2.31 (0.18)	0.11 (0.05)
2		4.41(1.89)	2.79 (1.69)	0.06 (0.04)
15		4.72(2.10)	5.60 (1.73)	$2.24 (0.59)^{a}$
0	28	5.29 (2.44)	2.31 (0.18)	0.04 (0.02)
2		3.96 (1.64)	3.13 (1.53)	1.21 (0.89)
15		4.90 (2.55)	5.60 (1.73)	3.13 (1.77)

Table 4-31. Plasma TSH, BrdU incorporation in thyroid, and PCNA expression in thyroid in male Sprague-Dawley rats exposed to acrylamide by an unspecified route for up to 28 days

^aReported as statistically significant (p < 0.05), by ANOVA followed by Fisher's Least Significant Difference (LSD); values in parentheses were not specified. Methodological details concerning thyroid BrdU incorporation and PCNA expression were not provided in Environ (2002).

Source: Klaunig (2000) as cited in Environ (2002).

Bowyer et al. (2008) examined a number of endpoints indicative of disruption of the hypothalamus-pituitary-thyroid axis in male F344 rats (70 days of age) exposed for 14 days to AA in drinking water delivering nominal doses of 0, 2.5, 10, or 50 mg/kg-day. Based on twice weekly measurement of body weight and water intake, average measured doses for the 2.5-, 10-, and 50-mg/kg-day groups were 93–100, 99–100, and 85–88% of nominal values. The following endpoints were evaluated: (1) expression of genes related to thyroid hormone production and cell proliferation in the hypothalamus, thyroid, and pituitary (using cDNA array and RT-PCR analysis after isolation of total RNA from tissues from 20 rats per group); (2) levels of neurotransmitters (and metabolites) in the brain and pituitary (from 10 rats per group) that affect hormone homeostasis (dopamine, 3-methoxytyramine [3MT], homovanillic acid [HVA], 3,4,-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid [DOPAC]), serotonin, 5-hydroxytryptamine [5HT], and 5-hydroxyindoeacetic acid [5HIAA]); (3) serum levels of pituitary and thyroid hormones (from 10 rats/groups): TSH, T₄, T₃, and thyrotropin-releasing hormone (TRH); and (4) histology of pituitary and thyroid glands. The low- and mid-dose groups showed no obvious effect on locomotory activity or body weight gain. The high-dose group showed clear signs of locomotor impairment (lethargy and hind limb paralysis) and decreased body weight (92–93% of control values). No clear exposure-related effects were found on levels of dopamine and its metabolites (DOPAC, 3MT, and HVA) or 5HT and 5HIAA in the hypothalamus or pituitary. AA exposure caused a significant (p < 0.05) decrease in serum T₄ only at the high dose, but had no effect on serum T₃ or TSH. Exposed and control rats showed no difference in response to a challenge dose of TRH increase in serum levels of TSH and T₄, 30 minutes following a challenge i.p. dose of 2.5 mg/kg TRH. No significant exposure-related effects were found on mRNA levels in hypothalamus or pituitary for TRH, TSH, or thyroid hormone receptor α and β . Control and

high-dose rats showed no significant changes in mRNA levels for other important pituitary hormones including growth hormone, opiomelancorticotropin, vasopressin, and luteinizing hormone. Hematoxylin- and eosin-stained sections of thyroid and pituitary tissue from control and high-dose rats showed no evidence for exposure-related changes in cell morphology (i.e., hypertrophy, hyperplasia, karyomegaly, or degeneration). Indices for cell proliferation in pituitary and thyroid tissues (Mki67 mRNA and ki-67 protein levels) were not increased in exposed rats, compared with controls. Expression of genes in the thyroid, which are typically increased in response to anti-thyroid effects (e.g., thyroglobulin, thyroid peroxidase), were not significantly increased in high-dose rats, compared with controls. This study found no evidence that 14-day exposures of F344 rats to oral AA doses of 2.5, 10, or 50 mg/kg-day disrupt the hypothalamus-pituitary-thyroid axis. Bowyer et al. (2008) concluded that these negative findings are important to understanding AA's mode of action (MOA) in producing thyroid tumors in rats with chronic oral AA exposure (see Section 4.2.1.2), because chronically elevated TSH levels with resultant thyroid follicular cell hyperplasia are strongly associated with exposure to other compounds that induce rodent thyroid tumors by a nongenotoxic mechanism.

4.6.2. Genotoxicity Studies

Appendix B (Table B-1) summarizes results of numerous published mutagenicity tests for AA including the dominant lethal mutation assays discussed in a previous section. Results from in vivo dominant lethal mutation assays involving i.p. exposure of mice (Adler et al., 2000; Shelby et al., 1987), oral exposure of mice (Chapin et al., 1995; Sakamoto and Hashimoto, 1986) or rats (Tyl et al., 2000a, b; Sublet et al., 1989; Working et al., 1987a, b; Smith et al., 1986; Zenick et al., 1986), and dermal exposure of mice (Gutierrez-Espeleta et al., 1992) have been consistently positive. Since the oral exposure studies were described in detail in Section 4.3.1, results from dominant lethal mutation assays were generally not included in Appendix B.⁴ Heritable germ cell studies in male mice were consistently positive for heritable translocations (Adler et al., 2004, 1994; Generoso et al., 1996; Adler, 1990; Shelby et al., 1987) and specific mouse locus (Ehling and Neuhäuser-Klaus, 1992; Russell et al., 1991). No experiments studied the potential for AA to induce heritable mutations in the female germ line. The heritable germ cell studies are listed in Appendix B and are discussed in Section 4.4.

Manjanatha et al. (2006) evaluated the somatic cell mutagenic potential of AA and GA in an in vivo genotoxicity study in male and female Big Blue (BB) mice. BB mice were administered 0, 100, or 500 mg/L of AA or equimolar doses of GA in drinking water for 3– 4 weeks. The estimated daily exposures to AA for males and females were 19 and 25 mg/kg-

⁴ It is further acknowledged that male-mediated dominant lethal effects can be mediated by effects on altered male mating performance, sperm motility and/or morphology, as well as effects on genetic integrity of the sperm (Perreault, 2003).

day, respectively, for the low dose of 100 mg/L (4-week exposure) and 98 and 107 mg/kg-day for the high dose of 500 mg/L (3 weeks only due to clinical signs of neurotoxicity). The estimated daily exposure to GA for males and females were 25 and 35 mg/kg-day for the low dose of 120 mg/L (4 weeks) and 88 and 111 mg/kg-day for the high dose of 600 mg/L (4 weeks). Micronucleated reticulocytes (MN-RETs) were assessed in peripheral blood within 24 hours of the last treatment, and lymphocyte Hprt and liver cII mutagenesis assays were conducted 21 days following the last treatment. The types of cII mutations induced by AA and GA in the liver were determined by sequence analysis. The frequency of MN-RETs was increased 1.7-3.3-fold in males treated with the high doses of AA and GA ($p \le 0.05$; control frequency = 0.28%). Both doses of AA and GA produced increased lymphocyte Hprt mutant frequencies (MFs), with the high doses producing responses that were 16–25-fold higher than those of the respective control $(p \le 0.01; \text{ control MFs} = [1.5 \pm 0.3] \times 10^{-6} \text{ and } [2.2 \pm 0.5] \times 10^{-6} \text{ in females and males},$ respectively). Also, the high doses of AA and GA produced significant 2–2.5-fold increases in liver cII MFs ($p \le 0.05$; control MFs = $[26.5 \pm 3.1] \times 10^{-6}$ and $[28.4 \pm 4.5] \times 10^{-6}$). Molecular analysis of the mutants indicated that AA and GA produced similar mutation spectra and that these spectra were significantly different from that of control mutants ($p \le 0.001$). The predominant types of mutations in the liver cII gene from AA- and GA-treated mice were G:C \rightarrow T:A transversions and -1/+1 frameshifts in a homopolymeric run of guanosines. The results indicate that both AA and GA are mutagenic in mice. The MFs and types of mutations induced by AA and GA in the liver are consistent with AA exerting its mutagenicity in BB mice via metabolism to GA.

Ghanayem et al. (2005b) demonstrated the absence of AA-induced genotoxicity in CYP2E1-null mice as evidence of a GA-mediated genotoxic effect in somatic cells. Female wild-type and CYP2E1-null mice were administered AA (0, 25, 50 mg/kg) by i.p. injection once daily for 5 consecutive days. Twenty-four hours after the final treatment, blood and tissue samples were collected. Erythrocyte micronucleus frequencies were determined by flow cytometry, and DNA damage was assessed in leukocytes, liver, and lung using the alkaline (pH >13) single cell gel electrophoresis (Comet) assay. Results included significant dose-related increases in micronucleated erythrocytes and DNA damage in somatic cells induced in AA-treated wild-type mice but not CYP2E1-null mice. These results were consistent with the observations in a similar study in male germ cells, where dose-related increases in dominant lethal mutations were detected in uterine contents of female mice mated to AA-treated wild-type males but not CYP2E1-null males (Ghanayem et al., 2005a) (discussed in Section 4.2.1).

Numerous previous tests were performed to evaluate AA-induced chromosomal alterations in mammalian systems in vivo; most tests employed i.p. injection of AA at concentrations in the range of 25 to 200 mg/kg. Tests for chromosomal aberrations in bone marrow cells yielded both positive (Adler et al., 1988; Čihák and Vontorková, 1988) and

negative (Krishna and Theiss, 1995; Shiraishi, 1978) results. In one study, male B6C3F₁ mice were administered deionized water (control) or AA at doses ranging from 0.125 to 24 mg/kg-day via gavage for 28 days and evaluated for micronuclei (MN) response in bone marrow cells [reticulocytes (RETs) and normochromatic erythrocytes (NCEs)] by flow cytometry (Zeiger et al., 2009). AA significantly (p < 0.05) induced MN at \geq 4 mg/kg-day in RETs, and \geq 6 mg/kgday in NCEs. MN were not induced at lower doses of AA (0.125–2 mg/kg-day).

Similar assays of mouse spleen lymphocytes, splenocytes, and spermatogonia were all negative for chromosomal aberrations (Kligerman et al., 1991; Adler, 1990; Backer et al., 1989; Adler et al., 1988). Significant increases in chromosomal aberrations were observed in spermatocytes of mice that had been administered an i.p. dose of 100 mg/kg (Adler, 1990), but the frequency of aneuploid sperm detected by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) was not increased by single i.p. injections of 60 or 120 mg/kg AA in male mice (Schmid et al., 1999). Consistent with AA induction of chromosomal aberrations in sperm, the frequency of zygotes with chromosomal aberrations was significantly elevated in zygotes from females mated to males exposed to 50 mg/kg AA by i.p. injection for 5 days before mating (Marchetti et al., 1997). Tests were positive for early cleavage stages of mouse zygotes (Pacchierotti et al., 1994) and embryos (Valdivia et al., 1989), positive for polyploidy or aneuploidy (Shiraishi, 1978), and negative for spindle disturbances (Adler et al., 1993) in mouse bone marrow cells.

AA-induced increases in micronuclei were seen in bone marrow cells, reticulocytes, spleen lymphocytes, and splenocytes of mice and spermatids of rats and mice (Zeiger et al., 2009; Paulsson et al., 2002; Lähdetie et al., 1994; Russo et al., 1994; Xiao and Tates, 1994; Collins et al., 1992; Kligerman et al., 1991; Čihák and Vontorková, 1990, 1988; Backer et al., 1989; Adler et al., 1988; Knaap et al., 1988) but not in rat bone marrow cells (Paulsson et al., 2002; Krishna and Theiss, 1995). Synaptonemal complex irregularities (asynapsis in meiotic prophase) were slightly increased in germ cells of male mice following i.p. injection of AA, without a significant increase in aberrations (Backer et al., 1989). Tests for heritable translocations and reciprocal translocations in male mice yielded positive results (Adler et al., 1994; Shelby et al., 1987).

AA was found to induce chromosomal alterations (chromosomal aberrations, cell division aberration, chromosome enumeration, polyploidy, spindle disturbances) in a number of in vitro mammalian cell test systems at concentrations as low as 0.01-1 mg/mL (Martins et al., 2007; Adler et al., 1993; Tsuda et al., 1993; Warr et al., 1990; Knaap et al., 1988; Moore et al., 1987). In human hepatoma G2 cells, concentrations of ≥ 0.625 mM induced micronuclei (Jiang et al., 2007); however, a test for micronuclei in spermatids collected from Sprague-Dawley rats yielded negative results at concentrations up to 0.05 mg/mL (Lähdetie et al., 1994).

Evidence for AA-induced DNA damage and repair includes positive results in a spore rec assay (Tsuda et al., 1993), DNA breakage in vitro (Jiang et al., 2007) and in vivo in mice

following i.p. injection of AA at doses ≥25 mg/kg (Dobrzynska, 2007; Sega and Generoso, 1990), oxidative DNA damage in human hepatoma G2 cells (Jiang et al., 2007), in vitro unscheduled DNA synthesis (UDS) in human mammary epithelial cells (Butterworth et al., 1992), and in vivo UDS in male mouse germ cells (Sega et al., 1990). Testing for UDS in male rats in vivo/in vitro yielded positive results in spermatocytes and negative results in hepatocytes (Butterworth et al., 1992).

AA tested positive for sister chromatid exchange in mammalian cells both in vitro (Martins et al., 2007; Tsuda et al., 1993; Knaap et al., 1988) and in vivo (Russo et al., 1994; Kligerman et al., 1991; Backer et al., 1989). Both positive (Park et al., 2002; Tsuda et al., 1993; Banerjee and Segal, 1986) and negative (Kaster et al., 1998; Abernethy and Boreiko, 1987) results were obtained in cell transformation assays.

Results of reverse mutation assays in bacterial test systems did not indicate a mutagenic response at AA concentrations ranging from 10 to 10,000 μ g/plate with or without metabolic activation (Müller et al., 1993; Tsuda et al., 1993; Jung et al., 1992; Knaap et al., 1988; Zeiger et al., 1987; Hashimoto and Tanii, 1985; Lijinsky and Andrews, 1980). A fluctuation test in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* was also negative for mutagenicity (Knaap et al., 1988).

Genotoxicity was not observed in a test for sex-linked recessive lethality in *Drosophila melanogaster* following abdominal injection of a 50 mM solution of AA (Knaap et al., 1988), but positive results were obtained when *D. melanogaster* larvae were fed concentrations \geq 1 mM (Tripathy et al., 1991). Somatic mutation and recombination assays were positive for genotoxicity in *D. melanogaster* exposed by larval feeding at concentrations \geq 1 mM (Batiste-Alentorn et al., 1991; Tripathy et al., 1991; Knaap et al., 1988).

Positive results were obtained for gene mutation in mouse lymphoma cells in vitro at concentrations as low as 0.3 mg/mL (Mei et al., 2008b; Barfknecht et al., 1988; Knaap et al., 1988; Moore et al., 1987). This response was seen both with and without metabolic activation. Negative results were obtained for gene mutation at the HPRT locus in Chinese hamster V79H3 cells at the highest concentration tested (7 mM) without activation (Tsuda et al., 1993); however, positive results were obtained for gene mutation at the HPRT locus in human promyelocytic leukemia HL-60 and NB4 cells at 700 mg/L without activation (Ao et al., 2008).

Additional studies on the genotoxic potential of GA include positive results to *Salmonella typhimurium* strains TA100 and TA1535 (Hashimoto and Tanii, 1985) and mouse lymphoma cells (Barfknecht et al., 1988) but not *K. pneumoniae* (Voogd et al., 1981). GA induced unscheduled DNA synthesis in mouse spermatids in vivo (Sega et al., 1990), in human epithelial cells in vitro (Butterworth et al., 1992), in one of two tests for unscheduled DNA synthesis in rat hepatocytes in vitro (Butterworth et al., 1992; Barfknecht et al., 1988), and in (C3H/RL × C57BL)F1 male mice given single i.p. injections of 150 mg/kg GA (Generoso et al., 1996). GA (125 mg/kg by i.p. injection) induced dominant lethal mutations in male JH mice

mated with nonexposed female SB mice (Generoso et al., 1996). GA treatment (100 mg/kg, i.p. injection) of male (C3H \times 101/RL)F1 mice (mated with nonexposed (SEC \times C57BL)F1 female mice) induced heritable translocations in male offspring at a frequency about twofold greater than spontaneous frequencies in historical controls (Generoso et al., 1996). Synthetic GA induced a similar frequency for micronuclei in erythrocytes per unit of in vivo dose in the mouse as obtained in a study in the same laboratory where animals were treated with AA, and GA was endogenously generated as a metabolite (Paulsson et al., 2003a). This equality in potency of GA, whether its in vivo dose is established by injection of synthetic GA or through metabolism of AA, supports the view that GA is the predominant genotoxic factor in AA exposure.

Formation of DNA adducts and oxidative stress

GA forms DNA adducts in mice and rats (see Figure 3-2) (Doerge et al., 2005a; Gamboa da Costa et al., 2003; Segerbäck et al., 1995). DNA adduct formation was seen in liver, lung, kidney, brain, and testis of male mice and rats following i.p. injection of 46–53 mg/kg AA (Gamboa da Costa et al., 2003; Segerbäck et al., 1995; Sega et al., 1990).

Doerge et al. (2005a) measured DNA adducts following a single i.p. administration of AA and GA to adult B6C3F₁ mice and F344 rats at 50 mg/kg AA or an equimolar dose of GA (61 mg/kg), and reported GA-derived DNA adducts of adenine and guanine formed in all relevant tissues in both males and females where tumors had been reported, including liver, brain, thyroid, leukocytes, mammary gland, and testis in rats and liver, lung, kidney, leukocytes, and testis in mice. Dosing rats and mice with an equimolar amount of GA typically produced higher levels of DNA adducts than observed with AA. Kinetics of DNA adduct formation and accumulation were measured following oral administration of a single dose of AA (50 mg/kg) or from repeat dosing (1 mg/kg-day), respectively. The formation of these DNA adducts is consistent with previously reported mutagenicity of AA and GA in vitro, which involved reaction of GA with adenine and guanine bases. These results provide strong support for a mutagenic mechanism of AA carcinogenicity in rodents.

AA has been observed to form DNA adducts in vitro, but the formation rate is very slow (Solomon et al., 1985).

Besaratinia and Pfeifer (2004) treated normal human bronchial epithelial cells and Big Blue mouse embryonic fibroblasts (that carry a lambda phage cII transgene) in vitro with AA, its primary epoxide metabolite GA, or water (control) and then subjected the cells to terminal transferase-dependent polymerase chain reaction to map the formation of DNA adducts within the human gene encoding the tumor suppressor p53 gene (TP53) and the cII transgene. The frequency and spectrum of GA-induced mutations in cII were examined by using a lambda phage-based mutation detection system and DNA sequence analysis, respectively. All statistical tests were two-sided. AA and GA formed DNA adducts at similar specific locations within TP53 and cII, and DNA adduct formation was more pronounced after GA treatment than after AA treatment at all doses tested. AA-DNA adduct formation was saturable, whereas the formation of most GA-DNA adducts was dose-dependent. GA treatment dose-dependently increased the frequency of cII mutations relative to control treatment (p < 0.001). GA was more mutagenic than AA at any given dose, and the spectrum of GA-induced cII mutations was statistically significantly different from the spectrum of spontaneously occurring mutations in the control-treated cells (p = 0.038). Compared with spontaneous mutations in control cells, cells treated with GA or AA had more A-->G transitions and G-->C transversions and GA-treated cells had more G-->T transversions (p < 0.001). These results support the hypothesis that the mutagenicity of AA in human and mouse cells is based on the capacity of its epoxide metabolite GA to form DNA adducts.

Martins et al. (2007) conducted a study that examined, side-by-side, the cytogenic damage induced by AA and GA in V79 Chinese hamster cells, and compared this damage with the extent of GA-DNA adduct formation in AA- and GA-treated cells. At the highest concentration tested (2 mM), AA weakly induced chromosomal aberrations (CAs) and significantly (p < 0.01) induced sister chromatid exchanges (SCEs). The levels of N7-(2-carbamoyl-2-hydroxyethyl) guanine (N7-GA-Gua), a well-characterized GA-DNA adduct, were only detectable in AA-treated cells at 2 mM and at no other concentration. In contrast, treatment with equimolar doses of GA produced a twofold higher clastogenic response. In GA-treated cells, both the induction of SCEs and N7-GA-Gua levels increased linearly in response to GA concentrations. The strong correlation (r = 0.987, $p = 1.25 \times 10^{-12}$) between N7-GA-Gua levels and SCE induction in both AA- and GA-treated cells provided evidence that the metabolic conversion of AA to GA and the ensuing formation of DNA adducts may play a critical role in the induction of SCEs. In support, the depurination of DNA is thought to generate abasic sites, which are vulnerable to DNA breakage. Therefore, the higher clastogenicity of GA compared to AA is likely due to higher levels of GA-DNA adduct formation, and AA probably induces SCEs after its conversion to GA. Although these results support the hypothesis that the genotoxicity of AA is based on its ability to form GA-DNA adducts, another mechanism must also be at play since the induction of CAs did not correlate with N7-GA-Gua levels.

Additional research by Mei et al. (2008b) supports the hypothesis that AA and GA exert their mutagenic effects through different mechanisms. In an in vitro mouse lymphoma assay, mouse lymphoma cells (L5178Y/Tk^{+/-}) treated with AA or GA were examined for the frequency and types of mutations incurred and for GA-DNA adduct formation. A significant increase in mutation frequency was observed at concentrations ≥ 12 mM for AA and ≥ 2 mM for GA. In GA-treated cells, GA-DNA adducts of both adenine and guanine were formed in a linear doseresponse manner; however, no GA-DNA adducts were detected in cells treated with 8–20 mM AA. DNA analyses of the mutants revealed that the types of mutations incurred by AA and GA
were significantly different (p < 0.018). The majority of AA and GA mutants displayed loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at the Tk locus; however, AA mutants more frequently contained mutations that resulted in LOH of more than half of the chromosome. Given these differences, the authors concluded that AA and GA, although both clastogenic, may induce mutations through different mechanisms. DNA breakage after GA treatment likely involves GA-DNA adduct formation, whereas AA may incur DNA damage via oxidative stress. In support, AA is known to interact with GSH, a nucleophile that protects cells from oxidants. In addition, AA has been shown to interact with nucleophiles in DNA, lipids, and proteins and to be involved in the production of reactive oxygen species.

4.7. SYNTHESIS AND EVALUATION OF MAJOR NONCANCER EFFECTS 4.7.1. Oral

Neurological impairment has been established as a human health hazard from AA exposure, predominantly based on studies of effects from occupational inhalation and dermal exposure (see Section 4.5.2) (Tilson, 1981; Spencer and Schaumberg, 1974). There are few reports of health effects in humans associated with oral exposure to AA. However, corroborative case reports of neurological impairment from oral exposure include one of persistent peripheral neuropathy in a subject who intentionally ingested 18 g AA crystals (Donovan and Pearson, 1987). In another report, signs of central and peripheral neurological deficits were observed in family members exposed to AA in well water at a concentration of 400 ppm; both oral and dermal exposure to AA were likely (Igisu and Matsuoka, 2002; Igisu et al., 1975). Epidemiologic studies designed to evaluate noncancer health effects in groups of orally exposed subjects have not been conducted.

Numerous studies in animals provide evidence of neurotoxic effects in males and females and reproductive effects in males as the most sensitive noncancer effects associated with oral exposure to AA (summarized in Table 4-32). The studies in Table 4-32 provided the information needed to characterize the dose-response relationships for noncancer effects.

by the ort	ii i oute			
	Exposure	NOAEL	LOAEL	
	conditions			
Reference /species	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day)		Effect
Burek et al., 1980	0, 2.5,	7.5	1	Degenerative nerve changes (EM)
F344 rat, F	or 20 by gavage	5	ND	Degenerative nerve changes (LM)
		5	ND	Hindlimb splay, maternal
Field et al., 1986	0, 3, 15, or 45	15	2	Degenerative nerve changes (LM)
F344 rat, M&F	2 years in DW	45	ND	Hindlimb splay, maternal
		15	45	

 Table 4-32. Noncancer effects in animals repeatedly exposed to acrylamide

 by the oral route

	Exposure	NOAEL LOAEL				
Reference/species	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-dav)		Effect		
Wise et al., 1995	0, 0.1, 0.5, or 20	10	15	Decreased maternal weight gain		
F344 rat, F	GD 6–10 by gavage	10	15	Hindlimb splay		
,		ND	5	Decreased body weight (8–9%)		
		10	15			
				Early mortality after 60 weeks		
				Other nonneoplastic lesions		
Tyl et al., 2000a	0, 0.5, 2.0, or 5.0	ND	25	Hindlimb foot splay, maternal		
F344 rat, F	Two generations in	25	ND	Degenerative nerve changes (LM)		
	DW	25	ND	Hindlimb foot splay in offspring		
Chapin et al., 1995	0, 0.8, 3.1, or 7.5	3.1	7.5	MM implantation losses (F0&F1)		
CD-1 mouse, M&F	Two generations in	7.5	ND	Degenerative nerve changes (F1,LM)		
	DW	3.1	7.5	Mild grip strength deficits (F1&F2)		
				Hindlimb foot splay		
		7.5	ND	Decreased body weight (8%, F1 only)		
		3.1(F)	7.5(F)			
Zenick et al., 1986	0, 4.6, 7.9, or 11.9	ND	7.9	MM implantation losses		
Long-Evans rat, M	10 weeks in DW;	4.6	7.9	Hindlimb foot splay		
	mated w/					
	nonexposed F					
Zenick et al., 1986	0, 5.1, 8.8, or 14.6	5.1	8.8	Decreased maternal body weight (6%)		
Long-Evans rat, F	9 weeks in DW;	5.1	8.8	Decreased pup body weight (30–35%)		
	mated w/	14.6	ND	Other reproductive performance endpoints		
	nonexposed M			(fertility, implantation loss)		
Smith et al., 1986	0, 1.5, 2.8, or 5.8	1.5	2.8	MM postimplantation losses		
Long-Evans rat, M	80 days in DW;	5.8	ND	Peripheral nerve changes (LM)		
	mated w/	5.8	ND	Hindlimb foot splay		
	nonexposed F					
Sakamoto and	0, 3.3, 9.0, 13.3,	9.0	13.3	MM decreased fetuses/dam		
Hashimoto, 1986	or 16.3	13.3	16.3	Slight hindlimb weakness		
ddY mouse, M	4 weeks in DW;	13.3	16.3	Decreased sperm counts, abnormal sperm		
	mated w/			morphology		
<u> </u>	nonexposed F	10.7	ND			
Sakamoto and	0, 18.7	18.7	ND	Female reproductive performance		
Hashimoto, 1986	4 weeks in DW;	ND	107			
dd Y mouse, F	mated w/	ND	18.7	Slight hindlimb weakness		
Eald at al. 1000	nonexposed M	7.5	15	Desmand metermel meiste esin		
Field et al., 1990	0, 2.5, 7.5, 0r 15	/.J	15 ND	Decreased maternal weight gain		
sprague-Dawley	GD 6-20 by gavage	15		Fetal manormations of Variations		
Field at $a1 - 1000$	0 2 15 or 45	15	110	Decreased meternal weight gein		
CD 1 mouse E	0, 3, 13, 0143	15	45 ND	Fotol malformations or variations		
CD-1 mouse, r	UD 0-17 by gavage	4.5	110	Hindlimh splay, maternal		
		15	40	rindinilo spiay, materilai		

Table 4-32. Noncancer effects in animals repeatedly exposed to acrylamide by the oral route

	Exposure	NOAEL	LOAEL	
Reference/species	conditions (mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day)		Effect
Wise et al., 1995 Sprague-Dawley rat, F	0, 5, 10, 15, or 20 GD 6–10 by gavage	10 10 ND 10	15 15 5 15	Decreased maternal weight gain Hindlimb splay, maternal Decreased body weight in offspring Increased overall horizontal activity, decreased auditory startle response in offspring
Friedman et al., 1999a Wistar rat, F	0, 25 (maternal doses) PND 0–21 by gavage	ND 25 25	25 ND ND	Hindlimb foot splay, maternal Degenerative nerve changes (LM), maternal Hindlimb foot splay in offspring
Garey and Paule, 2007 F344 rat, M and F adolescents	Gavage to dams during gestation; PNDs 1–21 to offspring at same gavage dose; PNDs 22–85 in drinking water. Average estimated doses for offspring: 0, 0.1, 0.4, 1.3, or 6.	6 1.3	ND 6	Offspring body weight Decreased cognitive motivation in adolescent offspring

Table 4-32.	Noncancer	effects in	animals	repeatedly	exposed t	to acryla	mide
by the oral	route				_	-	

DW = drinking water LM = light microscopy ND = not determined

EM = electron microscopyLOAEL = lowest-observed-adverse-effect level M = male

F = femaleGD = gestation days NOAEL = no-observed-adverse-effect level

MM = male-mediatedPND = postnatal days Table 4-32 indicates that the lowest effect levels are for degenerative peripheral nerve changes in rats exposed to 1 mg/kg-day AA in drinking water for 90 days (Burek et al., 1980) or 2 mg/kg-day (Johnson et al., 1986) or 2 or 3 mg/kg-day (Friedman et al., 1995) for 2 years. Comprehensive histologic examinations of all major organs and tissues in these rat studies revealed no other exposure-related nonneoplastic lesions at dose levels below 5 mg/kg-day (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986; Burek et al., 1980) (see Table 4-32). Although studies selected for inclusion in Table 4-32 only examined rats and mice, Table 4-33 lists reports of AA neurological impairment in other species (cats, dogs, monkeys, and additional mouse studies) exposed via intraparenteral administration or orally at higher dose levels.

	Exposure conditions					
Reference/Species (dose, route, duration)		Effect				
McCollister et al., 1964	Single 100 mg/kg i.p. dose	After 24 hours, one was unconscious and was				
Cats $(n = 2)$		sacrificed, the other had severe neurotoxicity.				
Post and McLeod, 1977	15 mg/kg in food for up to	Progressively increasing neurotoxicity; by 12-				
Cats (2–3 kg)	16 weeks	16 weeks, severe poisoning, reduction in				
		conduction velocity, damage to large and small				
		myelinated fibers in peripheral nervous system.				
Hersch et al., 1989	5.7 mg/kg-day via ingested	Progressive, but reversible dysfunction of the				
Dogs (greyhounds,	capsule for 6–7 weeks	pulmonary stretch receptors and their				
22–30 kg)		myelinated vagal afferents.				
Satchell and McLeod 1981	7 mg/kg-day in feed for 8	Sensorimotor peripheral neuropathy and				
Dogs (greyhound)	weeks	megaesophagus suggesting an axonopathy of				
F 11 + 1 1005		the vagus nerve.				
Eskin et al., 1985	10 mg/kg-day in juice,	Axonal swellings with neurofilament				
Monkeys (macaque)	5 days/week for 6–10 weeks	accumulation in the distal optic tract and lateral				
M : 1. 1092		geniculate nucleus.				
Maurissen et al., 1983	10 mg/kg-day in juice,	Loss of balance, impaired coordination, tremor				
Monkeys (pigtail)	5 days/week until appearance of	(these symptoms reversed relatively soon after				
	mild toxicity ($n = 4$; average	dosing); reduced vibration sensitivity and				
	for 54 days; average total dose	dosing				
	400 mg/kg)	dosing.				
McCollister et al., 1964	total of 200 mg/kg of four	Death.				
Monkeys (5.1 kg)	consecutive 50 mg/kg 1.v. doses					
Gilbert and Maurissen, 1982	25.8 mg/kg-day (250 ppm) AA	Decreased retention time and increased				
Mice (Balb/c)	in drinking water for 12 days	hindlimb splay.				
	(101a1 estimated dose)					
Hashimata at al. 1001	1/5 to $1/2$ of the LD	241 marked must the total dage for half marined				
Mice (ddV strain)	1/3 to $1/2$ of the LD ₅₀ (107 mg/kg) administered by	241 mg/kg was the total dose for half maximal				
whee (uu i strain)	(107 mg/kg) administered by	minoritori or rotarod performance.				
	10 weeks					
	10 WEEKS					

 Table 4-33. Neurological effects following exposure to acrylamide in species other than the rat and mouse

LoPachin et al. (2002b) reported measures of gait characteristics as a sensitive behavioral measure for the onset and progression of AA neurotoxicity, but the study protocols cited in Table 4-32 were not oriented towards neurobehavioral endpoints and did not evaluate gait

abnormalities. Instead, hindlimb foot splay, a gross characteristic sign of AA-induced peripheral neuropathy, was measured in several of the studies cited in Table 4-32. Changes in foot splay have been observed in most chronic or less-than-lifetime oral studies, but at levels above the lowest dose associated with histologic signs of peripheral nerve damage (1–3 mg/kg-day). Only one study reported statistically significantly increased incidences of F0-generation F344 rats with hindlimb foot splay following exposure to a dose level as low as 0.5 mg/kg-day (Tyl et al., 2000a) (Table 4-32). In the same study, however, Tyl et al. (2000a) did not observe hindlimb foot splay in the F1-generation rats exposed to doses as high as 5 mg/kg-day, nor was this endpoint reported in F344 rats exposed to drinking water doses as high as 2–3 mg/kg-day for 2 years (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986) or 5 mg/kg-day for 90 days (Burek et al., 1980). Although adverse behavioral effects are not currently sufficiently supported to be designated the most sensitive endpoint, there is a clear research need for additional neurobehavioral studies with protocols and endpoints suitable for quantifying low dose-response relationships, and efforts are ongoing to address this data need.

AA induces adverse reproductive and developmental effects, but study data suggest these effects occur at higher doses than those resulting in neurotoxicity. Pre- and postimplantation losses and decreased numbers of live fetuses have been observed following repeated prebreeding oral exposure of rats and mice to AA at doses in the range of 3 to 8 mg/kg-day (Chapin et al., 1995; Sakamoto and Hashimoto, 1986; Smith et al., 1986; Zenick et al., 1986) (see Table 4-32). Dominant lethality testing (Tyl et al., 2000a, b; Chapin et al., 1995; Smith et al., 1986) and crossover trials (Chapin et al., 1995; Sakamoto and Hashimoto, 1986; Zenick et al., 1986) indicate male-mediated reproductive effects (Table 4-32). More gross effects on male reproductive organs have been demonstrated at higher dose levels, e.g., exposure of F344 rats to 20 mg/kg-day AA in drinking water for 90 days produced severe testicular atrophy (Burek et al., 1980). Male germ cell assays (e.g., sperm abnormalities, heritable translocations, specific locus mutations) provide evidence of AA-induced male reproductive toxicity following drinking water (Sakamoto and Hashimoto, 1986) or i.p. exposures (Adler et al., 2004, 2000, 1994; Generoso et al., 1996; Ehling and Neuhäuser-Klaus, 1992; Russell et al., 1991; Adler, 1990; Sega et al., 1989; Shelby et al., 1987). No experiments have studied the potential for AA to induce heritable mutations in the female germ line. Prebreeding exposure of female mice to doses of 18.7 mg/kgday (Sakamoto and Hashimoto, 1986) or female Long-Evans rats to doses up to 14.6 mg/kg-day (Zenick et al., 1986) did not adversely affect reproductive performance variables such as fertility or implantation when the animals were bred with nonexposed males (Table 4-32). In these female-exposure studies, the only reproductive endpoint affected was body weight decreases in offspring of female Long-Evans rats exposed to 8.8 and 14.6 mg/kg-day (Zenick et al., 1986).

Comparing the study LOAEL values listed in Table 4-32 suggests that the onset of adverse effects for male reproductive toxicity results from lower levels of AA exposure (2.8–

13.3 mg/kg-day) than those needed to produce clinical signs of neurotoxicity (15–20 mg/kg-day) but higher than those that result in peripheral nerve damage following less-than-lifetime or chronic exposures (1–2 mg/kg-day).

Developmental effects associated with oral exposure to AA are restricted to body weight decreases and decreased auditory startle response in offspring of female Sprague-Dawley rats exposed to 5 and 15 mg/kg-day, respectively, on GDs 6–10 (Wise et al., 1995) and decreased performance in an operant test of cognitive motivation in adolescent F344 rats exposed during gestation and lactation and extending through 12 weeks of age at an average dose of 6 mg/kg-day, but not at 1.3 mg/kg-day (Garey and Paule, 2007). No exposure-related fetal malformations or variations (gross, visceral, or skeletal) were found in Sprague-Dawley rats exposed to doses up to 15 mg/kg-day on GDs 6–20 or in CD-1 mice exposed to doses up to 45 mg/kg-day on GDs 6–17 (Field et al., 1990) (Table 4-32). These doses produced decreased maternal weight gains. No signs of hindlimb foot splay or other gross signs of peripheral or central neuropathy were noted in suckling offspring of female Wistar rats that were given gavage doses of 25 mg/kg-day during the postnatal lactation period (Friedman et al., 1999a).

Subchronic or chronic exposure to AA doses in the 2–8.8 mg/kg-day range resulted in small body weight deficits (4–9% decreased compared with controls) in F344 rats (Tyl et al., 2000a; Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986), CD-1 mice (Chapin et al., 1995), and Long-Evans rats (Zenick et al., 1986). More pronounced decreases in body weight were seen at higher doses, but these also produced overt neurotoxicity (e.g., Burek et al., 1980).

4.7.2. Inhalation

Numerous reports have associated human exposure to AA with neurological impairment (Igisu and Matsuoka, 2002; Gjerløff et al., 2001; Hagmar et al., 2001; Mulloy, 1996; Calleman et al., 1994; Bachmann et al., 1992; Myers and Macun, 1991; Dumitru, 1989; He et al., 1989; Donovan and Pearson, 1987; Kesson et al., 1977; Mapp et al., 1977; Davenport et al., 1976; Igisu et al., 1975; Takahashi et al., 1971; Fullerton, 1969; Auld and Bedwell, 1967; Garland and Patterson, 1967). Most reports involved occupational exposure with potential for both inhalation and dermal exposure. Although exposure concentrations of AA were measured in some instances, studies describing reliable relationships between exposure concentrations and neurological responses in humans are not available. However, cross-sectional health surveillance studies of AA-exposed workers describe correlative relationships between hemoglobin adduct levels of AA (an internal measure of cumulative dose) and changes in a neurotoxicity index based on self-reported symptoms and clinical measures of neurological impairment (Calleman et al., 1994) or increased incidences of self-reported symptoms alone (Hagmar et al., 2001). These studies, however, do not provide reliable information on dose-response relationships for chronic inhalation exposure to AA because (1) they involved mixed

inhalation and dermal exposure (in both groups of workers dermal exposure was thought to have been substantial); (2) the duration of exposure was less than chronic; (3) both groups of workers were exposed to confounding chemicals (acrylonitrile in the first and NMA in the second study); and (4) the internal measure of dose (N-terminal valine adducts of hemoglobin) is not specific for AA alone (e.g., NMA can form the same adduct).

Data on AA-induced toxicity in animals exposed by inhalation are limited to a single report of progressive signs of neuropathy and death in rats and dogs following acute-duration repeated exposure to aerosols of AA dust at a concentration of 15.6 mg/m³ (Hazleton Laboratories, 1953).

4.7.3. Mode-of-Action Information

4.7.3.1. Neurotoxic Effects

Since experimental AA neuropathy was first reported (Hazleton Laboratories, 1953), AA has been extensively studied in efforts to understand its toxicological properties and MOA for the functional deficits observed in animal studies, including alterations in gait, hindfoot splay, impaired mobility and righting reflex, and decreased grip strength (Moser et al., 1992; Dixit et al., 1981; Tilson and Cabe, 1979; Fullerton and Barnes, 1966; McCollister et al., 1964). Similar muscle weakness and functional impairments have been observed in humans exposed to AA (Hagmar et al., 2001; Calleman et al., 1994; He et al., 1989).

Early animal research associated AA functional neurotoxicity with central and peripheral distal axonopathy and more specifically with histopathologic findings of neurofilamentous accumulations in distal paranodal regions of large peripheral nerve fibers that appeared to cause local axon swelling and subsequent degeneration of myelin (Spencer and Schaumberg, 1977, 1974). Axon degeneration was observed to progress proximally toward the cell body region, a process known as "dying back." Based on these findings, neurofilaments were thought to be a target for AA toxicity. Other potential pathways for AA-induced axonopathy included interference with nerve cell body metabolism and delivery of nutrients to the axon (Spencer et al., 1979; Cavanagh, 1964), interruption of axonal protein transport (Pleasure et al., 1969), disruption of axon cytoskeleton (Lapadula et al., 1989), diminished axolemma Na⁺,K⁺-ATPase activity (LoPachin and Lehning, 1994), and reduction of fast anterograde axonal transport capacity (Harris et al., 1994; Padilla et al., 1993; Harry, 1992; Sickles, 1991).

Recent investigations serve as basis for two hypothetical MOAs for AA neurotoxicity, disruption of nitric oxide signaling at the nerve terminal and fast axonal transport disruption. A third MOA hypothesis, which has received less research support, proposes that AA effects on nerves may involve enhanced lipid peroxidation and decreased antioxidant status (decreased GSH levels and anti-reactive oxygen species enzyme activity).

Disruption of nitric oxide (NO) signaling at the nerve terminal hypothesis

The hypothesis that AA-induced neurotoxicity occurs at the nerve terminals as a primary site of action possibly due to disruption of neuronal NO signaling has been supported by the work of LoPachin and colleagues (LoPachin et al., 2008; LoPachin and Barber, 2006). AA is a conjugated α , β -unsaturated carbonyl derivative in the type-2 alkene chemical class. Because electrons in pi orbitals of a conjugated system are mobile, the α,β -unsaturated carbonyl structure of AA is characterized as a soft electrophile according to the hard-soft, acid-base principle (reviewed in Pearson, 1967). Characteristically, soft electrophiles will preferentially form Michael-type adducts with soft nucleophiles, which in biological systems are primarily sulfhydryl groups on cysteine residues (LoPachin and DeCaprio, 2005; Hinson and Roberts, 1992). Free sulfhydryl groups can exist in the reduced thiol-state or in the anionic thiolate-state, and recent research indicates that the highly nucleophilic thiolate is the preferential adduct target for AA (LoPachin et al., 2007a; see also Friedman et al., 1995). Based on the pKa of cysteine (pH 8.5), at physiological pH (7.4), the thiolate state exists only in unique protein motifs called catalytic triads, where proton shuttling through an acid-base pairing of proximal amino acids (e.g., aspartic acid and lysine) regulates the protonation and deprotonation of the cysteine sulfhydryl group. Indeed, both mass spectrometric and kinetic data have demonstrated the selective adduction of cysteine residues on many neuronal proteins (Barber et al., 2007; Barber and LoPachin, 2004). Furthermore, it is now recognized that the redox state or nucleophilicity of cysteine sulfhydryl groups within catalytic triads can determine the functionality of these proteins (reviewed in LoPachin and Barber, 2006; Stamler et al., 2001). In contrast to AA, the epoxide metabolite GA, is a hard electrophile that preferentially forms adducts with hard nucleophiles such as nitrogen, carbon, and oxygen. Nucleotide residues of DNA contain abundant hard nucleophilic targets, which is consistent with the formation of GA adducts on adenine and guanine bases in AA-intoxicated animals (Doerge et al., 2008, 2005; Ghanayem et al., 2005a, b).

Based on the observation that the processes affected (e.g., neurotransmitter release and storage) and corresponding kinetics (Km, Vmax) were similar in synaptosomes exposed in vitro to AA and those isolated from AA-intoxicated rats (LoPachin et al., 2006, 2004; Barber and LoPachin, 2004), LoPachin and colleagues have reasoned that the parent compound, AA, is responsible for neurotoxicity. Moreover, cysteine thiolate groups have clear regulatory functions in many critical neuronal processes (LoPachin and Barber, 2006), whereas protein valine, lysine, and histidine residues, which are the likely hard nucleophilic targets for a hard electrophile such as GA, have unclear functional and therefore toxicological relevance. Quantitative morphometric and silver stain analyses of PNS and CNS of AA-intoxicated animals support the hypothesis that axon degeneration is an epiphenomenon related to dose-rate; i.e., degeneration occurs at lower but not higher dose-rates. In contrast, some studies indicate that nerve terminal

degeneration occurs regardless of dose-rate and in correspondence with the onset and development of neurological deficits (Lehning et al., 2003a, b, 2002; 1998; Crofton et al., 1996; reviewed in LoPachin et al., 2003, 2002a; LoPachin and Lehning 1994), suggesting the nerve terminals as a primary site of action. Subsequent neurochemical studies showed that both in vitro and in vivo AA exposure produced early disruptions of neurotransmitter release, reuptake, and vesicular storage (LoPachin et al., 2007b, 2006, 2004; Barber and LoPachin, 2004). Further, proteomic analyses indicated that the inhibition of presynaptic function was due to the formation of cysteine adducts on proteins that regulate neurotransmitter handling; e.g., Cys 264 of *N*-ethylmaleimide sensitive factor, Cys 254 of v-ATPase (see LoPachin et al., 2009, 2007a, b; Barber et al., 2007; Barber and LoPachin, 2004; Feng and Forgac, 1992; reviewed in LoPachin and Barber, 2006). The anionic sulfhydryl state, which is only found in the catalytic triads of regulatory proteins, is an acceptor for NO and, therefore, has led to the hypothesis that AA-induced neurotoxicity results from disruption of neuronal NO signaling (LoPachin et al., 2008; LoPachin and Barber, 2006).

Fast axonal transport disruption hypothesis

Sickles et al. (2002a) provide support for AA neurotoxicity resulting from inhibition of the movement of materials in fast axonal transport from both AA and GA. According to this "kinesin/axonal transport" hypothesis, toxicant inhibition of kinesin leads to reductions in the axonal delivery of macromolecules eventually producing a deficiency of the essential proteins required to maintain axon structure, function, or both. Distal axons and nerve terminals are particularly vulnerable to transport defects based upon an exceptionally large axonal volume (as much as 1,000 times the volume of the neuron cell body) and the dependence of these distal regions on long distance transport (100-fold longer length than diameter of the cell body). This regional sensitivity is consistent with the previously identified distal spatial distribution of toxicant-induced damage (Cavanagh, 1964).

Microtubule motility assays using purified kinesin from bovine brain identified a dosedependent inhibition of kinesin as well as a less sensitive effect on microtubules (Sickles et al., 1996). Preincubation of either kinesin or taxol-stabilized microtubules with AA produced a reduction in the affinity between kinesin and microtubules, recognized as a reduced number of microtubules bound or locomoting on an absorbed bed of kinesin. Microtubules that were locomoting did so in a less directed or staggering type of progression. The inhibitions were proposed to be due to covalent adduction, presumably through sulfhydryl alkylation by AA, although adduction of other amino acid residues such as valine was possible. The nonneurotoxic analog, propionamide, had no effect. Other investigators have identified kinesin inhibition by sulfhydryl reagents such as N-ethylmaleimide and ethacrynic acid (Walker et al., 1997). As with AA, inhibition by these sulfhydryl reagents produced the characteristic staggering movement of microtubules. The reaction was slow and temperature-dependent, suggesting a sterically hindered cysteine residue as an important adduct target. Additional studies have demonstrated a comparable effect of GA on kinesin (Sickles, unpublished data). The predicted outcome of such an effect would be reduced quantity of flow, precisely the outcome from several experiments where rate of transport versus quantity could be discriminated (Stone et al., 1999; Sickles, 1989a, b).

Fast axonal transport has been studied in a variety of model systems using diverse techniques. A comprehensive survey of AA effects on fast anterograde and retrograde axonal transport (Sickles et al. 2002a) revealed that all studies measuring fast transport within 24 hours of AA exposure demonstrated significant reductions, whereas longer postexposure delay was not associated with changes in transport. Furthermore, a reduction in transport quantity (but not rate) has been reported within 20 minutes of exposure. The duration of this effect was 16 hours, with full recovery at 24 hours (Sickles, 1991). Quantitation of transport after multiple dosings (i.e., 4, 7, or 10 doses) had a similar effect on transport in the proximal sciatic nerve (Sickles, 1991). The changes in transport were not due to an effect on protein synthesis and exposure of only the axons confirmed that the target was axonal (Sickles, 1992, 1989a, b). Collectively, these results suggest action on a target that is replaced via the fast transport system, which is consistent with kinesin as that target. The actions of AA on fast axonal transport were independent of effects on axonal neurofilaments, as similar reductions were observed in wildtype and transgenic mice lacking axonal neurofilaments (Stone et al., 2000, 1999). The same results were observed using radiolabeling of proteins in mouse optic nerves and differential interference microscopy of isolated sciatic nerve axons. Other recent studies have identified a parallel inhibition of retrograde axonal transport by AA (Sabri and Spencer, 1990), although it is unclear whether this effect is due to inhibition of cytoplasmic dynein, the retrograde axonal transport motor, or whether this is a result of indirect effects of kinesin motor inhibition (Brady et al., 1990).

The predicted outcome from axonal transport compromise is a reduction in vital macromolecules in the distal axons and an accumulation of transported material within the axon. Morphological studies have consistently identified accumulations of tubulovesicular profiles and neurofilaments in axons of AA-intoxicated animals (Spencer and Schaumburg, 1991), which are morphological elements transported via kinesin along microtubules. Other studies have identified reduced synaptic vesicles in neuromuscular junctions (DeGrandchamp and Lowndes, 1990; DeGrandchamp et al., 1990). A reduction in GAP-43 in the terminal neurites of cultured primary spinal cord neurons following AA exposure has been observed (Clarke and Sickles, 1996). Future studies are required to quantitate reductions in specific axonal compartments using a variety of neurotoxic and non-neurotoxic dosing regimens in vivo to confirm the loss of physiologically or structurally important macromolecules.

Additional supportive data for the axonal transport hypothesis come from several studies of kinesin knockouts as well as similarity to human diseases. While most knockouts are lethal, low level mutations of kinesin motors in Drosophila have identified an identical spatial pattern of dysfunction and morphological similarity in axonal pathology (Hurd and Saxton, 1996; Gho et al., 1992) as with AA intoxication. The group of neurological disorders classified as hereditary spastic paraplegias has a spatial pattern of ataxia, spasticity, and muscle weakness as observed with AA intoxication. Some of these types have been associated with mutations in kinesin motors (Reid et al., 2002), while others are the result of either axonal or glial protein mutations. However, the common theme is alteration in axonal transport (Gould and Brady, 2004; Reid, 2003).

Reactive oxygen species hypothesis

Zhu et al. (2008) provide some data supporting a third MOA for AA induced neurotoxicity involving enhancement of lipid peroxidation and decreased antioxidative capacity, as well as depletion of neural glutathione levels and antioxidant enzyme activities, resulting in the key sequence of events of increased levels of reactive oxygen species, damage to cellular macromolecules, and subsequent degeneration of neural tissues. In this study, adult male Wistar rats were given AA (40 mg/kg i.p., 3 times/week) for 2, 4, 6, and 10 weeks. Time-dependent changes in levels of malondialdehyde (an indicator of lipid peroxidation) and reduced glutathione and enzyme activity levels of glutathione peroxidase, glutathione reductase, superoxide dismutase, and anti-reactive oxygen species were examined along with several electrophysiological indices (nerve conduction velocity and compound action potential duration, amplitude, and latency). Time-dependent decreased glutathione levels and anti-reactive oxygen species activities and increased malondialdehyde levels in sciatic nerve preparations were highly correlated (p < 0.05, $|\mathbf{r}| > 0.80$) with changes in electrophysiological indices of AA-induced neurotoxicity.

Summary and data needs

The respective adduct chemistries of AA and GA are well understood and could have fundamental implications for neurotoxicity regardless of the proposed mechanism; i.e., kinesin inhibition (Sickles et al., 2002a) or blockade of NO signaling (LoPachin et al., 2009, 2008; LoPachin and Barber, 2006). Accordingly, an obvious data gap in the current mechanistic understanding of AA neurotoxicity is the relative roles of the parent compound and GA. Thus, although early research suggested that GA produced neurotoxicity both in whole animal (Abou-Donia et al., 1993) and in vitro (Harris et al., 1994) model systems, other studies using similar models failed to find neurotoxic effects associated with the GA metabolite (Costa et al., 1995, 1992; Brat and Brimijoin, 1993; Moser et al., 1992). Clearly, resolving the relative roles of AA

vs. GA is an important issue that will require more research. Although the adduct chemistry of these toxicants has been reasonably defined, the precise molecular mechanisms and sites of neurotoxicity have not yet been clearly resolved.

4.7.3.2. Reproductive Effects

The MOA for AA-induced reproductive toxicity is poorly understood. Positive results of germ cell mutagenicity assays and reproductive toxicity tests indicate that some aspects of reproductive toxicity may be mediated by mutagenic effects on male germ cells (see Sections 4.3.1, 4.3.3, and 4.4.3) (Costa et al., 1992). Mechanistic proposals have also been made for a common MOA for neurotoxic and male fertility effects (e.g., effects on mounting, sperm motility, and intromission) involving modifications of kinesin and sulfhydryl groups of other proteins by AA and/or GA and a separate mechanism for male dominant lethal mutations involving clastogenic effects from AA and/or GA interactions with protamine or spindle fiber proteins in spermatids and/or direct alkylation of DNA by GA (Perrault, 2003; Tyl and Friedman, 2003; Adler et al., 2000; Tyl et al., 2000b; Sega et al., 1989).

Sega et al. (1989) proposed AA alkylation of protamine in late-stage spermatids as a mechanism for AA-induced dominant lethal effects based on a parallel time course for protamine alkylation and dominant lethal effects in spermatids of mice treated with AA. This observation was repeated by Adler et al (2000), who further proposed that the GA metabolite is the ultimate clastogen in mouse spermatids based on the results of enzyme inhibition studies. Zenick et al. (1994) summarized the MOA as follows:

Protamines are highly basic (arginine and lysine rich) proteins that also contain numerous cysteine residues. During epididymal transit and spermatozoal maturation, the cysteine sulfhydryls are oxidized to form both inter- and intramolecular disulfide bonds. These confer even greater stability on sperm nuclei such that they become resistant to disruption by any means, including anionic detergent treatment, unless a disulfide-reducing agent is applied. This remarkably stable structure packages sperm DNA such that it remains transcriptionally inert and protected from damage during transit through both the epididymis and the female tract. Only after the sperm have entered the oocyte are the disulfide bonds in its chromatin reduced, thus initiating the rapid decondensation of the sperm nucleus with replacement of protamines by somatic histones, and subsequent reactivation of the male genome. Chemicals that disrupt sperm chromatin packaging by altering the synthesis of disposition of testisspecific transitional proteins (which first replace somatic histones prior to themselves being replaced with protamine) or protamines, or by binding to free sulfhydryls and thus preventing protamine cross-linking, may contribute to genetic damage, perhaps by an indirect mechanism or by making the chromatin more vulnerable other DNA-binding chemicals.

The hypothesis that AA-induced germ cell and somatic mutations in male mice require CYP2E1-mediated epoxidation of AA to GA received strong support from studies by Ghanayem et al. (2005a, b) where dose-responses for germ-cell and somatic mutagenicity were compared between male CYP2E1-null and wild-type mice treated with AA. In both studies, effects were not observed in the CYP2E1-null mice, while treated wild-type male mice responded with doserelated increases in resorption moles (i.e., chromosomally aberrant embryos), decreases in the numbers of pregnant females and the proportion of living fetuses, and somatic cell mutations. These results support further evaluation of CYP2E1 polymorphisms in human populations as a possible determinant of variability in, and susceptibility to, AA genotoxicity in the human population.

Support for the occurrence of DNA alkylation in the MOA leading to dominant lethals includes the detection of DNA adducts of GA in various tissues from mice and rats following single i.p. injections of 50 mg/kg AA (Segerbäck et al., 1995). The mechanistic proposals presented by Tyl and Friedman (2003) appear to be consistent with other proposals that the primary direct biological reactivity of AA involves binding to proteins (in vitro direct binding of AA to DNA is very slow), AA is converted to GA in rats and humans, and GA can react both with proteins and with DNA (Dearfield et al., 1995).

4.8. EVALUATION OF CARCINOGENICITY

4.8.1. Summary of Overall Weight of Evidence

In accordance with the Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment (U.S. EPA, 2005a), AA is characterized as "likely to be carcinogenic to humans." This characterization is based on the following findings: (1) chronic oral exposure of F344 rats to AA in drinking water induced statistically significant increased incidences of thyroid follicular cell tumors (adenomas and carcinomas combined in both sexes), scrotal sac mesotheliomas (males), and mammary gland fibroadenomas (females) in two bioassays; (2) oral, i.p., or dermal exposure to AA initiated skin tumors that were promoted by TPA in SENCAR and Swiss-ICR mice; and (3) i.p. injections of AA induced lung adenomas in strain A/J mice. In addition, CNS tumors were observed in both of the chronic F344 rat bioassays. The elevation of the incidence for CNS tumors was significant in the one bioassay and of uncertain statistical significance in the other. There are no animal data on the carcinogenicity following chronic inhalation exposure to AA. EPA's Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment (2005) indicate that for tumors occurring at a site other than the initial point of contact, the weight of evidence for carcinogenic potential may apply to all routes of exposure that have not been adequately tested at sufficient doses. In the case of AA, there is evidence of rapid, nearly complete absorption from the oral route and rapid distribution throughout the body (Kadry et al., 1999; Miller et al., 1982) and evidence that the elimination kinetics of radioactivity from oral or i.v. administration of radiolabeled AA in rats is similar (Miller et al., 1982). In addition, there is similar flux of AA through metabolic pathways following either single dose oral or single 6 hr inhalation exposures in rats (Sumner et al., 2003)

and while there are some route-to-route differences in the relative amounts of AA to GA, the differences are within two fold of each other. For these reasons, acrylamide is considered likely to be carcinogenic to humans by all routes of exposure.

The potential for AA carcinogenicity from dietary exposure has been assessed in a number of case-control studies (Wilson et al., 2009a; Pelucchi et al., 2007, 2006; Michels et al., 2006; Mucci et al., 2005, 2004, 2003) and several prospective studies (Larsson et al., 2009a, b, c, d; Wilson et al., 2009b; Hogervorst et al., 2008a, b, 2007; Mucci et al., 2006). Two cohort mortality studies (Collins et al., 1989; Sobel et al., 1986) with follow-up analyses (Marsh et al., 2007, 1999; Swaen et al., 2007) have assessed associations with inhalation and dermal exposure to AA in the workplace. In addition, two case-control studies examined relationships between AA-Hb adducts and risks for breast cancer (Olesen et al., 2008) and prostate cancer (Wilson et al., 2009a). These studies are judged as providing limited or no evidence of carcinogenicity in humans.

In most of the case-control studies and prospective studies, no statistically significant associations were found between frequent consumption of foods with high or moderate levels of AA and cancer incidence for large bowel, bladder, kidney, renal cell, breast, colorectal, oral, pharyngeal, esophageal, laryngeal, ovarian, or prostate cancer. Some of the sites observed in the animal studies (thyroid, testicular, CNS) have not been evaluated, and there are limitations in some of the study methods and cohort sizes. One case-control study reported a slightly increased risk of breast cancer later in life associated with the consumption of French fries during preschool (Michels et al., 2006). Olesen et al. (2008) reported a significant positive association between AA-Hb adduct levels in red blood cells and ER+ breast in a case-control study of Danish women (374 cases and 374 controls) only after adjusting for smoking; no significant association was found between AA-Hb or GA-Hb adduct levels and total breast cancer either with or without adjustment for smoking. Increased risks of postmenopausal endometrial, ovarian, and renal cell cancer with increasing dietary AA intake were reported in prospective studies of a Dutch population (Hogervorst et al., 2008a, 2007). Each of these studies were limited by uncertainties in exposure assessments.

No statistically significant increased risks for cancer-related deaths were found in the cohort mortality studies of AA workers with the exception that, in an exploratory dose-response analysis of one follow-up assessment, an increased risk for pancreatic cancer was reported in a subgroup with the highest cumulative AA exposure (Marsh et al., 1999). However, no increased risk for pancreatic cancer was observed in the most recent follow-up analysis of this cohort (Marsh et al., 2007).

The majority of the data support a mutagenic MOA for AA carcinogenicity. AA has been reported to induce gene mutations and chromosomal aberrations in somatic and germ cells of rodents in vivo and cultured cells in vitro, to transform cells of mouse cell lines, and to form adducts with protamines in germ cells. The mutagenic potential of GA is well- characterized in studies of the induction of gene mutations in bacteria, unscheduled DNA synthesis in a variety of test systems, and formation of DNA adducts. DNA adducts of GA have been observed in studies of in vivo AA exposure of rodents and in vitro AA exposure of human cells. Demonstration of AA tumor-initiation activity by several routes of exposure in mice provides additional support for a mutagenic MOA. Furthermore, the multiple-site characteristic of AA carcinogenicity in rats is consistent with other carcinogenic agents that are thought to act through mutagenic MOAs involving DNA alkylation. An alternative MOA of disruption of hormone levels or activity has been proposed for some of the tumors observed in animal studies, but the data supporting such a MOA are limited or lacking.

4.8.2. Synthesis of Human, Animal, and Other Supporting Evidence

Cohort mortality studies of AA workers at several locations in the United States and the Netherlands (Marsh et al., 2007, 1999; Collins et al., 1989) and a location in Michigan (Swaen et al., 2007; Sobel et al., 1986) have not found statistically significant increased risks for cancerrelated deaths compared with national cancer mortality rates in whole-cohort analyses.

Numerous case-control studies (Wilson et al., 2009a; Pelucchi et al., 2007, 2006; Michels et al., 2006; Mucci et al., 2005, 2004, 2003) and prospective studies (Larsson et al., 2009a, b, c, 2008; Wilson et al., 2009b; Hogervorst et al., 2008b; Mucci et al., 2006) have found no statistically significant associations between increased levels of AA in the diet and increased risk for a variety of cancer types, including large bowel, bladder, kidney, renal cell, breast, colorectal, oral, pharyngeal, esophageal, laryngeal, ovarian, or prostate cancers. These studies predominantly evaluated Swedish, Danish, Dutch, or Italian populations; available assessment of a U.S. population is restricted to the prospective study of Wilson et al. (2009b). Some of the tumor sites observed in animal studies (thyroid, testis, CNS) have also not been evaluated, and there are limitations in some of the study methods and cohort sizes. One case-control study reported a slightly increased risk of breast cancer later in life associated with the consumption of French fries during preschool (Michels et al., 2006), but there is considerable uncertainty in the accuracy of the results from a recall questionnaire administered to mothers for diets in their preschool children from an estimated 40-60 years earlier and no information on the AA content of the foods in the diet. Increased risks of postmenopausal endometrial and ovarian cancer (Hogervorst et al., 2007) and renal cell cancer (Hogervorst et al., 2008a) with increasing dietary AA intake were reported in prospective studies of a Dutch population, but estimations of dietary AA levels in foods on the market at baseline in 1986 were based on food samples analyzed since 2001 and questionnaires did not include details regarding specifics of food preparation. Olesen et al. (2008) reported a significant positive association between AA-Hb adduct levels in red blood cells and ER+ breast after adjusting for smoking, but this study is limited by the relatively

small number of subjects (374 cases and 374 controls) and uncertainty regarding extrapolation of AA exposure as assessed by a few months of AA-Hb adduct measurements to a lifetime of exposure.

In an exploratory exposure-response analysis in which U.S. workers in one of the cohorts were grouped into exposure categories, an increased risk for pancreatic cancer was calculated for the group with the highest cumulative AA exposure category ($\geq 0.30 \text{ mg/m}^3$ -years: SMR 2.26, 95% CI 1.03–4.29, based on nine pancreatic cancer deaths) (Marsh et al., 1999). The risk for pancreatic cancer in the four cumulative exposure categories did not increase monotonically from the lowest to highest category. A monotonic increase in SMR with another measure of exposure, duration of employment, was observed, but the SMRs for pancreatic cancer were not statistically significantly elevated in any of the four duration categories. Furthermore, no increased risk for pancreatic cancer was observed in the most recent follow-up analysis of this cohort (Marsh et al., 2007).

Limitations in the epidemiology studies include small cohort size and limited follow-up period (Swaen et al., 2007; Sobel et al., 1986); large proportion of short-term workers in the cohort, low exposures, incomplete smoking habit information, and incomplete follow-up period (Marsh et al., 2007, 1999; Collins et al., 1989); and relatively low dietary exposures, a relatively short time frame for exposure information (5 years of recalled dietary habits), poor characterization of AA levels in the food items, variability in levels among different brands, and few food items in the diet known to have high levels of AA. Although a variety of cancer sites in humans were evaluated in the case-control and prospective epidemiology studies that reported no increased risk from dietary exposures (large bowel, kidney, renal cell, bladder, breast, ovary, prostate, oral/pharyngeal), some of the sites observed in the animal studies have not yet been evaluated (thyroid, testicular, CNS). The single case-control study (Michels et al., 2006) and two prospective studies (Hogervorst et al., 2008a, 2007) that showed positive associations from estimated dietary consumption of AA had questionable data on diet composition and AA content in the diet.

Cancer studies in test animals include two 2-year drinking water administration studies in F344 rats (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986), skin tumor initiation assays involving oral, i.p., or dermal initiating applications of AA and dermal promotion by TPA in SENCAR and Swiss-ICR mice (Bull et al., 1984a, b), and a lung adenoma i.p. administration assay in strain A/J mice (Bull et al., 1984a). The results from the two chronic oral exposure studies in rats are presented in Table 4-34.

	Dose (mg/kg-day)							
Reference/tumor type	0	0	0.01	0.1	0.5	1.0	2.0	3.0
Johnson et al., 1986; males								
Follicular cell adenoma	1/60	_	0/58	2/59	1/59	-	7/59 ^e	-
Tunica vaginalis mesothelioma	3/60	_	0/60	7/60	11/60 ^e	-	10/60 ^e	-
Adrenal pheochromocytoma	3/60	-	7/59	7/60	5/60	_	10/60 ^e	_
Johnson et al., 1986; females								
Follicular cell adenoma/carcinoma	1/58	_	0/59	1/59	1/58	-	5/60 ^f	-
Mammary adenocarcinoma	2/60	_	1/60	1/60	2/58	-	6/61	-
Mammary benign	10/60	_	11/60	9/60	19/58	-	23/61 ^e	-
Mammary benign + malignant ^a	12/60	-	12/60	10/60	21/58	-	29/61 ^e	-
CNS tumors of glial origin	1/60	_	2/59	1/60	1/60	-	9/61 ^e	-
Oral cavity malignant+benign	0/60	-	3/60	2/60	3/60	-	8/60 ^e	-
Uterus adenocarcinoma	1/60	_	2/60	1/60	0/59	-	5/60 ^f	-
Clitoral adenoma, benign	0/2	_	1/3	3/4	2/4	-	5/5 ^f	-
Pituitary gland adenoma	25/59	-	30/60	32/60	27/60	-	32/60 ^f	-
Friedman et al., 1995; males ^b								
Follicular cell adenoma/carcinoma	3/100	2/102 ^d	-	12/203	5/101	-	17/75 ^e	-
Tunica vaginalis mesothelioma ^c	4/102	4/102	-	9/204	8/102	-	13/75 ^e	-
Friedman et al., 1995; females ^b								
Follicular cell adenoma/carcinoma	1/50	1/50	—	-	—	10/100	-	23/100 ^e
Mammary benign + malignant	7/46	4/50	_	-	_	21/94 ^e	-	30/95 ^e

Table 4-34. Incidence of tumors with statistically significant increases in 2-year bioassays with F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water

^aIncidences of benign and adenocarcinoma were added herein, based on an assumption that rats assessed with adenocarcinoma were not also assessed with benign mammary gland tumors.

^bTwo control groups were included in the study design to assess variability in background tumor responses. ^cIncidences reported herein are those originally reported by Friedman et al. (1995) and not those reported in the reevaluation study by Iatropoulos et al. (1998).

^dThe data reported in Table 4 in Friedman et al. (1995) lists one follicular cell adenoma in the second control group; however, the raw data obtained in the Tegeris Laboratories (1989) report (and used in the time-to-tumor analysis) listed no follicular cell adenomas in this group. The corrected number for adenomas (0) and the total number (2) of combined adenomas and carcinomas in the second control group are used in the tables of this assessment. ^eStatistically significantly (p < 0.05) different from control, Fisher's Exact test.

^fStatistically significantly (p < 0.05) different from control, after Mantel-Haenszel mortality adjustment.

Sources: Friedman et al. (1995); Johnson et al. (1986).

Tumor types that were consistently observed to increase in both chronic rat drinking water bioassays included statistically significant increases in thyroid follicular cell adenomas or carcinomas in male and female rats, tunica vaginalis testis (i.e., scrotal sac) mesotheliomas in male rats, and mammary gland tumors (adenomas, fibroadenomas or fibromas) in female rats at dose levels of 0.5 to 3 mg/kg-day but not at dose levels of 0.1 or 0.01 mg/kg-day (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986). Data from both studies are sufficient to describe relationships between administered dose levels and cancer responses. The Friedman et al. (1995) bioassay included 204 male rats in the 0.1 mg/kg-day group to increase statistical power sufficient to

detect a 5% incidence of scrotal sac mesotheliomas over an expected background incidence of this tumor in F344 rats of about 1%.

Findings of statistically significant increased incidences of adrenal pheochromocytomas in male rats, oral cavity tumors in female rats, CNS tumors of glial origin, and clitoral or uterine tumors in female rats in the earlier bioassay (Johnson et al., 1986) were not replicated in the second bioassay (Friedman et al., 1995). With respect to the CNS tumors, Friedman et al. (1995) reported no significant increase in glial tumors of brain and spinal cord, however, not all of the animal brains or spinal cords in the treatment groups were examined (Rice, 2005), and seven cases of a morphologically distinctive category of primary brain tumor described as "malignant reticulosis" were reported but excluded from the authors' analysis (see Tables 4-13 and 4-14). The Friedman et al. (1995) study therefore provides some support for AA induced CNS tumors, even though the incomplete brain and spinal cord tumor data set from this study precludes a quantitative analysis of CNS tumor incidence in the characterization of the dose-response analysis. The data for the female uterine adenocarcinomas and pituitary gland adenomas observed in Johnson et al. (1986) were not as strong as for the other tumor types because the statistical significance of the elevated incidences in the high-dose group was only demonstrated after Mantel-Haenszel mortality adjustment, there was no clear evidence for a trend for increasing risk with increasing exposure level, and in the case of the pituitary gland adenomas, there were very high control group levels (42% incidence) as well as incidence levels in all of the dose groups, suggestive of a causal agent(s) other than acrylamide. The increased incidence of clitoral adenomas is also less pursuasive because it is based on differences in a very small number of animals (n = ≤ 5).

Results from the mouse skin tumor initiation assays add considerable weight to the evidence for AA carcinogenicity in animals. Oral administration of AA, 6 times over a 2-week period, followed by dermal application of the tumor promoter, TPA, for 20 weeks, induced statistically significant increased incidences of histologically confirmed skin tumors (squamous cell papillomas and carcinomas) at 52 weeks in two mouse strains, SENCAR and Swiss-ICR (Bull et al., 1984a, b). Similar initiation treatments of the SENCAR strain involving i.p. injections or dermal applications of AA (followed by TPA promotion) induced statistically significant increased incidences of palpable skin masses during the course of the 52-week observation period but were not as effective as oral administration (Bull et al., 1984a). These findings provide evidence that AA can initiate tumor development in mice, a process that is thought to involve a mutagenic MOA. These findings are consistent with the positive findings for AA and GA genotoxicity in numerous tests.

Other evidence of the carcinogenicity of AA in mice is provided by the observations that statistically significant increased incidences of lung tumors were found in A/J mice 8 months after i.p. injection of AA 3 times/week for 8 weeks (Bull et al., 1984a) and in Swiss-ICR mice

52 weeks after starting a 2-week oral administration AA initiation protocol followed by dermal TPA application for 20 weeks (Bull et al., 1984b).

As discussed in Section 4.4.3 and tabulated in Appendix B, AA mutagenicity has been extensively studied. Although AA did not induce mutations in bacterial assays (with or without mammalian metabolic activation systems), results from certain other mutagenicity tests have been predominantly positive and provide supporting evidence for the human carcinogenic potential of AA. The positive results include demonstrations of chromosomal aberrations in in vitro exposed mammalian cells (Tsuda et al., 1993; Warr et al., 1990; Moore et al., 1987); in vitro cell transformation of Syrian hamster embryo cells (Park et al., 2002); chromosomal aberrations or micronuclei in bone marrow of mice given i.p. injections of 50–100 mg/kg (Čihák and Vontorková, 1990, 1988; Adler et al., 1988); formation of DNA adducts of GA following i.p. injection of 50 mg/kg of AA in mice and rats (Segerbäck et al., 1995); and dominant lethal mutations in mice given one to five i.p. injections of 40-125 mg/kg AA (Shelby et al., 1987), in rats exposed to 2.8 mg/kg-day in drinking water for 80 days (Smith et al., 1986), and in mice exposed to five consecutive dermal doses of 50–125 mg/kg AA (Gutierrez-Espeleta et al., 1992). In addition, in vitro exposure to AA induced micronuclei and DNA damage in human hepatoma G2 cells (Jiang et al., 2007) and DNA adducts of GA in human bronchial epithelial cells (Besaratinia and Pfeifer, 2004).

