

Toxicological Review of Hexavalent Chromium [Cr(VI)]

[CASRN 18540-29-9]

February 2022

Integrated Risk Information System Center for Public Health and Environmental Assessment Office of Research and Development U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Washington, DC

DISCLAIMER

This document is a preliminary draft for review purposes only. This information is distributed solely for the purpose of predissemination peer review under applicable information quality guidelines. It has not been formally disseminated by EPA. It does not represent and should not be construed to represent any Agency determination or policy. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

CONTENTS

AU	UTHORS CONTRIBUTORS REVIEWERSxviii		
EXE	XECUTIVE SUMMARYxx		
1.	1. INTRODUCTION		
	1.1. OVERVIEW	1-1	
	1.1.1. Background	1-2	
	1.1.2. Chemical Properties	1-2	
	1.1.3. Sources, Production, and Use	1-6	
	1.1.3.1. Soil	1-6	
	1.1.3.2. Water	1-7	
	1.1.3.3. Air	1-7	
	1.1.4. Environmental Occurrence	1-8	
	1.1.5. Potential for Human Exposure	1-10	
	1.1.5.1. General population	1-10	
	1.1.5.2. Occupational exposure	1-12	
	1.2. SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT METHODS	1-13	
	1.2.1. Literature Search and Screening	1-13	
	1.2.2. Evaluation of Individual Studies	1-14	
	1.2.3. Data Extraction	1-16	
	1.2.4. Evidence Synthesis and Integration	1-16	
	1.2.5. Dose-Response Analysis	1-20	
2.	LITERATURE SEARCH AND STUDY EVALUATION RESULTS	2-1	
	2.1. LITERATURE SEARCH AND SCREENING RESULTS	2-1	
	2.2. STUDY EVALUATION RESULTS		
3.	HAZARD IDENTIFICATION		
	3.1. OVERVIEW OF PHARMACOKINETICS	3-1	
	3.1.1. Pharmacokinetics	3-1	
	3.1.1.1. Oral exposure	3-5	
	3.1.1.2. Inhalation exposure		
	3.1.1.3. Intracellular reduction (all routes of exposure)	3-14	
	3.1.2. Description of Pharmacokinetic Models		

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.iiiDRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

	3.1.2.1.	Rationale for using a gastric PBPK model	
3.2.	SYNTHESIS A	ND INTEGRATION OF HEALTH HAZARD EVIDENCE BY ORGAN/SYSTEM	3-19
	3.2.1. Respir	ratory Tract Effects Other Than Cancer	
	3.2.1.1.	Human Evidence	
	3.2.1.2.	Animal Evidence	3-25
	3.2.1.3.	Mechanistic Evidence	3-35
	3.2.1.4.	Integration of Evidence	3-39
	3.2.2. Gastro	ointestinal Tract Effects Other Than Cancer	
	3.2.2.1.	Human Evidence	3-46
	3.2.2.2.	Animal Evidence	3-47
	3.2.2.3.	Mechanistic Evidence	
	3.2.2.4.	Integration of Evidence	3-57
	3.2.3. Cance	er	
	3.2.3.1.	Respiratory Tract Cancer	
	3.2.3.2.	Gastrointestinal Tract Cancer	3-62
	3.2.3.3.	Mechanistic Evidence (all routes)	3-75
	3.2.3.4.	Mode-of-action integration of evidence for carcinogenesis	3-114
	3.2.3.5.	Integration of Evidence for Cancer of the GI Tract	
	3.2.4. Hepat	tic effects	
	3.2.4.1.	Human Evidence	3-165
	3.2.4.2.	Animal Evidence	3-168
	3.2.4.3.	Mechanistic Evidence	
	3.2.4.4.	Integration of Evidence	
	3.2.5. Hema	tologic effects	
	3.2.5.1.	Human Evidence	3-191
	3.2.5.2.	Animal Evidence	
	3.2.5.3.	Mechanistic Evidence	3-200
	3.2.5.4.	Integration of Evidence	
	3.2.6. Immu	ne effects	
	3.2.6.1.	Human Evidence	
	3.2.6.2.	Animal Evidence	
	3.2.6.3.	Mechanistic and Supporting Evidence	3-227
	3.2.6.4.	Integration of Evidence	
	3.2.7. Male	reproductive effects	
	3.2.7.1.	Human Evidence	

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy. iv DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

	3.2.7.2.	Animal Evidence	
	3.2.7.3.	Mechanistic Evidence	
	3.2.7.4.	Integration of Evidence	3-257
	3.2.8. Femal	e reproductive effects	
	3.2.8.1.	Human Evidence	
	3.2.8.2.	Animal Evidence	
	3.2.8.3.	Mechanistic Evidence	
	3.2.8.4.	Integration of Evidence	
	3.2.9. Devel	opmental effects	
	3.2.9.1.	Human Evidence	
	3.2.9.2.	Animal Evidence	
	3.2.9.3.	Mechanistic Evidence	
	3.2.9.4.	Integration of Evidence	
	3.3. SUMMARY O	F HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND CONSIDERATIONS FOR DOSE-RESPO	NSE
	ANALYSIS		
	3.3.1. Susce	ptible Populations and Life Stages	
	3.3.1.1.	Health status and disease	
	3.3.1.2.	Genetic factors	
	3.3.1.3.	Life Stage	
	3.3.1.4.	Sex	
	3.3.2. Effect	s Other Than Cancer	
	3.3.2.1.	GI tract effects	
	3.3.2.2.	Hepatic effects	
	3.3.2.3.	Respiratory tract effects	
	3.3.2.4.	Developmental effects	
	3.3.3. Cance	r	
4.	DOSE-RESPONSE	ANALYSIS	
	4.1. ORAL REFERE	NCE DOSE FOR EFFECTS OTHER THAN CANCER	4-1
	4.1.1. Identi	fication of Studies for Dose-Response Analysis of Selected Effects	4-1
	4.1.1.1.	GI tract toxicity	
	4.1.1.2.	Hepatic toxicity	
	4.1.1.3.	Developmental toxicity	4-7
	4.1.2. Metho	ods of Analysis	
	4.1.2.1.	PBPK modeling and animal-to-human extrapolation	4-7
	4.1.2.2.	GI tract Effects	

