EPA/600/R-00/058 July 2000 http://www.epa.gov/ncea/

Options for Development of Parametric Probability Distributions for Exposure Factors

National Center for Environmental Assessment-Washington Office Office of Research and Development U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Washington, DC

DISCLAIMER

This document has been reviewed in accordance with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency policy and approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

CONTENTS

				<u>Page</u>		
LIST	Γ OF T	ABLES		vi		
LIST	Г OF FI	IGURES	3	vi		
LIST	Γ OF A	BBREV	IATIONS	vii		
PRE	FACE			ix		
AUT	THORS	AND R	EVIEWERS	x		
1.	INTRODUCTION					
_,	1.1		w of Pertinent Statistical Theory and Concepts			
	1.2		num Likelihood Estimation			
	1.3		bility Models			
	1.4		sment of Goodness-of-Fit			
	1.5		tainty in Monte Carlo Risk Assessment Models			
	1.6		nary of a System for Fitting Exposure Factor Distributions			
		1.6.1	Models			
		1.6.2	Methods of Estimation of Model Parameters			
		1.6.3	Methods of Assessing Statistical GOF of Probability Models .			
		1.6.4	Methods of Estimating Uncertainty in the Model Parameters			
		1.6.5	System Output			
2.	A SYSTEM FOR FITTING DISTRIBUTIONS TO					
	EXP	OSURE	FACTOR DATA	2-1		
	2.1	Mode	ls	2-1		
	2.2	Metho	ods of Estimation	2-2		
		2.2.1	Maximum Likelihood Estimation	2-3		
		2.2.2	Minimum Chi-Square Estimation	2-3		
		2.2.3	Weighted Least Squares and Minimum Distance Estimation	2-4		
		2.2.4	Method of Moments Estimation	2-4		
		2.2.5	Estimation by Meta-Analysis	2-4		
		2.2.6	Regression on Age and Other Covariates	2-5		
		2.2.7	Distributions of Related Test Statistics	2-5		
		2.2.8	Recommended Methods of Estimation and Discussion	2-6		
	2.3	2.3 Methods of Assessing Statistical Goodness-of-Fit (GOF)				
		2.3.1	P-P Plots, Q-Q Plots, and Percent Error Plots	2-7		
		2.3.2	Relative and Absolute Tests of Model Fit	2-7		
		2.3.3	Likelihood Ratio Test of Fit Versus a More General Model	2-8		
		2.3.4	F Test of Fit Versus a More General Model	2-8		
		2.3.5	Pearson Chi-Square Goodness-of-Fit Test	2-8		

CONTENTS (continued)

		2.3.6 GOF Tests Based on the EDF				
		2.3.7 Recommended Methods for Assessing Statistical GOF and Discussion 2-9				
	2.4	Methods of Obtaining Distributions for Parameter Uncertainty 2-10				
		2.4.1 Model Uncertainty				
		2.4.2 Parameter Uncertainty				
		2.4.2.1 Uncertainty Analysis Based on Asymptotic Normality				
		of Parameter Estimates				
		2.4.2.2 Uncertainty Analysis Based on Bootstrapping 2-12				
		2.4.2.3 Uncertainty Analysis Based on the Normalized				
		Likelihood				
		2.4.2.4 Uncertainty Based on Meta-Analysis 2-13				
		2.4.3 Recommended Method for Uncertainty and Discussion 2-13				
	2.5	System Output (Summary of Reported Statistics)				
3.	ANA	LYSIS OF TAP WATER DATA 3-1				
	3.1	Methods				
	3.2	Results				
	3.3	Uncertainty Analysis 3-4				
	3.4	Conclusions				
4.		ANALYSIS OF POPULATION MOBILITY DATA				
	4.1	Methods				
		4.1.1 Data 4-1				
		4.1.2 Statistical Methods				
	4.2	Results				
	4.3	Uncertainty Analysis				
	4.4	Conclusions				
_	4 DD	A ACAMAN TO THIS A ATTOM BATTO				
5.		LICATION TO INHALATION RATES 5-1				
	5.1	Data 5-2				
	5.2	Statistical Methods				
	5.3	Results				
	5.4	Conclusions				
6.	DISC	CUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS				
•	6.1	Adequacy of Data				
	6.2	Application of Methodology to Other Exposure Factors 6-2				
	J.2	6.2.1 Case 1: Percentile Data				
		6.2.2 Case 2: Three to Five Statistics Available				
		6.2.3 Case 3: Two Statistics Available				
		0.2.0 0.000 0. 1.10 0.000000000000000000				

CONTENTS (continued)

	6.2.4	Case 4: At Most, One Statistic Is Available	6-6		
	6.2.5	Topics for Future Research	6-6		
7.	REFERENC	ES	7-1		
8.	APPENDICES				
	Appendix A.	Glossary and Abbreviations	A-1		
	Appendix B.	Fitting Models to Percentile Data	B-1		
	Appendix C.	Fitting Quantiles by Combining Nonlinear and Linear			
		Regression	C-1		
	Appendix D.	The Generalized (Power Transformed) F Family of			
		Nonnegative Probability Distributions	D-1		