In addition, the epoxide metabolite of AA, GA, has been shown to be mutagenic to *S. typhimurium* strains TA100 and TA1535 (Hashimoto and Tanii, 1985) and mouse lymphoma cells (Barfknecht et al., 1988) but not to *K. pneumoniae* (Voogd et al., 1981). GA induced unscheduled DNA synthesis in mouse spermatids in vivo (Sega et al., 1990), human epithelial cells in vitro (Butterworth et al., 1992), in one of two tests for unscheduled DNA synthesis in rat hepatocytes in vitro (Butterworth et al., 1992; Barfknecht et al., 1988), and in (C3H/RL × C57BL)F1 male mice given single i.p. injections of 150 mg/kg GA (Generoso et al., 1996). GA (125 mg/kg by i.p. injection) induced dominant lethal mutations in male JH mice mated with nonexposed female SB mice, without producing discernible effects on mating performance (Generoso et al., 1996). GA treatment (100 mg/kg by i.p. injection) of male (C3H × 101/RL)F1 mice mated with nonexposed females induced heritable translocations in male offspring (Generoso et al., 1996).

4.8.3. Mode of Action for Carcinogenicity

The MOA discussion considers all of the tumor types observed in the animal assays and the events that might lead to increased incidence in those tumors. The tumor types of interest include the following: (1) the consistently observed increase in thyroid follicular cell adenomas or carcinomas in male and female rats, tunica vaginalis testis (i.e., scrotal sac) mesotheliomas in male rats, and mammary gland tumors (adenomas, fibroadenomas or fibromas) in female rats following chronic oral exposure (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986); (2) the CNS tumors reported in the Johnson et al. (1986) study, supported by the brain tumor data in Friedman et al. (1995), although an incomplete analysis of all of the animals in the latter study precluded the inclusion of brain tumors in the quantitative dose-response analysis; (3) the initiated skin tumors following oral, i.p., or dermal exposure to AA in SENCAR and Swiss-ICR mice (Bull et al., 1984a, b); and (4) the lung adenomas following i.p. doses of AA in A/J mice (Bull et al., 1984a).

At present, the mechanistic sequence of events by which AA induces these tumor types is not completely defined. The majority of the data, however, support a mutagenic MOA for AA carcinogenicity. An alternative MOA has been proposed for some of the tumors observed in the animal bioassays (i.e., disruption of hormone levels or activity), but data supporting this MOA are limited or lacking.

4.8.3.1. Hypothesized Mode of Action—Mutagenicity

A number of study results support a mutagenic MOA for AA-induced carcinogenicity (including Besaratinia and Pfeifer, 2007; Besaratinia and Pfeifer, 2005; Schmid et al., 1999; Dearfield et al., 1995; Segerbäck et al., 1995; Moore et al., 1987). AA has been reported to induce genotoxicity (gene mutations and some types of chromosomal aberrations [i.e., translocations]) in somatic and germ cells of rodents in vivo and cultured cells in vitro, to transform cells of mouse cell lines, and to form DNA adducts in somatic cells. The mutagenic potential of GA is well-characterized in studies of the induction of gene mutations in mammalian cells, and in the formation of DNA adducts. The available data indicate that the major genotoxic effects of AA are clastogenic, which may involve covalent modifications of proteins by AA and GA, and that the mutagenic events that lead to tumors from exposure to AA are produced by GA via direct alkylation of DNA.

Specifically, evidence in support of a mutagenic MOA for carcinogenicity includes the following:

- AA is metabolized by CYP2E1 to the DNA-reactive epoxide, GA;
- AA and GA are genotoxic in the Big Blue mouse following oral exposures, significantly increasing lymphocyte Hprt and liver cII mutation frequencies (MFs). Molecular analysis of the mutants indicated that AA and GA produced similar mutation spectra that were significantly different from controls consistent with AA exerting its genotoxicity in BB mice via metabolism to GA. The predominant types of mutations in the liver cII gene from AA- and GA-treated mice were G:C ->T:A transversions and -1/+1 frameshifts in a homopolymeric run of Gs.

- DNA adducts of GA have been detected in mice and rats exposed to AA and GA in all relevant tissues in both males and females where tumors have been reported, including liver, brain, thyroid, leukocytes, mammary gland, and testis in rats; and liver, lung, kidney, leukocytes, and testis in mice.
- GA is mutagenic in short-term bacterial assays.
- GA is mutagenic in male and female mouse somatic cells following oral exposure and in male mouse germ cells (heritable translocations) following i.p. exposure.
- AA induces heritable translocations in male mouse germ cells following i.p. or dermal administration, and specific locus mutations in male germ cells following i.p. administration.
- Positive mouse lymphoma assay results (with the caveat that it is not definitively known whether these somatic cell mutations resulted from AA-induced chromosomal alterations [chromatid and chromosome breaks and rearrangements] or GA-DNA adducts).
- Dominant lethal mutations have been demonstrated in rodents following subchronic oral exposure at AA dose levels in the 2.8–13.3 mg/kg-day range, which is near the range of chronic dose levels associated with carcinogenic effects in rats (0.5–3 mg/kg-day).

Description and identification of key events

The proposed sequence of events for a mutagenic MOA for AA is as follows:

(1) AA is metabolized to the relatively long-lived epoxide, GA, in rats and humans, and GA reacts both with proteins and with DNA;

(2) GA binding to DNA results in mutations that persist in viable somatic cells; and

(3) GA's mutagenic activity leads to carcinogenicity and the formation of tumors observed in the animal bioassays.

It is not known whether alterations in protein function due to the formation of both parent compound- and reactive metabolite-protein adducts have an effect on cell replication or proliferation or both. The primary mutagenic activity of AA, however, is proposed to result from the direct binding of the GA metabolite to DNA. In vitro studies indicate that direct binding of AA to DNA is slow.

Strength, consistency, and specificity of the association between exposure to AA and mutagenic activity that could lead to the formation of tumors

There is ample evidence in the literature for the ability of AA and GA (administered via different routes of exposure) to induce a variety of genotoxic effects in mammalian cells (Besaratinia and Pfeifer, 2007; Rice, 2005; Doerge et al., 2005a; Ghanayem et al., 2005a; Gamboa et al., 2003; Generoso et al., 1996; Dearfield et al., 1995; Segerbäck et al., 1995; Adler et al., 1994; Ehling and Neuhäuser-Klaus, 1992; Russell et al., 1991; Knaap et al., 1988; Moore et al., 1987).

Some genotoxic endpoints and cell assays may be considered to be less relevant to carcinogenic potential than others. For example, genotoxicity results in germ cells are less relevant than toxicity in somatic cells where tumors are formed. Further, some effects on germ cells that appear to be transmitted via genetic alterations may be due to alternative causes. Dominant lethals in males, for example, may be due not only to genotoxic events in the sperm but alternatively to nongenetic interactions with proteins critical to the formation and function of the sperm. Other genotoxic phenomena, such as chromosome breaks, are not heritable. Also, alterations in chromosome numbers (aneuploidy) are usually due to protein effects and do not involve a mutagenic MOA. Epidemiology studies that evaluated the association between increased cytogenetic damage and enhanced cancer risk report no significant association between the sister chromatid exchange or micronuclei frequencies and subsequent cancer incidence or mortality (Hagmar et al., 1998; Bonassi et al., 2004). Other measures, such as unscheduled DNA synthesis may be attributable to either DNA damage or general cytotoxicity and, therefore, may not be directly attributable to mutagenicity.

The strongest direct evidence to supporting a mutagenic MOA for AA's carcinogenic effects consists of positive findings of stable mutations in viable somatic cells. Such evidence, and support that GA is the predominant mutagenic agent following exposure to AA, includes the following:

1) significant increases in somatic cell mutations following in vivo oral exposures of the Big Blue mouse to either AA and GA, and similar mutagenicity spectra between AA and GA (Manjanatha et al., 2006);

2) formation of GA-DNA adducts at similar specific locations within the cII gene in Big Blue mouse embryonic fibroblasts (that carry a lambda phage cII transgene) and the tumor suppressor p53 gene (TP53) in normal human bronchial epithelial cells following exposure to AA or GA in vitro (Besaratinia and Pfeifer, 2004);

3) detection of DNA adducts of GA in various mouse and rat tissues following single i.p. administration of AA or GA (Doerge et al., 2005a; Segerbäck et al., 1995);

4) demonstration that AA-induced germ and somatic cell mutations in male mice require CYP2E1-mediated epoxidation of AA (Ghanayem et al., 2005a, b);

5) positive results for GA in *S. typhimurium* strains TA100 and TA1535 (Hashimoto and Tanii, 1985);

6) detection of heritable translocations in mice following single i.p. injections of GA doses of 100–150 mg/kg (Generoso et al., 1996); and

7) positive results for gene mutation in mouse lymphoma cells in vitro at concentrations as low as 0.3 mg/mL (Barfknecht et al., 1988; Knaap et al., 1988; Moore et al., 1987).

The results of Manjanatha et al. (2006) studies on significantly increased in vivo mutation frequencies in the Big Blue (BB) mouse following oral exposure to AA and GA are consistent with AA's ability to induce heritable mutations in mammalian cells. Average daily AA exposure from drinking water at the low dose of 100 mg/L (4-week exposure) was 19 mg/kg-day for male and 25 mg/kg-day for female BB mice; the high dose of 500 mg/L (3 weeks only due to clinical signs of neurotoxicity) yielded average daily exposures of 98 mg/kg-day for males and 107 mg/kg-day for females. GA exposures were 25 and 35 mg/kg-day for males and females, respectively, administered the low dose of 120 mg/L (4 weeks), and 88 and 111 mg/kgday administered the high dose of 600 mg/L (4 weeks). Both doses of AA and GA produced significantly increased lymphocyte Hprt mutant frequencies, with the high doses producing responses that were 16–25-fold higher than those of the respective control. The high doses of AA and GA also produced significant 2–2.5-fold increases in liver cII MFs. Molecular analysis of the mutants indicated that AA and GA produced similar mutation spectra that were significantly different from controls consistent with AA exerting its genotoxicity in the BB mice via metabolism to GA. The predominant types of mutations in the liver cII gene from AA and GA-treated mice were G:C ->T:A transversions and -1/+1 frameshifts in a homopolymeric run of Gs.

AA and GA react with nucleophilic sites in macromolecules (including hemoglobin and DNA) in Michael-type additions (Segerbäck et al., 1995; Bergmark et al., 1993, 1991; Solomon et al., 1985). Solomon et al. (1985) conducted in vitro studies for the reaction of AA with calf thymus DNA and with various deoxynucleosides including 2'-deoxyadenosine (dAdo), 2'-deoxycytidine (dCyd), 2'-deoxyguanosine (dGua), and 2'-deoxythymidine (dThd), and demonstrated the formation of 2-formamidoethyl and 2-carboxyethyl adducts via Michael addition. AA reacted extremely weakly with both the nucleosides and calf thymus DNA, even under in vitro conditions, producing only small quantities of adducts only after incubations of 40 days even at high AA concentrations.

Segerbäck et al. (1995) reported much higher rates of DNA-adduct formation from AA-generated GA than from AA itself. In analyzing either calf thymus DNA incubated with S-9 fraction in vitro or liver DNA from mice treated in vivo with radiolabeled AA, approximately 90% of the radioactivity released during hydrolysis co-chromatographed with a standard synthesized from the reaction of GA and deoxyguanosine, N-7-(2-carbamoyl-2-hydroxyethyl) guanine. The amount of this adduct formed in vivo was measured in a number of organs from both rats and mice administered 46–53 mg AA/kg i.p., and was found to be in the range of 5–62 pmol/mg DNA. The amount of guanine adduct that would have been formed solely from AA at this dose was estimated to be much less, in the low fmol range, which would be negligible compared with the observed levels.

Besaratinia and Pfeifer (2004) treated normal human bronchial epithelial cells and Big Blue mouse embryonic fibroblasts (that carry a lambda phage cII transgene) in vitro with AA, its primary epoxide metabolite GA, or water (control) and then subjected the cells to terminal transferase-dependent polymerase chain reaction to map the formation of DNA adducts within the human gene encoding the tumor suppressor p53 gene (TP53) and the mouse embryonic fibroblast cII transgene. AA and GA formed DNA adducts at similar specific locations within TP53 and cII, and DNA adduct formation was more pronounced after GA treatment than after AA treatment at all doses tested. AA-DNA adduct formation was saturable, whereas the formation of most GA-DNA adducts was dose-dependent for all doses tested. GA formed more adducts than AA at any given dose, and the spectrum of GA-induced cII mutations was statistically significantly different from the spectrum of spontaneously occurring mutations in the control-treated cells (p = 0.038). Compared with spontaneous mutations in control cells, cells treated with GA or AA had more A-->G transitions and G-->C transversions and GA-treated cells had more G-->T transversions (p < 0.001). These results support the hypothesis that the mutagenicity of AA in human and mouse cells is based on the capacity of its epoxide metabolite GA to form DNA adducts.

Doerge et al. (2005a) confirmed that GA-derived DNA adducts of adenine and guanine were formed in all tissues examined from either AA or GA dosing, including target tissues identified in rodent carcinogenicity bioassays and nontarget tissues including liver and leukocytes in rats and liver, lung, kidney, leukocytes and testis in mice, indicating wide-spread occurrence. They measured DNA adducts following a single i.p. administration of either AA or GA to adult B6C3F₁ mice and F344 rats at 50 mg/kg AA or an equimolar dose of GA (61 mg/kg). Kinetics of DNA adduct formation and accumulation were also measured following oral administration of a single dose of AA (50 mg/kg) or from repeat dosing (1 mg/kg-day for up to 50 days). The formation of the DNA adducts was consistent with previously reported mutagenicity of AA and GA in vitro involving reactions of GA with adenine and guanine bases. Repeated dosing of rats and mice with AA administered in the drinking water resulted in production of steady state serum levels of GA, and in accumulation of N7-GA-guanine adducts in liver. Steady state levels of N7-GA-Gua were attained in approximately 14 days with a formation half-life of about 4 days in male and female mice, and in female rats. Male rats reached a maximum level at 14 days, but subsequently had an apparent slow decline in adduct level. The findings indicate that DNA damage from exposure to AA can accumulate to a level that is dependent on the frequency of consumption, the amount consumed, and depurination rate.

Ghanayem et al. (2005a) compared germ-cell mutagenicity in male CYP2E1-null and wild-type mice treated with AA, and provided the first unequivocal demonstration that AA-induced germ cell mutations in male mice required CYP2E1-mediated epoxidation of AA to GA. CYP2E1-null and wild-type male mice were treated by i.p. injection with 0, 12.5, 25, or

50 mg AA/kg bw in 5 mL saline/kg-day for 5 consecutive days. At defined times after exposure, males were mated to untreated B6C3F₁ females. Females were killed in late gestation, and uterine contents were examined. Dose-related increases in resorptions (chromosomally aberrant embryos), and decreases both in the numbers of pregnant females and the proportion of living fetuses were seen in females mated to AA-treated wild-type mice. No changes in any fertility parameters were seen in females mated to AA-treated CYP2E1-null mice. Of importance to the argument that GA is the putative mutagen in AA's mutagenic MOA, a further study by Ghanayem et al. (2005b) demonstrated the absence of AA-induced genotoxicity in somatic cells in CYP2E1-null mice compared with wild-type mice treated with AA.

Generoso et al. (1996) had previously evaluated AA's ability to induce dominant lethal mutations and heritable translocations in male mice spermatids, and demonstrated that GA produced responses that were consistent with the proposal that in vivo conversion to GA is responsible for the observed mutagenicity (e.g., heritable translocations) of AA in male mice. Positive results for gene mutation were also observed in mouse lymphoma cells in vitro with concentrations of AA as low as 0.3 mg/mL (Barfknecht et al., 1988; Knaap et al., 1988; Moore et al., 1987). Moore et al. (1987) evaluated activity of AA without exogenous activation in L5178Y/TK+/- -3.7.2C mouse lymphoma cells at the thymidine kinase locus, and noted AA induced almost exclusively small-colony mutants, indicating clastogenic activity, including chromatid and chromosome breaks and rearrangements. Thus, the positive results in these assays, although relevant for heritable mutations cannot be definitively attributable to GA related DNA mutations or AA related chromosomal alterations.

AA and 15 of its analogues have been tested for mutagenicity in five TA strains of *S. typhimurium* (Hashimoto and Tanii, 1985). AA and most of its analogues were not mutagenic, neither in the standard Ames assay either with or without Aroclor 1254-induced S9 liver fraction, nor in the plate incubation or liquid preincubation procedures. However, three of the epoxides including GA (the other two were N,N-diglycidyl AA and glycidyl methacrylamide) were mutagenic in one or two strains both with and without the S9 fraction.

Overall, the available in vivo mutagenicity data indicate that AA, via conversion to its active epoxide metabolite, GA, can form DNA adducts, point mutations, and frameshift mutations that persist in viable mammalian (including human) somatic cells.

Mutations occur in target tissues where tumors have been observed

Doerge et al. (2005a) provide the strongest evidence that AA-induced mutagenicity (via GA) can be associated with the target tissues where tumors are observed in the animal bioassays. They report that GA-derived DNA adducts of adenine and guanine were formed in all target tissues identified in rodent carcinogenicity bioassays as well as a number of nontarget tissues

including liver, brain, thyroid, leukocytes, mammary gland, and testis in rats; and liver, lung, kidney, leukocytes and testis in mice.

There is little information to causally associate the events between GA–DNA adduct formation, the occurrence of a stable mutation, and the development of a tumor. It is also not known why some tissues are more prone to tumor formation than others with similar levels of GA-DNA adducts. Other tissue-specific events may be occurring. Klaunig and Kamendulis (2005) reported the effects of AA reactivity with DNA and altered cell growth in the target tissues identified in the chronic oral bioassays. DNA synthesis was examined in F344 rats treated with AA at 0, 2, or 15 mg/kg-day for 7, 14, or 28 days. AA increased DNA synthesis in the target tissues (thyroid, testicular mesothelium, adrenal medulla) at all doses and time points examined. In contrast, in a nontarget tissue (liver), no increase in DNA synthesis was seen. Examination of DNA damage using single cell gel electrophoresis (the Comet assay) showed an increase in DNA damage in the target tissues but not in nontarget tissue (liver). In addition, a cellular transformation model, the Syrian hamster embryo (SHE) cell morphological transformation model, was used to examine potential mechanisms for the observed carcinogenicity of AA. SHE cell studies showed that GSH modulation by AA was important in the cell transformation process. Treatment with a sulfhydryl donor compound (N-acetyl cysteine) reduced AA transformation, while depletion of GSH (buthionine sulfoximine) resulted in an enhancement of transformation. AA was thus shown to increase both DNA synthesis and DNA damage in mammalian tissues and cells, suggesting that DNA reactivity and cell proliferation, in concert, may contribute to the observed AA-induced carcinogenicity in the rat target tissues.

Dose-response concordance and temporal relationship

Empirical support for dose-response and temporal concordance between AA-induced genotoxic events and tumor development comes from studies of DNA adduct formation in liver of rodents following repeated oral exposure to doses at or below the dose range of the chronic rat bioassays (0.5–3 mg/kg-day). N7-GA-guanine adducts increased to apparent steady-state levels in livers of male and female F344 rats by 14 days of repeat dosing of approximately 1 mg AA/kg-day in drinking water (Doerge et al., 2005a). A similar temporal pattern for increased liver levels of N7-GA-guanine adducts was reported for male and female B6C3F₁ mice exposed to 1 mg/kg-day doses in drinking water for up to 40 days (Doerge et al., 2005a). In another study, N7-GA-guanine adduct levels in livers increased with increasing dose level in mice exposed for 28 days to gavage doses of AA ranging from 0.125 to 24.0 mg/kg-day; some evidence for saturation at the higher dose levels was evident (Zeiger et al., 2009). In this study, significantly (p < 0.05) increased micronuclei were observed in peripheral blood reticulocytes at AA doses ≥ 6 mg/kg-day and in normochromatic erythrocytes at ≥ 4 mg/kg-day (Zeiger et al., 2005)

2009). These studies, which examined levels of DNA adducts at doses in the range of the chronic bioassays, did not examine cancer target tissues (e.g., CNS, thyroid), but, as discussed earlier, single i.p. doses of 50 mg/kg AA increased N7-GA-guanine adducts in the brain, thyroid, testes, and mammary gland tissue of F344 rats (Doerge et al., 2005a).

There are also some data from mouse skin tumor initiation bioassays and several in vivo genotoxicity assays (including dominant lethal mutation assays) that provide evidence of mutagenicity from AA exposure in the range of 3 to 50 mg/kg-day.

AA's ability to initiate mouse skin tumors has been demonstrated at oral dose levels as low as 12.5 mg/kg-day (Bull et al., 1984a, b). Oral administration of AA (3 times/week for 2 weeks, followed by dermal application of the cancer promoter, TPA) caused statistically significant increased incidences of skin-tumor-bearing SENCAR mice at 12.5, 25, or 50 mg/kgday dose levels and statistically significant increased incidences of histologically confirmed skin adenomas or carcinomas at 25 or 50 mg/kg-day (Bull et al., 1984a). In this study, oral administration was more effective at initiating skin tumors than i.p. injection or dermal application at equivalent dose levels. In Swiss-ICR mice, a similar initiation-promotion protocol caused statistically significantly increased incidences of the same endpoints at oral doses of 50 mg/kg-day but not at 12.5 or 25 mg/kg-day (Bull et al., 1984b). The power to detect statistically significant changes in these studies, however, is limited by the number of animals in each exposure group (n = 40). For example, in the Swiss-ICR study, statistical significance could not be demonstrated for the difference between the control incidence (0/40) and the incidences of skin-tumor bearing animals in the 12.5 mg/kg-day (4/40) and 25 mg/kg-day groups (4/40). Thus, the available data give some indication that AA tumor initiation activity increases with increasing dose level, but these data are inadequate to determine whether oral dose levels of 0.5–3 mg/kg-day would also initiate mouse skin tumors.

Dominant lethal mutations following repeated exposure to AA in drinking water (e.g., implantation losses or decreased fetuses/dam) have been observed in male F344 rats exposed for at least 12 weeks to 5 mg/kg-day, but not to 2 mg/kg-day (Tyl et al., 2000a); male Swiss CD-1 mice exposed for at least 15 weeks to 7.5 mg/kg-day, but not to 3.1 mg/kg-day (Chapin et al., 1995); male Long-Evans rats exposed for 72 days to 2.8 mg/kg-day, but not to 1.5 mg/kg-day (Smith et al., 1986); and male ddY mice exposed for 4 weeks to 13.3 mg/kg-day, but not to 9.0 mg/kg-day (Sakamoto and Hashimoto, 1986). There is currently insufficient information, however, to determine if the events leading to the dominant lethals are relevant or not to a mutagenic MOA.

Studies designed to examine in vivo clastogenic effects in mammals from subchronic or chronic exposures at lower doses are limited to the reports of no chromosomal aberrations in spermatogonia or spermatocytes in male Long-Evans rats exposed for 72 days to drinking water doses between 1.5 and 5.8 mg/kg-day (Smith et al., 1986) and the dominant lethal effects

described above with subchronic exposure to doses in the range of 2.8 to 7.5 mg/kg-day in several studies (Tyl et al., 2000a; Chapin et al., 1995; Smith et al., 1986). These results, however, indicate only that genotoxic effects on male germ cells can occur following subchronic duration oral exposure to dose levels in the vicinity of the chronic dose levels that induced carcinogenic effects in rats, and again it is uncertain whether or not the events are these results are relevant to a mutagenic MOA for AA.

Allen et al (2005) attempted dose-response modeling of AA in vivo genotoxicity data to extrapolate the response for chromosomal aberrations or sister chromatid exchange from the relatively high administered doses in these assays (50–150 mg/kg) to the 2 mg/kg-day dose used in the chronic oral bioassays that significantly increased thyroid tumors in F344 rats. The intent of this approach was to move the analysis of genotoxicity assay results from qualitative conclusions of "negative or positive" results (as listed in the table in Appendix B) to more useful quantitative characterizations of the dose response that support or refute dose-response concordance between mutagenic events and increased tumorigenicity. In their analysis of the AA data (based on a variety of dose-response modeling approaches and a benchmark response level of 10% for occurrence of chromosomal damage), the authors report that a 2 mg/kg-day dose would result in levels indistinguishable from background (i.e., zero exposure), suggesting little concordance between these studies and the observed tumorigenicity in rats. The analysis, however, has a number of serious (if not fatal) flaws and assumptions, including some addressed by the authors (e.g., comparing short-term, high-dose effects with long-term, low-dose effects, comparing results in mice with results in rats, assuming low-dose response relationships based on extrapolations from very high doses, and limited sample sizes), as well as others not well addressed, including the assumption that chromosomal damage is the primary mutagenic event (rather than DNA adducts or other DNA damage), not evaluating mutagenic events in target tissues (i.e., not considering the toxicokinetics of AA) or at different life stages (not considering the toxicodynamics of AA), and that very small increments above background are not important (i.e., disregarding the one hit, one tumor hypothesis), or, alternately, that it is acceptable to apply a benchmark response of 10% to mutagenic events assumed to lead to tumor formation when the generally accepted "minimal" risk level for carcinogenicity is 0.0001% (i.e., one in a million, not one in ten). Nonetheless, attempts to quantitate mutagenic dose response is clearly in the right direction, and warrants further support and research.

In summary, the Doerge et al. (2005a, b) data demonstrate formation of GA-DNA adducts in tissues throughout the body as a result of the rapid and wide distribution of AA and GA from any route of exposure (i.e., a high volume of distribution). Additional indicators of potential mutagenicity discussed above that occur within hours or days of treatment support these events as precursor events to the formation of tumors, although the administered doses were much higher than those given to the test animals in the chronic bioassays.

Biological plausibility and coherence

The multiple-site characteristic of AA carcinogenicity in rats (i.e., CNS, thyroid, scrotal sac, mammary gland) is consistent with other carcinogenic agents that are thought to act through mutagenic MOAs involving alkylation of DNA. For example, inhalation exposure to ethylene oxide, a DNA reactive epoxide, induced lung, Harderian gland, uterine, mammary, and lymph tumors in mice and leukemia, brain tumors, peritoneal mesotheliomas in the region of the testes, and subcutaneous fibromas in rats (IARC, 2007a). Similarly, inhalation or oral exposure of rats to acrylonitrile, which is metabolized to a DNA reactive epoxide, induced cancer in a range of tissues, including CNS, Harderian gland, gastrointestinal tract, and mammary glands in rats (IARC, 1999). Inhalation exposure of rats to 1,3-butadiene, which is metabolized to DNA-reactive epoxides, induced tumors of the pancreas and testes in males and tumors of the thyroid, uterus, Zymbal gland, and mammary glands in females (IARC, 2007b). In addition, oral exposure to N-methylolacrylamide, which is metabolized to AA and GA (Fennell et al., 2003), induced tumors in the liver, lung, and Harderian gland in mice (NTP, 1989). Thus, it is biologically plausible that the formation of GA-DNA adducts is a key event in the carcinogenicity of AA.

The fact that GA-DNA adducts have been detected in nontarget organs underscores the importance of not assuming that adducts by themselves are sufficient to produce tumors. Only certain DNA adducts lead to perturbed gene structure and function. Although biologically plausible and coherent with other cancer agents acting through DNA-reactive epoxides, key events that have not been firmly established for a mutagenic MOA for AA include AA-induced DNA adducts in target tissues at tumor-inducing exposure levels and AA-induced DNA adducts in cancer-critical genes in target tissues (e.g., proto-oncogenes/tumor-suppressor genes). Thus, further research is needed to better assess dose-response and temporal concordance between AA-induced DNA adduct formation, mutations in cancer-critical genes, and tumor responses.

Results from investigations of AA effects on hypothalamus-pituitary-thyroid endpoints in rats provide no clear and consistent evidence to support an alternative cancer MOA involving hormonal dysregulation by AA or its metabolites (see Section 4.8.3.2 for further discussion). These results, especially the negative results from an examination of a comprehensive suite of hypothalamus-pituitary-thyroid endpoints in rats exposed to 50 mg/kg-day for 14 days (Bowyer et al., 2008; see Section 4.8.3.2), are consistent with a mutagenic MOA for AA.

Human relevance

A mutagenic MOA involving the key events of AA metabolic activation to GA, and GA modification of DNA leading to mutation of cancer-critical genes is reasonably expected to be relevant to humans. Observations of GA-Hb adducts (Vesper et al., 2008, 2007; Bjellaas et al.,

2007a, b; Chevolleau et al., 2007) and GA urinary metabolites (Heudorf et al., 2009; Urban et al., 2006) demonstrate that internal exposure to GA, the mutagenic AA metabolite, occurs in the general population experiencing low levels of AA exposure. In addition, human cells exposed to AA or GA have been shown to develop mutations in a cancer-critical gene. Besaratinia and Pfeifer (2004) exposed normal human bronchial epithelial cells for 4 hours to AA or GA and detected GA-DNA adducts within the tumor suppressor p53 gene, using terminal transferase-dependent polymerase reaction to map the formation of DNA adducts within the gene.

Conclusion

There is evidence from a variety of studies of GA's mutagenicity in mammalian (including human) somatic cells that supports a mutagenic MOA for AA that would be operational in both test animals and humans. The mutagenicity of AA is indicated through its ability to induce gene mutations and chromosomal aberrations in somatic and germ cells of rodents in vivo and cultured cells in vitro and cell transformation in mouse cell lines, and its ability to form adducts with protamines in germ cells. The mutagenicity of GA is characterized by its induction of gene mutations in bacteria, unscheduled DNA synthesis in a variety of test systems, and ability to form DNA adducts. The available data indicate that the major mutagenic effects of AA are clastogenic, which may involve covalent modifications of proteins by AA and GA, and direct alkylation of DNA by GA (Doerge et al., 2005a; Besaratinia and Pfeifer, 2004; Schmid et al., 1999; Dearfield et al., 1995; Segerbäck et al., 1995; Moore et al., 1987). Support for the genetic damage in somatic and germ cells of mice treated with AA being dependent upon metabolism of the parent compound to GA by CYP2E1 comes from studies in CYP2E1-null male mice (Ghanayem et al., 2005a, b), and the similar mutation spectra that AA and GA produced in the Big Blue male and female mice (Manjanatha et al., 2006).

There is some support for the temporal sequence in that mutagenic events (e.g., GA-DNA adducts) have been observed in target tissues, and these occur soon after exposure to AA, although most of these studies are at doses of AA higher than those of the bioassays. Additional data are needed to further demonstrate the temporal sequence of events between the formation of DNA adducts, the development of mutations, and the formation of tumors; and to establish dose-response concordance to firmly establish that a GA-DNA adduct is an obligate precursor event in tumor formation. Additional data are also needed to resolve why only hormonally responsive tissues were observed to have increased tumors in the Friedman et al. (1995) chronic rat bioassay, whereas GA-DNA adducts have been observed in a much wider array of tissues.

4.8.3.2. Alternative Mode of Action—Disruption of Hormone Levels or Signaling

An alternative MOA via disruption of hormone levels or hormone signaling has also been suggested for the AA-induced tumors in hormonally sensitive tissues (mammary gland and

thyroid) or in a tissue adjacent to hormonally sensitive tissue (tunica vaginalis, the scrotal sac mesothelium) (Haber et al., 2009; Dourson et al., 2008; Klaunig, 2008; Shipp et al., 2006; Environ, 2002; KS Crump Group, Inc., 1999a, b). Although this is a possible MOA, at present there are only limited or absent supporting data.

The hypothesized sequence of events for the induction of tunica vaginalis and mammary gland tumors is as follows: dopamine agonist activities promote age-related hormonal changes that, in turn, stimulate sustained cell proliferation in the tunica vaginalis and mammary gland, leading to progression to mesothelioma and fibroadenoma, respectively. For the thyroid tumors the events are alteration of a signal transduction pathway, leading to persistent stimulation of cell proliferation in thyroid follicular cells and eventual progression to follicular cell adenomas (Dourson et al., 2008; Klaunig, 2008; Shipp et al., 2006; Environ, 2002; KS Crump Group, Inc., 1999a, b).

In support of the hypothesis for dopamine agonist activity (at the D2 dopamine receptor), AA has been shown to decrease circulating levels of prolactin in male F344 rats (Friedman et al., 1999b; Khan et al., 1999; Ali et al., 1983; Uphouse et al., 1982). The relevance of the carcinogenicity of chemicals that induce Leydig cell tumors in rats via dopamine agonist activity is an issue of scientific debate, because human Leydig cells (as well as Leydig cells in other animal species, except male rats) do not decrease their luteinizing hormone (LH) receptors in response to decreased prolactin. Because of the evidence for dopamine agonist activity of AA in male rats and evidence to suggest that the malignancy of the tunica vaginalis mesotheliomas in F344 rats was linked to the extent of Leydig cell neoplasia, it has been proposed that the mesotheliomas may not be relevant to humans. Additional supporting evidence would include demonstration of a lack of mesotheliomas in other animal species chronically exposed to AA; however, these data are not currently available.

In contrast to male rats, there is little empirical evidence to support this alternative MOA in female rats. Marked changes in circulating levels of prolactin have not been observed in female F344 rats exposed to AA for up to 28 days. There is also no direct evidence that AA displays D1 dopamine agonist activity in female rats, which could enhance ovarian progesterone secretion and subsequently stimulate cell proliferation in the stromal/fibroblast cells of the rat mammary gland.

With respect to thyroid tumors, there is no clear and consistent evidence to support the disruption of thyroid hormone homeostasis in acrylamide-exposed rats. Although there is one published report of changes in thyroid follicular cell colloid area and cell height in female F344 rats exposed to 2 or 15 mg/kg-day for up to 7 days without any changes in circulating levels of TSH or T_4 (Khan et al., 1999), another study found no morphological changes or evidence of increased cell proliferation in male F344 rats exposed to 50 mg/kg-day for 14 days (Bowyer et al., 2008). Bowyer et al. (2008) found elevations of serum T_4 levels at 50 mg/kg-day, but not at

2.5 or 10 mg/kg-day, and no changes in serum levels of T_3 or TSH at any of these dose levels. Other unpublished studies indicated that AA doses as high as 25 mg/kg-day for up to 28 days did not induce consistent, biologically significant changes in thyroid hormones or TSH levels (Klaunig, 2000, as cited in Environ, 2002; Friedman et al., 1999b). Thus, current data do not support a MOA by which AA alters thyroid hormone homeostasis. Direct evidence that AA may cause follicular cell proliferation by an alternative MOA involving stimulation of a cAMP cascade (without changes in TSH levels) is not currently available. TSH-induced mitogenic activities are mediated largely by cAMP, which in turn may activate protein kinase (PKA)dependent and independent processes.

Tunica Vaginalis Mesotheliomas

Description and identification of key events

The events in the proposed hormonal pathway MOA for AA-induced formation of tunica vaginalis mesotheliomas is as follows: (1) AA increases dopamine levels or functions as a dopamine receptor agonist; (2) a dopamine agonist-induced decrease in prolactin levels then down-regulates LH receptors on rat Leydig cell membranes, leading to decreases in testosterone production; (3) there is a subsequent compensatory increase in serum LH to maintain testosterone at normal levels (Cook et al., 1999; Clegg et al., 1997; Prentice and Meikle, 1995); and (4) the increase in LH stimulates sustained cell proliferation in the tunica vaginalis with eventual progression to mesotheliomas.

Experimental support for the hormonal pathway MOA in male rats Strength, consistency, and specificity of association

Serum prolactin levels have been observed to decrease in AA-exposed male rats, but not females (Friedman et al., 1999b; Khan et al., 1999; Ali et al., 1983; Uphouse et al., 1982). These studies were instigated because it is well known that dopamine plays a predominant role in hypothalamic suppression of pituitary secretion of prolactin (Yamada et al., 1995; Neuman, 1991), and AA has been demonstrated to increase striatal dopamine receptors in rats (Agrawal, 1981a, b; Bondy et al., 1981; Uphouse and Russell, 1981). The results suggest that AA, in inhibiting prolactin secretion by the pituitary, may act as a dopamine agonist, at least in male rats.

In an unpublished study, male and female F344 rats (approximately 8 weeks of age at beginning of exposure) were exposed to AA in drinking water providing doses of 0, 4.1, 12, 19, or 25 mg/kg-day for up to 28 days (Friedman et al., 1999b). Serum prolactin levels in males were decreased after 14 days of treatment: percentage decreases (compared with controls) were 17, 36, 81, and 87% for the 4.1 through 25 mg/kg-day groups, respectively. The values at the two highest exposure levels were statistically significantly different from control values. Percentage decreases in the mean values for the 4.1 through 25 mg/kg-day males at 28 days were

0, 5, 44, and 33%, but none of the mean values were statistically significantly different from control values at 28 days.

Circulating levels of prolactin in female F344 rats showed no consistent dose-related changes, compared with controls, after 14 or 28 days of AA exposure (Friedman et al., 1999b, unpublished) or, in another published study with 28-day-old females, after gavage administration of 2 or 15 mg/kg-day AA for 2 or 7 days (Khan et al., 1999).

In earlier studies, serum prolactin levels were shown to be decreased in male F344 inbred rats 24 hours after oral administration of 100 mg/kg AA (Uphouse et al., 1982). The decrease in prolactin levels was statistically significant in rats that were not handled for 3 minutes/day for 7 days before AA administration but was not significant in rats that received this handling pretreatment protocol. Serum prolactin levels were also decreased in male F344 rats (8–10 weeks of age at the start of the study) following 20 daily i.p. injections of 10 or 20 mg/kg AA (Ali et al., 1983).

The available animal studies do not support a consistent AA effect on dopamine levels or receptors in various brain regions.

AA has been shown to produce changes in the dopaminergic system in some short-term oral exposures to AA (5, 10, or 20 mg/kg-day, 10 times during 14 days, or single doses of 50, 100, or 200 mg/kg) with increases in dopamine receptors (assayed as increased binding of [³H]-spiroperidol) in the striatal brain region of young (6-week-old) Sprague-Dawley or F344 male rats (Agrawal, 1981a, b; Bondy et al., 1981; Uphouse and Russell, 1981). In contrast, 24 hours postdosing, rats orally exposed to 10 mg/kg AA for 10 consecutive days had a decreased response to apomorphine (a dopamine receptor agonist) compared with nonexposed controls (Bondy et al., 1981). Bondy et al. (1981) noted that similar, apparently paradoxical, results were also reported for another neurotoxicant, haloperidol. It was proposed that AA might induce damage to the dopaminergic pathways such that apomorphine would not elicit a response even in the presence of an excess number of dopamine receptors.

Oral exposure of pregnant F344 rats to 20 mg/kg-day on GDs 7–16 was also reported to induce decreased dopamine receptors in offspring assayed 2 weeks after birth but not at 3 weeks (Agrawal and Squibb, 1981). Repeated oral exposure to AA (10 times during 14 days) also caused an increase in other neurotransmitter receptors: acetylcholine striatal receptors (at 5, 10, or 20 mg/kg-day), GABA cerebellar receptors (at 20 mg/kg-day), glycine medullar receptors (at 20 mg/kg-day), and serotonin frontal cortical receptors (at 20 mg/kg-day) (Bondy et al., 1981). The biological and mechanistic significance of these findings of effects of AA on levels of neurotransmitter receptors remains uncertain.

Exposure to AA also has been reported to cause changes in levels of dopamine in some regions of the rat brain, but changes have been inconsistently observed across studies (Ali, 1983; Ali et al., 1983; Rafales et al., 1983; Agrawal et al., 1981a). Mean striatal dopamine

concentrations were higher than control values by about 22–31% in 6-week-old male Sprague-Dawley rats, 24 hours after administration of single i.p. injections of 50, 100, or 150 mg/kg, but the difference was not statistically significant (Agrawal et al., 1981a). Male 10-week-old F344 rats given single i.p. injections of 50 or 100 mg/kg AA showed no significant change in levels of dopamine in the frontal cortex or striatum; in contrast, following 10 consecutive injections of 10 mg/kg-day, levels of dopamine and a metabolite, dihydroxyphenylacetic acid, were significantly decreased in the frontal cortex but not changed in the striatum or hypothalamus (Ali et al., 1983).

In another study, 8- to 10-week-old male F344 rats were administered 20 consecutive i.p. injections of 10 or 20 mg/kg AA, resulting in significantly increased dopamine levels in the caudate nucleus compared with controls; however, levels of dopamine in the frontal cortex or the hypothalamus were not significantly affected (Ali, 1983). In male Long-Evans rats exposed to 100 mM AA in drinking water for 6 weeks, there were no changes in concentrations of dopamine and its metabolites, dihydroxyphenylacetic acid and homovanillic acid in the nucleus accumbens, septal area, corpus striatum, or thalamus compared with controls (Rafales et al., 1983).

AA-exposed rats showed increased psychomotor stimulation from amphetamine, compared with controls, that was associated with short-term elevations of 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid in several brain regions and a lesser elevation of dopamine in the nucleus accumbens but not in the septal area, corpus striatum, or thalamus (Rafales et al., 1983).

Dose-response concordance

Only a few studies are available to support a dose-response relationship of AA on circulating prolactin levels via an effect on the dopaminergic system in male rats and influence on circulating levels of hormones. Serum testosterone levels in male F344 rats were statistically significantly decreased following 28 days of exposure to AA in drinking water at dose levels of 19 and 25 mg/kg-day but not at lower dose levels (Friedman et al., 1999b). For groups exposed to 0, 1.4, 4.1, 12, 19, or 25 mg/kg-day, respective mean testosterone values (\pm SD, in units of ng/mL) were 1.1 \pm 0.7, 2.1 \pm 1.1, 2.2 \pm 1.4, 0.5 \pm 0.3, 0.3 \pm 0.4, or 0.1 \pm 0.1. Decreased serum levels of testosterone have also been observed in male F344 rats exposed to 20 daily i.p. injections of 10 or 20 mg/kg AA (Ali et al., 1983).

Temporal relationship

If AA-induced decreases in circulating levels of prolactin actually lead to physical or hormonal changes in Leydig cell tumors, such changes may subsequently stimulate the development of spontaneously initiated or AA-initiated mesothelial cells in the scrotal sac (i.e., tunica vaginalis) into mesotheliomas. These types of actions have been proposed by Tanigawa et al. (1987) to explain the higher spontaneous incidences of genital serosal mesotheliomas in male F344 rats compared with other rat strains, such as Sprague-Dawley, that do not show high spontaneous incidences of Leydig cell tumors. Older male F344 rats, surviving between about 80 and 120 weeks, are well documented to display spontaneous Leydig cell tumors at high (80–100%) incidences, and spontaneous mesotheliomas, predominantly in the genital serosa, at low (3–4%) incidences (Tanigawa et al., 1987; Solleveld et al., 1984; Goodman et al., 1979). The male F344 rats in the AA bioassays were not an exception to this occurrence. The appearance of Leydig cell tumors in aging F344 rats shows a temporal relationship with age-related changes in the synthesis or secretion of gonadal and adrenohypophyseal hormones (Amador et al., 1985; Turek and Desjardins, 1979). In addition, persistently elevated levels of prolactin (produced by transplantation of anterior pituitaries from adult females or by treatment with diethylstilbestrol) have been shown to inhibit the development of spontaneous Leydig cell tumors in aging male F344 rats (Bartke et al., 1985).

Biological plausibility and coherence

The mechanism by which AA may increase dopamine receptors or other neurotransmitter receptors is unknown. One hypothesis that has been proposed involves AA down-regulation of the microtubular system and disintegration of neurofilaments followed by blockage of intracellular transport of receptors and their subsequent accumulation (Ho et al., 2002). This hypothesis was based on observations that exposure of cultured brain neurons from chicken embryos to 10 mM AA induced increased levels of GABA_A receptors, decreased levels of tubulin proteins, and decreased numbers of microtubules and neurofilaments in the neuron cell body. Similar experiments examining AA effects on dopamine receptors and associated changes in tubulin protein levels and numbers of neurofilaments in cultured brain neurons are not available.

Human relevance

A reevaluation of the most recent of the two AA drinking water cancer bioassays for tumors in reproductive tissues (Iatropoulos et al., 1998) in male rats originally assessed as having tunica vaginalis mesotheliomas (Friedman et al., 1995) provides some support for the proposal that AA-induced mesotheliomas in F344 rats may not be relevant to humans (Shipp et al., 2006). In the reevaluation, all rats diagnosed with malignant mesothelioma were assessed as having 75% or 100% of the testes occupied by Leydig cell neoplasia, whereas rats with mesothelial hyperplasia or benign mesothelioma were assessed as having 50% or less of the testes occupied by Leydig cell neoplasia, 1998).⁵ These observations suggest

⁵ In another study of the tunica vaginalis testis mesotheliomas reported in Friedman et al. (1995), it was concluded, based on light and electron microscopy, that tumors in the acrylamide-exposed rats did not differ

that the extent of Leydig cell neoplasia and the development of malignant mesotheliomas in these rats may have been linked.

Most of the possible mechanisms proposed for the chemical induction of Leydig cell hyperplasia and adenomas involve elevation of serum LH and/or a change in Leydig cell responsiveness to LH as the key event (Cook et al., 1999; Clegg et al., 1997). Several other mechanisms involving elevations of LH or other disruptions of the hypothalamic-pituitary-testis axis could possibly result in an adverse human response (Cook et al., 1999; Clegg et al., 1997).

Conclusion

In summary, there is some evidence to suggest that AA can promote or enhance agerelated decreases in serum prolactin and testosterone in older male F344 rats (Friedman et al., 1999b; Khan et al., 1999; Ali et al., 1983; Uphouse et al., 1982) and that this enhancement may lead to the development of tunica vaginalis mesotheliomas due to larger adjacent Leydig cell tumors (Iatropoulos et al., 1998). Because the response to decreased circulating levels of prolactin in this sequence of events may be specific to male F344 rats (and not occur in humans or other animal species), AA-induced tunica vaginalis mesotheliomas in older F344 rats may not be relevant to humans. Additional support for this proposal, such as the lack of mesotheliomas in other rat strains or other animal species exposed chronically to AA, however, is not available. In conclusion, a hormone-mediated MOA for the observed mesotheliomas is possible but data are lacking to link key events with tumor formation.

Mammary Gland Fibroadenomas

Description and identification of key events

The events in the proposed hormonal pathway MOA for AA induction of mammary gland fibroadenomas in female F344 rats are as follows: an age-related decrease in dopamine, leading to increased secretion of prolactin by the pituitary, followed by increased and sustained release of progesterone from the ovary, leading to a sustained cell proliferative response in stromal/fibroblast cells of the mammary gland and eventual progression to fibroadenomas (Shipp et al., 2006).

Experimental support for the hormonal pathway MOA in female rats Strength, consistency, specificity of association

The hypothesis proposes that AA acts as a dopamine agonist on D1 dopamine receptors in the ovary to further enhance secretion of progesterone in aging rats. Direct in vitro or in vivo

morphologically from tumors in the control rats (Damjanov and Friedman, 1998). This study, however, did not specifically compare morphological features of Leydig cell tumors between acrylamide-exposed and control rats.
evidence showing that AA interacts with D1 dopamine receptors and subsequently enhances progesterone secretion in female rats is not currently available.

Dose-response concordance

Circulating levels of prolactin in female F344 rats showed no consistent, dose-related changes, compared with controls, after 14 or 28 days of AA exposure (Friedman et al., 1999b, unpublished) or, in another published study with 28-day-old females, after gavage administration of 2 or 15 mg/kg-day AA for 2 or 7 days (Khan et al., 1999).

Temporal relationship

No in vitro or in vivo evidence were available to support a temporal relationship between AA interaction with D1 dopamine receptors, subsequent enhanced progesterone secretion in female rats, and development of mammary tumors.

Biological plausibility and coherence

Although the proposed hormonal pathway MOA for AA-induced mammary fibroadenomas in female F344 rats is possible, there are no empirical data directly linking AA to an enhancement of any particular process in the proposed cascade of events (e.g., AA acting as an agonist for D1 dopamine receptors, leading to enhanced progesterone secretion from rat, but not human, ovary cells.

Human relevance

It has been proposed (Shipp et al., 2006) that the increased incidences of mammary gland fibroadenomas in the AA bioassays are not relevant to humans because fibroadenomas in women are associated with either an increase in estrogen or a decrease in progesterone or both (Smith, 1991) and not an increase in progesterone as in aging female rats; because increased prolactin does not lead to increased progesterone secretion in humans or other primates (Neumann, 1991); and because the dopamine agonist, SKF-38393, acting at D1 dopamine receptors in rat ovary cells, stimulates progesterone secretion (Mori et al., 1994) but does not appear to stimulate progesterone secretion in human ovary cells (Mayerhofer et al., 1999).

Conclusion

Although empirical support is inadequate or lacking for this proposed MOA, it is a possible MOA, assuming that AA-induced fibroadenomas in female F344 rats are produced by AA enhancement of the normal age-related mode of development of spontaneous fibroadenomas. However, the possible human relevance of AA-induced mammary gland fibroadenomas cannot be ruled out with confidence at this time, because there is no empirical evidence directly linking AA to an enhancement of any particular process in the proposed cascade of events (e.g., AA

acting as an agonist for D1 dopamine receptors, leading to enhanced progesterone secretion from rat, but not human, ovary cells).

Thyroid Tumors

Description and identification of key events

The events in the proposed hormonal pathway MOA for AA-induced formation of thyroid tumors in male and female F344 rats are alteration of a signal transduction pathway, leading to persistent stimulation of cell proliferation in thyroid follicular cells and eventual progression to follicular cell adenomas (Dourson et al., 2008; Environ, 2002; KS Crump Group, Inc., 1999a, b).

Experimental support for the hormonal pathway MOA in male and female rats Strength, consistency, specificity of association

Both of the available chronic exposure studies of F344 rats reported statistically significant increased incidences of thyroid follicular cell adenomas, or combined adenomas and carcinomas, at the highest dose levels of 2–3 mg/kg-day (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986). Chemicals that alter thyroid hormone homeostasis by interfering with synthesis or secretion of T_3 or T_4 or by increasing T_3 or T_4 metabolism can lead to compensatory release of TSH from the pituitary, which, if sustained, may induce thyroid follicular cell hyperplasia possibly progressing to neoplasia (U.S. EPA, 1998c).

As discussed in more detail in Section 4.6.1, there is no clear and consistent evidence to support the hypothesis that AA induces sustained follicular cell proliferation by altering thyroid hormone homeostasis. Khan et al. (1999) reported statistically significant changes in follicular cell colloid area and cell height in female F344 rats exposed to 2 or 15 mg/kg-day AA for 2 or 7 days without any significant changes in plasma levels of TSH or T₄, but Bowyer et al. (2008) found no morphological changes or evidence of increased cell proliferation (mRNA levels for the Mki67gene and protein levels of Ki67) in the thyroid and pituitary of male F344 rats exposed to 50 mg/kg-day AA for 14 days. Bowyer et al. (2008) found statistically significant elevations of serum T₄ levels in male rats at 50 mg/kg-day, but not at 2.5 or 10 mg/kg-day, and no changes in serum T₃ or TSH at any dose level. In unpublished studies, blood levels of T₃, T₄, or TSH were not consistently changed in male and female F344 exposed to up to 25 mg/kg-day AA in drinking water for up to 28 days (Friedman et al., 1999b), and blood levels of TSH and indices of cell proliferation in the thyroid (BrdU incorporation into DNA) were not changed in male Sprague-Dawley rats exposed to 2 or 15 mg/kg-day for up to 28 days (Klaunig, 2000, as cited in Environ, 2002). Klaunig and Kamendulis (2005) reported that exposure of F344 rats to AA (0, 2, or 15 mg/kg-day) for 7, 14, or 28 days increased DNA synthesis in the target tissues (thyroid, testicular mesothelium, adrenal medulla) at all doses and time points examined but not in nontarget tissue (liver). They also reported increase in DNA damage in the target tissues but not

in nontarget tissue (liver), which supports a mutagenic MOA. In summary, there is a lack of consistent evidence for AA alteration of thyroid hormone homeostasis. The negative findings in the comprehensive study by Bowyer et al. (2008) of hypothalamus-pituitary-thyroid axis endpoints in male F344 rats exposed to AA for 14 days are particularly noteworthy as not being consistent with the hypothesis that thyroid hormone dysregulation is a key event in AA induction of thyroid tumors.

Dose-response concordance

No data are available to support dose-response concordance for the proposed effect on circulating thyroid hormone levels.

Temporal relationship

No data are available to support the temporal relationship between AA exposure, hormonal disruption, and formation of thyroid tumors to support this proposed MOA.

Biological plausibility and coherence

This hormonal pathway MOA is biologically plausible, and the occurrence of altered thyroid hormone homeostasis leading to thyroid follicular cell hyperplasia with potential progression to neoplasia is well established (U.S. EPA, 1998c).

Human relevance

If AA disruption of thyroid hormone homeostasis is supported by future studies, this proposed MOA for thyroid tumorigenicity could call into question the human relevance of the tumors.

Conclusion

Although this proposed MOA is possible for thyroid tumorigenicity in male and female rats (and possibly humans), there is little empirical support for AA alteration of thyroid hormone homeostasis.

4.8.3.3. Conclusion About the Mode of Action

The available data indicate that the most plausible MOA for the carcinogenicity of AA is a mutagenic MOA based upon the numerous and consistent study results on the mutagenicity of AA (or its GA metabolite) in both germ and somatic mammalian cells that support the events, dose-concordance, and temporal relationship of a mutagenic MOA. There is relatively little support for a hormonal pathway MOA for the tumor types observed in the animal studies, although this is a possible MOA and warrants further evaluation. It is also possible that there is a mixed MOA, i.e., an increased mutagenic burden in hormonally-sensitive tissues with or without disruption of the hormonal pathways.

4.9. SUSCEPTIBLE POPULATIONS

4.9.1. Possible Childhood Susceptibility

Neurotoxicity

No human data are available regarding age-related differences in susceptibility to AA-induced neurotoxicity. Animal studies provide conflicting results. Some reports indicate that young animals may be less susceptible than older ones (Kaplan et al., 1973; Fullerton and Barnes, 1966), whereas other reports present evidence that young animals may be more sensitive (Ko et al., 1999; Suzuki and Pfaff, 1973).

Fullerton and Barnes (1966) administered 100 mg/kg AA orally to groups of 5-, 8-, 26-, and 52-week-old albino rats at weekly intervals and noted severe signs of peripheral neuropathy in the oldest group after three treatments. The 26-week-old rats were severely affected after four treatments, while rats whose treatment started at 5 weeks of age only showed "mild" clinical signs of peripheral neuropathy after 4 weeks of treatment.

Kaplan et al. (1973) injected 50 mg/kg-day AA i.p. to rats ranging in age from 5 to 14 weeks. Impaired rotarod performance appeared earlier in the older rats, but the younger rats recovered more slowly following the cessation of treatment.

Suzuki and Pfaff (1973) administered 50 mg/kg of AA to 1-day-old and adult rats 3 times/week for up to 18 injections. Signs of hindlimb weakness appeared several days earlier in the young pups, and degenerative histopathologic changes in peripheral nerves were more prominent in the pups than the adults.

Recently, Ko et al. (1999) demonstrated that mouse weanlings may be more susceptible to the adverse neurological effects of AA than young adult mice. Groups of male ICR mice were exposed to AA in the drinking water at concentrations of 0 or 400 ppm and observed for clinical signs, rotarod performance, peripheral nerve growth and function, and histopathologic evidence of peripheral neuropathy. Calculated AA doses were 91.8 ± 20.6 mg/kg-day for the 3-week-old mice and 90.8 ± 10.9 mg/kg-day for the 8-week-old mice. The younger (3-week-old) mice exhibited earlier onset (7.1 ± 1.1 days vs. 15.6 ± 4.0 days in 8-week-old mice) and more rapid progression of AA-induced neuropathy.

Carcinogenicity

With respect to carcinogenicity, EPA has concluded by a weight-of-evidence evaluation that AA is carcinogenic by a mutagenic MOA. According to the *Supplemental Guidance for Assessing Susceptibility from Early Life Exposure to Carcinogens* (U.S. EPA, 2005b), those exposed to carcinogens with a mutagenic MOA are assumed to have increased early life

susceptibility. Data for AA, however, are not sufficient to develop separate risk estimates for childhood exposure, thus the oral slope factor and inhalation unit risk (see Section 5.3.5) do not reflect presumed early life susceptibility for this chemical, and age-dependent adjustment factors (ADAFs) should be applied to this slope factor when assessing cancer risks for less than 16-year-old subpopulations or for lifetime exposures that begin in less than 2-year-olds. Example evaluations of cancer risks based on age at exposure are given in Section 6 of the *Supplemental Guidance*.

Aside from the assumption that early life stages are more susceptible to mutagens, there are limited data on early-life susceptibility to AA-induced carcinogenicity. Gamboa da Costa et al. (2003) measured DNA adduct formation in selected tissues of adult and whole body DNA of 3-day-old neonatal mice treated with AA and GA. In adult mice, DNA adduct formation was observed in liver, lung, and kidney with levels of N7-GA-Gua around 2,000 adducts/10⁸ nucleotides and N3-GA-Ade around 20 adducts/10⁸ nucleotides. Adduct levels were modestly higher in adult mice dosed with GA as opposed to AA; however, treatment of neonatal mice with GA produced five- to sevenfold higher whole body DNA adduct levels than with AA. The authors suggest that this is due to lower oxidative enzyme activity in newborn mice. DNA adduct formation from AA treatment in adult mice showed a supralinear dose-response relationship, consistent with saturation of oxidative metabolism at higher doses.

Increased incidences of tumors in hormonally responsive tissues (thyroid gland, mammary gland, and tunica vaginalis mesothelium) have been noted in rats chronically exposed to AA in the diet (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986). Since AA induced disruption of hormonal pathways or homeostasis is a possible MOA, additional studies are needed to evaluate this MOA and whether there is an increased susceptibility to AA induced hormonal disruption during early developmental stages.

As discussed in Section 3.3, CYP2E1 catalyzes the initial oxidation of AA to the epoxide derivative, GA, and there are age-related increases in CYP2E1 expression in humans as reported by Johnsrud et al. (2003). CYP2E1 was detected as early as the second trimester (0.35 pmol/mg microsomal protein), increasing approximately fivefold from neonatal levels (median = 8.8 pmol/mg microsomal protein) to post-90-day levels (41.4 pmol/mg microsomal protein). Levels in older infants (>90 days old), children, and young adults up to 18 years old were relatively similar. A fourfold or greater intersubject variation was observed among samples from each age group, with the greatest variation, 80-fold, seen among neonatal samples. These results suggest that infants less than 90 days old would have decreased clearance of CYP2E1 substrates compared with older infants, children, and adults. However, the delivery rate of the substrate relative to the value of the Michaelis-Menten constant (Km) for CYP2E1 is an important determinant of the total amount metabolized (or parent compound cleared) (Lipscomb, 2004; Lipscomb et al., 2003), such that the higher the substrate concentration is relative to Km, the

more profound the influence of enzyme level and differences in the enzyme's maximum velocity (V_{max}) on total clearance for a saturable enzyme like CYP2E1. There is no reason to suspect that the Km value of CYP2E1 in <90-day-old infants would be any different than the Km for CYP2E1 in older infants, so that a difference in susceptibility in neonates would mostly depend on levels of CYP2E1 and delivery rates of AA. There is therefore a research need to develop quantitative estimates of differences in clearance due to different levels of CYP2E1 for less than 90-day-old infants at high or low levels of AA exposure.

4.9.2. Possible Gender Differences

No data are available regarding gender-related differences in sensitivity to AA in humans.

AA-induced adverse reproductive effects (male-mediated implantation losses and reduced number of fetuses, testicular atrophy) have been demonstrated in male rodents at dose levels that do not affect female reproductive performance (see Sections 4.3.1 and 4.5.1; see also Table 4-32). Part of the gender difference may be due to the AA or GA alkylation of sperm protamines late during spermiogenesis and resultant genetic damage (Perrault, 2003; Adler et al., 2000; Generoso et al., 1996; Sega et al., 1989; Sublet et al., 1989). Other modes may involve neurotoxic actions impairing copulatory behavior (Zenick et al., 1986) and sperm motility (Tyl et al., 2000b; Sublet et al., 1989), both of which are key determinants of male reproductive performance (see Section 4.3 for a more detailed discussion).

AA-induced neurological effects have been observed in both male and female rats at similar dose levels. Light microscopic examination of peripheral nervous tissue revealed evidence of distal axonal neuropathy in both sexes at doses of 2–3 mg/kg-day for up to 2 years (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986, 1985; Burek et al., 1980). Male and female rats also exhibited similar clinical signs of neurotoxicity following repeated exposure to doses of 20 or 50 mg/kg-day (Burek et al., 1980; Fullerton and Barnes, 1966).

Chronic exposure of F344 rats to AA in drinking water induced increased incidences of thyroid follicular cell tumors (adenomas and carcinomas combined) in males and females, scrotal sac mesotheliomas in males, and mammary gland fibroadenomas in females (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986). These results show that both male and female rats are susceptible to AA-induced carcinogenic effects.

4.9.3. Other

No data are available regarding the effects of AA on other potentially susceptible populations.

Variability in internal dose following exposure to acrylamide in the diet or the environment is also an area of active research. Genetic polymorphisms in the AA metabolizing

P-450 enzyme CYP2E1 have been identified in humans (Hanioka et al., 2003) and studied for the impact of a susceptible population to alcohol toxicity (Verlaan et al., 2004) and to acrylonitrile, a chemical with similar metabolism to AA (Thier et al., 2002). The polymorphisms result in differences in the V_{max} of the enzyme (Hanioka et al., 2003) that could result in greater or lesser production of the GA metabolite and make some people more or less sensitive to adverse effects. The epidemiology evidence is not strong. There is some suggestive (i.e., not statistically significant) evidence that polymorphisms in CYP2E1 might confer a differential risk to alcohol-induced chronic pancreatitis (Verlaan et al., 2004) and that a slower CYP2E1mediated metabolism of acrylonitrile might result in higher acrylonitrile-hemoglobin adducts (and lower N-(cyanoethyl)valine adducts from the metabolite) (Thier et al., 2002). As discussed for childhood susceptibility, however, the delivery rate of the substrate relative to the values of Km and V_{max} for CYP2E1 is an important determinant of the total amount metabolized (or parent compound cleared) (Lipscomb, 2004; Lipscomb et al., 2003).

Estimates of daily AA intake in a nonsmoking general population in Germany based on hemoglobin adduct levels in blood and mercapturic acid excretion in urine indicated that children take up approximately 1.3-1.5 times more AA per kilogram of body weight than adults. The ratio GAMA/AAMA was also significantly higher in the group of young children (6-10 years) with a median level of 0.5 (Hartmann et al., 2008). In this same study there were no observed gender-related differences in internal exposure and metabolism.

Vesper et al (2008) reported highly variable estimates of acrylamide exposure in subgroups of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study population (510 subjects from 9 European countries, randomly selected and stratified by age, gender, and smoking status) based upon levels of hemoglobin adducts of acrylamide (HbAA) and its primary metabolite glycidamide (HbGA). A large variability in acrylamide exposure and metabolism between individuals and country groups was observed with HbAA and HbGA values ranging between 15-623 and 8-377 pmol/g of Hb, respectively. Both adducts differed significantly by country, sex, and smoking status.

Clearly, any assessment of health effects for a potentially susceptible population must consider the impact of factors such as age, country of origin, BMI, alcohol consumption, sex, and smoking status on the internal dose, as well as the susceptibility of any subpopulation to the biological activity of AA or GA at a target site. It is important to note, however, that since both the parent AA and the metabolite GA have adverse effects, different catalytic activities of CYP2E1 or other factors that lead to high variability in the internal dose may result in different spectra of adverse effects, providing an even greater challenge to simple classification schemes for susceptible subpopulations.

5. DOSE-RESPONSE ASSESSMENTS

5.1. ORAL REFERENCE DOSE

5.1.1. Choice of Principal Study and Critical Effect—with Rationale and Justification

As discussed in Section 4.7.1, there are only a few reports of noncancer health effects in humans associated with oral exposure to AA, but occupational experiences involving inhalation and dermal exposures firmly establish neurological impairment as a potential human health hazard from acute and chronic exposure to AA. In contrast, the oral toxicity database for laboratory animals is robust and contains (as shown in Figure 5-1 and Table 5-1): two 2-year carcinogenicity/toxicology drinking water studies in F344 rats; two two-generation reproductive toxicity studies, one in F344 rats and one in CD-1 mice; several single-generation reproductive toxicity studies involving prolonged prebreeding drinking water exposure of Long-Evans rats and ddY mice; and several developmental toxicity studies involving gestational exposure of Sprague-Dawley and Wistar rats and CD-1 mice.



bw = body weight; Decr = decreased; Develop = developmental study; DNC = degenerative nerve changes; HLFS = hindlimb foot splay; LOAEL = lowest-observed-adverseeffect level; MM IL = male mediated implantation losses; Malform = fetal malformations and variations; Neuro = neurological; NOAEL = no-observed-adverse-effect level; Repro = reproductive study. References: 1-Burek et al., 1980; 2-Johnson et al., 1986; 3-Friedman et al., 1995; 4-Tyl et al., 2000a; 5-Chapin et al., 1995; 6-Zenick et al., 1986-M; 7-Zenick et al., 1986-F; 8-Smith et al., 1986; 9-Sakamoto and Hashimoto, 1986-M; 10-Sakamoto and Hashimoto, 1986-F; 11-Field et al., 1990-rat; 12-Field et al., 1990-Mouse; 13-Wise et al., 1995; 14-Friedman et al., 1999a; 15-Garey and Paule, 2007.

Figure 5-1. Acrylamide oral exposure: selected NOAELs and LOAELs.

				Highest				
	Lowest			dose				
Effect/study	dose tested	NOAEL	LOAEL	tested	Reference			
Subchronic								
DNC; M&F rat EM, 90 days	0.05	0.2	1	20	1			
DNC; M&F rat LM, 90 days	0.05	1	5	20	1			
HLFS; M&F rat, 90days	0.05	5	20	20	1			
HLFS; F mouse, GD 6-20	3	15	45	45	12			
HLFS; F rat, GD 6-17	5	10	15	20	13			
HLFS; M mouse, 4 weeks before mating	3.3	13.3	16.3	16.3	9			
HLFS; F mouse, 4 weeks before mating			18.7	18.7	10			
	Chron	ic	-					
DNC; M&F rat, LM, 2 years	0.01	0.5	2	2	2			
DNC; M rat, LM, 2 years,	0.1	0.5	2	2	3			
DNC; F rat, LM, 2 years	1	1	3	3	3			
DNC; M rat, LM, 2-generation	0.5		5	5	4			
HLFS; M&F rat, 2 years	0.01	2		2	2			
HLFS; F rat, 2 years	0.1	2		2	3			
HLFS; F rat, 2 years	1	3		3	3			
HLFS; M&F mouse, 2 generations	0.8	7.5		7.5	5			
	Reproductiv	e effects						
Testes atrophy; M rat	0.05	5	20	20	1			
Sperm changes; M mouse	3.3	13.3	16.3	16.3	9			
Male-mediated Implantation Losses								
(MM IL); M rat	0.5	2	5	5	4			
MM IL; M mouse	0.8	3.1	7.5	7.5	5			
MM IL; M rat	7.9		7.9	7.9	6			
MM IL; M rat	1.5	1.5	2.8	5.8	8			
MM IL; M mouse	3.3	9	13.3	16.3	9			
Fertility; F mouse, 4 weeks before mating	18.7	18.7		18.7	10			
Fertility; F rat, 9 weeks before mating	5.1	14.6		14.6	7			
Dev	velopmental ef	ffects - othe	r	-				
Decreased pup bw; rat	5.1	5.1	8.8	14.6	7			
Malformations; rat	2.5	15		15	11			
Malformations; mouse	3	45		45	12			
Decreased pup bw; rat	5		5	20	13			
Decreased pup bw; rat	0.1	6		6	15			
Develo	pmental effect	ts - neurolog	gical	-				
DNC; mouse	0.8	7.5		7.5	5			
HLFD; rat	25	25		25	14			
Decreased grip strength; mouse	0.8	3.1	7.5	7.5	5			
Neurobehavior; rat, GD 6-10	5	10	15	20	13			
Neurobehavior; rat, gestation, lactation, to	0.1	1.3	6	6	15			
PND 85								

Table 5-1. Acrylamide oral exposure: selected NOAELs and LOAELs

As shown in Figure 5-1 and Table 5-1, the most sensitive effects noted in animals are degenerative peripheral nerve changes ("DNC" in Figure 5-1) and male-mediated implantation losses (i.e., male-mediated dominant lethal mutations --- "MM IL" in Figure 5-1). The lowest observed exposure levels associated with peripheral nerve changes are: 1 mg/kg-day for ultrastructural changes associated with degenerative nerve changes (0.2 mg/kg-day NOAEL) in male F344 rats exposed for 90 days (Burek et al., 1980) and 2 mg/kg-day for degenerative nerve changes detected by light microscopy (0.5 mg/kg-day NOAELs) in male F344 rats exposed for 2 years in two separate bioassays (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986). The lowest exposure levels associated with male-mediated implantation losses are somewhat higher than those associated with degenerative nerve changes: 2.8 mg/kg-day (1.5 mg/kg-day NOAEL) in Long-Evans rats exposed for 80 days (Smith et al., 1986); 5 mg/kg-day (2.0 mg/kg-day NOAEL) in F0 and F1 F344 rats exposed over 10 weeks plus breeding (Tyl et al., 2000a); 7.5 mg/kg-day (3.1 mg/kg-day NOAEL) in F0 and F1 CD-1 mice exposed over 14 weeks of breeding (Chapin et al., 1995); and 13.3 mg/kg-day (9.0 mg/kg-day NOAEL) in ddY mice exposed for 4 weeks (Sakamoto and Hashimoto, 1986). Testicular atrophy in rats and sperm abnormalities in mice have been observed only at oral doses > 15 mg/kg-day, and female fertility and reproductive performance in rats were unaffected at doses in the 15–20 mg/kg-day range (Figure 5-1).

Comprehensive histologic examinations of all major organs and tissues in the chronic and subchronic rat bioassays found no exposure-related nonneoplastic lesions at other sites at dose levels below 5 mg/kg-day (Table 5-1). Hindlimb splaying, a gross characteristic sign of peripheral neuropathy, has been observed in most studies at oral exposure levels (about 9– 25 mg/kg-day) well above the lowest doses (1–2 mg/kg-day) associated with microscopically detected degenerative peripheral nerve changes (Table 5-1; HLFS in Figure 5-1). As discussed in Section 4.7.1, an exception is one report that exposure to 0.5 mg/kg-day AA induced hindlimb splaying in F0 male F344 rats (Tyl et al., 2000a), but this report is not consistent with other findings and was not included in Figure 5-1. In the same study, Tyl et al. (2000a) did not observe hindlimb foot splay in the F1-generation rats exposed to doses as high as 5 mg/kg-day, nor was this endpoint reported in the other F344 rats exposed to drinking water doses as high as 2-3 mg/kg-day for 2 years (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986) or 5 mg/kg-day for 90 days (Burek et al., 1980) (Table 5-1; Figure 5-1). No increases in fetal malformations or variations were observed in rats or mice following maternal exposure to oral doses as high as 15 or 45 mg/kg-day, respectively (Field et al., 1990; Table 5-1; Figure 5-1). Neurobehavioral assessments of rat offspring found evidence for subtle effects at ≥ 15 mg/kg-day (decreased auditory startle response, Wise et al., 1995) and 6 mg/kg-day (decreased cognitive motivation, Gary and Paule, 2007); NOAELs for these effects were 10 and 1.3 mg/kg-day, respectively (Table 5-1; Figure 5-1). In conclusion, microscopically detected degenerative peripheral nerve

changes appear to be the most sensitive effect from oral exposure and are selected as the critical effect for deriving the RfD.

AA induces transmissible genetic damage in male germ cells of mice in the form of reciprocal translocations and gene mutations. Such effects can lead to genetic disorders and infertility in subsequent generations. As discussed in Section 4.4, dose-response relationships for heritable germ cell effects in animals (exposed dermally or by i.p. injection to AA) are not well described, particularly at dose levels below 50 mg/kg-day, and possible associations between human exposure to acrylamide and altered sperm characteristics have not been adequately studied. Thus, although the available data indicate that degenerative nerve changes are the critical effect in animals from chronic oral exposure to AA, additional research may find that heritable germ cell effects may occur at chronic oral exposure levels comparable to those inducing degenerative nerve cell changes.

Two chronic (2-year) drinking water studies (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986) were selected as co-principal studies for deriving the RfD, and the final quantitative RfD value is based on the dose-response data from only the Johnson study. These studies are the most appropriate datasets to derive the chronic RfD, rather than the subchronic study by Burek et al. (1980), primarily due to more appropriate durations of exposure (lifetime vs. 90 days) and greater numbers of animals/exposure group (a range of 20 to 88/sex/group in the chronic studies vs. 10/sex/group in the subchronic study). All three studies included multiple dose groups, thereby providing information on characteristics of the dose-response relationship.

The subchronic, 90-day study (Burek et al., 1980) used a more sensitive electron microscopic technique to detect degenerative nerve changes vs. the light microscopy used in the 2-year bioassays. The chronic drinking water study by Johnson et al. (1986) examined nerves sampled at 18 and 24 months by electron microscopy but reported that the background of ultrastructural changes in aging rats was too high to discern differences between control and exposed groups. The Burek et al. (1980) study evaluated sciatic nerves from only three rats/exposure group (about 150 fields/rat)⁶, and the changes noted were reported only as the total numbers of fields (per group) with ultrastructural changes as axolemma invaginations or Schwann cells without axons and/or with degenerating myelin (see Table 4-8). This reporting of the electron microscopy data does not support a statistical comparison of the incidence of changes between the exposed and control groups because it is unknown within any exposure group how the numbers of changes were distributed among the three rats (i.e., whether the apparent increase in incidence of fields with changes was due to one, two, or all three rats in the

⁶ The incidences of fields with any alterations were: 68/450, 39/450, 44/350, 108/453, 149/443, and 239/435 for the 0, 0.05, 0.2, 1, 5, and 20 mg/kg-day groups. Approximately 150 fields were examined for each rat; however, further statistical analysis was not possible because the numbers of fields with changes observed were not reported for each of the three rats singly from each group.

1, 5, and 20 mg/kg-day groups). The 1 mg/kg-day LOAEL and 0.2 mg/kg-day NOAEL from this subchronic study were, therefore, based on a semiquantitative assessment of the electron microscopy data, i.e., the incidences of electron microscopic fields with any ultrastructural changes were higher in the 1, 5, and 20 mg/kg-day groups than in the 0, 0.05, and 0.2 mg/kg-day groups, and light microscopy of sciatic nerves revealed no signs of degeneration in the 0, 0.05, 0.2, or 1 mg/kg-day groups, equivocal to very slight degeneration in 15/20 5 mg/kg-day rats, and moderate to severe degeneration in 20/20 20 mg/kg-day rats. The 1 mg/kg-day LOAEL, however, was for only very slight changes that were reversible by day 25 posttreatment, and the NOAEL from this study was limited to the selection of dose levels (i.e., there was no 0.5 mg/kg-day group as in the 2-year studies). The raw data for the ultrastructural changes in the subchronic study were not available for benchmark analysis, but the results clearly support the findings from the chronic studies.

The two chronic studies provided sufficient data for benchmark analysis, and reproducible NOAELs of 0.5 mg/kg-day and LOAELs of 2 mg/kg-day for persistent microscopically-detected AA-induced degenerative nerve changes from lifetime exposures. These studies are lacking functional testing of neurotoxicity and use a relatively insensitive measure (peripheral axonopathy detected by light microscopy) as the primary index of neurotoxicity, and leave yet unanswered the possibility that lower doses might result in adverse terminal degeneration or other functional deficit prior to the onset of axonal degeneration.

5.1.2. Methods of Analysis—Including Models (BMD, equivalent AUCs, in vivo rate constants, etc.)

The methods and models used to derive an RfD include benchmark dose models; methods to estimate the serum area under the concentration-time curve (AUC) based upon hemoglobin adduct levels, serum time-course data; and second order rate constants for adduct formation; and a model developed by EPA that estimates rat in vivo adduct formation rate constants based on rat adduct time-course data and various measures of rat serum in vivo AUCs for a given dose rate.

All available models in the EPA Benchmark Dose Software (BMDS version 1.3.1) were fit to the incidence data for microscopically-detected degenerative nerve changes in male and female F344 rats from the two 2-year drinking water studies (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986). The modeled data are shown in Table 5-2. The benchmark response (BMR) predicted to affect 5% of the population, BMR₅, was selected for the point of departure (POD). A BMR of 5% extra risk was selected for the following reasons: (1) this effect level is considered to be a minimal biologically significant change given the critical effect of degenerative nerve changes; (2) the BMDL₅ remained near the range of observation; and (3) the 5% extra risk level is supportable given the relatively large number of animals used in the principal studies.

	Dose (mg/kg-day)							
Reference	0	0	0.01	0.1	0.5	1.0	2.0	3.0
Johnson et al., 1986								
(incidence of rats with changes in tibial								
nerves: see Table 4-9)								
Males (moderate to severe) ^a	9/60	-	6/60	12/60	13/60	_	16/60 ^b	-
Females (slight to moderate) ^a	3/60	-	7/60	5/60	7/60	_	16/61 ^c	-
Friedman et al., 1995 ^d								
(incidence of rats with minimal to mild								
changes in sciatic nerves: see Table 4-12)								
Males	30/83	29/88	_	21/65	13/38	_	26/49 ^c	-
Females	7/37	12/43	-	_	-	2/20	-	38/86 ^c

Table 5-2. Incidence data for degenerative changes detected by light microscopy in nerves of male and female F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for 2 years

^aReported severity classes were very slight, slight, moderate, and severe. Males showed a high background of very slight and slight lesions; females showed a high background of very slight lesions.

^bStatistically significant trend test (Mantel-Haenszel extension of the Cochran-Armitage test, p < 0.05) for pooled moderate and severe degeneration. Note: no statistical significance for the high dose group. Incidence for severe degeneration with dose level in parentheses (in mg/kg-day) was 1 (control), 1 (0.01), 0 (0.1), 0 (0.5), and 4 (2.0). ^cStatistically significantly different from control incidences (p < 0.05).

^dTwo control groups were included in the study design to assess variability in background tumor responses; degeneration was reported to be characterized by vacuolated nerve fibers of "minimal-to-mild severity."

As shown in Appendix C, all models provided adequate fits to the data for changes in tibial nerves of male and female rats in the Johnson et al. (1986) study, as assessed by a χ^2 goodness-of-fit test. The log-logistic model was the best fitting model for the male rat data as assessed by Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC). The probit model was the best fitting model for the female rat data as assessed by Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC). The probit model was the best fitting model for the female rat data as assessed by Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC). The log-logistic model was thus selected to estimate a benchmark dose (BMD) from the Johnson et al. (1986) data. The probit model was selected to estimate the BMD for the female rat data. Table 5-3 (same as Table C-4 in Appendix C) lists the predicted doses associated with 10, 5, and 1% extra risk for nerve degeneration in female and male rats in the Johnson et al. (1986) study. The BMD₅ is the predicted dose associated with a 5% extra risk for degenerative lesions in either tibial or sciatic nerves, the BMDL₅ is the lower 95% confidence limit for the 5% extra risk. For male rats, the BMD₅ is 0.58 mg/kg-day, and the BMDL₅ is 0.27 mg/kg-day. For female rats, the BMD₅ is 0.67 mg/kg-day, and the BMDL₅ is 0.49 mg/kg-day.

female rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water (Johnson et al 1986)						
Model	BMD ₁₀ (ED ₁₀)	BMDL ₁₀ (LED ₁₀)	BMD ₅ (ED ₅)	BMDL ₅ (LED ₅)	BMD ₁ (ED ₁)	BMDL ₁ (LED ₁)
Male				,		
Log-logistic	1.22	0.57	0.58	0.27	0.11	0.05
Female						
Probit	1.19	0.88	0.67	0.49	0.15	0.11

Table 5-3. Predictions (mg/kg-day) from best-fitting models for doses associated with a 10, 5, and 1% extra risk for nerve degeneration in male and female rate expressed to correlate in drinking water (Johnson et al 1086)

Data source: Johnson et al. (1986). See Appendix C for model description and results.

Several models in the software provided adequate fits to the data for minimal to mild changes in sciatic nerves of male and female rats in the Friedman et al. (1995) study, as assessed by a χ^2 goodness-of-fit test (Appendix C). The quantal-quadratic and gamma models provided the best fit of the male and female rat data, respectively, as assessed by AIC. Table 5-4 (same as Table C-7 in Appendix C) lists the predicted doses associated with 10, 5, and 1% extra risk for nerve degeneration in female and male rats in the Friedman et al. (1995) study. The BMD₅ for minimal to mild changes in sciatic nerves for male rats is 0.77 mg/kg-day and the BMDL₅ is 0.57 mg/kg-day. For female rats, the BMD₅ is 2.25 mg/kg-day and the BMDL₅ is 0.46 mg/kg-day.

Table 5-4. Predictions (mg/kg-day) from best-fitting models for doses associated with 10, 5, and 1% extra risk for sciatic nerve changes in male and female rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water (Friedman et al 1995)

				(- /
Model	BMD ₁₀ (ED ₁₀)	BMDL ₁₀ (LED ₁₀)	BMD ₅ (ED ₅)	BMDL ₅ (LED ₅)	BMD ₁ (ED ₁)	BMDL ₁ (LED ₁)
Male						
Quantal quadratic	1.11	0.82	0.77	0.57	0.34	0.25
Female						
Gamma ^a	2.48	0.93	2.25	0.46	1.86	0.09

^aRestrict power ≥ 1 .

Data source: Friedman et al. (1995). See Appendix C for model description and results.

5.1.3. RfD Derivation—Including Application of Uncertainty Factors

The results of BMD analysis for the male and female rats appeared to be similar in the Johnson et al. (1986) and Friedman et al. (1995) studies with BMDLs for female rats of 0.49 and 0.46 mg/kg-day, respectively, and for male rats of 0.27 and 0.57 mg/kg-day, respectively. The lowest of the BMDLs is from the Johnson et al. (1986) study for male rats (0.27 mg/kg-day for 5% extra risk for mild-to-moderate lesions), and was chosen as the POD for the most sensitive effect to derive the RfD.

As discussed in Section 3-5, the internal dose (area under a time-concentration curve, AUC) of acrylamide and glycidamide in a rat can be derived for an external exposure to the

BMDL₅ of 0.27 mg/kg-day based on the relationships among hemoglobin adducts, serum levels, and administered dose that have been evaluated in a number of studies (Doerge et al., 2005 a,b,c, Tareke et al., 2006; Fennell et al., 2005; Bergmark et al., 1993). These data can then used to estimate the daily human intake of acrylamide needed to produce a comparable internal serum AUC level in humans (i.e., the humam equivalent concentration [HEC]), and with further adjustment of the HEC with uncertainty factors, an RfD.

The equations needed to derive the rat AUC and the human equivalent concentration are as follows:

1. Estimate of the rat serum AUC_{POD} (uM-hr) for AA or GA based on the POD dose (i.e, the BMDL in mg AA/kg bw/d).

$$F344 \operatorname{Rat} AUC_{POD} (uM - hr) = \frac{mg AA}{kg bw} \times \frac{uM - hr_{F344rat}}{mg AA / kg bw}$$

where the AUCs are for either AA or GA , and the conversion factor of uM-hr_{F344 rat} / mg AA/kg bw is the measured (or estimated) AUC values in rats normalized to an administered dose of AA in mg / kg bw.

2. Estimate of the human equivalent concentration or the daily intake (in mg AA/kg bw/d) needed to generate a compable AUC in humans to that of the rat AUC from the POD.

$$HEC in \frac{mg AA}{kg bw} = F 344 Rat AUC_{POD} (uM - hr) \div \frac{uM - hr_{human}}{mg AA/kg bw}$$

where the AUCs are for either AA or GA , and the conversion factor of $uM-hr_{human} / mg AA/kg$ bw is the measured (or estimated) AUC values in humans normalized to an administered dose of AA in mg / kg bw.

The tables discussed in Section 3-5 (Tables 3-5 through 3-7) that summarize the second order rate constants and various measured or estimated uM-hr AUCs per mg AA/kg bw for F344 rats and humans are reproduced below for convenience as Tables 5-5 through 5-7.

Table 5-5. Second order rate constants for reaction of acrylamide or glycidamide with the N-terminal value residue of hemoglobin.

	Sec	ond Order He	Rate Consta emoglobin A (I/g globin/h)	nt for Forma dducts x 10 ⁶	tion of	
Source	Male	Female	Average or pooled Male and Female	Gender Not Specified	Pooled Rat and	Human
AA –Val In Vivo Adduct Formation Rate ^a	και	Ral	Ral	και	wouse	numan
Based on all rat and mice Tareke et al. (2006) adduct data and measured serum AUCs in Doerge et al. (2005 b, c) single dose studies. Based on gender specific rat Tareke et al. (2006) adduct data and measured serum AUCs in Doerge et al. (2005c) single dose studies	8.9	5.9	7.4		7.5	
Based on all rat Tareke et al. (2006) adduct						
data and measured serum AUCs in Doerge et al. (2005c) single dose studies.			7.5			
AA –Val In Vitro Rate Adduct Formation Rate						
As reported by Fennell et al. (2005)	3.82					4.27
As reported by Bergmark et al. (1993)						4.4
As reported by Tareke et al. (2006)				2.9		7.4
As reported by Törnqvist et al. (2008)				4.6		
GA –Val In Vivo Adduct Formation Rate^a Based on all rat and mice Tareke et al. (2006) adduct data and measured serum AUCs in					32.5	
Doerge et al. (2005 b, c) single dose studies.						
(2006) adduct data and measured serum AUCs						
in Doerge et al. (2005c) single dose studies Based on all rat Tareke et al. (2006) adduct data and measured serum AUCs in Doerge et	35.3	20.0	27.6			
al. (2005c) single dose studies.			34.0			
GA –Val In Vitro Rate Adduct Formation Rate						
As reported by Fennell et al. (2005)	4.96					6.72
As reported by Bergmark et al. (1993) ^b				12.0		11.0
As reported by Tareke et al. (2006)				9.5		59.0
As reported by Törnqvist et al. (2008)				13.6		

^a See Appendix E for a complete description of the derivation of the in vivo adduct formation rates.

^b Note: Bergmark derived the rat GA-Val residue such that kval = (GA-Val *kcys)/GA cys; the human GA-Val adduct was measured directly.

Table 5-6. Measured and estimated AA AUCs normalized to dose in humans and F344 rats.

	AA AUC in µM-hr per mg AA/kg bw				
			Average of	Unoncolfic	
	Malo	Fomalo	Fomalo	d Gender	
	Rat	Rat	Rat	Rat	Human
AA in Humans					
Measured					
Kopp and Dekant 2009 - human serum data AA					2 02
					2.03
Estimated using human adduct data and test					
animal in vivo rate constants					
Fennell et al 2005 - human adduct data and in					
(2006) adduct data for all rat and mice in Doerge					
et al. (2005 b. c) single dose AUCs.					140.1
Estimated using human adduct data and					
human in vitro rate constants					
Fennell et al 2005 - human adduct data and					
Fennell in vitro rate constants					246.0
Fennell et al 2005 - human adduct data and					220.0
Bergmark et al. 1993 in vitro rate constants					230.0
Estimated using human adduct data and rat in					
vitro rate constants					
Fennell et al 2005 - human adduct data and					
Törnqvist et al. 2008 in vitro rate constants					228.5
AA in F344 Rats					
Measured					
Doerge et al. 2005 c - time course data from a	40.0	45.0	405		
single dietary exposure	18.0	15.0	16.5		
Doerge et al. 2005 c - time course data from a single davage exposure	24.0	<i>4</i> 5 0	315		
single gavage exposure	24.0	43.0	54.5		
Estimated using rat adduct data and rat in vivo					
rate constants					
Tareke et al (2006) adduct data for the Doerge et					
al. (2005a) 42 day drinking water study, and					
Tareke et al. (2006) and Doerge et al. (2005c)	22	48	35		
Estimated using rat adduct data and rat in					
vitro rate constants					
Törnqvist et al. 2008 - adduct data from drinking		40.0	44.0		
water studies and in vitro rate constants	34.0	48.0	41.0		
rennell et al. 2005 - adduct data single dose				80.2	
				00.2	

Table 5-7. Measured and estimated GA AUCs normalized to Dose inHumans and F344 rats.

GA AUC in μ M-hr per mg AA/kg bw

	Male Rat	Female Rat	Average of Male and Female Rat	Unspecified Gender Rat	Human
GA in Humans					
Estimated using human adduct data and test animal in vivo rate constants Fennell et al 2005 - human adduct data and in vivo rate constants derived from Tareke et al. (2006) adduct data for all rat and mice in Doerge et al. (2005 b, c) single dose AUCs.					12.5
Estimated using human adduct data and in vitro rate constants					
Fennell et al 2005 - human adduct data and Fennell in vitro rate constants					60.4
Fennell et al 2005 - human adduct data and Bergmark et al. 1993 in vitro rate constants					37.0
Estimated using human adduct data and rat in vitro rate constants					
Fennell et al 2005 - human adduct data and Törnqvist et al. 2008 in vitro rate constants					29.9
GA in F344 Rats					
Measured					
Single dietary exposure	19.0	15.0	17.0		
single gavage exposure	13.0	44.0	28.5		
Estimated using rat adduct data and rat in vivo rate constants Tareke et al (2006) adduct data for the Doerge et al. (2005a) 42 day drinking water study, and gender specific in vivo derived rate constants from Tareke et al. (2006) and Doerge et al. (2005c)	15.0	48.0	31.5		
Estimated using rat adduct data and rat in vitro rate constants					
Törnqvist et al. 2008 - adduct data from drinking water studies and in vitro rate constants Fennell et al. 2005 - adduct data single dose gavage studies and in vitro rate constant.	18.0	34.0	26.0	52.1	

Choice of the rat AUC /mg AA/kg bw conversion factor to derive the rat AUC_{POD}.

The best AUC conversion factor (i.e., AUC per administered dose) value to use in the derivation of a rat AUC based on the POD (BMDL) from the Johnson et al. (1986) study would be a directly measured in vivo gender specific serum AUC following a known dose of acrylamide in a similar dosing regimen (i.e., a drinking water exposure). For the F344 rat, the only directly measured AUC values from serum time course data for male and female rats are from single doses of AA administered by iv, gavage, or in the diet (Doerge et al., 2005c). Some serum data are available from the Doerge et al. (2005a) drinking water study in F344 rats, however, the published report only provides average serum levels apparently based on point samples from a limited number of days during the 42 day dosing period, and taken at unknown times relative to the intake of AA in the drinking water. Because of the rapid clearance of AA and GA from the blood, a single point sample with unknown time relative to intake is not sufficiently accurate to derive an AUC. A daily AUC from the Doerge et al. (2005a) drinking water study, however, can be derived from the measured hemoglobin adduct levels reported by Tareke et al. (2006) divided by a second order adduct formation rate. Table 5-8 below list the available adduct formation rates for rat AA-Val and GA-Val based on in vitro or in vivo data. The most relevant rates are those derived from in vivo data.

The derivation of in vivo adduct formation rates requires three critical types of data from a single study: 1) the administered dose, 2) time course serum levels, and 3) time course adduct levels (including sufficient post dosing sample times to determine elimination rates) if longer than one day of exposure. The only studies meeting this requirement are those from Doerge et al. (2005c) and Tareke et al. (2006). EPA has derived in vivo gender specific adduct formation rates based upon these single dose in vivo studies in male and female F344 rats. Table 5-8 list the raw serum AUCs that were available in numerical tables from Doerge et al. (2005c) and the levels of hemoglobin that were taken from bar chart compilations in Tareke et al. (2006).

Table 5-8. Serum AUC from Doerge et al. (2005c) and hemoglobin adduct levels from Tareke et al (2008) for a 0.1 mg/kg single dose of AA in male and female F344 rats.

Type of adduct from AA dosing	sex- route	Hb adduct level (fmole/mg globin)	AUC (uM-hr)
AA-Val	M-control	9	0
AA-Val	M-Diet	19.5	1.8
AA-Val	M- gavage	20	2.4
AA-Val	M-IV	46.5	4.1
AA-Val	F-control	12	0
AA-Val	F-Diet	23	1.5
AA-Val	F-gavage	29	4.5
AA-Val	F-IV	49.5	4.6
GA-Val	M-control	32.5	0
GA-Val	M-IV	36	0.58
GA-Val	M- gavage	64	1.3
GA-Val	M-Diet	98.5	1.9
GA-Val	F-control	45	0
GA-Val	F-IV	48.5	0.6
GA-Val	F-Diet	102	1.5
GA-Val	F-gavage	131	4.4

A linear regression of the hemoglobin adduct levels against the AUCs resulted in the following correlations coefficients (r), equations, and slopes.

Regression of hemoglobin adduct levels to AUC to derive in vivo second order rate constants for
adduct formation (i.e., the slope of the regression line)

	-		y- Intercep		Ratio of Slope GA-	
Gender	Adduct	Slope	t	r2	Val / AA-Val	r
Male	AA-Val	8.92	5.24	0.94		0.97
Female	AA-Val	5.90	12.73	0.85		0.92
		Average = 7.4				
Male	GA-Val	35.33	24.36	0.96	3.96	0.98
Female	GA-Val	19.98	49.16	0.93	3.38	0.96
		Average = 27.7				

Example equation:

AA-Val (fmoles/mg globin) for males = 8.9 AA AUC (uM-h) + 5.2 GA-Val (fmoles/mg globin) for females = 20.0 AA AUC (uM-h) + 49.2

The slopes from these linear regressions represent the in vivo derived second order rate constants for AA-Val listed in Tabel 5-5 as 8.9 for male F344 rats, 5.9 for female F344 rats, and

7.4 as the average of both genders (in units of [l/g globin/h] multiplied by 10^6 in Table 5-5 for ease of presentation). The GA-Val formation rate constants are 35.3 for males, 20.0 for females, and 27.6 as the average of both genders.

Tareke et al. (2006) do not report gender specific slopes for F344 rats, but they do report a slope of 7.5 for AA-Val (assumed to be pooled data for both genders), and 34 for GA-Val (both genders). The higher GA-Val slope for both genders from Tareke et al. (2006) of 34 compared with the average from EPA's derivation (27.6) may have resulted if Tareke et al. included the serum and adduct data from administered doses of GA in their analysis, data that were not included in EPA's regression analysis, i.e., EPA only used the adduct data from the AA dosing. EPA chose to derive the gender specific rates to more accurately estimate the internal rat AUC from the POD because Doerge et al. (2005c) observed lower serum AA-AUC and GA-AUC in male rats compared to females following the same gavage dose of AA, and Törnqvist et al. (2008) also report a gender difference in estimated AUCs from a drinking water exposure (see Tables 5-6 and 5-7).

EPA developed a simple model to fit the hemoglobin adduct data that Tareke et al. (2006) collected from the Doerge et al. (2000a) drinking water study to derive a conversion factor for the internal AA-AUC or GA-AUC per dose of AA taken in via drinking water. The model was parameterized with the the gender specific rat in vivo adduct formation rate constants derived by EPA, and the adduct elimination rate constants reported by Tareke et al. (2006). Appendix E provides the details of the model, the model code, the parameters and supporting data, and examples of the fits to the hemoglobin adduct data. The model simulations resulted in the following AUC conversion factors (also listed in Tables 5-6 and 5-7). These values were used to estimate the rat internal AUC that would result from the BMDL (i.e., the POD) for neurotoxicity as the basis for the RfD:

AA AUC in μ M-hr per mg AA/kg bw = 22 (for males) and 48 (for females) GA AUC in μ M-hr per mg AA/kg bw = 15 (for males) and 48 (for females)

The POD for neurotoxicity is a BMDL₅ of 0.27 mg AA/kg-day in male F344 rats from the Johnson et al. (1986) study. As discussed in the section on the mode of action of AA induced neurotoxicity, there is uncertainty as to whether AA or GA or both are responsible for the observed effects, although the current evidence tends to favor acrylamide as the putative neurotoxin. Based on a choice of the parent AA as the putative neurotoxin, and using the male (i.e., gender specific) AUC conversion factor of 22 μ M-hr per mg AA/kg bw, the estimated F344 male rat AUC_{POD} from exposure to a BMDL₅ of 0.27 mg AA/kg-day is 5.94 uM-hr :

F344 Male Rat AUC_{POD}
$$(uM - hr) = \frac{0.27 mg AA}{kg bw} \times \frac{22.0 uM - hr_{F344 rat}}{mg AA / kg bw} = 5.94 uM - hr$$

Deriving the HEC

The human equivalent concentration is the administered dose in humans that would result in the same internal serum AA-AUC as produced in the rat from the rat BMDL dose, i.e., an internal AUC of 5.94 uM-hr. No direct measurement of human serum levels of AA are available to derive an AA-AUC_{human} /AA mg/kg bw conversion factor for humans except from one study by Kopp and Dekant (2009) where human time course serum concentrations of AA were measured for 2 hours following a single oral dose of 20 ug AA/kg bw. As can be seen in Table 5-6, however, the resulting AUC /mg AA/kg bw of 2.8 μ M AA-hr_{human} per mg AA/kg bw is grossly under the other estimates of from 140 to 246 μ M AA-hr_{human} per mg AA/kg bw, based on hemoglobin adduct levels and various second order rate constants. Hemoglobin adduct levels were not measured by Kopp and Dekant to help resolve why there is such discordance with other estimates, and until resolved, the Kopp and Dekant AUC data are not considered sufficient for use in deriving the human AUC conversion factor.

The other options for a human conversion factor listed in Table 5-6, however, are sufficient to estimate the AA-AUC per administered dose for use in this toxicological review. These are based on the Fennell et al. (2005) data for human AA-Val levels per administered dose divided by various in vivo or in vitro based second order rate constants. There is under a two fold range in values from the lowest to the highest formation rate (140 to 246), which would result in a comparable spread of HECs. EPA considers the most relevant and accurate adduct formation rate constant to be the one derived from a linear regression of the in vivo adduct level versus AUC data for all male and female mice and rats combined from single dose studies of Doerge et el. (2005 b,c) and Tareke et al. (2006). The resulting in vivo AA-Val formation rate is 7.5 x 10^{-6} l/g globin/h, and is included in Table 5-5, as well as discussed in greater detail in Appendix E. The choice of a non-gender specific in vivo formation rate for humans is supported by the epidemiology results of Hartmann et al. (2009) who did not observe a gender-related difference in internal exposure and metabolism of AA in a study of a nonsmoking general population especially designed for an even distribution of age and gender.

The human AA-AUC conversion factor is calculated by dividing the measured human AA-Val adduct level of 74.7 nmol of AA-Val/g globin/mmol AA/kg bw (Fennell et al., 2005) by the in vivo AA-Val adduct formation rate of $7.5 \times 10^{-6} \, \text{l/g}$ globin/h resulting in a conversion factor of 140.1 μ M AA-hr_{human} per mg AA/kg bw (see Tables 5-5 and 5-6).

$$\frac{74.7 \text{ nmol } AA - Val}{\frac{g \text{ globin}}{mM \text{ } AA / kg \text{ } bw}} \div \frac{7.5 \times 10^{-6} l}{g \text{ globin} - h} = \frac{9.96 \text{ } mM \text{ } AA - hh}{mM \text{ } AA / kg \text{ } bw}$$

The above human serum AA AUC of 9.96 mMoles AA-hr /mMoles of AA /kg bw is converted to 140.1 μ M AA-hr per mg AA/kg bw using the following unit conversions (i.e., the units in Fennel et al. (2005) are divded by the molecular weight of acrylamide (71.08), and multiplied by 1000 to convert mMoles to μ Moles):

 $\frac{9.96 \, mM \, AA - hr}{mM \, AA / kg \, bw} x \frac{1 \, mM \, AA}{71.08 \, mg \, AA} = \frac{0.1401 \, mM \, AA - hr}{mg \, AA / kg \, bw} x 1000 = \frac{140.1 \, uM \, AA - hr_{human}}{mg \, AA / kg \, bw}$

The HEC based on this conversion factor and the rat AUC_{POD} from exposure to a BMDL₅ of 0.27 mg AA/kg-day is 0.042 mg AA/kg bw:

$$HEC in \frac{mg AA}{kg bw} = F 344 Rat AUC_{POD} (uM - hr) \div \frac{uM - hr_{human}}{mg AA / kg bw}$$

$$HEC in \frac{mg AA}{kg bw} = 5.94 \, uM - hr \div \frac{140.1 \, uM - hr_{human}}{mg AA / kg bw} = 0.042 \, mg AA / kg bw$$

Derivation of the RfD

The HEC adjusted POD of 0.042 mg AA/ kg bw is divided by a total uncertainty factor (UF) of 30 to derive the RfD: 3 for extrapolation for interspecies toxicodynamic differences (UF_{A-TD}: animal to human) and 10 for consideration of intraspecies variation (UF_H: human variability).

An UF of 3 ($10^{1/2}$ = 3.16, rounded to 3) was selected to account for uncertainties in extrapolating from rats to humans for toxicodynamic differences (UF_{A-TD}). It is reasonable to assume that the neuropathic effects observed in rats are relevant to humans since peripheral neuropathy in humans has been widely associated with occupational (inhalation and dermal) exposure to AA, and cases of peripheral neuropathy associated with oral exposure have been reported. Available information is inadequate to quantify potential differences between rats and

humans in the toxicodynamics of orally administered AA. The lack of a mechanistic basis or any quantitative information on toxicodynamic differences between rats and humans provides support for the UF_{A-TD} of 3. The equivalent AUC method was used to account for intraspecies toxicokinetic differences, and thus the UF_{A-TK} = 1 instead of the default value of 3.16 ($10^{1/2}$).

An UF of 10 was used to account for interindividual variability in toxicokinetics and toxicodynamics to protect potentially sensitive populations and lifestages (UF_H). Although male rats appear to be slightly more sensitive than female rats to AA-induced neurotoxicity and were the basis of the POD for the RfD, the extent of variation in sensitivity to AA within the human population is unknown. In the absence of this information, the default value of 10 was selected.

An UF for extrapolating from a subchronic exposure duration to a chronic exposure duration (UF_s) was not needed, because the point of departure was derived from a study with chronic exposure (i.e., the UF_s = 1).

An UF to account for the extrapolation from a LOAEL to a NOAEL (UF_L) was not applied because the current approach is to address this extrapolation as one of the considerations in selecting a BMR for BMD modeling (i.e., UF_L =1). In this case, EPA concluded a 5% increase in response, is appropriate for use in deriving the RfD under the assumption that it represents a minimal biologically significant change.

An UF to account for database deficiency is not necessary (i.e., $UF_D = 1$). The oral toxicity database for laboratory animals repeatedly exposed to AA is robust and contains two 2-year carcinogenicity/toxicology drinking water studies in F344 rats and numerous shorter-term oral toxicity studies in animals; two two-generation reproductive toxicity studies, one in F344 rats and one in CD-1 mice; several single-generation reproductive toxicity studies involving prolonged prebreeding drinking water exposure of Long-Evans rats and ddY mice; and several developmental toxicity studies involving gestational exposure of Sprague-Dawley and Wistar rats and CD-1 mice. The database identifies nerve degeneration as the critical effect from chronic oral exposure. There are unresolved issues that warrant further research including the MOA of AA-induced neurotoxicity, the potential for behavioral or functional adverse effects not detected in the assays to date, and the uncertainty that heritable germ cell effects may occur at doses comparable to those inducing degenerative nerve lesions with chronic oral exposure. These issues, however, do not warrant applying an UF for database deficiencies.

Functional neurotoxic deficits have been observed in both animal and human studies, and at least two MOA precursor events have been proposed (i.e., central nerve terminal damage or reduction in fast axonal transport). Either of these precursor events might result in other serious behavioral or functional neurological deficits that were not detected in the bioassays. More research is needed to further evaluate more subtle irreversible adverse behavioral or functional effects in humans and laboratory animals. As discussed in Section 4.4, the magnitude of response at low doses, and the shape of the low dose-response curve for potentially serious heritable germ cell effects, is also a research need. Some of these data needs are currently being addressed.

The RfD for AA was calculated as follows:

RfD = HEC ÷ UF = 0.042 mg/kg-day ÷ 30 = 0.001 mg/kg-day (rounded to one significant digit)

5.1.4. Previous RfD Assessment

This RfD replaces the previous RfD for AA of 0.0002 mg/kg-day entered into the IRIS database on September 26, 1988. The previous RfD was based on nerve damage (NOAEL of 0.2 mg/kg-day; LOAEL of 1 mg/kg-day) observed in a rat subchronic drinking water study (Burek et al., 1980). The RfD was derived by dividing the NOAEL by an UF of 1,000: 10 for uncertainty in extrapolating from animals to humans, 10 for intrahuman variability, and 10 for uncertainty in extrapolating from a subchronic to a chronic exposure. The new RfD is based on a more recent chronic exposure studies (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986), as well as current methodology for characterizing the dose-response curve, for determining the POD (i.e., the BMDL), and for deriving the HEC.

5.2. INHALATION REFERENCE CONCENTRATION (RfC)

5.2.1. Choice of Principal Study and Critical Effect—with Rationale and Justification

As discussed in Section 4.5.2, neurological impairment is a well-established human health hazard associated with acute and repeated occupational exposure involving inhalation of airborne AA and dermal contact with AA-containing materials. Studies describing reliable relationships, however, between exposure concentrations and neurological responses in humans or animals are not available. Two cross-sectional health surveillance studies of AA-exposed workers describe correlative relationships between hemoglobin adduct levels of AA (an internal measure of dose) and changes in a neurotoxicity index based on self-reported symptoms and clinical measures of neurological impairment (Calleman et al., 1994) or increased incidences in self-reported symptoms of neurological impairment and eye and respiratory irritation (Hagmar et al., 2001). These studies, however, provide limited information on dose-response relationships for chronic inhalation exposure to AA, because they involved mixed inhalation and dermal exposure (in both groups of workers, dermal exposure was thought to have been substantial), the duration of exposure was less than chronic, workers in both studies were exposed to confounding chemicals (acrylonitrile in the first study and NMA in the second), and the internal measure of dose (N-terminal valine adducts of hemoglobin) is not specific for AA alone (i.e., NMA can form the same adduct). Although these data are limited, EPA did derive an RfC from the Calleman et al (1994) data for comparison purposes (see Section Appendix F). The preferred

derivation for the RfC, however, is based on a route-to-route extrapolation directly from the oral exposure POD.

The justification for deriving an RfC directly from the oral exposure POD used as the basis for the RfD includes: (1) a well characterized dose-response and identification of the most sensitive noncancer endpoint from an adequate database of oral exposure studies; 2) considerable evidence from occupational experience that dermal and inhalation exposures to AA induce peripheral neuropathies, including development of the types of degenerative lesions observed in nerves of rats exposed via drinking water; (3) evidence of rapid, nearly complete absorption from the oral route and rapid distribution throughout the body (Kadry et al., 1999; Miller et al., 1982); 4) evidence that the elimination kinetics of radioactivity from oral or i.v. administration of radiolabeled AA in rats is similar (Miller et al., 1982); 5) similar flux of AA through metabolic pathways following either single dose oral or single 6 hr inhalation exposures in rats (Sumner et al., 2003); 6) some route-to-route differences in the relative amounts of AA to GA, however, the differences are within two fold of each other; and 7) lack of support for portal of entry effects.

In the only animal inhalation kinetic study (i.e, no human inhalation kinetic information is available) Sumner et al. (2003) report a statistically significantly larger percentages of urinary metabolites associated with GA formation following an inhalation exposure compared with an i.p. and gavage exposure. GA-Val levels are also higher and AA-Val levels lower (as indicators of serum AUCs), following the single 6 hr inhalation exposures versus the single gavage dose in rats, however, statistical significance was not reported for the adduct level differences, and the numbers are within two fold of each other. Doerge et al. (2005b, 2005c) report an increased percentage of GA formation observed in mice and F344 rats from a gavage or dietary exposure compared to an i.v. exposure that, in conjunction with the Sumner et al. (2003) results, indicate that there is first pass metabolism in the lungs following an inhalation exposure similar to the first pass metabolism in the liver from an oral exposure, but apparently the lungs may have a larger percent of oxidative metabolism of AA to GA.

Although in this only available inhalation kinetic study by Sumner et al. (2003) there do appear to be some route-to-route differences in the relative amounts of AA to GA, the differences are within two fold of each other, and the metabolic paths and total disposition are similar, supporting the derivation of the RfC based upon the oral POD used as the basis for the RfD.

The level of AA in the air that would result in a comparable intake to the oral exposure POD is based on a 70 kg person who breathes 20 m³ of air/day. The benchmark response (BMR) predicted to affect 5% of the population, BMR₅, was selected for the point of departure (POD). A BMR of 5% extra risk was selected for the following reasons: (1) this effect level is considered to be a minimal biologically significant change given the critical effect of

degenerative nerve changes; (2) the BMDL₅ remained near the range of observation; and (3) the 5% extra risk level is supportable given the relatively large number of animals used in the principal studies.

5.2.2. Methods of Analysis— Including Models (BMD, equivalent AUCs, in vivo rate constants, etc.)

See Section 5.1 for derivation of the chronic oral RfD for AA, Section 3.5 for a discussion of the use of hemoglobin adducts and AUCs to derive an HEC, and Appendix E for details on the model used to estimate of in vivo second order rate constants for the formation of hemoglobin adducts.

5.2.3. RfC Derivation—Including Application of Uncertainty Factors

The BMDL₅ for degenerative nerve lesions in male rats exposed to AA in drinking water for 2 years is taken as the POD for deriving the RfC. The internal dose metric remains the AUC of AA in male rat blood, and the human equivalent daily inhalation intake required to produce that same AUC value in human blood would be 0.042 mg/kg-day. The air concentration that would provide a 70 kg person who breathes 20 m³ of air that amount of daily exposure is 0.15 mg/ m³.

Air Concentration_{HEC-POD} = 0.042 mg / kg - day × 70 kg ÷
$$\frac{day}{20 m^3}$$
 = 0.15 mg / m³

This HEC-adjusted POD for a continuous inhalation exposure of 0.15 mg/m³ is divided by a total UF of 30: 3 for extrapolation for interspecies toxicodynamic differences (UF_{A-TD}: animal to human) and 10 for consideration of intraspecies variation (UF_H: human variability).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Total } UF &= 30 \\ &= 3 \; (UF_{\text{A-TD}}) \times 1 \; (UF_{\text{A-TK}}) \times 10 \; (UF_{\text{H}}) \times 1 \; (UF_{\text{S}}) \times 1 \; (UF_{\text{L}}) \times 1 \; (UF_{\text{D}}) \end{aligned}$$

An UF of 3 ($10^{1/2} = 3.16$, rounded to 3) was selected to account for uncertainties in extrapolating from rats to humans for toxicodynamic differences (UF_{A-TD}). It is reasonable to assume that the neuropathic effects observed in rats are relevant to humans since peripheral neuropathy in humans has been widely associated with occupational (inhalation and dermal) exposure to AA, and cases of peripheral neuropathy associated with oral exposure have been reported. Available information is inadequate to quantify potential differences between rats and humans in toxicodynamics of orally administered AA. The lack of a mechanistic basis or any quantitative information on toxicodynamic differences between rats and humans provides support for the UF_{A-TD} of 3. The equivalent AUC method was used to account for intraspecies toxicokinetic differences, and thus the UF_{A-TK} = 1 instead of the default value of 3.16 ($10^{1/2}$).

An UF of 10 was used to account for interindividual variability in toxicokinetics and toxicodynamics to protect potentially sensitive populations and lifestages (UF_H). Although male rats appear to be slightly more sensitive than female rats to AA neurotoxicity and were the basis of the POD for the RfD, the extent of variation in sensitivity to AA within the human population is unknown. In the absence of this information, the default value of 10 was selected.

An UF for extrapolating from a subchronic exposure duration to a chronic exposure duration (UF_S) was not needed because the point of departure was derived from a chronic exposure study (i.e., the UF_S = 1).

A UF to account for the extrapolation from a LOAEL to a NOAEL (UF_L) was not applied because the current approach is to address this extrapolation as one of the considerations in selecting a BMR for BMD modeling (i.e., UF_L =1). In this case, EPA concluded a 5% increase in response, is appropriate for use in deriving the RfD under the assumption that it represents a minimal biologically significant change.

An UF to account for database deficiency is not necessary for this derivation (i.e., $UF_D = 1$) because an AUC equivalence method was used to conduct the route-to-route extrapolation based on an oral POD, and the oral POD was based on an adequate database. The oral toxicity database for laboratory animals repeatedly exposed to AA is robust and contains two 2-year carcinogenicity/toxicology drinking water studies in F344 rats and numerous shorter-term oral toxicity studies in animals; two two-generation reproductive toxicity studies, one in F344 rats and one in CD-1 mice; several single-generation reproductive toxicity studies involving prolonged prebreeding drinking water exposure of Long-Evans rats and ddY mice; and several developmental toxicity studies involving gestational exposure of Sprague-Dawley and Wistar rats and CD-1 mice. The database identifies nerve degeneration as the critical effect from chronic oral exposure. There are unresolved issues that warrant further research, including the MOA of AA neurotoxicity, the potential for behavioral or functional adverse effects not detected in the assays to date, and the uncertainty that heritable germ cell effects may occur at lower than previously reported doses. These issues, however, do not warrant applying a UF for database deficiencies.

The RfC for AA is calculated as follows:

RfC = Air Concentration_{HEC-POD}
$$\div$$
 UF
= 0.15 mg/m³ \div 30
= 0.005 mg/m³ (rounded to one significant digit)

5.2.4. Previous RfC Assessment

The previous IRIS assessment did not derive an RfC for acrylamide.

5.3. UNCERTAINTIES IN THE ORAL REFERENCE DOSE AND INHALATION REFERENCE CONCENTRATION

The following discussion identifies uncertainties in the derivation of the RfD and RfC for AA. Uncertainties in key aspects of the AA assessment include: (1) the completeness of the database for identifying potentially adverse effects, (2) the choice of the critical effect and its relevance for humans, (3) the biological rationale supporting the choice of the dose-response model and determination of the point of departure (POD), (4) the use of the oral POD to derive the RfC (i.e., the route-to-route extrapolation, and (5) the uncertainties in the derivation of the HEC based on human hemoglobin adduct data and second order rate constants.

U.S. EPA has developed default uncertainty factors to account for uncertainties in an RfD or RfC due to missing or inadequate data (U.S. EPA, 2002, 1994b). The default uncertainty factors address the following areas of uncertainty: (1) variation in susceptibility among the members of the human population (i.e., inter-individual or intraspecies variability); (2) in extrapolating animal data to humans (i.e., interspecies uncertainty); (3) in extrapolating from data obtained in a study with less-than-lifetime exposure (i.e., extrapolating from subchronic to chronic exposure); (4) in extrapolating from a LOAEL rather than from a NOAEL; and (5) associated with extrapolation when the database is incomplete. Uncertainty factors are used in the derivation of the RfD and RfC to adjust the POD downward and thus reduce the potential risk of adverse effects to public health.

The specific uncertainty factors used in deriving the AA RfD and RfC were previously discussed in Sections 5.1.3 and 5.2.3, respectively. A methodology to extrapolate an internal AUC was available to account for interspecies toxicokinetic differences. Default uncertainty factors were therefore used to account for toxicodynamic differences when extrapolating the dose-response relationship from test animals to humans, and to account for intrahuman variability in toxicokinetics and toxicodynamics to protect susceptible subpopulations.

In the case of AA, the uncertainties in the underlying data and methods used are similar for the RfD and the RfC since the RfC is based on the same data as the RfD. The following discussion, therefore, addresses the main areas of uncertainty relevant to both the RfD and the RfC in Section 5.3.1. Section 5.3.2 provides a more detailed look at the uncertainty factors used in the derivation of the RfD and RfC. Key points in the discussion are summarized in Table 5-9.

Consideration/ Approach	Impact on noncancer risk estimate	Decision	Justification
Completeness of the database	Alternative endpoints not identified in the current database could ↑ the estimated risk in humans from exposure to AA.	The available AA database is sufficiently robust and adequate to identify commonly known endpoints for adverse effects, and to not warrant a $UF_D > 1$.	The animal database is robust and complete by IRIS assessment standards. Although the human data are limited, they clearly demonstrate neurotoxicity as the predominant observable noncancer adverse effect. Although animal studies for inhalation exposures are limited, kinetic studies in animals and humans indicate no critical route specific endpoints. Heritable germ cell effects have been reported in animal studies at much higher levels of exposure (i.p. or dermal, 40–125 mg/kg), and further research is warranted to evaluate the potential for these effects at lower doses.
Selection of the most sensitive endpoint relevance to humans	If a more sensitive endpoint than histological changes were demonstrated (e.g., functional or behavioral effects, heritable germ cell effects), there could be an ↑ in the proposed risk to humans.	The available data support neurotoxicity (as determined by histological changes) as the most sensitive endpoint.	Limited human data support neurotoxicity as the most sensitive noncancer endpoint, and this endpoint is well supported by numerous animal studies. Heritable germ cell effects have been reported in animal studies at much higher levels of exposure (i.p. or dermal, 40–125 mg/kg), and further research is warranted to evaluate the potential for these effects at lower doses. Other reproductive effects have been observed in animals, but at levels 3–5 fold higher than neurotoxic effects, and no reports were identified of reproductive effects in humans.
Dose-response modeling	Alternative approaches to determining a POD could either ↑ or ↓ the estimated risks to humans.	A BMD analysis with mulitple model choices resulted in adequate fits to the AA dose-response data and provided valid estimates of the POD.	A number of BMD models provided reasonable fits to the AA dose-response data from both bioassays. The model with the best AIC and the lowest POD were chosen as the basis for the RfD. There was reasonably good concordance in the estimated PODs from the best fitting models to the available chronic bioassay data supporting a relatively hgh degree of confidence in the BMD approach.

 Table 5-9. Summary of uncertainty in the acrylamide noncancer risk assessment

Consideration/ Approach	Impact on noncancer risk estimate	Decision	Justification
Use of the equivalent AUC method to estimate an oral exposure HEC to derive the RfD	An alternate approach (e.g., using default uncertainty factors) could either ↑ or ↓ the estimated risks to humans.	The AUC method used to estimate the human equivalent dose used in the derivation of the RfD.	The development of an HEC based on estimates of the internal AUC/ mg AA/kg bw provides a better estimate of interspecies toxiokinetic differences than uncertainty factors, and is more scientifically supportable. The choice of a non- gender specific in vivo formation rate for humans is supported by the epidemiology results of Hartmann et al. (2009) who did not observe a gender-related difference in internal exposure and metabolism of AA in a study of a nonsmoking general population especially designed for an even distribution of age and gender. Additonal human serum data and in vivo adduct formation rate data, however, are needed to reduce uncertainty in the estimate of human AUC per intake of AA using the equivalent AUC method, or to develop a PBPK model that would provide additional capability to evaluate different dose metrics or dosage regimens.
Estimate of the HEC (route-to-route extrapolation) to derive the RfC	An alternate method (e.g., multiple assumptions about absorption and distribution of an inhaled dose) could either ↑ or ↓ the estimated risks to humans.	Use an HEC for the inhalation exposure comparable to the daily intake level derived using the AUC method for an oral exposure to derive an RfC.	Justification for deriving an RfC from the oral RfD is based on animal kinetic data suggesting some differences in relative levels of GA and AA between the inhalation and oral route, but sufficient similarities in metabolic pathways and internal disposition to support the extrapolation based on the oral POD. Additional animal or human inhalation kinetic data are needed to verify the limited available data, and to reduce uncertainty in the route-to-route extrapolation, as well as to develop a PBPK model that would provide additional capability to evalute different dose metrics or dosage regimens. The alternate RfC based on the Calleman et al. (1994) data is comparable to the RfC based on the route-to- route extrapolation, and provides some additional scientific support for this value.

 Table 5-9. Summary of uncertainty in the acrylamide noncancer risk assessment

Consideration/ Approach	Impact on noncancer risk estimate	Decision	Justification
Default uncertainty factor used to account for interspecies differences in toxicodynamics (UF _{A-TD} of 3.16; rounded to 3)	The magnitude of possible over- or underestimation in the default uncertainty factor for interspecies differences in toxicodynamics could \uparrow or \downarrow the estimated risks to humans.	The default toxicodynamic uncertainty factor was used in conjunction with the AUC method for deriving an HEC in the derivation of the RfD and RfC.	The default uncertainty factor for toxicodynamic differences is used in the absence of adequate chemical or species specific data to support a more informed extrapolation.
Default uncertainty factor used to account for intrahuman variability: $UF_{\rm H} = 10$	The magnitude of possible over- or underestimation in the default factor for intrahuman differences could ↑ or ↓ the estimated risks to humans.	The default uncertainty factor for human variability was used.	The default factor for intrahuman variability was used in the absence of an adequately developed and tested PBPK/PD model (or other chemical and human data) that would support a more informed estimate of intrahuman variability.

Table 5-9.	Summary	of uncertainty in	the acrylamide	noncancer risk	assessment

5.3.1. Areas of Uncertainty

Completeness of the database

The human data for potential noncancer adverse effects from exposure to AA are limited to occupational case reports for neurological effects following inhalation and/or dermal exposure (with no data on levels of exposure), two cross-sectional health surveillance studies of AA-exposed workers that correlate AA-hemoglobin adduct levels and measures of neurological impairment in AA workers (Hagmar et al., 2001; Calleman et al., 1994), and one kinetic study in 24 human volunteers who were exposed to either a single low-level oral exposure with no observed toxicity, or to a dermal exposure with adverse effects reported for only one individual who responded with a mild reversible contact dermatitis (delayed hypersensitivity reaction) (Fennell et al., 2005). No human studies were identified on the potential for adverse reproductive or developmental effects from exposure to AA via inhalation or dermal exposure, and no human repeated oral exposure studies were identified that evaluated any adverse noncancer effect.

The animal database for repeated oral exposures, however, is robust, and includes two 2-year carcinogenicity/toxicology drinking water studies in F344 rats, numerous shorter-term toxicity studies in various species, two two-generation reproductive toxicity studies (one in F344 rats and one in CD-1 mice), several single-generation reproductive toxicity studies involving prolonged prebreeding drinking water exposures (in Long-Evans rats and ddY mice), and several developmental toxicity studies with gestational exposures to dams of Sprague-Dawley rats, Wistar rats, and CD-1 mice. Animal studies for inhalation exposures are limited to three subchronic studies in cats, dogs, and rats from the mid-1950s (Hazleton Laboratories, 1954, 1953) that report neurotoxicity dependent on the dose and species tested. No chronic animal inhalation studies for exposure to AA were identified.

With respect to the route of exposure versus the observed adverse effect, animal studies indicate that AA is rapidly absorbed and distributed when it enters the body from either an oral or inhalation exposure (Sumners et al., 2003). Moreover, the neurological effects reported in human occupational studies and case reports following inhalation or dermal exposure are similar to the effects observed in a broad range of oral exposure animal studies, and neurological effects appear to be the most sensitive effect (see Section 4). Thus there is good support for the hypothesis that the neurological effects observed in humans from an inhalation exposure would likely be observed from an oral exposure that produced a comparable internal level of parent AA (or metabolite) at an internal target site. As a result, the absence of animal inhalation studies does not compromise the completeness of the database as it would if the spectrum of effects were very much different for different routes of exposure.

In summary, there is a substantial animal database to assess the noncancer effects of AA. The oral toxicity database for laboratory animals repeatedly exposed to AA is robust and adequate to support the derivation of the RfD, and the validity of conducting a route-to-route extrapolation from the oral data to derive an RfC is well supported by the available kinetic data. Although a database deficiency uncertainty factor does not appear to be warranted in the derivation of the RfD and RfC (UF_{DB} = 1), there are unresolved issues that warrant further research including the MOA of AA-induced neurotoxicity, the potential for behavioral or functional adverse effects not detected in the assays to date, and the uncertainty that heritable germ cell effects may occur at doses lower than those identified in currently available animal studies. As discussed in Section 4.4, single or repeated i.p. doses of AA or GA ranged from 40 to 125 mg/kg and one study employed five daily dermal applications of AA at 50 mg/kg. Heritable translocations appeared at high frequency at the lowest doses tested, which indicates that lower doses may have also elicited heritable translocations. Well-designed animal studies are needed to assess oral exposure dose-response relationships for AA- and GA-induced heritable germ cell effects, particularly in the low dose region. Any future studies of possible associations between AA exposure and sperm characteristics in humans should adjust for smoking history and alcohol consumption, especially due to the growing evidence of associations between cigarette smoking and altered sperm endpoints.

Selection of the most sensitive endpoint

The available human and animal data clearly support the choice of neurotoxicity as the most sensitive endpoint. The human occupational studies and case studies report neurotoxicity, and both oral exposure animal chronic bioassays report nerve degeneration as the most sensitive adverse effect.

Reproductive toxicity (e.g., reduced number of live pups per litter) has been observed in rodent studies, but the no effect level was approximately 3–5 fold higher (i.e., a less sensitive response) than observed for neurotoxicity. Germ cell effects (e.g., heritable translocations or mutations, dominant lethals) have also been reported in animal studies, and are a potentially more serious adverse event than neurotoxicity, because heritable germ cell effects can occur not only in the exposed individual, but also in their offspring and subsequent generations. Heritable germ cells effects, however, have only been observed at relatively high levels of AA exposure in animal studies (40–125 mg/kg, i.p. or dermal) and there are no oral or inhalation exposure studies examining heritable germ cell effects.

Another area of uncertainty is the possibility that functional or behavioral neurotoxic endpoints might occur at lower dose levels than the morphological changes that were used as the measure of neurotoxicity in the animal chronic assays. Functional neurotoxic deficits have been observed in shorter term animal studies, and in humans occupationally exposed to AA. Two precursor events have been proposed for the MOA leading to functional neurotoxicity - central nerve terminal damage and reduction in fast axonal transport. Either of these precursor events might result in serious behavioral or functional neurological deficits at doses lower than those needed to produce histologically observable morphological changes. The U.S Food and Drug Administration is conducting studies to address this issue. If adverse functional changes were, in fact, determined to occur at dose lower than those for histologically observable nerve tissue

DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

225

damage, the values of the RfD and RfC could potentially be lower. In addition, the use of a relatively insensitive measure of neurotoxicity in the chronic principal studies (peripheral axonopathy detected by light microsopy, as opposed to ultrastructural changes detected by electron microscopy), raises concern for the possibility that, in looking at axonal degeneration, preceding terminal degeneration may have been missed, particularly at lower doses.

Dose-response modeling and determination of the point of departure

BMD modeling was used to estimate the POD for the AA RfD. BMD modeling has advantages over a POD based on a NOAEL or LOAEL because all of the data are used to characterize the dose-response relationship, and because NOAELs/LOAELs are a reflection of the particular exposure concentration or dose at which a study was conducted.

All available models in the EPA Benchmark Dose Software (BMDS version 1.3.1) were fit to the incidence data for microscopically-detected degenerative nerve changes in male and female F344 rats from the two 2-year drinking water studies (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986). The BMR predicted to affect 5% of the population, BMR₅ was selected for the POD rather than the more commonly chosen BMR of 10% for the following reasons (1) the 95% lower bound of the benchmark dose (BMD), BMDL₅, remained near the range of observation; (2) the 5% extra risk level is supportable given the relatively large number of animals used in the critical studies; and (3) the use of BMDL₅ is consistent with the technical guidance for BMD analysis (U.S. EPA, 1995).

BMD models provide empirical fits to the dose-response data, and no data or valid arguments were available to support a biological rationale for selecting one model over the other. The best model to use for estimating the POD was therefore selected based on Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC). The AIC is a measure of the goodness of fit of an estimated statistical model within the context of the complexity of the model, i.e., between models with comparable fits, the best model is the one with the lowest number of parameters (the simpler model). Once the model with the lowest AIC score for each data set is identified, the resulting PODs are compared, and the lowest POD is used to derive the RfD. For AA, the log-logistic model provided the best fit for the male rat data and resulted in the lowest POD, and was thus used to derive the RfD in the current assessment. As seen in Table 5-10, all of the final POD estimates are within twofold of each other, supporting a relatively high degree of confidence that the estimated BMDL₅ in this analysis is a valid estimate of the no effect level for mild histological changes from a lifetime of exposure as a measure of AA induced neurotoxicity. With respect to the impact that additional data or a new biological rationale would have on the rank ordering of the BMD models, there is no way to predict whether the revised estimate of risk to humans would go up or down.
Table 5-10. Estimated POD (mg/kg-day) from best-fitting models for doses associated with a 5% extra risk for nerve degeneration in male and female rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water.

	BMD	BMDL
Model	(ED ₅)	(LED ₅)
Johnson et al. (1986)		
Male		
Log-logistic	0.58	0.27
Female		
Probit	0.67	0.49
Friedman et al. (1995)		
Male		
Quantal quadratic	0.77	0.57
Female		
Gamma ^a	2.25	0.46

^aRestrict power ≥ 1

Uncertainty in the animal to human extrapolation AUC method used to estimate of the oral human equivalent exposure (HEC)

The AUC methodology used to estimate the oral human equivalent concentration (i.e., extrapolate the animal dose-response relationship to humans) to derive the RfD is dependent upon the accuracy of the measured or estimated conversion factors for estimating the rat and human AUCs /mg AA/kg bw. Currently there is a lack of sufficient data to accurately estimate human in vivo rate constants for the formation of hemoglobin adducts. The HEC results using a variety of alternate rate constants to estimate the human AA-AUC (including in vivo constants based on rat data or constants based on in vitro human data) are reasonably concordant with a range of values only two fold different from the lowest to the highest estimate, but a wider five fold range (and thus greater uncertainty) exist for the rate constants and conversion factors needed to estimate the HECs based on the human GA-AUC. Additional data are clearly needed for these critical rate constants and conversion factors not only for the derivation of reference standards, but for the considerable on-going effort to estimate daily intake levels in the general public based on hemoglobin adduct levels as a biomarker of exposure.

Uncertainty in the route-to-route extrapolation to derive the RfC

A route-to-route extrapolation (oral-to-inhalation) of the dose-response relationship was performed to derive the RfC based upon the daily intake based on the oral POD. Justification for deriving an RfC based on the oral POD comes from animal kinetic studies that observed some differences in relative levels of GA and AA between the inhalation and oral route, but sufficient similarities in metabolic pathways and internal disposition to the route-to-route extrapolation. More specifically, there is: 1) considerable evidence from occupational experience that dermal and inhalation exposures to AA induce peripheral neuropathies, including development of the types of degenerative lesions observed in nerves of rats exposed via drinking water; 2) evidence of rapid, nearly complete absorption from the oral route and rapid distribution throughout the body (Kadry et al., 1999; Miller et al., 1982); 3) evidence that the elimination kinetics of radioactivity from oral or i.v. administration of radiolabeled AA in rats is similar (Miller et al., 1982); 4) similar flux of AA through metabolic pathways following either single dose oral or single 6 hr inhalation exposures in rats (Sumner et al., 2003); 5) some route differences in relative GA and AA serum levels, but with numbers that are within two fold of each other, and 6) lack of support for portal of entry effects. Additional animal or human inhalation kinetic data are needed to reduce the uncertainty in quantitating the internal disposition of AA or GA following different routes of exposure.

The alternate RfC based on the Calleman et al. (1994) data is comparable to the RfC based on the route-to-route extrapolation, and provides some additional scientific support for this value.

Since there are no credible default methods to estimate a safe daily inhaled intake level in the absence of inhalation study data or relevant animal and human kinetic data, the level of uncertainty in the RfC based on sufficient similarities in the disposition of AA and GA regardless of exposure route must be compared to the complete uncertainty of having no RfC. Additional animal or human inhalation kinetic data are needed to reduce the uncertainty in quantitating potential route differences in disposition.

Use of default factors for the interspecies differences in toxicodynamics in conjunction with the equivalent AUC method to derive the HEC

The equivalent AUC method to estimate the HEC replaced the default factor for interspecies toxicokinetic differences of 3 (UF_{A-TK} = 3.16 as a default; UF_{A-TK} = 1 with the model). A default factor of 3 was used to account for toxicodynamic difference between animals and humans (UF_{A-TD} of 3; 3.16 rounded down to 3⁷). Thus the overall default factor for interspecies differences using the model was 3 (UF_A = 3 = UF_{A-TK} of 1 × UF_{A-TD} of 3). This compares to a default factor of 10 without the the use of the AUC method (UF_A = 10 = UF_{A-TK} of 3.16 × UF_{A-TD} of 3.16). In the case of AA, using the default approach to derive the RfD⁸ would result in a value 0.003 mg/kg-day as the RfD. One interpretation of this similarity is that the

228

⁷ The factor of 10 is actually split into the two toxicokinetic and toxicodynamic components by taking the square root of 10 = 3.16. For convenience when a model is used leaving only the toxicodynamic factor, it is rounded down to 3.

⁸ The RfD using the default approach is 0.003 mg/kg/day. RfD_{default approach} = POD of 0.27 mg/kg/day \div UF_A of 10 \div UF_H of 10 = 0.0027 mg/kg/day; rounded up to 0.003 mg/kg/day.

interspecies differences for parent AA toxicokinetics might scale roughly to the ratio of body weight to the ³/₄ power which for extrapolating between an average rat (250 - 350 grams) and human (70kg) is approximately a four fold reduction in dose on a mg/kg basis.

How much the default factor over- or underestimates interspecies differences cannot be determined.

Intrahuman variability

Heterogeneity among humans is another source of uncertainty. In the absence of AA-specific data on human variation, a default UF_H of 10 was used to account for uncertainty associated with human variation in the derivation of the RfD and RfC. How much the default factor over- or underestimates human variability cannot be determined.

Subchronic to chronic exposure extrapolation

Chronic oral toxicity studies for AA were available and acceptable for use in the assessment, precluding the need to use a default factor for extrapolating from a subchronic study (i.e., $UF_S = 1$).

5.4. CANCER ASSESSMENT

5.4.1. Choice of Study/Data—with Rationale and Justification

As summarized in Section 4.8.1, AA is likely to be carcinogenic to humans based on findings of increased incidences of thyroid follicular cell tumors (combined adenomas and carcinomas in either sex), scrotal sac mesotheliomas (males), mammary gland tumors (females) in two chronic drinking water exposure bioassays with F344 rats (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986); increased incidences of skin tumors in SENCAR and Swiss-ICR mice given oral, i.p., or dermal initiating doses of AA followed by tumor-promoting doses of TPA (Bull et al., 1984a,b); and increased incidences of lung tumors in strain A/J mice following i.p. injection of AA (Bull et al., 1984a). In addition, one of the F344 rat chronic drinking water bioassays also found increased incidences of adrenal pheochromocytomas in males and CNS tumors of glial origin and oral cavity tumors in females (Johnson et al., 1986).

Human studies provide very limited evidence of AA carcinogenicity (as discussed in Sections 4.1, 4.8.1, and 4.8.2). No statistically significant increased risks for cancer-related deaths were consistently found in the cohort mortality studies of AA workers (Marsh et al., 2007; Swaen et al., 2007). In most case-control studies and prospective studies, no statistically significant associations were found between frequent consumption of foods with high or moderate levels of AA and cancer incidence for large bowel, bladder, kidney, renal cell, breast, colorectal, oral, pharyngeal, esophageal, laryngeal, ovarian, or prostate cancer. One case-control study reported a slightly increased risk of breast cancer later in life associated with the consumption of French fries during preschool (Michels et al., 2006), but there is considerable uncertainty in the accuracy of the exposure assessment methods. Increased risks of postmenopausal endometrial and ovarian cancer (Hogervorst et al., 2007) and renal cell cancer (Hogervorst et al., 2008a) with increasing dietary AA intake were reported in prospective studies of a Dutch population, but estimations of dietary AA levels in foods on the market at baseline in 1986 were based on food samples analyzed since 2001 and questionnaires did not include details regarding specifics of food preparation. Olesen et al. (2008) reported a significant positive association between AA-Hb adduct levels in red blood cells and ER+ breast cancer after adjusting for smoking, but this study is limited by the relatively small number of subjects (374 cases and 374 controls) and uncertainty regarding extrapolation to lifetime exposure from AA exposure as assessed by a few months of AA-Hb adduct measurements.

The mechanisms by which AA induces cancer in animals are not fully understood, however, the weight of the scientific evidence strongly supports a mutagenic MOA, as discussed in Section 4.8.3.1. An alternative MOA has been proposed for the development of AA-induced thyroid follicular cell tumors, scrotal sac mesotheliomas, and mammary gland tumors in rats, however, the available evidence in support of these hypotheses is judged to be inadequate to rule out human relevance⁹. Therefore, the cancer dose-response relationships for tumors with statistically significantly elevated incidences in both of the available rat bioassays (thyroid tumors in both sexes, mammary gland tumors in females and tunica vaginalis mesotheliomas in males) are the best available basis for deriving an oral cancer slope factor and inhalation unit risk for AA.

The two chronic bioassays with F344 rats provide appropriate data to describe doseresponse relationships for tumors induced by chronic oral exposure to AA. Strengths in both assays include sufficient numbers of animals in control and multiple exposure groups for statistical analysis of dose-response relationships, histological examinations of most tissues, and sufficient reporting of experimental details and results. Johnson et al. (1986) reported increased tumor incidences at sites in females (CNS, oral cavity, uterus, and pituitary) and males (adrenals), which were reported to not be elevated in the Friedman et al. (1995) bioassay. However, the Johnson et al. (1986) study had abnormally high CNS and oral cavity tumors in control males and possible confounding effects from a viral infection. The Friedman et al. (1995) study was designed to include different dose spacings to support better characterization of

⁹As discussed in detail in Section 4.8.3.2, the evidence that acrylamide-induced mesotheliomas in male F344 rats may not be relevant to humans includes observations that acrylamide caused decreased circulating levels of prolactin in male F344 rats (presumably through dopamine agonist activity at the D2 dopamine receptor); that chemicals that induce Leydig cell tumors in rats are generally not considered relevant to humans because, unlike rat Leydig cells, human Leydig cells do not decrease luteinizing hormone receptors in response to decreased prolactin; and the extent of Leydig cell neoplasia has been linked to the development of malignant mesotheliomas in control and acrylamide-exposed male F344 rats. However, additional support for this proposal, such as the lack of mesotheliomas in other animal species exposed to acrylamide, is not currently available. In the absence of additional support for this proposal, the male rat mesotheliomas are assumed to be relevant to humans.

dose-response relationships in the low-dose region and substantially larger control (n = 204) and 0.1 mg/kg-day male rat (n = 204) groups to increase the statistical power in the study to detect significantly increased tumor incidence. Although glial tumors of brain and spinal cord were reported by Friedman et al. (1995) not to be increased, not all of the brains and spinal cords in the test animals were examined, and seven cases of a morphologically distinctive category of primary brain tumor described as "malignant reticulosis" were reported but excluded from the Friedman et al. (1995) analysis of the data. In addition incidences of oral cavity tumors, clitoral gland adenomas and uterine adenomas were reported not to be increased, but the number of these tumors was not reported.

Dose-response data from both bioassays were therefore analyzed for potential points of departure in the derivation of an oral slope factor (see Sections 5.4.2, 5.4.3, and 5.4.4).

5.4.2. Dose-Response Data

As discussed in the previous section, incidence data for tumors in both studies were evaluated to determine the best basis for the oral slope factor. These data included thyroid tumors in male and female rats, tunica vaginalis mesotheliomas in male rats, and mammary gland tumors in females from the Friedman et al. (1995) bioassay; and thyroid tumors in male and female rats, tunica vaginalis mesotheliomas, and adrenal pheochromocytomas in male rats, and mammary gland tumors, CNS tumors of glial origin, and oral cavity tumors in female rats from the Johnson et al. (1985) bioassay. Incidences of tumors with statistically significant increases in the 2-year bioassays with F344 rats exposed to AA in drinking water are shown in Table 5-11.

	Dose (mg/kg-day)							
Reference/tumor type	0	0	0.01	0.1	0.5	1.0	2.0	3.0
Johnson et al., 1986; males								
Follicular cell adenoma	1/60	_	0/58	2/59	1/59	-	7/59 ^e	-
Tunica vaginalis mesothelioma	3/60	_	0/60	7/60	11/60 ^e	-	10/60 ^e	-
Adrenal pheochromocytoma	3/60	-	7/59	7/60	5/60	-	10/60 ^e	_
Johnson et al., 1986; females								
Follicular cell adenoma/carcinoma	1/58	_	0/59	1/59	1/58	-	5/60 ^f	_
Mammary adenocarcinoma	2/60	_	1/60	1/60	2/58	-	6/61	_
Mammary benign	10/60	_	11/60	9/60	19/58	-	23/61 ^e	-
Mammary benign + malignant ^a	12/60	_	12/60	10/60	21/58	-	29/61 ^e	-
CNS tumors of glial origin	1/60	_	2/59	1/60	1/60	-	9/61 ^e	-
Oral cavity malignant+benign	0/60	_	3/60	2/60	3/60	-	8/60 ^e	-
Uterus adenocarcinoma	1/60	_	2/60	1/60	0/59	-	$5/60^{f}$	-
Clitoral adenoma, benign	0/2	_	1/3	3/4	2/4	-	5/5 ^f	_
Pituitary gland adenoma	25/59	-	30/60	32/60	27/60	_	32/60 ^f	_
Friedman et al., 1995; males ^b								
Follicular cell adenoma/carcinoma	3/100	2/102 ^d	_	12/203	5/101	-	17/75 ^e	_
Tunica vaginalis mesothelioma ^c	4/102	4/102	_	9/204	8/102	-	13/75 ^e	-
Friedman et al., 1995; females ^b								
Follicular cell adenoma/carcinoma	1/50	1/50	—	—	—	10/100	-	23/100 ^e
Mammary benign + malignant	7/46	4/50	_	-	-	21/94 ^e	-	30/95 ^e

Table 5-11. Incidence of tumors with statistically significant increases in 2-year bioassays with F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water

^aIncidences of benign and adenocarcinoma were added herein, based on an assumption that rats assessed with adenocarcinoma were not also assessed with benign mammary gland tumors.

^bTwo control groups were included in the study design to assess variability in background tumor responses. ^cIncidences reported herein are those originally reported by Friedman et al. (1995) and not those reported in the reevaluation study by Iatropoulos et al. (1998).

^dThe data reported in Table 4 in Friedman et al. (1995) lists one follicular cell adenoma in the second control group; however, the raw data obtained in the Tegeris Laboratories (1989) report (and used in the time-to-tumor analysis) listed no follicular cell adenomas in this group. The corrected number for adenomas (0) and the total number (2) of combined adenomas and carcinomas in the second control group are used in the tables of this assessment. ^eStatistically significantly (p < 0.05) different from control, Fisher's Exact test.

^fStatistically significantly (p < 0.05) different from control, after Mantel-Haenszel mortality adjustment.

Sources: Friedman et al. (1995); Johnson et al. (1986).

5.4.3. Dose Adjustments and Extrapolation Method(s)

The current EPA *Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment* (U.S. EPA, 2005a) indicate that the method used to characterize and quantify cancer risk from a chemical is determined by what is known about the MOA of the carcinogen and the shape of the cancer dose-response curve. The dose response is assumed to be linear in the low dose range, when evidence supports a mutagenic MOA because of DNA reactivity, or if another MOA that is anticipated to be linear is applicable. The linear approach is used as a default option if the MOA of carcinogenicity is not understood. (U.S. EPA, 2005a). In the case of AA, there are data available that support a mutagenic mode of carcinogenic action. Thus, a linear-low-dose extrapolation approach was used to estimate human carcinogenic risk associated with AA exposure.

Modeling of tumor incidence data from the Friedman et al. (1995) bioassay

Data for both the individual incidence and the incidence of tumor bearing animals in the Friedman et al. (1995) drinking water bioassays were modeled to derive potential points of departure for an oral slope factor and inhalation unit risk. For males, the tumor types were tunica vaginalis mesotheliomas or thyroid follicular cell (adenoma/carcinoma). For females, the tumor types were mammary gland tumors (malignant and benign combined) or thyroid follicular cell (adenoma/carcinoma).

Details of the modeling are described in Appendix D. Briefly, the female data were fit with the multistage model to estimate the BMD, which is the same as the effective dose (ED), and the 95% lower confidence limit on the BMD, the BMDL (or 95% lower bound of the ED [LED]). Because male rats in the highest dose group in the Friedman et al. (1995) study showed early mortalities (75 vs. 53% and 44% in control groups 1 and 2; statistical analysis not reported), the multistage-Weibull model—which adjusted for early mortality—was fit to the data for tunica vaginalis mesotheliomas and thyroid follicular cell adenoma and carcinoma, using the licensed software MULTI-WEIB (KS Crump and Company, Ruston LA). The model includes two explanatory variables, dose and time to death with tumor, for predicting probability of tumor occurrence; the mathematical function for dose is a polynomial exponential (i.e., multistage) function and time to death is described as a Weibull function. Pathology reports for individual rats in the study (Tegeris Laboratories, 1989) were examined to extract time-to-death and tumor occurrence data for each animal. The incidence of mortality rate in female rats between the high dose (49%) and the two control groups (40 and 28%) was similar. Consequently, it was judged that the multistage-Weibull model would not provide an appreciably different estimate of risk for either tumor site, and a time-to-tumor modeling approach was not applied.

The POD results for modeling the female mammary tumor and thyroid tumor incidence data separately are presented in Table 5-12. In addition, the results for considering female rats with either tumor are also presented in Table 5-12. The rat slope factors corresponding to mammary tumors and to follicular cell thyroid tumors in female F344 rats were very similar, 0.13 vs. 0.11 (mg/kg-day)⁻¹. The BMR was selected so as to use a low benchmark response level as a point of departure for a cancer response while maintaining the BMD close to the empirical data. For the female rat data, the BMR of 10% was chosen for both tumor types when analyzed separately. Given that there was more than one tumor site, basing the unit risk on one tumor site may underestimate the carcinogenic potential of AA. The EPA cancer guidelines (U.S. EPA, 2005a) suggest two approaches for calculating the risks when there are multiple tumor sites in a data set to assess the total risk. The simpler approach suggested in the cancer guidelines would be to estimate cancer risk from the incidence of tumor-bearing animals. EPA traditionally used this approach until the NRC (1994) *Science and Judgment* document indicated

that evaluating tumor-bearing animals would tend to underestimate overall risk when tumor types occur in a statistically independent manner. The NRC recommended an approach that added distributions of the individual tumor incidence to obtain a distribution of the summed risk for all etiologically different tumor types. Consistent with the 2005 cancer guidelines, both approaches were considered for this assessment (see Table D-3 for the summed risk of mammary or thyroid tumors in female F344 rats). The method used to derive the summed risk is as follows:

- 1. The central tendency or maximum likelihood estimates of unit potency (i.e., risk per unit of exposure) are estimated by R/BMD_R, and the upper confidence limit on the unit risk estimated by R/BMDL_R.
- 2. The central tendency or maximum likelihood estimates of unit potency (i.e., risk per unit of exposure estimated as R/BMD_R), are summed across the multiple sites.
- 3. An estimate of the 95% upper bound on the summed unit risk is calculated by assuming a normal distribution for the individual risk estimates, and deriving the variance of the risk estimate for each tumor site from its 95% upper confidence limit (UCL), according to the formula:

95% UCL = MLE +
$$(1.645 \times SD)$$

where 1.645 is the t-statistic corresponding to a one-sided 95% confidence interval and >120 degrees of freedom, and the standard deviation (SD) is the square root of the variance of the MLE. The variances are summed across tumor sites to obtain the variance of the sum of the MLE. The 95% UCL on the sum of the individual MLEs is then calculated from the variance of the sum of the MLE.