4.1.2.3.	Hepatic Effects	
4.1.2.4.	Developmental Effects	4-10
4.1.3. Deriva	ation of Candidate Values	4-11
4.1.4. Deriva	ation of Organ/System-Specific Reference Doses	4-15
4.1.4.1.	GI tract toxicity	4-16
4.1.4.2.	Hepatic toxicity	4-16
4.1.4.3.	Developmental toxicity	4-16
4.1.5. Select	ion of the Overall Reference Dose	4-17
4.1.6. Uncer	tainties in the Derivation of Reference Dose	4-18
4.1.6.1.	Site concordance and human relevance	4-18
4.1.6.2.	Susceptible populations	4-18
4.1.6.3.	Rodent gastric modeling uncertainties	4-19
4.1.6.4.	Human gastric modeling uncertainties	4-20
4.1.6.5.	Uncertainty in systemic pharmacokinetics	4-20
4.1.6.6.	Uncertainty in dose-response modeling	4-20
4.1.7. Config	dence Statement	4-21
4.1.8. Previo	ous IRIS Assessment: Oral Reference Dose	4-21
4.2. INHALATION	REFERENCE CONCENTRATION FOR EFFECTS OTHER THAN CANCER	4-22
4.2.1. Identi	fication of Studies for Dose-Response Analysis of Selected Effects	4-22
4.2.1.1.	Upper respiratory tract effects	4-22
4.2.1.2.	Lower respiratory tract effects	4-27
4.2.1.3.	Other effects	4-31
4.2.2. Meth	ods of Analysis	4-31
4.2.2.1.	Analysis of animal data	4-31
4.2.2.2.	Analysis of human data	4-35
4.2.3. Deriva	ation of Candidate Values	4-36
4.2.4. Deriva	ation of Organ/System-Specific Reference Concentrations	4-40
4.2.4.1.	Lower respiratory toxicity	4-41
4.2.4.2.	Upper respiratory toxicity	4-41
4.2.5. Select	ion of the Overall Reference Concentration	4-43
4.2.6. Uncer	tainties in the Derivation of Reference Concentration	4-44
4.2.6.1.	Onset time for nasal effects	4-44
4.2.6.2.	Hand-to-nose transfer	4-44
4.2.6.3.	Susceptible populations	4-44

4.2.7. Confidence Statement
4.2.8. Previous IRIS Assessment: Inhalation Reference Concentration
4.3. ORAL SLOPE FACTOR FOR CANCER
4.3.1. Analysis of Carcinogenicity Data4-46
4.3.2. Dose-Response Analysis—Adjustments and Extrapolations Methods
4.3.3. Derivation of the Oral Slope Factor4-48
4.3.4. Application of age-dependent adjustment factors
4.3.5. Uncertainties in the Derivation of the Oral Slope Factor
4.3.6. Previous IRIS Assessment: Oral Slope Factor
4.4. INHALATION UNIT RISK FOR CANCER
4.4.1. Analysis of Carcinogenicity Data4-52
4.4.1.1. Identification of studies for the derivation of a Cr(VI) inhalation unit risk4-52
4.4.2. Dose-Response Analysis—Adjustments and Extrapolations Methods
4.4.2.1. Cancer risk models for Cr(VI) inhalation exposures
4.4.2.2. Cancer risk parameters
4.4.3. Inhalation Unit Risk Derivation4-64
4.4.3.1. Life-table analysis to derive an IUR4-64
4.4.3.2. Summary of lifetime unit risk estimates—not accounting for assumed increased early-life susceptibility4-66
4.4.4. Application of age-dependent adjustment factors
4.4.5. Uncertainties in the Derivation of the Inhalation Unit Risk
4.4.5.1. Uncertainty in exposure assessment
4.4.5.2. Uncertainty in the exposure metric
4.4.5.3. Uncertainty in the outcome metric
4.4.5.4. Uncertainty due to length of follow-up
4.4.5.5. Uncertainty in model form
4.4.5.6. Uncertainty in control of potential confounding in modeling lung cancer mortality
4.4.5.7. Uncertainty due to potential effect modification
4.4.5.8. Uncertainty in low dose extrapolation4-72
4.4.5.9. Uncertainty in extrapolation of findings in adults to children
4.4.6. Previous IRIS Assessment: Inhalation Unit Risk
REFERENCES
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy. vii DRAFT–DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

TABLES

Table ES-1. Organ/system-specific RfDs and overall RfD for Cr(VI)	xxii
Table ES-2. Summary of reference dose (RfD) derivation	xxiii
Table ES-3. Organ/system-specific RfCs and overall RfC for Cr(VI)	xxvi
Table ES-4. Summary of reference concentration (RfC) derivation	xxvi
Table ES-5. Summary of oral slope factor (OSF) derivation	xxvii
Table ES-6. Summary of inhalation unit risk (IUR) derivation	xxix
Table 1-1. Chemical identity and physicochemical properties of Cr(VI)	1-4
Table 1-2. Major anthropogenic sources of atmospheric chromium in the United States [adapted from ATSDR (2012)]	1-8
Table 1-3. Estimated environmental concentrations of chromium in the United States	1-9
Table 1-4. Industries and occupations that may be sources of chromium exposure	1-13
Table 1-5. Endpoint grouping categories	1-18
Table 3-1. Overall findings by system and implications for the toxicological assessment	3-3
Table 3-2. Pharmacokinetic models for Cr(VI)	3-16
Table 3-3. Summary of human studies for Cr(VI) lower respiratory effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome. ^a Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales.	3-21
Table 3-4. Summary of results from human studies of effects of Cr(VI) exposure on pulmonary function	3-22
Table 3-5. Summary of results from Lindberg and Hedenstierna (1983) study of effects of Cr(VI) exposure on pulmonary function	3-23
Table 3-6. Summary of included studies for Cr(VI) respiratory effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome. ^a Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales	3-26
Table 3-7. Evidence profile table for respiratory effects other than cancer	3-42
Table 3-8. Summary of included studies for Cr(VI) GI histopathological outcomes and overall confidence classification. Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales.	3-47
Table 3-9. Design features of studies that examined GI tract effects via the oral route of exposure	3-48
Table 3-10. Evidence profile table for effects in the GI tract other than cancer	3-59
Table 3-11. Summary of human studies for Cr(VI) cancer of the GI tract and overall confidenceclassification. Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales.	3-64
Table 3-12. Associations between drinking water exposures to Cr(VI) and cancer in <i>low</i> confidence epidemiology studies	3-65

