

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

NATIONAL CENTER FOR ENIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT- RTP DIVISION

Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

May 9, 2014

MEMORANDUM

Subject:	Identification and consideration of errors in Lanphear et al. (2005), "Low-Level Environmental Lead Exposure and Children's Intellectual Function: An International Pooled Analysis"
From:	Ellen F. Kirrane, Ph.D. /S/ Molini M. Patel, Ph.D. /S/ EPA, NCEA-RTP
Through:	Steven Dutton, Ph.D. John Vandenberg, Ph.D. EPA, NCEA-RTP
То:	Integrated Science Assessment for Lead Docket (EPA-HQ-ORD-2011-0051)

This memorandum documents errors in a publication cited in the 2013 *Integrated Science Assessment for Lead* (U.S. EPA, 2013, hereafter, 2013 Pb ISA). These errors in Lanphear et al. (2005), "Low-Level Environmental Lead Exposure and Children's Intellectual Function: An International Pooled Analysis," were identified after the completion of the 2013 Pb ISA (see Attachment 1 with Appendix). This memorandum identifies these errors and provisionally considers whether the changes to aspects of Lanphear et al. (2005) that result from correction of the errors materially affect the scientific conclusions made in the 2013 Pb ISA about the effects of Pb exposure on cognitive function or intelligence quotient (IQ).

The 2006 *Air Quality Criteria Document for Lead* (U.S. EPA, 2006, hereafter, 2006 Pb AQCD) and the 2013 Pb ISA in the current review conclude that the concentration-response relationship between blood Pb and cognitive function in young children is nonlinear, meaning that the IQ decrement per μ g/dL increase in blood Pb level is larger at lower (versus higher) blood Pb levels. This conclusion is based on the findings from several studies (Tellez-Rojo et al., 2006; Kordas et al., 2006; Lanphear et al., 2005; Canfield et al., 2003; Bellinger and Needleman 2003; Lanphear et al., 2000). Lanphear et al. (2005) conducted a pooled analysis of seven cohorts of children and reported a log-linear relationship between IQ and concurrent blood Pb levels; and linear regression coefficients describing the concentration-response relationship for linear models that were larger for subsets of children with peak blood Pb levels less than 7.5 μ g/dL or 10 μ g/dL, as compared to subsets with higher peak blood Pb levels. Lanphear et al. (2005) reported these models for concurrent blood Pb, which they state to have the strongest relationship with IQ as measured by R².¹ The quantitative modeling of Lanphear et al. (2005), focusing on the shape of the concentration-response relationship, was subsequently corroborated in a separate analysis of the same dataset by Rothenberg and Rothenberg (2005).

¹ The 2006 Pb AQCD and 2013 Pb ISA also evaluated scientific information regarding critical lifestages and time periods of Pb exposure and concluded that decrements in cognitive function were found with several different blood Pb metrics that represent blood Pb during lifestages or time periods from the prenatal period through adolescence (e.g., 2013 Pb ISA, pp. xciv, 4-57, 4-76, 4-248; 4-256, Table 4-14).

Since completion of the 2013 Pb ISA, a publication by Crump et al. (2013) reports findings from a re-analysis of the data for the seven cohorts studied in Lanphear et al. (2005). Crump et al. (2013) reported "some small errors" in the dataset analyzed by Lanphear et al. (2005) and presented results based on analysis of the corrected pooled dataset.² Two of these errors were identified after the completion of the final 2013 Pb ISA (see Attachment 2), while EPA had corrected others in the last Pb NAAQS review such that presentations in the 2013 Pb ISA are not affected.³

Using a copy of the pooled dataset, we were able to correct the errors and confirm the calculations of Crump et al. (2013) for specific study results that were affected by these errors (see Attachment 1 with Appendix): (1) the non-linear effect estimate for the association between IQ and concurrent blood Pb levels; (2) the linear coefficients for the regressions of concurrent blood Pb on IQ for four groups of children in the dataset with peak blood Pb levels below 7.5 μ g/dL, at or above 7.5 μ g/dL, below 10 μ g/dL, and at or above 10 μ g/dL; and (3) the R² values for the models with concurrent, early childhood, peak, and lifetime average blood Pb levels. We re-calculated additional statistics that were not reported in Crump et al. (2013) using the corrected dataset (see Attachment 1 with Appendix): (1) absolute IQ decrements over various concurrent blood Pb ranges based on the log-linear model; (2) statistics on the concurrent blood Pb distribution and number of children in the subsets with peak blood Pb levels below 7.5 μ g/dL, at or above 7.5 μ g/dL, below 10 μ g/dL, and at or above 10 μ g/dL; and (3) the coefficients for the concurrent blood Pb distribution and number of children in the subsets with peak blood Pb levels below 7.5 μ g/dL, at or above 7.5 μ g/dL, below 10 μ g/dL, and at or above 10 μ g/dL; and (3) the coefficients for the concurrent blood Pb levels below 7.5 μ g/dL, at or above 7.5 μ g/dL, below 10 μ g/dL, and at or above 10 μ g/dL; and (3) the coefficients for the concurrent blood Pb level-IQ relationship based on the log-linear model, leaving one cohort out at a time.

Although the model coefficients based on the corrected dataset differ slightly from those in Lanphear et al. (2005) (see Attachment 1), the conclusion drawn regarding the finding of a steeper concentration-response relationship at lower blood Pb levels is unaffected. Further, the errors in Lanphear et al. (2005) do not affect the conclusion in the 2013 Pb ISA or the 2006 Pb AQCD, which was based on findings from several studies, that multiple blood Pb metrics including blood Pb level measured concurrent to IQ, were associated with decrements in cognitive function. After correcting and re-analyzing the Lanphear et al. (2005) dataset, Crump et al. (2013) confirmed the primary findings of Lanphear et al. (2005) stating that, "Although we found some small errors and questionable decisions by Lanphear et al. that, taken alone, could cause doubt in their conclusions, our reanalysis tended to support their conclusions." Further sensitivity analyses performed by Crump et al. (2013), including those designed to test whether findings were robust to various interim modeling decisions, do not alter conclusions drawn from the data.

After this provisional consideration of the corrected results for Lanphear et al. (2005) and the publication by Crump et al. (2013), we conclude that the conclusions drawn in the 2013 Pb ISA are not materially affected by these newly identified errors. Accordingly, EPA is not re-opening the air quality criteria for this review to further consider these studies.

² Errors in the Lanphear et al. (2005) pooled dataset identified by Crump et al. (2013) also apply to Rothenberg and Rothenberg (2005) who relied on the same original dataset.

³ We have contacted the primary authors and have received confirmation of the two recently identified errors in the dataset analyzed by Lanphear et al. (2005) (see Attachment 2).

References

- Bellinger, D; Needleman, HL. (2003). Intellectual impairment and blood lead levels [Letter]. N Engl J Med 349: 500. Canfield, RL; Henderson, CR, Jr; Cory-Slechta, DA; Cox, C; Jusko, TA; Lanphear, BP. (2003). Intellectual impairment
 - in children with blood lead concentrations below 10 micrograms per deciliter. N Engl J Med 348: 1517-1526.
- Crump KS, Van Landingham C, Bowers TS, Cahoy D, Chandalia JK. (2013). A statistical reevaluation of the data used in the Lanphear et al. (2005) pooled-analysis that related low levels of blood lead to intellectual deficits in children. Crit Rev Toxicol 43:785-799.
- Kordas, K; Canfield, RL; Lopez, P; Rosado, JL; Vargas, GG; Cebrian, ME; Rico, JA; Ronquillo, D; Stoltzfus, RJ. (2006). Deficits in cognitive function and achievement in Mexican first-graders with low blood lead concentrations. Environ Res 100: 371-386.
- Lanphear, BP; Hornung, R; Khoury, J; Yolton, K; Baghurst, P; Bellinger, DC; Canfield, RL; Dietrich, KN; Bornschein, R;
 Greene, T; Rothenberg, SJ; Needleman, HL; Schnaas, L; Wasserman, G; Graziano, J; Roberts, R. (2005).
 Low-level environmental lead exposure and children's intellectual function: An international pooled analysis. Environ Health Perspect 113: 894-899.
- Rothenberg, SJ; Rothenberg, JC. (2005). Testing the dose-response specification in epidemiology: Public health and policy consequences for lead. Environ Health Perspect 113: 1190-1195.
- Téllez-Rojo, MM; Bellinger, DC; Arroyo-Quiroz, C; Lamadrid-Figueroa, H; Mercado-Garcia, A; Schnaas-Arrieta, L; Wright, RO; Hernandez-Avila, M; Hu, H. (2006). Longitudinal associations between blood lead concentrations lower than 10 microg/dL and neurobehavioral development in environmentally exposed children in Mexico City. Pediatrics 118: e323-e330.
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2013). Integrated science assessment for lead [EPA Report]. (EPA/600/R-10/075F). Research Triangle Park, NC.
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2006). Air quality criteria for lead: Volume I of II [EPA Report]. (EPA/600/R-05/144aF). Research Triangle Park, NC.

ATTACHMENT 1: Errors Identified in Lanphear et al. (2005) ATTACHMENT 2: Emails from R. Hornung (May 1, 2014) and B. Lanphear (February 24, 2014)

ATTACHMENT 1 Errors Identified in Lanphear et al. (2005)

Two aspects to the dataset for the Boston cohort were not accurately represented in the analyses reported in Lanphear et al. 2005.⁴ They are as follows (see Attachment 2).

- The IQ data analyzed were for performance IQ rather than full-scale IQ.
- The blood Pb measurements at 6, 12, and 24 months were transformed incorrectly.

Selected Crump et al. (2013) calculations that were confirmed by NCEA with the Boston cohort data correctly represented⁵ and statistics from Lanphear et al. (2005) that were re-calculated by EPA are summarized below and presented in detail in Table 1.

- a. The log-linear coefficient for concurrent blood Pb changes from -2.70 to -2.65.
- b. The model with early childhood blood Pb (rather than concurrent) would have highest R², although the values are still very similar (0.6433 as compared to 0.6414 for concurrent metric).
- c. The linear coefficient for concurrent blood Pb with IQ for the subgroup of children with peak blood Pb levels <7.5 μ g/dL changes from -2.94 to -2.53.
- d. The number of children in each of the four linear subset analyses (peak blood Pb below 7.5 μ g/dL, at or above 7.5 μ g/dL, below 10 μ g/dL, and at or above 10 μ g/dL) changes as do the descriptive statistics for concurrent blood in these subsets. For example for the subgroup with peak <7.5 μ g/dL, the sample size changes from 103 to 118 and the mean blood Pb concentrations from 3.24 to 3.3 μ g/dL.

⁴ During the 2008 Pb NAAQS review, three typographical errors were identified in Lanphear et al. (2005) and corrections considered. One set of errors pertained to the two numbers associated with the confidence intervals reported at the top of the first column on page 897 (corrections reported in docket number EPA-HQ-OAR-2006-0735-5905). The two numbers were the lower bound on the confidence interval for the linear coefficient for the relationship of IQ with concurrent blood Pb levels for the subgroup of children with peak blood Pb levels \geq 7.5 µg/dL and the lower bound on the confidence interval for the relationship of IQ with concurrent blood Pb levels for linear coefficient for the relationship of IQ with concurrent blood Pb concurrent blood Pb \geq 10 µg/dL. These errors were recognized during development of the 2006 Pb AQCD and corrected in the 2006 Pb AQCD (p. 6-70). The other errors were in the values of the two rightmost columns of Table 4 (corrections reported in docket number EPA-HQ-OAR-2006-0735-5512). These errors were recognized after the final 2006 Pb AQCD was released but prior to the conclusion of the review.

⁵ Full-scale IQ was miscoded as verbal IQ. Boston IQ data were corrected by substituting the variable coded as verbal IQ. Boston blood Pb level data for age 6, 12, and 24 months were corrected by subtracting 2 μ g/dL from the blood Pb level. Investigators had incorrectly un-transformed data from log form by adding 1 μ g/dL to the anti-log of blood Pb instead of subtracting 1.

Finding from Lanphear et al. (2005)	Corrected information	Previously reported information	Page number in 2013 Pb
Log-linear model coefficient for blood Pb metrics and IQ, adjusted for site, HOME score, birth weight, maternal IQ, and maternal education (Table 4)	Early childhood: -2.21 (-3.38, -1.04) Peak: -2.86 (-4.10, -1.61) Lifetime average: -3.14 (-4.39, -1.88) Concurrent: -2.65 (-3.69, -1.61) ⁶	Early childhood: -2.04 (-3.27, -0.81) Peak: -2.85 (-4.10, -1.60) Lifetime average: -3.04 (-4.33, -1.75) Concurrent: -2.70 (-3.74, -1.66)	4-70, 4-254
IQ decrement over different concurrent blood Pb ranges based on the log-linear model	2.4 to 30 μg/dL: 6.7 IQ pts (4.1-9.3) 2.4 to 10 μg/dL: 3.8 IQ pts (2.3-5.3) 10 to 20 μg/dL:1.8 IQ pts (1.1-2.6) 20 to 30 μg/dL: 1.1 IQ pts (0.7-1.5)	2.4-30 µg/dL: 6.9 IQ pts (4.2-9.4) 2.4-10 µg/dL: 3.9 IQ pts (2.4-5.3) 10-20 µg/dL: 1.9 IQ pts (1.2-2.6) 20-30 µg/dL: 1.1 IQ pts (0.7-1.5)	4-70
Linear coefficient, sample size (n) and concurrent blood Pb level measurements (mean, minimum, 5 th and 95 th percentiles, and maximum) for subset with peak blood Pb levels <7.5 µg/dL	-2.53 (-4.48, -0.58) ⁶ N=118 (3.3, 0.9, 1.1, 6.7, 7.4 μg/dL)	-2.94 (-5.16, -0.71) N=103 (3.24, 0.9, 1.3, 6.0, 7.4 μg/dL) ⁷	Figure 4-2, Table 4-3, Figure 4-15, Table 4-16 pp. 4-70, 4-124, 4-285
Linear coefficient, sample size (n) and concurrent blood Pb measurements (mean, minimum, 5 th and 95 th percentiles, and maximum) for subset with peak blood Pb levels \geq 7.5 µg/dL	-0.15 (-0.19, -0.11) ⁶ N=1215 (13.0, 0.1, 3.7, 34.2, 71.7)	-0.16 (-0.24, -0.08) N=1230 (12.9, 0.1, 3.5, 34.0, 71.7) ⁷	Figure 4-15, Table 4-16
Linear coefficient, sample size (n) and concurrent blood Pb measurements (mean, minimum, 5 th and 95 th percentiles and maximum) for subset with peak blood Pb <10 µg/dL	-0.77 (-1.65, 0.12) ⁶ N=258 (4.4, 0.1, 1.4, 8.0, 9.8)	-0.80 (-1.74, 0.14) N=244 (4.3, 0.1, 1.4, 8,0, 9.8) ⁷	Figure 4-15, Table 4-16 pp. 4-70, 4-124
Linear coefficient, sample size (n) and concurrent blood Pb measurements (mean, minimum, 5 th and 95 th percentiles, and maximum) for subset with peak blood Pb levels \geq 10 µg/dL)	-0.13 (-0.22, -0.04) ⁶ N=1075 (14.0, 0.1,4.4, 35.5, 71.7)	-0.13 (-0.23, -0.03) N=1089 (13.9, 0.1, 4.3, 35.4, 71.7) ⁷	Figure 4-15, Table 4-16
Blood Pb metric with the largest R ² for the relationship with IQ in the log-linear models	Early childhood R ² : 0.6433 = largest Peak R ² : 0.6401 Lifetime average R ² : 0.6411 Concurrent R ² : 0.6414	Concurrent (no quantitative results presented)	4-256
Sensitivity of concurrent blood Pb-IQ association to omitting one cohort	Slopes ranged from -2.36 to -2.94	Slopes range from -2.31 to -2.94	4-72, 4-76, 4-124, 4-284
Number of children from Boston cohort with peak blood Pb levels < 7.5 µg/dL	Boston = 28	Boston = 13	4-62

⁶ Results reported in Crump et al. (2013) and confirmed by NCEA calculations using the corrected dataset (see Appendix). Other results in this column are based only on NCEA calculations using the corrected dataset. ⁷ 8/19/2008 and 2/11/2008 emails from Richard Hornung to Jee-Young Kim (docket number EPA-HQ-OAR-2006-0735-5814).

Appendix to Attachment 1

Computer Code for EPA Recalculations

```
libname pooled '\\AA.AD.EPA.GOV\ORD\RTP\USERS\K-Q\mpatel04\Net
MyDocuments\Lead\Pooled Dataset';
proc format;
value lgender 0='Male'
              1='Female';
value lrace 0='Non-white'
            1='White';
value YN 0='No'
        1='Yes';
data pooled.BostonPb; set pooled.tablespaper;
/*tablespaper is the uncorrected SAS dataset */
if site NE "Boston" then delete;
pbch6m2 = pbch6m-2;
pbch1y2 = pbch1y-2;
pbch2y2 = pbch2y-2;
rename pbch6m2 = pbch3 pbch1y2 = pbch1 pbch2y2 = pbch2 pbch4y = pbch4;
/*This program was first run without subtracting 2 from the blood Pb metrics.
The purpose of this first run on the original variables was to check whether
the calculations in this program could replicate the early childhood and
lifetime average blood Pb levels reported in the Lanphear paper*/
keep ID pbch6m2 pbch1y2 pbch2y2 pbch4y lead peaklead meanlead6m_concurrent
meanlead6m_24m pbch6m pbch1y pbch2y peakl10 peakl75;
run;
proc sort; by ID;
run;
data pooled.BostonPb2; set pooled.BostonPb;
array pbarray[4] pbch1-pbch4;
do I = 1 to 4;
pbch = pbarray[I];
if pbch NE . then output;
end;
keep ID pbch;
run;
proc sort; by ID;
run;
proc means noprint;
var pbch;
by ID;
output out = pooled.bostonPb3;
run;
data pooled.Bostonmax; set pooled.bostonPb3;
if _stat_ = "MAX";
peak2 = pbch;
drop _type_ _freq_ _stat_ pbch;
```

run;

```
data pooled.Bostonavg; set pooled.bostonPb3;
if _stat_ = "MEAN";
life = pbch;
drop _type_ _freq_ _stat_ pbch;
run;
data pooled.Bostonearly; set pooled.BostonPb;
array pbarray[3] pbch1-pbch3;
do M = 1 to 3;
pbch = pbarray[M];
if pbch NE . then output;
end;
keep ID pbch;
run;
proc sort; by ID; run;
proc means noprint;
var pbch;
by ID;
output out = pooled.Bostonpb4;
run;
data pooled.bostonearly2; set pooled.Bostonpb4;
if stat = "MEAN";
early = pbch;
drop _type_ _freq_ _stat_ pbch;
run;
data pooled.bostonnewPb;
merge pooled.Bostonmax pooled.BostonPb pooled.Bostonearly2 pooled.BostonPb
pooled.Bostonavg;
by ID;
if peak2 LT 10 then peak110_2 = 1;
if peak2 GE 10 then peak110_2 = 0;
if peak2 LT 7.5 then peak175_2 = 1;
if peak2 GE 7.5 then peak175_2 = 0;
keep ID peaklead peak2 peak110 peak110_2 peak175 peak175_2 early life;
run;
data pooled.Lanphearcorrected;
merge pooled.bostonnewPb (keep = ID peak2 early life peak110_2 peak175_2)
pooled.tablespaper;
by ID;
if Site = "Boston" then iq = wiscr10v;
if Site = "Boston" then meanlead6m_24m = early;
if Site = "Boston" then meanlead6m_concurrent = life;
if Site = "Boston" then peaklead = peak2;
if Site = "Boston" then if peakl10 = 1 then if peakl10_2 = 1 then peakl10 =
1;
if Site = "Boston" then if peakl10 = 0 then if peakl10_2 = 0 then peakl10 =
0;
```

```
if Site = "Boston" then if peakl10 = 0 then if peakl10_2 = 1 then peakl10 =
1;
if Site = "Boston" then if peakl75 = 1 then if peakl75_2 = 1 then peakl75 =
1;
if Site = "Boston" then if peak175 = 0 then if peak175_2 = 0 then peak175 =
0;
if Site = "Boston" then if peakl75 = 0 then if peakl75_2 = 1 then peakl75 =
1;
if lead=0 then lead=0.1;
loglead = log(lead);
logpeak = log(peaklead);
logearly = log(meanlead6m_24m);
loglife = log(meanlead6m_concurrent);
run;
proc freq; tables peakl10 peakl75; run;
proc glm;
      class site;
      model wiscr10t = lead/ solution ss3;
      where site = "Boston";
run;
proc glm;
      class site;
      model wiscr10p = lead/ solution ss3;
      where site = "Boston";
run;
proc glm;
      class site;
      model wiscr10t = pbch2y/ solution ss3;
      where site = "Boston";
run;
proc glm;
      class site;
      model wiscr10p = pbch2y/ solution ss3;
      where site = "Boston";
run;
proc glm;
      class site;
      model iq = loglead site birthwt HOME momig momeduc/ solution ss3;
run:
proc glm;
      class site;
      model iq = logpeak site birthwt HOME momiq momeduc/ solution ss3;
run;
proc glm;
      class site;
      model iq = logearly site birthwt HOME momiq momeduc/ solution ss3;
```

```
8
```

run;

```
proc glm;
      class site;
      model iq = loglife site birthwt HOME momig momeduc/ solution ss3;
run;
proc glm;
      class site;
      model iq = lead site birthwt HOME momiq momeduc/ solution ss3;
where peakl10 = 1;
run;
proc glm;
      class site;
      model iq = lead site birthwt HOME momig momeduc/ solution ss3;
where peakl10 = 0;
run;
proc glm;
      class site;
      model iq = lead site birthwt HOME momig momeduc/ solution ss3;
where peak175 = 1;
run;
proc glm;
      class site;
      model iq = lead site birthwt HOME momiq momeduc/ solution ss3;
where peak175 = 0;
run;
proc sort; by peakl10; run;
proc univariate; var lead; by peakl10; run;
proc sort; by peak175; run;
proc univariate; var lead; by peak175; run;
/*The data steps and models below estimate the concurrent blood Pb-IQ
association for a log linear model, excluding one of the seven cohorts*/
data pooled.noBos; set pooled.Lanphearcorrected;
if site EQ "Boston" then delete;
run;
proc glm;
      class site;
      model iq = loglead site birthwt HOME momig momeduc/ solution ss3;
run;
data pooled.noRoc; set pooled.Lanphearcorrected;
if site EQ "Rochester" then delete;
run;
```

```
proc glm;
      class site;
      model iq = loglead site birthwt HOME momig momeduc/ solution ss3;
run;
data pooled.noCle; set pooled.Lanphearcorrected;
if site EQ "Cleveland" then delete;
run;
proc glm;
      class site;
      model iq = loglead site birthwt HOME momiq momeduc/ solution ss3;
run;
data pooled.noCin; set pooled.Lanphearcorrected;
if site EQ "Cincinnati" then delete;
run;
proc glm;
      class site;
      model iq = loglead site birthwt HOME momiq momeduc/ solution ss3;
run;
data pooled.noMC; set pooled.Lanphearcorrected;
if site EQ "Mexico" then delete;
run;
proc glm;
      class site;
      model iq = loglead site birthwt HOME momiq momeduc/ solution ss3;
run;
data pooled.noPir; set pooled.Lanphearcorrected;
if site EQ "PortPirie" then delete;
run;
proc glm;
      class site;
      model iq = loglead site birthwt HOME momiq momeduc/ solution ss3;
run;
data pooled.noYug; set pooled.Lanphearcorrected;
if site EQ "Yugoslavia" then delete;
run;
proc glm;
      class site;
      model iq = loglead site birthwt HOME momiq momeduc/ solution ss3;
run;
```

Output from Statistical Program

The SAS System					
The FREQ Procedure					
peakl10	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent	
0	1075	80.65	1075	80.65	
1	258	19.35	1333	100.00	
peakl75	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent	
0	1215	91.15	1215	91.15	
1	118	8.85	1333	100.00	

The GLM Procedure							
Class Level Information							
Class	Class Levels Values						
site	te 1 Boston						
Number of Observations Read 116							
Number of O	bservatio	ns Used	116				

The SAS System

The GLM Procedure

Dependent Veriables wiger 10t IO Child ago 10 WICCD T	
Dependent variable: wiscrift lQ Child age 10 WISCR 10	Jai

Source	DF	Sum of Sq	uares	Mean S	quare F	Value	Pr > F
Model	1	1522.	11305	1522.1	11305	7.95	0.0057
Error	114	21830.	80936	191.4	49833		
Corrected Total	115	23352.	92241				
R-Squ	iare	Coeff Var	Root	MSE wi	scr10t Me	an	
0.065	179	11.93222	13.83	3829	115.97	741	
Source D	F	Type III SS	Mean	Square	F Value	Pr >	F
lead	1 1	522.113050	1522	.113050	7.95	0.005	7
Parameter		Estimate	Standa	rd Error	t Value	Pr > t	1
Intercept	121	1.9329247	2.4	7346534	49.30	<.000	1
lead	-().9731371	0.34	4517001	-2.82	0.005	7

The GLM Procedure						
Class Level Information						
Class	Class Levels Values					
site	1	Boston				
Number of Observations Read 116						
Number of (Observatio	ons Used	116			

The SAS System

The GLM Procedure

Dependent Variable: wiscr10p IQ Child age 10 WISCR Performance

Source	DF	Sum of Sq	uares	Mean So	quare	F Value	Pr > F
Model	1	921.	62895	921.6	62895	4.25	0.0415
Error	114	24705.	33657	216.7	71348		
Corrected Total	115	25626.	96552				
R-S	quare	Coeff Var	Root N	ISE wis	scr10p l	Mean	
0.0	35963	12.42475	14.72	119	118.	4828	
Source	DF	Type III SS	Mean	Square	F Valu	e Pr>	F
lead	1	921.6289488	921.6	289488	4.2	5 0.041	5

Parameter	Estimate	Standard Error	t Value	Pr > t
Intercept	123.1194954	2.63127536	46.79	<.0001
lead	-0.7572314	0.36719226	-2.06	0.0415

The GLM Procedure							
Class L	Class Level Information						
Class	Class Levels Values						
site	1	Boston					
Number of Observations Read 116							
Number of O	Number of Observations Used 115						

The SAS System

The GLM Procedure

Dependent Variable: wiscr10t IQ Child age 10 WISCR Total

Source	DF	Sum of Sq	luares	Mean So	quare F	Value	Pr > F
Model	1	627.	48956	627.4	18956	3.14	0.0791
Error	113	22580.	80610	199.8	33014		
Corrected Total	114	23208.	29565				
R-Square Coeff Var Root MSE wiscr10t Mean							
0.02	27037	12.17810	14.1	3613	116.07	783	
Source	DF	Type III SS	Mear	Square	F Value	Pr >	F
pbch2y	1	627.4895565	627.	4895565	3.14	0.079	1
Paramete	er	Estimate	Standa	rd Error	t Value	Pr > 1	:

2.66597270

0.27206377

Intercept

pbch2y

120.1845551

-0.4821070

45.08 <.0001

-1.77 0.0791

The SAS S	ystem
-----------	-------

The GLM Procedure							
Class Level Information							
Clas	Class Levels Values						
site		1	Boston				
Number of Observations Read 116							
Number of Observations Used							

The	GL	М	Pro	red	lure
		IVI	1 10		IUIC

0.28290689

-2.23 0.0275

Dependent Variable: wiscr10p IQ Child a	age 10 WISCR F	Perforr	mance				
S	ource	DF	Sum of So	quares M	lean Square	F Value	Pr > F
Μ	lodel	1	1077	.37320	1077.37320	4.99	0.0275
E	rror	113	24416	.59201	216.07604		
C	orrected Total	114	25493	.96522			
	R-So	luare	Coeff Var	Root MS	E wiscr10p	Mean	
	0.04	2260	12.39602	14.6995	53 11	8.5826	
	Source	DF	Type III SS	Mean So	quare F Val	ue Pr>	F
	pbch2y	1	1077.373204	1077.37	73204 4	.99 0.027	5
	Paramete	r	Estimate	Standard	Error t Val	ue Pr>∣t	:
	Intercept	12	23.9631987	2.7722	22516 44.	72 <.000	1

pbch2y

-0.6317181

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

site 7 Boston Cincinnati Cleveland Mexico PortPirie Rochester Yugoslavia

Number of Observations Read 1333

Number of Observations Used 1333

The SAS System

The GLM Procedure

Dependent Variable: iq

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
Model	11	327940.4682	29812.7698	214.79	<.0001
Error	1321	183352.3915	138.7982		
Corrected Total	1332	511292.8597			

R-Square Coeff Var Root MSE iq Mean

0.641395 12.60802 11.78126 93.44261

Source	DF	Type III SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
loglead	1	3474.24421	3474.24421	25.03	<.0001
site	6	66081.41209	11013.56868	79.35	<.0001
birthwt	1	2621.37601	2621.37601	18.89	<.0001
home	1	10179.85113	10179.85113	73.34	<.0001
momiq	1	12795.37214	12795.37214	92.19	<.0001
momeduc	1	967.67984	967.67984	6.97	0.0084

Parameter	Estimate		Standard Error	t Value	Pr > t
Intercept	27.04732556	В	3.76475269	7.18	<.0001
loglead	-2.65193533		0.53005952	-5.00	<.0001
site Boston	17.65819126	В	1.90163535	9.29	<.0001
site Cincinnati	12.84631794	В	1.31942912	9.74	<.0001
site Cleveland	11.83109308	В	1.43718597	8.23	<.0001
site Mexico	25.64990185	В	1.51558784	16.92	<.0001
site PortPirie	22.00255364	В	1.21043737	18.18	<.0001
site Rochester	7.11903041	В	1.48288723	4.80	<.0001
site Yugoslavia	0.00000000	В			
birthwt	0.00286312		0.00065882	4.35	<.0001
home	0.52537002		0.06134603	8.56	<.0001

Parameter	Estimate	Standard Error	t Value	Pr > t
momiq	0.28757382	0.02995124	9.60	<.0001
momeduc	0.42930586	0.16258963	2.64	0.0084

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

site 7 Boston Cincinnati Cleveland Mexico PortPirie Rochester Yugoslavia

Number of Observations Read 1333

Number of Observations Used 1333

The SAS System

The GLM Procedure

Dependent	Variable: iq
-----------	--------------

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
Model	11	327276.4058	29752.4005	213.58	<.0001
Error	1321	184016.4540	139.3009		
Corrected Total	1332	511292.8597			

R-Square Coeff Var Root MSE iq Mean

0.640096 12.63083 11.80258 93.44261

Source	DF	Type III S	S	Mean Square	F Va	lue	Pr > F
logpeak	1	2810.1817	5	2810.18175	20	.17 ·	<.0001
site	6	69681.2592	8	11613.54321	83	.37	<.0001
birthwt	1	2606.4048	4	2606.40484	18	5.71 ·	<.0001
home	1	10407.3697	9	10407.36979	74	.71	<.0001
momiq	1	13373.9080	9	13373.90809	96	i.01 ·	<.0001
momeduc	1	1007.3401	7	1007.34017	7	.23	0.0073
Parameter		Estimate		Standard Err	or t	Value	Pr > t
Intercept	2	7.91970929	В	4.0088018	30	6.96	<.0001
logpeak	-	2.85587349		0.6358412	22	-4.49	<.0001
site Boston	1	8.36212688	В	1.8867248	37	9.73	<.0001
site Cincinnati	i 1	4.06412178	В	1.2825396	69	10.97	<.0001
site Cleveland	1	1.39642871	В	1.4427840	00	7.90	<.0001
site Mexico	2	6.51500475	В	1.4931246	51	17.76	<.0001

site Mexico	26.51500475	В	1.49312461	17.76	<.0001
site PortPirie	22.91283492	В	1.23280694	18.59	<.0001
site Rochester	8.01829313	В	1.43377664	5.59	<.0001
site Yugoslavia	0.00000000	В			
birthwt	0.00285535		0.00066011	4.33	<.0001
home	0.53083774		0.06141412	8.64	<.0001

Parameter	Estimate	Standard Error	t Value	Pr > t
momiq	0.29342850	0.02994677	9.80	<.0001
momeduc	0.43788970	0.16283718	2.69	0.0073

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

site 7 Boston Cincinnati Cleveland Mexico PortPirie Rochester Yugoslavia

Number of Observations Read 1333

Number of Observations Used 1308

The SAS System

The GLM Procedure

Dependent	Variable: iq
-----------	--------------

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
Model	11	323379.5236	29398.1385	212.53	<.0001
Error	1296	179272.5926	138.3276		
Corrected Total	1307	502652.1162			

R-Square Coeff Var Root MSE iq Mean

0.643347 12.58240 11.76128 93.47401

Source	DF	Type III SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
logearly	1	1888.26101	1888.26101	13.65	0.0002
site	6	68546.00714	11424.33452	82.59	<.0001
birthwt	1	2390.13312	2390.13312	17.28	<.0001
home	1	10145.18428	10145.18428	73.34	<.0001
momiq	1	13737.96496	13737.96496	99.31	<.0001
momeduc	1	1083.28832	1083.28832	7.83	0.0052
Parameter		Estimate	Standard Erro	or t Valu	e Pr> t
Intercept	2	4.63768949 B	3.7303443	9 6.6	0 <.0001
logearly	-	2.20591751	0.5970527	5 -3.6	9 0.0002

logeally	-2.20591751		0.59705275	-3.09	0.0002
site Boston	18.15626038	В	1.91778407	9.47	<.0001
site Cincinnati	14.27745674	В	1.27856877	11.17	<.0001
site Cleveland	11.46422442	В	1.49254021	7.68	<.0001
site Mexico	26.84805362	В	1.48914619	18.03	<.0001
site PortPirie	23.05313333	В	1.24749405	18.48	<.0001
site Rochester	8.42417190	В	1.42756207	5.90	<.0001
site Yugoslavia	0.00000000	В			
birthwt	0.00275146		0.00066192	4.16	<.0001
home	0.52954367		0.06183385	8.56	<.0001

Parameter	Estimate	Standard Error	t Value	Pr > t
momiq	0.29979643	0.03008290	9.97	<.0001
momeduc	0.45768747	0.16355034	2.80	0.0052

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

site 7 Boston Cincinnati Cleveland Mexico PortPirie Rochester Yugoslavia

Number of Observations Read 1333

Number of Observations Used 1333

The SAS System

The GLM Procedure

Dependent Variable: ic	
------------------------	--

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
Model	11	327804.7469	29800.4315	214.54	<.0001
Error	1321	183488.1128	138.9009		
Corrected Total	1332	511292.8597			

R-Square Coeff Var Root MSE iq Mean

0.641129 12.61269 11.78562 93.44261

Source	DF	Type III S	S	Mean Square	F Value	F	Pr > F
loglife	1	3338.5229	0	3338.52290	24.04	<	.0001
site	6	69505.7420	5	11584.29034	83.40	<	.0001
birthwt	1	2517.7749	6	2517.77496	18.13	<	.0001
home	1	10236.9894	7	10236.98947	73.70	<	.0001
momiq	1	13381.0007	9	13381.00079	96.33	<	.0001
momeduc	1	961.3434	1	961.34341	6.92	0	.0086
_							
Parameter		Estimate		Standard Erro	or tValı	Je	Pr > t
Intercept	2	8.24337513	В	3.9241683	37 7.1	20	<.0001
loglife	-	3.13865602		0.6402050)6 -4.9	90	<.0001
site Boston	1	7.45724787	В	1.9119233	85 9.	13	<.0001
site Cincinnati	i 1	3.56169824	В	1.2915993	81 10.	50	<.0001
site Cleveland	1	1.69697796	В	1.4379127	7 4 8.	13	<.0001

site Cleveland	11.69697796	В	1.43791274	8.13	<.0001
site Mexico	26.13585581	В	1.49932438	17.43	<.0001
site PortPirie	22.78026468	В	1.22364122	18.62	<.0001
site Rochester	7.22001411	В	1.48020263	4.88	<.0001
site Yugoslavia	0.00000000	В			
birthwt	0.00280762		0.00065945	4.26	<.0001

0.52671510

home

8.58 <.0001

0.06135390

Parameter	Estimate	Standard Error	t Value	Pr > t
momiq	0.29347023	0.02990008	9.82	<.0001
momeduc	0.42795513	0.16267152	2.63	0.0086

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
01033	Levela	values

site 6 Boston Cincinnati Cleveland Mexico Rochester Yugoslavia

Number of Observations Read 258

Number of Observations Used 258

The SAS System

The GLM Procedure

Dependent Variable: iq

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
Model	10	75391.2023	7539.1202	47.95	<.0001
Error	247	38835.9140	157.2304		
Corrected Total	257	114227.1163			

R-Square Coeff Var Root MSE iq Mean

0.660011 13.09652 12.53916 95.74419

Source	DF	Type III SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
lead	1	446.063013	446.063013	2.84	0.0934
site	5	8345.359497	1669.071899	10.62	<.0001
birthwt	1	2038.632694	2038.632694	12.97	0.0004
home	1	906.185584	906.185584	5.76	0.0171
momiq	1	3043.925976	3043.925976	19.36	<.0001
momeduc	1	260.774911	260.774911	1.66	0.1990

Parameter	Estimate		Standard Error	t Value	Pr > t
Intercept	18.18613910	В	8.59218349	2.12	0.0353
lead	-0.76511302		0.45425088	-1.68	0.0934
site Boston	18.85551296	В	4.09764496	4.60	<.0001
site Cincinnati	10.80388499	В	3.63189262	2.97	0.0032
site Cleveland	17.27306085	В	4.78283355	3.61	0.0004
site Mexico	23.71313388	В	3.63731021	6.52	<.0001
site Rochester	6.72724026	В	2.92871503	2.30	0.0225
site Yugoslavia	0.00000000	В			
birthwt	0.00602932		0.00167443	3.60	0.0004
home	0.39015482		0.16251621	2.40	0.0171
momiq	0.31503186		0.07159880	4.40	<.0001

Parameter	Estimate	Standard Error	t Value	Pr > t
momeduc	0.55327718	0.42961372	1.29	0.1990

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

site 7 Boston Cincinnati Cleveland Mexico PortPirie Rochester Yugoslavia

Number of Observations Read 1075

Number of Observations Used 1075

The SAS System

The GLM Procedure

Dependent Variable: iq

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
Model	11	252365.1647	22942.2877	170.54	<.0001
Error	1063	143005.8827	134.5305		
Corrected Total	1074	395371.0474			

R-Square Coeff Var Root MSE iq Mean

0.638300 12.48649 11.59873 92.89023

Source	DF	Type III S	S	Mean Square	F Valu	e l	Pr > F
lead	1	1177.5791	0	1177.57910	8.7	5 0	0.0032
site	6	50057.1614	8	8342.86025	62.0	1 <	.0001
birthwt	1	1209.1990	5	1209.19905	8.9	9 0	.0028
home	1	9026.1731	5	9026.17315	67.0	9 <	.0001
momiq	1	9548.6837	8	9548.68378	70.9	8 <	.0001
momeduc	1	793.7835	2	793.78352	5.9	0 0	0.0153
Parameter		Estimate		Standard Err	or tV	alue	Pr > t
Intercept	2	4.20220118	В	3.865152	50	6.26	<.0001
lead	-	0.13305168		0.044971	32 -	2.96	0.0032
site Boston	1	7.80470393	В	2.306999	71	7.72	<.0001
site Cincinnat	i 1	3.35800457	В	1.5315622	21	8.72	<.0001

site Cincinnati	13.35800457	В	1.53156221	8.72	<.0001
site Cleveland	11.17455053	В	1.58088679	7.07	<.0001
site Mexico	26.43625875	В	1.74854717	15.12	<.0001
site PortPirie	21.98066621	В	1.34566292	16.33	<.0001
site Rochester	7.07573613	В	1.88609692	3.75	0.0002
site Yugoslavia	0.00000000	В			
birthwt	0.00215064		0.00071735	3.00	0.0028
home	0.54576743		0.06662943	8.19	<.0001

Parameter	Estimate	Standard Error	t Value	Pr > t
momiq	0.28269110	0.03355449	8.42	<.0001
momeduc	0.42897703	0.17660106	2.43	0.0153

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values	
-------	--------	--------	--

site 6 Boston Cincinnati Cleveland Mexico Rochester Yugoslavia

Number of Observations Read 118

Number of Observations Used 118

The SAS System

The GLM Procedure

Dependent Variable: iq

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
Model	10	32060.86131	3206.08613	17.10	<.0001
Error	107	20060.90141	187.48506		
Corrected Total	117	52121.76271			

R-Square Coeff Var Root MSE iq Mean

0.615115 13.92620 13.69252 98.32203

Source	DF	Type III S	S	Mean Square	F Value	e F	Pr > F
lead	1	1208.29098	30	1208.290980	6.44	4 0	.0126
site	5	2414.54070)3	482.908141	2.58	3 0	.0306
birthwt	1	1312.89743	31	1312.897431	7.00	0 0	.0094
home	1	1684.20165	50	1684.201650	8.98	30	.0034
momiq	1	203.02451	10	203.024510	1.08	8 0	.3004
momeduc	1	372.40431	12	372.404312	1.99	9 0	.1616
Parameter		Estimate		Standard Err	or tVa	lue	Pr > t
Intercept	2	5.71242076	В	13.9720032	29 1	.84	0.0685
lead	-	2.52649591		0.9952132	29 -2	2.54	0.0126
site Boston		6.68339913	В	7.207013	58 C).93	0.3558
site Cincinnati	2	8.61890969	В	14.9328050	07 1	.92	0.0580
site Cleveland	-	7.91036480	В	15.6439814	46 -0).51	0.6141
site Mexico	1	0.97187362	В	7.1109099	99 1	.54	0.1258
site Rochester	• -	3.90103867	В	5.824712	99 -0).67	0.5045
site Yugoslavi	а	0.00000000	В				
birthwt		0.00678705		0.002564	77 2	2.65	0.0094
home		0.86121562		0.2873413	39 3	8.00	0.0034

0.11947214

1.04 0.3004

0.12432473

momiq

Parameter	Estimate	Standard Error	t Value	Pr > t
momeduc	1.04376665	0.74059265	1.41	0.1616

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Class Levels Values

site 7 Boston Cincinnati Cleveland Mexico PortPirie Rochester Yugoslavia

Number of Observations Read 1215

Number of Observations Used 1215

The SAS System

			The G	LM Pro	cedure				
Dependent Variable: iq									
	Source	DF	Sum of Sc	luares	Mean Sq	uare	F Valu	e Pr>	F
	Model	11	29559	5.2682	26872.	2971	201.4	2 <.00	01
	Error	1203	160493	3.5433	133.	4111			
	Corrected Total	1214	45608	3.8115					
	R	R-Squar	e Coeff Va	ar Ro	ot MSE	iq Mea	ın		
	(0.64810	9 12.4239	3 1 ⁻	1.55037 9	92.9687	2		
	Source	DF	Type III SS	6 Mea	in Square	F Val	ue P	r > F	
	lead	1	1856.41937	18	356.41937	13.	92 0.	0002	
	site	6	59575.72492	99	929.28749	74.	43 <.	0001	
	birthwt	1	1731.15356	6 17	731.15356	12.	98 0.	0003	
	home	1	8914.66922	2 89	914.66922	66.	82 <.	0001	
	momiq	1	12447.67461	124	47.67461	93.	30 <.	0001	
	momeduc	1	871.14627	, 8	371.14627	6.	53 0.	0107	
	Parameter		Estimate	St	andard Err	or t\	/alue	Pr > t	
	Intercept	23.	.53661203	В	3.561500	39	6.61	<.0001	
	lead	-0.	.15329940		0.041095	89	-3.73	0.0002	
	site Boston	18.	.19392517	В	2.033973	09	8.95	<.0001	
	site Cincinnat	ti 13.	.11021920	В	1.372490	65	9.55	<.0001	
	site Cleveland	d 11.	.72102156	В	1.450495	15	8.08	<.0001	
	site Mexico	26.	.48978529	В	1.578595	24	16.78	<.0001	

site PortPirie

site Rochester

site Yugoslavia

birthwt

home

1.23476009

1.61490719

0.00068083

0.06234732

17.69 <.0001

4.29 <.0001

3.60 0.0003

8.17 <.0001

.

21.84772381 B

6.92986243 B

0.00000000 B

0.00245251

0.50965282

Parameter	Estimate	Standard Error	t Value	Pr > t
momiq	0.30003407	0.03106150	9.66	<.0001
momeduc	0.42343226	0.16570453	2.56	0.0107

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: lead peakl10=0

Moments

Ν	1075	Sum Weights	1075
Mean	14.0349963	Sum Observations	15087.621
Std Deviation	9.73241339	Variance	94.7198704
Skewness	1.92115744	Kurtosis	4.82297042
Uncorrected SS	313483.845	Corrected SS	101729.141
Coeff Variation	69.3438972	Std Error Mean	0.29683583

Basic Statistical Measures

Loc	ation	Variability	
Mean	14.03500	Std Deviation	9.73241
Median	11.10000	Variance	94.71987
Mode	12.00000	Range	71.60000
		Interquartile Range	9.44571

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		p Value	
Student's t	t	47.28202	Pr > t	<.0001
Sign	М	537.5	Pr >= M	<.0001
Signed Rank	S	289175	Pr >= S	<.0001

Quantiles (Definition 5)

Level	Quantile
100% Max	71.70000
99%	48.90000
95%	35.50000
90%	26.50000
75% Q3	17.04571
50% Median	11.10000
25% Q1	7.60000
10%	5.20000
5%	4.37780
1%	2.00857
0% Min	0.10000

Extreme Observations

Lowest Highest

Value	Obs	Value	Obs
0.1	691	56.3	476
0.8	699	60.3	564
0.9	725	63.6	546
1.1	721	68.3	481
1.4	694	71.7	553

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: lead peakl10=1

Moments

N	258	Sum Weights	258
Mean	4.40229389	Sum Observations	1135.79182
Std Deviation	2.04490428	Variance	4.18163352
Skewness	0.30846374	Kurtosis	-0.3937443
Uncorrected SS	6074.76923	Corrected SS	1074.67981
Coeff Variation	46.4508806	Std Error Mean	0.12731018

Basic Statistical Measures

Location		Variability	
Mean	4.402294	Std Deviation	2.04490
Median	4.300000	Variance	4.18163
Mode	4.000000	Range	9.70000
		Interquartile Range	2.79204

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		p Value	
Student's t	t	34.57928	Pr > t	<.0001
Sign	М	129	Pr >= M	<.0001
Signed Rank	S	16705.5	Pr >= S	<.0001

Quantiles (Definition 5)

Level	Quantile
100% Max	9.80000
99%	9.50000
95%	8.00000
90%	7.40000
75% Q3	5.79204
50% Median	4.30000
25% Q1	3.00000
10%	1.80000
5%	1.40000
1%	0.10000
0% Min	0.10000

Extreme Observations

Lowest Highest

Value	Obs	Value	Obs
0.1	1290	9.0	1271
0.1	1288	9.0	1328
0.1	1266	9.5	1284
0.5	1257	9.7	1182
0.9	1296	9.8	1259

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: lead peakl75=0

Moments

Ν	1215	Sum Weights	1215
Mean	13.0307607	Sum Observations	15832.3743
Std Deviation	9.59106571	Variance	91.9885414
Skewness	1.99140977	Kurtosis	5.20797771
Uncorrected SS	317981.971	Corrected SS	111674.089
Coeff Variation	73.6032676	Std Error Mean	0.27515584

Basic Statistical Measures

Location		Variability		
Mean	13.03076	Std Deviation	9.59107	
Median	10.10000	Variance	91.98854	
Mode	8.00000	Range	71.60000	
		Interguartile Range	9.43328	

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		p Value	
Student's t	t	47.35775	Pr > t	<.0001
Sign	М	607.5	Pr >= M	<.0001
Signed Rank	S	369360	Pr >= S	<.0001

Quantiles (Definition 5)

Level	Quantile
100% Max	71.70000
99%	47.40000
95%	34.20000
90%	24.60000
75% Q3	16.00000
50% Median	10.10000
25% Q1	6.56672
10%	4.70000
5%	3.69096
1%	1.78971
0% Min	0.10000

Extreme Observations

Lowest Highest

Value	Obs	Value	Obs
0.1	1181	56.3	476
0.1	1168	60.3	564
0.1	691	63.6	546
0.5	1165	68.3	481
0.8	699	71.7	553

The UNIVARIATE Procedure Variable: lead peakl75=1

Moments

Ν	118	Sum Weights	118
Mean	3.31388564	Sum Observations	391.038506
Std Deviation	1.54915623	Variance	2.39988502
Skewness	0.55062293	Kurtosis	-0.1167017
Uncorrected SS	1576.64344	Corrected SS	280.786548
Coeff Variation	46.7474257	Std Error Mean	0.14261139

Basic Statistical Measures

Location		Variability		
Mean	3.313886	Std Deviation	1.54916	
Median	3.150000	Variance	2.39989	
Mode	1.500000	Range	7.30000	
		Interquartile Range	2.30000	

Note: The mode displayed is the smallest of 4 modes with a count of 6.

Tests for Location: Mu0=0

Test	Statistic		p Va	lue
Student's t	t	23.23717	Pr > t	<.0001
Sign	М	59	Pr >= M	<.0001
Signed Rank	s	3510.5	Pr >= S	<.0001

Quantiles (Definition 5)

Level	Quantile
100% Max	7.40
99%	7.10
95%	6.70
90%	5.30
75% Q3	4.40
50% Median	3.15
25% Q1	2.10
10%	1.50
5%	1.10
1%	0.90
0% Min	0.10

Extreme Observations

Low	est	Highest		
Value	Obs	Value	Obs	
0.1	1323	6.8	1244	
0.9	1328	7.0	1227	
1.0	1305	7.0	1249	
1.1	1302	7.1	1317	
1.1	1279	7.4	1325	

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
-------	--------	--------

Dependent Variable: iq

site 6 Cincinnati Cleveland Mexico PortPirie Rochester Yugoslavia

Number of Observations Read 1217

Number of Observations Used 1217

The SAS System

The GLM Procedure

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
Model	10	236289.1250	23628.9125	173.27	<.0001
Error	1206	164459.3614	136.3676		
Corrected Total	1216	400748.4864			

R-Square Coeff Var Root MSE iq Mean

0.589620 12.83549 11.67766 90.97946

Source	DF	Type III S	s	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
loglead	1	3316.0105	3	3316.01053	24.32	<.0001
site	5	64980.5080	2	12996.10160	95.30	<.0001
birthwt	1	2064.6142	4	2064.61424	15.14	0.0001
home	1	9235.3146	1	9235.31461	67.72	<.0001
momiq	1	12385.7193	0	12385.71930	90.83	<.0001
momeduc	1	613.6627	1	613.66271	4.50	0.0341
Parameter		Estimate		Standard Erro	or t Valu	ıe Pr>∣t∣
Intercept	2	8.31031692	В	4.0518402	4 6.9	9 <.0001
loglead	-	2.94149749		0.5965078	7 -4.9	3 <.0001
site Cincinnati	i 1	3.04475988	В	1.3363618	9 9.7	6 <.0001
site Cleveland	1	2.27990700	В	1.4436338	0 8.5	51 <.0001
site Mexico	2	5.62621387	В	1.5176758	6 16.8	89 <.0001
site PortPirie	2	2.18590267	В	1.2051101	0 18.4	1 <.0001
site Rochester	r	7.11859842	В	1.5246688	7 4.6	67 <.0001

site PortPirie	22.18590267	В	1.20511010	18.41	<.0001
site Rochester	7.11859842	В	1.52466887	4.67	<.0001
site Yugoslavia	0.00000000	В		•	
birthwt	0.00266431		0.00068473	3.89	0.0001
home	0.50824816		0.06175976	8.23	<.0001
momiq	0.30374683		0.03187181	9.53	<.0001

Parameter	Estimate	Standard Error	t Value	Pr > t
momeduc	0.34980816	0.16490002	2.12	0.0341

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values	
site	6	Boston Cincinnati Cleveland Mex	ico PortPirie Yugoslavia
		Number of Observations Read	1151

Number of Observations Used 1151

The SAS System

			The (GLM	Procedure			
Dependent Variable: iq								
	Source	DF	Sum of S	Squa	res Mean Squ	uare FN	/alue Pr >	F
	Model	10	3015	90.5	468 30159.0)547 2 ⁻	19.39 <.000	1
	Error	1140	1567	11.1	509 137.4	1659		
	Corrected Total	1150	4583	01.6	977			
	_				-			
	R	-Squa	re Coeff V	Var	Root MSE	iq Mean		
	C).65806	61 12.369	916	11.72459 9	4.78888		
	Source	DF	Type III S	SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F	
	loglead	1	2322.1947	75	2322.19475	16.89	<.0001	
	site	5	59773.3266	62	11954.66532	86.96	<.0001	
	birthwt	1	2608.6855	51	2608.68551	18.98	<.0001	
	home	1	10457.2928	82	10457.29282	76.07	<.0001	
	momiq	1	9406.6378	88	9406.63788	68.43	<.0001	
	momeduc	1	739.2216	61	739.22161	5.38	0.0206	
	Parameter		Estimate		Standard Erro	or tValı	ue Pr>ltl	
	Intercept	26	6.07614527	В	3.9791170)1 6.5	55 <.0001	
	loglead	-2	.31061277		0.5621799	98 -4.1	11 <.0001	
	site Boston	18	8.04273067	В	1.9769242	21 9.4	13 <.0001	
	site Cincinnat	i 12	2.77102289	В	1.3356653	31 9.8	56 <.0001	
	site Cleveland	i 11	.18448251	В	1.4607483	38 7.6	66 <.0001	
	site Mexico	25	5.80176014	В	1.5249515	54 16.9	92 <.0001	
	site PortPirie	21	.62285075	В	1.2369138	34 17.4	48 <.0001	
	site Yugoslav	ia O	.00000000	В				
	birthwt	0	.00308899		0.0007090)9 4.3	36 <.0001	

0.06662021

0.03187155

0.58105614

0.26364705

home

momiq

8.72 <.0001

8.27 <.0001

Parameter	Estimate	Standard Error	t Value	Pr > t
momeduc	0.39387949	0.16985313	2.32	0.0206

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Dependent Variable: iq

site 6 Boston Cincinnati Mexico PortPirie Rochester Yugoslavia	site	6	Boston Cincinnati Mexico PortPirie Rochester Yugoslavi
--	------	---	--

Number of Observations Read 1173

Number of Observations Used 1173

The SAS System

The GLM Procedure

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
Model	10	308275.4062	30827.5406	234.54	<.0001
Error	1162	152729.8828	131.4371		
Corrected Total	1172	461005.2890			

R-Square Coeff Var Root MSE iq Mean

0.668703 12.14944 11.46460 94.36317

Source	DF	Type III SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
loglead	1	3022.54666	3022.54666	23.00	<.0001
site	5	65075.88660	13015.17732	99.02	<.0001
birthwt	1	2307.57510	2307.57510	17.56	<.0001
home	1	6986.65200	6986.65200	53.16	<.0001
momiq	1	11915.16399	11915.16399	90.65	<.0001
momeduc	1	770.11737	770.11737	5.86	0.0156

Parameter	Estimate		Standard Error	t Value	Pr > t
Intercept	27.51857675	В	3.87345880	7.10	<.0001
loglead	-2.54225360		0.53014090	-4.80	<.0001
site Boston	18.55257917	В	1.91467978	9.69	<.0001
site Cincinnati	13.24172997	В	1.30690892	10.13	<.0001
site Mexico	26.05459883	В	1.48350394	17.56	<.0001
site PortPirie	22.59583498	В	1.20903911	18.69	<.0001
site Rochester	7.50313658	В	1.46561772	5.12	<.0001
site Yugoslavia	0.00000000	В		•	
birthwt	0.00285799		0.00068209	4.19	<.0001
home	0.47427309		0.06505083	7.29	<.0001
momig	0.29944622		0.03145052	9.52	<.0001

Parameter	Estimate	Standard Error	t Value	Pr > t
momeduc	0.40255200	0.16630391	2.42	0.0156

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
-------	--------	--------

site	6	Boston Cleveland Mexico PortPirie Rochester	Yugoslavia

Number of Observations Read 1112

Number of Observations Used 1112

The SAS System

Pr > F <.0001

	The GLM Procedure							
Dependent Variable: iq								
	Source	DF	Sum of So	luares	Mean Sq	luare	F Valu	e Pr>
	Model	10	31384	1.9568	31384.	1957	218.5	7 <.00
	Error	1101	15809	3.1790	143.	5905		
	Corrected Total	1111	47193	5.1358				
	R-	Squa	ire Coeff Va	ar Ro	ot MSE	iq Mea	an	
	0.	6650	11 12.6506	51 1 ⁷	1.98293 9	94.722	12	
	Source	DF	Type III SS	6 Mea	in Square	F Va	lue P	r > F
	loglead	1	3321.62903	33	321.62903	23	.13 <.	0001
	site	5	59842.1095	5 119	68.42191	83	.35 <.	0001
	birthwt	1	2357.38692	2 23	357.38692	16	.42 <.	0001
	home	1	10370.91496	6 103	370.91496	72	.23 <.	0001
	momiq	1	10750.08253	3 107	750.08253	74	.87 <.	0001
	momeduc	1	1078.77503	3 10)78.77503	7	.51 0.	0062
	Parameter		Estimate	Sta	andard Err	ror t	Value	Pr > t
	Intercept	2	5.48075164	В	4.050882	78	6.29	<.0001
	loglead	-2	2.76180365		0.574222	42	-4.81	<.0001
	site Boston	16	6.22337927	В	2.002735	92	8.10	<.0001
	site Cleveland	1	1.13427107	В	1.498033	61	7.43	<.0001
	site Mexico	2	5.09169853	В	1.555666	77	16.13	<.0001
	site PortPirie	2	1.17719673	В	1.272183	62	16.65	<.0001
	site Rochester	6	5.68369193	В	1.544359	02	4.33	<.0001
	site Yugoslavia	a (0.00000000	В				
	birthwt	().00292387		0.000721	62	4.05	<.0001
	home	().59096264		0.069536	75	8.50	<.0001
	momiq	().27935355		0.032285	77	8.65	<.0001

Parameter	Estimate	Standard Error	t Value	Pr > t
momeduc	0.47313581	0.17261689	2.74	0.0062

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values

site 6 Boston Cincinnati Cleveland PortPirie Rochester Yugoslavia

Number of Observations Read 1234

Number of Observations Used 1234

The SAS System

The GLM Procedure

Dependent	Variable:	iq
-----------	-----------	----

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F
Model	10	305942.1337	30594.2134	218.46	<.0001
Error	1223	171274.3136	140.0444		
Corrected Total	1233	477216.4473			

R-Square Coeff Var Root MSE iq Mean

0.641097 12.82310 11.83404 92.28687

Source	DF	Type III SS	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F	
loglead	1	3476.10559	3476.10559	24.82	<.0001	
site	5	45483.33503	9096.66701	64.96	<.0001	
birthwt	1	2414.20185	2414.20185	17.24	<.0001	
home	1	10341.08090	10341.08090	73.84	<.0001	
momiq	1	11780.92409	11780.92409	84.12	<.0001	
momeduc	1	1584.80597	1584.80597	11.32	0.0008	
Parameter		Estimate	Standard Erro	or t Valu	ie Pr>∣t	4
Intercept	2	5.20951687 B	3.9023337	7 6.4	6 <.000	1
امعامما		0 71010017	0 5455776	0 10	0 - 000	1

loglead	-2.71812947		0.54557762	-4.98	<.0001
site Boston	16.01877770	В	1.96826866	8.14	<.0001
site Cincinnati	12.29350792	В	1.34303009	9.15	<.0001
site Cleveland	11.24709835	В	1.46550263	7.67	<.0001
site PortPirie	21.35910778	В	1.23659062	17.27	<.0001
site Rochester	6.40031930	В	1.51516383	4.22	<.0001
site Yugoslavia	0.00000000	В			
birthwt	0.00283174		0.00068202	4.15	<.0001
home	0.55594817		0.06469701	8.59	<.0001
momiq	0.28421399		0.03098765	9.17	<.0001

Parameter	Estimate	Standard Error	t Value	Pr > t
momeduc	0.59716095	0.17751548	3.36	0.0008

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
01033	Levela	values

6 Boston Cincinnati Cleveland Mexico Rochester Yugoslavia site

Number of Observations Read 1009

Number of Observations Used 1009

The SAS System

The GLM Procedure

Dependent Variable: iq								
	Source	DF	Sum of Sc	luares	Mean Sq	uare F	Value	Pr > F
	Model	10	246690	0.2118	24669.	0212 1	80.55	<.0001
	Error	998	136358	8.2758	136.	6315		
	Corrected Tota	al 1008	383048	3.4876				
		R-Squa	re Coeff Va	ar Ro	ot MSE	iq Mean		
		0.6440′	18 13.0730	9 1 [°]	1.68895 8	39.41229		
	Source	DF	Type III SS	6 Mea	n Square	F Value	Pr>	F
	loglead	1	3223.85781	32	23.85781	23.60	<.00	01
	site	5	45113.93818	90	22.78764	66.04	<.00	01
	birthwt	1	2502.40199	25	602.40199	18.31	<.00	01
	home	1	8590.24972	2 85	90.24972	62.87	<.00	01
	momiq	1	7888.02907	78	88.02907	57.73	<.00	01
	momedu	c 1	1182.19474	11	82.19474	8.65	0.00	33
	Parameter		Estimate	Sta	andard Err	or tVal	ue Pi	r > t
	Intercept	28	8.71600442	В	4.057752	91 7.	08 <.	0001
	loglead	-2	.69462287		0.554734	95 -4.	86 <.	0001

loglead	-2.69462287		0.55473495	-4.86	<.0001
site Boston	18.62337754	В	1.96821003	9.46	<.0001
site Cincinnati	12.30165902	В	1.33582155	9.21	<.0001
site Cleveland	11.27614573	В	1.46050926	7.72	<.0001
site Mexico	25.73828816	В	1.51617881	16.98	<.0001
site Rochester	6.67150502	В	1.49897680	4.45	<.0001
site Yugoslavia	0.00000000	В			
birthwt	0.00323999		0.00075708	4.28	<.0001
home	0.52255782		0.06590327	7.93	<.0001
momiq	0.25030865		0.03294330	7.60	<.0001

Parameter	Estimate	Standard Error	t Value	Pr > t
momeduc	0.48953177	0.16642243	2.94	0.0033

The GLM Procedure

Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Va	lues
-------	--------	----	------

site 6 Boston Cincinnati Cleveland Mexico PortPirie Rochester

Number of Observations Read 1102

Number of Observations Used 1102

			The S	AS Sys	stem				
			The G	LM Prod	cedure				
Dependent Variable: iq									
	Source	DF	Sum of Sc	luares	Mean S	quare	F Val	ue Pr	> F
	Model	10	20838	7.6679	20838	.7668	143.	06 <.00	001
	Error	1091	15891	6.8439	145	.6616			
	Corrected Total	1101	367304	4.5118					
	R-\$	Square	e Coeff Va	ar Ro	ot MSE	iq Me	an		
	0.5	567343	12.3823	0 12	2.06904	97.470	05		
	Source	DF	Type III SS	6 Mea	n Square	F Va	lue	Pr > F	
	loglead	1	2251.58532	2 22	251.58532	15	.46 <	.0001	
	site	52	1482.32642	2 42	96.46528	29	.50 <	.0001	
	birthwt	1	1496.10389) 14	96.10389	10	.27 0	0.0014	
	home	1	5461.31821	54	61.31821	37	.49 <	.0001	
	momiq	1 1	2867.67901	128	67.67901	88	.34 <	.0001	
	momeduc	1	130.12532	2 1	30.12532	0	.89 0).3448	
	Parameter	I	Estimate	Sta	Indard Er	ror t	/alue	Pr > t	
	Intercept	36.9	9610669	В	4.366653	78	8.47	<.0001	
	loglead	-2.6	5025139		0.674086	23	-3.93	<.0001	
	site Boston	10.7	8809989	В	2.166587	47	4.98	<.0001	
	site Cincinnati	5.8	3336114	В	1.301200	35	4.48	<.0001	
	site Cleveland	5.2	7530315	В	1.688705	52	3.12	0.0018	
	site Mexico	18.1	9553876	В	1.686362	93	10.79	<.0001	
	site PortPirie	14.8	8475591	В	1.735346	51	8.58	<.0001	
	site Rochester	0.0	0000000	В	0.000755				
	birthwt	0.0	0242194		0.000755	o/1 :E4	3.20	0.0014	
	nome	0.4	3/050/0		0.025747	004 000	0.12	<.0001	
	рітоті	0.3	00992UZ		0.035747	30	9.40	<.UUU'l	

Parameter	Estimate	Standard Error	t Value	Pr > t
momeduc	0.22179064	0.23465774	0.95	0.3448

Derivation of IQ Decrements over Various Blood Pb Ranges

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	М	Ν	0
Model coefficient for concurrent blood Pb	lower confidence limit	upper confidence limit	minimum blood Pb in range of interest	maximum blood Pb in range of interest	In of minimum blood Pb	In of maximum blood Pb	difference in In of blood Pb	difference in maximum and minimum blood Pb of interest	slope over the blood Pb range of interest	lower confidence limit for slope over the blood Pb range of interest	upper confidence limit for slope over the blood Pb range of interest	IQ decrement over the blood Pb range of interest	lower bound of absolute IQ decrement	Upper bound of IQ decrement
-2.65	-3.69	-1.61	2.4	30	0.88	3.40	2.53	27.6	-0.24	-0.34	-0.15	6.7	4.1	9.3
-2.65	-3.69	-1.61	2.4	10	0.88	2.30	1.43	7.6	-0.50	-0.69	-0.30	3.8	2.3	5.3
-2.65	-3.69	-1.61	10	20	2.30	3.00	0.69	10	-0.18	-0.26	-0.11	1.8	1.1	2.6
-2.65	-3.69	-1.61	20	30	3.00	3.40	0.41	10	-0.11	-0.15	-0.07	1.1	0.7	1.5
Formula for cell					=LN(D)	=LN(E)	=G-F	=E-D	=A*H/I	=B*H/I	=C*H/I	=-(J*I)	=-(L*I)	=-(K*I)

ATTACHMENT 2 Emails from R. Hornung (May 1, 2014) and B. Lanphear (February 24, 2014)

Kirrane, Ellen

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Hornung, Richard (Rick) [Richard.Hornung@cchmc.org] Thursday, May 01, 2014 10:03 AM Kirrane, Ellen Bruce Lanphear; Patel, Molini RE: Lanphear et al. 2005

Hi Ellen

I was able to contact Bruce and also Jane Khoury who assembled the pooled data set. We were able to check older data files and we did find that the blood Pb values for Boston for children at 6, 12, 18, and 24 months had a minimum of 2.0. Dr. Khoury did not recall adding 1.0 to the antilog of the log-transformed data, but we agree that it appears that the correction of subtracting 2.0 from these values for the Boston cohort was appropriate.

Regards, Rick

Kirrane, Ellen

From:	Bruce Lanphear [bpl3@sfu.ca]
Sent:	Monday, February 24, 2014 5:27 PM
To:	Kirrane, Ellen
Cc:	Richard Hornung (Rick); Patel, Molini
Subject:	Re: Conference call and follow-up

Ellen and others:

I am available on March 4th from 7:00 am to 9:00 am PT (I am assuming you meant 10:00 am to 12:00 pm ET, right?).

We did verify that we inadvertently switched full-scale IQ with performance IQ. We also verified that it didn't change the primary results.

Rick can make sure we use the correct data.

Best regards,

Bruce