The approach that used a point of departure for the combined incidence data was based on 20% extra risk, because 20% was the lowest extra risk consistent with the lower end of the observed data range. The BMD₂₀ is 1.2 mg/kg-day, and the BMDL₂₀ is 0.88 mg/kg-day. For linear low-dose extrapolation, the rat slope factor associated with this combined risk is $0.2/0.88 \text{ (mg/kg-day)}^{-1}$, or 0.23 (mg/kg-day)⁻¹, approximately two fold higher than either of the risks estimated from the individual sites (see Appendix D for more details). Both approaches yielded a similar result when rounded to one significant digit, 0.2 (mg/kg-day)⁻¹.

Incidence modeled	BMD _R ^a (mg/kg-day)	BMDL _R ^a (mg/kg-day)	Oral Slope Factor based on rat BMDL [risk level/BMDL] (mg/kg-day) ⁻¹
Mammary tumors	1.2	0.78	1.3×10^{-1}
Follicular cell thyroid tumors	1.3	0.94	$1.1 imes 10^{-1}$
Mammary or thyroid tumors ^b	1.2	0.88	$2.3 imes10^{-1}$

Table 5-12. Points of departure and oral slope factors derived from Friedman et al. (1995) tumor incidence data for female rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water.

 ${}^{a}R = 10\%$ extra risk for mammary tumors, thyroid tumors; 20% for the incidence of either tumor type. b Tumor-bearing animal method: Individual rats that had more than one of the tumor types were counted only once (see Table D-1 for incidences). For summed risk, EPA used an approach that was consistent with the NRC (1994) recommendation, resulting in a rat slope factor 0.21 (see Appendix D).

Data Source: Friedman et al. (1995). See Appendix D for derivation of BMDs and BMDLs.

Because of mortality issues in the male rat data, time-to-tumor modeling was used (see Appendix D). The time-to-tumor results for the male tunica vaginalis mesothelioma (TVM) and thyroid tumor incidence data evaluated separately or combined are presented in Table 5-13. For the male rat data, the BMDs and BMDLs were linear with risk in the range of 1–10% risk (see model output in Appendix D). Consequently, the BMR of 10% was chosen for estimating rat slope factors. As with the female rats, two methods were considered for estimating total cancer (see Table D-5 for the summed risk of tunica vaginalis mesotheliomas or thyroid tumors in male F344 rats). Both approaches (tumor-bearing and summed risk) yielded a similar result for risks from multiple tumor sites when rounded to one significant digit, $0.3 (mg/kg-day)^{-1}$.

	BMD_{R}^{a}	BMDL _R ^a	Oral Slope Factor based on rat BMDL [risk level/BMDL]
Incidence modeled	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day) ⁻¹
TVM	1.2	0.75	$1.3 imes10^{-1}$
Follicular cell thyroid tumors	0.71	0.45	$2.2 imes 10^{-1}$
TVM or thyroid tumors ^b	0.70	0.30	$3.3 imes 10^{-1}$

 Table 5-13. Points of departure derived from Friedman et al. (1995) tumors incidence data for male F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water.

^aR = 10% extra risk.

^bTumor-bearing animal method: Individual rats that had more than one of the tumor types were counted only once (see Table D-1 for incidences). For summed risk, EPA used an approach that was consistent with the NRC (1994) recommendation, resulting in a rat slope factor 0.32 (see Appendix D).

Data Source: Friedman et al. (1995). See Appendix D for derivation of BMDs and BMDLs.

For linear low-dose extrapolation, the rat slope factor associated with the BMDL₁₀ of 0.3 mg/kg-day for combined TVM and thyroid tumor incidence is 0.1/(0.3 mg/kg-day), or 0.33 (mg/kg-day)⁻¹, approximately 50% higher than the risk for just thyroid tumors,

 $0.22 (mg/kg-day)^{-1}$ and 2.5-fold higher than for testicular tumors, $0.13 (mg/kg-day)^{-1}$ (see Appendix D for more details).

Modeling of tumor incidence data from the Johnson et al. (1986) bioassay

Incidence data for tumors showing statistically significant elevations in the Johnson et al. (1985) drinking water bioassays were modeled to derive potential points of departure for an oral slope factor and inhalation unit risk. For males, the tumor types were tunica vaginalis mesotheliomas, thyroid follicular cell (adenoma/carcinoma), and adrenal pheochromocytomas. For females, the tumor types were mammary gland tumors (malignant and benign combined), thyroid follicular cell (adenoma/carcinoma), CNS tumors of glial origin, and oral cavity tumors (malignant and benign combined). The data for uterine adenocarcinomas and pituitary gland adenomas were not analyzed because the statistical significance of the elevated incidences in the high-dose group was only demonstrated after Mantel-Haenszel mortality adjustment and there is no clear evidence for a trend for increasing risk with increasing exposure level for the incidence data for these tumor sites shown in Table 5-11. The data for clitoral adenomas were not analyzed because the number of tissues examined in each group was very small (n = \leq 5, Table 5-11).

Details of the modeling are described in Appendix D. The tumor data for each sex and tumor site were fit with the multistage model to estimate the BMD and the BMDL. During the last 4 months of the Johnson et al. (1986) study, there were increased mortalities in high-dose males and females, compared with controls, but no adjustment (e.g., excluding from incidence denominators animals that died before the time of first appearance of tumors) or special modeling was done for early mortalities because individual animal data for the time of death were not available.

The POD results (and oral slope factors) for separately modeling the female mammary, thyroid, CNS, and oral cavity tumor incidence data, and for the summed risks for multiple tumor types are presented in Table 5-14. The summed risks were calculated using a method consistent with the NRC (1994) recommended approach, and discussed in detail in Appendix D. Risks for tumors in different organs were summed to allow for the possibility that different tumor types can have different dose-response relationships. Consequently, the modeling for each of the tumor types was used as a basis for estimating a statistically appropriate upper bound on the summed risk. This estimate of overall risk describes the risk of developing any combination of the tumor types considered, not just the risk of developing all tumor types simultaneously.

Table 5-14.	Points of departure and oral slope factors derived from Johnson
et al. (1986)	tumor incidence data for female F344 rats exposed to acrylamide
in drinking	water.

			Oral Slope Factor based on rat BMDL
	BMD _R ^a	BMDL _R ^a	[risk level/BMDL]
Incidence data modeled	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day) ⁻¹
Mammary tumors	0.44	0.30	$3.4 imes10^{-1}$
Follicular cell thyroid tumors	2.93	1.47	$6.8 imes10^{-2}$
CNS tumors of glial origin	1.80	1.03	$1.0 imes10^{-1}$
Oral cavity, malignant or benign 1.80 0.99			$1.0 imes 10^{-1}$
Mammary or thyroid tumors ^b	$3.8 imes10^{-1}$		
Mammary, thyroid or CNS tumors ^b	$4.4 imes10^{-1}$		
Mammary, thyroid, CNS or oral cavity tumors ^b			$5.0 imes10^{-1}$

 ${}^{a}R = 10\%$ extra risk for mammary, thyroid, CNS and oral cavity tumors.

^b Summed risk were derived with a method that is consistent with the NRC (1994) recommendation. See Appendix D for a detailed discussion.

Data Source: Johnson et al. (1986). See Appendix D for derivation of BMDs, BMDLs, and slope factors.

The POD results (and oral slope factors) for modeling of the male tunica vaginalis, thyroid, and adrenal tumor incidence data separately and for summed risks are presented in Table 5-15.

 Table 5-15. Points of departure and oral slope factors derived from Johnson et al. (1986) tumor incidence data for for male F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water

			Oral Slope Factor based on rat BMDL
	$\mathbf{BMD}_{\mathbf{R}}^{\mathbf{a}}$	BMDL _R ^a	[risk level/BMDL]
Incidence data modeled	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day)	$(mg/kg-day)^{-1}$
TVM	0.27	0.16	$6.1 imes10^{-1}$
Follicular cell thyroid tumors	2.04	1.12	$8.9 imes10^{-2}$
Adrenal pheochromocytomas	2.55	1.08	$9.3 imes 10^{-2}$
TVM or thyroid tumors ^b			$6.7 imes 10^{-1}$
TVM, thyroid or adrenal tumors ^b			$7.1 imes10^{-1}$

 ${}^{a}R = 10\%$ extra risk for mammary, thyroid, and adrenal tumors.

^b Summed risk were derived with a method that is consistent with the NRC (1994) recommendation. See Appendix D for a detailed discussion.

Data Source: Johnson et al. (1986). See Appendix D for derivation of BMDs and BMDLs.

Comparison of modeling results

The results of summing the risks for tumors observed in the two F344 rat bioassays are very similar as shown in the comparison of rat cancer slope factors listed in Table 5-16.

Bioassay/sex/tumor sites	Oral Slope Factor based on rat BMDL [risk level/BMDL] (mg/kg-day) ⁻¹
Friedman / female / mammary or thyroid	$2.1 imes 10^{-1}$
Johnson / female / mammary or thyroid	$3.8 imes10^{-1}$
Johnson / female / mammary, thyroid or CNS	$4.4 imes10^{-1}$
Johnson / female / mammary, thyroid, CNS, or oral cavity	$5.0 imes10^{-1}$
Friedman / male / TVM or thyroid	$3.2 imes 10^{-1}$
Johnson / male / TVM or thyroid	$6.7 imes10^{-1}$
Johnson / male / TVM, thyroid, or adrenal	$7.1 imes10^{-1}$

Table 5-16.	Comparison of oral slope factors based on summed risks for tumors at
several sites	in two bioassays of F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water.

Note: Oral slope factors (= risk level/BMDL) are used to compare summed risks because the BMDLs in the summed risk analysis did not all have the same benchmark response level (BMR), i.e., it is difficult to readily rank order BMDLs with different BMRs.

For tumors showing significantly elevated incidences in both bioassays (mammary or thyroid tumors in females and TVM and thyroid tumors in males), the oral slope factors based on the rat BMDLs are within a two-fold range for each sex. The oral slope factor of 6.7×10^{-1} (mg/kg-day)⁻¹ derived from the Johnson et al. (1986) male rat data for the summed incidence of thyroid tumors or tunica vaginalis mesotheliomas was selected as the best estimate of cancer risks as this estimate represented effects seen in the most sensitive species and sex among the various summed risks for tumors that were reproducibly observed in both assays.

This rat oral slope factor of 6.7 x 10^{-1} (mg/kg-day)⁻¹ is the upper bound on the summed risk, and can be used to derive a point of departure analogous to the BMDL₁₀ as follows:

 $BMDL_R = Benchmark Response Level/ Rat Oral Slope Factor (i.e., the upper bound on the summed risk)$

BMDL₁₀ = $0.1/6.7 \times 10^{-1} (mg/kg-day)^{-1} = 1.5 \times 10^{-1} mg AA/kg bw/d$

This BMDL₁₀ of $1.5 \ge 10^{-1}$ mg AA/kg bw/d represents the point of departure (POD) used as the basis for the human equivalent concentration, and the subsequent derivation of the human oral slope factor, as discussed in the next sections (Section 5.4.4 and 5.4.5).

The corresponding BMD₁₀ of 2.38 x 10^{-1} mg AA/kg bw/d is calculated from the BMR divided by the sum of the central tendency for risks or throid or TVM tumors in male rats (4.2 × 10^{-1} (mg/kg-day)⁻¹; see Appendix D, Table D-10) as follows

 BMD_R = Benchmark Response Level/ Sum of the central tendency for risks $BML_{10} = 0.1/4.2 \times 10^{-1} (mg/kg-day)^{-1} = 2.38 \times 10^{-1} mg AA/kg bw/d$

5.4.4. Human Equivalent Concentration (HEC) - based on equivalent areas under the time-concentration curve (AUC) for serum AA or GA.

Section 3.5 and Section 5.3.1 previously demonstrated how an internal dose (area under the time-concentration curve, AUC) of serum acrylamide or glycidamide in a rat can be derived for an external exposure based on the relationships among hemoglobin adducts, serum levels, and administered dose as reported in studies by Doerge et al. (2005 a,b,c), and Tareke et al. (2006). The administered dose in humans that would produce a comparable internal serum AUC level in humans (i.e., the human equivalent concentration [HEC]) is then derived based on the relationship between human hemoglobin adduct data and administered dose reported by Fennell et al. (2005), and the use of adduct formation rates to relate a human internal AUC to human hemoglobin adduct levels.

The dose metric used to estimate the HEC for the cancer reference values is the GA-AUC, since GA is considered to be the putative mutagenic carcinogen. To estimate the internal GA AUC from a BMD₁₀ of 2.38 x 10^{-1} mg AA/ kg bw/d, and a BMDL₁₀ of 1.5 x 10^{-1} mg AA/ kg bw/d, the male AUC conversion factor of 15 uM GA-hr /mg AA/kg bw (see Table 5-7) was chosen as the best factor, based on the Doerge et al. (2005a) 42 day drinking water study adduct data, and the gender specific in vivo derived rate constants from Tareke et al. (2006) and Doerge et al. (2005c). The equations used to derive a GA-AUC_{BMD} of 1.44 x 10^{-4} uM-hr, and a GA-AUC_{BMDL-POD} of 1.03 x 10^{-4} uM-hr are as follows uM-hr:

F344 Female Rat AUC_{BMD}
$$(uM - hr) = \frac{2.38 \times 10^{-1} mg AA}{kg bw} \times \frac{15.0 uM - hr_{F344rat}}{mg AA / kg bw}$$

= 3.57 uM - hr

and

$$F344 Female Rat AUC_{BMDL-POD} (uM - hr) = \frac{1.5 \times 10^{-1} mg AA}{kg bw} \times \frac{15.0 uM - hr_{F344rat}}{mg AA/kg bw}$$
$$= 2.25 uM - hr$$

Deriving the HEC

Similar to the HEC derived for the AA-AUC dose metric discussed in Section 5.3.1, the HEC derivation here for the GA-AUC dose metric requires a human GA-AUC conversion factor. This factor is calculated by dividing the measured human GA-Val adduct level of 28.9 nmol of GA-Val/g globin/mmol AA/kg bw from Fennell et al. (2005) by an in vivo GA-Val adduct formation rate of 32.5×10^{-6} GA-Val 1/g globin/h (see Table 5-5) resulting in a conversion factor of 12.5μ M GA-hr_{human} per mg AA/kg bw (see Table 5-7) as follows:

 $\frac{28.9 \, nmol \, GA - Val}{\frac{g \, globin}{mM \, AA / kg \, bw}} \div \frac{32.5 \, x10^{-6} \, GA - Val \, l}{g \, globin - h} = \frac{0.889 \, mM \, GA - hr}{mM \, AA / kg \, bw}$

The GA AUC of 0.889 mM GA-hr /mM AA /kg bw is converted to 12.5 μ M GA-hr / mg AA/kg bw with the following unit conversions; molecular weight of acrylamide = 71.08, and multiplied by 1000 to convert mMoles to μ Moles:

$$\frac{0.8896 \, mM - hr \, GA}{mM \, AA / kg \, bw} x \frac{1 \, mM \, AA}{71.08 \, mg \, AA} = \frac{0.0125 \, mM \, GA - hr}{mg \, AA / kg \, bw} x 1000 = \frac{12.5 \, uM \, GA - hr_{human}}{mg \, AA / kg \, bw}$$

The range of values that could be used for the GA-AUC conversion factor is wider (five fold range; from 12.5 to 60.4) than for acrylamide (two fold), because of the wider difference in the in vitro and in vivo based second order rate constants for GA-Val adduct formation that could be used to derive the factor. As in the derivation of the RfD, the more scientifically supportable adduct formation rate constant is the in vivo rate of 32.5×10^{-6} GA-Val 1/g globin/h based on all of the male and female mice and rat data from single dose studies of Doerge et el. (2005 b,c) and Tareke et al. (2006).

The HECs for the male rat GA-AUC_{BMD} of 3.57 uM-hr, and the GA-AUC_{BMDL-POD} of 2.25 uM-hr, using the 12.5 μ M GA-hr / mg AA/kg bw conversion factor, are 2.85 x 10⁻¹ mg AA/kg bw and 1.80 x 10⁻¹ mg AA/kg bw, respectively, as follows:

$$HEC_{BMD} in \frac{mg AA}{kg bw} = F344 Rat GAAUC_{BMD} (uM - hr) \div \frac{uM GA - hr_{human}}{mg AA / kg bw}$$

$$HEC_{BMD} in \frac{mg AA}{kg bw} = 3.57 \, uM - hr \div \frac{12.5 \, uM - hr_{human}}{mg AA / kg bw} = 2.85 \, x \, 10^{-1} \, mg \, AA / kg \, bw$$

$$HEC_{BMDL-POD} in \frac{mg AA}{kg bw} = F344 Rat AUC_{BMDL-POD} (uM - hr) \div \frac{uM GA - hr_{human}}{mg AA / kg bw}$$

$$HEC_{BMDL-POD} in \frac{mg AA}{kg bw} = 2.25 \ uM - hr \div \frac{12.5 \ uM - hr_{human}}{mg AA / kg bw} = 1.80 \ x 10^{-1} \ mg AA / kg bw$$

5.4.5. Oral Slope Factor and Inhalation Unit Risk

5.4.5.1. Oral Slope Factor

A linear extrapolation approach is taken based on the assumption that AA likely induces cancer through a mutagenic MOA at dose levels below the POD. Support for this approach includes observations of: (1) strong evidence of mutagenicity in somatic cells and male germ cells from in vivo assays; (2) male-mediated dominant lethal mutations following subchronic oral exposure at dose levels (2.8 to 13.3 mg/kg-day) in the vicinity of chronic oral dose levels that induced carcinogenic effects in rats (0.5 to 3 mg/kg-day); (3) initiation of skin tumors (presumably via a genotoxic action) in mice by short-term exposure to oral doses as low as 12.5 mg/kg-day followed by TPA promotion; (4) metabolism of AA by CYP2E1 to the DNA-reactive metabolite, GA; (5) following an i.p. dose of AA or GA, DNA adducts of GA observed in all tissues where tumors have been observed in rats and mice.

The daily intake of AA used to derive an HEC POD as the basis for cancer risks in humans orally exposed to AA, are the BMD of 2.38×10^{-1} mg AA/ kg bw/d, and the BMDL of 1.50×10^{-1} mg AA/ kg bw/d from the Johnson et al. (1986) date for summed risk of thyroid tumors or tunica vaginalis mesotheliomas in male F344 rats. The equivalent AUC method was used to derive an HEC_{BMD} of 2.85×10^{-1} mg AA/kg bw and HEC_{BMDL} POD of 1.80×10^{-1} mg AA/kg. The human oral slope factor is derived by linear extrapolation from the HEC_{BMDL-POD} of 1.80×10^{-1} mg AA/kg to the origin, corrected for background, and is calculated as the response rate (10^{-1}) divided by the HEC_{BMDL} resulting in a value of 0.56 [mg/kg-day]⁻¹ (response rate of 0.1 /HEC_{BMDL} of 1.80×10^{-1} mg AA/kg bw = 0.56 [mg/kg-day]⁻¹).

With rounding to one significant figure, the human oral slope factor based on the HEC_{BMDL} for a BMR of 10⁻¹ is 0.6 [mg/kg-day]⁻¹.

The human slope factor for AA should not be used with exposures exceeding 0.24 mg AA/kg bw/d (an approximate estimate of the BMD_{10} for the summed risk), because above this level the fitted dose-response model better characterizes what is known about the carcinogenicity

and

of AA. Age-dependent adjustment factors (ADAFs) combined with age-specific exposure estimates should be applied to this slope factor when assessing cancer risks to individuals <16 years old or for lifetime exposures that begin in less than 2-year-olds (U.S. EPA, 2005b) [see Section 5.4.6]. The most current information on the application of ADAFs for cancer risk assessment can be found at www.epa.gov/cancerguidelines/.

5.4.5.2. Inhalation Unit Risk

No human or animal inhalation cancer dose-response data were available for acrylamide to directly derive an inhalation unit risk. The IUR was thus derived in a route-to-route extrapolation of the dose-response relationship (oral-to-inhalation exposure) by converting the oral daily intake POD developed for the oral slope factor to an equivalent air concentration.

Support for use of the oral daily intake to derive an inhalation unit risk value comes from: 1) a characterized dose-response and indentification of tumor types and incidence from two chronic oral bioasssays; 2) evidence of rapid, nearly complete absorption from the oral route and rapid distribution throughout the body (Kadry et al., 1999; Miller et al., 1982); 3) evidence that the elimination kinetics of radioactivity from oral or i.v. administration of radiolabeled AA in rats is similar (Miller et al., 1982); 4) similar flux of AA through metabolic pathways following either single dose oral or single 6 hr inhalation exposures in rats (Sumner et al., 2003); 5) some route-to-route differences in the relative amounts of AA to GA, however, the differences are within two fold of each other; and 6) lack of support for portal of entry effects.

In the only animal inhalation kinetic study (i.e, no human inhalation kinetic information is available) Sumner et al. (2003) report a statistically significantly larger percentages of urinary metabolites associated with GA formation following an inhalation exposure compared with an i.p. and gavage exposure. GA-Val levels are also higher and AA-Val levels lower (as indicators of serum AUCs), following the single 6 hr inhalation exposures versus the single gavage dose in rats, however, statistical significance was not reported for the adduct level differences, and the numbers are within two fold of each other. Doerge et al. (2005b, 2005c) report an increased percentage of GA formation observed in mice and F344 rats from a gavage or dietary exposure compared to an i.v. exposure that, in conjunction with the Sumner et al. (2003) results, indicate that there is first pass metabolism in the lungs following an inhalation exposure similar to the first pass metabolism in the liver from an oral exposure, but apparently the lungs may have a larger percent of oxidative metabolism of AA to GA. Although in this single study with inhalation kinetic data, there do appear to be some route-to-route differences in the relative amounts of AA to GA, the differences are within two fold of each other, and the metabolic paths and total disposition are similar, supporting the derivation of the inhalation unit risk based upon the oral POD (i.e., the BMDL).

The inhalation unit risk for AA is based on adult exposures and is derived by dividing the risk (as a fraction) by the BMDL₁₀ which is the 95% lower bound on the exposure associated with a 10^{-1} extra cancer risk. In this case, the BMDL is the oral HEC_{BMDL} based on the oral exposure rat bioassay BMDL. The inhalation unit risk represents an upper bound risk estimate for continuous lifetime exposure without consideration of increased early life susceptibility due to AA's mutagenic MOA.

The oral HEC_{BMDL} is the dose that results in a human internal AUC of GA in blood that is comparable to that which occurs in rats following an oral exposure to the rat BMDL, in this case of 1.50×10^{-1} mg AA/kg-day based on the male F344 rats for the summed risk of thyroid tumors or tunica vaginalis mesotheliomas in the Johnson et al. (1986) study. An equivalent AUC method ios used to estimate an oral HEC_{BMDL} of 1.80×10^{-1} mg AA/kg-day as the POD for the derivation of the oral slope factor, with a central estimate (HEC_{BMD}) of 2.85×10^{-1} mg AA/kgday. The calculation used to derive an air concentration that will provide a daily intake comparable to this oral HEC_{BMDL} (as the POD) and the HEC_{BMD} (as the central estimate) is straightforward as shown below, and assumes a continuous 24-hour inhalation exposure for a 70 kg person who breathes 20 m³/day air. The equivalent air concentrations to the HEC_{BMDL} is 6.3×10^{-1} mg/m³, and to the HEC_{BMD} is 1 mg/m³.

Air Concentration equivalent to the
$$HEC_{BMDL} = 1.8 \times 10^{-1} mg / kg - day \times 70 kg \div \frac{day}{20 m^3} = 6.3 \times 10^{-1} mg / m^3$$

Air Concentration equivalent to the $HEC_{BMD} = 2.85 \times 10^{-1} mg / kg - day \times 70 kg \div \frac{day}{20 m^3} = 1.0 mg / m^3$

This HEC_{BMDL} equivalent air concentration is the lower 95% bound on exposure at a 10^{-1} response, and is used to derive an inhalation unit risk of $1.6 \times 10^{-4} (\mu g/m^3)^{-1}$ as follows:

Inhalation unit risk based on the HEC_{BMDL} for a BMR of 10^{-1} in $(\mu g/m^3)^{-1}$ = 0.1/6.3 x 10^{-1} mg/m³= 1.6×10^{-4} $(\mu g/m^3)^{-1}$

With rounding to one significant figure, the inhalation unit risk is $2 \times 10^{-4} (\mu g/m^3)^{-1}$.

As noted in the discussion on the oral slope factor, age-dependent adjustment factors (ADAFs) combined with age-specific exposure estimates should be applied to this inhalation unit risk when assessing cancer risks to individuals <16 years old or for lifetime exposures that begin in less than 2-year-olds (U.S. EPA, 2005b) [see Section 5.3.6].

5.4.6. Application of Age-Dependent Adjustment Factors

Because a mutagenic MOA for AA carcinogenicity is sufficiently supported in laboratory animals and relevant to humans (Section 4.8.3), and in the absence of chemical-specific data to evaluate differences in susceptibility, increased early-life susceptibility is assumed and the age-dependent adjustment factors (ADAFs) should be applied, as appropriate, along with specific exposure data in accordance with the *Supplemental Guidance for Assessing Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens* (U.S. EPA, 2005b). The oral slope factor of 0.1 per mg/kg-day and the inhalation unit risk of 3×10^{-5} per µg/m³, calculated from data for adult exposures, do not reflect presumed early-life susceptibility for this chemical. Example evaluations of cancer risks based on age at exposure are given in Section 6 of the *Supplemental Guidance*.

The *Supplemental Guidance* establishes ADAFs for three specific age groups. The current ADAFs and their age groupings are 10 for <2 years, 3 for 2 to <16 years, and 1 for 16 years and above (U.S. EPA, 2005b). The 10-fold and 3-fold adjustments in slope factor are to be combined with age specific exposure estimates when estimating cancer risks from early life (<16 years age) exposure to AA. The most current information on the application of ADAFs for cancer risk assessment can be found at www.epa.gov/ cancerguidelines/. In estimating risk, EPA recommends using age-specific values for both exposure and cancer potency; for AA, age-specific values for cancer potency are estimated using the appropriate ADAFs. A cancer risk is derived for each age group, and these are summed across age groups to obtain the total risk for the exposure period of interest.

Oral exposure

To illustrate the use of the ADAFs established in the 2005 *Supplemental Guidance* (U.S.EPA, 2005b), some sample calculations are presented for three exposure duration scenarios, including full lifetime, assuming a constant AA exposure of 1 µg/kg-day.

70-year	exposure i	ο i μg/kg-day i	AA nom ages 0-7	0.	
Age group	ADAF	Unit risk	Exposure	Duration	Partial risk
		(per µg/kg-	concentration	adjustment	(per µg/kg-
		day)	(µg/kg-day)		day)
0-<2 years	10	$6.0 imes 10^{-4}$	1	2 years/	$1.7 imes 10^{-4}$
				70 years	
2–<16 years	3	$6.0 imes 10^{-4}$	1	14 years/	$3.6 imes 10^{-4}$
				70 years	
≥ 16 years	1	$6.0 imes 10^{-4}$	1	54 years/	$4.6 imes 10^{-4}$
				70 years	

70-year exposure to 1 μ g/kg-day AA from ages 0–70:

Note that the partial risk for each age group is the product of the values in columns 2 thru 5 [e.g., $10 \times (6.0 \times 10^{-4}) \times 1 \times 2/70 = 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$], and the total risk is the sum of the partial risks. Thus, a 70-year risk estimate for a constant exposure of 1 µg/kg-day is equivalent to a lifetime unit risk estimate of 1×10^{-3} per µg/kg-day, adjusted for early-life susceptibility, assuming a 70-year lifetime and constant exposure across age groups.

If calculating the cancer risk for a 30-year exposure to a constant AA exposure level of 1 µg/kg-day from ages 0–30, the duration adjustments would be 2/70, 14/70, and 14/70, and the partial risks would be 1.7×10^{-4} , 3.6×10^{-4} , and 1.2×10^{-4} , resulting in a total risk estimate of 7×10^{-4} .

If calculating the cancer risk for a 30-year exposure to a constant AA exposure level of 1 μ g/kg-day from ages 20–50, the duration adjustments would be 0/70, 0/70, and 30/70, and the partial risks would be 0, 0, and 2.6 × 10⁻⁴, resulting in a total risk estimate of 2.6 × 10⁻⁴.

Inhalation Exposure

To illustrate the use of the ADAFs established in the 2005 *Supplemental Guidance* (U.S.EPA, 2005b), some sample calculations are presented below for three exposure duration scenarios assuming a constant AA exposure of $1 \mu g/m^3$.

70-year	exposure	to 1 μ g/m ³ AA	from ages 0–70:		
Age group	ADAF	Unit risk	Exposure	Duration	Partial risk
		(per $\mu g/m^3$)	concentration	adjustment	(per $\mu g/m^3$)
			$(\mu g/m^3)$		
0-<2 years	10	2×10^{-4}	1	2 years/	$5.7 imes 10^{-5}$
				70 years	
2–<16 years	3	2×10^{-4}	1	14 years/	$1.2 imes 10^{-4}$
				70 years	
≥16 years	1	$2 imes 10^{-4}$	1	54 years/	$1.5 imes 10^{-4}$
				70 years	
				Tota	$1 \text{ risk} = 3.3 \times 10^{-4}$

Note that the partial risk for each age group is the product of the values in columns 2–5 [e.g., $10 \times (2 \times 10^{-4}) \times 1 \times 2/70 = 5.7 \times 10^{-5}$], and the total risk is the sum of the partial risks. This 70-year risk estimate for a constant exposure of 1 µg/m³ is equivalent to a lifetime unit risk estimate of 3×10^{-4} per µg/m³, adjusted for early-life susceptibility, assuming a 70-year lifetime and constant exposure across age groups.

If calculating the cancer risk for a 30-year exposure to a constant AA exposure level of 1 μ g/m³ from ages 0–30, the duration adjustments would be 2/70, 14/70, and 14/70, and the partial risks would be 5.7 × 10⁻⁵, 1.2 × 10⁻⁴, and 4.0 × 10⁻⁵, resulting in a total risk estimate of 2 × 10⁻⁴.

If calculating the cancer risk for a 30-year exposure to a constant AA exposure level of 1 μ g/m³ from ages 20–50, the duration adjustments would be 0/70, 0/70, and 30/70, and the partial risks would be 0, 0, and 8.6 × 10⁻⁵, resulting in a total risk estimate of 9 × 10⁻⁵.

Other subgroups that may be more or less susceptible to AAs carcinogenic effects include people with DNA repair deficiencies (increased sensitivity to mutagenic events), or who have lower levels or activity of CYP2E1 enzymes due to genetic polymorphisms or age related developmental differences. Those with lower enzyme activity levels could have potentially decreased susceptibility to carcinogenicity due to the lower production of the putative mutagen, the GA active metabolite (see Section 4.8). At present, there are no methods to develop quantitative adjustments in risk for these potential subpopulations.

5.4.7. Uncertainties in Cancer Risk Values

The following discussion identifies uncertainties associated with the estimated risk of cancer in humans from exposure to AA, specifically the cancer oral slope factor (CSF) and the inhalation unit risk (IUR). These uncertainties arise either from incomplete knowledge about AA's toxic effects and mode of action in humans, or because of insufficient or absent data to support key steps in the quantitation of risks.

Uncertainties in the AA cancer risk assessment include: (1) the completeness of the database for identifying AA carcinogenic potential, (2) the choice of the tumor types and their relevance for humans, (3) the choice of methods for modeling the dose-response relationship and estimating the cancer risks, (4) the use of the AUC method in deriving the oral slope factor, (5) derivation of the inhalation unit risk based on the oral POD (i.e, the route-to-route extrapolation), and (6) the choice of the low-dose linear method of extrapolation from the POD to estimate the CSF and IUR.

In the case of AA, the uncertainties in the underlying data and methods used to derive the CSF and IUR are similar since the IUR is based on the same oral dose-response data used to derive the CSF. The following discussion on uncertainty is therefore applicable to both the CSF and IUR values. The discussion is accompanied by a summary of the main points in Table 5-15.

Consideration/ approach	Impact on cancer risk estimate	Decision	Justification
Completeness of the database	New data could ↑ or ↓ the estimate of risks for AA induced cancer in humans.	Based on the currently available data, EPA classified AA as "likely to be carcinogenic to humans" (U.S. EPA, 2005a)	The available human epidemiology studies as of 2009 provide limited to inadequate support for definitive statements. Animal bioassays, however, clearly demonstrate multi-site carcinogenicity, and provide good support for AA being classified as likely to be carcinogenic to humans.
Selection of bioassay	Analysis based on alternative bioasssys or human data could ↑ or ↓ the estimated risks of AA related cancer in humans.	The Friedman et al. (1995) and Johnson et al. (1986) studies were chosen for use in the derivation of the CSF and IUR.	In the absence of direct human data, the Friedman et al. (1995) and the Johnson et al. (1986) chronic rat drinking water studies were the only available cancer bioassays. Uncertainty in the risk values based on these bioassay arises because there was only one species tested, data are only available for the oral route of exposure (albeit the most relevant to humans), and the two studies were not conducted by completely independent laboratories (i.e., the primary author of the Friedman et al. [1995] study was also an author for the]). On-going National Toxicology Program (NTP) studies will add considerable new chronic bioassay data on tumor types in rats and mice for both AA and GA (U.S. FDA [2009]).
Selection of tumor types, and relevance to humans	A different selection of tumor types from the Johnson et al. (1986) study could ↑ or ↓ the estimated risks of AA related cancer in humans.	Tumor types used in the derivation of the CSF and IUR included reproducible and statistically significant increases in thyroid and testicular tumors in male rats, and thyroid, mammary gland, and CNS tumors in female rats.	The choice of tumor types used in the analysis was based on those tumor that were consistently observed to increase in both of the available chronic rat drinking water bioassays. As to relevance to humans, currently available information indicate that GA directly alkylates DNA, which is the most likely mutagenic event leading to tumorigenicity. The basic biology of DNA adduct formation and subsequent perturbation of gene structure and function is believed to be similar between test animals and humans. Thus, a mutagenic MOA for AA related carconogenicity is considered likely, and is a biologically relevant MOA in humans.
Methods used for the dose-response modeling and estimate of cancer risks.	Alternative approaches to determining a POD could either ↑ or ↓ the estimated risks of AA related cancer in humans.	A BMD analysis was used to fit to the AA dose-response data and provided valid estimates of the POD.	The BMD approach used to develop the POD is in accordance with EPA guidance (U.S. EPA, 2005a, 1995). Model and parameter uncertainty at the BMD was assessed by comparing the BMD with the BMDL, and indicated a relatively low level of uncertainty in the model results. The BMD to BMDL ratios reflect a relatively low level of uncertainty in the model results for these data sets. EPA cancer guidelines (U.S. EPA, 2005a) were followed to calculate risks for multiple tumor sites.

 Table 5-15.
 Summary of uncertainty in the acrylamide cancer risk assessment

Consideration/ approach	Impact on cancer risk estimate	Decision	Justification	
Use of the equivalent AUC method in the derivation of the CSF	Alternative methods and additional kinetic data could ↑ or ↓ the estimate of risks to humans.	A method to estimate AUCs normalized to administered dose was used to estimate the oral human equivalent concentration in the derivation of the CSF.	The equivalent AUC method was especially important to estimating the oral human equivalent concentration in the CSF derivation because the putative toxin was the AA metabolite, GA. The default uncertainty factor for interspecies toxicokinetic differences would not account for differences in the internal levels of GA, while the AUC method did. The choice of a non-gender specific in vivo formation rate for humans is supported by the epidemiology results of Hartmann et al. (2009) who did not observe a gender-related difference in internal exposure and metabolism of AA in a study of a nonsmoking general population especially designed for an even distribution of age and gender. Additonal human serum data and in vivo adduct formation rate data, however, are needed to reduce uncertainty in the estimate of human GA AUC per intake of AA using the equivalent AUC method, or to develop a PBPK model that would provide additional capability to evaluate different dose metrics or dosage regimens.	
Rotue-to-route extrapolation to derive the IUR	Additional animal or human inhalation kinetic data could ↑ or ↓ the estimate of risks to humans.	The oral POD used to derive the CSF was also used to derive the IUR.	Justification for using the oral HEC and POD to derive the IUR is based on animal kinetic data suggesting some differences in relative levels of GA and AA between the inhalation and oral route, but sufficient similarities in metabolic pathways and internal disposition to support the extrapolation based on the oral POD. Additional animal or human inhalation kinetic data are needed to verify the limited available data, and to reduce uncertainty in the route-to-route extrapolation, as well as to develop a PBPK model that would provide additional capability to evalute different dose metrics or dosage regimens.	
Choice of low-dose extrapolation approach	An low-dose extrapolation that assumed a nonlinear dose-respopnse relationship at lower doses would likely ↓ the estimated risks.	A linear low-dose extrapolation from the POD was used to estimate the risk of cancer in humans.	In accordance with the Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment (U.S. EPA, 2005a), a mutagenic MOA prompts the use of a linear low-dose extrapolation from the POD. The mode of action discussion in Section 4.8.3 concludes that the majority of the data support a mutagenic MOA for AA carcinogenicity. An alternative MOA has been proposed for some of the tumors observed in the animal bioassays (i.e., disruption of hormone levels or activity), but data supporting this MOA are limited or lacking.	

 Table 5-15.
 Summary of uncertainty in the acrylamide cancer risk assessment

Consideration/ approach	Impact on cancer risk estimate	Decision	Justification
Method used to protect sensitive subpopulations	Alternative methods could ↑ or ↓ the estimated risk for susceptible subpopulations.	ADAFs are to be applied to the slope factors when assessing cancer risks for less than 16-year-old subpopulations or for lifetime exposures that begin in less than 2-year-olds. ADAF's should only be applied as appropriate and in conjunction with site specific exposure information.	Neither the extent of interindividual variability in AA metabolism nor human variability in response to AA has been well characterized. The uncertainties associated with this lack of data and knowledge about human variability can, at present, only be discussed in qualitative terms, however, EPA has developed age- dependent adjustment factors (ADAFs) to quantitatively account for some of the potential differences in age-dependent response to carcinogens with a mutagenic MOA (U.S. EPA, 2005b).

 Table 5-15. Summary of uncertainty in the acrylamide cancer risk assessment

5.4.7.1. Areas of Uncertainty

Completeness of the database

Uncertainty in the risk assessment due to lack of completeness of the database is primarily due to the lack of human data. The available human epidemiology studies as of 2007 provide limited to inadequate support for definitive statements. Animal bioassays, however, clearly demonstrate multi-site carcinogenicity, and provide good support for classifying AA as "likely to be carcinogenic to humans" (U.S. EPA, 2005a). The uncertainty in the database is being actively addressed in on-going studies sponsored by US FDA and other national and international public and private sector organizations. The impact of new data could be to either increase or decrease the estimate of risks of AA induced cancer in humans.

Selection of bioassay(s), tumor types, and relevance to humans (i.e., the MOA)

In the absence of direct human data, the most appropriate animal bioassays to use in the derivation of cancer risk values are chronic (i.e., lifetime) studies in two species of rodents for the most relevant route of exposure. Only two chronic bioassays were available for AA exposure via the drinking water, both in the F344 rats (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986). Strengths in both assays include sufficient numbers of animals in control and multiple exposure groups for statistical analysis of dose-response relationships, histological examinations of most tissues, and sufficient reporting of experimental details and results. Uncertainty in the choice of bioassay arises because there was only one species tested, data are only available for the oral route of exposure (albeit the most relevant to humans), and the two studies were not conducted by completely independent laboratories (i.e., the primary author of the Friedman et al. [1995] study was also an author for the Johnson et al. [1986]). On-going National Toxicology Program (NTP) studies at US FDA research laboratories will add considerable new chronic bioassay data in rats and mice for both AA and GA (U.S. FDA, 2009). The impact of these new data could be either to increase or decrease the estimate of risks of AA induced cancer in humans.

Tumor types that were consistently observed to increase in both of the available chronic rat drinking water bioassays included statistically significant increases in thyroid follicular cell adenomas or carcinomas in male and female rats, tunica vaginalis testis (i.e., scrotal sac) mesotheliomas in male rats, and mammary gland tumors (adenomas, fibroadenomas or fibromas) in female rats. Johnson et al. (1986) reported increased tumor incidences at sites in females (CNS, oral cavity, uterus, and pituitary) and males (adrenals), which were reported to be not elevated in the Friedman et al. (1995) bioassay. However, the Johnson et al. (1986) study had abnormally high CNS and oral cavity tumors in control males and possible confounding effects from a viral infection. Although glial tumors of brain and spinal cord were reported by Friedman et al. (1995) not to be increased, not all of the brains and spinal cords in the test animals were

examined, and seven cases of a morphologically distinctive category of primary brain tumor described as "malignant reticulosis" were reported but excluded from the Friedman et al. (1995) analysis of the data. In addition incidences of oral cavity tumors, clitoral gland adenomas and uterine adenomas were reported not to be increased, but the number of these tumors was not reported. Rice (2005) raised the issue of under-reporting of CNS tumors in the Friedman et al (1995) study, and this is a significant source of uncertainty. The impact of the new data to be reported from the NTP studies may resolve this issue of types of animal tumors consistently induced, however it is not known whether the incidence data will increase or decrease the estimate of risks of AA induced cancer in humans.

The relevance of the tumor types observed in animals to humans based on a proposed mode of action was considered in Section 4.8.3. The available limited human data do not provide any support for AA induced thyroid, mammary, scrotal sac, or brain tumors in humans. The precise mechanism(s) by which the multi-site carcinogenicity occurs in animal models is not well-established, however, currently available information indicate that AA and GA covalently bind and modify proteins, and that the mutagenic events that lead to tumors from exposure to AA are most likely produced by GA via direct alkylation of DNA. The basic biology of DNA adduct formation and subsequent perturbation of gene structure and function is believed to be similar between test animals and humans. Thus, a mutagenic MOA is considered a biologically relevant MOA in humans. Qualitatively, there is considerable evidence in test animal and mammalian cells to support the relevance of a mutagenic MOA for AA in humans. Quantitative data are only available in one in vitro assay that measured mutagenicity directly in human bronchial epithelial cells (Besaratinia and Pfeifer, 2004). The uncertainty in the MOA and significance of the animal tumor types to humans will require additional data to resolve. Additional data are also needed to resolve why only hormonally responsive tissues were observed to have increased tumors in the Friedman et al. (1995) chronic rat bioassay, whereas GA-DNA adducts have been observed in a much wider array of tissues.

Methods for the dose-response modeling and estimate of cancer risks

For AA, there is a lack of knowledge about the underlying biology, but extensive guidance (U.S. EPA, 2005a, 1995) and expert judgment to support a BMD analysis, the choice of the most appropriate model, BMR, and approach for calculating risks when there are multiple tumor types. The male rat incidence data (tunica vaginalis mesotheliomas and/or thyroid tumors) were fit with the multistage-Weibull model that accounts for early mortality because the highest male dose group in the Friedman et al. (1995) study had increased early mortalities compared with controls. Mortality rates among high dose and control female rats were similar, so the female incidence data (mammary gland and/or thyroid tumors) were fit with the multistage the last 4 months of the Johnson et al. (1986) study, there were

increased mortalities in high-dose males and females, compared with controls, but no adjustment (e.g., excluding from incidence denominators animals that died before the time of first appearance of tumors) or special modeling was done for early mortalities because individual animal data for the time of death were not available. For the benchmark response level (BMR) as a point of departure for the cancer dose-response, the lowest BMR was selected consistent with a resulting bench mark dose (BMD) that remained close to the empirical data (U.S. EPA, 1995).

Model and parameter uncertainty at the BMD can be assessed by comparing the BMD, a central estimate of risk, with the BMDL, which corresponds to the lower statistical confidence limit of a one-sided 95% confidence interval on the BMD. The multistage modeling of the Johnson et al. (1986) male rat data yielded a summed incidence (thyroid or TVM tumors) BMD₁₀ of 0.238 mg/kg-day, and BMDL₁₀ of 0.150 mg/kg-day, an approximately 1.6 fold difference. The multistage modeling of the female rat data yielded a summed incidence (mammary or thyroid or CNS tumors) BMD₁₀ of 0.44 mg/kg-day, and BMDL₁₀ of 0.32 mg/kg-day, an approximately 1.4-fold difference. The BMD to BMDL ratios for the Johnson et al. (1986) male female individual tumor types ranged from the 1.5 to 2.4. These numbers reflect a relatively low level of uncertainty in the model results for these data sets. The use of the BMD central estimate would decrease the estimated risk of cancer by decreasing the value of the slope factor.

EPA cancer guidelines (U.S. EPA, 2005a) suggest two approaches for calculating the risks when there are multiple tumor sites in a data set to assess the total risk: (1) estimate cancer risk from the incidence of tumor-bearing animals; and (2) adding distributions of the individual tumor incidence to obtain a distribution of the summed risk for all etiologically different tumor types. Both approaches were considered in this assessment. For the Friedman et al. (1995) male rat data, both approaches (tumor-bearing and summed risk) yielded a similar result for risks from multiple tumor sites when rounded to one significant digit, $0.3 (mg/kg day)^{-1}$. Analysis of the female rat data with both approaches also yielded a similar result for the cancer slope factor when rounded to one significant digit, $0.2 (mg/kg-day)^{-1}$. The summed risks based on the Johnson et al. (1986) male and female rat data yielded cancer slope factors ranging from 0.4 to $0.7 (mg/kg-day)^{-1}$. The relatively similar results for the Friedman et al. (1995) analysis using different approaches to calculating risks, and the relatively narrow range of slope factors based on summed risk for various combinations of male or female tumor incidence in the Johnson et al. (1986) study increases the confidence in the results. The impact of additional knowledge about the underlying biological processes or availability of other data sets on the estimated risks of cancer in humans is unknown, and could either increase or decrease the estimated risks.

Adequacy of the AUC method for use in deriving the cancer slope factor

The AUC methodology used to estimate the oral human equivalent concentration and

derive the cancer slope factor is dependent upon the accuracy of the measured or estimated conversion factors used to estimate the rat and human AUCs /mg AA/kg bw. Currently there is a lack of sufficient data to accurately estimate human in vivo rate constants for the formation of hemoglobin adducts. The estimated human AA-AUC based upon a variety of alternate rate constants (including in vivo constants for rats and in vitro constants for human) are reasonably concordant with a range of values only four fold different from the lowest to the highest estimate. A wider range (and thus greater uncertainty), however, exist for the rate constants and conversion factors needed to estimate the human GA-AUC. Addditional data are clearly needed for these critical rate constants and conversion factors not only for the derivation of reference standards, but for the considerable effort going into estimating daily intake levels based on hemoglobin adduct concentrations in the general public.

Uncertainty in the route-to-route extrapolation to derive the IUR

A route-to-route extrapolation (oral-to-inhalation) of the dose-response relationship was performed to derive the IUR based upon the daily intake from the oral POD. Justification for deriving an IUR from the oral POD comes from animal kinetic studies that observed some differences in relative levels of GA and AA between the inhalation and oral route, but sufficient similarities in metabolic pathways and internal disposition to support the route-to-route extrapolation. More specifically, there is: (1) a well characterized dose-response and identification of the tumor type and incidence from two chronic oral bioassays; (2) evidence of rapid, nearly complete absorption from the oral route and rapid distribution throughout the body (Kadry et al., 1999; Miller et al., 1982); 3) evidence that the elimination kinetics of radioactivity from oral or i.v. administration of radiolabeled AA in rats is similar (Miller et al., 1982); 4) similar flux of AA through metabolic pathways following either single dose oral or single 6 hr inhalation exposures in rats, and similar GA-Val levels (an indicator of serum GA AUC) following an oral or inhalation exposure, although AA-Val levels were somewhat lower (statistical significance not reported) (Sumner et al., 2003); 5) some route differences in relative GA and AA serum levels, however the numbers are within two fold of each other, and (6) lack of support for portal of entry effects. Additional animal or human inhalation kinetic data are needed to reduce the uncertainty in quantitating the internal disposition of AA or GA following different routes of exposure.

Choice of low-dose extrapolation approach

The mode of action discussion in Section 4.8.3 concludes that at present, the mechanistic sequence of events by which AA induces the tumor types observed in the animal studies is not completely defined, however, the majority of the data, support a mutagenic MOA for AA carcinogenicity. An alternative MOA has been proposed for some of the tumors observed in the

animal bioassays (i.e., disruption of hormone levels or activity), but data supporting this MOA are limited or lacking.

In accordance with the Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment (U.S. EPA, 2005a), a mutagenic MOA prompts the use of a linear low-dose extrapolation from the POD to estimate the risk of cancer in humans. The value of the cancer slope factor is accompanied with the caveat that it should not be used with human equivalent exposures greater than those corresponding to the highest exposure in the male rat bioassay (2.0 mg/kg-day) because above this level the dose-response relationships of the observed tumor types are not likely to continue linearly and there are no data to indicate where the nonlinearity would begin to occur. If a new data or a re-analysis of the extant data were to conclude that the MOA for AA carcinogenicity was not a mutagenic MOA or that there were nonlinearities (i.e., specifically sublinearities) in the low level dose-response than the estimated risk of cancer to humans would be decreased. Conversely, if new cancer incidence data supported a steeper dose-response and a linear low dose-response relationship, then the estimate of risk would increase.

Human population variability and sensitive subpopulations

Neither the extent of interindividual variability in AA metabolism nor human variability in response to AA has been well characterized. Factors that could contribute to a range of human response to AA include variations in CYP450, epoxide hydrolase, or glutathione transferase levels (or activity) because of age-related, gender, or genetic differences or other factors including exposure to other chemicals that induce or inhibit enzyme levels, nutritional status, alcohol consumption, or the presence of underlying disease that could alter metabolism of AA or antioxidant protection systems. Incomplete understanding of the potential differences in metabolism and susceptibility across exposed human populations represents a considerable source of uncertainty. The uncertainties associated with this lack of data and knowledge about human variability can, at present, only be discussed in qualitative terms, however, EPA has developed age-dependent adjustment factors (ADAFs) to quantitatively account for some of the potential differences in age-dependent response to carcinogens with a mutagenic MOA. ADAFs are to be applied to the slope factors when assessing cancer risks for less than 16-year-old subpopulations or for lifetime exposures that include early-life exposure (U.S. EPA, 2005b, also see Section 5.4.6).

5.4.8. Previous Cancer Assessment

A cancer assessment for AA was previously entered into the IRIS database on September 26, 1998. Using the EPA cancer classifications at that time, AA was classified as Group B2, a probable human carcinogen, based on inadequate human data and sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in animals (significantly increased incidences of benign and/or malignant tumors

at multiple sites in both sexes of rats and carcinogenic effects in a series of 1-year limited bioassays in mice by several routes of exposure). The classification was supported by positive genotoxicity data, adduct formation activity, and structure-activity relationships to vinyl carbamate and acrylonitrile. An oral slope factor of 4.5 $(mg/kg-day)^{-1}$ and a drinking water unit risk of $1.3 \times 10^{-4} (\mu g/L)^{-1}$ were derived using a linearized multistage procedural analysis (extra risk) of combined incidence data for tumors in the CNS, mammary and thyroid glands, uterus, and oral cavity in female F344 rats exposed to AA in drinking water for 2 years (Johnson et al., 1986), with the external AA exposure as the dose metric. The current derivation of the oral slope factor of 0.6 $(mg/kg-day)^{-1}$ is based on the summed risks for increased incidence of thyroid tumors and tunica vaginalis mesotheliomas in male F344 rats exposed to AA in drinking water for 2 years (Johnson et al., 1986). The dose metric used in the current estimation of the HEC is GA-AUC rather than the external AA exposure. GA is considered to be the putative toxin for the hypothesized mutagenic MOA leading to carcinogenicity, and thus a better internal dose metric to correlate to response than the internal (or external) level of AA. Differences in the metabolism of GA between rats and humans had a considerable impact on the resulting slope factors.

The previous inhalation unit risk of $1.3 \times 10^{-3} (\mu g/m^3)^{-1}$ was calculated from the oral data and an external exposure level of AA, based on the assumption that the tissue distribution of AA appeared to be quantitatively the same regardless of route of exposure (Dearfield et al., 1988). This assumption was supported by the data on the distribution of AA following oral or i.v. administration in rats (Miller et al., 1982). The current inhalation unit risk of $2.0 \times 10^{-4} (\mu g/m^{3)-1}$ is based on EPA's subsequent methodology for inhalation dosimetry (U.S. EPA, 1994), a human equivalent internal level of GA in blood to the POD calculated from the rat oral study data, and animal toxicokinetic data for AA and GA following different routes of exposure that overall indicate sufficient similar internal disposition of GA or AA to support a route-to-route extrapolation. The oral HEC daily intake derived from animal oral exposure data was converted to the air concentration for a continuous inhalation exposure that would result in the same daily intake as the basis for the inhalation unit risk.

5.5. QUANTITATING RISK FOR HERITABLE GERM CELL EFFECTS

U.S. EPA's *Guidelines for Mutagenicity Risk Assessment* (1986) describe procedures for the qualitative and quantitative assessment of risk of heritable mutations in human germ cells. Although no studies that directly reported the effects of AA on human germ cells were identified to support a definitive statement about AA's heritable mutagenic effects, there are sufficient animal toxicity data and other supporting data (e.g., toxicokinetics, mechanistic studies in germ and somatic cells) to support the hypothesis that AA is a potential human germ-cell mutagen. In accordance with the Guidelines, the data is sufficient to prompt both a qualitative and

quantitative assessment of risk. The qualitative assessment of AA's heritable germ cell effects has been previously discussed in Section 4.4. Presented in Section 5.5 are the results of different approaches to quantitate AA's potential heritable germ cell effects in humans, along with the uncertainties in the underlying assumptions. With the caveat concerning the overall uncertainty in the quantitation, there is further discussion of the estimated incidence of heritable effects given different exposure scenarios including exposure at the levels of the proposed IRIS reference values. Finally, there is a discussion of the data needed to reduce uncertainties in the qualitative risk assessment of risk of AA's heritable effects.

5.5.1. Quantitative Approaches

In 1993, a European Commission (EC)/ U.S. EPA workshop was convened to identify the methodology, data requirements, and mechanistic research that was being used to understand and quantitate the human health risk for germ cell mutagens from exposure to genotoxins. The workshop results were published in a special edition of Mutation Research (EC/US EPA Workshop, 1995), and included four case studies, one of which addressed AA's effects (Dearfield et al., 1995). AA has, perhaps, more quantitative data on genetic and heritable germ cell effects than any other chemical under evaluation in the IRIS Program, yet important data gaps remain that add considerable uncertainty to the human quantitative risk assessment. Dearfield et al. (1995) summarized the data up to 1995, and evaluated several approaches to quantitate the human dose-response for AA induced heritable germ cell effects, including a parallelogram approach, a modified direct approach, and a doubling dose approach. A discussion of each approach are provided below along with the results, key assumptions, and uncertainties in those assumptions.

Parallelogram approach

The parallelogram approach was originally formulated by F.H. Sobels (1989, 1982, 1977) to derive an estimate (corrected by DNA adduct dosimetry) of the risk of chemically-induced heritable effects in human germ cells. The method consisted of first measuring a common endpoint in human and test animal somatic cells (such as gene mutation in lymphocytes), and in test animal germ cells; then extrapolating the test animal somatic to germ cell mutation rate ratio to estimate the "analogous" mutation rate in human germ cells (which are not directly measurable). A schematic of the original concept is presented in Figure 5-3. The key assumption in this approach is that the ratio of the somatic to germ cell mutation rate in the test animal is the same as the ratio in man for a specified dose range (Waters and Nolan, 1995).



Figure 5-3. Original parallelogram approaches for estimating risk of heritable germ cell effects.

Dearfield et al. (1995) evaluated two modification to the original parallelogram approach for use in quantitating the risk for AA, as presented in Figure 5-4. The first modification (Figure 5-3a) incorporates somatic in vivo data into the parallelogram approach, since by 1995, it was possible to measure mutations in somatic cells in vivo, and to determine the relationship between specific DNA adducts (or other alterations) and outcomes, and whether these relationships are the same among somatic and germ cells treated in vitro and between in vitro and in vivo exposures. The technology was also available to determine the relationship between the applied dose and specific DNA adduct production. A representation of the modifications is shown in Figure 5-4a. The EC/US EPA workshop participants who evaluated this case study concluded, however, that the modified parallelogram approach in Figure 5-4a was not relevant for AA, because AA appeared to act primarily via a clastogenic mechanism (e.g., aneuploidy or via protein [e.g., protamine] adduction), and aside from specific-locus mutations suggestive of a point mutation mechanism, there were very few other related data to implement



Figure 5-4. Two modifications in the parallelogram approach for estimating risk of heritable germ cell effects from exposure to AA.

the parallelogram approach in Figure 5-4a. Furthermore, there is no representation of human germ cell effects in this modification, nor was information available at the time that related specific DNA-adduct formation to a measured mutational outcome, which remains true as of mid-2009.

A second parallelogram approach shown in Figure 5-4b addresses effects in human germ cells, and assumes that the mathematical relationship "(2)" between the somatic cell and the germ cell effect is the same in rodents and humans. It further assumes that the mouse-to-human somatic cell outcome relationship "(1)" is the same as the mouse-to-human germ cell outcome relationship, and that all three measures of potencies are equivalent. The measured potency, in each case, is derived from a dose-effect relationship, and for example, could be based on specific DNA adduct formation. As with the approach in Figure 5-4a, however, the types of data needed to implement the approach in Figure 5-4b are not available for AA. Specifically, the only information on AA's effects in human somatic cells, is hemoglobin adduction (Boettcher et al., 2005; Fennell et al, 2005; Bergmark et al., 1993), and GA induced unscheduled DNA synthesis in human epithelial cells in vitro (Butterworth et al., 1992). Other deficiencies in the AA

database that preclude implementation of the Figure 5-4b parallelogram approach include: (1) no comparative endpoints in germ cells to establish a similar biological endpoint dosimetry, and (2) no standardized procedures to measure potency of effects in human germ cells following chemical exposure. The parallelogram approach also does not provide a means to estimate increased incidence of genetic disease(s).

As an alternative to the parallelogram approach, the EC/US EPA workshop participants determined that enough information was available on AA's heritable effects in mice, and dose-response relationships to chemical mutagens in general, to support quantitation of heritable germ cell effects in humans using either a direct approach (or modified direct approach) or a doubling dose approach.

Uncertainty in the quantitation of heritable germ cell effects

Both of the approaches discussed below are based on a number of assumptions about the similarity or differences between mice and human responses and variability of critical processes in the MOA leading to heritable disease. The assumptions as discussed by Ehling (1988) include:

1) The amount of genetic damage induced by a given type of exposure under a given set of conditions is the same in the germ cells of mice and humans.

2) The various biological and application factors affect the magnitude of the induced mutation frequency in similar ways and to similar extents in mice and in humans.

The parallelogram approach (i.e., relationships "(1)" and "(2)" in Figure 5-4b) was then used to identify data to support estimates of the extrapolation factors for key events in the MOA leading to genetic diseases that could be used to extrapolate from a mouse dose-response to a human dose-response. An International Commission for Protection Against Environmental Mutagens and Carcinogens (ICPEMC) Workgroup in 1993 developed risk extrapolation factors (REFs) to quantitate risk from exposure to AA, and to extrapolate risk from rodent (e.g., mice) experimental models to humans (ICPEMC, 1993a):

Parameter	REF [*] (FOR AA)	REF [*] (DEFAULT)
Locus specificity	2	2-5
DNA repair variability	0.1	0.1
Metabolic variability	1	1
Dose rate variability	1	0.1
Exposure route	1	0.5
Germ cell stage specificity	1	1
Dose-response kinetics	1	1
Overall REF	0.2	

*An REF of 1 indicates equivalency between the animal and human.

There is considerable uncertainty in the above assumptions and risk extrapolation factors. The REFs for AA shown above are mostly in accordance with default values proposed by the ICEPMC Taskgroup, as described by Favor et al. (1995). The default REF for locus specificity (2–5) is based on information that, on average, human genes exhibit higher spontaneous mutability. The default REF for DNA-repair variability (0.1) is based on information that the overall human repair capability is 10–100 times more efficient than that of mice. The default REF for metabolic variability (1) was proposed because, in lieu of sufficient data linking metabolic and mutagenic heterogeneity in humans, or the lack of animal data, it is impossible to make an informed approximation of the magnitude of the default factor. The default REF for dose-rate variability (0.1) is based on information for several chemicals indicating that at least an order of magnitude reduction in mutations occurred following split dose or chronic dosing. The default REF for exposure route (0.5) is based on the frequency of i.p. data for genetic studies and the presumption that the i.p. route is the most efficient route, but for other routes (or where routes are the same in mice and humans), a higher default REF was recommended (Favor et al., 1995). The default REF for germ-cell specificity (1) is based on information that spermatogenesis and spermiogenesis in mice and humans are similar and the protamines of mice and human spermatozoa have high homology. The default REF for dose-response kinetics (1) is recommended when the dose-response curve appears to be linear or if no data exist (Favor et al., 1995). Dearfield et al. (1995) did not clearly explain the basis for recommending deviations from the default REFs for dose-rate variability and exposure route for AA.

It was assumed in 1995 that any effects seen in germ cells represented an integration of effects from both the parent AA and its metabolite GA. Although GA has been reported to be as effective as AA in inducing dominant lethal mutations for similar germ cell stage sensitivity (post-meiotic), more recent research has demonstrated that GA is a much more potent inducer of dominant lethal mutations in germ cells (Adler et al., 2000; Generoso et al., 1996) compared to AA, and is also the primary inducer of DNA-adducts in somatic cells (Besaratinia and Pfeifer,

2005). The dominant lethal effect has been shown to require the conversion of AA to GA, using wild type and CYP2E1 knockout mice (Ghanayem et al., 2005a, b). The AA REFs specified above of 1 for metabolic variability and dose-response kinetics (i.e., indicating equivalency), therefore, may not accurately reflect interspecies toxicokinetic differences for GA production and the resulting estimated interspecies extrapolation of the external dose to mutation rate relationship. These uncertainties in the assumptions and data gaps warrant further research to improve the usefulness of the following quantitative estimates of risk for AA induced heritable effects.

Direct and modified direct approach

In the "direct approach" to estimating genetic disease rates based on mutation rates, a dominant mutation and endpoint, such as dominant skeletal or cataract alteration is used. In contrast, the "modified direct approach" uses a recessive mutation rate to predict dominant disease rates. A modified direct approach was used for AA based on an estimate of the per locus mutation rate in the mouse relative to the number of loci in humans capable of mutating to dominantly expressed disease alleles. Although the value for the number of human loci capable of mutating to dominantly expressed disease alleles is critical to the derivation of the estimated risk to exposed humans, this number is not known and was assumed to be 1,000 for dominant single gene diseases, and 10 for dominant chromosomal diseases (i.e., this assumption represents another major source of uncertainty in these calculations). The modified direct approach incorporates these estimates into the following equation to derive the number of new diseases in offspring descendent from exposed parents (ICPEMC, 1993a,b):

Number of new diseases in the offspring descendent from exposed parents

= REF × M_{mouse} × L_{human} × D × N where:

 M_{mouse} = induced per locus mutation rate per unit dose exposure estimated in the mouse; L_{human} = number of loci in humans that mutate to dominant disease alleles; D = exposure dose; N = number of offspring descendent from exposed parents; REF = risk extrapolation factor (see above for AA).

Doubling dose approach.

The doubling dose approach does not require a specific estimate of the number of human loci that mutate to dominant disease alleles as does the modified direct approach. Instead, the doubling dose approach is based on an estimate of the overall spontaneous mutation frequency in humans that leads to dominant disease alleles. The doubling dose (DD) is the dose which induces a mutation rate equal to the spontaneous mutation rate. This dose can be evaluated in animal studies and extrapolated to humans based on the assumptions discussed above. Dearfield et al. (1995) state that data for spontaneous mutation rates in humans are more available than the

number of disease associated loci in humans thus making the doubling dose approach preferable to (i.e., less uncertain than) the modified direct approach. For an estimate of the spontaneous mutation rate and the spontaneous chromosomal aberration rate in humans, Dearfield et al. (1995) used numbers developed by UNSCEAR (1986) and Sankaranarayanan (1982) of 1.5×10^{-3} and 6.2×10^{-8} , respectively. These mutation frequencies in humans were used in the following equation (ICPEMC, 1993a,b) to derive the number of new diseases in the offspring descendent from exposed parents:

Number of new diseases in the offspring = REF X Spon_{humans} \times D/DD \times N

where: REF = risk extrapolation factor (see above discussion of REFs); $Spon_{humans} =$ overall spontaneous mutation rate to dominant disease alleles in humans; D = exposure dose; DD = doubling dose estimated in the mouse (the DD is calculated as the mouse spontaneous rate per unit dose); and N = number of offspring descendent from exposed parents.

Dearfield et al. (1995) derived a doubling dose in mice based on four data sets (Adler et al., 1994; Ehling and Neuhauser-Klaus, 1992; Adler, 1990; Shelby et al., 1987) using the following equation:

DD = <u>Spontaneous mutation rate</u> Induced mutation rate / unit exposure

As an example using data from Ehling and Neuhauser-Klaus (1992): $DD = \underbrace{22 / 248,413}_{[(6/23,489) - (22 / 248,413)] / 100 \text{ mg/kg}} = 53.1 \text{ mg/kg}$

The other estimates were 1.8 mg/kg, 3.3 mg/kg, and 0.39 mg/kg for the Shelby et al. (1987), Adler et al. (1994), and Adler (1990) data, respectively. Aside from the wide range of values derived from the different data sets, a major assumption in these calculations is that the doubling doses increases linearly with dose. The gene mutation rates are based on a single data point and no other dose-response data were available in 1995 to suggest a nonlinear response. Dearfield et al. (1995) note that from an empirical examination of AA data at doses of 100 mg/kg and lower, most of the data from the dominant lethal studies have a linear component (e.g., based on data from the dermal dominant lethal study), and that the Adler et al. (1994) data from the control and the 50 and 100 mg/kg doses could be fitted with a linear equation. As an alternate model, Adler et al.(1994) combined both of their data sets and fit the resulting dose-response curve with a Weibull model to derive a human DD estimate of about 25 mg/kg based
on a human background translocation frequency of 1.9 per 1,000 newborns (Lyon et al., 1983). Nevertheless, the accuracy of extrapolation of these high exposure rates to the expected human exposure scenarios presented in Table 5-16 is another major uncertainty in the calculations.

				Number of induced genetic diseases/10 offspring					
				Ingestion	Inhalation			Dermal	
Endpoint	Mouse dose, mg/kg (dose schedule)	Approach	Doubling dose, mg/kg	1.3 × 10 ^{−5} mg/kg- day	0.027 mg/kg-day OSHA	0.00072 mg/kg-day grout	0.011 mg/kg-day grout	0.016 mg/kg-day grout	0.13 mg/kg-day grout
					PEL	worker	worker	worker	worker
Gene mutation	100 ^a (single)	Doubling dose	53.1	$7.3 imes10^{-5}$	0.15	0.004	0.062	0.09	0.73
		Modified direct		$4.3 imes 10^{-3}$	9.0	0.24	3.7	5.3	43.4
Chromosomal	$200^{\rm b} (5 \times 40)$	Doubling dose	1.8	$3.0 imes 10^{-2}$	6.3	0.17	2.6	3.7	30.3
alterations		Modified direct		$3.1 imes 10^{-2}$	64.4	1.7	26.3	38.2	310
	50 ^c (single)	Doubling dose	3.3	$1.7 imes10^{-3}$	3.4	0.09	1.4	2.0	16.5
		Modified direct		$2.7 imes10^{-3}$	6.0	0.15	2.3	3.3	27.0
	$250^{\rm d} (5 \times 50)$	Doubling dose	0.39	$1.4 imes10^{-2}$	29.1	0.78	11.8	17.2	140
		Modified direct		$2.3 imes10^{-2}$	47.2	1.3	19.2	28.0	227
	Combined ^{c,d}	Doubling dose	25	$2.2 imes10^{-4}$	0.45	0.01	0.18	0.27	2.2

Table 5-16. Heritable genetic risk estimates for humans exposed to acrylamide Number of induced genetic diseases/10⁶ offspring

^aEhling and Neuhäuser-Klaus (1992).

^bShelby et al. (1987). ^cAdler et al. (1994).

^dAdler (1990).

Source: Dearfield et al. (1995).

Quantitative assessment for various exposure routes and levels

The results of the Dearfield et al. (1995) quantitative analysis for risk of heritable germ cell effects from different routes and levels of exposure are presented in Table 5-16. In these derivations, N is set at 1 million (1×10^6) , the total REF is set to 0.2, and a range of values are presented using the two approaches (modified direct and doubling dose) for each of four mouse data sets (Adler et al., 1994; Ehling and Neuhauser-Klaus, 1992; Adler, 1990; Shelby et al., 1987). For example, the estimated risk for heritable mutations that could potentially lead to induced genetic disease in offspring from fathers exposed to $1.3 \times 10^{-5} \,\mu g$ AA/kg-day in drinking water range from $7.3 \times 10^{-5} / 10^{6}$ offspring for gene mutations leading to disease (using the doubling dose approach and the Ehling and Neuhauser-Klaus [1992] data) to $3 \times 10^{-2}/10^{6}$ for chromosomal alterations (using the modified direct approach and the Shelby et al.[1987] data). The oral exposure level that Dearfield et al. (1995) used was derived from estimates of drinking water consumption and AA levels in drinking water. By using the Fennell et al. (2005) updated upper estimate of daily oral exposure to an average adult male based on background hemoglobin adduct levels (i.e., 1.26 μ g/kg-day instead of Dearfield et al.'s [1995] estimate of 1.3×10^{-2} ug/kg-day), the upper range of the estimated risk for heritable mutations potentially leading to induced genetic disease would be $3/10^6$ offspring for chromosomal alterations using the modified direct approach and the Shelby et al.(1987) data. Table 5-16 also presents risk for induced genetic disease in offspring from fathers exposed via inhalation or dermal exposures in occupational settings that are considerably higher.

Conclusions on the utility of the quantitation of heritable germ cell effects and identification of data needs

The quantitation of heritable germ cell effects described in Dearfield et al. (1995) is based primarily on male translocation data and one gene mutation study, and accounts only for dominant genetic diseases induced by either gene mutations or chromosomal alterations. The estimates do not take into account other potential genotoxic mechanisms such as effects in spermatogonia stem cells, effects in female germ cells, or induction of recessive mutations that would not appear in the first generation, but could lead to additional adverse effects in subsequent generations. Thus, the Dearfield et al. (1995) risk estimates may be an underestimate of the total effects on heritable germ cells.

The uncertainties in the assumptions used to quantitate risks for heritable germ cell effects (discussed above), however, reduce the utility of the Dearfield et al. (1995) quantitative results for risk assessment purposes. A National Toxicology Program (NTP) expert panel (NTP/CERHR, 2004), charged with evaluating the evidence for AA's adverse reproductive and developmental effects, reviewed the Dearfield et al. (1995) quantitation of heritable germ cell

effects, and concluded that little weight could be placed on the estimated risks due to the uncertainties associated with the assumptions employed in the quantitation.

The lack of knowledge about the timing of an AA exposure relative to the most affected germ cell stage also confound how the results would be used for risk assessment. For example, short-term exposures that induce mutations in spermatogonia stem cells could result in potential adverse outcomes (increased risk) for the remainder of a male's reproductive life, while comparable exposures that induce damage only during the post-meiotic stages of the germ cell cycle (as reported in most of the studies to-date), would increase risks levels only while the affected sperm are viable, i.e., before they are reabsorbed and replaced by unaffected sperm. In this scenario, exposures at earlier stages would result in little, if any, risks. Continuous exposures would result in some weighted combination of risk depending on the sensitivity of each germ cell stage to damage.

Given the uncertainties in the current quantitative characterization of heritable germ cell effects, EPA does not consider the quantitative results from Dearfield et al. (1995) sufficient to support derivation of a toxicity value. EPA does, however, agree with the NTP Expert Panel conclusion that, "considering the incidence in treated and control animals of the response detected for heritable translocations at the lowest dose level tested (40 mg/kg bw/day \times 5 days), it is likely that such effects would occur at lower dose levels" (NTP/CERHR, 2004). Thus, further research and data are clearly needed to fill the critical data gaps and reduce uncertainties in the characterization of risks for heritable germ cell effects including gaps in the interspecies extrapolation factors, in the quantitative relationship between genetic alterations in germ cells and heritable disease, and in the shape of the low-dose response relationship.