Table 3-13. Meta-analyses of GI tract cancers and Cr(VI) occupational exposure	67
Table 3-14. Summary effect estimates from random effects meta-analysis, by cancer site and	70
type of effect estimate	70
Fable 3-15. Data on neoplastic lesions in a high confidence study of rats and mice (NTP, 2008)	73
Fable 3-16. Summary of included human cross-sectional occupational studies for Cr(VI) mutagenic effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome. Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales3-	78
Fable 3-17. Associations between Cr(VI) exposure and prioritized genotoxicity outcomes inepidemiology studiesa3-8	81
Fable 3-18. Summary of prioritized animal studies for Cr(VI) mutagenicity and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome. Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales	97
Table 3-19. Prioritized genotoxicity studies in animals exposed to Cr(VI)	98
Table 3-20. Evidence for key events and key event relationships involved in Cr(VI)-inducedcarcinogenesis	17
Table 3-21. Evidence profile table for the carcinogenic mechanisms of inhaled or ingested Cr(VI)3-14	48
Table 3-22. Evidence profile table for cancer of the GI tract ^a	61
Table 3-23. Summary of human studies for Cr(VI) hepatic effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome. Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales	66
Table 3-24. Associations between Cr(VI) and liver clinical chemistries in epidemiology studies3-16	67
Table 3-25. Summary of included animal studies for Cr(VI) liver effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome. ^a Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales	68
Table 3-26. Evidence profile table for hepatic effects 3-18	83
Table 3-27. Hematologic endpoints commonly evaluated in routine blood testing	90
Table 3-28. Summary of human studies for Cr(VI) hematologic effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome. Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales	92
Table 3-29. Associations between Cr(VI) and hematologic parameters in epidemiology studies3-1	93
Table 3-30. Summary of included studies for Cr(VI) hematologic effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome.ª Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales	94
Table 3-31. Evidence profile table for hematologic effects 3-20	04
Table 3-32. Summary of human studies for Cr(VI) immune effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome. Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales	08
Fable 3-33. Associations between Cr(VI) exposure and ex vivo WBC function in epidemiology studies 3-22	10

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy. ix DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

Table 3-34. Associations between Cr(VI) exposure and immunoglobulin (Ig) levels in epidemiology studies 3-211
Table 3-35. Associations between Cr(VI) exposure and WBC counts in epidemiology studies
Table 3-36. Associations between Cr(VI) exposure and lymphocyte subpopulations in epidemiology studies 3-216
Table 3-37. Summary of included studies for Cr(VI) immunological effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome. ^a Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales
Table 3-38. Evidence profile table for immune effects
Table 3-39. Summary of human studies for Cr(VI) male reproductive effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome. ^a Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales
Table 3-40. Summary of results from human studies of Cr(VI) male reproductive effects
Table 3-41. Summary of included animal studies for Cr(VI) male reproductive effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome. ^a Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales
Table 3-42. Evidence profile table for male reproductive outcomes 3-259
Table 3-43. Summary of included studies for Cr(VI) female reproductive effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome. ^a Click to see interactive graphic with ratings rationale
Table 3-44. Evidence profile table for female reproductive outcomes 3-279
Table 3-45. Summary of human studies for Cr(VI) developmental effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome. ^a Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales
Table 3-46. Summary of included studies for Cr(VI) developmental effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome. ^a Click to see interactive graphic for ratings rationale
Table 3-47. Evidence Profile Table for Developmental Effects of Cr(VI)
Table 3-48. Dose response considerations and rationale for specific routes of exposure andhealth effects3-312
Table 3-49. Available animal studies showing histopathological changes in the duodenum
Table 3-50. Available animal studies showing histopathological and clinical chemistry changes inthe liver3-315
Table 3-51. Available animal studies showing histopathological changes and cellular responses in the lung
Table 3-52. Available animal studies showing increased tumor incidence following ingestion
Table 4-1. Design features of studies that examined GI tract effects (histopathology) via the oralroute of exposure

Table 4-2. Design features of studies that examined hepatic effects (clinical chemistry andhistopathology) via the oral route of exposure	.4-6
Table 4-3. Summary of derivation of points of departure following oral exposure	4-11
Table 4-4. Effects and corresponding derivation of candidate values	4-14
Table 4-5. Organ/system-specific RfDs and proposed overall RfD for Cr(VI)	4-17
Table 4-6. Evaluation of epidemiology studies on hexavalent chromium and nasal effects. Clickto see interactive data graphic for rating rationales.	4-25
Table 4-7. Dose-response data for effects in the nasal cavity of humans (medium confidence studies)	4-26
Table 4-8. Design features of inhalation studies that examined effects in animals	4-28
Table 4-9. Summary of derivation of points of departure following inhalation exposure tohexavalent chromium. Data for male Wistar rats from Glaser et al. (1990)	4-35
Table 4-10. Summary of derivation of points of departure following human inhalation exposure to hexavalent chromium	4-36
Table 4-11. Effects in the lower respiratory tract and corresponding derivation of candidate values for Cr(VI)	4-39
Table 4-12. Organ/system-specific reference concentrations (RfCs) and overall RfC for Cr(VI)	4-42
Table 4-13. Summary of the oral slope factor derivations	4-48
Table 4-14. Application of ADAFs for 70-year exposure to Cr(VI) from ages 0 to 70	4-49
Table 4-15. Summary of uncertainties in the derivation of oral slope factor values for Cr(VI)	4-51
Table 4-16. Summary of included studies considered for the derivation of an inhalation unit risk for Cr(VI) and overall confidence classification. Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales	4-55
Table 4-17. Details of rationale for selecting a principal study on Cr(VI) for IUR derivation	4-58
Table 4-18. Results of Cox proportional hazards modeling of cumulative chromium exposure (mg CrO ₃ /m ³ -years) by different lag periods (age and smoking are included in model). Table adapted from Table 1 of Gibb et al. (2020)	4-62
Table 4-19. Results for relative exponential exposure-response (R&L) model adjusted for age and smoking. Table adapted from Table 2 of Gibb et al. (2020)	4-63
Table 4-20. Calculation of lifetime cancer unit risk estimate not accounting for assumed increased early-life susceptibility	4-66
Table 4-21. Calculation of total cancer unit risk estimate from adult-only exposure	4-68
Table 4-22. Total cancer risk from exposure to constant Cr(VI) exposure level of 1 μg/m ³ from ages 0–70 years, adjusted for potential increased early-life susceptibility4	4-68
Table 4-23. Overview of air sampling program for the Baltimore cohort evaluated by Gibb et al., (2015; 2000b)	4-70
Table 4-24. Variation in unit risks among the Cox Proportional Hazards model results by lag length	4-71

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy. xi DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

FIGURES

Figure ES-1-1. G	General assumptions regarding absorption and distribution of Cr(VI) ingested by rodents during <i>ad libitum</i> drinking water or dietary bioassays. At doses <1 mg/kg-d, it is assumed that Cr(VI) is absorbed by the small intestine, and most of the absorbed Cr(VI) is reduced by the liver. At doses ≥1 mg/kg-d, it is assumed that systemic absorption and distribution of Cr(VI) throughout the whole body will occur.	xxxii
Figure 1-1. Sour	ces of Cr(VI) in soil and groundwater. Adapted from (Hausladen et al., 2018)	1-7
Figure 1-2. Drin	king water chromium (VI) concentrations in the United States by EPA region. Boxplots are based on the average values of samples of large public water systems within the region, from EPA's Third Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule (UCMR3) (U.S. EPA, 2014d). Boxes represent interquartile ranges. Whiskers are 1.5x the interquartile range away from the 25th/75th percentiles.	1-10
Figure 2-1. Liter	rature search flow diagram for Cr(VI)	2-2
Figure 3-1. Ove	rview of the absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion of Cr(VI), with focus on extracellular transport and metabolism at portals of entry.	3-2
Figure 3-2. Red	uction of Cr(VI) in samples of human gastric juice (fasted subjects) using data from Proctor et al. (2012). For these experiments, stomach contents were diluted 10:1 to highlight the effect of pH. Reduction of Cr(VI) in natural (undiluted) gastric juice occurs faster (see Appendix C.1.3).	3-6
Figure 3-3. GI tr	ract pH values reported in Mcconnell et al. (2008) (rodents: female BALB/c mice and female Wistar rats) and Parrott et al. (2009) (humans)	3-6
Figure 3-4. Sche	ematic of the rat oral cavity depicting the gradient of Cr(VI) concentration following ingestion of Cr(VI) in drinking water, both from anterior to posterior locations, as well as across the tissue depth. Drawn based in part on images by NRC (2011) and Jones and Klein (2013). Transmucosal uptake may lead to systemic absorption.	3-9
Figure 3-5. Sche	ematic of the mouse upper GI tract (stomach and small intestine) depicting the gradient of Cr(VI) concentration following ingestion of Cr(VI) in drinking water, both from anterior to posterior locations, as well as across the tissue depth. Drawn based on images by Radtke and Clevers (2005), Fox and Wang (2007), and Kararli (1995).	3-10
Figure 3-6. Sche	ematics of the human respiratory system (adapted from Kleinstreuer et al. (2008)) depicting deposition of particles or mists containing Cr(VI). The term generation refers to the branching pattern of airways. Each division into a major daughter (larger in diameter) and minor daughter airway is termed a generation (U.S. EPA, 1994).	3-13

Figure 3-7. Intra	acellular reduction pathways of Cr(VI). Adapted from Zhitkovich (2011). The reduction pathway via ascorbate occurs with a two-electron reduction to Cr(IV), immediately followed by a one-electron reduction to Cr(III). When Cr(VI) is reduced via thiols such as glutathione, there are two distinct one-electron transfers producing the intermediates Cr(V) and Cr(IV), and lastly another electron transfer producing Cr(III). There may be uncertainty whether the ascorbate pathway truly lacks a Cr(V) intermediate (Poljsak et al., 2005; Stearns et al., 1995; Stearns and Wetterhahn, 1994). In vivo and in vitro differences may arise from the media and ascorbate levels used for experiments in cultured cells. Ascorbate may have a stabilizing effect on the reactive intermediates produced via the glutathione pathway	3-15
Figure 3-8. Rela	tionship between ex vivo reduction models, in vivo gastric models, and whole- body PBPK models.	3-17
Figure 3-9. Lung	g cellular responses in BALF in male animals. The 120-day observation time in Glaser et al. (1990) incorporates 90 days of exposure followed by a 30-day period of no exposure (recovery time). Click to see interactive graphic. A graphic containing 30-day data by Glaser et al. (1990) can be found in HAWC. An expression of dose-response for selected cellular responses can be found in Section 4.2.1 and in HAWC.	3-30
Figure 3-10. Hist	topathological results and effects in macrophages in male rat lungs. Results from Kim et al. (2004) were qualitative, and dose ranges and the noted statistically significant dose groups are presented here for comparative purposes. The 120- day observation time from Glaser et al. (1990) incorporates 90 days of exposure followed by a 30-day period of no exposure (recovery time). Click to see interactive graphic. A figure containing incidence data of selected histopathological outcomes can be found in Section 4.2.1 or in HAWC	3-32
Figure 3-11. Lun	ng weight in male animals. The 120-day observation time incorporates 90 days of exposure followed by a 30-day period of no exposure (recovery time). Click to see interactive graphic	3-34
Figure 3-12. Diff	fuse epithelial hyperplasia in Cr(VI) treated mice in <i>high</i> confidence studies. Note: NTP (2008, 2007) did not present quantitative no-effect data. However, the dose levels and ranges for the exposure groups without effects are displayed here for comparative purposes. Click to see interactive data graphic	3-48
Figure 3-13. Diff	fuse epithelial hyperplasia in Cr(VI) treated rats in <i>high</i> confidence studies. Note: NTP (2008, 2007) did not present quantitative no-effect data. However, the dose levels and ranges for the exposure groups without effects are displayed here for comparative purposes. Click to see interactive data graphic	3-49
Figure 3-14. Cr(VI)-induced degenerative changes in the small intestines of mice and rats in high confidence studies. Click here to see interactive graphic.	3-52