LIST OF TABLES

	<u>Page</u>
Table 1-1.	Three MLEs of Prevalence, Given Different Observed Numbers
	of Infections
Table 1-2.	Computation of Chi-Square GOF for Tap Water Consumption by
	65 Years or Older; Probability Model Is a Gamma Distribution 1-24
Table 3-1a.	Chi-Square GOF Statistics for 12 Age-Specific Models, Fit to
	Tap Water Data, Based on Maximum Likelihood Method of
	Parameter Estimation
Table 3-1b.	P-Values for Chi-Square GOF Tests of 12 Age-Specific
	Models, Tap Water Data
Table 3-2.	Results of Statistical Modeling of Tap Water Data Using Five-Parameter Generalized F and Two-Parameter Gamma, Lognormal, and Weibull Models 3-9
Table 3-3.	Uncertainty Distribution of Gamma Parameters Estimated from
Table 3-4.	Tap Water Data
14616 5 1.	Drinking Water Distributions Using Asymptotic Normality
Table 3-5.	Uncertainty Analysis Based on Asymptotic Normality Using Two-Step
	Simulation Process for Two-Parameter Gamma Distributions
Table 4-1.	Selected Percentiles of Residence Times in Years from Three Key Studies 4-5
Table 4-2.	Residence Time Distributions in Years from Johnson and Capel (1992) 4-6
Table 4-3.	Results of Statistical Modeling of Population Mobility Data 4-7
Table 5-1.	Parameter Estimates for Individual Factors Affecting Long-Term
m 11 7 0	Inhalation Rates (m³/day)
Table 5-2.	Estimated Mean, Coefficient of Variation, and Quantiles for Inhalation
	Rate (m³/day), Assuming Gamma or Lognormal Distribution 5-7
	LIST OF FIGURES
Figure 1-1.	Histograms and PDFs
Figure 1-2.	PDFs and CDFs
Figure 1-3.	Examples of Parametric PDFs
Figure 1-4.	Demonstration of MLE
Figure 1-5.	Tap Water Gamma GOF Plots: Adults 65 Years Old and Older 1-30
Figure 1-6.	Tap Water Gamma P-P Plot and Q-Q Plots: Adults 65 Years Old and Older . 1-31
Figure 3-1.	Tap Water Intake P-P Plots, EFH Table 3-7, Children
Figure 3-2.	Tap Water Intake Q-Q Plots, EFH Table 3-7, Children

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AD Anderson Darling

BMR basal metabolic rate (MJ/day)

CDF cumulative distribution function

CV coefficient of variance

CvM Cramer-von Mises

df degrees of freedom

EDF empirical distribution function

EFH Exposure Factors Handbook

GOF goodness-of-fit

H oxygen uptake factor, the volume of oxygen (at standard temperature and

pressure, dry air) consumed in the production of 1 MJ energy expended (m3/MJ)

iid identically and independently distributed

KS Kolmogorov-Smirnov

LRT likelihood ratio test

MAAPE minimized average percent error

MCS minimum chi-square

MDE minimum distance estimation

ML maximum likelihood

MLE maximum likelihood estimator

MOM method of moments

NFCS National Food Consumption Survey

NHANES National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS (continued)

OLS ordinary least squares

PAR population at risk

PDF probability density function

RA risk assessment

WLS weighted least squares

WSE weighted sum of squares of errors

PREFACE

The National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA)—Washington Office within EPA's Office of Research and Development (ORD) has prepared this document in response to requests from users of the Exposure Factors Handbook (EPA/600/P-95/002Fa-Fc, August 1977) who expressed the need for assistance in using probabilistic methods in exposure assessments. This document summarizes procedures to fit distributions to selected data from the Exposure Factors Handbook.

AUTHORS AND REVIEWERS

The National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA)—Washington Office within EPA's Office of Research and Development was responsible for the preparation of this document. The original document was prepared by the Research Triangle Institute under EPA Contract No. 68D40091, Work Assignment No. 97-12. Jacqueline Moya of NCEA-Washington Office served as the EPA Work Assignment Manager, providing overall direction and coordination of the production effort.

AUTHORS

Lawrence Myers

Michael Riggs

Justin Lashley

Roy Whitmore

Research Triangle Institute

Research Triangle Park, NC

Jacqueline Moya

NCEA-Washington Office

Washington, DC

REVIEWERS

Preliminary drafts of this document received internal and external peer review.

Reviewers included:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Reviewers:

Hans Allender Office of Prevention, Pesticides, and Toxic Substances

Tim Barry Office of Policy

Steven Chang Office of Emergency and Remedial Response

Henry Kahn Office of Water

Patrick Kennedy Office of Prevention, Pesticides, and Toxic Substances

AUTHORS AND REVIEWERS (continued)

Steven Knott Risk Assessment Forum, National Center for Environmental

Assessment

Elizabeth H. Margosches Office of Prevention, Pesticides, and Toxic Substances

Tom McCurdy National Exposure Research Laboratory

External Reviewers:

Robert Fares Environmental Standards Inc.

Paul Price Ogden Environmental and Energy Services

Curtis Travis Project Performance Corporation

Alan Stern New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection

Shree Y. Whitaker National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences