

THE CHILDREN'S ENVIRONMENTAL Health & Disease Prevention Research Center at Dartmouth

# Readily measurable epigenetic marks and significance

Carmen J. Marsit, PhD Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth

# Modes of Epigenetic Regulation

 DNA Methylation
 Histone post-translational modification
 Genomic Imprinting

Transcription Control

Post-— transcription Control

**RNA-mediated regulation** 

### Role for Epigenetic Mechanisms in "Normal" Tissue

Controls Gene Expression Potential

## Role for Epigenetic Mechanisms in "Normal" Tissue

#### Controls Gene Expression Potential

#### Cellular Response to Signals

- Growth signals
- Stressors
- Damage Signals
- By Altering Conformation & Packaging
- Highly Dynamic ATP dependent process



## Role for Epigenetic Mechanisms in "Normal" Tissue

#### Controls Gene Expression Potential

- Cellular Response to Signals
- Differentiation and Cellular Fate

Possess the same genome, yet express different

genes

Cell type #1



Cell type #2



## Role for Epigenetic Mechanisms in "Normal" Tissue

- Controls Gene Expression Potential
  - Cellular Response to Signals
  - Responsible for Differentiation and Cellular Fate



## Epigenetic Patterning Set in Development



Adapted from: Jaenisch. Trends Genetics 1997

# Epigenetic Patterning Set in Development



Adapted from: Jaenisch. Trends Genetics 1997

#### Epigenetic Mechanisms Differ by Tissue/Cell and Impart Distinct Functions



Christensen et al. PLoS Genetics 2009

#### Epigenetic Mechanisms Differ by Tissue/Cell and Impart Distinct Functions



Input normalized RPKM

Christensen et al. PLoS Genetics 2009

D Leung et al. Nature 2015

So....is all hope lost in examining epigenetic variation in risk?

So....is all hope lost in examining epigenetic variation in risk?

Must consider implications of epigenetic variation to the function of the cell/tissue of measurement

## Accessible Tissues for Epigenetic Risk Markers

- Peripheral Blood
  - Implications in immune function/inflammation
  - Keep in mind immune system can have systemic impacts
- Buccal Cells/Saliva
  - Potential Route of exposure biomarkers of exposure
  - Oral epithelia/immune function
  - Ectodermal derivation early embryonic effects that may be similar to central nervous system
- Pathologic Specimens
  - Can be useful in context of case-only studies
  - Establish etiologic contributors based on molecular subcharacterization of disease
  - Not only cancer but other surgical procedures (biopsies, reduction surgeries, gastric bypass, etc)

- Cord Blood
  - Immune Function
  - Hematopoetic stem cells
- Placenta
  - Functional organ during development
  - Transport, metabolic, endocrine, immunologic functions
- Fetal membranes/Residual tissues
  - Amnion/Chorion markers of developmental exposures/risk & functional effects
  - Umbilical cord artery or vein similarities to cardiovascular tissues?
- Other accessible biofluids
  - Breast Milk
  - Urine
  - Ejaculate

## The Cellular Heterogeneity Problem

- Tissues are made up of a variety of types of cells
- Epigenetic mechanisms define cellular specificity
- Even with a specific cell type there may be clonal variation
  - May play important functional implications
  - Can also represent additional differentiation events
    - E.g. NK cell activation
  - Even specific isolation (FACS, microdissection may not be enough)
- In general, we sample tissues not individual cells
  - We are measuring aggregate markers across a population of cells within a sample
- Blood is the poster child
  - All tissue samples are affected in greater or lesser ways

# Handling the challenge of heterogeneity



#### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

**Open Access** 

DNA methylation arrays as surrogate measures of cell mixture distribution

# Not only controls confounding but also **UNDERSTAND EFFECT**

- When reference is known...can use methylation array data to estimate cell proportions
- Accomando Genome Biol 2014:



Methylation Array-based Estimate%

## Arsenic Example

#### Koestler EHP 2013 (NH, urinary arsenic):

|  | Lymphocytes         |                       |                       |                     |  |
|--|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|
|  | CD8+ T              | CD4* T                | NK cells              | B cells             |  |
| iAs (per µg/L)                                   | 1.18 (0.12, 2.23)*  | -1.24 (-3.15, 0.68)   | -0.11 (-1.83, 1.62)   | -0.78 (-1.91, 0.36) |  |
| MMA <sup>v</sup> (per µg/L)                      | 0.93 (-0.30, 2.15)  | -0.24 (-2.62, 2.14)   | -0.48 (-2.59, 1.62)   | -0.68 (-1.88, 0.52) |  |
| DMA <sup>v</sup> (per µg/L)                      | 0.42 (-0.80, 1.64)  | -0.10 (-2.40, 2.20)   | -0.37 (-2.14, 1.41)   | -0.22 (-1.46, 1.01) |  |
| iAs/(iAs + MMA <sup>v</sup> + DMA <sup>v</sup> ) | 9.11 (0.44, 17.79)* | -11.82 (-27.66, 4.02) | -2.16 (-14.58, 10.27) | -6.05 (-16.4, 4.27) |  |

#### Kile Epigenetics 2014 (Bangladesh, Water As):

|                | Effect Estimate (Raw) <sup>a</sup> [% composition] | Effect Estimate (Bias-Adj) <sup>b</sup> [% composition] | SE <sup>c</sup> | <i>P</i> value <sup>d</sup> |
|----------------|--|---|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| B cell         | -1.4   | -1.4  | 0.71            | 0.056                       |
| Granulocyte    | 1.4  | 1.6   | 1.84            | 0.430                       |
| Monocyte       | 0.5  | 0.5   | 0.50            | 0.310                       |
| Natural Killer | -0.7   | -0.9  | 0.73            | 0.317                       |
| T Cell (CD4+)  | -7.4   | -9.2  | 1.97            | 0.0002                      |
| T Cell (CD8+)  | 5.5  | 7.4   | 1.54            | 0.0004                      |

# Why cell composition is important

Likely reflects the "effect" of the variation in epigenetic mark

Example – GPR15 hypomethylation associated with smoking

Bauer et al. Clinical Epigenetics (2015) 7:81 DOI 10.1186/s13148-015-0113-1



#### RESEARCH

**Open Access** 



A varying T cell subtype explains apparent tobacco smoking induced single CpG hypomethylation in whole blood

# Challenges and Opportunities for Epigenetic Biomarkers

- Major questions remain about interpretation
  - Cell composition effect
  - Must be placed in context of tissue studied
    - Unlikely to be a reliable surrogate marker
- Consideration/Incorporation of various types of epigenetic mechanisms
  - DNA Methylation
  - Genomic Imprinting
  - Chromatin Modification
  - Small non-coding RNA (miRNA, rRNA, etc)
  - Long non-coding RNA (IncRNA)
  - Alternative splicing
- Other marks suffer from same challenges as well as more
  Technological challenges might be overcome with novel methods
- Potentially useful risk, clinical, interventional biomarkers

# Acknowledgments

- Colleagues
  - Karl Kelsey Brown
  - E. Andres Houseman Oregon State
  - Devin Koestler U. Kansas
  - John Wiencke UCSF
  - Heather Nelson U. Minnesota
  - Margaret Karagas Dartmouth
  - Brock Christensen Dartmouth
  - Jia Chen Mt. Sinai School of Medicine

Funding

- - □ R01MH094609
- NIEHS
  - □ R01ES022223
  - P01 ES022832
- US EPA
  - **RD83544201**



THE CHILDREN'S ENVIRONMENTAL Health & Disease Prevention Research Center at Dartmouth