6. MAJOR CONCLUSIONS IN THE CHARACTERIZATION OF HAZARD AND DOSE RESPONSE

6.1. HUMAN HAZARD POTENTIAL

AA (CASRN 79-06-1) has the chemical formula C_3H_5NO (structural formula $CH_2=CH-CONH_2$) and a molecular weight of 71.08. AA is an odorless, white, crystalline solid at room temperature with a melting point of 84.5°C. It is soluble in water (2.155 g/mL at 30°C) and is used in photopolymerization systems, adhesives and grouts, and polymer cross-linking. The primary commercial use of AA is in the production of polyacrylamides, which are used in the coagulation process of water treatment; as thickening agents for agricultural sprays, papermaking, textile printing paste, and consumer products; and as water retention aids. Release of AA to the environment can occur during the manufacturing process and from polyacrylamide materials containing residual AA. AA forms during the high-temperature heating of starchy foods. AA is expected to be highly mobile in water and soils but is not expected to accumulate in the environment due to fairly rapid physical and biological degradation.

Neurological impairment (including peripheral neuropathy involving nerve tissue damage) has been repeatedly observed in case reports, and health surveillance studies, as well as extensive laboratory animal studies clearly establishing this endpoint as a potential human health hazard associated with acute and repeated occupational exposure via inhalation of airborne AA or dermal contact with AA-containing materials. There are only a few case reports of similar effects in humans orally exposed to AA, and the human data are inadequate to develop a quantitative characterization of the dose-response, however there are many laboratory animal studies that have quantitatively examined the general toxicity, neurotoxicity, reproductive toxicity, and developmental toxicity of chronic and less-than-lifetime oral exposure to AA. The animal studies indicate that microscopically-detected degenerative peripheral nerve changes are the most sensitive health effect from repeated oral exposure to AA, with LOAELs in chronic rat studies in the 1–2 mg/kg-day range. Early animal research associated AA functional neurotoxicity with central and peripheral distal axonopathy and, more specifically, with histopathologic findings of neurofilamentous accumulations in distal paranodal regions of large peripheral nerve fibers that appeared to cause local axon swelling and subsequent degeneration of myelin. Axon degeneration was observed to progress proximally toward the cell body region, a process known as "dying back." Based on these findings, neurofilaments were thought to be a target for AA toxicity. Other potential pathways for AA-induced axonopathy include interference with nerve cell body metabolism and delivery of nutrients to the axon, interruption of axonal protein transport, disruption of axon cytoskeleton, diminished axolemma Na^+/K^+ -ATPase activity, and reduction of fast anterograde axonal transport capacity.

Impaired male reproductive performance (i.e., male-mediated implantation losses) has been observed in laboratory animals orally exposed to AA, and the lower end of the range of animal oral doses associated with germ cell effects, particularly male-mediated implantation losses, is close to the lowest exposure levels associated with neurotoxicity in orally exposed animals. To date, associations between human exposure to AA and reproductive effects (including possible effects on sperm characteristics) have not been adequately studied.

Studies in mice exposed dermally or by i.p. injection show that AA induces transmissible genetic damage in male germ cells of mice in the form of reciprocal translocations and gene mutations. No experiments have studied the potential for AA to induce heritable mutations in the female germ line. The heritable germ cell effect in male mice is consistent with the extensive evidence supporting dominant lethal effects in male murine test animals. The main adverse effects are summarized as follows: (1) AA is mutagenic in spermatozoa and spermatid stages of the male germ line; (2) in these spermatogenic stages AA is mainly or exclusively a clastogen; (3) per unit dose, i.p. exposure is more effective than dermal exposure; and (4) per unit dose, GA is more effective than AA. Since stem cell spermatogonia persist and may accumulate mutations throughout the reproductive life of males, assessment of induced mutations in this germ cell stage is critical for the assessment of genetic risk associated with exposure to a mutagen.

Mechanistic proposals have been made for a common MOA for neurotoxic and male fertility effects (e.g., effects on mounting, sperm motility, and intromission) involving modifications of kinesin and sulfhydryl groups of other proteins by AA and/or GA and a separate mechanism for male dominant lethal mutations involving clastogenic effects from AA and/or GA interactions with protamine or spindle fiber proteins in spermatids and/or direct alkylation of DNA by GA. As reviewed by LoPachin (2008b), there is potential for cumulative effects from exposure to AA and other type-2 alkenes (which can produce similar noncancer effects via common mechanisms of action), since human exposure can be related to environmental pollution (e.g., acrolein, acrylonitrile), contamination of food (e.g., AA, methyl acrylate), and endogenous generation (e.g., acrolein, 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal).

In accordance with the *Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment* (U.S. EPA, 2005a), AA is characterized as "likely to be carcinogenic to humansin

This characterization is based on the following findings: (1) chronic oral exposure of F344 rats to AA in drinking water induced statistically significant increased incidences of thyroid follicular cell tumors (adenomas and carcinomas combined in both sexes), scrotal sac mesotheliomas (males), and mammary gland fibroadenomas (females) in two bioassays; (2) oral, i.p., or dermal exposure to AA initiated skin tumors that were promoted by TPA in SENCAR and Swiss-ICR mice; and (3) i.p. injections of AA induced lung adenomas in strain A/J mice. In addition, CNS tumors were found in both of the chronic F344 rat bioassays. The elevation of the incidence for CNS tumors was significant in the first bioassay and of uncertain statistical

significance in the second. There are no animal data on the carcinogenicity of chronic inhalation exposure to AA.

The available human studies on potential AA carcinogenicity are for either dietary exposures—numerous case-control studies and prospective studies—or occupational exposures from inhalation and/or dermal exposure—two cohort mortality studies with follow-up analyses. These studies are judged as providing limited or no evidence of carcinogenicity in humans.

Although the precise mechanism(s) by which the multi-site carcinogenicity occurs in animal models cannot be well-established, currently available information indicates that mutagenicity plays an important role in AA-induced carcinogenicity. The evidence consists of AA induced genotoxicity in somatic and germ cells of rodents in vivo and cultured cells in vitro including gene mutations and some types of chromosomal aberrations (i.e., translocations), formation of GA-DNA in mammalian somatic cells, the positive mouse lymphoma assay response, and mutagenicity of GA in short-term bacterial assays. The available data indicate that the major genotoxic effects of AA may involve covalent modifications of proteins by AA and GA, and that the mutagenic events that lead to tumors from exposure to AA are most likely produced by GA via direct alkylation of DNA. Errors in base sequence during DNA replication, especially for the DNA adduct component, may be involved in the MOA.

An alternative MOA involving altered hormonal responses has also been proposed for the carcinogenicity of AA, but the available data are insufficient to make a determination as to the likelihood of this MOA. It should be noted that that AA-induced carcinogenicity may have a mixed MOA involving a mutagenic component and another component, such as an altered hormonal response or some as yet unknown MOA.

On-going studies at the U.S. Food and Drug Administration

The US Food and Drug Administration's National Center for Toxicological Research (NCTR) under the auspices of the National Toxicology Program (NTP), are conducting longterm carcinogenicity bioassays of carcinogenicity for AA and GA in male and female F344 rats and male and female B6C3F₁ mice. The projected schedule for the NTP Technical Report Subcommittee approval is by November 2009. NCTR is also conducting a developmental neurotoxicity study of AA in F344 rats under the auspices of the NTP Program. EPA will continue to monitor new science to inform future directions.

Suggestions for additional studies

To further resolve if there is dose-concordance and temporal sequence in the mutagenic MOA, a study could be conducted with the same regimen as in a cancer bioassay with measurement of gene mutations in the tumor target tissues, employing sampling times that would establish the temporal induction of mutation. A study that would help resolve the difference

between AA and GA mutagenicity leading to tumors would breed wild type lacI mice with knockouts for CypIIE1, and evaluate mutations in the target tissue. Additional studies to identify the types of mutations in oncogenes or tumor suppressor genes from the tumors induced in rodents by AA (or GA) are needed. A treatment-specific tumor mutational spectrum that matched the mutational signature of AA/GA would be powerful evidence of a mutagenic MOA, especially if the mutational signature were developed in the tumor target tissue (e.g., using the lacI transgene).

Additional studies are warranted to evaluate the potential for hormonal disruption, and the interaction of hormonal disruption and increased levels of DNA adducts in the tumor bearing tissues observed in the animal studies. Additional studies are also warranted to further evaluate the low-dose response relationship for heritable germ cell effects in orally exposed animals and to examine possible associations between AA exposure and sperm characteristics in humans (adjusting for smoking history and alcohol consumption). Such studies should reduce uncertainty in the interspecies extrapolation for the dynamic events in the MOA for heritable effects, and to improve estimates of the quantitative relationship between genetic alterations in germ cells and heritable disease.

Because of the direct correlation of hemoglobin adducts to administered dose as a biomarker of exposure, there is considerable potential to develop more accurate measures of exposure of acrylamide to the general population, and to develop accurate estimates of the internal dose of both AA and GA for a given daily intake. These estimates, however, depend upon accurate values for the formation rate of hemoglobin adducts in humans and test species, and currently there are limited data to derive in vivo formation rates. Additional studies are needed in humans and test species that measure all three of the cirtical variables to support derivation of in vivo formation rate constants – administered dose, serum and adduct levels over time following a single dose, and time course data for adduct levels at a sufficiently long enough time post dosing to allow derivation of adduct elimination rates.

6.2. DOSE RESPONSE

6.2.1. Noncancer/Oral

An increased incidence of degenerative lesions of peripheral nerves was selected as the critical effect for derivation of the RfD for AA, because the doses associated with this effect in subchronic and chronic drinking water studies with rats were lower than the lowest doses associated with other AA-induced noncancer effects in animals, including male-mediated implantation losses. The two 2-year drinking water bioassays with F344 rats were selected as co-principal studies for deriving an RfD (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986), and the

final quantitative RfD value is based on the dose-response data from only the Johnson et al. study. A BMD analysis of the incidence data for microscopically-detected degenerative nerve lesions in rats indicated that male rats were slightly more sensitive than female rats in these studies. The 95% lower confidence limits on estimates of doses associated with 5% extra risk (BMDL₅) for nerve lesions were 0.49 and 0.46 mg/kg-day for female rats and 0.27 and 0.57 mg/kg-day for male rats in the Johnson et al. (1986) and Friedman et al. (1995) studies, respectively. The lowest of the BMDLs from the Johnson et al. (1986) study (0.27 mg/kg-day for 5% extra risk for mild-to-moderate lesions) reflects the most sensitive response, and was selected as the POD for deriving the RfD. A equiavelent AUC method was used to derive an HEC based on an internal dose metric of AA-AUC in the blood for a drinking water exposure. An HEC of 0.42 mg AA/ kg bw/d was used as the POD. The POD was then divided by a total UF of 30 (3 for animal-to-human extrapolation to account for toxicodynamic differences; 10 for intra-individual variability in human toxicokinetics and toxicodynamics) to derive the RfD of 0.001 mg/kg-day.

The overall confidence in this RfD assessment is medium to high based on medium to high confidence in the studies and medium to high confidence in the database. The animal database is robust. Although no data were available to characterize the neurotoxic dose-response relationships from chronic oral exposure in humans, neurotoxicity from inhaled or dermal occupational exposures to AA are well documented. Two co-principal studies provide adequate characterization of the dose-response relationship for degenerative nerve lesions from a chronic-duration oral exposure, and for neurotoxicity as the most sensitive endpoint. There might be behavioral or functional effects that were not evaluated in these bioassays, and that would result in lower LOAELs than those for the histological effects used to derive the RfD. There is also uncertainty as to the dose-reponse relationship for heritable germ cell effects. These two uncertainties lower the overall confidence in the RfD from high to medium-to-high. There are ongoing studies sponsored by the NTP and FDA that may address these data needs.

6.2.2. Noncancer/Inhalation

An inhalation RfC for AA was derived based upon the same daily intake as derived for the oral POD. The internal dose metric (AUC for AA in the blood) from an oral exposure in rat was used to estimate an oral exposure in humans based upon conversion factors that were developed from adduct data and serum levels in rats, and adduct data and adduct formation rate constants in humans. The human equivalent concentration were used to derive both the RfD and the RfC, assuming continuous inhalation exposure over 24 hours for the later. Results from studies of occupationally exposed workers are sufficient to firmly establish neurological impairment as a potential health hazard from inhalation and dermal exposure to AA but are limited in characterizing the dose-response relationships for inhalation exposure. The justification for deriving an RfC directly from the oral exposure POD used as the basis for the RfD includes: (1) a well characterized dose-response and identification of the most sensitive noncancer endpoint from an adequate database of oral exposure studies; 2) considerable evidence from occupational experience that dermal and inhalation exposures to AA induce peripheral neuropathies, including development of the types of degenerative lesions observed in nerves of rats exposed via drinking water; (3) evidence of rapid, nearly complete absorption from the oral route and rapid distribution throughout the body (Kadry et al., 1999; Miller et al., 1982); 4) evidence that the elimination kinetics of radioactivity from oral or i.v. administration of radiolabeled AA in rats is similar (Miller et al., 1982); 5) similar flux of AA through metabolic pathways following either single dose oral or single 6 hr inhalation exposures in rats (Sumner et al., 2003); 6) some route-to-route differences in the relative amounts of AA to GA, however, the differences are within two fold of each other; and 7) lack of support for portal of entry effects.

The oral BMDL₅ was converted to a human equivalent daily intake, and then to an air concentration that would result in comparable internal level assuming a 70 kg person who breathes 20 m³/day air. The resulting air concentration of 0.15 mg/m³ was used as a POD. The POD was then divided by a total UF of 30 (3 for animal-to-human extrapolation to account for toxicodynamic differences; and 10 for intra-individual variability in human toxicokinetics and toxicodynamics) to derive the RfC of 0.005 mg/m³.

The human data from the Calleman et al. (1994) study are limited both in terms of number of subjects and by a number of confounding factors (exposure to acrylonitrile, exposure via dermal and inhalation), however, they were used to derive an RfC for comparison purposes, and to support an improved design of future studies. The results of this derivation are presented in Appendix F.

The RfC is based on a route-to-route extrapolation of the oral exposure data using the same AUC method to develop an inhalation HEC. The overall confidence in the RfC study is similar to that for the RfD, with additional uncertainty as to the toxicokinetics for an inhalation exposure, specifically concerning different internal disposition of AA and GA due to qualitatively similar but possibly quantitatively different first pass effects in lung versus the liver. The similar RfC derived from the Calleman et al. (1994) data provide some additonal confidence in RfC with an overall confidence of medium. Additional kinetic data (e.g., serum data) or improved estimates of the AA and GA AUC from different exposure routes in humans or test animals based on hemoglobin adduct levels would help improve the confidence in the RfC based on an HEC derived from oral data. There is low to medium confidence in the database because inhalation studies are lacking. The overall confidence in the RfC is medium, i.e., less than the confidence in the RfD.

6.2.3. Cancer/Oral

Two bioassays with F344 rats provide appropriate data to describe dose-response relationships for tumors induced by chronic oral exposure to AA. Dose-response data for tumors observed in both bioassays were analyzed for potential points of departure for deriving an oral slope factor (see Sections 5.4.2, 5.4.3, and 5.4.4). Linear extrapolation to the origin, corrected for background, from BMDLs (as POD) was used to derive the oral slope factors. Support for a linear extrapolation comes from evidence of a mutagenic MOA for AA, including observations of: (1) strong evidence of mutagenicity from in vitro assays and somatic cells from in vivo assays; (2) male-mediated dominant lethal effects following subchronic oral exposure at dose levels (2.8–13.3 mg/kg-day) in the vicinity of chronic oral dose levels that induced carcinogenic effects in rats (0.5–3 mg/kg-day); (3) initiation of skin tumors (presumably via a mutagenic action) in mice by short-term exposure to oral doses as low as 12.5 mg/kg-day followed by TPA promotion; (4) metabolism of AA by CYP2E1 to the DNA-reactive metabolite, GA; and (5) DNA adducts of GA in various tissues in rats and mice exposed to AA. Although proposals have been made that AA induction of scrotal sac mesotheliomas in male rats and mammary gland tumors in female rats may be caused by hormonally based MOAs that may not be relevant to humans, the available evidence in support of these hypotheses is judged to be inadequate.

Oral slope factors were calculated based on summed risks for increased incidence of tumor types that were reproducibly observed in both of the F344 rat bioassays (mammary or thyroid tumors in females and TVM and thyroid tumors in males). The resulting slope factors were all within four fold range across studies, and within a two fold range within studies.

The male rat oral slope factor of 0.6 $(mg/kg-day)^{-1}$ derived from the Johnson et al. (1986) male rat BMDL for the summed risk of thyroid tumors or testicular tumors (tunica vaginalis mesotheliomas) was selected for calculating a POD. The choice was based on the following: 1) there were reproducible thyroid and TVM tumors in both studies, and 2) this choice represented the most sensitive species, sex and tumor type among the other mammary, thyroid, CNS, and TVM tumor summations. The male rat BMDL₁₀ of 1.5 x 10⁼¹ that was calculated from the summed risks for thyroid or TVM tumors was used as the POD for deriving a human oral slope factor.

The rat $BMDL_{10}$ was converted to a human equivalent $BMDL_{10}$ based on comparable levels of GA-AUC in blood between the rat and human relative to their respective administered doses. GA has been shown to be the primary reactive mutagenic agent, and the total amount in blood is the most appropriate and supportable dose metric to use as a correlate to increased incidence of tumors. The resulting HEC_{BMDL} (1.8 x 10⁻¹ mg/kg-day) at the benchmark response rate of 0.1 was used to derive a human oral slope factor of 0.6 (mg/kg-day)⁻¹.

Because a mutagenic MOA for AA carcinogenicity is sufficiently supported in laboratory animals and relevant to humans (Section 3.4.1), and in the absence of chemical-specific data to

evaluate differences in susceptibility, increased early-life susceptibility is assumed and the agedependent adjustment factors (ADAFs) should be applied to the slope factor based on specific exposure data, as appropriate, in accordance with the *Supplemental Guidance for Assessing Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens* (U.S. EPA, 2005b).

The overall confidence in the oral cancer slope factor is medium based on medium confidence in the principal studies and medium confidence in the database. The principal 2-year studies (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986) provided corroborative results for most, but not all, tumor types. There remain some uncertainties concerning the differences between the two study tumor types and incidence data, in particular for the CNS tumors, and in the histopathological interpretation of the male TVMs. The database is also incomplete with only one animal species tested, and little human data to support AA's carcinogenic potential in humans. At this time, the preponderance of evidence supports a mutagenic MOA for AA-induced tumors observed in the F344 rat bioassays (thyroid, mammary gland, and TVMs). Although an alternate nonmutagenic MOA has been proposed involving hormonal pathway disruption for tumors specific to F344 rats, supporting data are limited or nonexistent. Additional MOA data would be useful in this regard.

6.2.4. Cancer/Inhalation

No animal or human cancer data were available to directly derive an inhalation unit risk. An AA PBTK model is needed that simulates both oral and inhalation first pass effects. However, studies are available that support a direct extrapolation of the dose-response relationship from the oral exposure POD using a few assumptions for an average human weight and daily volume of inhaled air. The extrapolation assumes that an exposure (oral or inhalation) will yield a comparable internal dose using the internal dose metric of area under the timeconcentration curve for GA in blood. As for the derivation of the oral slope factor, the AA metabolite, GA, is considered to be the putative mutagen and most directly related to AA's carcinogenicity.

Support for use of the oral daily intake to derive an inhalation unit risk value comes from: 1) a characterized dose-response and identification of tumor types and incidence from two chronic oral bioasssays; 2) evidence of rapid, nearly complete absorption from the oral route and rapid distribution throughout the body (Kadry et al., 1999; Miller et al., 1982); 3) evidence that the elimination kinetics of radioactivity from oral or i.v. administration of radiolabeled AA in rats is similar (Miller et al., 1982); 4) similar flux of AA through metabolic pathways following either single dose oral or single 6 hr inhalation exposures in rats (Sumner et al., 2003); 5) some route-to-route differences in the relative amounts of AA to GA, however, the differences are within two fold of each other, and the metabolic paths and total disposition are similar (Sumner et al., 2003); and 6) lack of support for portal of entry effects.

An inhalation unit risk is derived from the $BMDL_{10}$, the 95% lower bound on the exposure associated with an 10^{-1} extra cancer risk, by dividing the risk (as a fraction) by the BMDL. The inhalation unit risk thus represents an upper bound risk estimate for continuous lifetime exposure without consideration of increased early life susceptibility due to AA's mutagenic MOA.

The inhalation unit risks for AA is based on the air concentration that would produce a comparable daily intake to that resulting from exposure to the oral HEC_{BMDL} as the point of departure. The oral HEC_{BMDL} itself is an estimate of the oral dose of AA for a human that would result in a level of GA in blood comparable to what was observed in the male F344 rats following exposure to the rat oral BMDL. An air concentration of 6.3 x 10⁻¹ mg/m³ was calculated as being the concentration needed to achieve the same daily intake level as wold be achieved with exposure to the oral HEC_{BMDL} of 1.8 x 10⁻¹ mg/kg-day. The conversion assumes a continuous 24-hour inhalation exposure for a 70 kg person who breathes 20 m³/day air. The air concentration of 6.3 x 10⁻¹ mg/m³ ios considered the POD to derive the inhalation unit risk of 2 × 10^{-4} (µg/m³)⁻¹ based on a response level of 10^{-1} .

As noted above, because a mutagenic MOA for AA carcinogenicity is sufficiently supported in laboratory animals and relevant to humans (Section 3.4.1), age-dependent adjustment factors (ADAFs) should be applied to the inhalation unit risk based on specific exposure data, as appropriate, in accordance with the *Supplemental Guidance for Assessing Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens* (U.S. EPA, 2005b).

The overall confidence in the inhalation unit risk is medium based on a medium to high confidence in the the oral slope factor (see discussion in the previous section) with uncertainty as to the impact that differences in first pass effects between an oral and an inhalation exposure (i.e., lung versus liver first pass effects) might have on overall disposition.

7. REFERENCES

Abdelmagid, HM; Tabatabai, MA. (1982) Decomposition of acrylamide in soils. J Environ Qual 11(4):701-704.

Abernethy, DJ; Boreiko, CJ. (1987) Acrylonitrile and acrylamide fail to transform C3H/10T1/2 cells. Environ Mutagen 9(suppl 8):2.

Abou-Donia, MB; Ibrahim, SM; Corcoran, JJ; et al. (1993) Neurotoxicity of glycidamide, an acrylamide metabolite, following i.p. injections in rats. J Toxicol Environ Health 39:447–464.

Adler, I-D. (1990) Clastogenic effects of acrylamide in different germ-cell stages of male mice. In: Biology of mammalian germ cell mutagenesis, Banbury Report Vol. 34. Allen, J; Bridges, B; Lyon, M; eds. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, pp. 115–131.

Adler, I-D; Ingwersen, I; Kliesch, U; et al. (1988) Clastogenic effects of acrylamide in mouse bone marrow cells. Mutat Res 206:379–385.

Adler, I-D; Zouh, R; Schmid E. (1993) Perturbation of cell division by acrylamide in vitro and in vivo. Mutat Res 301:249–254.

Adler, I-D; Reitmer, P; Schmöller, R; et al. (1994) Dose response for heritable translocations induced by acrylamide in spermatids of mice. Mutat Res 309:285–291.

Adler, I-D; Baumgartner, A; Gonda, H; et al. (2000) 1-Aminotriazole inhibits acrylamide-induced dominant lethal effects in spermatids of male mice. Mutagenesis 15:133–136.

Adler, I-D; Kliesch, U; Jentsch, I; et al. (2002) Induction of chromosomal aberrations by decarbazine in somatic and germinal cells of mice. Mutagenesis 17:383–389.

Adler, I-D; Gonda, H; Hrabé de Angelis, M; et al. (2004) Heritable translocations induced by dermal exposure of male mice to acrylamide. Cytogenet Genome Res. 104:271–276.

Agrawal, AK; Squibb, RE. (1981) Effects of acrylamide given during gestation on dopamine receptor binding in rat pups. Toxicol Lett 7:233–238.

Agrawal, AK; Squibb, RE; Bondy, SC. (1981a) The effects of acrylamide treatment upon the dopamine receptor. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 58:89–99.

Agrawal, AK; Seth, PK; Squibb, RE; et al. (1981b) Neurotransmitter receptors in brain regions of acrylamide-treated rats. I: Effects of a single exposure to acrylamide. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 14:527–531.

Albert Einstein College of Medicine. (1980) A fetal toxicity study of acrylamide in rats. Wayne, NJ.

Aldous, CN; Farr, CH; Sharma, RP. (1983) Evaluation of acrylamide treatment on levels of major brain biogenic amines, their turnover rates, and metabolites. Fundam Appl Toxicol 3:182–186.

Ali, SF. (1983) Acrylamide-induced changes in the monoamines and their acid metabolites in different regions of the rat brain. Toxicol Lett 17:101–105.

Ali, SF; Hong, J-S; Wilson, WE; et al. (1983) Effect of acrylamide on neurotransmitter metabolism and neuropeptide levels in several brain regions and upon circulating hormones. Arch Toxicol 52:35–43.

Allen, B; Zeiger, E; Lawrence, G; et al. (2005) Dose-response modeling of in vivo genotoxicity data for use in risk assessment: some approaches illustrated by an analysis of acrylamide. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 41(1):6–27.

Amador, A; Steger, RW; Bartke, A; et al. (1985) Testicular LH receptors during aging in F344 rats. J Androl 6:61–64.

Ao, L; Liu, SX; Yang, MS; etal. (2008) Acrylamide-induced molecular mutation spectra at HPRT locus in human promyelocytic leukaemia HL-60 and NB4 cell lines. Mutagenesis 23(4):309315.

Augustsson, K; Skog, K; Jagerstad, M; et al. (1999) Dietary heterocyclic amines and cancer of the colon, rectum, bladder, and kidney: a population-based study. Lancet 353(9154):703–707.

Auld, RB; Bedwell, SF. (1967) Peripheral neuropathy with sympathetic overactivity from industrial contact with acrylamide. Can Med Ass J 96:652–654.

Bachmann, M; Myers, JE; Bezuidenhout, BN. (1992) Acrylamide monomer and peripheral neuropathy in chemical workers. Am J Ind Med 21:217–222.

Backer, LC; Dearfield, KL; Erexson, GL; et al. (1989) The effects of acrylamide on mouse germ-line and somatic cell chromosomes. Environ Mol Mutagen 13:218–226.

Bailey, E; Farmer, PB; Bird, I; et al. (1986) Monitoring exposure to acrylamide by the determination of S-(2-carboxyethyl)cysteine in hydrolyzed hemoglobin by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. Anal Biochem 157(2):241–248.

Banerjee, S; Segal, A. (1986) In vitro transformation of C3H/10T1/2 and NIH/3T3 cells by acrylonitrile and acrylamide. Cancer Lett 32:293–304.

Barber, DS; Hunt, JR; Ehrich, MF; et al. (2001) Metabolism, toxicokinetics and hemoglobin adduct formation in rats following subacute and subchronic acrylamide dosing. NeuroToxicology 22:341–353.

Barber, DS; LoPachin, RM. (2004) Preteomic analysis of acrylamide-protein adduct formation in rat brain synaptosomes. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 201:120–136.

Barber, DS; Stevens, S; LoPachin, RM. (2007) Proteomic analysis of rat striatal synaptosomes during acrylamide intoxication at a low dose rate. Toxicol Sci 100(1):156–167.

Barfknecht, TR; Mecca, DJ; Naismith, RW. (1988) The genotoxic activity of acrylamide. Environ Mol Mutagen 11(suppl 11):9.

Bartke, A; Sweeney, CA; Johnson, L; et al. (1985) Hyperprolactenemia inhibits development of Leydig cell tumors in aging Fischer rats. Exper Aging Res 11:123–128.

Batiste-Alentorn, M; Xamena, N; Creus, A; et al. (1991) Genotoxicity studies with the unstable Zeste-White (UZ) system of *Drosophila melanogaster*: Results with ten carcinogenic compounds. Environ Mol Mutagen 18:120–125.

Bergmark, E. (1997) Hemoglobin adducts of acrylamide and acrylonitrile in laboratory workers, smokers and nonsmokers. Chem Res Toxicol 10(1):78–84.

Bergmark, E; Calleman, CJ; Costa LG. (1991) Formation of hemoglobin adducts of acrylamide and its epoxide metabolite glycidamide in the rat. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 111:352–363.

Bergmark, E; Calleman, CJ; He, F; et al. (1993) Determination of hemoglobin adducts in humans occupationally exposed to acrylamide. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 120:45–54.

Besaratinia, A; Pfeifer, GP. (2004) Genotoxicity of acrylamide and glycidamide. J Natl Cancer Inst. 96(13):1023-1029.

Besaratinia, A; Pfeifer, GP. (2005) DNA adduction and mutagenic properties of acrylamide. Mutat Res 580(1-2):31–40.

Besaratinia, A; Pfeifer, GP. (2007) A review of mechanisms of acrylamide carcinogenicity. Carcinogenesis 28(3):519–528.

Bio/Dynamics-Inc. (1979) A fetal toxicity study of acrylamide in rats. Submitted under TSCA. EPA Document No. 878211679; NTIS No. OTS0206055.

Bishop, JB; Morris, RW; Seely, JC; et al. (1997) Alterations in the reproductive patterns of female mice exposed to xenobiotics. Fundam Appl Toxicol 40:191–204.

Bjellaas, T; Janak, K; Lundanes, E; et al. (2005) Determination and quantification of urinary metabolites after dietary exposure to acrylamide. Xenobiotica 35:1003–1018.

Bjellaas, T; Stolen, LH; Haugen, M; et al. (2007a) Urinary acrylamide metabolites as biomarkers for short-term dietary exposure to acrylamide. Food Chem Toxicol 45(6):1020–1026.

Bjellaas, T; Oleson, PT; Frandsen, H; et al. (2007b) Comparison of estimated dietary intake of acrylamide with hemoglobin adducts of acrylamide and glycidamide. Toxicol Sci 98(1):110–117.

Boettcher, MI; Schettgen, T; Kutting, B; et al. (2005) Mercapturic acids of acrylamide and glycidamide as biomarkers of the internal exposure to acrylamide in the general population. Mutat Res 580(1–2):167–176.

Boettcher, MI; Bolt, HM; Drexler, H; et al. (2006a) Excretion of mercapturic acids of acrylamide and glycidamide in human urine after single oral administration of deuterium-labelled acrylamide. Arch Toxicol. 80(2):55–61.

Boettcher, MI; Bolt, HM; Angerer, J. (2006b) Acrylamide exposure via the diet: influence of fasting on urinary mercapturic acid metabolite excretion in humans. Arch Toxicol. 80(12):817–819.

Bondy, SC; Tilson, HA; Agrawal, AK. (1981) Neurotransmitter receptors in brain regions of acrylamide-treated rats. II. Effects of extended exposure to acrylamide. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 14:533–537.

Bowyer, JF; Latendresse, JR; Delongchamp, RR; et al. (2008) The effects of subchronic acrylamide exposure on gene expression, neurochemistry, hormones, and histopathology in the hypothalamus-pituitary-thyroid axis of male Fischer 344 rats. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 230(2):208215. (See Erratum in: Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 232(3):498).

Brady, ST; Pfister, KK; Bloom, GS. (1990) A monoclonal antibody against kinesin inhibits both anterograde and retrograde fast axonal transport in squid axoplasm. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 87:1061–1065.

Brat, DJ; Brimijoin, S. (1993) Acrylamide and glycidamide impair neurite outgrowth in differentiating N1E.115 neuroblastoma without disturbing rapid bidirectional transport of organelles observed by video microscopy. J Neurochem 60(6):2145–2152.

Brown, L; Rhead, MM; Bancroft, KCC; et al. (1980) Model studies of the degradation of acrylamide monomer. Water Res 14(7):775–778.

Budavari, S, ed. (2001) The Merck index - An encyclopedia of chemicals, drugs, and biologicals. 13th ed. Whitehouse Station, NJ: Merck and Co., Inc., p. 24.

Bull, RJ; Robinson, M; Laurie, RD; et al. (1984a) Carcinogenic effects of acrylamide in SENCAR and A/J mice. Cancer Res 44:107–111.

Bull, RJ; Robinson, M; Stober, JA. (1984b) Carcinogenic activity of acrylamide in the skin and lung of Swiss-ICR mice. Cancer Lett 24:209–212.

Burek, JD; Albee, RR; Beyer, JE; et al. (1980) Subchronic toxicity of acrylamide administered to rats in the drinking water followed by up to144 days of recovery. J Environ Pathol Toxicol 4:157–182.

Butterworth, BE; Eldridge, SR; Sprankle, CS; et al. (1992) Tissue-specific genotoxic effects of acrylamide and acrylonitrile. Environ Mol Mutagen 20:148–155.

Calleman, CJ. (1996) The metabolism and pharmacokinetics of acrylamide: implications for mechanisms of toxicity and human risk estimation. Drug Metab Rev 28(4)527–590.

Calleman, CJ; Wu, Y; Tian G; et al. (1994) Relationships between biomarkers of exposure and neurological effects in a group of workers exposed to acrylamide. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 126:361–371.

Carere, A. (2006) Genotoxicity and carcinogenicity of acrylamide: a critical review. Annali dell'Istituto Superiore di Sanita 42(2):144–155.

Cavanagh, JB. (1964) The significance of the "dying-back" process in experimental and human neurological disease. Int Rev Exp Pathol 3:219–267.

CFR (Code of Federal Regulations). (2005). Chapter 21 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 173. Acrylateacrylamide resins. 21CFR173.5. Available online at http://www.gpoaccess.gov/cfr/index.html.

Chapin, RE; Fail, PA; George, JD; et al. (1995) The reproductive and neuronal toxicities of acrylamide and three analogues in Swiss mice, evaluated using the continuous breeding protocol. Fundam Appl Toxicol 27:9–24.

Chevolleau, S; Jacques, C; Canlet, C; et al. (2007) Analysis of hemoglobin adducts of acrylamide and glycidamide by liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry, as exposure biomarkers in French population. J Chromatogr A 1167(2):125–134.

Čihák, R; Vontorková, M. (1988) Cytogenetic effects of acrylamide in the bone marrow of mice. Mutat Res 209:91–94.

Čihák, R; Vontorková, M. (1990) Activity of acrylamide in single-, double-, and triple-dose mouse bone marrow micronucleus assays. Mutat Res 234:125–127.

CIR (Cosmetic Industry Review Expert Panel). (1991) Final report on the safety assessment of polyacrylamide. J Am Coll Toxicol 10:193–203.

Clark, CH; Sickles, DW. (1996) Decreased GAP-43 accumulation in neurite tips of cultured hippocampal neurons by acrylamide. NeuroToxicology 17:397–406.

Clegg, ED; Cook, JC; Chapin, RE; et al. (1997) Leydig cell hyperplasia and adenoma formation: mechanisms and relevance to humans. Reprod Toxicol 1:107–121.

Collins, BW; Howard, DR; Allen, JW. (1992) Kinetochore-staining of spermatid micronuclei: studies of mice treated with X-radiation or acrylamide. Mutat Res 281:287–294.

Collins, JJ; Swaen, GMH; Marsh, GM; et al. (1989) Mortality patterns among workers exposed to acrylamide. J Occup Med 31(7):614–617.

Cook, JC; Klinefelter, GR; Hardisty, JF; et al. (1999) Rodent Leydig cell tumorigenesis: a review of the physiology, pathology, mechanisms, and relevance to humans. Crit Rev Toxicol 29:169–261.

Costa, LG; Deng, H; Gregotti, C; et al. (1992) Comparative studies on the neuro- and reproductive toxicity of acrylamide and its epoxide metabolite glycidamide in the rat. NeuroToxicology 13:219–224.

Costa, LG; Deng, H; Calleman, CJ; et al. (1995) Evaluation of the neurotoxicity of glycidamide, an epoxide metabolite of acrylamide: behavioral, neurochemical and morphological studies. Toxicology 98:151–161.

Crofton, KM; Padilla, S; Tilson, HA; et al. (1996) The impact of dose rate on the neurotoxicity of acrylamide: the interaction of administered dose, target tissue concentrations, tissue damage, and functional effects. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 139:163–176.

Croll, BT; Arkell, GM; Hodge, RPJ. (1974) Residues of acrylamide in water. Water Res 8:989-993.

Damjanov, I; Friedman, MA. (1998) Mesotheliomas of tunica vaginalis testis of F344 (F344) rats treated with acrylamide: a light and electron microscopy study. In Vivo 12:495–502.

Davenport, JG; Farrell, MD; Sumi, SM. (1976) 'Giant axonal neuropathy' caused by industrial chemicals: neurofilamentous axonal masses in man. Neurology 26:919–923.

Dearfield, KL; Abernathy, CO; Ottley, MS; et al. (1988) Acrylamide: its metabolism, developmental and reproductive effects, genotoxicity, and carcinogenicity. Mutat Res 195:45–77.

Dearfield, KL; Douglas, GR; Ehling, UH; et al. (1995) Acrylamide: a review of its genotoxicity and an assessment of heritable genetic risk. Mutat Res 330:71–99.

DeGrandchamp, RL; Lowndes, HE. (1990) Early degeneration and sprouting at the rat neuromuscular junction following acrylamide administration. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol 16:239–254.

DeGrandchamp, RL; Reuhl, KR; Lowndes, HE. (1990) Synaptic terminal degeneration and remodeling at the rat neuromuscular junction resulting from a single exposure to acrylamide. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 105:422–433.

Deng, H; He, F; Zhang, S; et al. (1993) Quantitative measurements of vibration threshold in healthy adults and acrylamide workers. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 65:53–56.

Diembeck, W; Dusing, H–J; Akhiana, M. (1998) Dermal absorption and penetration of acrylamide ([¹⁴C] acrylamide as tracer) in different cosmetic formulations and polyacrylamide–solution after topical application to excised pig skin. Beiersdorf 9.

Dixit, R; Husain, R; Mukhtar, H; et al. (1981) Effect of acrylamide on biogenic amine levels, monoamine oxidase, and cathepsin D activity of rat brain. Environ Res 26:168–173.

Dixit, R; Seth, PK; Mukhtar, H. (1982) Metabolism of acrylamide into urinary mercapturic acid and cysteine conjugates in rats. Drug Metab Dispos Biol Fate Chem 10:196–197.

Dobrzynska, MM. (2007) Assessment of DNA damage in multiple organs from mice exposed to x-rays or acrylamide or a combination of both using the comet assay. In Vivo 21(4):657–662.

Dobrzynska, M; Lenarczyk, M; Gajewski, AK. (1990) Induction of dominant lethal mutations by combined X-rayacrylamide treatment in male mice. Mutat Res 232:209–215.

Doerge, DR; da Costa, GG; McDaniel, LP; et al. (2005a) DNA adducts derived from administration of acrylamide and glycidamide to mice and rats. Mutat Res 580(1-2):131–141.

Doerge, DR; Young, JF; McDaniel, LP; et al. (2005b) Toxicokinetics of acrylamide and glycidamide in B6C3F₁ mice. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 202(3):258–267.

Doerge, DR; Young, JF; McDaniel, LP; et al. (2005c) Toxicokinetics of acrylamide and glycidamide in Fischer 344 rats. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 208:199–209.

Doerge, DR; Twaddle, NC; Boettcher, MI; et al. (2007) Urinary excretion of acrylamide and metabolites in Fischer 344 rats and B6C3F₁ mice administered a single dose of acrylamide. Toxicol Lett 169(1):34–42.

Doerge, DR; Young JF; Chen, JJ; et al. (2008) Using dietary exposure and physiologically based pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic modeling in human risk extrapolations for acrylamide toxicity. J Agric Food Chem 56(15):6031–6038.

Donovan, JW; Pearson, T. (1987) Ingestion of acrylamide with severe encephalopathy, neurotoxicity and hepatotoxicity. Vet Hum Toxicol 29:462.

Doroshyenko, O; Fuhr, U; Kunz, D; et al. (2009). In vivo role of cytochrome P450 2E1 and glutathione-S-transferase activity for acrylamide toxicokinetics in humans. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 18(2):433-43.

Dourson, M; Hertzberg, R; Allen, B; et al. (2008) Evidence-based dose-response assessment for thyroid tumorigenesis from acrylamide. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 52(3):264–289.

Dow Chemical Co. (1984) Acrylamide: toxicodynamics in rats. Submitted under TSCA. NTIS No. OTS0507270.

Dumitru, DL. (1989) Occupational intoxication with acrylamide: discussion of causes with acute and chronic intoxication. Rev Ig Med Muncii Med Soc Bacteriol Virusol Parazitol Epidemiol Pneumofiziol Ser Ig Med Muncii Med Soc 35:359–364.

Dybing E; Farmer PB; Andersen M; et al. (2005) Human exposure and internal dose assessments of acrylamide in food. Food Chem Toxicol 43(3):365–410.

Dybing, E; Sanner, T. (2003). Risk assessment of acrylamide in foods. Toxicol Sci 75:7–15.

Edwards, PM. (1975) The distribution and metabolism of acrylamide and its neurotoxic analogues in rats. Biochem Pharmacol 24:1277–1282.

Edwards, PM (1976) The insensitivity of the developing rat foetus to the toxic effects of acrylamide. Chem Biol Interact 12:13–18.

Ehling, UH. (1988) Quantification of the genetic risk of environmental mutagens. Risk Anal 8:45–57.

Ehling, UH; Neuhäuser-Klaus, A. (1992) Reevaluation of the induction of specific-locus mutations in spermatogonia of the mouse by acrylamide. Mutat Res 283:185–191.

Environ. (2002) Toxicological review of acrylamide (CAS No. 79-06-01). Prepared by Environ International Corporation (Ruston, Louisiana) for SNF S.A. (Saint-Etienne Cedex, France). November 2002.

Eskin, TA; Lapham, LW; Maurissen, JPJ; et al. (1985) Acrylamide effects on the macaque visual system. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 26:317–329.

Exon, JH. (2006) A review of the toxicology of acrylamide. J Toxicol Environ Health B 9(5):397-412.

FAO/WHO. (2005) Summary and Conclusion of the sixty-fourth meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee On Food Additives (JECFA), JECFA/64/SC; pp 1-18.

FAO/WHO (Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization). (2009). The FAO/WHO acrylamide in food network. Available online at http://www.foodrisk.org/acrylamide/

Favor, J; Malling, H; Layton, D; et al. (1994) Genetic risk extrapolation from animal data to human disease: a taskgroup report of the International Commission for Protection Against Environmental Mutagens and Carcinogens (ICPEMC). Mutat Res 330:23–34.

Favor, J; Shelby, MD. (2005) Transmitted mutational events induced in mouse germ cells following acrylamide or glycidamide exposure. Mutat Res. 580(1-2):21–30.

Feng, Y; Forgac, M. (1992) Cysteine 254 of the 73kDa A subunit is responsible for inhibition of the coated vesicle (H+)-ATPase upon modification by sulfhydryl reagents. J Biol Chem 267:5817–5822.

Fennell, TR; Snyder, RW; Krol, WL; et al. (2003) Comparison of the hemoglobin adducts formed by administration of N-methylolacrylamide and acrylamide to rats. Toxicol Sci 71:164–175.

Fennell TR; Sumner SC; Snyder RW; et al. (2005) Metabolism and hemoglobin adduct formation of acrylamide in humans. Toxicol Sci 85(1):447–59.

Field, EA; Price, CJ; Sleet, RB; et al. (1990) Developmental toxicity evaluation of acrylamide in rats and mice. Fundam Appl Toxicol 14:502–512.

Frantz, SW; Dryzga, MD; Fresheur, NL; et al. (1995) In vitro/in vivo determination of cutaneous penetration of residual acrylamide monomer from polyacrylamide water solutions. Dow Chemical Toxicology Research Laboratory.

Friedman, MA; Dulak, LH; Stedham, MA. (1995) A lifetime oncogenicity study in rats with acrylamide. Fundam Appl Toxicol 27:95–105.

Friedman, MA; Tyl, RW; Marr, MC; et al. (1999a) Effects of lactational administration of acrylamide on rat dams and offspring. Reprod Toxicol 13:511–520.

Friedman, MA; Dulak, LH; Keefe, RT. (1999b) Effect of acrylamide on rat hormone levels in a 28-day drinking water study. Unpublished study report.

Fuhr, U; Boettcher, MI; Kinzig-Schippers, M; et al. (2006) Toxicokinetics of acrylamide in humans after ingestion of a defined dose in a test meal to improve risk assessment for acrylamide carcinogenicity. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 15(2):266–271.

Fullerton, PM; Barnes, JM. (1966) Peripheral neuropathy in rats produced by acrylamide. Br J Ind Med 23:210-221.

Fullerton, PM. (1969) Electrophysiological and histological observations on peripheral nerves in acrylamide poisoning in man. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiat 32:186–192.

Gamboa da Costa, G; Churchwell, MI; Hamilton, LP; et al. (2003) DNA adduct formation from acrylamide via conversion to glycidamide in adult and neonatal mice. Chem Res Toxicol 16(10):1328–1337.

Garey, J; Paule, MG. (2007) Effects of chronic low-dose acrylamide exposure on progressive ratio performance in adolescent rats. NeuroToxicology 28(5):998–1002.

Garland, TO; Patterson, MW. (1967) Six cases of acrylamide poisoning. Brit Med J (4):134-138.

Generoso, WM; Sega, GA; Lockhart, AM; et al. (1996) Dominant lethal mutations, heritable translocations, and unscheduled DNA synthesis induced in male mouse germ cells by glycidamide, a metabolite of acrylamide. Mutat Res 371:175–183.

Ghanayem, BI; Witt, KL; El-Hadri, L; et al. (2005a) Comparison of germ cell mutagenicity in male CYP2E1-null and wild-type mice treated with acrylamide: evidence supporting a glycidamide-mediated effect. Biol Reprod 72(1):157–163.

Ghanayem, BI; Witt, K; Kissling, GE; et al. (2005b) Absence of acrylamide-induced genotoxicity in CYP2E1-null mice: Evidence consistent with a glycidamide-mediated effect. Mutat Res 578:284–297.

Gho, M; McDonald, K; Ganetzky, B; et al. (1992) Effects of kinesin mutations on neuronal functions. Science 258:313–316.

Gilbert, SG; Maurissen, JPJ. (1982) Assessment of the effects of acrylamide, methylmercury, and 2,5-hexanedione on motor functions in mice. J Toxicol Environ Health 10:31–42.

Gjerløff, T; Elsborg, H; Bonde, JP. (2001) Svaer kronisk acrylamid-intoksikation [Severe chronic acrylamide intoxication]. Ugeskr Laeg 163:4204–4205.

Goodman, DG; Ward, JM; Squire, RA; et al. (1979) Neoplastic and nonneoplastic lesions in aging F344 rats. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 48:237–248.

Gould, RM; Brady, ST. (2004) Neuropathy: many paths lead to hereditary spastic paraplegia. Curr Biol 14:R903-R904.

Granath, F; Ehrenberg, L; Törnqvist, M. (1992) Degree of alkylation of macromolecules in vivo from variable exposure. Mutat. Res. 284, 297–306.

Gutierrez-Espeleta, GA; Hughes, LA; Piegorsch, WW; et al. (1992) Acrylamide: dermal exposure produces genetic damage in male mouse germ cells. Fundam Appl Toxicol 18:189–192.

Haber, LT; Maier, A; Kroner, OL; et al. (2009) Evaluation of human relevance and mode of action for túnica vaginalis mesotheliomas resulting from oral exposure to acrylamide. Regul Toxicl Pharmacol 53(2):134–149.

Hagmar, L; Törnqvist, M; Nordander, C; et al. (2001) Health effects of occupational exposure to acrylamide using hemoglobin adducts as biomarkers of internal dose. Scand J Work Environ Health 27(4):219–226.

Hagmar, L; Wirfalt, E; Paulsson, B; et al. (2005) Differences in hemoglobin adduct levels of acrylamide in the general population with respect to dietary intake, smoking habits and gender. Mutat Res 580(1-2):157–165.

Hanioka, N; Tanaka-Kagawa, T; Miyata, Y; et al. (2003) Functional characterization of three human cytochrome p450 2E1 variants with amino acid substitutions. Xenobiotica 33(6):575–586.

Hansch, C; Leo, A; Hoekman, D. (1995) Exploring QSAR. hydrophobic, electronic, and steric constants. In: ACS Professional Reference Book. Heller, SR, ed. Washington, DC: American Chemical Society, p. 6.

Harris, CH; Gulati, AK; Friedman, MA; et al. (1994) Toxic neurofilamentous axonopathies and fast axonal transport. V. Reduced bidirectional vesical transport in cultured neurons by acrylamide and glycidamide. J Toxicol Envion Health 42:343–356.

Harry, GJ. (1992) Acrylamide-induced alterations in axonal transport. Mol Neurobiol 6:203–216.

Hartmann, EC; Boettcher, MI; Schettgen, T; et al. (2008) Hemoglobin adducts and mercapturic acid excretion of acrylamide and glycidamide in one study population. J Agric Food Chem. 56(15):6061-8.

Hashimoto, K; Aldridge, WN. (1970) Biochemical studies on acrylamide, a neurotoxic agent. Biochem Pharmacol 19:2591–2604.

Hashimoto, K; Sakamoto, J; Tanii, H. (1981) Neurotoxicity of acrylamide and related compounds and their effects on male gonads in mice. Arch Toxicol 47:179–189.

Hashimoto, K; Tanii, H. (1985) Mutagenicity of acrylamide and its analogues in *Salmonella typhimurium*. Mutat Res 158:129–133.

Hays, SM; Aylward, LL. (2008a) Using Biomonitoring Equivalents to interpret human biomonitoring data in a public health risk context. J Appl Toxicol. 2008 Dec 29 [Epub ahead of print]

Hays, SM; Aylward, LL. (2008b) Biomonitoring Equivalents (BE) dossier for acrylamide (AA) (CAS No. 79-06-1). Regul Toxicol Pharmacol. 2008 Aug;51(3 Suppl):S57-67.

Hazleton Laboratories. (1953) Inhalation toxicity supplement to reports dated May 2, 1951 and August 13, 1952. Submitted under TSCA Section 8D. EPA Document No. 878211664. NTIS No. OTS206055.

Hazleton Laboratories. (1954) Chronic inhalation exposure-acrylamide. Submitted under TSCA Section 8D. EPA Document No. 878211670. NTIS No. OTS206055.

He, F; Zhang, S; Wang, H; et al. (1989) Neurological and electroneuromyographic assessment of the adverse effects of acrylamide on occupationally exposed workers. Scand J Work Environ Health 15:125–129.

Hersch, MI; McLeod, JG; Satchell, PM; et al. (1989) Breathing pattern, lung inflation reflex and airway tone in acrylamide neuropathy. Respir Physiol 76:257–276.

Heudorf, U; Hartmann, E; Angerer, J. (2009) Acrylamide in children – exposure assessment via urinary acrylamide metabolites as biomarkers. Int J Hyg Environ Health 212(2):135–141.

Hinson, JA; Roberts, DW. (1992) Role of covalent and noncovalent interactions in cell toxicity: effects on proteins. Ann Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 32:471–510.

Ho, WH; Wang, SM; Yin, HS. (2002) Acrylamide disturbs the subcellular distribution of GABAa receptor in brain neurons. J Cellular Biochem 85:561–571.

Hogervorst, JG; Schouten, LJ; Konings, EJ; et al. (2007) A prospective study of dietary acrylamide intake and the risk of endometrial, ovarian, and breast cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biormark Prev 16(11):2304–2313.

Hogervorst, JG; Schouten, LJ; Konings, EJ; et al. (2008a) Dietary acrylamide and the risk of renal cell, bladder, and prostate cancer. Am J Clin Nutr 87(5):1428–1438.

Hogervorst, JG; Schouten, LJ; Konings, EJ; et al. (2008b) Dietary acrylamide intake is not associated with gastrointestinal cancer risk. J Nutr 138(11):2229–2236.

Holland, N; Ahlborn, T; Turteltaub, K; et al. (1999) Acrylamide causes preimplantation abnormalities in embryos and induces chromatinadducts in male germ cells of mice. Reprod Toxicol 13:167–178.

Hoorn, AJW; Custer, LL; Myhr, BC; et al. (1993) Detection of chemical mutagens using Muta® mouse: a transgenic mouse model. Mutagenesis 8(1):7–10.

HSDB (Hazardous Substances Data Bank). (2005) HSDB: Acrylamide. The National Library of Medicine. Available online at http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/sis/search/f?./temp/~KNYp4J:1.

Hurd, DD; Saxton, WM. (1996) Kinesin mutations cause motor neuron disease phenotypes by disrupting fast axonal transport in drosophila. Genetics 144:1075–1085.

Husain, R; Dixit, R; Das, M; et al. (1987) Neurotoxicity of acrylamide in developing rat brain: changes in the levels of brain biogenic amines and activities of monoamine oxidase and acetylcholine esterase. Ind Health 25:19-28.

IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer). (1994a) Acrylamide. In: IARC monographs on some industrial chemicals; summary of data reported and evaluation. Vol. 60:389–433.

IARC. (International Agency for Research on Cancer) (1994b) Ethylene oxide. In: IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans, some industrial chemicals. Vol. 60:73–159.

IARC. (International Agency for Research on Cancer). (1999) Acrylonitrile. In: IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans, Part One: Re-evaluation of some organic chemicals, hydrazine and hydrogen peroxide. Vol. 71:43–108.

IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer). (2007a) Ethylene oxide. In: IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans. Vol 97:185–309.

IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer).(2007b) 1,3-Butadiene. In: IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans. Vol. 97:45–184.

Iatropoulos, MJ; Lebish, I; Wang, CX; et al. (1998) Microscopic evaluation of proliferative mesothelial lesions diagnosed previously as mesotheliomas of the tunica vaginalis testis. Unpublished report to CYTEC Industries, October 14, 1998.

ICPEMC (International Commission for Protection Against Environmental Mutagens and Carcinogens). (1993a) Use of in vivo genetic toxicology data to construct human risk assessments, Final report submitted to Department of Health, Canada, July, 1993, Contract No. 3138.

ICPEMC (International Commission for Protection Against Environmental Mutagens and Carcinogens). (1993b) Genetic risk extrapolation from animal data to human disease, Final report submitted to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, September, 1993, under interagency agreement number 1824-D094-Al between the USEPA and the Biomedical and Environmental Information Analysis Section of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

Igisu, H; Matsuoka, M. (2002) Acrylamide encephalopathy. J Occup Health 44:63-68.

Igisu, H; Goto, I; Kawamura, Y; et al. (1975) Acrylamide encephalneuropathy due to well water pollution. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 38:581–584.

Ikeda, GJ; Miller, E; Sapienza, PP; et al. (1983) Distribution of $[^{14}C]$ -labeled acrylamide and betaine in foetuses of rats, rabbits, beagle dogs and miniature pigs. Food Chem Toxicol 21:49–58.

Ikeda, GJ; Miller, E; Sapienza, PP; et al. (1985) Maternal-foetal distribution studies in late pregnancy. II. Distribution of 1-[¹⁴C]-acrylamide in tissues of beagle dogs and miniature pigs. Food Chem Toxicol 23(8):757–761.

IRRM (Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements).. (2004) Monitoring database on acrylamide levels in food maintained by the Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements together with Health and Consumer Protection DG. Available online at http://www.irmm.jrc.be/.

Jiang, L; Cao, J; An, Y; et al. (2007) Genotoxicity of acrylamide in human hepatoma G2 (HepG2) cells. Toxicol In Vitro 21(8):1486–1492.

JIFSAN (Joint Institute for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition). (2002). Acrylamide in food workshop: scientific issues, uncertainties, and research strategies. Available online at http://www.jifsan.umd.edu/acrylamide2002.htm.

JIFSAN (Joint Institute for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition). (2004). Proceedings and reports from the Joint Institute for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition Acrylamide in food workshop: update - scientific issues, uncertainties, and research strategies; April 13–15, 2004; Chicago, Illinois. Available online at http://www.jifsan.umd.edu/acrylamide2004.htm.

Jin, L; Chico-Galdo, V; Massart, C; et al. (2008) Acrylamide does not induce tumorigenesis or major defects in mice in vivo. J Endocrinol 198(2):301–307.

Johnson, KA; Gorzinski, SJ; Bodner, KM; et al. (1984) Acrylamide: A two-year drinking water chronic toxicityoncogenicity study in F344 rats. Dow Chemical, USA. Midland, MI. Submitted under TSCA. NTIS No. 0507273.

Johnson, KA; Beyer, JE; Bell, TJ; et al. (1985) Acrylamide: A two-year drinking water chronic toxicityoncongenicity study in F344 rats. Dow Chemical, USA. Midland, MI. Submitted under TSCA. EPA Document No. 878216184. NTIS Document No. OTS0206849.

Johnson, KA; Gorzinski, SJ; Bodner, KM; et al. (1986) Chronic toxicity and oncogenicity study on acrylamide incorporated in the drinking water of F344 rats. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 85:154–168.

Johnsrud, EK; Koukouritaki, SB; Divakaran, K; et al. (2003) Human hepatic CYP2E1 expression during development. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 307(1):402–407. Erratum in: (2004) J Pharmacol Exp Ther 309(1):439.

Jung, R; Engelhart, G; Herbolt B; et al. (1992) Collaborative study of mutagenicity with *Salmonella typhimurium* TA102. Mutat Res 278:265–270.

Kadry, AM; Friedman, MA; Abdel-Rahman, MS. (1999) Pharmacokinetics of acrylamide after oral administration in male rats. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol 7:127–133.

Kaplan, ML; Murphy, SD; Gilles, FH. (1973) Modification of acrylamide neuropathy in rats by selected factors. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 24:564–579.

Kaster, JK; Kamendulis, LM; Friedman, MA; et al. (1998) Syrian hamster embryo (SHE) cell transformation by acrylamide and hormones. Toxicol Sci 42(1-S):76.

Kellert, M; Scholz, K; Wagner, S; et al. (2006) Quantitation of mercapturic acids from acrylamide and glycidamide in human urine using a column switching tool with two trap columns and electrospray tandem mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr., A 1131:58.

Kesson, CM; Lawson, DH; Baird, AW. (1977) Acrylamide poisoning. Postgrad Med J 53:16-17.

Khan, MA; Davis, CA; Foley, GL; et al. (1999) Changes in thyroid gland morphology after acute acrylamide exposure. Toxicol Sci 47:151–157.

Kirman, CR; Gargas, ML; Deskin, R; et al. (2003) A physiologically based pharmacokinetic model for acrylamide and its metabolite, glycidamide, in the rat. J Toxicol Environ Health A 66(3):253–274.

Klaunig, J. (2000) Cellular effects of acrylamide. Unpublished data cited in Environ, 2002.

Klaunig, JE. (2008) Acrylamide carcinogenicity. J Agric Food Chem 56(15):5984–5988.

Klaunig, JE; Kamendulis, LM. (2005) Mechanisms of acrylamide induced rodent carcinogenesis. Adv Exp Med Biol 561:49–62.

Kligerman, AD; Atwater, AL; Bryant, MF. (1991) Cytogenetic studies of ethyl acrylate using C57BL/6 mice. Mutagenesis 6(2):137–141.

Knaap, AG; Kramers, PG; Voogd, CE; et al. (1988) Mutagenic activity of acrylamide in eukaryotic systems but not in bacteria. Mutagenesis 3(3):263–268.

Ko, M-H; Chen, W-P; Lin-Shiau; et al. (1999) Age-dependent acrylamide neurotoxicity in mice: morphology, physiology, and function. Exp Neurol 158:37–46.

Konings, EJM; Baars, AJ; Van Klaveren, JD; et al. (2003) Acrylamide exposure from foods of the Dutch population and an assessment of the consequent risk. Food Chem Toxicol 41:1569–1576.

Kopp, EK; Dekant, W. (2009) Toxicokinetics of acrylamide in rats and humans following single oral administration of low doses. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 235(2):135-42.

Krebs, O; Favor, J. (1997) Somatic and germ cell mutagenesis in lambda *lacZ* transgenic mice treated with acrylamide or ethylnitrosourea. Mutat Res 388:239–248.

Krishna, G; Theiss, JC. (1995) Concurrent analysis of cytogenetic damage in vivo: A multiple endpoint-multiple tissue approach. Environ Mol Mutagen 25:314–320.

KS Crump Group, Inc. (1999a) Consideration of the potency classification of acrylamide based on the incidence of tunica vaginalis mesotheliomas (TVMs) in male F344 rats. June 11, 1999. Unpublished report prepared for the Acrylamide Monomer Producers Association, Frankfort, KY.

KS Crump Group, Inc. (1999b) Mechanism of acrylamide induction of benign mammary fibroadenomas in the aging female F344 rat: relevance to human health risk assessment. December 14, 1999. Unpublished report prepared for the Acrylamide Monomer Producers Association, Frankfort, KY.

Lähdetie, J; Suutari, A; Sjöblom, T. (1994) The spermatid micronucleus test with the dissection technique detects the germ cell mutagenicity of acrylamide in rat meiotic cells. Mutat Res 309:255–262.

Lande, SS; Bosch, SJ; Howard, PH. (1979) Degradation and leaching of acrylamide in soil. J Environ Qual 8(1):133–137.

Lapadula, DM; Bowe, M; Carrington, CD; et al. (1989) In vitro binding of [¹⁴C]-acrylamide to neurofilament and microtubule proteins of rats. Brain Res 481:157–161.

Larsson, SC; Hakansson, N; Akesson, A; et al. (2009a) Long-term dietary acrylamide intake and risk of endometrial cancer in a prospective cohort of Swedish women. Int J Cancer 124(5):1196–1199.

Larsson, SC; Akesson, A; Wolk, A. (2009b) Long-term dietary acrylamide intake and risk of epithelial ovarian cancer in a prospective cohort of Swedish women. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev 18(3):994–997.

Larsson, SC; Kesson, A; Bergkvist, L; et al. (2009c) Dietary acrylamide intake and risk of colorectal cancer in a prospective cohort of men. Eur J Cancer 45(4):513–516.

Larsson, SC; Akesson, A; Wolk, A. (2009d) Long-term dietary acrylamide intake and breast cancer risk in a prospective cohort of Swedish women. Am J Epidemiol 169(3):376–381.

Lehning, EJ; Persaud, A; Dyer, KR; et al. (1998) Biochemical and morphologic characterization of acrylamide peripheral neuropathy. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 151:211–221.

Lehning, EJ; Balaban, CD; Ross, JF; et al. (2002) Acrylamide neuropathy I. Spatiotemporal characteristics of nerve cell damage in rat cerebellum. NeuroToxicology 23:397–414.

Lehning, EJ; Balaban, CD; Ross, JF; et al. (2003a) Acrylamide neuropathy II. Spatiotemporal characteristics of nerve cell damage in brainstem and spinal cord. NeuroToxicology 24:109–123. [Note: This is a corrected version from the version that appeared in NeuroToxicology (2002) 23:415–429, which had Figures 2–4 in black and white instead of color. Otherwise the two versions are identical].

Lehning, EJ; Balaban, CD; Ross, JF; et al. (2003b) Acrylamide neuropathy III. Spatiotemporal characteristics of nerve cell damage in forebrain. NeuroToxicology 24:1125–136.

Lewis, RJ, Sr, ed. (1997) Hawley's condensed chemical dictionary. 13th ed. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., p. 17.

Lide, DR, ed. (2000) CRC handbook of chemistry and physics. 81st Ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press LLC, pp. 3-287.

Lijinsky, W; Andrews, AW. (1980) Mutagenicity of vinyl compounds in *Salmonella typhimurium*. Teratogen Carcinogen Mutagen 1:259–267.

Lipscomb, JC. (2004) Evaluating the relationship between variance in enzyme expression and toxicant concentration in health risk assessment. Hum Ecol Risk Assess 10:39–55.

Lipscomb, JC; Teuschler, LK; Swartout, J; et al. (2003) The impact of cytochrome P450 2E1-dependent metabolic variance on a risk-relevant pharmacokinetic outcome in humans. Risk Anal 23(6):1221–1238.

LoPachin, RM; Lehning, EJ. (1994) Acrylamide-induced distal axon degeneration: A proposed mechanism of action. NeuroToxicology 15(2):247–260.

LoPachin, RM; Ross, JF; Lehning, EJ. (2002a) Nerve terminals as the primary site of acrylamide action: a hypothesis. NeuroToxicology 23:43–59.

LoPachin, RM; Ross, JF; Reid, ML; et al. (2002b) Neurological evaluation of toxic axonopathies in rats: acrylamide and 2,5-hexandione. NeuroToxicology 23:95–110.

LoPachin, RM; Balaban, CD; Ross, JR. (2003) Acrylamide axonopathy revisited. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 188:135–153.

LoPachin, RM; Canady, RA. (2004) Acrylamide toxicities and food safety: Session IV. summary and research needs. NeuroToxicology 25: 507–509.

LoPachin, RM; Schwarcz, AI; Gaughan, R; et al. (2004) In vivo and in vitro effects of acrylamide on synaptosomal neurotransmitter uptake and release. NeuroToxicology 25:349–363.

LoPachin, RM; DeCaprio, AP. (2005) Protein adduct formation as a molecular mechanism in neurotoxicity. Toxicol. Sci 86(2):214-225.

LoPachin, RM; Barber, DS. (2006) Synaptic cysteine sulfhydryl groups as targets of electrophilic neurotoxicants. Toxicol Sci 94:240–255.

LoPachin, RM; Barber, DS; He, D; et al. (2006) Acrylamide inhibits dopamine uptake in rat striatial synaptic vesicles. Toxicol Sci 89:224–234.

LoPachin, RM; Gavin, T; Geohagen, BC; et al. (2007a) Neurotoxic mechanisms of electrophilic type-2 alkenes: soft soft interactions described by quantum mechanical parameters. Toxicol Sci 98(2):561–70.

Lopachin, RM; Barber, DS; Geohagen, BC; et al. (2007b) Structure-toxicity analysis of type-2 alkenes: in vitro neurotoxicity. Toxicol Sci 95:136–146.

LoPachin, RM; Barber, DS; Gavin, T. (2008) Molecular mechanisms of the conjugated alpha, beta-unsaturated carbonyl derivatives: relevance to neurotoxicity and neurodegenerative diseases. Toxicol Sci 104(2):235–249.

LoPachin, RM; Geohagen, BC; Gavin, T. (2009) Synaptosomal toxicity and nucleophilic targets of 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal. Toxicol Sci 107(1):171–181.

Lyon, M; Adler, I-D; Bridges, B; et al. (1983) International Commission for Protection against Environmental Mutagens and Carcinogens (ICPEMC), Committee 4 Final Report, Estimation of genetic risks and increased incidence of genetic disease due to environmental mutagens. Mutat Res 115:255-291.

Manjanatha, MG; Aidoo, A; Shelton, SD; et al. (2006) Genotoxicity of acrylamide and its metabolite glycidamide administered in drinking water to male and female Big Blue mice. Environ Mol Mutagen 47:6–17.

Mapp, C; Mazzotta, M; Bartolucci, GB; et al. (1977) La neuropatia da acrilamide: prime osservazioni in Italia [Nervous system disease caused by acrylamide: 1st cases in Italy]. Med Lav 68(1):1–12.

Marchetti, F; Lowe, X; Bishop, J; et al. (1997) Induction of chromosomal aberration in mouse zygots by acrylamide treatment of male germ cells and their correlation with dominant lethality and heritable translocations. Environ Mol Mutagen 30:410–417.

Marchetti, F; Bishop, J; Lowe, X; et al. (2009) Chromosomal mosaicism in mouse two-cell embryos after paternal exposure to acrylamide. Toxicol Sci 107(1):194–205.

Marlowe, C; Clark, MJ; Mast, RW; et al. (1986) The distribution of [¹⁴C]-acrylamide in male and pregnant Swiss-Webster mice studied by whole-body autoradiography. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 86:457–465.

Marsh, GM; Lucas, LJ; Youk, AO; et al. (1999) Mortality patterns among workers exposed to acrylamide: 1994 follow up. Occup Environ Med 56:181–190.

Marsh, GM; Youk, AO; Buchanich, JM, et al. (2007) Mortality patterns among workers exposed to acrylamide: updated follow-up. J Occup Environ Med 49(1):82–95.

Martins, C; Oliveira, NG; Pingarilho, M; et al. (2007) Cytogenic damage induced by acrylamide and glycidamide in mammalian cells: correlation with specific glycidamide-DNA adducts. Toxicol Sci 95(2):383–390.

Marty, J-P; Vincent, C-M. (1998) In vitro percutaneous absorption of acrylamide across human skin. Paris, France, Faculty of Pharmacy, Université de Paris Sud.

Maurissen, JPJ; Weiss, B; Davis, HT. (1983) Somatosensory thresholds in monkeys exposed to acrylamide. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 71:266–279.

Mayerhofer, A; Hemmings, HC; Snyder, GL; et al. (1999) Functional dopamine-1 receptors and DARPP-32 are expressed in human ovary and granulosa luteal cells in vitro. J Clin Endocrinol 84:257–264.

McCollister, DD; Oyen, F; Rowe, VK. (1964) Toxicology of acrylamide. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 6:172–181.

McConnell, RF; Western, HH; Ulland, BM; et al. (1992) Proliferative lesions of the testes in rats with selected examples from mice. In: Guides for Toxicologic Pathology, STP/ARP/AFIP. Washington, DC, pp. 1–32. (as cited in Iatropolous et al., 1998).

Mei, N; Hu, J; Churchwell, MI; et al. (2008) Genotoxic effects of acrylamide and glycidamide in mouse lymphoma cells. Food Chem Toxicol 46(2):628–636.

Michels, KB; Rosner, BA; Chumlea, WC; et al. (2006) Preschool diet and adult risk of breast cancer. Int J Cancer 118(3):749–754.

Miller, MJ; Carter, DE; Sipes, IG. (1982) Pharmacokinetics of acrylamide in Fischer-334 rats. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 63:36–44.

Moore, MM; Amtower, A; Doerr, C; et al. (1987) Mutagenicity and clastogenicity of acrylamide in L5178Y mouse lymphoma cells. Environ Mutagen 9:261–267.

Mori, H; Arakawa, S; Ohkawa, T; et al. (1994) The involvement of dopamine in the regulation of steroidogenesis in rat ovarian cells. Horm Res 41:36–40.

Moser, VC; Anthony, DC; Sette, WF; et al. (1992) Comparison of subchronic neurotoxicity of 2-hydroxyethyl acrylate and acrylamide in rats. Fundam Appl Toxicol 18:343–352.

Mottram, DS; Wedzicha, BL; Dodson, AT. (2002) Acrylamide is formed in the Maillard reaction. Nature 19:448–449.

Mucci, LA; Dickman, PW; Steineck, G; et al. (2003) Dietary acrylamide and cancer of the large bowel, kidney, and bladder: absence of an association in a population-based study in Sweden. Br J Cancer 88:84–89.

Mucci, LA; Lindblad; P; Steineck, G; et al. (2004) Dietary acrylamide and risk of renal cell cancer. Int J Cancer 109(5):774–776.

Mucci, LA; Sandin, S; Balter, K; et al. (2005) Acrylamide intake and breast cancer risk in Swedish women. JAMA 293(11):1326–1327.

Mucci, LA; Adami, HO; Wolk, A. (2006) Prospective study of dietary acrylamide and risk of colorectal cancer among women. Int J Cancer 118(1):169–173.

Müller, W; Engelhart, G; Herbold, B; et al. (1993) Evaluation of mutagenicity testing with *Salmonella typhimurium* TA102 in three different laboratories. Environ Health Perspect 101(suppl 3):33–36.

Mulloy, KB. (1996) Two case reports of neurological disease in coal mine preparation plant workers. Am J Ind Med 30:56–61.

Myers, JE; Macun, I. (1991) Acrylamide neuropathy in a South African factory: an epidemiologic investigation. Am J Ind Med 19:487–493.

Nagao, T. (1994) Developmental abnormalities due to exposure of mouse paternal germ cells, preimplantation embryos, and organogenic embryos to acrylamide. Congen Anom 34:35–46.

NRC (National Research Council). (1983). Risk Assessment in the Federal Government: Managing the Process. National Academy Press: Washington, D.C.

NRC (National Research Council) (1994). Science and judgment in risk assessment. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

NTP (National Toxicology Program). (1993) Final report on the reproductive toxicity of acrylamide (ACRL) (CAS no. 79-06-1) in CD-1 Swiss mice. NTIS Technical Report.

NTP (National Toxicology Program). (1989) Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of N-methylolacrylamide in F344/N rats and B6C3F₁ mice (gavage studies). Research Triangle Park, NC: US Department of Health and Human Services. NIH Publication No. 89-2807. NTP TR 352.

NTP (National Toxicology Program). (2005) 11th Report on carcinogens. Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

NTP/CERHR (National Toxicology Program Center for the Evaluation of Risks to Human Reproduction). (2004) Expert panel report on reproductive and developmental toxicity of acrylamide. Available online at http://cerhr.niehs.nih.gov/.

Neuman, F. (1991) Early indicators for carcinogenesis in sex-hormone-sensitive organs. Mutat Res 248:341–356.

Olesen, PT; Olsen, A; Frandsen, H. (2008) Acrylamide exposure and incidence of breast cancer among postmenopausal women in the Danish diet, cancer and health study. Int J Cancer 122(9):2094–2100.

Ølstørn, HB; Paulsen, JE; Alexander, J. (2007) Effects of perinatal exposure to acrylamide and glycidamide on intestinal tumroigenesis in Min/+mice and their wild-type litter mates. Anticancer Res 27(6B):3855–3864.

Pacchierotti, F; Tiveron, C; D'Archivio, M; et al. (1994) Acrylamide-induced chromosomal damage in male mouse germ cells detected by cytogenetic analysis of one-cell zygotes. Mutat Res 309:273–284.

Padilla, S; Atkinson, MB; Breuer, AC. (1993) Direct measurement of fast axonal organelle transport in the sciatic nerve of rats treated with acrylamide. J Toxicol Environ Health 39:429–445.

Park, J; Kamendulis, LM; Friedman, MA; et al. (2002) Acrylamide-induced cellular transformation. Toxicol Sci 65:177–183.

Paulsson, B; Grawé, J; Törnqvist, M. (2002) Hemoglobin adducts and micronucleus frequencies in mouse and rat after acrylamide or *N*-methylolacrylamide treatment. Mutat Res 516:101–111.

Paulsson, B; Kotova, N; Grawé, J; et al. (2003a) Induction of micronuclei in mouse and rat by glycidamide, genotoxic metabolite of acrylamide. Mutat Res 535(1):15–24.

Paulsson, B; Athanassiadis, I. Rydgerg, P; et al. (2003b) Hemoglobin adducts from glycidamide: acetonization of hydrophilic groups for reproducible gas chromatography/tandem mass spectrometric analysis. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 17: 1859–1865.

Paulsson, B; Rannug, A; Henderson, AP; et al. (2005) In vitro studies of the influence of glutathione transferases and epoxide hydrolase on the detoxification of acrylamide and glycidamide in blood. Mutat Res. 580(1-2):53-9.

Pearson, RG and Songstad, J. (1967) Application of the principle of hard and soft acids and bases to organic chemistry. J Am Chem Soc 89:1827–1836.

Pelucchi, C; Galeone, C; Levi, F; et al. (2006) Dietary acrylamide and human cancer. Int J Cancer 118(2):467-471.

Pelucchi, C; Galeone, C; Dal Maso, L; et al. (2007) Dietary acrylamide and renal cell cancer. Int J Cancer 120(6):1376–1377.

Perrault, SD. (2003) Distinguishing between fertilization failure and early pregnancy loss when identifying malemediated adverse pregnancy outcomes. Adv Exp Med Biol 518:189–198.

Petersen, DW; Kleinow, KM; Kraska, RC; et al. (1985) Uptake, disposition and elimination of acrylamide in rainbow trout. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 80:58–65.

Pleasure, DE; Mischler, KD; Engel, WK. (1969) Axonal transport of proteins in experimental neuropathies. Science 166:524–525.

Post, EJ; McLeod, JG. (1977) Acrylamide autonomic neuropathy in the cat. J Neurol Sci 33:353–374.

Prentice, DE; Meikle, AW. (1995) A review of drug-induced Leydig cell hyperplasia and neoplasia in the rat and some comparisons with man. Human Exper Toxicol 14:562–572.

Rafales, LS; Lasley, SM; Greenland, RM; et al. (1983) Effects of acrylamide on locomotion and central monoamine function in the rat. Pharmacol Biochem Behavior 19:635–644.

Ramsey, J; Young, J; Gorzinski, S. (1984) Acrylamide: Toxicodynamics in rats. Midland, MI: Dow Chemical USA.

Raymer, JH; Sparacino, CM; Velez, GR; et al. (1993) Determination of acrylamide in rat serum and sciatic nerve by gas chromatography-electron-capture detection. J Chromatogr 619(2):223–234.

Reid, E. (2003) Science in motion: common molecular pathological themes emerge in the hereditary spastic paraplegias. J Med Genet 40:81–86.

Reid, E; Kloos, M; Ashley-Koch, A; et al. (2002) A kinesin heavy chain (KIF5A) mutation in heredity spastic paraplegia (SPG 10). Am J Hum Genet 71:1189–1194.

Rice, JM. (2005) The carcinogenicity of acrylamide. Mutat Res 580(1-2):3-20.

Roach, JA; Andrzejewski, D; Gay, ML; et al. (2003) Rugged LC–MS/MS survey analysis for acrylamide in foods. J Agric Food Chem 51:7547–7554.

Robinson, M; Bull, RJ; Knutsen, GL; et al. (1986) A combined carcinogen bioassay utilizing both the lung adenoma and skin papilloma protocols. Environ Health Perspect 68:141–145.

Russell, LB; Hunsicker, PR; Cacheiro, NL; et al. (1991) Induction of specific-locus mutations in male germ cells of the mouse by acrylamide monomer. Mutat Res 262:101–107.

Russo, A; Gabbani, G; Simoncini, B. (1994) Weak genotoxicity of acrylamide on premeiotic and somatic cells of the mouse. Mutat Res 309:263–272.

Rutledge, JC; Generoso, WM; Shourbaji, A; et al. (1992) Developmental anomalies derived from exposure of zygotes and first-cleavage embryos to mutagens. Mutat Res 296:167–177.

Sabri, MI; Spencer, PS. (1990) Acrylamide impairs fast and slow axonal transport in rat optic system. Neurochem Res 15:603–608.

Sakamoto, J; Hashimoto, K. (1986) Reproductive toxicity of acrylamide and related compounds in mice- effects on fertility and sperm morphology. Arch Toxicol 59:201–205.

Sakamoto, J; Kurosaka, Y; Hashimoto, K. (1988) Histological changes of acrylamide-induced testicular lesions in mice. Exp Mol Pathol 48:324–334.

Sankaranarayanan, K. (1982) Genetic effects of ionizing radiation in multicellular eukaryotes and the evaluation of genetic radiation hazards in man. Amsterdam: Elsevier, pp .385.

Satchell, PM; McLeod, JG. (1981) Megaoesophagus due to acrylamide neuropathy. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiat 44:906–913.

Schettgen, T; Weiss, T; Drexler, H; et al. (2003). A first approach to estimate the internal exposure to acrylamide in smoking and non-smoking adults from Germany. Int. J. Hyg. EnViron. Health 206, 9–14.

Schmid, TE; Wang, X; Adler, ID. (1999) Detection of aneuploidy by multicolor FISH in mouse sperm after in vivo treatment with acrylamide, colchicine, diazepam, or thiabenzole. Mutagenesis 14:173–179.

Sega, GA; Generoso, EE. (1990) Measurement of DNA breakage in specific germ-cell stages of male mice exposed to acrylamide, using an alkaline-elution procedure. Mutat Res 242:79–87.

Sega, GA; Valdivia Alcota, RP; Tancongco, CP; et al. (1989) Acrylamide binding to the DNA and protamine of spermiogenic stages in the mouse and its relationship to genetic damage. Mutat Res 216:221–230.

Sega, GA; Generoso, EE; Brimer, PA. (1990) Acrylamide exposure induces a delayed unscheduled DNA synthesis in germ cells of male mice that is correlated with the temporal pattern of adduct formation in testis DNA. Environ Mol Mutagen 16:137–142.

Segerbäck, D; Calleman, CJ; Schroeder, JL; et al. (1995) Formation of N-7-(2-carbamoyl-2-hydroxyethyl) guanine in DNA of the mouse and the rat following i.p. administration of $[^{14}C]$ -acrylamide. Carcinogenesis 16:1161–1165.

Shelby, MD; Cain, KT; Hughes, LA; et al. (1986). Dominant lethal effects of acrylamide in male mice. Mutat Res 173:35–40.

Shelby, MD; Cain, KT; Cornett, CV; et al. (1987) Acrylamide: Induct ion of heritable translocations in male mice. Environ Mutagen 9:363–368.

Shipp, A; Lawrence, G; Gentry, R; et al. (2006) Acrylamide: review of toxicity data and dose-response analyses for cancer and noncancer effects. Crit Rev Toxicol 36:481-608.

Shiraishi, Y. (1978) Chromosome aberrations induced by monomeric acrylamide in bone marrow and germ cells of mice. Mutat Res 57:313–324.

Sickles, DW. (1989a) Toxic neurofilamentous axonopathies and fast anterograde axonal transport. I. The effects of single doses of acrylamide on the rate and capacity of transport. Neuroxicology 10:91–102.

Sickles, DW. (1989b) Toxic neurofilamentous axonopathies and fast anterograde axonal transport. II. The effects of single doses of neurotoxic and non-neurotoxic diketones and B,B'-iminodipropionitrile (IDPN) on the rate and capacity of transport. NeuroToxicology 10:103–112.

Sickles, DW. (1991) Toxic neurofilamentous axonopathies and fast anterograde axonal transport.III. Recovery from single injections and multiple dosing effects of acrylamide and 2,5-hexanedione. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 108:390–396.

Sickles, DW. (1992) Toxic neurofilamentous axonopathies and fast anterograde axonal transport. IV. In vitro analysis of transport following acrylamide and 2,5-hexanedione. Toxicol Lett 61:199–204.

Sickles, DW; Brady, ST; Testino, A; et al. (1996) Direct effect of the neurotoxicant acrylamide on kinesin-based microtubule motility. J Neurosci Res 46:7–17.

Sickles, DW; Stone, JD; Friedman, MA. (2002a) Fast axonal transport: A site of acrylamide neurotoxicity? NeuroToxicology 23:223–251.

Sickles, DW; Stone, D; Friedman, MA. (2002b) Fast axonal transport: a site of acrylamide neurotoxicity: a rebuttal. NeuroToxicology 23:265–270.

Smith, BL. (1991) Fibroadenomas. In: Harris, JR; Hellman, S; Henderson, S; et al., eds. Breast diseases. Philadelphia: JP Lippincott Company, pp. 34–37.

Smith CJ; Perfetti TA; Rumple MA; et al. (2000) "IARC group 2A Carcinogens" reported in cigarette mainstream smoke. Food Chem Toxicol 38(4):371–383.

Smith, MK; Zenick, H; Preston, RJ; et al. (1986) Dominant lethal effects of subchronic acrylamide administration in the male Long-Evans rat. Mutat Res 173:273–277.

SNFA (Swedish National Food Agency). (2002) Press release, 24 April 2002. Available online at http://www.slv.se/engdefault.asp.

Sobel, W; Bond, GG; Parsons, TW; et al. (1986) Acrylamide cohort mortality study. Br J Ind Med 43:785–788.

Sobels, F.H. (1977) Some problems associated with the testing for environmental mutagens and a perspective for studies in comparative mutagenesis. Mutat Res 46: 245–260.

Sobels, F.H. (1982) The parallelogram: an indirect approach for the assessment of genetic risks from chemical mutagens. in: Bora, KC; Douglas, GR: Nestman, ER, eds. Progress in mutation research. Vol. 3., pp. 323–327.

Sobels, F.H. (1989) Models and assumptions underlying genetic risk assessment, Mutat Res, 114:93–105.

Solleveld, HA; Haseman, JK; McConnell, EE. (1984) Natural history of body weight gain, survival, and neoplasia in F344 rat. J Natl Cancer Inst 72:929–940.

Solomon, JJ; Fedyk, J; Mukai, F; et al. (1985) Direct alkylation of 2'-deoxynucleosides and DNA following in vitro reaction with acrylamide. Cancer Res 45(8):3465–3470.

Spencer, PS; Schaumburg, HH. (1974) A review of acrylamide neurotoxicity part II. Experimental animal neurotoxicity and pathological mechanisms. Can J Neuro Sci 1:152–169.

Spencer, PS; Schaumburg, HH. (1991) A review of acrylamide neurotoxicity: Part 2. Experimental animal neurotoxicity and pathologic mechanisms. Can J Neurol Sci 152:69.

Spencer, PS; Schaumburg, HH. (1977) Ultrastructural studies of the dying-back process IV. Differential vulnerability of PNS and CNS fibers in experimental central-peripheral distal axonopathies. J Neuropath Exp Neurol 36:300–320.

Spencer, PS; Sabri, MI; Schaumburg, HH; et al. (1979) Does a defect of energy metabolism in the nerve fiber underlie axonal degeneration in polyneuropathies? Ann Neurol 5:501–507.

Stadler, RH; Blank, I; Varga, N; et al. (2002) Acrylamide from Maillard reaction products. Nature 419:449.

Stamler, JS; Lamas, S; Fang, C. (2001) Nitrosylation: the prototypic redox-based signaling mechanism. Cell 106:675–683.

Stone, JD; Peterson, AP; Eyer, J; et al. (1999) Axonal neurofilaments are nonessential elements of toxicant-induced reductions in fast axonal transport: video-enhanced differential interference microscopy in peripheral nervous system axons. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 161:50–58.

Stone, JD; Peterson, AP; Eyer, J; et al. (2000) Neurofilaments are non-essential elements of toxicant-induced reductions in fast axonal transport: Pulse labeling in CNS neurons. NeuroToxicology 21(4):447–458.

Sublet, VH; Zenick, H; Smith, MK; et al. (1989) Factors associated with reduced fertility and implantation rates in females mated to acrylamide-treated rats. Toxicology 55:53–67.

Sumner, SC; MacNeela, JP; Fennell, TR. (1992) Characterization and quantitation of urinary metabolites of 1,2,3-[¹³C]-acrylamide in rats and mice using ¹³C nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Chem Res Toxicol 5:81–89.

Sumner, SC; Fennell, TR; Moore, TA; et al. (1999) Role of cytochrome P450 2E1 in the metabolism of acrylamide and acrylonitrile in mice. Chem Res Toxicol 12:1110–1116.

Sumner, SC; Williams, CC; Snyder, RW; et al. (2003) Acrylamide: a comparison of metabolism and hemoglobin adducts in rodents following dermal, i.p., oral, or inhalation exposure. Toxicol Sci 75(2):260–270.

Suzuki, K; Pfaff, L. (1973) Acrylamide neuropathy in rats. An electron microscopic study of degeneration and regeneration. Acta Neuropath 24:197–213.

Svensson, K; Abramsson, L; Becker, W; et al. (2003) Dietary intake of acrylamide in Sweden. Food Chem Toxicol 41:1581–1586.

Swaen, GM; Haidar, S; Burns, CJ; et al. (2007) Mortality study update of acrylamide workers. Occup Environ Med. 64(6):396–401.

Takahashi, H; Ohara, T; Hashimoto, K. (1971) Electrophysiological study of nerve injuries in workers handling acrylamide. Int Arch Arbeitsmed 28:1–11.

Tanigawa, H; Onodera, H; Maekawa, A. (1987) Spontaneous mesotheliomas in Fischer rats - A histological and electron microscopic study. Toxicol Pathol 15:157–163.

Tareke, E; Rydberg, P; Karlsson, P; et al. (2000) Acrylamide: A cooking carcinogen? Chem Res Toxicol 13:517-522.

Tareke, E; Rydberg, P; Karlsson, P; et al. (2002) Analysis of acrylamide, a carcinogen formed in heated foodstuffs. J Agric Food Chem 50:4998–5006.

Tareke, E; Twaddle, NC; McDaniel, LP; et al. (2006) Relationships between biomarkers of exposure and toxicokinetics in Fischer 344 rats and B6C3F1 mice administered single doses of acrylamide and glycidamide and multiple doses of acrylamide.Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 217(1):63-75.

Tegeris Laboratories. (1989) Initial submission: A lifetime oncogenicity study with acrylamide in rats (final report) with cover letter dated 043092. Submitted under TSCA Section 8ECP. EPA Document No. 88-920002367. Fiche No. OTS536419. (Volumes VIII to XI of Appendix XIX, which contained individual animal pathology reports, were not included in the TSCA submission/fiche. These volumes were received on CD from Dr. M. Friedman in May, 2003.)

Thier, R; Lewalter, J; Selinski, S; et al. (2002) Possible impact of human CYP2E1 polymorphisms on the metabolism of acrylonitrile. Toxicol Lett 128(1–3):249–255.

Tilson, HA. (1981) The neurotoxicity of acrylamide: An overview. Neurobehav Toxicol Teratol 3:445-461.

Tilson, H; Cabe, PA. (1979) The effects of acrylamide given acutely or in repeated doses on fore- and hindlimb function of rats. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 47:253–260.

Tong, GC; Cornwell, WK; Means, GE. (2004) Reactions of acrylamide with glutathione and serum albumin. Toxicol Lett 147(2):127–31.

Törnqvist, M; Paulsson, B; Vikström, AC; and Granath, F. (2008) Approach for cancer risk estimation of acrylamide in food on the basis of animal cancer tests and in vivo dosimetry. J Agric Food Chem. 56(15):6004-12.

Tripathy, NK; Patnaik, KK; Nabi, MJ. (1991) Acrylamide is genotoxic to the somatic and germ cells of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Mutat Res 259:21–27.

Tsuda, H; Shimizu, CS; Taketomi, MK; et al. (1993) Acrylamide; induction of DNA damage, chromosomal aberrations and cell transformation without gene mutations. Mutagenesis 8(1):23–29.

Turek, FW; Desjardins, C. (1979) Development of leydig cell tumors and onset of changes in the reproductive and endocrine systems of aging F344 rats. J Natl Cancer Inst 63:969–975.

Twaddle, NC; McDaniel, LP; Gamboa da Costa, G; et al. (2004) Determination of acrylamide and glycidamide serum toxicokinetics in B6C3F₁ mice using LC-ES/MS/MS. Cancer Lett 207(1):9–17.

UNSCEAR (United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation). (1986) Genetic and somatic effects of ionizing radiation, report to the General Assembly, United Nations, New York.

Uphouse, L; Russell, M. (1981) Rapid effects of acrylamide on spiroperidol and serotonin binding in neural tissue. Neurobehav Toxicol Teratol 3:281–284.

Uphouse, LL; Nemeroff, CB; Mason, G; et al. (1982) Interactions between "handling" and acrylamide on endocrine responses in rats. NeuroToxicology 3:121–125.

Urban, M; Kawadias, D; Riedel, K; et al. (2006) Urinary mercapturic acids and a hemoglobin adduct for the dosimetry of acrylamide exposure in smokers and nonsmokers. Inhal Toxicol 18(10)831–839.

U.S. EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). (1986a) Guidelines for the health risk assessment of chemical mixtures. Federal Register 51(185):34014-34025.

U.S. EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). (1986b) Guidelines for mutagenicity risk assessment. Federal Register 51(185):34006–34012.

U.S. EPA. (Environmental Protection Agency) (1988) Recommendations for and documentation of biological values for use in risk assessment. Springfield, VA: National Technical Information Service. EPA Document No. 600/6-87/008. NTIS Document No. PB88-179874/AS.

U.S. EPA. (Environmental Protection Agency) (1991) Guidelines for developmental toxicity risk assessment. Fed Reg 56(234):63798–63826.

U.S. EPA. (Environmental Protection Agency) (1994a) Interim policy for particle size and limit concentration issues in inhalation toxicology: notice of availability. Federal Register 59:531799.

U.S. EPA. (Environmental Protection Agency) (1994b) Methods for derivation of inhalation reference concentrations and application of inhalation dosimetry. Springfield, VA: National Technical Information Service. NTIS Document No. PB2000–500023. Available online at http://:www.epa.gov/iris/backgr-d.htm.

U.S. EPA. (Environmental Protection Agency) (1995) Use of the benchmark dose approach in health risk assessment. Springfield, VA: National Technical Information Service. EPA Document No. 630/R-94/007. NTIS Document No. PB95-213765. Available online at http://www.epa.gov/iris/backgr-d.htm.

U.S. EPA. (Environmental Protection Agency) (1996) Guidelines for reproductive toxicity risk assessment. Federal Register 61(212):56274–56322.

U.S. EPA. (Environmental Protection Agency) (1998a) Guidelines for neurotoxicity risk assessment. Federal Register 63(93):26926–26954.

U.S. EPA. (Environmental Protection Agency) (1998b) Science policy council handbook: peer review. Washington, DC: Office of Science Policy, Office of Research and Development. Springfield, VA: National Technical Information Service. EPA Document No. 100-B-98-001. NTIS Document No. PB98–140726. Available online at http://www.epa.gov/iris/backgr-d.htm.

U.S. EPA. (Environmental Protection Agency) (1998c) Assessment of thyroid follicular cell tumors. Risk Assessment Forum. Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC. EPA/630/R-97/002.

U.S. EPA. (Environmental Protection Agency) (2000a) Science policy council handbook: peer review. 2nd edition. Prepared by the Office of Science Policy, Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC. EPA 100-B-00-001. Available online at http://www.epa.gov/iris/backgr-d.htm.

U.S. EPA. (Environmental Protection Agency) (2000b) Science policy council handbook: risk characterization. Prepared by the Office of Science Policy, Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC. EPA 100-B-00-002. Available online at http://www.epa.gov/iris/backgr-d.htm.

U.S. EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) (2000c) Benchmark dose technical guidance document [external review draft]. EPA/630/R-00/001. Available online at http://www.epa.gov/iris/backgr-d.htm.

U.S. EPA. (Environmental Protection Agency) (2000d) Supplementary guidance for conducting for health risk assessment of chemical mixtures. Risk Assessment Forum, Washington, DC; EPA/630/R-00/002. Available online at http://www.epa.gov/iris/backgr d.htm.

U.S. EPA. (Environmental Protection Agency)(2002) A review of the reference dose and reference concentration processes. Risk Assessment Forum, Washington, DC; EPA/630/P-02/0002F. Available onlilne at http://www.epa.gov/iris/backgr-d.htm.

*U.S. EPA. (Environmental Protection Agency) (2003) National primary drinking water regulations. Organic chemicals. Available online at http://www.epa.gov/safewater/mcl.html. (accessed June 10, 2003).

U.S. EPA (Environmental Protection Agency)(2005a) Guidelines for carcinogen risk assessment. Risk Assessment Forum, Washington, DC. EPA/630/P-03/001B. Available online at http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=116283.

U.S. EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) (2005b) Supplemental guidance for assessing susceptibility from early life exposure to carcinogens. risk assessment forum, Washington, DC. EPA/630/R-03/003F.. Available online at: http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=116283.

U.S. EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) (2008). Toxicological Review of Acrylamide (CAS No. 79-06-1) in Support of Summary Information on the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) External Review Draft (PDF) Available online at http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=187729

U.S. FDA (Food and Drug Administration) (2006) The U.S. FDA 2006 exposure assessment for acrylamide (slide presentation by Dr. Michael DiNovi). Available online at http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~dms/acryexpo.html.

U.S. FDA (Food and Drug Administration) (2009) The U.S. FDA Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN) site for information on acrylamide and FDA research. Available online at http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~lrd/pestadd.html#acrylamide.

Valdivia, RP; Lafuente, NM; Katoh, M. (1989) Acrylamide-induced chromosome-type aberrations in spermiogenic stages evaluated in the first cleavage metaphases in the mouse. Environ Mol Mutagen 14(Suppl 15):205.

Verlaan, M; Te Morsche, RH; Roelofs, HM; et al. (2004) Genetic polymorphisms in alcohol-metabolizing enzymes and chronic pancreatitis. Alcohol Alcohol 39(1):20–24.

Verschueren, K. (2001) Handbook of environmental data on organic chemicals, 4th ed., Vol. 1–2. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., p. 124.

Vesper, HW; Licea-Perez, H; Meyers, T; et al. (2005) Pilot study on the impact of potato chips consumption on biomarkers of acrylamide exposure. AdV. Exp. Med. Biol. 561:89–96.

Vesper, HW; Bernert, JT; Ospina, M; et al. (2007) Assessment of the relation between biomarkers for smoking and biomarkers for acrylamide exposure in humans. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev 16(11):2471–2478.

Vesper, HW; Slimani, N; Hallmans, G; et al. (2008) Cross-sectional study on acrylamide hemoglobin adducts in subpopulations from the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition (EPIC) study. J Agric Food Chem 50(15):6046–6053.

Von Tungeln, LS; Churchwell, MI; Doerge, DR; et al. (2009) DNA adduct formation and induction of micronuclei and mutations in $B6C3F_1/Tk$ mice treated neonatally with acrylamide or glycidamide. Int J Cancer 124:2006–2015.

Voogd, CE; van der Stel, JJ; Jacobs, JJ. (1981) The mutagenic action of aliphatic epoxides. Mutat Res 89:269-282.

Walden, R; Squibb, RE; Schiller, CM. (1981) Effects of prenatal and lactational exposure to acrylamide on the development of intestinal enzymes in the rat. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 58:363–369.

Walker, K; Hattis, D; Russ, A; et al. (2007) Approaches to acrylamide physiologically based toxicokinetic modeling for exploring child-adult dosimetry differences. J Toxicol Environ Health A. 70(24):2033-55.

Walker, RA; O'Brien, ET: Epstein, DL; et al. (1997) N-Ethylmaleimide and ethacrynic acid inhibit kinesin binding to microtubules in a motility assay. Cell Motil Cytoskeleton 37:289–299.

Walum, E; Flint, OP. (1993) Selective effects of acrylamide, metylene bisacrylamide, and haloperidol on neuronal development in rat embryo midbrain micromass cultures. In Vitro Toxicol 6:125–134.

Warr, T; Parry, J; Callander, R; et al. (1990) Methyl vinyl sulphone: a new class of Michael-type genotoxin. Mutat Res 245:191–199.

Waters MD, Nolan C. (1995) EC/US workshop report: assessment of genetic risks associated with exposure to ethylene oxide, acrylamide, 1,3-butadiene and cyclophosphamide. Mutat Res 330(1-2):1–11.

WHO (1985). Environmental Health Criteria No. 49, Acrylamide. Geneva. Available online at http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc49.htm.

Wilson, KM; Vesper, HW; Tocco, P; et al. (2008) Validation of a food frequency questionnaire measurement of dietary acrylamide intake using hemoglobin adducts of acrylamide and glycidamide. Cancer Causes Control [epub ahead of print].

Wilson, KM; Balter, K; Adami, HO; et al. (2009a) Acrylamide exposure measured by food frequency questionnaire and hemoglobin adduct levels and prostate cancer risk in the cancer of the prostate in Sweden Study. Int J Cancer 124(10):2384–2390.

Wilson, KM; Mucci, LA; Cho, E; et al. (2009b) Dietary acrylamide intake and risk of premenopausal breast cancer. Am J Epidemiol 169:954–961.

Wise, LD; Gordon, LR; Soper, KA. (1995) Developmental neurotoxicity evaluation of acrylamide in Sprague-Dawley rats. Neurotoxicol Teratol 17:189–198.

Working, PK; Bentley, KS; Hurtt, ME; et al. (1987a) Dominant lethal assay of acrylonitrile and acrylamide in the male rat. Environ Mutagen Suppl 9(8):115.

Working, PK; Bentley, KS; Hurtt, ME; et al. (1987b) Comparison of the dominant lethal effects of acrylonitrile and acrylamide in the male F344 rat. Mutagenesis 2:215–220.

Xiao, Y; Tates, AD. (1994) Increased frequencies of micronuclei in early spermatids of rats following exposure of young primary spermatocytes to acrylamide. Mutat Res 309:245–254.

Yamada, T; Nakamura, J; Murakami, M; et al. (1995) Effect of chronic L-DOPA administration on serum luteinizing hormone levels in male rats. Toxicology 97:173–182.

Young, JF; Luecke, RH; Doerge, DR. (2007) Physiologically based pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic model for acrylamide and its metabolites in mice, rats, and humans. Chem Res Toxicol. 20(3):388–399.

Zeiger, E; Anderson, B; Haworth, S. (1987) *Salmonella* mutagenicity tests: III. Results from the testing of 255 chemicals. Environ Mutagen 9(suppl 9):1–110.

Zeiger, E; Recio, L; Fennell, TR; et al. (2009) Investigation of the low-dose response in the in vivo induction of micronuclei and adducts by acrylamide. Toxicol Sci 107(1):247–257.

Zenick, H; Itope, E; Smith, MK. (1986) Reproductive toxicity associated with acrylamide treatment in male and female rats. J Toxicol Environ Health 17:457–472.

Zenick, H; Clegg, ED; Perreault, SD; et al. (1994) Assessment of male reproductive oxicity: a risk assessment approach. In: Hayes AW, ed. Principles and methods of toxicology. Chapter 27. 3rd ed. New York: Raven Press, pp. 947.

Zhu, YJ; Zeng, T; Zhu, YB; et al. (2008) Effects of acrylamide on the nervous tissue antioxidant and sciatic nerve electrophysiology in the rat. Neurochem Res 33(11)2310–2317.
APPENDIX A. SUMMARY OF EXTERNAL PEER REVIEW AND PUBLIC COMMENTS AND DISPOSITION

The Toxicological Review of Acrylamide (December 2007 external review draft) has undergone formal external peer review performed by scientists in accordance with EPA guidance on peer review (U.S. EPA, 2006a). In this case, the external reviewers were members of a U.S. EPA Scientific Advisory Board (SAB) panel who were tasked with providing written answers to general questions on the overall assessment and on chemical-specific questions in areas of scientific controversy or uncertainty. The Panel deliberated on the charge questions during a March 10-11, 2008 face-to-face meeting and discussed its draft report in a subsequent conference call on July 16, 2008. The final draft of the panel's report was released on December 19, 2008. A summary of the significant comments made by the SAB reviewers and EPA's responses to these comments follow. In many cases the comments of the individual reviewers have been synthesized and paraphrased in the development of Appendix A. EPA also received scientific comments from the public. These comments and EPA's responses are included in a separate section of this appendix.

The efforts of the review panel members are gratefully acknowledged. The following is a summary of the SAB reviewers' comments and EPA responses.

1. **Comment:** SAB reviewers noted that the determination of accurate benchmark doses for AA-induced neurotoxicity in the principal studies for the RfD and RfC may be compromised by a lack of functional testing of neurotoxicity and the use of a relatively insensitive measure, peripheral axonopathy detected by light microsopy, as the primary index of neurotoxicity. Of concern was the possibility that, in looking at axonal degeneration, preceding terminal degeneration may have been missed, particularly at lower doses.

Response: Additional text was added to Section 5.1.1 (Choice of Principal Study and Critical Effect—with Rationale and Justification) to discuss this area of uncertainty in selecting the critical effect and principal study for the derivation of the RfD and RfC.

Comment: SAB reviewers noted that future studies may demonstrate AA effects on male reproductive function at lower exposure levels than those associated with neurotoxicity in animal studies. Of particular concern to the reviewers were:
 (1) observations that impaired male reproductive performance (e.g., male-mediated implantation losses) occurred in laboratory animals at oral exposure levels (~3–13 mg/kg-day) that are only "somewhat above" the lowest chronic doses associated with neurotoxicity (1–2 mg/kg-day); (2) in-depth animal studies of dose-response relationships for heritable germ cell effects are not available; (3) possible associations

between human exposure to AA and reproductive effects (especially heritable germ cell effects and effects on sperm endpoints) have not been adequately studied; and (4) growing evidence that cigarette smoking, a known source of AA exposure, is associated with altered male reproductive tissue endpoints, including sperm concentration, morphology, motility, and DNA fragmentation.

Response: Several changes were made in Chapter 5 to explicitly note the SAB's concerns about heritable germ cell effects and to strongly encourage further research, including text to clearly state that: (1) the lower end of the range of animal oral doses associated with lethal germ cell effects, particularly male-mediated implantation losses, is close to the lowest exposure levels associated with neurotoxicity in orally exposed animals; (2) dose-response relationship for heritable germ cell effects in AA-exposed animals are not well described, particularly at dose levels below 50 mg/kg-day; and (3) possible associations between human exposure to AA and altered sperm characteristics have not been adequately studied. In addition, text was added to Chapters 5 and 6 to note that any future studies of possible associations between AA exposure and sperm characteristics should adjust for smoking history and alcohol consumption, especially due to the growing evidence of associations between cigarette smoking and altered sperm endpoints.

The database deficiency UF used in the derivation of the RfD and RfC ($UF_{DB} = 1$) was not changed in response to these comments, because, as discussed in several places in Chapter 5, the animal database for oral exposure to AA is robust. Although the human data are limited, they clearly demonstrate neurotoxicity as the predominant observable noncancer adverse effect. Although animal studies for inhalation exposures are limited, kinetic studies in animals and humans indicate no portal of entry effects (i.e., lung or GI effects that would be unique to that route of exposure). The database identifies nerve degeneration as the critical effect from chronic oral exposure. There are unresolved issues that warrant further research including the MOA of AA-induced neurotoxicity, the potential for behavioral or functional adverse effects may occur at lower than previously reported doses. These issues, however, do not warrant applying a UF for database deficiencies.

3. Comment: SAB reviewers noted that the discussion of MOA(s) for neurotoxicity in two sections of the 2007 document (Section 4.6.1, pp 123–124; and Section 4.7.3, pp 134–136) was confusing and recommended their incorporation into a single section. In addition, several deficiencies in the discussion were noted (inadequate discussion of the current molecular understanding of the MOAs, AA adduct chemistry pertinent to AA MOAs for neurotoxicity, and the respective roles of AA, the parent compound, and its

epoxide metabolite, GA). Panel members provided more specific text to address these deficiencies and offered the text to EPA for consideration in revising the document.

Response: In response to this comment, the two sections were consolidated into one section (Section 4.7.3.1 in the current version) and the recommended text was incorporated into the revised discussion of the MOAs for neurotoxicity.

4. **Comment:** SAB reviewers commented that the discussion of heritable germ cell effects studies in Section 4.4 should be modified to add synthesis, analysis, and scrutiny. Recommended issues to be discussed further include considerable deficiencies in the database (e.g., characterization of dose-response relationships for certain endpoints), the significance of the effect, and MOAs for heritable germ cell effects (including DNA adduct formation leading to mutagenicity, as well as clastogenic and mitotic spindle effect mechanisms).

Response: Section 4.4 (Heritable Germ Cell Effects) was rewritten to add a new section *"Synthesis, and evaluation of heritable germ cell effects"*, as well as further discussion of potential MOAs for heritable germ cell effects.

5. **Comment:** SAB reviewers considered studies other than the 2-year rat study by Johnson et al. (1986) that could serve as the basis of the RfD and RfC, noting that the critical effect of axonal degeneration detected by light microscopy is relatively less sensitive than neuronal changes visible under the electron microscope or functional/behavioral alterations. The Panel suggested that EPA generate an RfD from the Calleman et al. (1994) study of AA-exposed workers for the purpose of comparison with the RfD based on the rat data from Johnson et al. (1986), despite the limitations of this human study including the small sample size and restriction to young adult males, the bias toward the null from the healthy worker effect, the effect of confounding exposures to other neurotoxicants, and the short duration of exposure. The Panel saw this exercise as a type of sensitivity analysis to help to determine whether the RfD and RfC based on the relatively insensitive measure of neurotoxicity in the rat study appears to be adequately health protective.

Response: As suggested, EPA conducted an alternate derivation of the RfC based upon the Calleman et al. (1994) study of worker exposure to AA via the inhalation and dermal routes. This analysis can be found in Appendix F, and the details of Calleman et al. (1994) study are presented in Section 4.1. EPA notes that the human data from the Calleman et al. (1994) study are very limited due to the small number of subjects (n=41), the narrow representation of the general public (i.e., workers), and by a number of potentially confounding factors including concurrent exposure to another neurotoxin (acrylonitrile), aggregate exposure via both dermal and inhalation routes, a composite

08/28/09

A-3 DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

index of neurotoxicity, and control groups of varying size and composition. There are also no other human inhalation toxicity studies to support or challenge the reproducibility or validity of the Calleman et al. (1994) study results.

6. **Comment:** The SAB panel could not reach consensus about EPA's decision that a database deficiency UF greater than 1 was not needed in the derivation of the RfD and RfC. Some panel members agreed with EPA's position, whereas others argued that deficiencies in the database could lead to lower values for the RfD and RfC when they are filled, thereby warranting a database deficiency UF. Database deficiencies pointed out included: (1) the absence of robust neurotoxicity evaluations including histopathology and electron microscopy coupled with systemic evaluation of functional or behavioral endpoints at multiple time points in adult animals and in animals exposed during early development to determine whether critical lifestage differences exist in susceptibility to AA neurotoxicity; (2) the chronic neurotoxicity of AA has only been assessed in rats; and (3) heritable germ cell effects have not been fully characterized, especially dose-response relationships.

Response: See reponse to Question 2. Although the database deficiency UF used in the derivation of the RfD and RfC ($UF_{DB} = 1$) was not changed in response to these comments, it is agreed that there are unresolved issues that warrant further research including the MOA of AA-induced neurotoxicity, the potential for behavioral or functional adverse effects not detected in the assays to date, and the uncertainty that heritable germ cell effects may occur at lower than previously reported doses. Text changes were made in several places in Sections 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3 to emphasize these points.

7. **Comment:** The SAB panel recommended that the document should include some discussion of the potential for cumulative effects from exposure to AA and other type-2 alkenes, which can produce similar noncancer effects via common mechanisms of action. Evaluating the cumulative effects of type-2 alkenes was noted to be particularly germane since human exposure can be pervasive due to environmental pollution (e.g., acrolein, acrylonitrile), contamination of food (e.g., AA, methyl acrylate), and endogenous generation (e.g., acrolein, 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal, as reviewed by LoPachin et al., 2008b.

Response: Text was added to Section 6.1 regarding this issue.

8. **Comment:** The SAB panel noted that the recalibrated Kirman PBTK model was superior to the Young et al. model, but requested that EPA provide further descriptions of the model and its parameterization/development and consider further evaluation and refinement of the model with recently available toxicokinetics data. To justify the latter

actions, the Panel noted several discrepancies between the PBTK predicted and measured dose metrics and that the use of other available kinetic data (e.g., Hartmann et al., 2008; Vesper et al., 2008, 2006; Fennell et al., 2006) to refine the model may help to resolve the apparent discrepancies.

Response: The recalibrated Kirman model used in the external review draft of the Toxicological Review of Acrylamide (December 2007), has since been published by Walker et al. (2007). EPA agrees that an update of the Walker et al. (2007) PBPK model parameter values, as well as the model structure, are needed based upon more recent kinetic data, and the need to represent first pass lung clearance for an inhalation exposure. For the purposes of the current assessment, however, recent kinetic data from Doerge et al. (2005 a,b,c) and Tareke et al. (2006) in conjunction with the human adduct data from Fennel et al. (2005) are sufficient to conduct a direct extrapolation of the rat dose-response POD to a human equivalent administered dose based on equivalent AUCs in the blood for AA or GA. Because AA or GA AUC in the blood was also the dose metric that would be simulated with a PBPK model, the equivalent AUC method is a viable alternative in lieu of using the uncertainty factor for interpecies toxicokinetic differences, and thus EPA will not delay the assessment pending update and peer review of a revised acrylamide PBPK model. The equivalent AUC method also has fewer, relatively well supported parameters, and thus has inherently less uncertainty than a PBPK model. An acrylamide PBPK model, of course, has far greater applicability to generate dose metrics other than the AUC in blood, to compare different dosing regimens, to simulate a far greater range of data, and to conduct variability analyses. Thus, EPA recognizes and supports the important use of PBPK models in risk assessment, and encourages the research community to continue to develop and submit peer reviewed acrylamide PBPK models for consideration. In future reviews or revisions to this document, EPA will consider available acrylamide PBPK model results, and if needed, revise accordingly the reference values derived in this current version of the Toxicological Review of Acrylamide.

9. Comment: The SAB panel noted that hemoglobin adduct data and other data in several recent publications (Hartmann et al., 2008; Vesper et al., 2008, 2006; Fennell et al., 2005) provide a means of estimating Human Equivalent Concentration (HECs) by alternative empirical approaches that might be compared with the predictions from the PBTK models.

Response: In accordance with the SAB recommendation (and as discussed in the response to Question 8), EPA extensively reviewed and evaluated the kinetic data needed to derive an HEC based on observed levels of hemoglobin adducts and serum AUCs for AA or GA following a specified administered dose. EPA concluded that this method, is indeed, a viable and simple (i.e., few parameters) means to derive the HEC. Thus the AUC method was used in this current version of the assessment, and text has

been added in Sections 3.5 and 5.1.2. Appendix E provides additional details the data and calculations used to develop the in vivo second order adduct formation rate constants, and the AUCs normalized to administered dose.

 Comment: The SAB panel noted there are recent data indicating human variability in the metabolism and toxicokinetics of AA (e.g., Harmann et al., 2008; Heudorf et al., 2008; Vesper et al., 2008, 2006; Fennell et al., 2006, 2005) and asked EPA to consider how to incorporate this information into the PBTK model.

Response: EPA agrees that human variability in both internal dose and response to AA and GA is an important consideration in risk assessment, and an updated and peer reviewed PBPK model could account for altered disposition of AA or GA (e.g., due to variability in metabolism). More data, however, are needed to identify both the critical factors and level of variability at low dose exposures in the general human population. Assessing the impact of altered internal levels of AA and GA on the response is also challenging because both are toxins, thus variability in metabolism leading to decreased GA and increased AA might change the "spectrum" of adverse effects.

11. **Comment:** The SAB panel agreed with the use of the PBTK models to conduct routeto-route extrapolations for noncancer effects and cancer. The panel noted that the value generated in the default approach to estimating a human equivalent dose was very similar to the value derived using the PBTK model.

Response: In the external review draft of the Toxicological Review of Acrylamide (December 2007), the default approach to generate an RfD uses a UF_{A-TK} of 3, and resulted in an RfD similar to the value derived from the results with the recalibrated Kirman et al. PBPK model. This could possibly have been due to the dose metric used in the model simulation, which was AA AUC in blood, and which apparently scaled roughly comparably to the uncertainty value of 3. The default approach, however, was grossly in error for the oral slope factor that was based on the GA AUC dose metric (as a metabolite of AA), so for the cancer assessment, a PBPK model, or the currently used equivalent AUC method are superior to the use of a UF_{A-TK} of 3.

12. **Comment:** The SAB panel recommended including a table displaying relevant outcomes from reliable and well-performed studies for the following categories of noncancer effects: neurotoxicity in the adult and developing organism, reproductive toxicity including heritable germ cell effects, developmental toxicity, and general systemic toxicity following various durations of exposure, as appropriate.

Response: A data array figure (Figure 5-1) was added to Section 5.1.1 with reliable NOAELs and LOAELs for the following categories of noncancer effects from oral exposure studies: subchronic and chronic effects; reproductive effects (including

08/28/09

testicular and sperm effects, male-mediated implantation losses, and female reproductive performance effects); and developmental effects (including fetal effects in standard developmental bioassays and neurological assessments in offspring exposed during gestation and beyond). The text in Section 5.1 was modified to guide the reader through the data in the figure in defense of selecting degenerative nerve changes as the critical effect for the RfD and RfC. There are no studies of heritable germ cell effects in orally exposed animals, but the text in Section 5.1 discusses the results of the i.p. and dermal exposure studies on this endpoint.

13. **Comment:** The SAB panel agreed with the inclusion of Section 5.5, *Quantitating Risk for Heritable Germ Cell Effects*, in the document, but asked that: (1) the risk extrapolation factors (REFs) be explained in more detail; (2) the basis of the asuumed number of human loci capable of mutating to dominantly expressed disease alleles (1,000) be clarified in the modified direct approach; and (3) how, in the doubling dose approach, the four data sets, each of which used high AA dosing rates, could accurately predict the number of new disease in the offspring at low doses.

Response: Additional clarifying text was added to Section 5.5 to provide more information on the bases for the REFs; however, the basis of the assumed number of 1,000 mutable genes in the modified direct approach was not available. It is agreed that, in the doubling dose approach, extrapolation from the high-dose studies to low-dose human exposure scenarios is of highly uncertain accuracy. The following statement was added to emphasize this uncertainty: "Nevertheless, the accuracy of extrapolation of these high exposure rates to the expected human exposure scenarios presented in Table 5-16 is another major uncertainty in the calculations." The Panel agreed with the recommendations in Section 5.5 and elsewhere in the document for further research and data to fill the critical data gaps: in the REFs, the quantitative relationship between genetic alterations in germ cells and heritable disease, and the shape of the low-dose relationship.

- 14. **Comment:** The SAB panel agreed that the rationale and justification for the "likely to be carcinogenic to humans" hazard descriptor was clearly described and that the conclusion was scientifically supportable. The panel suggested that the rationale and justification could be further expanded by:
 - a) Noting that the NTP and IARC have placed AA in cancer classification groups similar to EPA's "likely to be carcinogenic to humans" category;
 - b) Emphasizing that concordance between tumor sites in animals and humans is not as important as the observed concordance that pertinent modes of action (e.g., somatic cell mutagenicity) operate in cells of humans and animals;
 - c) Adding primary CNS tumors to the list of experimental tumors induced by AA;

- d) Emphasizing that the spectrum of tumors seen in AA-exposed rats is completely consistent with a DNA-reactive MOA, based on published data about other substances that induce or initiate the same kinds of neoplasms; and
- e) Emphasizing that the demonstration of AA's tumor initiation activity by multiple routes of administration provides strong support that AA causes cancer by a DNA-reactive MOA.

Response: Additional text was added to Section 4.8 in general accordance with the Panel's recommendations. It should be noted that the Agency generally does not include information regarding other agencies determinations, such as IARC, in Toxicological Reviews.

- 15. **Comment:** The SAB panel noted that rationale and justification for the weight of evidence for a mutagenic MOA for AA carcinogenicity was sound and clearly and objectively presented. The SAB panel further noted that hormonal disruption MOAs proposed for AA are highly speculative and supported by, at most, limited evidence. The Panel made several recommendations for improving the presentation as follows:
 - a) Expand the discussion of biological plausibility and coherence beyond DNA adducts and expand the human relevance section;
 - b) Reconsider the statement regarding the lack of relationship of cytogenetic damage to a mutagenic mode MOA because the literature is full of such correlations;
 - c) Consider adding the results of the case-control study of post-menopausal breast cancer by Olesen et al. (2008) (reporting an association between AA-hemoglobin level and risk for breast cancer after adjustment for smoking status) to the discussion;
 - d) Emphasize that observations in humans of GA-hemoglobin adducts and GA urinary metabolites demonstrate that internal exposure to GA, the mutagenic AA metabolite, occurs in the general population at low levels of AA exposure;
 - e) Add discussion that AA/GA is not unique among DNA-reactive epoxides (e.g., glycidol, ethylene oxide) in displaying carcinogenic action in the thyroid, peritesticular mesothelium and mammary tissue and
 - f) Add discussion that CNS tumors were observed in both chronic bioassays and that this observation represents strong evidence for a DNA-damaging mechanism;
 - g) Add discussion of observations that short-term exposure to high doses of AA in male F344 rats found no evidence for hormonal dysregulation in the hypothalamus-pituitary-thyroid axis, yet some studies report associations with hormonal changes, low level AA exposure, and cancer; and
 - h) The lack of data to describe dose-response relationships for DNA adducts or pertinent mutagenic events in animals exposed to low levels of AA.

Response: Additional text was added to Section 4.8 in accordance with the Panel's recommendations.

16. **Comment:** The SAB panel recommended that data from the two chronic bioassays in F344 rats (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986) be modeled for the purpose of deriving oral slope factors, noting that they did not agree that the Friedman study was a better basis for the oral slope factor, that both are reasonably strong studies, and that the strengths and limitation of both studies should be discussed in greater depth.

Response: In accordance with the Panel's concerns, the tumor incidence data from the Johnson et al. (1986) bioassay were analyzed and compared with the results of the analysis of the Friedman et al. (1995) bioassay. The numerical value of the oral slope factor was indeed changed (increased risk) based on using the summed risk for increased incidence of tumors in the Johnson et al. male rats. Both studies were needed to support the use of the Johnson et al. data, and are now considered to be co-principal studies. Text in Section 5.4 was modified to compare the strengths and limitations of the two bioassays, and to describe the change in the derivation of the oral slope factor and inhalation unit risk. Appropriate additions were also made to Appendix D to provide details of the analysis for the tumor incidence data from the Johnson et al. (1986).

17. **Comment:** The SAB panel noted that the cancer dose-response analysis did not include a factor to scale for pharmacodynamic differences in potency between animals and humans, that such a factor should be considered as per the EPA Cancer Guidelines, and that the potential for human pharmacokinetic variability to influence the cancer potency estimate should be discussed qualitatively and quantitatively.

Response: For a mutagenic carcinogen, as is the case here, the current EPA Cancer Guidelines do not include an adjustment for pharmacodynamic differences in extrapolating from animals to humans. Rather, the method used is a low dose linear extrapolation from the BMDL as a point of departure. The text does discuss variability (kinetic and dynamic) in the human population as a source of uncertainty.

18. **Comment:** The SAB panel agreed that the AUC for GA is the best choice for the internal dose metric used in deriving the oral slope factor, but asked EPA to consider the inclusion of additional human data on variability to form GA from AA.

Response: Additional references and text have been added in Sections 3.3 and 3.5 that address variability in human metabolism of AA and GA, and that present epidemiology data on differences in GA-Val adduct levels, and urinary metabolites.

19. **Comment:** The SAB panel agreed that the recommendation to use the age-dependent adjustment factors is well justified and transparently and objectively described. The panel noted that using the PBTK model to evaluate the effect of lifestage on CYP2E1 and glutathione levels on internal exposure to GA and that such analysis could be used to develop chemical-specific adjustment factors for early life exposure.

Response: EPA has developed specific guidelines for age dependent adjustment factors. There is also insufficient data to determine whether children would be more or less susceptible to AA induced toxicity because both the parent and GA metabolite are toxic. Differences in AA or GA metabolism, or other kinetic drivers for different age groups may alter the internal disposition of AA or GA, but the effects on the resulting spectrum of adverse effects are not known at present.

20. **Comment:** The SAB panel noted that the discussion of uncertainties in the cancer assessment and toxicity values was good, but could be improved by expanding the discussion of human variability (specifically how human polymorphisms, or age-related changes, in CYP2E1 and glutathione transferase(s) may influence cancer risk) and of the limitation of not having another rodent species.

Response: Additional data and discussion has been added to Section 3.3 and 3.5, however, as in the response to comment 19, it is difficult to predict the ultimate impact on the spectrum of adverse effect from altered disposition of AA and GA due to polymorphisms or enzyme status.

Public comments and disposition

General comments are summarized below with a EPA response given for each. Specific comments related to each subject area are provided in a bulleted list with the reviewer attribution noted.

1. General comment: A hormonal MOA for AA carcinogenesis is possible.

Response: EPA agrees that disruption of hormone levels or signaling is a possible, although well less supported, MOA for AA carcinogenesis. The experimental data supporting this MOA are discussed in Section 4.8.3.2 of the Toxicological Review.

2. General comment: Multiple MOAs are likely to be responsible for AA-induced cancer.

Response: EPA agrees that a mixed MOA is possible, i.e., an increased mutagenic burden in hormonally-sensitive tissues with or without disruption of the hormonal pathways (see Section 4.8.3.3 of the Toxicological Review).

3. **General comment:** The hormonal MOA is the most plausible MOA for AA carcinogenesis.

Response: EPA disagrees with this conclusion and considers the data for the hormonal MOA to be limited or lacking (see Section 4.8.3 of the Toxicological Review). The SAB Review Panel agreed with EPA and considered the hormone disruption MOA to be highly speculative. In addition, the SAB Panel concluded that the existing short-term mouse studies in SENCAR, ICR (skin), and A/J (lung) show no such selectivity of carcinogenicity for hormonally regulated tissues. Also, the CNS tumors observed in both chronic AA cancer bioassays were considered strong evidence for a DNA-damaging mechanism. The SAB Panel cited a short-term, high dose study of AA in male F344 rats that found essentially no evidence for hormonal dysregulation in the hypothalamus-pituitary-thyroid axis based on measurements of gene expression, neurotransmitters, hormones, and histopathology (Bowyer et al., 2008).

4. **General comment:** Evidence for a mutagenic MOA for cancer is weak. DNA adducts are found in both target and nontarget tissues. Genotoxicity findings interpreted as mutagenicity may actually represent chromosome deletion. Genotoxic effects such as micronuclei formation may exhibit a nonlinear dose-response.

Response: EPA disagrees with the conclusion that the weight of evidence for a mutagenic MOA is weak. Section 4.8.3 of the Toxicological Review illustrates that the majority of the data support a mutagenic MOA for AA carcinogenicity. The SAB panel agreed, indicating that a sound rationale and justification for a mutagenic MOA were provided in the Toxicological Review. The Panel discussed the fact that AA/GA is not unique among DNA-reactive epoxides for carcinogenic action in thyroid, peritesticular mesothelium, and mammary tissue (e.g., glycidol, ethylene oxide). In addition, the SAB panel cited new data further supporting a mutagenic MOA (i.e., recent studies showing GA-hemoglobin adducts or GA urinary metabolites in humans suggesting internal exposure at low environmental concentrations). The observation that DNA adducts are

found in both target and nontarget tissue does not alter the conclusion that adduct formation is likely related to cancer. Target organ responses may be related to differences in DNA repair and organ susceptibility to cancer. Findings in the mouse lymphoma and Big Blue mouse assays are considered relevant for the identification of DNA reactive carcinogens. *EPA Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment* indicate that linear low dose extrapolation should be used for agents that are DNA-reactive and demonstrate mutagenic activity (U.S. EPA, 2005a).

5. **General comment:** Combination of tumor types is not appropriate for hazard identification or dose-response assessment of AA.

Response: The *EPA Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment* (U.S. EPA, 2005a) allow for the combination of tumor data using several possible options. These include adding risk estimates derived from different tumor sites and representing the overall response in each experiment by counting animals with any tumor showing a statistically significant increase.

6. **General comment:** Mortality adjustment of tumor data was not necessary and the use of a time-to-tumor model was not warranted.

Response: Although not strictly necessary, EPA performs a mortality adjustment of tumor data, when the raw data are available, to assess the impact of survival across dose groups on cancer incidence.

7. **General comment:** The development and validation of the PBTK model for AA is not fully described.

Response: The recalibrated Kirman model used in the external review draft of the Toxicological Review of Acrylamide (December 2007), has since been published by Walker et al. (2007). EPA agrees that an update of the Walker et al. (2007) PBPK model parameter values, as well as the model structure, are needed based upon more recent kinetic data, and the need to represent first pass lung clearance for an inhalation exposure. For the purposes of the current assessment, however, recent kinetic data from Doerge et al. (2005 a,b,c) and Tareke et al. (2006) in conjunction with the human adduct data from Fennel et al. (2005) are sufficient to conduct a direct extrapolation of the rat dose-response POD to a human equivalent administered dose based on equivalent AUCs in the blood for AA or GA. Because AA or GA AUC in the blood was also the dose metric that would be simulated with a PBPK model, the equivalent AUC method is a viable alternative in lieu of using the uncertainty factor for interpecies toxicokinetic differences, and thus EPA was not subject to delay of the assessment pending update and peer review of a revised acrylamide PBPK model. The equivalent AUC method also has fewer, relatively well supported parameters, and thus has inherently less uncertainty than a PBPK model. An acrylamide PBPK model, of course, has far greater applicability to generate dose metrics other than the AUC in blood, to compare different dosing regimens, to simulate a far greater range of data, and to conduct variability analyses. Thus, EPA recognizes and supports the important use of PBPK models in risk

assessment, and encourages the research community to continue to develop and submit peer reviewed acrylamide PBPK models for consideration. In future reviews or revisions to this document, EPA will consider available acrylamide PBPK model results, and if needed, revise accordingly the reference values derived in this current version of the Toxicological Review of Acrylamide.

APPENDIX B. MUTAGENICITY TEST RESULTS

	•		HID or		
Assay	Test system ^a	Dose/Concentration	LED ^b	Result	Reference
Bacterial gene mut	tation assays	· · · · · · ·			
Reverse mutation	<i>S. typhimurium</i> TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100	10–10,000 μg/plate ±S9 activation	100	Weakly positive in TA98, TA100 only with activation;	Zeiger et al., 1987
	<i>S. typhimurium</i> TA1535, TA97, TA98, TA100	100–10,000 μg/plate ±S9 activation	10,000	Negative	
	<i>S. typhimurium</i> TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100, TA102	1–100 mg/plate ±S9 activation	100	Negative	Knaap et al., 1988
	S. typhimurium TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100 Escherichia coli WP2 uvrA ⁻	0.5–50 mg/plate ±S9 activation	50	Negative in both systems	Tsuda et al., 1993
	S. typhimurium TA1535	Up to 5 mg/plate ±S9 activation	5	Negative	Müller et al., 1993; Jung et al., 1992
	<i>S. typhimurium</i> TA1535, TA1537, TA1538, TA98, TA100	Up to 1 mg/plate ±S9 activation	1	Negative	Lijinsky and Andrews, 1980
	<i>S. typhimurium</i> TA1535, TA1537, TA1538, TA98, TA100	0.5–5,000 µg/plate ±S9 activation	5,000	Negative	Hashimoto and Tanii, 1985
Fluctuation test	<i>K. pneumoniae</i> ur ⁻ pro ⁻	2-10 mg/mL	10	Negative	Knaap et al., 1988
Nonmammalian ge	ene mutation assays in vivo				
Sex-linked recessive lethal	D. melanogaster	40–50 mM abdominal injection	50	Negative	Knaap et al., 1988
	D. melanogaster	0.24–5 mM larvae feeding	1	Positive	Tripathy et al., 1991
Somatic mutation, recombination	D. melanogaster	1–1.5 larvae feeding (unit unspecified)	1	Weakly positive	Knaap et al., 1988
	D. melanogaster	1–1.5 mM larvae feeding	1	Positive	Batiste-Alentorn et al., 1991

Table B-1. Results of acrylamide mutagenicity testing

			HID or		
Assay	Test system ^a	Dose/Concentration	LED ^b	Result	Reference
	D. melanogaster	0.25–5 mM	1	Positive	Tripathy et al., 1991
	_	larvae feeding			
Mammalian gene	mutation assays in vitro				
	Mouse lymphoma	10 mM	10	Positive (more pronounced	Barfknecht et al.,
	L5178Y TK ^{+/-} , tk locus			without activation)	1988
	Mouse lymphoma	0–0.85 mg/mL	0.5	Positive	Moore et al., 1987
	L5178Y TK ^{+/-} , tk locus	without activation			
	Mouse lymphoma	0–18 mM	12	Positive	Mei et al., 2008b
	$L5178Y/TK^{+/-}$, tk locus	no activation			
	Mouse lymphoma	0.5–7.5 mg/mL		Equivocal, increases only at	Knaap et al., 1988
	L5178Y $TK^{+/-}$, tk and HPRT	with or without		cytotoxic concentrations	1
	loci	metabolic activation			
	Mouse lymphoma	0.1–0.5 mg/mL	0.3	Positive	Knaap et al., 1988
	L5178Y TK ^{+/-} , HPRT locus	with cocultivated			-
		mammalian cells			
	Chinese hamster V79H3 cells,	1–7 mM	7	Negative	Tsuda et al., 1993
	HPRT locus	no activation			
	Human promyelocytic leukemia	0-700 mg/L	700	Positive	Ao et al., 2008
	HL-60 and NB4 cells, HPRT	no activation			
	locus				
Mammalian gene	mutation assays in vivo				
	Mouse B6C3F ₁ /Tk ^{+/-} , (M, F)	0–0.70 mmol/kg	0.70	Negative	Von Tungeln et al.,
	spleen lymphocytes	i.p. injection post-		-	2009
	tk and HPRT loci	natal days 1, 8, 15			
	Mouse B6C3F ₁ /Tk ^{+/-} , (M, F)	0–0.70 mmol/kg	0.14	Positive	Von Tungeln et al.,
	spleen lymphocytes	i.p. injection post-			2009
	tk and HPRT loci	natal days 1-8			
Transgenic mouse	Big Blue Mouse	100, 500 mg/L	100	Positive	Manjanatha et al.,
liver cII,	(M, F)	AA or GA	(est. 19–25		2006
lymphocyte		Drinking water for	mg/kg-		
HPRT		3–4 weeks	day)		
Transgenic mouse	Muta® Mouse	5×50 mg/kg-day	5×50	Weakly positive, no	Hoorn et al., 1993
lacZ		i.p. injection		statistical analysis	

Table B-1. Results of acrylamide mutagenicity testing

			HID or		
Assay	Test system ^a	Dose/Concentration	LED ^b	Result	Reference
	Muta® Mouse	50–100 mg/kg	100	Negative	Krebs and Favor,
		i.p. injection			1997
Mouse spot test	Mouse embryos	1×50 or 75 mg/kg	50	Positive	Neuhäuser-Klaus and
	$(T \times HT)F_1$	3×50 or 75 mg/kg	3×50	Positive	Schmahl, 1989
		i.p. injection			
Morphological	Mouse $(C3H/R1 \times 101/R1)F_1$	5×50 mg/kg	50	Positive (postspermatogonia)	Russell et al., 1991
specific locus	(M)	i.p. injection			
	Mouse $(102/E1 \times C3H/E1)F_1$	100-125 mg/kg	100	Positive (postspermatogonia;	Ehling and
	(M)	i.p. injection		spermatogonia)	Neuhäuser-Klaus,
					1992
Chromosomal alte	erations in mammalian cells in vitr	.0			
Chromosomal	Chinese hamster cells	0.5–5 mM	2	Positive	Tsuda et al., 1993
aberrations		no activation used			
	Chinese hamster cell line (V79)	0.1-3 mg/mL	1	Positive, with or without	Knaap et al., 1988
		± S9 activation		metabolic activation	
	Chinese hamster cell line (V79)	0–2,000 µM	2,000	Weakly positive	Martins et al., 2007
		no activation			
	Mouse lymphoma	0.65–0.85 mg/mL	0.75	Positive	Moore et al., 1987
	L5178Y TK ^{+/-} -3.7.2 cells	without activation			
Cell division	Chinese hamster lung cell line	0.2–1 mg/mL	0.2	Positive	Warr et al., 1990
aberration	DON:Wg3h				
	Chinese hamster lung fibroblast	0.01–1 mg/mL	0.01	Positive	Warr et al., 1990
Chasara	LUC2 p5	0.0125.05.05.05	0.5	Desitions	Warm at al. 1000
chromosome	LUC2 p5	0.0123–0.3 mg/mL	0.5	Positive	warr et al., 1990
Polyploidy	Chinasa hamstar call lina (V70)	0.5.5 mM	1	Dositivo	Tendo et al. 1003
Folypiolay	Chinese hamster cell line $(V79)$	0.3-3 IIIVI	1	Positive	1 suua et al., 1995
disturbances	Chinese namster cell line (V/9)	0.01-1 mg/mL	0.01	Positive	Auter et al., 1995
Micropuelous	Sominiforous tubular sogments	5 50 u a/mI	50	Nagativa	Lähdatia at al. 1004
wheronucleus	(spermatids from SD rats)	5–50 µg/IIIL	50	Inegative	Landelle et al., 1994
	Human hepatoma G2 cells	0–2.5 mM	0.625	Positive	Jiang et al., 2007

Table B-1. Results of acrylamide mutagenicity testing

			HID or		
Assay	Test system ^a	Dose/Concentration	LED ^b	Result	Reference
Chromosomal alte	rations in mammalian cells in vivo)			
Chromosomal	Mouse $(101/E1 \times C3H/E1)F_1$	50–150 mg/kg	50	Positive	Adler et al., 1988
aberrations	(bone marrow cells)	i.p. injection			
	Mouse (ICE-SPF)	100 mg/kg	100	Positive	Čihák and
	(bone marrow cells)	i.p. injection			Vontorková, 1988
	Mouse (ddY)	100-200 mg/kg	200	Negative	Shiraishi, 1978
	(bone marrow cells)	i.p. injection			
	Mouse (ddY)	500 ppm in diet for	78	Negative	Shiraishi, 1978
	(bone marrow cells)	7 to 21 days			
		(78 mg/kg-day)			
	Rat	100 mg/kg	100	Negative	Krishna and Theiss,
	(bone marrow cells)	i.p. injection			1995
	Mouse (C57BL/6J)	50-125 mg/kg	125	Negative	Backer et al., 1989
	(spleen lymphocytes)	i.p. injection			
	Mouse (C57BL/6)	100 mg/kg	100	Negative	Kligerman et al., 1991
	(splenocytes)	i.p. injection			
	Mouse $(101/E1 \times C3H/E1)F_1$	50-150 mg/kg	150	Negative	Adler et al., 1988
	(spermatogonia)	i.p. injection			
	Mouse (C57BL/6J)	50-125 mg/kg	125	Negative	Backer et al., 1989
	(spermatogonia)	i.p. injection			
	Mouse $(102/E1 \times C3H/E1)F_1$	5×50 mg/kg-day	5×50	Negative	Adler, 1990
	(spermatogonia)	i.p. injection			
	Mouse $(102/E1 \times C3H/E1)F_1$	100 mg/kg	100	Positive	Adler, 1990
	(spermatocytes)	i.p. injection			
	Mouse (CF ₁)	150 mg/kg	150	Positive in embryos from	Valdivia et al., 1989
	(first cleavage embryos)	i.p. injection		which the males had mated	
				6-8 days following treatment	
				(early spermatozoa stage)	
	Mouse $\overline{(B6C3F_1)(M)}$	75 and 125 mg/kg	75	Positive	Pacchierotti et al.,
	(first cleavage one-cell zygotes,	or 5×50 mg/kg-day			1994
	examined after mating)	i.p. injection			

Table B-1. Results of acrylamide mutagenicity testing

		HID or			
Assay	Test system ^a	Dose/Concentration	LED ^b	Result	Reference
	Mouse $(B6C3F_1)$	50 mg/kg	50	Positive	Marchetti et al., 1997
	(first cleavage zygotes,	i.p. injection (males)			
	examined after mating)	for 5 days before			
		mating			
Polyploidy or	Mouse bone marrow cells	100-200 mg/kg	100	Positive	Shiraishi 1978
aneuploid		i.p. injection			
	Mouse bone marrow cells	500 ppm in the diet	78	Positive	Shiraishi 1978
		for 7–21 days			
		(78 mg/kg-day)			
Spindle	Mouse $(102/E1 \times C3H/E1)$	120 mg/kg	120	Negative	Adler et al., 1993
disturbances	bone marrow cells	i.p. injection			
Micronucleus	Mouse $(101/E1 \times C3H/E1)F_1$	50–125 mg/kg	50	Positive	Adler et al., 1988
	bone marrow cells (M,F)	i.p. injection			
	Mouse (ICR-SPF)	100 mg/kg	100	Positive	Čihák and
	bone marrow cells (M)	i.p. injection			Vontorková, 1988
	Mouse (ICR-SPF)	25-100 mg/kg-day	25	Positive	Čihák and
	bone marrow cells (M)	for 2 days			Vontorková, 1988
		i.p. injection			
	Mouse (Swiss NIH)	136 mg/kg	136	Positive	Knaap et al., 1988
	bone marrow cells (M,F)	i.p. injection			
	Mouse (ICR-SPF)	42.5-100 mg/kg-day	M: 42.5	Positive	Čihák and
	bone marrow cells (M,F)	(1, 2, or 3 days)	F: 55		Vontorková, 1990
		i.p. injection			
	Rat (Sprague-Dawley)	100 mg/kg	100	Negative	Paulsson et al., 2002
	bone marrow cells (M)	i.p. injection			
	Rat	100 mg/kg	100	Negative	Krishna and Theiss,
	bone marrow cells	i.p. injection			1995
	Mouse (BALB/c)	50–100 mg/kg	50	Positive	Russo et al., 1994
	reticulocytes	i.p. injection			
	Mouse (CBA)	25–50 mg/kg	25	Positive, but results were not	Paulsson et al., 2002
	reticulocytes	i.p. injection		analyzed statistically	
	Mouse (CBA)	0.18, 0.35,	0.35	Positive, but results were not	Paulsson et al., 2003a
	reticulocytes	0.70 mmol/kg; i.p.		analyzed statistically	
		injection			

 Table B-1. Results of acrylamide mutagenicity testing

			HID or		
Assay	Test system ^a	Dose/Concentration	LED ^b	Result	Reference
	Mouse $(B6C3F_1)$	0, 0.14,	0.70	Negative	Von Tungeln et al.,
	reticulocytes and	0.70 mmol/kg			2009
	normochromatic erythrocytes	i.p. injection			
	(M,F)	postnatal days 1, 8, 15			
	Mouse $(B6C3F_1)$	0, 0.14,	0.70	Negative	Von Tungeln et al.,
	reticulocytes and	0.70 mmol/kg		_	2009
	normochromatic erythrocytes	i.p. injection			
	(M,F)	postnatal days 1-8			
	Mouse $(B6C3F_1)$	0-24 mg/kg-day for	6	Positive	Zeiger et al., 2009
	reticulocytes	28 days			
		gavage			
	Mouse $(B6C3F_1)$	0-24 mg/kg-day for	4	Positive	Zeiger et al., 2009
	normochromatic erythrocytes	28 days			
		gavage			
	Mouse (wild-type or CYP2E1-	0, 25, 50 mg/kg-day	25	Positive (wild-type mice	Ghanayem et al.,
	null)	i.p. injection for		only)	2005b
	erythrocytes (F)	5 days			
	Rat (Sprague-Dawley)	0.70, 1.4 mmol/kg	0.7	Positive, but nonmonotonic,	Paulsson et al., 2003a
	reticulocytes	i.p. injection		probably due to toxicity at	
				high dose	
	Mouse (C57BL/6J) (M)	50-125 mg/kg	50	Positive	Backer et al., 1989
	spleen lymphocytes	i.p. injection			
	Mouse (C57BL/6) (M)	100 mg/kg	100	Positive	Kligerman et al., 1991
	splenocytes	i.p. injection			
	Mouse (C57BL/6J)	10-100 mg/kg	50	Positive	Collins et al., 1992
	spermatids	i.p. injection			
	Mouse (BALB/c)	50-100 mg/kg or	50	Positive	Russo et al., 1994
	spermatids	4×50 mg/kg-day			
		i.p. injection			
	Rat (Lewis)	50–100 mg/kg or	100	Positive	Xiao and Tates, 1994
	spermatids	4×50 mg/kg-day			
		i.p. injection			

 Table B-1. Results of acrylamide mutagenicity testing

			HID or		
Assay	Test system ^a	Dose/Concentration	LED ^b	Result	Reference
	Rat (Sprague-Dawley)	50-100 mg/kg or	4×50	Positive	Lähdetie et al., 1994
	spermatids	4×50 mg/kg-day			
		i.p. injection			
Synaptonemal	Mouse (C57BL/J6) (M)	50-150 mg/kg	150	Negative	Backer et al., 1989
complex	germ cells	i.p. injection			
aberrations					
Synaptonemal	Mouse (C57BL/J6) (M)	50–150 mg/kg	50	Weakly positive, asynapsis in	Backer et al., 1989
complex	germ cells	i.p. injection		meiotic prophase	
irregularities					
Heritable	Mouse $(C3H \times 101)F_1$ (M)	5×40 – 50 mg/kg -	40	Positive	Shelby et al., 1987
translocations		day			
		i.p. injection			
	Mouse (C3H/E1) (M)	50-100 mg/kg	50	Positive	Adler et al., 1994
		i.p. injection			
	Mouse (C3H/E1) (M)	5×50 mg/kg-day	50	Positive	Adler et al., 2004
		dermal			
Reciprocal	Mouse (C3H/E1) (M)	5×50 mg/kg-day	50	Positive	Adler, 1990
translocations		i.p. injection			
DNA damage and	repair and DNA adduct formation	n			
Spore rec assay	Bacillus subtilis	1–50 mg/disk	10	Positive	Tsuda et al., 1993
	H17 (rec ⁺) and M45 (rec ⁻)				
In vitro DNA	Human hepatoma G2 cells	0–20 mM	2.5	Positive	Jiang et al., 2007
breakage (comet					
assay)					
In vivo DNA	Mouse (C3H \times C57BL/10)F ₁	25-125 mg/kg	25	Positive	Sega and Generoso,
breakage (comet	(M)	i.p. injection			1990
assay)	Mouse Pzh:SFIS (M)	0–125 mg/kg	50	Positive	Dobrzynska, 2007
	bone marrow, spleen, liver,	i.p.injection			
	kidney, lungs, testes				
	Mouse (wild-type or CYP2E1-	0, 25, 50 mg/kg-day	25	Positive (wild-type mice	Ghanayem et al.,
	null)	i.p injection, for		only)	2005b
	leukocytes, liver, lung (F)	5 days			
Oxidative DNA	Human hepatoma G2 cells	0–20 mM	5	Positive	Jiang et al., 2007
damage					

 Table B-1. Results of acrylamide mutagenicity testing

			HID or		
Assay	Test system ^a	Dose/Concentration	LED ^b	Result	Reference
In vitro UDS	Rat primary hepatocytes	5–20 mM	17.5	Weakly positive	Barfknecht et al., 1988
	Rat (F344) (M) primary hepatocytes	0.01–1 mM	1	Negative	Butterworth et al., 1992
	Human mammary epithelial cells	1–10 mM	1	Positive	Butterworth et al., 1992
In vivo⁄in vitro UDS	Rat (F344)(M) hepatocytes	1 × 100 mg/kg 5 × 30 mg/kg-day gavage	$\begin{array}{c} 1\times100\\ 5\times30\end{array}$	Negative	Butterworth et al., 1992
	Rat (F344)(M) spermatocytes	1 × 100 mg/kg 5 × 30 mg/kg-day gavage	5 × 30	Positive	Butterworth et al., 1992
In vivo UDS	Mouse $(C3H \times 101)F_1$ and $(C3H \times BL10)F_1$ (M) germ cells	7.8–125 mg/kg i.p. injection	7.8 Positive Sega	Sega et al., 1990	
In vitro DNA	Chinese hamster cells (V79)	0–2,000 µM	2,000	Positive	Martins et al., 2007
adducts	Mouse lymphoma cells L5178Y/TK ^{+/-}	0–20 mM	20	Negative	Mei et al., 2008b
	Big Blue mouse embryonic fibroblasts (with lambda phage cII transgene)	0, 0.0032 mM, 0.320 mM, 16 mM	0.0032	Positive	Besaratinia and Pfeifer, 2004
	Human bronchial epithelial cells (with lambda phage cII transgene)	0, 0.320 mM, 3.2 mM	0.320	Positive	Besaratinia and Pfeifer, 2004
In vivo DNA adducts	Mouse (C3H × BL10) F_1 testis	46 mg/kg i.p. injection	46	Positive	Sega et al., 1990
	Mouse (C3H × BL10) F_1 (M) liver	46 mg/kg i.p. injection	46	Positive	Sega et al., 1990
	Rat (Sprague-Dawley) liver, lung, kidney, brain, testis	46 mg/kg i.p. injection	46	Positive	Segerbäck et al., 1995
	Mouse (BALB/c) liver, kidney, brain	53 mg/kg i.p. injection	53	Positive	Segerbäck et al., 1995
	Neonatal mouse (B6C3F ₁) whole body	50 mg/kg i.p. injection	50	Positive	Gamboa da Costa et al., 2003

 Table B-1. Results of acrylamide mutagenicity testing

			HID or		
Assay	Test system ^a	Dose/Concentration	LED ^b	Result	Reference
	Mouse (C3H/HeNMTV) (M)	50 mg/kg	50	Positive	Gamboa da Costa et
	and (C57B1/CN) (F)	i.p. injection			al., 2003
	liver, lung, kidney				
	Mouse (C3H/HeNMTV) (M)	0–50 mg/kg	1	Positive	Gamboa da Costa et
	liver, lung	i.p. injection			al., 2003
	Mouse $(B6C3F_1)$	0, 0.14,	0.14	Positive	Von Tungeln et al.,
	lung, liver, spleen, bone marrow	0.70 mmol/kg			2009
		i.p. injection			
		postnatal days 1, 8,			
		15			
	Mouse $(B6C3F_1)$	0, 0.14,	0.14	Positive	Von Tungeln et al.,
	lung, liver, spleen, bone marrow	0.70 mmol/kg			2009
		i.p. injection post-			
		natal days 1–8			
	Mouse $(B6C3F_1)$ (M)	0–24 mg/kg-day for	0.125	Positive	Zeiger et al., 2009
	liver	28 days			
		Gavage			
	Mouse $(B6C3F_1)$ (M, F)	50 mg/kg	50	Positive	Doerge et al., 2005a
	liver, lung, kidney, leukocytes,	i.p.injection			
	testis				
		1 /1 1	1	D 1/1	D (1 2005
	Mouse $(B6C3F_1)$ (M, F)	l mg/kg-day	1	Positive	Doerge et al., 2005a
		drinking water	50	D. U	
	Rat (F344) (M, F)	50 mg/kg	50	Positive	Doerge et al., 2005a
	liver, brain, thyroid, leukocytes,	1.p.injection			
	mammary gland, testis	1 /1 1	1	D :::	D (1 2005
	Rat (F344) (M, F)	1 mg/kg-day	1	Positive	Doerge et al., 2005a
	liver	drinking water			
Sister chromatic	d exchange				
In vitro	Chinese hamster V79 cells	0.1-1 mg/mL	0.3	Positive at 0.3 mg/mL	Knaap et al., 1988
		± S9 activation		without S9 and 1.0 mg/mL	_
				with S9	
	Chinese hamster V79 cells	0.5–2.5 mM	1	Positive	Tsuda et al., 1993
		no activation used			

 Table B-1. Results of acrylamide mutagenicity testing

			HID or		
Assay	Test system ^a	Dose/Concentration	LED ^b	Result	Reference
	Chinese hamster V79 cells	0–2,000 µM	2,000	Positive	Martins et al., 2007
		no activation			
In vivo	Mouse (C57BL/6J) (M)	50–125 mg/kg	50	Positive	Backer et al., 1989
	spleen lymphocytes	i.p. injection			
	Mouse (C57BL/6) (M)	100 mg/kg	100	Positive	Kligerman et al., 1991
	splenocytes	i.p. injection			
	Mouse (BALB/c)	50–100 mg/kg	50	Positive	Russo et al., 1994
	differentiating spermatogonia				
Cell transformation	n				
	Mouse C3H/10T1/2 clone 8	25–200 µg/mL	50	Positive	Banerjee and Segal,
	cells				1986
	Mouse NIH/ $3T_3$ cells	2–200 µg/mL	0.0125	Positive	Banerjee and Segal,
					1986
	Mouse C3H/10T1/2 cells	0.01–0.3 mg/mL	0.3	Negative	Abernethy and
					Boreiko, 1987
	Mouse BALB/c 3T ₃ cells	0.5–2 mM	1	Positive	Tsuda et al., 1993
	Syrian hamster embryo cells	0.1–0.7 mM	0.5	Positive	Park et al., 2002
	Syrian hamster embryo cells	0.001–10 mM	10	Negative	Kaster et al., 1998
Germ cell effects					
Sperm head DNA	Mouse $(C3H \times 101)F_1$	125 mg/kg	125	Weakly positive	Sega et al., 1989
alkylation		i.p. injection			
Sperm head	Mouse $(C3H \times 101)F_1$	125 mg/kg	125	Positive	Sega et al., 1989
protamine		i.p. injection			
alkylation					
Sperm head	Mouse (ddY)	0.3–1.2 mM in	1.2	Positive	Sakamoto and
abnormalities		drinking water for			Hashimoto, 1986
		4 weeks			
Sperm aneuploidy	Mouse $(102/ElxC3H/ElF_1)$ (M)	0, 60, 120 mg/kg	120	Negative	Schmid et al., 1999
		i.p. injection			

 Table B-1. Results of acrylamide mutagenicity testing

 $^{a}M = male, F = female.$

^bHID, highest ineffective dose/concentration for negative tests; LED, lowest effective dose/concentration for positive tests.

APPENDIX C. DOSE-RESPONSE MODELING FOR DERIVING THE RfD

All available models in the EPA Benchmark Dose Software (BMDS version 1.3.2) were fit to incidence data for microscopically detected degenerative nerve changes in male and female F344 rats from the two 2-year drinking water studies (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986). The data that were modeled are shown below in Table C-1. The benchmark response predicted to affect 5% of the population (BMR₅) was selected for the point of departure. A BMR of 5% extra risk was selected for the following reasons (1) this effect level is considered to be a minimal biologically significant change given the critical effect of degenerative nerve changes; (2) the BMDL₅ remained near the range of observation; and (3) the 5% extra risk level is supportable given the relatively large number of animals used in the prinicipal studies.

Table C-1. Incidence data for degenerative changes detected by light microscopy in nerves of male and female F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for 2 years

		Dose (mg/kg-day)						
Reference	0	0	0.01	0.1	0.5	1.0	2.0	3.0
Johnson et al., 1986								
(incidence of rats with changes in tibial								
nerves: see Table 4-9)								
Males (moderate to severe)	9/60	_	6/60	12/60	13/60	_	16/60 ^b	_
Females (slight to moderate)	3/60	_	7/60	5/60	7/60	_	16/61 ^c	_
Friedman et al., 1995 ^a								
(incidence of rats with minimal to mild								
changes in sciatic nerves: see								
<i>Table 4-12)</i>								
Males	30/83	29/88	_	21/65	13/38	_	26/49 ^c	-
Females								
	7/37	2/43	_	_	_	2/20	_	38/86 ^c

^aTwo control groups were included in the study design to assess variability in background tumor responses. ^bStatistically significant trend test.

^cStatistically significantly different from control incidences.

All models provided adequate fits to the data for changes in tibial nerves in male and female rats in the Johnson et al. (1986) study, as assessed by a χ^2 goodness-of-fit test (see Tables C-2 and C-3 and following plots [Figures C-1 and C-2] of observed and predicted values from the various models). The log-logistic model provided the best fit for the male rat data as assessed by Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) and was thus selected to estimate a benchmark dose (BMD) from the Johnson et al. (1986) data. The probit model provided the best fit of the female rat data. Table C-4 lists the predicted doses associated with 10, 5, and 1% extra risk for nerve degeneration in female and male rats in the Johnson et al. (1986) study.

<u> </u>				
Model	BMD (ED ₁₀)	BMDL	$\chi^2 p$ -value	AIC
Log-logistic ^a	1.22	0.57	0.49	288.59
Gamma ^b	1.28	0.64	0.48	288.65
Multistage ^c	1.28	0.64	0.48	288.65
Quantal linear	1.28	0.64	0.48	288.65
Weibull ^b	1.28	0.64	0.48	288.65
Probit	1.45	0.87	0.45	288.85
Logistic	1.48	0.90	0.44	288.88
Quantal quadratic	1.75	1.19	0.34	289.57
Log-probit ^a	1.72	1.06	0.33	289.67

Table C-2. Predictions (mg/kg-day) from models for doses associated with a 10% extra risk for nerve degeneration in male rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water

^aSlope restricted to >1.

^bRestrict power ≥ 1 .

^cRestrict betas ≥ 0 , degree of polynomial = 4.

Source: Johnson et al. (1986).



Log-Logistic Model with 0.95 Confidence Level

Source: Johnson et al. (1986).

Figure C-1. Observed and predicted incidences for nerve changes in male rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for 2 years.

Table C-3. Predict	tions (mg/kg-da	ay) from model	is for doses asso	ociated with a
10% extra risk for	nerve degener	ation in female	e rats exposed t	o acrylamide
in drinking water				
	·	,		f

Model	BMD (ED ₁₀)	BMDL (LED ₁₀)	$\chi^2 p$ -value	AIC
Probit	1.19	0.88	0.62	220.68
Logistic	1.24	0.93	0.62	220.69
Quantal quadratic	1.40	1.07	0.59	220.92
Quantal linear	0.98	0.59	0.59	220.75
Log-probit ^a	1.31	0.91	0.59	220.94
Gamma ^b	1.10	0.60	0.41	222.69
Multistage ^c	1.19	0.60	0.41	222.68
Weibull ^b	1.11	0.60	0.41	222.69
Log-logistic ^a	1.10	0.54	0.41	222.69

^aSlope restricted to >1.

^bRestrict power ≥ 1 .

^cRestrict betas ≥ 0 , degree of polynomial = 3.

Source: Johnson et al. (1986).



Probit Model with 0.95 Confidence Level

Source: Johnson et al. (1986).

Figure C-2. Observed and predicted incidences for nerve changes in female rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for 2 years.

Model	BMD (ED ₁₀)	BMDL (LED ₁₀)	BMD (ED ₅)	BMDL (LED ₅)	BMD (ED ₁)	BMDL (LED ₁)	
Male							
Log-logistic	1.22	0.57	0.58	0.27	0.11	0.05	
Female							
Probit	1.19	0.88	0.67	0.49	0.15	0.11	

Table C-4. Predictions (mg/kg-day) from best-fitting models for doses associated with a 10, 5, and 1% extra risk for nerve degeneration in male and female rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water

Source: Johnson et al. (1986).

Several models in the software provided adequate fits to the data for minimal to mild changes in sciatic nerves in male and female rats in the Friedman et al. (1995) study, as assessed by a χ^2 goodness-of-fit test (see Tables C-5 and C-6 and following plots [Figures C-3 and C-4] of observed and predicted values from the best-fitting models). The quantal quadratic model provided the best fit to the male rat data as assessed by AIC and was selected to estimate a BMD. The BMD associated with a 10% extra risk for minimal to mild changes in sciatic nerves for male rats was 1.1 mg/kg-day and its lower 95% confidence limit (BMDL) was 0.8 mg/kg-day. Table C-7 lists the predicted doses associated with 10, 5, and 1% extra risk for sciatic nerve changes in female and male rats in the Friedman et al. (1995) study.

Model	BMD (ED ₁₀)	BMDL (LED ₁₀)	$\chi^2 p$ -value	AIC		
Quantal quadratic	1.11	0.82	0.96	422.84		
Logistic	0.73	0.46	0.89	423.15		
Probit	0.73	0.45	0.89	423.16		
Gamma ^a	1.30	0.37	0.86	424.82		
Multistage ^b	1.39	0.37	0.86	424.82		
Quantal linear	0.65	0.35	0.86	423.28		
Weibull ^a	1.38	0.13	0.86	424.82		
Log-logistic ^c	NA ^d					
Log-probit ^c		N	A			

Table C-5. Predictions (mg/kg-day) from models for doses associated with a 10% extra risk for sciatic nerve changes in male rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water

^aRestrict power ≥ 1 .

^bRestrict betas ≥ 0 , degree of polynomial = 4. ^cSlope restricted to >1.

 $^{d}NA =$ failed to generate a model.

Source: Friedman et al. (1995).



Quantal Quadratic Model with 0.95 Confidence Level

Source: Friedman et al. (1995).

Figure C-3. Observed and predicted incidences for nerve changes in male rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for 2 years.

Model	BMD (ED ₁₀)	BMDL (LED ₁₀)	$\chi^2 p$ -value	AIC		
Gamma ^a	2.48	0.93	0.25	224.85		
Multistage ^b	2.02	0.86	0.22	225.12		
Quantal quadratic	1.68	1.35	0.18	225.69		
Probit	1.20	0.88	0.11	226.92		
Logistic	1.23	0.91	0.11	226.85		
Quantal linear	1.04	0.65	0.09	227.46		
Weibull ^a	2.75	0.93	0.09	226.85		
Log-probit ^c	NA ^d					
Log-logistic ^c		N	A			

Table C-6. Predictions (mg/kg-day) from models for doses associated with a 10% extra risk for sciatic nerve changes in female rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water

^a = Restrict power ≥ 1 .

^b= Restrict betas ≥ 0 , degree of polynomial = 4.

^c = Slope restricted to >1.

 $^{d}NA =$ failed to generate a model.

Source: Friedman et al. (1995).



Source: Friedman et al. (1995).

Figure C-4. Observed and predicted incidences for nerve changes in female rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for 2 years.

Temate rates enposed to del grannae in drinning viater							
Model	BMD (ED ₁₀)	BMDL (LED ₁₀)	BMD (ED ₅)	BMDL (LED ₅)	BMD (ED ₁)	BMDL (LED ₁)	
Male							
Quantal quadratic	1.11	0.82	0.77	0.57	0.34	0.25	
Female							
Gamma ^a	2.48	0.93	2.25	0.46	1.86	0.09	

Table C-7. Predictions (mg/kg-day) from best-fitting models for doses associated with 10, 5, and 1% extra risk for sciatic nerve changes in male and female rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water

^aRestrict power ≥ 1 .

Source: Friedman et al. (1995).

APPENDIX D. DOSE-RESPONSE MODELING FOR CANCER

METHODS

Data: Tumor data from the 2-year bioassays with F344 rats (Friedman et al., 1995; Johnson et al., 1986) were modeled to obtain potential points of departure for deriving an oral slope factor and inhalation unit risk (Tables D-1 and D-2).

Table D-1. Incidence of tumors with statistically significant increases in the Friedman et al. (1995) bioassay with F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water

	Dose (mg/kg-day)						
Reference/tumor type	0	0	0.1	0.5	1.0	2.0	3.0
Friedman et al., 1995/males ^a							
Follicular cell adenoma/carcinoma	3/100	2/102 ^e	12/203	5/101	_	17/75 ⁱ	-
Tunica vaginalis mesothelioma ^b	4/102	4/102	9/204	8/102	_	13/75 ⁱ	_
Friedman et al., 1995/females ^a							
Follicular cell adenoma/carcinoma	1/50	1/50	_	_	10/100	-	23/100 ^j
Mammary malignant/benign ^c	7/46	4/50	_	-	21/94 ^j	-	30/95 ^j
Combined mammary or thyroid tumor ^d	8/46	$4/50^{f}$	-	-	27/94 ^{g,j}	-	48/95 ^{h,j}

^aTwo control groups were included in the study design to assess variability in background tumor responses. ^bIncidences reported herein are those originally reported by Friedman et al. (1995) and not in the reevaluation study by Iatropoulos et al. (1998).

^cIncidences of benign and adenocarcinoma were added herein, based on an assumption that rats assessed with adenocarcinoma were not also assessed with benign mammary gland tumors.

^dMammary tissue was not available for testing in four animals in one control group, six animals in the 1 mg/kg-day dose group and five animals in the 3 mg/kg-day dose group; these animals were not counted for either tumor type, and subtracted from the total number of animals in the group.

^eThe data reported in Table 4 in Friedman et al. (1995) lists one follicular cell adenoma in the second control group, however, the raw data obtained in the Tegeris Laboratories (1989) report (and used in the time-to-tumor analysis) listed no follicular cell adenomas in this group. The corrected number for adenomas (zero) and the total number (two) of combined adenomas and carcinomas in the second control group are used in the tables of this assessment. ^fOne animal had both a mammary and a thyroid tumor; these animals were only counted once in the combined total.

total. ^hFive animals had both a mammary and a thyroid tumor; these animals were only counted once in the combined total.

ⁱStatistically significant (p < 0.05). ^jStatistically significant (p < 0.001).

Source: Friedman et al. (1995).

Table D-2. Incidences of tumors with statistically significant increases in the Johnson et al. (1986) bioassay with F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water

	Dose (mg/kg-day)				
Tumor type	0	0.01	0.1	0.5	2.0
Males					
Thyroid (follicular cell) adenoma (no carcionomas found)	1/60	0/58	2/59	1/59	7/59 ^a
Tunica vaginalis mesothelioma	3/60	0/60	7/60	$11/60^{a}$	$10/60^{a}$
Pheochromocytomas, benign (adrenal)	3/60	7/59	7/60	5/60	10/60 ^a
Females					
Mammary gland adenocarcinoma	2/60	1/60	1/60	2/58	6/61
Mammary gland benign tumors (adenoma, fibroadenoma, or	10/60	11/60	9/60	19/58	23/61 ^a
fibroma)					
Mammary malignant + benign ^b	12/60	12/60	10/60	21/58	29/61
CNS tumors of glial origin	1/60	2/59	1/60	1/60	9/61 ^a
Thyroid (follicular cell) adenoma or adenocarcinoma	1/58	0/59	1/59	1/58	5/60 ^a
•					
Oral cavity, squamous cell carcinoma	0/60	0/60	0/60	2/60	1/61
Oral Cavity squamous papilloma	0/60	3/60	2/60	1/60	7/61 ^a
Oral cavity malignant + benign b	0/60	3/60	2/60	3/60	8/60
Uterus adenocarcinoma	1/60	2/60	1/60	0/59	5/60 ^a
Clitoral adenoma, benign	0/2	1/3	3/4	2/4	5/5 ^a
Pituitary gland adenoma	25/59	30/60	32/60	27/60	32/60 ^a

^aSignificantly different from control, p < 0.05, after Mantel-Haenszel mortality adjustment.

^bIncidences of benign and malignant tumors in these sites (mammary gland or oral cavity) were added herein, based on an assumption that rats assessed with malignant tumors were not also assessed with tumors.

Source: Johnson et al. (1986).

Adenoma and carcinoma incidences within each site were combined by counting animals with either of the responses, under the assumption that the tumor types represent different realizations along a continuum of effects resulting from the same mechanism, as recommended by the cancer guidelines (U.S. EPA, 2005a).

Extrapolation Models: When there are no biologically based models suitable for modeling the available data, EPA has generally used one dose-response model to promote consistency across cancer assessments. The multistage model (and the related multistage-Weibull model) has been used by EPA in the vast majority of quantitative cancer assessments because it is thought to reflect the multistage carcinogenic process and it fits a broad array of dose-response patterns. Occasionally the multistage model does not fit the available data, in which case an alternative model should be considered. The related multistage-Weibull model has been the preferred model when individual data are available for time-to-tumor modeling, which considers more of the observed response than does the simpler dichotomous response model.

The multistage model is given by:

$$P(d) = 1 - exp[-(q_0 + q_1d + q_2d^2 + \ldots + q_kd^k)],$$

where P(d) represents the lifetime risk (probability) of cancer at dose *d*, and q_i (for i = 0, 1, ..., 6) are parameters estimated in fitting the model. The multistage model in BMDS (U.S. EPA Benchmark Dose Software, version 1.3.2) was used for all multistage model fits.

The multistage-Weibull model is given by:

$$P(d,t) = 1 - exp[-(q_0 - q_1d - q_2d^2... - q_kd^k) (t - t_0)^j]$$

where P(d) represents the lifetime risk (probability) of cancer at dose d, t is the time to observation of the tumor, t_0 is the time from initiation of the tumor to the time it is observed, and j and q_i (for i = 0, 1, ..., 6) are parameters estimated in fitting the model. Most often there are not sufficient data to estimate t_0 , which would at least involve interim sacrifice data at multiple intervals. Without data which help identify times of tumor initiation from the concurrent study or other studies, t_0 is set to 0. The model was fit using the licensed software, MULTI-WEIB (KS Crump and Company, Ruston, LA).

RESULTS

Friedman et al. (1995) Female Rat Tumor Modeling. For mammary gland tumors (benign or malignant), the two female control groups were combined for modeling, obtaining incidences of 11/96, 21/94, and 30/95 for the 0, 1, and 3 mg/kg-day groups. A one-stage multistage model provided an adequate fit (p = 0.47) (see Figure D-1). The POD was based on 10% extra risk because this was the lowest level of extra risk that is consistent with the lower end of the observed data range. The BMD₁₀ was estimated to be 1.2 mg/kg-day, with a BMDL₁₀ of 0.78 mg/kg-day. For linear low-dose extrapolation, the slope factor associated with this site is 0.1/(0.78 mg/kg-day), or $0.13 (\text{mg/kg-day})^{-1}$ (see Table D-3).

For thyroid follicular cell adenomas or carcinomas, the two female control groups were combined for modeling, obtaining incidences of 2/100, 10/100, and 23/100 for the 0, 1, and 3 mg/kg-day groups. A one-stage multistage model provided an adequate fit (p = 0.90; see Figure D-2). The POD was based on 10% extra risk which represented the lowest extra risk consistent with the lower end of the observed data range. The BMD₁₀ was estimated to be 1.3 mg/kg-day, with a BMDL₁₀ of 0.94 mg/kg-day. For linear low-dose extrapolation, the slope factor associated with this site is 0.1/(0.94 mg/kg-day), or $0.11 (\text{mg/kg-day})^{-1}$ (see Table D-3).

D-3

Tumor site	BMD _R (mg/kg-day)	BMDL _R (mg/kg-day)	Rat Cancer Slope Factor ^a (mg/kg-day) ⁻¹
Mammary (benign and malignant)	1.2	0.78	0.13
Thyroid (adenomas and carcinomas)	1.3	0.94	0.11
Mammary or thyroid tumors (tumor-bearing animals)	1.2	0.88	0.23

Table D-3. Risk estimate derived from separate and combined incidence of mammary or thyroid tumors in female F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water

^a Rat Cancer Slope Factor is the upper bound on lifetime extra risk, calculated using R/BMDL_R, where R = 0.1 for mammary tumors or for thyroid tumors and 0.2 for the combination mammary or thyroid tumors.

Source: Friedman et al. (1995).

Despite a few early mortalities, there were no statistically significant incidences of early mortalities in female rats exposed to acrylamide. Consequently, it was judged that the multistage-Weibull model would not provide an appreciably different estimate of risk compared to the multistage model for either tumor site.

The rat cancer slope factors corresponding to mammary tumors and to follicular cell thyroid tumors in female F344 rats were very similar, 0.13 vs. $0.11 (mg/kg-day)^{-1}$. Given that there was more than one tumor site, basing the unit risk on one tumor site may underestimate the carcinogenic potential of acrylamide.

The EPA cancer guidelines (U.S. EPA, 2005a) suggest two approaches for calculating risks when there are multiple tumor sites in a data set to assess the total risk from multiple tumor sites. The simpler approach suggested in the cancer guidelines would be to estimate cancer risk from the combined incidence of tumor-bearing animals. EPA traditionally used this approach until the NRC (1994) *Science and Judgment* document made a case that evaluating tumor-bearing animals would tend to underestimate overall risk when tumor types occur in a statistically independent manner. The NRC-recommended an approach that adds distributions of the individual tumor incidence to obtain a distribution of the summed incidence for all tumor types. Both approaches were considered for this assessment.

Following the combined incidence approach, the combined incidence of female rats bearing thyroid or mammary tumors from exposure to acrylamide in the drinking water (Tegeris Laboratories, 1989) were considered for dose-response modeling. The data that were modeled are shown in Table D-1, with the control groups combined as above. A one-stage multistage model provided an adequate fit (p = 0.85) (see Figure D-3). The POD was based on 20% extra risk because this was the lowest level of extra risk that is consistent with the lower end of the observed data range, yielding a BMD₂₀ of 1.2 mg/kg-day and a BMDL₂₀ of 0.88 mg/kg-day. For linear low-dose extrapolation, the slope factor associated with this site is 0.2/(0.88 mg/kg-day), or 0.23 $(mg/kg-day)^{-1}$, approximately twofold higher than either of the risks estimated from the individual sites.

Following the other recommendation of the EPA cancer guidelines for summing risks from multiple tumor sites (U.S. EPA, 2005a; NRC, 1994), etiologically different tumor types that is, tumors in different organs—are not combined across sites prior to modeling, to allow for the possibility that different tumor types can have different dose-response relationships. Consequently, the modeling carried out separately for the two tumor types was used as a basis for estimating a statistically appropriate upper bound on total risk. Note that this estimate of overall risk describes the risk of developing any combination of the tumor types considered, not just the risk of developing both simultaneously. The estimate involved the following steps:

- 4. It was assumed that the tumor types associated with acrylamide exposure were statistically independent—that is, that the occurrence of mammary tumors was not dependent on whether there were thyroid follicular cell adenomas/carcinomas. This assumption cannot currently be verified and if not correct could lead to an overestimate of risk from summing across tumor sites. NRC (1994) argued that a general assumption of statistical independence of tumor-type occurrences within animals was not likely to introduce substantial error in assessing carcinogenic potency from rodent bioassay data.
- 5. The central tendency or maximum likelihood estimates of unit potency (i.e., risk per unit of exposure) were estimated by R/BMD_R, and the upper confidence limit on the unit risk estimated by R/BMDL_R.
- 6. The central tendency or maximum likelihood estimates of unit potency (i.e., risk per unit of exposure), estimated by R/BMD_R, were summed across the multiple sites for male or female F344 rats.
- An estimate of the 95% upper bound on the summed unit risk was calculated by assuming a normal distribution for the individual risk estimates, and deriving the variance of the risk estimate for each tumor site from its 95% upper confidence limit (UCL), according to the formula

95% UCL = MLE + $(1.645 \times SD)$

where 1.645 is the t-statistic corresponding to a one-sided 95% confidence interval and >120 degrees of freedom, and the standard deviation (SD) is the square root of the variance of the MLE. The variances were summed across tumor sites to obtain the variance of the sum of the MLE. The 95% UCL on the sum of the individual MLEs was calculated from the variance of the sum of the Sum of the MLE.

Table D-4 lists the site-specific risk estimates derived via multistage model extrapolation to low exposures and the summed risks for female rats. First note that the individual unit risks are virtually the same as those estimated using the POD approach above. Specifically, the model-extrapolated slope factor for mammary tumors is $0.14 \text{ (mg/kg-day)}^{-1}$ compared with $0.13 \text{ (mg/kg-day)}^{-1}$ using the POD approach (Table D-2), and both methods lead to the same slope factor for thyroid tumors, $0.11 \text{ (mg/kg-day)}^{-1}$.

There is some potential for greater model uncertainty in the model-extrapolated estimates because it is unknown whether the multistage model adequately characterizes the underlying dose-response relationship in this low-exposure range; however, it appears to be minimal for these data. Consequently, the multistage model extrapolations introduce little additional uncertainty into summing risks across these tumor sites.

The resulting 95% UCL on the summed risk of mammary tumors or thyroid follicular cell adenomas/carcinomas for female F344 rats was $0.21 \text{ (mg/kg-day)}^{-1}$, and the summed central tendency was $0.16 \text{ (mg/kg-day)}^{-1}$, about a 1.3-fold difference (Table D-4). The estimated risk for mammary tumors was more variable, contributing about 70% of the overall variability in the summed risk. As was the case with the tumor-bearing approach, the summed upper bound risk is nearly twofold higher than either of the individual risks. For these data, the two approaches yield very similar results.

Table D-4. Risk estimates derived from separate and summed dose-response modeling of mammary and thyroid tumors in female F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water

Tumor site	BMD _R (mg/kg-day)	BMDL _R (mg/kg-day)	Central tendency oral potency ^a (mg/kg-day) ⁻¹	Upper bound on lifetime extra risk (mg/kg-day) ⁻¹
Mammary (benign and malignant)	1.2	0.78	$8.3 imes 10^{-2}$	0.13
Thyroid (adenomas and carcinomas)	1.3	0.94	$7.7 imes10^{-2}$	0.11
Risk of either mammary or thyre	oid tumors		0.16	0.21 ^b

^aCentral tendency oral potency = R/BMD_R , where R = .1. The combined central tendency risk is the sum of the individual oral potencies.

^bThe rat cancer slope factor for the combination of tumor sites is the 95% UCL on the sum of the central tendency unit potencies, not the sum of the individual slope factors; see the preceding text for derivation. This rat cancer slope factor should not be used with exposures greater than 3 mg/kg-day, because above this level the dose-response relationship is likely to be nonlinear.

Data Source: Friedman et al. (1995).

Friedman et al. (1995) Male Rat Tumor Modeling. As was done with the female rat control groups, the two male rat control groups were combined into one control group: 5/202 males had thyroid follicular cell adenomas or carcinomas, and 8/202 had tunica vaginalis mesotheliomas.
Because male rats in the highest dose group in the Friedman et al. (1995) study showed early mortalities, models that adjusted for early mortality were fit to the data for tunica vaginalis mesotheliomas and thyroid follicular cell adenomas and carcinoma. Pathology reports for individual rats in the study (Tegeris Laboratories, 1989) were examined to extract time-to-death and tumor occurrence data for each animal. Outputs from the computer program follow.

For TVM, MULTI-WEIB provided a model fit with a one-degree polynomial. The dose associated with 10% extra risk (ED₁₀) at 108 weeks (i.e., full lifetime) was 1.2 mg/kg-day, with a lower 95% confidence limit (LED₁₀) of 0.75 mg/kg-day. For linear low-dose extrapolation, the slope factor associated with this site, using the POD approach, is 0.1/(0.75 mg/kg-day), or 0.13 (mg/kg-day)⁻¹ (see Table D-5).

For thyroid follicular cell adenomas or carcinomas, MULTI-WEIB provided a model fit with a one-degree polynomial. The dose associated with 10% extra risk (ED_{10}) at 108 weeks (i.e., full lifetime) was 0.71 mg/kg-day, with an LED_{10} of 0.45 mg/kg-day. For linear low-dose extrapolation, the slope factor associated with this site, using the POD approach, is 0.1/(0.45 mg/kg-day), or 0.22 (mg/kg-day)⁻¹ (see Table D-5).

			Rat Cancer Slope
			Factor
	BMD_{R}^{a}	BMDL _R ^a	(risk level/BMDL)
Incidence modeled	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day) ⁻¹
TVM	1.2	0.75	$1.3 imes10^{-1}$
Follicular cell thyroid tumors	0.71	0.45	$2.2 imes10^{-1}$
TVM or thyroid tumors ^b	0.70	0.30	3.3×10^{-1}

 Table D-5. Risk estimates for separate and combined incidence of TVMs or

 thyroid tumors in male rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water

 ${}^{a}R = 10\%$ extra risk.

^bTumor-bearing animal method: Individual rats that had more than one of the tumor types were counted only once (see Table D-1 for incidences). For the NRC (1994) approach, the slope factor was 0.34 (see discussion below).

Data Source: Friedman et al. (1995).

The first recommended method in the EPA cancer guidelines for assessing total risk from multiple tumor sites (U.S. EPA, 2005a; NRC, 1994) does not combine data from etiologically different tumor types prior to modeling to allow for the possibility that different tumor types can have different dose-response relationships. Note that the multistage-Weibull model yielded distinctly different values of j, the parameter that describes the relationship of incidence with increasing age, for the two tumor sites. For TVM, j was 1, indicating no difference between the groups regarding incidence increasing with increasing age. For thyroid tumors, j was 3.7, indicating relatively greater tumor incidence with increasing exposure as age increases. Consequently, keeping the dose-response assessments separate maintains a better

correspondence with the observed biological events. The risks from the individual sites were summed using the statistical approach as described for female rats above.

Table D-6 lists the site-specific risk estimates derived via multistage-Weibull model extrapolation to low exposures, and the summed risks. First note that these individual unit risks are virtually the same as those estimated using the POD approach above. Specifically, the model-extrapolated slope factor for TVM is 0.14 (mg/kg-day)⁻¹ compared with 0.13 (mg/kg-day)⁻¹, using the POD approach (Table D-5), and the model-extrapolated factor for thyroid tumors is 0.23 (mg/kg-day)⁻¹ compared with 0.22 (mg/kg-day)⁻¹, using the POD approach (Table D-5). While there is some potential for greater model uncertainty in the model-extrapolated estimates, because it is unknown whether the multistage model adequately characterizes the underlying dose-response relationship in this low-exposure range, it appears to be minimal for these data. Consequently, the multistage model extrapolations introduce little additional uncertainty into summing risks across these tumor sites.

Table D-6. Risk estimates derived from modeling separate and summed incidence of TVM and thyroid tumors in male F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water

			Central tendency	Rat Cancer Slope
	BMD _R	BMDL _R	oral potency ^a	Factor
Tumor site	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day) ⁻¹	(mg/kg-day) ⁻¹
TVM	1.2	0.75	$8.3 imes10^{-2}$	0.13
Thyroid				
(adenomas and carcinomas)	0.71	0.45	0.14	0.22
Risk of either TVM or thyroid	tumors	0.22	0.32 ^b	

^aCentral tendency oral potency = R/BMD_R , where R = .1. The combined central tendency risk is the sum of the individual oral potencies.

^bThe rat cancer slope factor for the combination of tumor sites is the 95% upper bound on lifetime extra risk (UCL) on the sum of the central tendency unit potencies, not the sum of the individual slope factors; see the preceding text for derivation. This rat cancer slope factor should not be used with exposures greater than 2 mg/kg-day, because above this level the dose-response relationship is likely to be nonlinear.

Source: Friedman et al. (1995).

The resulting 95% UCL on the summed risk of TVM or thyroid follicular cell adenomas/carcinomas for male F344 rats was $0.32 \text{ (mg/kg-day)}^{-1}$, and the summed central tendency was $0.22 \text{ (mg/kg-day)}^{-1}$, about a 1.4-fold difference (Table D-6). The estimated risk for thyroid tumors was the more variable, contributing about 73% of the overall variability in the summed risk. The upper bound on the summed risks is about 1.4-fold higher than the risk of thyroid tumors alone, the higher of the two individual risks.

Based on the analyses discussed above, the recommended upper bound estimate on rat extra cancer risk from continuous, lifetime oral exposure to acrylamide is $0.3 (mg/kg-day)^{-1}$,

rounding the summed risk for male rats above to one significant digit.¹⁰ The slope factor can be used to estimate cancer risks from doses up to approximately 2.0 mg/kg-day due to the approximate linear dose-response throughout the observable range. This slope factor should not be used with exposures greater than 2.0 mg/kg-day, the highest exposure in the male rat bioassay, because above this level the cancer dose-response relationships are not likely to continue linearly, and there are no data to indicate where this nonlinearity would begin to occur.

As in most risk assessments, extrapolation of study data to estimate potential risks to human populations from exposure to acrylamide has engendered some uncertainty in the results. The uncertainty falls into two major categories: model uncertainty and parameter uncertainty. Model uncertainty refers to a lack of knowledge needed to determine which is the correct scientific theory on which to base a model, whereas parameter uncertainty refers to a lack of knowledge about the values of a model's parameters (U.S. EPA, 2005a). In the absence of a biologically based model, a multistage model was the preferred model because it has some concordance with the multistage theory of carcinogenesis and serves as a benchmark for comparison with other cancer dose-response analyses. That said, it is unknown how well this model or the linear low-dose extrapolation predicts low-dose risks for acrylamide. Also, while the female rats did not appear to have as strong a carcinogenic response as the male rats, it is not known which gender is more relevant for extrapolation of risk to humans.

Parameter uncertainty can be assessed through confidence intervals and probabilistic analysis. Each description of parameter uncertainty assumes that the underlying model and associated assumptions are valid. Uncertainty in the animal dose-response data can be assessed through the ratio of BMDs to their BMDLs. For the tumor sites evaluated here, the ratios were below a factor of 2, which is typical in similarly designed bioassays.

Johnson et al. (1986) F344 Rat Tumor Modeling. Data for tumors with statistically significant increases in the Johnson et al. (1986) drinking water bioassays were modeled to derive potential PODs for an oral slope factor and inhalation unit risk. For males, the tumor types were tunica vaginalis mesotheliomas, thyroid follicular cell (adenoma/carcinoma), and adrenal pheochromocytomas. For females, the tumor types were mammary gland tumors (malignant and benign combined), thyroid follicular cell (adenoma/carcinoma), CNS tumors of glial origin, and oral cavity tumors (malignant and benign combined). The data for uterine adenocarcinomas and pituitary gland adenomas were not analyzed because the statistical

¹⁰ For comparison, the tumor-bearing animal approach applied to the combined incidence of thyroid or TVM tumors (see Table D-1 for data) led to a multistage-Weibull model with a three-stage polynomial, and j = 5.4. The dose associated with a 10% extra risk (ED₁₀) at 108 weeks (i.e., full lifetime) was 0.70 mg/kg-day, with an LED₁₀ of 0.30 mg/kg-day (see the last output). For linear low-dose extrapolation, the slope factor associated with this combination, using the point of departure approach, is 0.1/(0.30 mg/kg-day), or 0.33 per mg/kg-day, virtually identical to that estimated above using a method consistent with the NRC (1994) recommendation.

significance of the elevated incidences in the high-dose group was only demonstrated after Mantel-Haenszel mortality adjustment (Table D-2). The data for clitoral adenomas were not analyzed because the number of tissues examined in each group was small (\leq 5, Table D-2).

The tumor data for each sex and tumor site were fit with the multistage model to estimate the BMD and the 95% lower confidence limit on the BMD, the BMDL. Because individual animal data were not available for the time of death from the Johnson et al. (1986) bioassay, no adjustments or special modeling was done for early mortalities.

The POD results for separate modeling of the female mammary, thyroid, CNS, and oral cavity tumor incidence data are presented in Table D-7. In addition, rat cancer slope factors for the summed risks for these tumor types were calculated using the method described above, and are presented in Table D-7. Table D-8 shows the calculations for summing risks across tumor sites in the female rats.

Table D-7. Risk estimates derived from separate incidence of mammary, thyroid, CNS, or oral cavity tumors in female F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water

Tumor site	BMD ₁₀ (mg/kg-day)	BMDL ₁₀ (mg/kg-day)	Slope factor ^a (mg/kg-day) ⁻¹
Mammary (benign and malignant)	0.44	0.30	0.34
Thyroid follicular cell (adenomas and carcinomas)	2.93	1.47	0.07
CNS tumors of glial origin	1.80	1.03	0.10
Oral cavity (malignant and benign)	1.80	0.99	0.10

^a Rat Cancer Slope factor is the upper bound on lifetime extra risk, calculated using $R/BMDL_R$, where R = 0.1. Data Source: Johnson et al. (1986).

Tumor site	BMR	OSF ^a	OSF ^b	t-	Standard	σ^2		
		(central	(upper bound)	statistic	deviation			
		tendency)	(mg/kg-day) ⁻¹					
	0.1	(mg/kg-day)			1	4 44 4 9-3		
Mammary	0.1	2.3×10^{-1}	3.4×10^{-1}	1.645	6.79×10^{-2}	4.61×10^{-3}		
Thyroid	0.1	3.4×10^{-2}	6.8×10^{-2}	1.645	2.06×10^{-2}	4.25×10^{-4}		
$C = 1.4$ 10^{-3} (Σ^{-2})								
Cumulative Variance $5.04 \times 10^{-3} (\Sigma \sigma^2)$								
Cumulative standard c	leviation		$7.10 \times 10^{-1} (\sqrt{2}\sigma^{-1})$	u >-1				
Sum of central tenden	cy risks		2.6×10^{-1} (mg/kg-0	$(ay)^{-1}$				
$5.8 \times 10^{\circ} (\text{mg/kg-uay})$								
Marriera	0.1	2.2×10^{-1}	2.4×10^{-1}	1 6 4 5	$(70) \times 10^{-2}$	4.61×10^{-3}		
Thurnaid	0.1	2.3×10^{-2}	5.4×10	1.045	0.79×10 2.06 × 10 ⁻²	4.01×10 4.25×10^{-4}		
Inyroid	0.1	5.4×10^{-2}	0.8×10 0.7 × 10 ⁻²	1.045	2.00×10 2.52 × 10 ⁻²	4.25×10		
CINS	0.1	3.0×10	9.7 × 10	1.043	2.32 × 10	0.57 × 10		
Cumulative Variance			$5.67 \times 10^{-3} (\Sigma \sigma^2)$					
Cumulative standard d	leviation	,	$7.53 \times 10^{-2} (\sqrt{\Sigma \sigma^2})$					
Sum of central tenden	ev risks		3.2×10^{-1} (mg/kg-d	$(av)^{-1}$				
Upper bound on cumu	lative risk	2	1.2×10^{-1} (mg/kg-d	$(ay)^{-1}$				
opper bound on earlie	indive fisk			uy)				
Mammary	0.1	$2.3 imes 10^{-1}$	3.4×10^{-1}	1.645	6.79×10^{-2}	4.61×10^{-3}		
Thyroid	0.1	3.4×10^{-2}	$6.8 imes 10^{-2}$	1.645	2.06×10^{-2}	$4.25 imes 10^{-4}$		
CNS	0.1	5.6×10^{-2}	9.7×10^{-2}	1.645	2.52×10^{-2}	$6.37 imes 10^{-4}$		
Oral cavity	0.1	5.6×10^{-2}	$1.0 imes 10^{-1}$	1.645	2.76×10^{-2}	$7.64 imes 10^{-4}$		
~		•	•	•				
Cumulative Variance			$6.44 imes 10^{-3} (\Sigma \sigma^2)$					
Cumulative standard d	leviation	1	$8.02 \times 10^{-2} (\sqrt{\Sigma \sigma^2})$					
Sum of central tenden	cy risks		3.7×10^{-1} (mg/kg-d	lay) ⁻¹				
Upper bound on cumu	lative risk	4	$5.0 \times 10^{-1} (\text{mg/kg-d})$	ay) ⁻¹				

Table D-8. Calculation of summed risks for tumors at several sites in female F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water in the Johnson et al. (1986) bioassay

^a Derived by dividing the BMR (0.1) by the BMD₁₀.

^b Derived by dividing the BMR (0.1) by the BMDL₁₀

The POD results for separate modeling of the male tunica vaginalis, thyroid, and adrenal tumor incidence data are presented in Table D-9. In addition, rat cancer slope factors for summed risks for these tumor types were calculated, and are presented in Table D-9. Table D-10 shows the calculations for summing risks across tumor sites in the male rats.

Tumor site	BMD ₁₀ (mg/kg-day)	BMDL _R (mg/kg-day)	Rat Cancer Slope Factor ^a (mg/kg-day) ⁻¹
TVM ^b	0.27	0.16	0.61
Thyroid (adenomas and carcinomas)	2.04	1.12	0.09
Adrenal pheochromo-cytoma	2.55	1.08	0.09

 Table D-9. Risk estimates derived from separate incidence of TVM, thyroid tumors in male F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water

A Rat Cancer Slope Factor is the upper bound on lifetime extra risk, calculated using R/BMDL_R, where R = 0.1. b An adequate fit could not be achieved using the full dataset, however, dropping the highest dose did produce an adequate fit to the data with the l degree polynomial model (χ^2 goodness of fit value = 0.08).

Data Source: Johnson et al. (1986).

Table D-10. Calculation of summed risks for tumors at several sites in male
F344 rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water in the Johnson et al.
(1986) bioassay

	ussay							
Tumor site	BMR	OSF ^a	OSF ^b	t-	Standard	σ ²		
		(central	(upper bound)	statistic	deviation			
		tendency)	(mg/kg-day) ⁻¹					
		(mg/kg-day) ⁻¹						
TVM	0.1	3.7×10^{-1}	$6.1 imes 10^{-1}$	1.645	$1.48 imes 10^{-1}$	2.18×10^{-2}		
Thyroid	0.1	4.9×10^{-2}	$8.9 imes 10^{-2}$	1.645	2.45×10^{-2}	$5.99 imes10^{-4}$		
Cumulative Variance			$2.24 \times 10^{-2} (\Sigma \sigma^2)$					
Cumulative standard of	leviation		$1.50 \times 10^{-1} (\sqrt{\Sigma \sigma^2})$					
Sum of central tenden	cy risks		4.2×10^{-1} (mg/kg-c	lay) ⁻¹				
Upper bound on cumu	lative risk		$6.7 \times 10^{-1} (\text{mg/kg-day})^{-1}$					
TVM	0.1	3.7×10^{-1}	6.1×10^{-1}	1.645	1.48×10^{-1}	2.18×10^{-2}		
Thyroid	0.1	4.9×10^{-2}	$8.9 imes 10^{-2}$	1.645	2.45×10^{-2}	$5.99 imes 10^{-4}$		
Adrenal	0.1	3.9×10^{-2}	$9.3 imes 10^{-1}$	1.645	3.24×10^{-2}	$1.05 imes 10^{-3}$		
Cumulative Variance			$2.35 \times 10^{-2} (\Sigma \sigma^2)$					
Cumulative standard deviation			$1.53 imes 10^{-1} (\sqrt{\Sigma} \sigma^2)$					
Sum of central tenden		$4.6 \times 10^{-1} (\text{mg/kg-day})^{-1}$						
Upper bound on cumu	lative risk		7.1×10^{-1} (mg/kg-c	lay) ⁻¹				
				•				

^a Derived by dividing the BMR (0.1) by the BMD₁₀.

^b Derived by dividing the BMR (0.1) by the BMDL₁₀

DATA PRINTOUTS FOR BMD MODELING FOR THE FRIEDMAN ET AL. (1995) TUMOR DATA SETS

FEMALE RATS, MALIGNANT AND BENIGN MAMMARY TUMORS, ACRYLAMIDE DATA SOURCE: Tegeris Laboratories, 1989

```
Multistage Model. (Version: 2.5; Date: 10/17/2005)
      Input Data File: G:\_BMDS\PCE\ACRYLAMIDE_FRIEDMAN_F.(d)
      Gnuplot Plotting File: G:\_BMDS\PCE\ACRYLAMIDE_FRIEDMAN_F.plt
                                           Mon Jun 05 11:32:19 2006
 _____
BMDS MODEL RUN
The form of the probability function is:
  P[response] = background + (1-background)*[1-EXP(
             -beta1*dose^1-beta2*dose^2)]
  The parameter betas are restricted to be positive
  Dependent variable = mamm
  Independent variable = mg_kg_d
Total number of observations = 3
Total number of records with missing values = 0
Total number of parameters in model = 3
 Total number of specified parameters = 0
Degree of polynomial = 2
Maximum number of iterations = 250
Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 1e-008
Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1e-008
             Default Initial Parameter Values
               Background = 0.131573
                 Beta(1) = 0.0827018
                             0
                 Beta(2) =
        Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates
       ( *** The model parameter(s) -Beta(2)
             have been estimated at a boundary point, or have been specified by the user,
             and do not appear in the correlation matrix )
         Background Beta(1)
Background 1 -0.71
  Beta(1) -0.71
                          1
                       Parameter Estimates

        Sp. U% Wald Contidence Interval

        Variable
        Estimate
        Std. Err.
        Lower Conf. Limit
        Upper Conf. Limit

        Background
        0.124597
        0.0835445
        -0.0391471
        0.288342

        Beta(1)
        0.0887157
        0.0565531
        -0.0221264
        0.199558

        Beta(2)
        0
        NA
        -0.0221264
        0.199558

                                         95.0% Wald Confidence Interval
```

Beta(2)

NA - Indicates that this parameter has hit a bound implied by some inequality constraint and thus has no standard error.

Analysis of Deviance Table

Model	Log(likelihood)	# Param	's Deviance	Test	d.f.	P-value
Full model	L -143.354	3				
Fitted model	-143.609	2	0.51136	1	0.	4746
Reduced mode	el -149.278	1	11.8483	2	0.	002674

AIC: 291.219

	(Goodness of	Fit		
			S	caled	
Dose	EstProb.	Expected	Observed	Size	Residual
0.0000	0.1246	11.961	11	96	-0.297
1.0000	0.1989	18.698	21	94	0.595
3.0000	0.3292	31.270	30	95	-0.277

Chi^2 = 0.52 d.f. = 1 P-value = 0.4713

Benchmark Dose Computation

Specified effect = 0.1	Specified effect = 0.0001
Risk Type = Extra risk	Risk Type = Extra risk
Confidence level = 0.95	Confidence level = 0.95
BMD = 1.18762	BMD = 0.00112725
BMDL = 0.776448	BMDL = 0.000736981
Specified effect = 1e-005	Specified effect = 1e-006
Risk Type = Extra risk	Risk Type = Extra risk
Confidence level = 0.95	Confidence level = 0.95
BMD = 0.00011272	BMD = 1.1272e-005
BMDL = 7.36948e-005	BMDL = 1.12717e-005



Multistage Model with 0.95 Confidence Level

Source: Friedman et al. (1995).

Figure D-1. Observed and predicted incidences for mammary gland tumors in female rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for 2 years.

FEMALE RATS, THYROID FOLLICULAR CELL ADENOMAS OR CARCINOMAS, ACRYLAMIDE DATA SOURCE: Tegeris Laboratories, 1989

```
Multistage Model. (Version: 2.5; Date: 10/17/2005)
    Input Data File: G:\_BMDS\PCE\ACRYLAMIDE_FRIEDMAN_F.(d)
     Gnuplot Plotting File: G:\_BMDS\PCE\ACRYLAMIDE_FRIEDMAN_F.plt
                                   Mon Jun 05 11:38:01 2006
_____
BMDS MODEL RUN
The form of the probability function is:
 P[response] = background + (1-background)*[1-EXP(
         -beta1*dose^1-beta2*dose^2)]
 The parameter betas are restricted to be positive
 Dependent variable = thyroid
 Independent variable = mg_kg_d
Total number of observations = 3
Total number of records with missing values = 0
Total number of parameters in model = 3
Total number of specified parameters = 0
Degree of polynomial = 2
Maximum number of iterations = 250
Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 1e-008
Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1e-008
          Default Initial Parameter Values
            Background = 0.0220015
             Beta(1) = 0.0800466
             Beta(2) =
                          0
      Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates
      ( *** The model parameter(s) -Beta(2)
          have been estimated at a boundary point, or have been specified by the user,
          and do not appear in the correlation matrix )
       Background
                  Beta(1)
Background
            1
                    -0.71
                      1
 Beta(1)
            -0.71
                  Parameter Estimates
                                95.0% Wald Confidence Interval
              Estimate Std. Err. Lower Conf. Limit Upper Conf. Limit
    Variable
             0.0204321 0.0851609
0.0813062 0.0507808
                           0.0851609 -0.14648 0.187344
0.0507808 -0.0182223 0.180835
   Background
     Beta(1)
     Beta(2)
                    0
                             NA
```

NA - Indicates that this parameter has hit a bound implied by some inequality constraint and thus has no standard error.

Analysis of Deviance Table

Model	Log(likelihood)	# Param	's Deviance	Test	d.f.	P-value
Full model	-96.2398	3				
Fitted model	-96.2474	2	0.0150352	1	0	.9024
Reduced mode	el -108.069	1	23.6586	2	<.(0001

AIC: 196.495

	(Goodness of	Fit				
				Sca	led		
Dose	EstProb.	Expected	Obser	ved	Size	Residual	
							-
0.0000	0.0204	2.043	2	100	-0.03	1	
1.0000	0.0969	9.693	10	100	0.1	04	
3.0000	0.2325	23.246	23	10	0 -0.	058	

Chi² = 0.02 d.f. = 1 P-value = 0.9021

Benchmark Dose Computation

Specified effect = 0.1	Specified effect = 1e-005
Risk Type = Extra risk	Risk Type = Extra risk
Confidence level = 0.95	Confidence level = 0.95
BMD = 1.29585	BMD = 0.000122993
BMDL = 0.941045	BMDL = 8.93171e-005
Specified effect = 0.0001	Specified effect = 1e-006
Risk Type = Extra risk	Risk Type = Extra risk
Confidence level = 0.95	Confidence level = 0.95
BMD = 0.00122998	BMD = 1.22992e-005
BMDL = 0.000893211	BMDL = 1.21663e-005



Multistage Model with 0.95 Confidence Level

Source: Friedman et al. (1995).

Figure D-2: Observed and predicted incidences for thyroid tumors in female rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for 2 years.

FEMALE RATS, MAMMARY OR THYROID FOLLICULAR CELL TUMORS, ACRYLAMIDE DATA SOURCE: Tegeris Laboratories, 1989

```
Multistage Model. (Version: 2.5; Date: 10/17/2005)
    Input Data File: G:\_BMDS\PCE\ACRYLAMIDE_FRIEDMAN_F.(d)
    Gnuplot Plotting File: G:\_BMDS\PCE\ACRYLAMIDE_FRIEDMAN_F.plt
                                  Wed Jun 14 12:51:00 2006
_____
BMDS MODEL RUN
The form of the probability function is:
 P[response] = background + (1-background)*[1-EXP(
         -beta1*dose^1-beta2*dose^2)]
 The parameter betas are restricted to be positive
 Dependent variable = com
 Independent variable = mg_kg_d
Total number of observations = 3
Total number of records with missing values = 0
Total number of parameters in model = 3
Total number of specified parameters = 0
Degree of polynomial = 2
Maximum number of iterations = 250
Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 1e-008
Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1e-008
          Default Initial Parameter Values
           Background = 0.130609
             Beta(1) = 0.188994
             Beta(2) =
                          0
      Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates
      ( *** The model parameter(s) -Beta(2)
          have been estimated at a boundary point, or have been specified by the user,
          and do not appear in the correlation matrix )
       Background
                 Beta(1)
Background
            1
                    -0.67
                      1
 Beta(1)
            -0.67
                  Parameter Estimates
                                95.0% Wald Confidence Interval
              Estimate Std. Err. Lower Conf. Limit Upper Conf. Limit
    Variable
             0.127194 0.0836998 -0.0368541 0.29124
0.192236 0.0612613 0.0721662 0.312306
   Background
                                                        0.291243
    Beta(1)
    Beta(2)
                   0
                             NA
```

NA - Indicates that this parameter has hit a bound implied by some inequality constraint and thus has no standard error.

Analysis of Deviance Table

Model	Log(likelihood)	# Para	m's Deviance	Test	d.f.	P-value
Full model	-158.381	3				
Fitted model	-158.4	2	0.0370709	1	0.	8473
Reduced mode	1 -175.349	1	33.9343	2	<.	0001

AIC: 320.8

		Goodness of	Fit		
			S	caled	
Dose	EstProb.	Expected	Observed	Size	Residual
0.0000	0.1272	12.211	12	96	-0.065
1.0000	0.2798	26.305	27	94	0.160
3.0000	0.5097	48.422	48	95	-0.087

Chi^2 = 0.04 d.f. = 1 P-value = 0.8471

Benchmark Dose Computation

Specified effect = 0.2 Risk Type = Extra risk Confidence level = 0.95 BMD = 1.16078 BMDL = 0.88194



Multistage Model with 0.95 Confidence Level

Source: Friedman et al. (1995).

Figure D-3: Observed and predicted incidences for mammary or thyroid tumors in female rats exposed to acrylamide in drinking water for 2 years.

MALE RAT, TUNICA VAGINALIS MESOTHELIOMA, ACRYLAMIDE WITH INDUCTION TIME ESTIMATED (TIME UNIT = WEEKS)

DATA SOURCE: Tegeris Laboratories, 1989

DATE: 06-07-03 TIME: 18:11:17 MULTI-WEIB (MAR 1985) (C) COPYRIGHT CLEMENT ASSOCIATES, INC. 1983-1987 K.S. CRUMP & COMPANY, INC. 1201 GAINES STREET RUSTON, LA 71270 (318) 255-4800

THE 36 OBSERVATIONS AT LEVEL 1 WITH A DOSE OF .000000

	TU	MOF	R		TUMO	R	
TIME	#	I	INDICATOR		TIME	#	INDICATOR
41.0	1	1	58.0	1	1		
69.0	1	1	73.0	2	1		
74.0	1	1	76.0	2	1		
77.0	2	1	78.0	2	1		
79.0	1	1	82.0	2	1		
85.0	1	1	87.0	2	1		
88.0	1	1	89.0	3	1		
90.0	5	1	91.0	5	1		
93.0	3	1	94.0	1	1		
95.0	3	1	96.0	1	2		
96.0	2	1	97.0	1	1		
98.0	1	1	99.0	1	2		
99.0	9	1	100.0	3	1		
101.0	3	1	102.0	3	1		
103.0	12	1	104.0	9	1		
105.0	4	1	106.0	1	71		
107.0	4	2	107.0	5	71		
108.0	2	2	108.0	30	61		

THE 46 OBSERVATIONS AT LEVEL 2 WITH A DOSE OF .100000

TUMOR						TUMOR	
TIME	#	II	NDICATOR		TIME	#	INDICATOR
46.0	1	1	61.0	1	1		
63.0	1	1	65.0	1	1		
67.0	1	1	68.0	1	1		
72.0	1	1	76.0	1	2		
78.0	2	1	79.0	1	1		
80.0	1	1	81.0	1	1		
82.0	3	1	83.0	2	1		
84.0	1	1	85.0	2	1		
86.0	2	1	87.0	3	1		
89.0	1	1	90.0	2	1		
91.0	1	1	92.0	1	1		
93.0	1	2	93.0	1	1		
94.0	4	1	95.0	1	1		
96.0	3	1	97.0	1	2		
97.0	2	1	98.0	1	2		
98.0	5	1	99.0	2	1		

100.0	1	2	100.0	3	1	
101.0	2	1	102.0	1	2	
102.0	5	1	103.0	11	1	
104.0	10	1	105.0	6	1	
106.0	1	2	106.0	15	1	
107.0	1	2	107.0	57	1	
108.0	1	2	108.0	38	1	

THE 26 OBSERVATIONS AT LEVEL 3 WITH A DOSE OF .500000

	TUN	NOR				TUMOR	
TIME	#	INDIC	CATOR	Т	IME	#	INDICATOR
2.0	1	1	32.0	1	1		
49.0	1	1	72.0	1	1		
77.0	1	1	78.0	1	2		
78.0	1	1	79.0	2	1		
86.0	2	1	88.0	1	1		
90.0	3	1	91.0	1	1		
95.0	1	1	97.0	2	1		
98.0	3	1	99.0	3	1		
101.0	1	1	103.0	2	2		
103.0	7	1	104.0	4	1		
105.0	3	1	106.0	3	2		
106.0	6	1	107.0	2	2		
107.0	30	1	108.0	19		1	

THE 39 OBSERVATIONS AT LEVEL 4 WITH A DOSE OF 2.00000

	Τl	JMOR				TUMOR
TIME	#	IN	IDICATOR	TIM	E #	INDICATOR
51.0	1	1	55.0	1	1	
58.0	1	1	61.0	1	1	
67.0	1	2	67.0	1	1	
72.0	1	1	74.0	1	1	
76.0	1	1	77.0	1	1	
78.0	1	2	79.0	2	1	
80.0	1	2	81.0	1	1	
82.0	1	2	83.0	2	1	
86.0	1	2	88.0	4	1	
92.0	1	1	93.0	1	2	
93.0	1	1	95.0	1	2	
95.0	1	1	97.0	1	1	
98.0	1	2	98.0	1	1	
100.0	3	1	101.0	2	1	
102.0	1	1	103.0	1	2	
103.0	5	1	104.0	1	2	
104.0	5	1	105.0	1	2	
105.0	1	1	106.0	6	1	
107.0	2	2	107.0	14	1	

FORM OF PROBABILITY FUNCTION:

 $P(DOSE) = 1 - exp((-Q0 - Q1 * D - Q2 * D^{2} - Q3 * D^{3}) * (T - T0)^{J})$

THE MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION OF: PROBABILITY FUNCTION COEFFICIENTS

108.0 2 1

Q(0)= .384153255996E-03 Q(1)= .812864704009E-03 Q(2)= .00000000000 Q(3)= .00000000000

TIME FUNCTION COEFFICIENTS

T0 = .000000000000

J = 1.00000000000

THE MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD IS -133.655450741

MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATES OF EXTRA RISK

WEIBULL LOWER CONFIDENCE LIMITS ON DOSE FOR FIXED RISK

			LOWER BO	ound upper bound	CONFIDEN LIMIT	CE	
RISK	MLE DOSE	ON DOSE	201121101	ON RISK	INTERVAL	TIME	
.100000	1.20015	.747920	.155548	95.0%		108.000	
1.000000	E-03 1.139660E-02	7.102226E	-03	1.604166E-03		95.0%	108.000
1.000000	E-06 1.139090E-05	7.116445E	-06	1.600645E-06		95.0%	108.000

WEIBULL UPPER CONFIDENCE LIMITS ON RISK FOR FIXED DOSE

CONFIDENCE

		UPPER B	OUND	LIMIT		
DOSE	MLE RISK	ON RISK		INTERVAL		TIME
.500000	4.294526E-02	6.801233E-02	95.0%	108.000		
2.00000	.161029	.252245		95.0%	108.000	

NORMAL COMPLETION!

MALE RAT, FOLLICULAR CELL ADENOMA AND CARCINOMA, ACRYLAMIDE WITH NO INDUCTION TIME ESTIMATED

DATA SOURCE: Tegeris Laboratories, 1989

[NOTE FOR THE RECORD: When SRC examined the individual male rat pathology reports provided in the Tegeris Laboratories 1989 Report (provided on CD by Marvin Friedman), 2 rats with follicular cell adenomas (#138 and #175), and one rat with a follicular cell carcinoma (#182) were found in Control Group 1. These numbers agree with the numbers reported in Table 4 of the Friedman et al. (1995) report. Among the individual animal pathology reports for male rats in Control Group 2, however, SRC found two male rats with follicular cell carcinomas (#'s 335 and 345), but no male rats with follicular cell adenomas. This does not agree with Table 4 in Friedman et al. (1995), which reported that Control Group 2 had 2 male rats with follicular cell carcinomas and one male rat with a follicular cell adenoma. The dose-response analysis described in here in Appendix D for the male rat follicular cell adenomas plus carcinomas used the Tegeris Laboratories 1989 report numbers. In addition, based on SRC's examination of the individual animal pathology reports, the total number of male rats included in these control groups); 2 male rats in Control Group 1 did not have thyroid histopathology.]

DATE: 06-09-03 TIME: 19:38:24 MULTI-WEIB (MAR 1985) (C) COPYRIGHT CLEMENT ASSOCIATES, INC. 1983-198 K.S. CRUMP & COMPANY, INC. 1201 GAINES STREET RUSTON, LA 71270 (318) 255-4800

THE 35 OBSERVATIONS AT LEVEL 1 WITH A DOSE OF .000000 TUMOR TUMOR TIME # OF ANIMALS INDICATOR TIME # OF ANIMALS INDICATOR ---- ------41.0 1 1 58.0 1 1 69.0 1 1 73.0 2 1 74.0 1 1 76.0 2 1 77.0 2 1 78.0 2 1 79.0 1 1 82.0 2 1 1 1 87.0 2 1 85.0 88.0 1 1 89.0 3 1 90.0 5 1 91.0 5 1 93.0 3 1 94.0 1 1 95.0 3 1 96.0 3 1 97.0 1 1 98.0 1 1 99.0 10 1 100.0 3 1 101.0 3 1 102.0 3 1 103.0 12 1 104.0 1 2 104.0 8 1 105.0 4 1 106.0 16 1 107.0 2 2

107.0 58 1 108.0 2 2

108.0 36 1	108.0	36	1
------------	-------	----	---

THE 44 OBSERVATIONS AT LEVEL 2 WITH A DOSE OF .100000 TUMOR TUMOR TIME # OF ANIMALS INDICATOR TIME # OF ANIMALS INDICATOR ---- ------46.0 1 1 61.0 1 1 63.0 1 1 65.0 1 1 67.0 1 1 68.0 1 1 72.0 1 1 76.0 1 1 78.0 2 1 79.0 1 1 80.0 1 1 81.0 1 1 3 1 83.0 2 1 82.0 84.0 1 1 85.0 2 1 86.0 2 1 87.0 3 1 89.0 1 1 90.0 2 1 91.0 1 1 92.0 1 1 93.0 2 1 94.0 4 1 95.0 1 1 96.0 3 1 97.0 3 1 98.0 6 1 99.0 2 1 100.0 1 2 100.0 3 1 101.0 2 1 102.0 6 1 103.0 1 2 103.0 10 1 104.0 1 2 104.0 9 1 105.0 6 1 106.0 1 2 106.0 15 1 107.0 4 2 107.0 54 1 108.0 4 2 108.0 35 1 THE 26 OBSERVATIONS AT LEVEL 3 WITH A DOSE OF .500000 TUMOR TUMOR TIME # OF ANIMALS INDICATOR TIME # OF ANIMALS INDICATOR ---- ------2.0 1 1 32.0 1 1

49.0	1	1	72.0	1	1	
77.0	1	1	78.0	1	2	
78.0	1	1	79.0	2	1	
86.0	1	2	86.0	1	1	
88.0	1	1	90.0	3	1	
91.0	1	1	95.0	1	1	
97.0	2	1	98.0	3	1	
99.0	3	1	101.0	1	1	
103.0	9	1	104.0	4	1	
105.0	3	1	106.0	9	1	
107.0	2	2	107.0	30	1	
108.0	1	2	108.0	18	1	

THE 38 OBSERVATIONS AT LEVEL 4 WITH A DOSE OF 2.00000 TUMOR TUMOR TIME # OF ANIMALS INDICATOR TIME # OF ANIMALS INDICATOR

51.0	1	1	55.0	1	1	
58.0	1	1	61.0	1	1	
67.0	2	1	72.0	1	1	

74.0	1	2	76.0	1	1
77.0	1	1	78.0	1	1
79.0	1	2	79.0	1	1
80.0	1	1	81.0	1	1
82.0	1	1	83.0	2	1
86.0	1	1	88.0	4	1
92.0	1	1	93.0	1	2
93.0	1	1	95.0	2	1
97.0	1	1	98.0	1	2
98.0	1	1	100.0	3	1
101.0	2	1	102.0	1	2
103.0	2	2	103.0	4	1
104.0	1	2	104.0	5	1
105.0	2	1	106.0	2	2
106.0	4	1	107.0	7	2
107.0	9	1	108.0	2	1

FORM OF PROBABILITY FUNCTION:

 $P(DOSE) = 1 - exp((-Q0 - Q1 * D) * (T - T0)^J)$

THE MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION OF: PROBABILITY FUNCTION COEFFICIENTS Q(0)= .107582747873E-08 Q(1)= .420830494317E-08 TIME FUNCTION COEFFICIENTS T0 = .00000000000J = 3.71285084690

THE MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD IS -127.749366108

MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATES OF EXTRA RISK

WEIBULL LOWER CONFIDENCE LIMITS ON DOSE FOR FIXED RISK *******

			LOWER BC	ound upper bound	CONFIDEN LIMIT	ICE	
RISK	MLE DOSE	ON DOSE		ON RISK	INTERVAL	TIME	
.100000	.705946	.451674	.151830	95.0%	108.000		
1.000000	E-03 6.703644E-03	4.289084E	-03	1.562515E-03		95.0%	108.000
1.000000	E-06 6.700295E-06	4.308122E	-06	1.555270E-06		95.0%	108.000

LIMIT

WEIBULL UPPER CONFIDENCE LIMITS ON RISK FOR FIXED DOSE

UPPER BOUND

CONFIDENCE

DOSE	MLE RISK C	ON RISK	INTERVAL	TIME	
.500000	7.190726E-02	.110089	95.0%	108.000	
2.00000	.258066	.372827		95.0%	108.000

NORMAL COMPLETION!

Time-to-Tumor Model Results for the Combined Incidence of Thyroid Tumors or TVM in Male Rats Exposed to Acrylamide in the Drinking Water

MULTI-WEIB (MAR 1985) (C) COPYRIGHT CLEMENT ASSOCIATES, INC. 1983-1987 K.S. CRUMP & COMPANY, INC. 1201 GAINES STREET RUSTON, LA 71270 (318) 255-4800

THE 28 OBSERVATIONS AT LEVEL 1 WITH A DOSE OF 0.000000

	ΤL	JMOR		TU	MO	R				
TIME	# OF	ANIMALS	INDICA	TOR		TIME	# OF A	NIMALS	INDICATO	R
							-			
42.0	1	1	73.0	1	1					
74.0	1	1	76.0	2	1					
77.0	1	1	78.0	2	1					
82.0	2	1	87.0	1	1					
89.0	3	1	90.0	4	1					
91.0	2	1	93.0	1	1					
94.0	1	1	95.0	3	1					
96.0	2	1	96.0	1	2					
97.0	1	1	99.0	5	1					
101.0	3	1	102.0	3		1				
103.0	5	1	104.0	2		1				
105.0	1	1	106.0	10		1				
107.0	25	1	107.0	5		2				
108.0	13	1	108.0	1		2				

THE 25 OBSERVATIONS AT LEVEL 2 WITH A DOSE OF .000000

	ΤL	IMOR		TU	MOR			
TIME	# OF	ANIMALS	INDICA	ATOR	TI	ME	# OF ANIMALS	INDICATOR
							-	
58.0	1	1	69.0	1	1			
73.0	1	1	77.0	1	1			
79.0	1	1	85.0	1	1			
87.0	1	1	88.0	1	1			
90.0	1	1	91.0	3	1			
93.0	1	1	94.0	1	1			
98.0	1	1	99.0	4	1			
99.0	1	2	100.0	3	1			
103.0	7	1	104.0	6	1			
104.0	1	2	105.0	3	1			
106.0	7	1	107.0	32	1			
107.0	1	2	108.0	22	1			
108.0	2	2						

THE 48 OBSERVATIONS AT LEVEL 3 WITH A DOSE OF .100000

	ΤL	JMOR		TU	MO	R				
TIME	# OF	ANIMALS	INDICA	TOR		TIME	# OF	ANIMA	LS	INDICATOR
							-			
46.0	1	1	61.0	1	1					
63.0	1	1	65.0	1	1					
67.0	1	1	68.0	1	1					
72.0	1	1	76.0	1	2					
78.0	2	1	79.0	1	1					
80.0	1	1	81.0	1	1					
82.0	3	1	83.0	2	1					
84.0	1	1	85.0	2	1					
86.0	2	1	87.0	3	1					
89.0	1	1	90.0	2	1					
91.0	1	1	92.0	1	1					
93.0	1	1	93.0	1	2					
94.0	4	1	95.0	1	1					
96.0	3	1	97.0	3	1					
97.0	1	2	98.0	4	1					
98.0	1	2	99.0	2	1					
100.0	2	1	100.0	2	2	2				
101.0	2	1	102.0	5	1	I				
102.0	1	2	103.0	10		1				
103.0	1	2	104.0	9	1	I				
104.0	1	2	105.0	6	1	I				
106.0	14	1	106.0	2		2				
107.0	53	1	107.0	5		2				
108.0	33	1	108.0	6		2				

THE 28 OBSERVATIONS AT LEVEL 4 WITH A DOSE OF .500000

	ΤL	JMOR		ΤL	JMO	R				
TIME	# OF	ANIMA	LS INDICA	TOR		TIME	# OF #	ANIMALS	INDICATOR	l
							-			
2.0	1	1	32.0	1	1					
49.0	1	1	72.0	1	1					
77.0	1	1	78.0	1	1					
78.0	1	3	79.0	2	1					
86.0	1	1	86.0	1	3					
88.0	1	1	90.0	3	1					
92.0	1	1	95.0	1	1					
97.0	2	1	98.0	3	1					
99.0	3	1	101.0	1	1					
103.0	6	1	103.0	3		3				
104.0	4	1	105.0	3		1				
106.0	6	1	106.0	3		3				
107.0	29	1	107.0	3		3				
108.0	18	1	108.0	1		3				

THE 40 OBSERVATIONS AT LEVEL 5 WITH A DOSE OF 2.00000

TUMOR TUMOR TIME # OF ANIMALS INDICATOR TIME # OF ANIMALS INDICATOR

51.0	1	1	55.0	1	1
58.0	1	1	61.0	1	1
67.0	1	1	67.0	1	2
72.0	1	1	74.0	1	2
76.0	1	1	77.0	1	1
78.0	1	2	79.0	1	1
79.0	1	2	80.0	1	2
81.0	1	1	82.0	1	2
83.0	2	1	86.0	1	2
88.0	4	1	92.0	1	1
93.0	1	1	93.0	1	2
95.0	1	1	95.0	1	2
97.0	1	1	98.0	2	2
100.0	3	1	101.0	2	1
102.0	1	2	103.0	4	1
103.0	2	2	104.0	4	1
104.0	2	2	105.0	1	1
105.0	1	2	106.0	4	1
106.0	2	2	107.0	8	1
107.0	8	2	108.0	2	1
FORM	OF P	ROBABI	LITY FUI	NCTIO	DN:
P(D0	DSE) =	= 1 - exp((-Q0-C	21 * D	- Q2 * D^2 - Q3 * D^3) * (T - T0)^J)

THE MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION OF:

PROBABILITY FUNCTION COEFFICIENTS

Q(0)= .106410244171E-11 Q(1)= .135503864185E-11 Q(2)= .0000000000 Q(3)= .499366216636E-12

TIME FUNCTION COEFFICIENTS

T0 = .00000000000

 $J = \ 5.39821051674$

THE MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD IS -185.712125973

MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATES OF EXTRA RISK

WEIBULL LOWER CONFIDENCE LIMITS ON DOSE FOR FIXED RISK

CONFIDENCE LOWER BOUND UPPER BOUND LIMIT

RISK MLE DOSE ON DOSE ON RISK INTERVAL TIME

---- ----- ----- -----

 .100000
 .695915
 .304814
 .213802
 95.0%
 108.000

 5.000000E-02
 .379173
 .148395
 .122838
 95.0%
 108.000

 1.000000E-02
 7.805583E-02
 2.907622E-02
 2.661966E-02
 95.0%
 108.000

 1.000000E-03
 7.787649E-03
 2.894507E-03
 2.688219E-03
 95.0%
 108.000

 1.000000E-06
 7.783932E-06
 3.575852E-06
 2.176804E-06
 95.0%
 108.000

WEIBULL UPPER CONFIDENCE LIMITS ON RISK FOR FIXED DOSE

.500000 6.774880E-02 .158717 95.0% 108.000 2.00000 .470433 .600987 95.0% 108.000

NORMAL COMPLETION!

DATA PRINTOUTS FOR BMD MODELING FOR THE JOHNSON ET AL. (1986) TUMOR DATA SETS

BMD Cancer Multistage 1-Degree Polynomial Model Results for the Incidence of Mammary Tumors (Malignant and Benign) in Female F344 Rats Exposed to Acrylamide in the Drinking Water (10% extra risk)



```
The form of the probability function is:
  P[response] = background + (1-background)*[1-EXP(
                 -betal*dose^1)]
  The parameter betas are restricted to be positive
  Dependent variable = incidence
  Independent variable = dose
Total number of observations = 5 Total number of records with missing values = 0
Total number of parameters in model = 2
Total number of specified parameters = 0
Degree of polynomial = 1
Maximum number of iterations = 250
Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 2.22045e-016
Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1.49012e-008
**** We are sorry but Relative Function and Parameter Convergence ****
**** are currently unavailable in this model. Please keep checking ****
**** the web sight for model updates which will eventually
                                                                        * * * *
**** incorporate these convergence criterion. Default values used. ****
```

```
Default Initial Parameter Values
Background = 0.205539
Beta(1) = 0.21947
```

Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates

	Background	Beta(1)
Background	1	-0.53
Beta(1)	-0.53	1

Parameter Estimates

	_			95.0% Wald Confi	dence
Interval	l Variable	Estimate	Std. Err.	Lower Conf. Limit	Upper
*	Background	0.196578	*	*	
*	Beta(1)	0.238242	*	*	

* - Indicates that this value is not calculated.

Analysis of Deviance Table

Model	Log(likelihood)	# Param's	Deviance	Test d.f.	P-value
Full model	-167.257	5			
Fitted model	-168.557	2	2.60026	3	0.4574
Reduced model	-177.557	1	20.5999	4	0.0003801
AIC:	341.114				

Goodness of Fit

Scaled

Dose	EstProb.	Expected	Observed	Size	Residual
0.0000 0.0100 0.1000 0.5000	0.1966 0.1985 0.2155 0.2868	11.795 11.909 12.930 16.635	12.000 12.000 10.000 21.000 20.000	60 60 60 58 61	0.067 0.029 -0.920 1.267 0.401
2.0000	0.5011	30.507	29.000	01	-0.401

Chi² = 2.62 d.f. = 3 P-value = 0.4542

Benchmark Dose Computation

Specified effect	=	0.1				
Risk Type	= I	Extra risk				
Confidence level	=	0.95				
BMD	=	0.442241				
BMDL	=	0.295052				
BMDU	=	0.778339				
Taken together, interval for the	(0.295052 BMD	2, 0.778339)	is a 90	010	two-sided	confidence

Multistage Cancer Slope Factor = 0.338923

BMD Cancer Multistage 1-Degree Polynomial Model Results for the Incidence of Thyroid Follicular Cell (Adenomas and Carcinomas) in Female F344 Rats Exposed to Acrylamide in the Drinking Water (10% extra risk)



```
Total number of observations = 5
Total number of records with missing values = 0
Total number of parameters in model = 2
Total number of specified parameters = 0
Degree of polynomial = 1
Maximum number of iterations = 250
Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 2.22045e-016
Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1.49012e-008
**** We are sorry but Relative Function and Parameter Convergence
                                                                              * * * *
**** are currently unavailable in this model. Please keep checking ****
**** the web sight for model updates which will eventually ****
**** incorporate these convergence criterion. Default values used. ****
```

```
Default Initial Parameter Values
   Background = 0.0075858
     Beta(1) =
                  0.0386267
```

Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates

	Background	Beta(1)
Background	1	-0.54
Beta(1)	-0.54	1

Parameter Estimates

T	1			95.0% Wald Confi	ldence
interva.	Variable	Estimate	Std Err	Lower Conf Limit	Upper
Conf. L:	imit	IDCIMACC	btu. III.	Lower com. Limit	opper
	Background	0.00893025	*	*	
*	Peta(1)	0 0359638	*	*	
*	Beca(1)	0.0359038			

* - Indicates that this value is not calculated.

Analysis of Deviance Table

Model	Log(likelihood)	# Param's	Deviance	Test d.f.	P-value
Full model	-32.3827	5			
Fitted model	-33.2785	2	1.79148	3	0.6168
Reduced model	-36.7233	1	8.68109	4	0.06958
AIC:	70.5569				

		Good	ness of Fit	5	
Dose	EstProb.	Expected	Observed	Size	Scaled Residual
0.0000 0.0100 0.1000 0.5000 2.0000	0.0089 0.0093 0.0125 0.0266 0.0777	0.518 0.548 0.737 1.542 4.663	1.000 0.000 1.000 1.000 5.000	58 59 59 58 60	0.673 -0.744 0.309 -0.443 0.163
$hi^2 = 1.32$	d.f. = 3	P-v	alue = 0.7236	5	

Chi^2 = 1.32 d.f. = 3

Benchmark Dose Computation

Specified effect	=	0.1
Risk Type	=	Extra risk
Confidence level	=	0.95
BMD	=	2.92963
BMDL	=	1.46827
BMDU	=	9.25522

Taken together, (1.46827, 9.25522) is a 90 % two-sided confidence interval for the BMD

Multistage Cancer Slope Factor = 0.0681074

BMD Cancer Multistage 1-Degree Polynomial Model Results for the Incidence of CNS Tumors of Glial Origin in Female F344 Rats Exposed to Acrylamide in the Drinking Water (10% extra risk)



Total number of observations = 5 Total number of records with missing values = 0 Total number of parameters in model = 2 Total number of specified parameters = 0 Degree of polynomial = 1 Maximum number of iterations = 250 Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 2.22045e-016 Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1.49012e-008 **** We are sorry but Relative Function and Parameter Convergence **** **** the web sight for model updates which will eventually **** **** incorporate these convergence criterion. Default values used. ****

> Default Initial Parameter Values Background = 0.0124331 Beta(1) = 0.069725

Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates

	Background	Beta(1)
Background	1	-0.52
Beta(1)	-0.52	1

Parameter Estimates

]				95.0% Wald Confi	dence
Interval	Variable	Estimate	Std. Err.	Lower Conf. Limit	Upper
*	Background	0.0178358	*	*	
*	Beta(1)	0.0585541	*	*	

* - Indicates that this value is not calculated.

Analysis of Deviance Table

Model	Log(likelihood)	# Param's	Deviance	Test d.f.	P-value
Full model	-49.516	5			
Fitted model	-50.7987	2	2.56534	3	0.4636
Reduced model	-56.5743	1	14.1166	4	0.006932
AIC:	105.597				

		Good	ness of Fit	:	
Dose	EstProb.	Expected	Observed	Size	Scaled Residual
0.0000 0.0100 0.1000 0.5000	0.0178 0.0184 0.0236 0.0462	1.070 1.086 1.414 2.770	1.000 2.000 1.000 1.000	60 59 60 60	-0.068 0.885 -0.352 -1.089
2.0000 Chi^2 = 2.35	0.1264 d.f. = 3	7.709 P-v	9.000	61 }	0.497

Benchmark Dose Computation

Specified effect = 0.1 Risk Type = Extra risk Confidence level = 0.95 BMD = 1.79937 BMDL = 1.03024 BMDU = 4.00245

Taken together, (1.03024, 4.00245) is a 90 % two-sided confidence interval for the BMD

Multistage Cancer Slope Factor = 0.0970644

BMD Cancer Multistage 1-Degree Polynomial Model Results for the Incidence of Oral Cavity Tumors (Malignant and Benign) in Female F344 Rats Exposed to Acrylamide in the Drinking Water (10% extra risk)



```
Total number of observations = 5

Total number of records with missing values = 0

Total number of parameters in model = 2

Total number of specified parameters = 0

Degree of polynomial = 1

Maximum number of iterations = 250

Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 2.22045e-016

Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1.49012e-008

**** We are sorry but Relative Function and Parameter Convergence ****

**** the web sight for model updates which will eventually ****

**** incorporate these convergence criterion. Default values used. ****
```

```
Default Initial Parameter Values
Background = 0.0250102
Beta(1) = 0.0586007
```

Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates

	Background	Beta(1)
Background	1	-0.55
Beta(1)	-0.55	1

Parameter Estimates

Interval				95.0% Wald Confidence		
Conf Limit	Variable	Estimate	Std. Err.	Lower Conf. Limit	Upper	
CONI. LIMIC	Background	0.0249031	*	*		
^ +	Beta(1)	0.0585561	*	*		

* - Indicates that this value is not calculated.

Analysis of Deviance Table

Model	Log(likelihood)	# Param's	Deviance	Test d.f.	P-value
Full model	-56.151	5			
Fitted model	-58.2474	2	4.19284	3	0.2414
Reduced model	-62.4646	1	12.6273	4	0.01325
AIC:	120.495				

		Good	Goodness of Fit		
Dose	EstProb.	Expected	Observed	Size	Scaled Residual
0.0000 0.0100 0.1000 0.5000 2.0000	0.0249 0.0255 0.0306 0.0530 0.1327	1.494 1.528 1.836 3.182 7.960	0.000 3.000 2.000 3.000 8.000	60 60 60 60 60	-1.238 1.206 0.123 -0.105 0.015

Chi^2 = 3.01 d.f. = 3

OF 0% Wald Comfidence
Benchmark Dose Computation

Specified effect	=	0.1		
Risk Type	=	Extra risk		
Confidence level	=	0.95		
BMD	=	1.79931		
BMDL	=	0.988695		
BMDU	=	4.74373		

Taken together, (0.988695, 4.74373) is a 90 % two-sided confidence interval for the BMD

BMD Cancer Multistage 1-Degree Polynomial Model Results for the Incidence of Uterus Adenocarcinomas in Female F344 Rats Exposed to Acrylamide in the Drinking Water (10% extra risk)



Total number of observations = 5 Total number of records with missing values = 0 Total number of parameters in model = 2 Total number of specified parameters = 0 Degree of polynomial = 1 Maximum number of iterations = 250 Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 2.22045e-016 Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1.49012e-008 **** We are sorry but Relative Function and Parameter Convergence **** **** the web sight for model updates which will eventually **** **** incorporate these convergence criterion. Default values used. ****

> Default Initial Parameter Values Background = 0.0136297 Beta(1) = 0.0329157

Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates

	Background	Beta(1)
Background	1	-0.51
Beta(1)	-0.51	1

Parameter Estimates

				95.0% Wald Confi	dence
Interval	l Variable	Estimate	Std. Err.	Lower Conf. Limit	Upper
к сопт. т.	Background	0.0171456	*	*	
*	Beta(1)	0.0258801	*	*	

* - Indicates that this value is not calculated.

Analysis of Deviance Table

Model	Log(likelihood)	# Param's	Deviance	Test d.f.	P-value
Full model	-36.1508	5			
Fitted model	-38.4257	2	4.54986	3	0.2079
Reduced model	-40.3921	1	8.48273	4	0.07541
AIC:	80.8514				

		Good	ness of Fit	-	
Dose	EstProb.	Expected	Observed	Size	Scaled Residual
0.0000 0.0100 0.1000 0.5000 2.0000	0.0171 0.0174 0.0197 0.0298 0.0667	1.029 1.044 1.181 1.757 4.003	1.000 2.000 1.000 0.000 5.000	60 60 60 59	-0.029 0.944 -0.168 -1.346 0.516
Chi^2 = 3.00	d.f. = 3	P-v	alue = 0.3921	L	0.510

Benchmark Dose Computation

Specified effect = 0.1 Risk Type = Extra risk Confidence level = 0.95 BMD = 4.07111 BMDL = 1.79347 BMDU = 27.8943

Taken together, (1.79347, 27.8943) is a 90 % two-sided confidence interval for the BMD

BMD Cancer Multistage 1-Degree Polynomial Model Results for the Incidence of Clitoral Adenomas (Benign) in Female F344 Rats Exposed to Acrylamide in the Drinking Water (10% extra risk)



```
Total number of observations = 5
Total number of records with missing values = 0
Total number of parameters in model = 2
Total number of specified parameters = 0
Degree of polynomial = 1
Maximum number of iterations = 250
Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 2.22045e-016
Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1.49012e-008
**** We are sorry but Relative Function and Parameter Convergence ****
**** are currently unavailable in this model. Please keep checking ****
**** the web sight for model updates which will eventually ****
**** incorporate these convergence criterion. Default values used. ****
```

```
Default Initial Parameter Values
   Background =
                          0
     Beta(1) = 5.10063e+019
```

Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates

	Background	Beta(1)
Background	1	-0.47
Beta(1)	-0.47	1

Parameter Estimates

Interval				95.0% Wald Collin	dence
Comf Limit	Variable	Estimate	Std. Err.	Lower Conf. Limit	Upper
Conr. Limit	Background	0.325271	*	*	
*	Beta(1)	1.62417	*	*	
*	Background Beta(1)	0.325271 1.62417	*	*	

* - Indicates that this value is not calculated.

Analysis of Deviance Table

Model	Log(likelihood)	# Param's	Deviance	Test d.f.	P-value
Full model	-6.93147	5			
Fitted model	-9.06517	2	4.2674	3	0.234
Reduced model	-12.0285	1	10.194	4	0.03728
AIC:	22.1303				

Goodness of Fit						
Dose	EstProb.	Expected	Observed	Size	Scaled Residual	
0.0000 0.0100 0.1000 0.5000 2.0000	0.3253 0.3361 0.4264 0.7005 0.9738	0.651 1.008 1.706 2.802 4.869	0.000 1.000 3.000 2.000 5.000	2 3 4 4 5	-0.982 -0.010 1.309 -0.875 0.367	
Chi^2 = 3.58	d.f. = 3	P-v	alue = 0.3109	9		

Chi^2 = 3.58 d.f. = 3

OF 0% Wald Comfidence

Benchmark Dose Computation

Specified effect	=	0.1				
Risk Type	=	Extra risk				
Confidence level	=	0.95				
BMD	=	0.0648702				
BMDL	=	0.022986				
BMDU	=	0.29871				
Taken together, interval for the	(0.02298 BMD	36, 0.29871)	is a 90	00	two-sided	confidence

BMD Cancer Multistage 1-Degree Polynomial Model Results for the Incidence of Pituitary Gland Adenomas in Female F344 Rats Exposed to Acrylamide in the Drinking Water (10% extra risk)



```
Total number of observations = 5
Total number of records with missing values = 0
Total number of parameters in model = 2
Total number of specified parameters = 0
Degree of polynomial = 1
Maximum number of iterations = 250
Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 2.22045e-016
Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1.49012e-008
**** We are sorry but Relative Function and Parameter Convergence ****
**** are currently unavailable in this model. Please keep checking ****
**** the web sight for model updates which will eventually ****
**** incorporate these convergence criterion. Default values used. ****
```

```
Default Initial Parameter Values
   Background = 0.47609
Beta(1) = 0.0514418
       Beta(1) =
                      0.0514418
```

Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates

	Background	Beta(1)
Background	1	-0.55
Beta(1)	-0.55	1

Parameter Estimates

Interval				95.0% Wald Confi	dence
Conf Limi	Variable	Estimate	Std. Err.	Lower Conf. Limit	Upper
CONI. LIMI	Background	0.474642	*	*	
*	Beta(1)	0.0517505	*	*	

* - Indicates that this value is not calculated.

Analysis of Deviance Table

Model	Log(likelihood)	# Param's	Deviance	Test d.f.	P-value
Full model	-205.995	5			
Fitted model	-206.935	2	1.88098	3	0.5975
Reduced model	-207.169	1	2.34909	4	0.6718
AIC:	417.87				

Goodness of Fit							
Dose	EstProb.	Expected	Observed	Size	Scaled Residual		
0.0000 0.0100 0.1000 0.5000 2.0000	0.4746 0.4749 0.4774 0.4881 0.5263	28.004 28.495 28.641 29.284 31.578	25.000 30.000 32.000 27.000 32.000	59 60 60 60 60	-0.783 0.389 0.868 -0.590 0.109		
Chi^2 = 1.88	d.f. = 3	P-v	value = 0.5981	-			

Benchmark Dose Computation

Specified effect	=	0.1
Risk Type	=	Extra risk
Confidence level	=	0.95
BMD	=	2.03593
BMDL	=	0.559619

```
BMDU did not converge for BMR = 0.100000
BMDU calculation failed
BMDU = Inf
```

BMD Cancer Multistage 1-Degree Polynomial Model Results for the Incidence of Tunica Vaginalis Mesothelioma in Male F344 Rats Exposed to Acrylamide in the Drinking Water (all doses included) (10% extra risk)



```
Total number of observations = 5

Total number of records with missing values = 0

Total number of parameters in model = 2

Total number of specified parameters = 0

Degree of polynomial = 1

Maximum number of iterations = 250

Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 2.22045e-016

Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1.49012e-008

**** We are sorry but Relative Function and Parameter Convergence ****

**** the web sight for model updates which will eventually ****

**** incorporate these convergence criterion. Default values used. ****
```

```
Default Initial Parameter Values
Background = 0.0755454
Beta(1) = 0.0641515
```

Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates

	Background	Beta(1)
Background	1	-0.61
Beta(1)	-0.61	1

Parameter Estimates

T				95.0% Wald Confi	dence
Interval	Variable	Estimate	Std. Err.	Lower Conf. Limit	Upper
Conf. Limit	Background	0.0626677	*	*	
*	Beta(1)	0.0881625	*	*	

* - Indicates that this value is not calculated.

Analysis of Deviance Table

Model	Log(likelihood)	# Param's	Deviance	Test d.f.	P-value
Full model	-89.143	5			
Fitted model	-96.1436	2	14.0011	3	0.002904
Reduced model	-99.7038	1	21.1215	4	0.0002996
AIC:	196.287				

		Good	dness of Fit	E	
Dose	EstProb.	Expected	Observed	Size	Scaled Residual
0.0000 0.0100 0.1000 0.5000 2.0000	0.0627 0.0635 0.0709 0.1031 0.2142	3.760 3.810 4.254 6.185 12.852	3.000 0.000 7.000 11.000 10.000	60 60 60 60 60	-0.405 -2.017 1.381 2.044 -0.897

Chi² = 11.12 d.f. = 3 P-value = 0.0111

Benchmark Dose Computation

Specified effect	=	0.1
Risk Type	=	Extra risk
Confidence level	=	0.95
BMD	=	1.19507
BMDL	=	0.660363
BMDU	=	3.50031

Taken together, (0.660363, 3.50031) is a 90 % two-sided confidence interval for the BMD

BMD Cancer Multistage 2-Degree Polynomial Model Results for the Incidence of Tunica Vaginalis Mesothelioma in Male F344 Rats Exposed to Acrylamide in the Drinking Water (all doses included) (10% extra risk)



	Total num Total num Total num Total num Degree of	nber of nber of nber of nber of polyno	observation records with parameters specified mial = 2	ons = 5 th missin in model parameter	g values = _= 3 s = 0	= 0				
	Maximum r Relative Parameter	number o Functio Conver	f iteratio n Converge gence has	ons = 250 ence has b been set	een set to to: 1.4903	o: 2.2204 12e-008	15e-016			
	**** We a **** are **** the **** inco	are sorr current web sig orporate	y but Rela ly unavail ht for moo these cor	ative Func Lable in t Lel update Ivergence	tion and H his model s which wi criterion	Parameter . Please ill event . Defaul	r Conve e keep cually It valu	rgence checkir es usec	**** **** !. ****	
			Default I Backgi Bet Bet	<pre>initial Pa cound = ca(1) = ca(2) =</pre>	rameter Va 0.0755454 0.0641515	alues 4 5)				
		Asympt	otic Corre	elation Ma	trix of Pa	arameter	Estima	tes		
		(***	The model have been	parameter estimated	(s) -Beta at a bour	a(2) ndary poi	int, or	have b	een spec	ified
by the	user,		and do not	: appear i	n the corr	relation	matrix)		
		Back	ground	Beta(1)						
	Background	1	1	-0.61						
	Beta(1))	-0.61	1						
				Par	ameter Est	timates				
Interv	al						95.	0% Wald	l Confide	nce
Conf	Var Var	riable	Est	imate	Std. I	Err.	Lower	Conf. I	imit U	pper
*	Backg	ground	0.06	526677	*			*		
 	Be	eta(1)	0.08	381624	*			*		
*	Be	eta(2)		0	*			*		
	* - Indica	ates tha	t this val	lue is not	calculate	ed.				
			Ana	lysis of	Deviance 1	Table				
	Mod	lel	Log(like]	lihood) #	Param's	Deviance	e Test	d.f.	P-value	
	Fitted	model	-96	1436	2	14.001	11	3	0.002	904
	Reauced	model	-99.	. 1038	Ţ	21.12]	10	4	0.0002	990
		ALC:	196).20/						
				Go	odness of	E Fit		~		
	Dose	Est	Prob.	Expected	Observ	ved S	Size	Sc Res	a⊥ed idual	

0.0000	0.0627	3.760	3.000	60	-0.405
0.0100	0.0635	3.810	0.000	60	-2.017
0.1000	0.0709	4.254	7.000	60	1.381
0.5000	0.1031	6.185	11.000	60	2.044
2.0000	0.2142	12.851	10.000	60	-0.897

Chi² = 11.12 d.f. = 3 P-value = 0.0111

Benchmark Dose Computation

Specified effect	=	0.1		
Risk Type	=	Extra risk		
Confidence level	=	0.95		
BMD	=	1.19507		
BMDL	=	0.660363		
BMDU	=	3.50031		

Taken together, (0.660363, 3.50031) is a 90 % two-sided confidence interval for the BMD

BMD Cancer Multistage 3-Degree Polynomial Model Results for the Incidence of Tunica Vaginalis Mesothelioma in Male F344 Rats Exposed to Acrylamide in the Drinking Water (all doses included) (10% extra risk)



```
Dependent variable = incidence
          Independent variable = dose
       Total number of observations = 5
       Total number of records with missing values = 0
       Total number of parameters in model = 4
Total number of specified parameters = 0
       Degree of polynomial = 3
       Maximum number of iterations = 250
       Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 2.22045e-016
       Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1.49012e-008
       **** We are sorry but Relative Function and Parameter Convergence
                                                                                * * * *
       * * * *
            are currently unavailable in this model. Please keep checking ****
       * * * *
                                                                                ****
            the web sight for model updates which will eventually
       **** incorporate these convergence criterion. Default values used.
                                                                                * * * *
                         Default Initial Parameter Values
                            Background = 0.0755454
                               Beta(1) =
                                             0.0641515
                               Beta(2) =
                                                     0
                               Beta(3) =
                                                     0
                  Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates
                  ( *** The model parameter(s) -Beta(2)
                                                             -Beta(3)
                        have been estimated at a boundary point, or have been specified
by the user,
                        and do not appear in the correlation matrix )
                    Background
                                    Beta(1)
      Background
                            1
                                       -0.61
          Beta(1)
                        -0.61
                                           1
                                         Parameter Estimates
                                                                  95.0% Wald Confidence
Interval
              Variable
                              Estimate
                                                Std. Err.
                                                              Lower Conf. Limit Upper
Conf. Limit
                              0.0626677
                                                    *
                                                                      *
            Background
                              0.0881624
                                                     *
               Beta(1)
                                                     *
               Beta(2)
                                       0
                                                    *
                                       0
                                                                      *
               Beta(3)
       * - Indicates that this value is not calculated.
```

Analysis of Deviance Table

Model	Log(likelihood)	# Param's	Deviance	Test d.f.	P-value
Full model	-89.143	5			
Fitted model	-96.1436	2	14.0011	3	0.002904
Reduced model	-99.7038	1	21.1215	4	0.0002996
AIC:	196.287				

*

*

*

*

Goodness	of	Fit
----------	----	-----

Dose	EstProb.	Expected	Observed	Size	Scaled Residual
0.0000 0.0100 0.1000 0.5000 2.0000	0.0627 0.0635 0.0709 0.1031 0.2142	3.760 3.810 4.254 6.185 12.851	3.000 0.000 7.000 11.000 10.000	60 60 60 60 60 60	-0.405 -2.017 1.381 2.044 -0.897
Chi^2 = 11.3	12 d.f. = 3	P-1	value = 0.0112	1	
Benchmark	Dose Computati	on			
Specified ef:	fect =	0.1			

Risk Type = Extra risk Confidence level = 0.95 BMD = 1.19507 BMDL = 0.660363 BMDU = 3.50031 Taken together, (0.660363, 3.50031) is a 90 % two-sided confidence interval for the BMD

incervar for the bib

BMD Cancer Multistage 4-Degree Polynomial Model Results for the Incidence of Tunica Vaginalis Mesothelioma in Male F344 Rats Exposed to Acrylamide in the Drinking Water (all doses included) (10% extra risk)



Total number of observations = 5 Total number of records with missing values = 0Total number of parameters in model = 5 Total number of specified parameters = 0 Degree of polynomial = 4 Maximum number of iterations = 250 Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 2.22045e-016 Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1.49012e-008 * * * * We are sorry but Relative Function and Parameter Convergence * * * * **** are currently unavailable in this model. Please keep checking **** **** the web sight for model updates which will eventually * * * * **** incorporate these convergence criterion. Default values used. **** Default Initial Parameter Values Background = 0.0755454 Beta(1) =0.0641515 Beta(2) =0 Beta(3) =0 Beta(4) =0 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates (*** The model parameter(s) -Beta(2) -Beta(3) -Beta(4) have been estimated at a boundary point, or have been specified by the user, and do not appear in the correlation matrix) Background Beta(1) Background 1 -0.61 Beta(1) -0.61 1 Parameter Estimates 95.0% Wald Confidence Interval Variable Estimate Std Err Lower Conf. Limit IInner

Canf	Timit	2002110000	5000 2221		OFFC
cont.	Background	0.0626677	*	*	
*	Beta(1)	0.0881624	*	*	
*	Beta(2)	0	*	*	
*	Beta(3)	0	*	*	
т ~	Beta(4)	0	*	*	
-					

*

* - Indicates that this value is not calculated.

Analysis of Deviance Table

Model	Log(likelihood)	# Param's	Deviance	Test d.f.	P-value
Full model	-89.143	5			
Fitted model	-96.1436	2	14.0011	3	0.002904
Reduced model	-99.7038	1	21.1215	4	0.0002996
	106 005				
ALC:	196.287				

Goodness	of	Fit

Dose	EstProb.	Expected	Observed	Size	Scaled Residual
0.0000 0.0100 0.1000 0.5000 2.0000	0.0627 0.0635 0.0709 0.1031 0.2142	$3.760 \\ 3.810 \\ 4.254 \\ 6.185 \\ 12.851$	3.000 0.000 7.000 11.000 10.000	60 60 60 60 60	-0.405 -2.017 1.381 2.044 -0.897
Chi^2 = 11	.12 d.f.	= 3 P-	value = 0.011	1	
Benchmar	k Dose Computa	ation			
Specified e	effect =	0.1			
Risk Type	=]	Extra risk			

0.95	Confidence level =
1.19507	BMD =
0.660363	BMDL =
3.50031	BMDU =

Taken together, (0.660363, 3.50031) is a 90 % two-sided confidence interval for the BMD

BMD Cancer Multistage 1-Degree Polynomial Model Results for the Incidence of Tunica Vaginalis Mesothelioma in Male F344 Rats Exposed to Acrylamide in the Drinking Water (Highest dose dropped) (10% extra risk)



```
Dependent variable = incidence
         Independent variable = dose
       Total number of observations = 4
       Total number of records with missing values = 0
       Total number of parameters in model = 2
       Total number of specified parameters = 0
       Degree of polynomial = 1
       Maximum number of iterations = 250
       Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 2.22045e-016
       Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1.49012e-008
      **** We are sorry but Relative Function and Parameter Convergence
                                                                         * * * *
      **** are currently unavailable in this model. Please keep checking ****
**** the web sight for model updates which will eventually ****
      **** incorporate these convergence criterion. Default values used. ****
                       Default Initial Parameter Values
                          Background = 0.0423896
                             Beta(1) = 0.335431
                 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates
                  Background
                                 Beta(1)
                        1
      Background
                                 -0.6
         Beta(1) -0.6
                                    1
                                     Parameter Estimates
                                                            95.0% Wald Confidence
Interval
            Variable Estimate Std. Err. Lower Conf. Limit Upper
Conf. Limit
           Background
                          0.0340742
                                                *
                                                *
                                                                *
             Beta(1)
                           0.390914
      * - Indicates that this value is not calculated.
                             Analysis of Deviance Table
            Model
                     Log(likelihood) # Param's Deviance Test d.f. P-value
           Full model -62.1094
                                       4
         Fitted model
                                           2
                           -65.5208
                                                   6.822920.0329918.204630.0003991
        Reduced model
                           -71.2117
                                            1
                AIC:
                            135.042
                                      Goodness of Fit
                                                                   Scaled
          Dose Est._Prob. Expected Observed Size Residual
        ______
         0.00000.03412.0443.000600.6800.01000.03782.2710.00060-1.5360.10000.07114.2667.000601.3730.50000.205612.33411.00060-0.426
```

*

*

Chi² = 4.89 d.f. = 2 P-value = 0.0867

Benchmark Dose Computation

Specified effect = 0.1 Risk Type = Extra risk Confidence level = 0.95 BMD = 0.269523 BMDL = 0.163397 BMDU = 0.578796 Taken together, (0.163397, 0.578796) is a 90 % two-sided confidence interval for the BMD

BMD Cancer Multistage 2-Degree Polynomial Model Results for the Incidence of Tunica Vaginalis Mesothelioma in Male F344 Rats Exposed to Acrylamide in the Drinking Water (Highest dose dropped) (10% extra risk)



```
Independent variable = dose
       Total number of observations = 4
       Total number of records with missing values = 0
       Total number of parameters in model = 3
       Total number of specified parameters = 0
       Degree of polynomial = 2
       Maximum number of iterations = 250
       Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 2.22045e-016
       Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1.49012e-008
      **** We are sorry but Relative Function and Parameter Convergence
                                                                           * * * *
      **** are currently unavailable in this model. Please keep checking ****
           the web sight for model updates which will eventually
      * * * *
                                                                           * * * *
      **** incorporate these convergence criterion. Default values used. ****
                        Default Initial Parameter Values
                           Background = 0.0423896
                              Beta(1) =
                                           0.335431
                              Beta(2) =
                                                  0
                 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates
                 ( *** The model parameter(s) -Beta(2)
                       have been estimated at a boundary point, or have been specified
by the user,
                       and do not appear in the correlation matrix )
                   Background
                                  Beta(1)
      Background
                        1
                                     -0.6
         Beta(1)
                        -0.6
                                       1
                                       Parameter Estimates
                                                              95.0% Wald Confidence
Interval
                                             Std. Err. Lower Conf. Limit Upper
             Variable
                            Estimate
Conf. Limit
           Background
                            0.0340742
                                                  *
                                                                  *
                            0.390914
                                                  *
                                                                  *
              Beta(1)
                                    0
                                                  *
              Beta(2)
      * - Indicates that this value is not calculated.
```

Analysis of Deviance Table

Model	Log(likelihood)	# Param's	Deviance	Test d.f.	P-value
Full model	-62.1094	4			
Fitted model	-65.5208	2	6.8229	2	0.03299
Reduced model	-71.2117	1	18.2046	3	0.0003991
AIC:	135.042				

		Good	ness of	Fit	
					Scaled
Dose	EstProb.	Expected	Observe	ed Size	Residual

*

*

						_
0.0000	0.0341	2.044	3.000	60	0.680	
0.0100	0.0378	2.271	0.000	60	-1.536	
0.1000	0.0711	4.266	7.000	60	1.373	
0.5000	0.2056	12.334	11.000	60	-0.426	

Chi² = 4.89 d.f. = 2 P-value = 0.0867

Benchmark Dose Computation

Specified effect	=	0.1
Risk Type	=	Extra risk
Confidence level	=	0.95
BMD	=	0.269523
BMDL	=	0.163397
BMDU	=	0.578796

Taken together, (0.163397, 0.578796) is a 90 % two-sided confidence interval for the BMD

BMD Cancer Multistage 3-Degree Polynomial Model Results for the Incidence of Tunica Vaginalis Mesothelioma in Male F344 Rats Exposed to Acrylamide in the Drinking Water (Highest dose dropped) (10% extra risk)



```
Independent variable = dose
       Total number of observations = 4
       Total number of records with missing values = 0
       Total number of parameters in model = 4
       Total number of specified parameters = 0
       Degree of polynomial = 3
       Maximum number of iterations = 250
       Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 2.22045e-016
       Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1.49012e-008
      **** We are sorry but Relative Function and Parameter Convergence
                                                                           ****
      **** are currently unavailable in this model. Please keep checking ****
      * * * *
           the web sight for model updates which will eventually
                                                                           * * * *
      **** incorporate these convergence criterion. Default values used. ****
                        Default Initial Parameter Values
                           Background = 0.0423896
                              Beta(1) =
                                           0.335431
                              Beta(2) =
                                                 0
                                                  0
                              Beta(3) =
                 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates
                 ( *** The model parameter(s) -Beta(2)
                                                          -Beta(3)
                       have been estimated at a boundary point, or have been specified
by the user,
                       and do not appear in the correlation matrix )
                   Background
                                 Beta(1)
      Background
                          1
                                     -0.6
                        -0.6
                                       1
         Beta(1)
                                       Parameter Estimates
                                                              95.0% Wald Confidence
Interval
                            Estimate
             Variable
                                             Std. Err. Lower Conf. Limit Upper
Conf. Limit
                            0.0340742
                                                 *
                                                                  *
           Background
                                                 *
              Beta(1)
                            0.390914
                                                                  *
              Beta(2)
                                     0
                                                 *
                                     0
                                                  *
              Beta(3)
      * - Indicates that this value is not calculated.
                              Analysis of Deviance Table
```

Log(likelihood)	# Param's	Deviance	Test d.f.	P-value
-62.1094	4			
-65.5208	2	6.8229	2	0.03299
-71.2117	1	18.2046	3	0.0003991
135 042				
	Log(likelihood) -62.1094 -65.5208 -71.2117	Log(likelihood) # Param's -62.1094 4 -65.5208 2 -71.2117 1 135.042	Log(likelihood) # Param's Deviance -62.1094 4 -65.5208 2 6.8229 -71.2117 1 18.2046 135.042	Log(likelihood) # Param's Deviance Test d.f. -62.1094 4 -65.5208 2 6.8229 2 -71.2117 1 18.2046 3 135.042

*

*

*

	Goodness of Fit						
	Dose	EstProb.	Expected	Observed	Size	Residual	
()	0.0000 0.0100 0.1000 0.5000	0.0341 0.0378 0.0711 0.2056	2.044 2.271 4.266 12.334	3.000 0.000 7.000 11.000	60 60 60 60	0.680 -1.536 1.373 -0.426	
Chi	^2 = 4.89	d.f. =	2 P-v	value = 0.08	867		
Benchmark Dose Computation							
Spec	ified effe	ct =	0.1				
Risk	Туре	= E:	xtra risk				
Conf	idence leve	el =	0.95				
	BI	MD =	0.269523				
	BM	DL =	0.163397				
	BM	DU =	0.578796				
Taken together, (0.163397, 0.578796) is a 90 % two-sided confidence interval for the BMD							

BMD Cancer Multistage 1-Degree Polynomial Model Results for the Incidence of Tunica Vaginalis Mesothelioma in Male F344 Rats Exposed to Acrylamide in the Drinking Water (Two highest dose dropped) (10% extra risk)



```
Dependent variable = incidence
         Independent variable = dose
       Total number of observations = 3
       Total number of records with missing values = 0
Total number of parameters in model = 2
       Total number of specified parameters = 0
       Degree of polynomial = 1
       Maximum number of iterations = 250
       Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 2.22045e-016
       Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1.49012e-008
      **** We are sorry but Relative Function and Parameter Convergence
                                                                         * * * *
      **** are currently unavailable in this model. Please keep checking ****
**** the web sight for model updates which will eventually ****
      **** incorporate these convergence criterion. Default values used. ****
                       Default Initial Parameter Values
                          Background = 0.022083
                                         0.985041
                             Beta(1) =
                Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates
                  Background
                                 Beta(1)
                       1
                                 -0.59
      Background
         Beta(1) -0.59
                                  1
                                     Parameter Estimates
                                                            95.0% Wald Confidence
Interval
            Variable Estimate Std. Err. Lower Conf. Limit Upper
Conf. Limit
           Background
                          0.0263158
                                                *
                                                *
                                                                *
             Beta(1)
                           0.854491
      * - Indicates that this value is not calculated.
                             Analysis of Deviance Table
            Model Log(likelihood) # Param's Deviance Test d.f. P-value
           Full model -33.5247
                                       3
         Fitted model
                           -36.1941
                                           2
                                                  5.33864 1
10.1918 2
                                                                         0.02086
        Reduced model
                           -38.6206
                                           1
                                                                         0.006122
                AIC:
                            76.3881
                                      Goodness of Fit
                                                                   Scaled
          Dose Est._Prob. Expected Observed Size Residual
        ______
         0.00000.02631.5793.000601.1460.01000.03462.0760.00060-1.4660.10000.10616.3647.000600.267
```

d.f. = 1 P-value = 0.0601 $Chi^{2} = 3.54$

*

*

Benchmark Dose Computation

Specified effect = 0.1 Risk Type = Extra risk Confidence level = 0.95 BMD = 0.123302 BMDL = 0.0608676 BMDU = 0.519103

Taken together, (0.0608676, 0.519103) is a 90 % two-sided confidence interval for the BMD

BMD Cancer Multistage 2-Degree Polynomial Model Results for the Incidence of Tunica Vaginalis Mesothelioma in Male F344 Rats Exposed to Acrylamide in the Drinking Water (Two highest dose dropped) (10% extra risk)



```
Independent variable = dose
       Total number of observations = 3
       Total number of records with missing values = 0
       Total number of parameters in model = 3
       Total number of specified parameters = 0
       Degree of polynomial = 2
       Maximum number of iterations = 250
       Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 2.22045e-016
       Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1.49012e-008
      **** We are sorry but Relative Function and Parameter Convergence
                                                                           * * * *
      **** are currently unavailable in this model. Please keep checking ****
           the web sight for model updates which will eventually
      * * * *
                                                                           ****
      **** incorporate these convergence criterion. Default values used. ****
                        Default Initial Parameter Values
                           Background = 0.0249685
                             Beta(1) =
                                                 Ω
                             Beta(2) =
                                          9.85045
                 Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates
                 ( *** The model parameter(s) -Beta(1)
                      have been estimated at a boundary point, or have been specified
by the user,
                       and do not appear in the correlation matrix )
                   Background
                                 Beta(2)
      Background
                        1
                                    -0.56
         Beta(2)
                      -0.56
                                       1
                                      Parameter Estimates
                                                             95.0% Wald Confidence
Interval
                                             Std. Err. Lower Conf. Limit Upper
             Variable
                            Estimate
Conf. Limit
           Background
                            0.0251256
                                                 *
                                                                  *
                                   0
                                                 *
                                                                  *
             Beta(1)
                      9.72992
                                                 *
              Beta(2)
      * - Indicates that this value is not calculated.
                             Analysis of Deviance Table
```

Model	Log(likelihood)	# Param's	Deviance	Test d.f.	P-value
Full model	-33.5247	3			
Fitted model	-35.7015	2	4.35343	1	0.03693
Reduced model	-38.6206	1	10.1918	2	0.006122
AIC:	75.4029				

		Goodne	ess of	Fit		
		_		_		Scaled
Dose	EstProb.	Expected	Observe	d	Size	Residual

*

*
0.0000	0.0251	1.508	3.000	60	1.231
0.0100	0.0261	1.564	0.000	60	-1.267
0.1000	0.1155	6.931	7.000	60	0.028

Chi^2 = 3.12 d.f. = 1 P-value = 0.0772

Benchmark Dose Computation

Specified effect = 0.1 Risk Type = Extra risk Confidence level = 0.95 BMD = 0.10406 BMDL = 0.0688637 BMDU = 0.324378

Taken together, (0.0688637, 0.324378) is a 90 % two-sided confidence interval for the BMD

Multistage Cancer Slope Factor = 1.45214

BMD Cancer Multistage 1-Degree Polynomial Model Results for the Incidence of Thyroid Follicular Cell Adenoma in Male F344 Rats Exposed to Acrylamide in the Drinking Water (10% extra risk)



```
Independent variable = dose
Total number of observations = 5
Total number of records with missing values = 0
Total number of parameters in model = 2
Total number of specified parameters = 0
Degree of polynomial = 1
Maximum number of iterations = 250
Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 2.22045e-016
Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1.49012e-008
**** We are sorry but Relative Function and Parameter Convergence
**** are currently unavailable in this model. Please keep checking
**** the web sight for model updates which will eventually
**** incorporate these convergence criterion. Default values used.
****
```

```
Default Initial Parameter Values
Background = 0.00953434
Beta(1) = 0.0562379
```

Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates

	Background	Beta(1)
Background	1	-0.54
Beta(1)	-0.54	1

Parameter Estimates

T				JJ.00 Mara com	actice
Interval	Variable	Estimate	Std. Err.	Lower Conf. Limit	Upper
*	Background	0.0117608	*	*	
*	Beta(1)	0.0517152	*	*	

* - Indicates that this value is not calculated.

Analysis of Deviance Table

Mod	lel	Log(likelihood)	# Param's	Deviance	Test	d.f.	P-value
Full	model	-40.3781	5				
Fitted	model	-41.9922	2	3.22812		3	0.3578
Reduced	model	-46.9722	1	13.1881		4	0.01039

AIC: 87.9844

Goodness of Fit					
Dose	EstProb.	Expected	Observed	Size	Scaled Residual
0.0000 0.0100 0.1000 0.5000 2.0000	0.0118 0.0123 0.0169 0.0370 0.1089	0.706 0.712 0.995 2.182 6.423	1.000 0.000 2.000 1.000 7.000	60 58 59 59 59	0.352 -0.849 1.017 -0.816 0.241

Chi^2 = 2.60 d.f. = 3 P-value = 0.4572

uiuc = 0.1572

95 0% Wald Confidence

Benchmark Dose Computation

Specified effect	=	0.1
Risk Type	=	Extra risk
Confidence level	=	0.95
BMD	=	2.03732
BMDL	=	1.11679
BMDU	=	4.98748

Taken together, (1.11679, 4.98748) is a 90 % two-sided confidence interval for the BMD

Multistage Cancer Slope Factor = 0.0895426

BMD Cancer Multistage 1-Degree Polynomial Model Results for the Incidence of Adrenal Pheochromocytoma in Male F344 Rats Exposed to Acrylamide in the Drinking Water (10% extra risk)



Multistage Cancer Model with 0.95 Confidence Level

6/15/2009

```
The parameter betas are restricted to be positive
  Dependent variable = incidence
  Independent variable = dose
Total number of observations = 5
Total number of records with missing values = 0
Total number of parameters in model = 2
Total number of specified parameters = 0
Degree of polynomial = 1
Maximum number of iterations = 250
Relative Function Convergence has been set to: 2.22045e-016
Parameter Convergence has been set to: 1.49012e-008
**** We are sorry but Relative Function and Parameter Convergence
                                                                    ****
**** are currently unavailable in this model. Please keep checking ****
**** the web sight for model updates which will eventually
                                                                   * * * *
**** incorporate these convergence criterion. Default values used. ****
                 Default Initial Parameter Values
                    Background = 0.087802
                      Beta(1) =
                                 0.0427132
          Asymptotic Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates
            Background
                           Beta(1)
                   1
Background
                            -0.55
               -0.55
  Beta(1)
                                1
                               Parameter Estimates
                                                      95.0% Wald Confidence
```

Variable	Estimate	Std. Err.	Lower Conf. Limit	Upper
Background	0.0879228	*	*	
Beta(1)	0.0413823	*	*	
	Variable t Background Beta(1)	Variable Estimate t Background 0.0879228 Beta(1) 0.0413823	VariableEstimateStd. Err.t0.0879228*Beta(1)0.0413823*	VariableEstimateStd. Err.Lower Conf. LimitBackground0.0879228**Beta(1)0.0413823**

* - Indicates that this value is not calculated.

Analysis of Deviance Table

Model	Log(likelihood)	# Param's	Deviance	Test d.f.	P-value
Full model	-99.2572	5			
Fitted model	-100.594	2	2.67284	3	0.4449
Reduced model	-101.734	1	4.95289	4	0.2922
AIC:	205.187				

Goodness of Fit					
Dose	EstProb.	Expected	Observed	Size	Scaled Residual
0.0000 0.0100 0.1000	0.0879 0.0883 0.0917	5.275 5.210 5.501	3.000 7.000 7.000 7.000	60 59 60	-1.037 0.821 0.670

0.5000	0.1066	6.396	5.000	60	-0.584
2.0000	0.1604	9.622	10.000	60	0.133

Chi^2 = 2.56 d.f. = 3 P-value = 0.4647

Benchmark Dose Computation

Specified effect	=	0.1
Risk Type	=	Extra risk
Confidence level	=	0.95
BMD	=	2.54603
BMDL	=	1.0776
BMDU did not conv	verge fo	or $BMR = 0.100000$
BMDU calculation BMDU	= 1.5	57654e+068

Multistage Cancer Slope Factor = 0.0927984

APPENDIX E. DERIVATION OF IN VIVO SECOND ORDER RATE CONSTANTS AND THE ADDUCT FORMATION SIMULATION MODEL

BACKGROUND

Two methods have been used to construct blood AUC's for AA and GA following administration: 1) calculation directly from measured blood AA and GA time course data, or 2) by inference from concentrations of AA-valine or GA-valine hemoglobin adducts measured in red blood cells (Fennell et al., 2005). Blood AA and GA AUC's are calculated according to Fennell et al. (2005) by dividing the measured adduct levels by the second order rate constant for the formation of the adduct:

$$Dose = \frac{1}{k} x \frac{RHb}{Hb}$$

where k, the second order reaction rate constant, expressed in units of l/g globin/h, [RHb] is the adduct concentration, and [Hb] is the concentration of hemoglobin.

This hemoglobin adduct formation rate constant has typically been derived in vitro using red blood cell hemoglobin rather than whole blood (Fennell et al., 2003, 2005; Tareke et al., 2006; Törnqvist et al. 2008). The accuracy of AUC's calculated using the in vitro derived rate constant depends directly upon the accuracy of this estimate for the actual formation rates in vivo. The results are also sensitive to processes involved in the "loss or elimination" of adducts over time—red blood cell turnover, chemical loss of adduct, and body weight dependent increases in blood volume. Equations to account for these losses in adducts over time are available (Fennel et al., 2005; Walker et al., 2007), however, for exposures of 1 day or less, the influence of these processes on adduct levels and AUC's estimated from them can be ignored (Fennel et al., 2005; Walker et al., 2007).

Until recently there were insufficient data from which to derive either animal or human in vivo hemoglobin adduct formation or elimination rates. Human data remains unavailable at this time, however, Tareke et al. (2006) reported AA-Valine and GA-Valine hemoglobin adduct levels in rats and mice exposed to single doses of AA or GA where serum level time course data had also been collected (Doerge et al., 2005 b, c). Tareke et al. (2006) also measured AA-Valine and GA-Valine hemoglobin adduct levels in rats exposed to AA in drinking water for up to 42 days, as well as the loss rate of both AA and GA adducts at the end of drinking water exposure. Together, these data are sufficient to derive in vivo adduct formation and loss rates for the AA-

E-1

Valine and GA-Valine adducts that can be used to improve the estimates of blood AA and GA AUCs for a drinking water exposure when only data on hemoglobin adduct levels are available.

DEVELOPMENT OF IN VIVO ADDUCT FORMATION RATE CONSTANTS

The derivation of in vivo adduct formation rates requires three critical types of data from a single study: 1) the administered dose, 2) time course serum levels, and 3) time course adduct levels (including sufficient post dosing sample times to determine elimination rates) if longer than one day of exposure.

In vivo adduct formation rate constants for rats

The only studies meeting the above data requirement to derive rat in vivo rate constants are those from Doerge et al. (2005c) and Tareke et al. (2006). EPA has derived in vivo gender specific adduct formation rates based upon these single dose in vivo studies in male and female F344 rats. Table E-1 below list the raw serum AUCs that were available in numerical tables from Doerge et al. (2005c) and the levels of hemoglobin that were taken from bar chart compilations in Tareke et al. (2006).

Type of adduct from AA dosing	sex- route	Hb adduct level (fmole/mg globin)	AUC (uM-hr)
AA-Val	M-control	9	0
AA-Val	M-Diet	19.5	1.8
AA-Val	M- gavage	20	2.4
AA-Val	M-IV	46.5	4.1
AA-Val	F-control	12	0
AA-Val	F-Diet	23	1.5
AA-Val	F-gavage	29	4.5
AA-Val	F-IV	49.5	4.6
GA-Val	M-control	32.5	0
GA-Val	M-IV	36	0.58
GA-Val	M- gavage	64	1.3
GA-Val	M-Diet	98.5	1.9
GA-Val	F-control	45	0
GA-Val	F-IV	48.5	0.6
GA-Val	F-Diet	102	1.5
GA-Val	F-gavage	131	4.4

Table E-1. Serum AUC from Doerge et al. (2005c) and hemoglobin adduct levels from Tareke et al (2008) for a 0.1 mg/kg single dose of AA in male and female F344 rats.

A linear regression of the hemoglobin adduct levels against the AUCs resulted in the following correlations coefficients (r), equations, and slopes.

			y- Intercep		Ratio of Slope GA-	
Gender	Adduct	Slope	t	r2	Val / AA-Val	r
Male	AA-Val	8.92	5.24	0.94		0.97
Female	AA-Val	5.90	12.73	0.85		0.92
		Average = 7.4				
Male	GA-Val	35.33	24.36	0.96	3.96	0.98
Female	GA-Val	19.98	49.16	0.93	3.38	0.96
		Average = 27.7				

Regression of hemoglobin adduct levels to AUC to derive in vivo second order rate constants for adduct formation (i.e., the slope of the regression line)

Example equation:

AA-Val (fmoles/mg globin) for males = 8.9 AA AUC (uM-h) + 5.2 GA-Val (fmoles/mg globin) for females = 20.0 AA AUC (uM-h) + 49.2

The slopes from these linear regressions (8.9 for male F344 rats, 5.9 for female F344 rats, and a 7.4 average value) represent the in vivo second order rate constants for AA-Val in units of 1/g globin/h (multiplied by 10^6). 7.4 as the average of both genders (in units of [1/g globin/h]multiplied by 10^6 in Table 5-5 for ease of presentation). The GA-Val formation rate constants are 35.3 for males, 20.0 for females, and a 27.7 average for both genders. Tareke et al. (2006) do not report gender specific slopes, but they do report a slope of 7.5 for AA-Val (both genders), and 35 for GA-Val (both genders). The higher GA-Val slope for both genders from Tareke et al. (2006) of 35 compared with the average from EPA's derivation (27.7) may have resulted from including the serum and adduct data from administered doses of GA in their analysis, data that were not included in EPA's regression analysis which only used the data from AA dosing. EPA chose to derive the gender specific rates to more accurately estimate the internal rat AUC from the POD because the measured AUC data in Doerge et al. (2005c) from single doses of AA indicate that males rats have a lower serum GA-AUC than females from a given dose of AA. The estimated AUCs per mg AA/kg bw reported in the Törnqvist et al. (2008) drinking water study also confirm this gender difference in rats (see Tables 5-6 and 5-7). The higher overall values of AUC reported by Törnqvist et al. (2008) may result from their use of in vitro derived formation rate constant to estimate AUC based on adduct data compared with the direct serum AUC measurements reported by Doerge et al. (2005c).

In vivo adduct formation rate constants for humans

No human in vivo data are available for all three of the variables needed to directly develop human in vivo adduct formation rate constants. The best surrogate for a human in vivo rate constant in the derivation of reference values is the in vivo rate constants that Tareke et al. (2006) derived from a linear regression of the all the available in vivo adduct level versus AUC data for male and female mice and rats from the single dose studies of Doerge et el. (2005 b,c) and Tareke et al. (2006). Below is the scatter plot and results of the regression reproduced from Tareke et al. (2006).



Fig. 4. Correlation of hemoglobin adducts for acrylamide and glycidamide with the respective serum AUC in F344 rats and $B6C3F_1$ mice exposed to single dose gavage administration of acrylamide (0.1 mg/kg bw) or an equimolar gavage dose of glycidamide. Individual data points shown represent group mean hemoglobin adducts and AUC values for male and female mice and rats.

Source: Tareke et al. (2006)

The regression results for AA-Val are: AA-Val (fmoles/mg globin) = 7.5 AA AUC (uM-h) + 8 (r2 = 0.88, p<0.001)

and for GA-Val:

GA-Val (fmoles/mg globin) = 32.5 GA AUC (uM-h) + 41.5 (r2 = 0.83, p<0.001)

E-4

The resulting in vivo adduct formation rate constants of 7.5 x 10^{-6} AA-Val l/g globin/h, and 32.5 x 10^{-6} GA-Val l/g globin/h were applied to the Fennell 2005 data to derive an AA or GA AUC/AA mg kg bw/d factor then used to convert the rat POD-AUC to a human administered dose.

These in vivo adduct rate constants are not gender specific. Gender specific rates were not considered to be critical in the derivation of the HEC for humans based on epidemiology results from Hartmann et al. (2009) who did not observe a gender-related difference in internal exposure and metabolism of AA in a study of a nonsmoking general population especially designed for an even distribution of age and gender.

DEVELOPMENT OF THE ADDUCT FORMATION MODEL TO ESTIMATE THE RAT AUCS AT THE POD

Summary

To derive the rat AA-AUC or GA-AUC per dose of AA administered in a drinking water study, EPA developed a simple model to fit the reported levels of AA-Val and GA-Val (Tareke et al., 2006) that resulted from the Doerge et al. (2000a) rat drinking water exposure. The model was parameterized with the gender specific rat in vivo adduct formation rate constants derived by EPA (discussed above), and the adduct elimination rate constants reported by Tareke et al. (2006). The model simulations resulted in the following AUC conversion factors:

AA AUC in μ M-hr per mg AA/kg bw = 22 (for males) and 48 (for females) GA AUC in μ M-hr per mg AA/kg bw = 15 (for males) and 48 (for females)

These values were used to estimate the rat internal AA-AUC that would result from the BMDL (i.e., as the POD from the Friedman et al. (1995) study data) for neurotoxicity used as the basis for the RfD, and for the GA-AUC from the BMDL (POD from the Johnson et al. (1986) data) for increased risk of tumors used to derive the oral slope factor.

The Adduct Formation Model

Tereke et al. (2006) reported the AA-Valine and GA-Valine hemoglobin adduct level time course in male and female rats exposed chronically by drinking water to AA. Tereke also measured the loss rate of both AA and GA adducts after the end of AA exposure. Separately, Doerge et al (Doerge, da Costa et al. 2005) reported blood AA and GA concentrations at several time points over the duration of the 48-day study. The data from both studies was obtained by digitization from original graphs using Data Thief software.

One can either directly estimate the AUC fro a given DW dose if there is sufficient blood level time course data. Alternately, one can derive blood AA and GA AUC from time course data on hemoglobin adduct levels and in vivo formation and loss rates for the AA-Valine and GA-Valine adducts. The authors of the two studies, did not report when blood samples for adducts or AA and GA measurements were taken. Although the experimental blood AA and GA data appear to represent a steady state, the clearance of both AA and GA are high enough that oral gavage, drinking water or other repeat dose studies result in blood AA and GA levels that fluctuate significantly throughout the day. Thus, the timing of the blood samples taken for analysis of AA and GA will have a significant impact on any estimate of the AUC. If blood sampling was conducted during an active period of drinking, blood concentrations and presumed exposure would be high, the inverse being true if sampling was conducted just after a sleeping/non drinking period. Because the adduct half-life is between 10 and 13 days (Doerge, da Costa et al. 2005; Tareke, Twaddle et al. 2006) adduct measurements are not subject to this uncertainty.

The blood AA and GA concentration data reported by Doerge and Tereke at "various" but otherwise unspecified times, were therefore assumed not to reliable measures of overall blood AA or GA exposure. The alternate method of using adduct levels and formation and loss rate constants was therefore used to estimate the AUCs from a drinking water exposure, and to develop conversion factors to estimate the AUC from the rat study PODs.

The rates used to parameterize the model were the gender specific rat in vivo adduct formation rate constants derived by EPA (discussed above), and the adduct elimination rate constants reported by Tareke et al. (2006).

Adduct Model Equations

A simulation model of the formation and removal rates of AA-valine and GA-valine hemoglobin adducts as a function of hemoglobin and AA or GA concentrations was developed in ACSLXtreme. The amount of adduct (AADDUCT, umoles) at any time post exposure was calculated as the integral of the balance between the formation and removal rates

$$AADDUCT = \int_{0}^{t} KHGB1 \times RBCHGB \times VRBC \times BLCONC - KHGBD \times AADDUCT$$
(Eq.1)

Where KHGB1 (L/d-gram hemoglobin) is the second order rate constant for the formation of the adduct, RBCHGB (grams hemoglobin/L in RBC's) is the concentration of hemoglobin in red blood cells, VRBC is the volume of red blood cells (L), BLCONC is the concentration of AA or GA in the blood (uM), and KHGBD (/day) is the first order rate constant for loss of AA-Valine or GA-Valine adducts. A unit analysis was conducted to verify the code, which was found to be accurate. Several other parameters are used in the model to derive values used in Eq.1. Body weight (0.25 kg), hematocrit (0.45), and fraction of body weight that is blood (0.06) were taken from Walker et al. (2007)

An ACSLXtreme table function was adapted for use with single blood concentrations representative of daily blood AA and GA exposures expected over the course of each day (see Section 3.1).

Parameter estimation tools in ACSLXtreme were used to optimize the value of the AA or GA blood concentration. Scripts (.m files) were written for each simulation, as for all optimizations, and can be found in the model workspace.

Modeling Approach

The in vivo gender specific adduct formation rates used in the model were derived from the serum and adult data reported in Doerge et al. (2005c) and Tareke et al. (2006) from single dose in vivo studies in male and female F344 rats. The in vivo rate constants for loss of AA and GA hemoglobin adducts were taken directly from Tereke (Tareke, Twaddle et al. 2006).

The adduct model was used to derive the AA and GA AUCs from the Doerge et al. (2005a) drinking water study data by estimating the daily average blood concentration that would be needed to optimally fit the AA-Val and GA-Val adduct levels measured in the study while holding the adduct formation and elimination rates constant. The Nelder-Meade parameter optimization routine in ACSLX was used to optimize the average blood concentration against the adduct data.

Results

Optimized values of the AA-valine and GA-valine adduct formation and loss rates are presented in Table 1. In all cases, the there was excellent correspondence between measured and modeled AA-valine and GA-valine adduct levels using the fixed rate constants (Example in Figure 1).

Table E-2: Fitted AUC's and Rates Based on the Use of Rate Constants Derived from In Vivo Data

Compound	Gender	In Vivo				
		Formation Rate (L/hr-g	Elimination	Predicted AUC		
		HG)	Rate	(uM-d)		
Acrylamide	Female	5.9 x10 ⁻⁶	$1.3 \text{ x} 10^{-3}$	75.96		
	Male	$6.4 \text{ x} 10^{-6}$	$1.3 \text{ x} 10^{-3}$	43.97		
Glycidamide	Female	$2.0 \text{ x} 10^{-5}$	$2.6 \text{ x} 10^{-3}$	96.55		
	Male	3.5×10^{-5}	$2.2 \text{ x} 10^{-3}$	30.95		

HG, hemoglobin



Figure 1: Example of the fit to adduct data.

Uncertainties and Data Gaps

The most important uncertainty in this analysis is the use of in vivo formation rates derived from studies that single dose, rather than drinking water studies. Any errors in the values of these in vivo rate constants would be proportional to the difference between the assumed and actual blood AA and GA AUCs /from the drinking water exposure. This error could be reduced if blood sampling times and drinking behavior (time, volume) were known for the Doerge et al. (2005a) drinking water study.

REFERENCES

Doerge, D. R., G. G. da Costa, et al. (2005a). "DNA adducts derived from administration of acrylamide and glycidamide to mice and rats." Mutat Res 580(1-2): 131-41.

Doerge, DR; Young, JF; McDaniel, LP; et al. (2005b) Toxicokinetics of acrylamide and glycidamide in B6C3F1 mice. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 202(3):258–267.

Doerge, DR; Young, JF; McDaniel, LP; et al. (2005c) Toxicokinetics of acrylamide and glycidamide in Fischer 344 rats. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 208:199–209.

Fennell TR; Sumner SC; Snyder RW; et al. (2005) Metabolism and hemoglobin adduct formation of acrylamide in humans. Toxicol Sci 85(1):447–59.

Tareke, E., N. C. Twaddle, et al. (2006). "Relationships between biomarkers of exposure and toxicokinetics in Fischer 344 rats and B6C3F1 mice administered single doses of acrylamide and glycidamide and multiple doses of acrylamide." Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 217(1): 63-75.

Törnqvist, M; Paulsson, B; Vikström, AC; and Granath, F. (2008) Approach for cancer risk estimation of acrylamide in food on the basis of animal cancer tests and in vivo dosimetry. J Agric Food Chem. 56(15):6004-12.

Walker, K., D. Hattis, et al. (2007). "Approaches to acrylamide physiologically based toxicokinetic modeling for exploring child-adult dosimetry differences." J Toxicol Environ Health A 70(24): 2033-55.

APPENDIX F. ALTERNATE RFC BASED ON HUMAN EPIDEMIOLOGY DATA

An RfC can be derived from the limited Calleman et al. (1994) data for comparison purposes, and to highlight the uncertainties in the results due to these data to prompt improvements in the design of future studies.

Briefly, Calleman et al. (1994) performed a cross-sectional analysis of hemoglobin adduct formation and neurological effects in a group of 41 factory workers (34 males and 7 females, aged 18 to 42 years) who were exposed to AA (and acrylonitrile, from which AA is formed) for 1 month to 11.5 years (mean 3 years) during the production of AA in a factory in China. AA mean exposure concentrations, measured during the summer of 1991, were 1.07 and 3.27 mg/m^3 in the synthesis and polymerization rooms, respectively. Exposure concentrations measured during the time of collection of biomarker data (September 1991) were lower, averaging 0.61 and 0.58 mg/m³ in the synthesis and polymerization rooms, respectively. The exposed group included 13 synthesis workers, 12 polymerization workers, 5 packaging workers, and 6 ambulatory workers, classified according to their primary work location. The remaining four workers were either exposed for less than 6 months (two subjects) or had not been exposed to AA during the 4 months preceding the study. Blood sampling and medical and neurological examinations were performed approximately 1 hour after a work shift. The beginning of a work shift marked the beginning of 24-hour urine sampling. For vibration sensitivity testing, a referent group consisted of 105 unexposed healthy adults (51 males and 54 females aged 20-60 years). A historical control of 80 persons was used as referent for electroneuromyography tests. A group of 10 nonexposed male workers from the same city as the exposed group was used as a referent group for biomarkers of exposure and signs and symptoms of neurotoxicity.

A neurotoxicity index, with a maximal score of 50, was used to express severity of peripheral neuropathy (Table F-1); the information used to derive the score was collected by questionnaire. The prevalence of specific symptoms was also assessed individually. Biomarkers of exposure to AA that were reported in the study included free AA in plasma, mercapturic acids in urine, and the hemoglobin adduct formed by the reaction of AA with the N-terminal valine of hemoglobin (AAVal).

Endpoint	Points ^a
Numbness of extremities	1
Cramping pain	1
Loss of position sensation	2
Loss of pain sensation	0, 1, 2, or 3 ^b
Loss of touch sensation	0, 1, 2, or 3 ^b
Loss of vibration sensation ^c	
According to tuning fork	1
Vibration threshold in big toe	0, 1, or 2
Vibration threshold in index finger	0, 1, or 2
Clumsiness of hands	4
Difficulty grasping	4
Unsteady gait	4
Decrease or loss of ankle reflexes	3 or 5
Muscular atrophy	6
Electroneuromyographic abnormalities ^d	0.5 per abnormality (maximum 6)
Maximum total score	50

Table F-1. Scoring system for the neurotoxicity index

^aPoints were intended to reflect weight given to these observations by a clinical physician diagnosing a peripheral neuropathy.

^bWorkers who had lost their pain or touch sensation were assigned 1 to 3 points depending on the extent of loss: fingers, hands, or forearms.

^cThe ratio between the vibration threshold of an individual and that of the corresponding control group with regard to age was used for scoring vibration sensitivity using the Vibratron instrument. One point was given if this ratio was 1.5–2.5 for fingers or 1.5–4.0 for toes and 2 points if it was 2.5–5.0 for fingers or 4.0–8.0 for toes. ^dAbnormalities consisted of measured alterations in electrical activity of selected muscles and nerves.

Source: Calleman et al. (1994).

Group mean biomarker levels and neurotoxicity indices are presented in Table 5-10 for controls and the work locations of packaging, polymerization, ambulatory, and synthesis. The average neurotoxicity index scores, as well as the averages of the hemoglobin adduct levels of AA, decreased with physical distance from the synthesis room where the monomer itself was handled. This relationship was not reflected by measured free plasma AA, urinary mercapturic acid, or hemoglobin adduct levels of acrylonitrile or by results of hand or foot vibration sensitivity measurements or estimates of accumulated in vivo doses of AA. Statistically significant correlations were reported between each of the biomarkers of exposure and the calculated neurotoxicity indices, with the exception of free plasma AA concentrations.

	Free AA ^a (µmol/L)	Merc. ac. ^b (µmol/24 hours)	AAVal ^c (nmol/g)	ANVal ^d (nmol/g)	AccD _{AA} ^e (mM/hour)	NIn ^f
Controls	0.92	3 ± 1.8	0.0 ± 0.0	0.23 ± 0.18	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0
Packaging	2.2	93 ± 72	3.9 ± 2.5	19.1 ± 5.7	8.1 ± 6.6	8.9 ± 9.1
Polymerization	1.3	58 ± 75	7.7 ± 3.4	19.1 ± 12.9	27.0 ± 23.9	10.0 ± 5.8
Ambulatory	2.0	53 ± 35	9.5 ± 7.3	16.3 ± 3.7	37.6 ± 21.9	11.3 ± 9.8
Synthesis	1.8 ± 0.8	64 ± 46	13.4 ± 9.8	19.5 ± 7.6	68.3 ± 64.2	19.2 ± 10.6

Table F-2. Group means \pm SD of biomarkers in different categories of workers

^aFree plasma AA.

^bUrinary mercapturic acid.

^cHemoglobin adduct between N-terminal valine and AA.

^dHemoglobin adduct between N-terminal valine and acrylonitrile.

^ePredicted cumulative in vivo AA dose (based on rates of AA-hemoglobin adduct formation in human globin hydrolysates and mean AA exposure concentrations measured in areas of polymerization and synthesis by station sampling) (see Section 3.1 and Bergmark et al. [1993] for additional information). ^fNeurotoxicity index.

Source: Calleman et al. (1994).

The data for AA-Val neurotoxicity index (NIn) in Table F-2 are amenable to benchmark dose analysis as presented in Figure F-1.





Figure F-1. Benchmark Dose Analysis for Calleman et al. (1994) data

F-3

Using a benchmark dose response level of 1 standard deviation (i.e., NIn = 6.9), from overall mean response (NIn = 9.9), the BMD is 6.1 nmol AA-Val/g globin and the BMDL (as a the POD) is 3.5 nmol AA-Val/g globin. Under the assumption that this BMDL represents a

steady state level, the daily increment in adducts can be calculated using the equations presented in Fennell et al. (2005) as follows:

 $\begin{aligned} \text{Daily adduct increment} &= \frac{2}{RBC \, lifespan} \times \text{steady state adduct level} \\ \text{Daily adduct increment} &= \frac{2}{120} \times \frac{3.5 \, nmol \, AA - Val}{g \, globin} = \frac{0.058 \, nmol \, AA - Val}{g \, globin} \end{aligned}$

To convert this daily increment in AA-Val to a daily intake of AA (in mg AA/kg bw), the following equation is used for the value of 74.7 nmol AA-Val/g globin/mmol AA/kg bw reported in Fennell et al. (2005), and a factor of 71.08 mg AA / mMoles AA to convert the daily intale of AA to mg/kg bw:

Daily Intake of AA = Daily increment of AAVal
$$\div \frac{74.7 \text{ nmol AAVal}}{g \text{ globin}} \times \frac{71.08 \text{ mM AA}}{\text{mM AA}}$$

Daily Intake of AA = $\frac{0.05833 \text{ nmol AA} - \text{Val}}{g \text{ globin}} \div \frac{74.7 \text{ nmol AAVal}}{\frac{g \text{ globin}}{\text{mM AA}}} \times \frac{71.08 \text{ mM AA}}{\text{mM AA}}$
 $= \frac{0.056 \text{ mg AA}}{kg \text{ bw}}$

The air concentration that would provide a 70 kg person who breathes 20 m³ of air a 0.056 mg AA/kg bw daily intake is 0.19 mg/ m³.

Air Concentration_{BMDL-Daily Intake} = 0.056 mg / kg - day × 70 kg ÷
$$\frac{day}{20 m^3}$$
 = 0.19 mg / m³

This POD for a continuous inhalation exposure of 0.19 mg/m³ is divided by a total UF of 30: 10 for consideration of intraspecies variation (UF_H: human variability), and 3 for database uncertainty.

An UF 1 was selected for interspecies extrapolation because this RFC is based on human data.

An UF of 10 was used to account for interindividual variability in toxicokinetics and toxicodynamics to protect potentially sensitive populations and lifestages (UF_H).

An UF of 10 was used to extrapolate from a subchronic exposure duration to a chronic exposure duration (UF_s) because the point of departure was derived from a subchronic exposure to humans (i.e., the UF_s = 10).

An UF to account for database deficiency is not necessary for this derivation (i.e., $UF_D = 1$) because the toxicity database for laboratory animals repeatedly exposed to AA is robust and contains two 2-year carcinogenicity/toxicology drinking water studies in F344 rats and numerous shorter-term oral toxicity studies in animals; two two-generation reproductive toxicity studies, one in F344 rats and one in CD-1 mice; several single-generation reproductive toxicity studies involving prolonged prebreeding drinking water exposure of Long-Evans rats and ddY mice; and several developmental toxicity studies involving gestational exposure of Sprague-Dawley and Wistar rats and CD-1 mice. The database identifies nerve degeneration as the critical effect from chronic oral exposure. There are unresolved issues that warrant further research, including the MOA of AA neurotoxicity, the potential for behavioral or functional adverse effects not detected in the assays to date, and the uncertainty that heritable germ cell effects may occur at lower than previously reported doses. These issues, however, do not warrant applying a UF for database deficiencies.

The RfC for AA based on the Calleman et al. (1994) human data is calculated as follows:

RfC = Air Concentration $_{BMDL - Daily Intake} \div UF$ = 0.19 mg/m³ ÷ 100 = 0.002 mg/m³ (rounded to one significant digit)

Limitations in the Calleman et al (1994) data, and uncertainties in the results

The human data from the Calleman et al. (1994) study (described in detail in Section 4.1) are very limited due to a small number of subjects (n=41), the narrow representation of the general public (i.e., workers), and by a number of potentially confounding factors including concurrent exposure to another neurotoxin (acrylonitrile), aggregate exposure via both dermal and inhalation routes, a composite index of neurotoxicity, and control groups of different size and composition. There are also no other human inhalation toxicity studies to support or challenge the reproducibility or validity of the Calleman et al. (1994) study results.