Figure 3-15. Frac	ctional incidence of mice with adenomas or carcinomas in the small intestine (SI tumors), and fractional incidence of rats with squamous cell carcinomas or papillomas in the oral mucosa or tongue (oral tumors). Data presented on a basis of (A) administered mg/L Cr(VI), where incidence data for male and females were combined, and (B) administered mg/kg-d Cr(VI), where incidence data for males and females are separated due to differences in water intake and dose. For mice, both males and females were exposed to 5 mg/L, while all other nonzero doses differed between males and females. For rats, both males and females were exposed to the same mg/L Cr(VI) concentration levels. Incidence data adjusted for rodents surviving at least one year.	3-74
Figure 3-16. Key	events and mechanistic pathways induced by Cr(VI) exposure that can lead to cancer	3-116
Figure 3-17. Rep	orted tumors of the digestive tract tissues for all rodents exposed to Cr(VI). Points indicate primary adenomas and carcinomas for the mouse small intestine, and primary squamous cell carcinomas and squamous cell papillomas for the rat oral cavity (oral mucosa and tongue). Multiple tumors per animal per tissue are included, but tumors which were known to have metastasized from other sites were not included.	.3-124
Figure 3-18. Cell	ular processes involved in the mutagenic MOA of Cr(VI)	3-156
Figure 3-19. Hep	atic effects of oral Cr(VI) exposure in animals (histopathology). Click to see an interactive graphic.	3-174
Figure 3-20. Hep	patic effects of oral Cr(VI) exposure in animals (clinical chemistry). Click to see interactive graphic. To view the magnitude of changes in ALT from NTP (2008, 2007) data, click here. To view data by Elshazly et al. (2016) (where dose could not be estimated), click here.	. 3-177
Figure 3-21. Hep	atic effects of oral Cr(VI) exposure in animals (relative liver weight). Click to see an interactive graphic.	.3-179
Figure 3-22. Hen	natology findings from NTP (2007) and NTP (2008) in rats and mice exposed by gavage to Cr(VI) for 90 days or 12 months (full details available in the HAWC link).	. 3-197
Figure 4-1. Evalu	nation of studies from the Cr(VI) hazard identification for derivation of toxicity values. For endpoints where <i>medium</i> or <i>high</i> confidence studies were available, <i>low</i> confidence studies were not considered	4-3
Figure 4-2. Proce	ess for calculating the human equivalent dose for Cr(VI)	4-9
Figure 4-3. Cand	idate values with corresponding POD and composite UF.	4-15
Figure 4-4. Evalu	ation of animal studies from the Cr(VI) hazard identification for derivation of toxicity values. <i>Low</i> confidence studies were not considered.	4-27
Figure 4-5. Dose	-response relationship for lung histopathological in male rats using data from Glaser et al. (1990). Data are for 90-day observation time immediately following exposure, and 120-day observation time (90 days of exposure followed by a 30- day period of no exposure). N = 10/group. Click here for interactive graphic	4-29

Figure 4-6. Dose-response relationship for selected endpoints in male rats using data from	
Glaser et al. (1990). Data (± 95% confidence interval) are for 90-day observation	
time immediately following exposure, and 120-day observation time (90 days of	
exposure followed by a 30-day period of no exposure). N = 10/group. Click here	
for interactive graphic	4-30
Figure 4-7. Candidate values with corresponding POD and composite UF.	4-40
Figure 4-8. Literature screening results for studies containing exposure-response data of Cr(VI)	
and lung cancer	4-54

ABBREVIATIONS

ADAF	age-dependent adjustment factors
ADME	absorption, distribution, metabolism,
	and excretion
AIC	Akaike's information criterion
ALT	alanine aminotransferase
ALP	alkaline phosphatase
Asc	ascorbate
AST	aspartate aminotransferase
ATSDR	Agency for Toxic Substances and
	Disease Registry
BAL	bronchoalveolar lavage
BALF	bronchoalveolar lavage fluid
BMD	benchmark dose
BMDL	benchmark dose lower confidence limit
BMDS	Benchmark Dose Software
BMI	body mass index
BMR	benchmark response
BMDC	bone marrow-derived stem cell
BW	body weight
CA	chromosomal aberration
CASRN	Chemical Abstracts Service Registry
	Number
СНО	Chinese hamster ovary (cell line cells)
CPHEA	Center for Public Health and
	Environmental Assessment
CL	confidence limit
CNS	central nervous system
Cr(III)	trivalent chromium
Cr(IV)	tetravalent chromium
Cr(V)	pentavalent chromium
Cr(VI)	hexavalent chromium
DAF	dosimetric adjustment factor
DLCO	diffusing capacity of carbon monoxide
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
ELF	epithelial lining fluid
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ER	extra risk
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
FEV1.0	forced expiratory volume of 1 second
FVC	forced vital capacity
GD	gestation day
GGT	γ-glutamyl transferase
GI	gastrointestinal
GLP	good laboratory practices
GSD	geometric standard deviation
GSH	glutathione
GST	glutathione-S-transferase
Hb	hemoglobin
HEC	human equivalent concentration
	human aquivalent dasa

HERO	Health and Environmental Research
IILKO	Online
i.p.	intraperitoneal
i.v.	intravenous
IRIS	Integrated Risk Information System
LC50	median lethal concentration
LD_{50}	median lethal dose
LDH	lactate dehydrogenase
LOAEL	lowest-observed-adverse-effect level
МСН	mean cell hemoglobin
MCHC	mean cell hemoglobin concentration
MCV	mean cell (corpuscular) volume
MEF	maximal expiratory flow
MMAD	mas median aerodynamic diameter
MOA	micronuciei modo of action
MUA MTD	movie of action maximum tolerated dose
	Center for Public Health and
GIIILM	Environmental Assessment NCI
	National Cancer Institute
NOAEL	no-observed-adverse-effect level
NUTED	
NIP	National Toxicology Program
	Office of Decearch and Development
0547	Occupational Safety and Health
USIIA	Administration
РВРК	nhysiologically based pharmacokinetic
PDC	potassium dichromate
PND	postnatal day
POD	point of departure
POD _[AD]	duration-adjusted POD
POD[HED]	human equivalent dose POD
POD[HEC]	human equivalent concentration POD
RBC	red blood cell also known as
RDU	ervthrocyte
RD	relative deviation
RfC	inhalation reference concentration
RfD	oral reference dose
RDDR	regional deposited dose ratio
RNA	ribonucleic acid
SCE	sister chromatid exchange
SD	standard deviation
SDH	sorbitol dehydrogenase
SE	standard error
SDD	sodium dichromate dihydrate
РК	pharmacokinetics
TSCATS	Toxic Substances Control Act Test
	Submissions

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy. xvi DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

- TWA time-weighted average
- UF uncertainty factor
- UF_A animal-to-human uncertainty factor
- UF_H human variation uncertainty factor
- UF_L LOAEL-to-NOAEL uncertainty factor
- UFs subchronic-to-chronic uncertainty factor
- UF_D database deficiencies uncertainty factor
- WOS Web of Science

AUTHORS | CONTRIBUTORS | REVIEWERS

Assessment Managers (Lead Authors)

Catherine Gibbons Alan Sasso U.S. EPA/Office of Research and Development/Center for Public Health and Environmental Assessment

U.S. EPA/Office of Research and Development/Center

for Public Health and Environmental Assessment

Assessment Team (Authors)

Michelle Angrish Xabier Arzuaga **Thomas Bateson** Krista Christensen* Johanna Congleton Barbara Glenn Leonid Kopylev David Lehmann **Roman Mezencev** Becky Nachman Kathleen Newhouse Elizabeth Radke Paul Reinhart Susan Rieth Paul Schlosser **Rachel Shaffer** Andre Weaver Amina Wilkins Erin Yost

Contributors

Nora Abdel-Gawad Ted Berner Todd Blessinger* Christine Cai Glinda Cooper Kelly Garcia Carolyn Gigot Andrew Greenhalgh Shahreen Hussain Grace Kaupas Stephanie Kim Urmila Kodavanti Alexandra Larson Cheng-Kuan (Calvin) Lin Larissa Pardo Keith Salazar Sabah Tariq Todd Zurlinden*

U.S. EPA/Office of Research and Development/Center for Public Health and Environmental Assessment

Stephanie Smith-Roe

NIH/National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences/Division of the National Toxicology Program

Production Team Maureen Johnson U.S. EPA/Office of Research and Development/Center for Public Health and Environmental Assessment **Rvan** Jones Dahnish Shams Vicki Soto Samuel Thacker Ashlei Williams **Contractor Support** Michelle Cawley ICF, Inc. Ali Goldstone Kim Osborn Alessandria Schumacher Nicole Vetter **Executive Direction** Wayne Cascio **CPHEA Center Director CPHEA Associate Director for Assessment Science** Samantha Jones Kristina Thayer* **CPAD** Director Andrew Kraft* **CPAD** Associate Director J. Allen Davis, Jr. CPAD Senior Science Advisor, Acting Barbara Glenn SAM Branch Chief Paul White* **CPAD Senior Science Advisor** Ila Cote* U.S. EPA (retired), Contractor Alan Stern* NJDEP (retired), Contractor *EPA/ORD/CPHEA Executive Review Committee

Internal Reviewers

Epidemiology Workgroup General Toxicity/Immunotoxicity/Cancer Workgroup Inhalation Workgroup Neurotoxicity Workgroup Pharmacokinetics Workgroup Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity Workgroup Scoping and Problem Formulation Workgroup Statistics Workgroup Systematic Review Workgroup Toxicity Pathways Workgroup

1

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Summary of Occurrence and Health Effects 1 2 Chromium is a ubiquitous element present in soil, water, air, and food that can 3 originate from both natural and anthropogenic sources. This toxicological review 4 restricts its focus to hexavalent chromium compounds, which are a group of 5 substances that contain chromium in the hexavalent (+6) oxidation state, denoted as 6 Cr(VI). Cr(VI) compounds have many industrial applications, including pigment 7 manufacturing, corrosion inhibition and metal finishing. Because many Cr(VI) 8 compounds are water soluble, they are highly mobile in soil and may contaminate 9 drinking water. Cr(VI) may be emitted into air by industries utilizing Cr(VI) 10 compounds, and by various other sources such as the burning of fossil fuels. 11 The systematic review (see Appendix A for methods) conducted to support this 12 13 assessment evaluated all cancer outcomes, and noncancer effects for the following 14 potential target systems: respiratory, gastrointestinal (GI) tract, hepatic, hematologic, 15 immune, reproductive, and developmental. For cancer and nasal effects via the inhalation route (which are well established), the systematic review focused on data 16 17 that may inform the quantitative dose-response analysis. 18 19 Evidence indicates that Cr(VI) is likely to cause GI tract, liver, hematological, 20 developmental, and lower respiratory toxicity in humans, given relevant exposure 21 circumstances. Organ/system-specific reference values were derived for GI tract, 22 liver, lower respiratory, and nasal effects. Evidence suggests that Cr(VI) may cause male reproductive effects and immune and hematologic toxicity in humans. Evidence 23 24 is inadequate to assess whether Cr(VI) causes female reproductive toxicity in 25 humans. The overall chronic RfD is 9×10^{-4} mg/kg-d, and the overall chronic RfC is 26 $1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mg/m}^3$. 27 28 For cancer via the oral route of exposure, Cr(VI) is likely to be carcinogenic to the 29 human GI tract under relevant exposure circumstances. Because a mutagenic mode 30 of action (MOA) for Cr(VI) carcinogenicity is "sufficiently supported in (laboratory) 31 animals" and "relevant to humans," EPA used a linear low dose extrapolation from the POD in accordance with *Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment* (U.S. EPA, 2005a). 32 33 Furthermore, in the absence of chemical-specific data to evaluate differences in agespecific susceptibility, increased early-life susceptibility to Cr(VI) is assumed and EPA 34 applied ADAFs in accordance with the Supplemental Guidance for Assessing 35 36 Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens (U.S. EPA, 2005b). The total 37 lifetime oral slope factor (OSF) for Cr(VI) is 0.5 (per mg/kg-d). 38 39 For cancer via the inhalation route of exposure, quantitative exposure-response data 40 were evaluated, and an inhalation unit risk (IUR) was developed for human lung 41 cancer. Similar to the oral route of exposure, linear low dose extrapolation and 42 application of ADAFs were performed for the inhalation route of exposure. The total 43 lifetime IUR for Cr(VI) is 2×10^{-2} (per µg Cr(VI)/m³).

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy. DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

1 ES.1 EVIDENCE FOR HAZARDS OTHER THAN CANCER: ORAL EXPOSURE

2 The evidence indicates that Cr(VI) is likely to cause gastrointestinal (GI) tract, hepatic, and 3 developmental toxicity in humans following oral ingestion (see Sections 3.2.2, 3.2.4, 3.2.5, 3.2.9). 4 The determination that evidence indicates that Cr(VI) is likely to cause GI toxicity in humans was 5 based on toxicology studies in rodents reporting histological effects in the GI tract. For the 6 determination of hepatic toxicity, toxicology studies in rodents reported histological effects in the 7 liver and serum indicators of hepatotoxicity. The determination for developmental effects was 8 based on the observation of decreased offspring growth across most animal studies. For the 9 hazards listed above, mechanistic evidence supported the human relevance of the effects observed 10 in animals.

11 The evidence suggests that Cr(VI) may cause immune, hematologic, and male reproductive 12 toxicity in humans (see Sections 3.2.6, 3.2.7). Male reproductive effects on sperm parameters and 13 testosterone were observed in both human and animal studies, however most studies were 14 considered low confidence, and effects were generally not observed in the single *high* confidence 15 rodent study. For hematological effects, high confidence studies in rodents reported changes in 16 hematological parameters that suggested a pattern consistent with regenerative microcytic 17 hypochromic anemia, but the confidence in this judgment was diminished due to uncertainty 18 regarding the apparent transient nature of the effects after one year. The conclusion for immune 19 effects was primarily based on coherent evidence of effects on 1) ex vivo WBC function across 20 human and animal studies, 2) antibody responses to T cell-dependent antigen measured in animals, 21 and 3) reduction in host resistance to bacterial infection reported in animal studies; however, 22 confidence in the evidence was reduced because due to primarily *low* confidence studies reporting 23 findings that were often inconsistent across studies.

The evidence is inadequate to assess whether Cr(VI) causes female reproductive toxicity in
humans (see Section 3.2.8). Although an association with female reproductive toxicity was
demonstrated in a single *low* confidence epidemiology study and a series of *low* confidence animal
toxicology studies, effects were not observed in *medium* or *high* confidence studies aside from a
moderate decrease in maternal body weight

ES.1.1 Oral Reference Dose (RfD)

29 Hyperplasia in the small intestine of female B6C3F1 mice was selected as the basis for the 30 overall chronic RfD of 9 \times 10⁻⁴ mg/kg-d. A LOAEL analysis was used to derive an organ-specific 31 point of departure (POD) for GI tract effects. Human equivalent doses (HEDs) were calculated using 32 PBPK modeling to account for species differences and human variability in detoxification of Cr(VI) 33 in the stomach. A composite uncertainty factor of 100 was applied. This uncertainty factor 34 incorporated: an interspecies uncertainty (UF_A) of 3 to account for animal-to-human extrapolation 35 (pharmacodynamic differences); an intraspecies uncertainty (UF_H) of 3 to account for variation in 36 susceptibility across the human population, and the possibility that the available data may not be

- 1 representative of individuals who are most susceptible to the effects; and a LOAEL-to-NOAEL
- 2 uncertainty (UF_{L}) of 10 to account for extrapolation from the LOAEL. The remaining uncertainty
- 3 factors were equal to 1.
- 4 The confidence in the overall chronic RfD is high. The RfD is based on a *high* confidence
- 5 chronic 2-year drinking water study by NTP (2008) that exposed rats and mice of both sexes to
- 6 Cr(VI) as sodium dichromate dihydrate at drinking water concentrations from 5 mg/L to 180 mg/L
- 7 (approximately 0.2 mg/kg-d to 10 mg/kg-d). Multiple *high* confidence subchronic studies also
- 8 support these data (click the <u>HAWC link</u> for study evaluation details), and mechanistic studies
- 9 support the involvement of oxidative stress in Cr(VI)-induced cytotoxicity in a variety of tissues,
- 10 including the GI tract. The organ-specific RfD for the liver is also supportive of the GI tract RfD,
- 11 because the GI tract and liver are exposed on first-pass following oral ingestion (so both should get
- 12 the highest internal dose). While the human database for Cr(VI) induced GI toxicity was
- 13 *indeterminate,* this did not warrant changing the overall confidence from *high*.

Table ES-1. Organ/system-specific RfDs and overall RfD for Cr(VI)

Hazard	Basis	osRfD mg/kg-d	Study exposure description	Confidence
Gastrointestinal system (Gl tract)	Hyperplasia in small intestine of female mice	9 × 10⁻⁴	Chronic drinking water	High
Hepatic system	Chronic inflammation in female rats	7 × 10 ⁻⁴	Chronic drinking water	Medium
Developmental toxicity	Decreased F1 offspring postnatal growth	0.07	Continuous breeding	Low
Overall RfD	GI tract effects	9 × 10 ⁻⁴	Chronic drinking water	High

14

The organ/system-specific RfD for hepatic effects was based on chronic inflammation in

- 15 female F344 rats reported in NTP (2008). An organ-specific RfD of 7×10^{-4} mg/kg-d (6.69 × 10⁻⁴
- 16 rounded to 7×10^{-4}) was derived using BMD modeling. Human equivalent doses (HEDs) were
- 17 calculated using pharmacokinetic modeling to account for species differences and human
- 18 variability in detoxification of Cr(VI) in the stomach. A composite uncertainty factor of 100 was
- 19 applied. This uncertainty factor incorporated: an interspecies uncertainty (UF_A) of 3 to account for
- 20 animal-to-human extrapolation (pharmacodynamic differences); an intraspecies uncertainty (UF_H)
- 21 of 3 to account for variation in susceptibility across the human population, and the possibility that
- 22 the available data may not be representative of individuals who are most susceptible to the effects;
- 23 and a LOAEL-to-NOAEL uncertainty (UF_L) of 10 to account for extrapolation from the LOAEL. The

- 1 remaining uncertainty factors were equal to 1. There is medium confidence in this osRfD. While it is
- 2 based on a *high* confidence chronic study in rats and there are other subchronic data to support the
- 3 liver endpoints (click <u>HAWC</u> link for study evaluation details), there were differences in the dose-
- 4 response relationships between species and sexes. A lower organ-specific RfD confidence was
- 5 assigned due to: 1) inconsistent responses across sex and species (e.g., histological changes were
- 6 primarily seen in female rats and were less severe in male rats and mice), and 2) some uncertainty
- 7 regarding the severity of the observed histological effects (specifically, the available high
- 8 confidence studies did not observe a progression to more severe hepatic injury such as fibrosis or9 necrosis).
- 10 The organ/system-specific RfD for developmental toxicity was based on decreased F1
- 11 offspring postnatal growth from the continuous breeding study in BALBC mice (<u>NTP, 1997</u>). The
- 12 organ-specific RfD was 0.07 mg/kg-d and was based on a NOAEL analysis. A human equivalent dose
- 13 (HED) was calculated using PBPK modeling to account for species differences and human
- 14 variability in detoxification of Cr(VI) in the stomach. A composite uncertainty factor of 10 was
- 15 applied. This uncertainty factor incorporated: an interspecies uncertainty (UF_A) of 3 to account for
- 16 animal-to-human extrapolation (pharmacodynamic differences); an intraspecies uncertainty (UF_H)
- 17 of 3 to account for variation in susceptibility across the human population, and the possibility that
- 18 the available data may not be representative of individuals who are most susceptible to the effects.
- 19 The remaining uncertainty factors were equal to 1. There is low confidence in this osRfD. While it is
- 20 based on a high confidence continuous breeding study and similar effects on decreased offspring
- 21 growth observed in multiple other studies (see Section 3.2.9), this effect only occurred in high dose
- 22 groups where other toxicological effects (as indicated by the lower points of departure in Table
- ES-2) may be occurring. A lower organ-specific RfD confidence was assigned due to: 1) the fact that
- 24 no other RfDs could be derived for this endpoint from other studies due to studies being low
- 25 confidence (click <u>HAWC</u> link for study evaluation details), and 2) the possibility that other toxicities
- could be affecting the animals in the high dose groups where developmental effects were observed.

Table ES-2. Summary of reference dose (RfD) derivation

Critical effect	Point of departure mg/kg-d	UF	cRfD (mg/kg-d)	Confidence
Mice (M) diffuse epithelial hyperplasia of duodenum* (<u>NTP, 2008</u>)	BMDL _{10%ER-HED} : 0.0443	10	4.43 × 10 ⁻³	High
Mice (F) diffuse epithelial hyperplasia of duodenum* (<u>NTP, 2008</u>)	LOAEL _{HED} : 0.0911	100	9.11 × 10 ⁻⁴	High
GI tract RfD: 9.11×10^{-4} (rounded to 9×10^{-4}) mg/kg-d				
Rat (M) liver ALT (12 months) (<u>NTP, 2008</u>)	BMDL _{1RD-HED} : 0.206	10	0.0206	Medium

Critical effect	Point of departure mg/kg-d	UF	cRfD (mg/kg-d)	Confidence
Rat (M) liver ALT (3 months) (<u>NTP, 2008</u>)	NOAEL _{HED} : 0.184	30	6.13 × 10 ^{−3}	Medium
Rat (M) liver ALT (90 days) (<u>NTP, 2007</u>)	LOAEL _{HED} : 0.203	300	6.77 × 10 ⁻⁴	Medium
Rat (F) liver ALT (90 days) (<u>NTP, 2007</u>)	LOAEL _{HED} : 0.190	300	6.33 × 10 ^{−4}	Medium
Rat (F) liver chronic inflammation (2 years) (<u>NTP, 2008</u>)	LOAEL _{HED} : 0.0669	100	6.69 × 10 ⁻⁴	Medium
Mouse (F) liver chronic inflammation (2 years) (<u>NTP, 2008</u>)	BMDL _{10%ER HED} : 0.182	10	0.0182	Medium
Rat (F) liver fatty change (2 years) (<u>NTP,</u> 2008)	NOAEL _{HED} : 0.0669	10	6.69 × 10 ⁻³	Medium
Hepatic RfD: 6.69 \times 10 ⁻⁴ (rounded to 7 \times 10 ⁻⁴) mg/kg-d			
Mouse (F) Decreased F1 postnatal growth (<u>NTP, 1997</u>)	NOAEL _{HED} : 0.700	10	0.0700	Low
Developmental RfD: 0.07 mg/kg-d				

*Duodenum: proximal subsection of the small intestine

1

ES.2 EVIDENCE FOR HAZARDS OTHER THAN CANCER: INHALATION EXPOSURE

As stated in the Cr(VI) IRIS Assessment Protocol (Appendix A), EPA did not re-evaluate the qualitative evidence for an association between inhalation Cr(VI) exposure and nasal effects based on EPA's 1998 evaluation of the literature and the determination that the effects of Cr(VI) on the nasal cavity have been well established [e.g., <u>OSHA (2006)</u> and <u>U.S. EPA (2014c)</u>]. Rather, the review of the evidence for nasal effects focused on identifying studies that might improve the quantitative dose-response analysis for this outcome.

8 EPA evaluated qualitative evidence for an association between inhalation Cr(VI) exposure 9 and lower respiratory toxicity. EPA determined that Cr(VI) is likely to cause lower respiratory 10 toxicity, based on evidence in six *medium* confidence animal studies examining lung cellular 11 responses and/or histopathology. Because histopathological and cellular changes occurred 12 together, and in combination with serum biomarkers indicating an inflammatory response, these 13 were considered indicators of adverse responses. The human evidence for Cr(VI)-induced lower 14 respiratory effects is limited in terms of number and confidence of studies. However, two of the 15 available four studies provide some indication of exposure-related decrements in lung function 16 assessed using spirometry. Mechanistic evidence supports the respiratory tract effects observed in 17 animals.

ES.2.1 Inhalation Reference Concentration (RfC)

1 Effects in the nasal cavity included irritation/ulceration of the nasal mucosa or septum, 2 perforation of the septum, and bleeding nasal septum. LOAEL analyses were used to derive the 3 upper respiratory tract related points of departure (POD). A composite uncertainty factor of 300 4 was applied. This uncertainty factor incorporated: an intraspecies uncertainty factor (UF_H) of 3 to 5 account for variation in susceptibility across the human population and the possibility that the 6 available data may not be representative of individuals who are most susceptible to the effect; a 7 LOAEL-to-NOAEL uncertainty factor (UF_L) of 10 because this endpoint had a high incidence at the 8 lowest concentration across multiple studies; and a subchronic-to-chronic uncertainty factor (UF_s) 9 of 3 because data were not from chronic lifetime exposures (however the effects had a short onset 10 time). A database deficiencies uncertainty factor (UF_p) of 3 was applied because multi-generational 11 inhalation studies were not available in animals, human prenatal studies were rated *low* confidence, 12 and effects of Cr(VI) differ by route of exposure due to pharmacokinetics¹ (thus, the oral database 13 of multi-generational studies does not inform the quantitative analysis for the inhalation route). 14 The candidate organ-specific RfC (for upper respiratory tract) was derived using data of nasal 15 septum ulceration in humans from Gibb et al. (2000a). 16 For the lower respiratory tract, a candidate organ-specific RfC was derived using data of 17 lung cellular responses and histopathological changes in rats from <u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u>. A LOAEL 18 analysis was used to derive most organ-specific points of departure (PODs). Human equivalent 19 concentrations were calculated using a dosimetric adjustment factor accounting for interspecies 20 differences in particle deposition (the regional deposited dose ratio, or RDDR). A composite 21 uncertainty factor of 1000 was applied to the LOAEL-derived PODs (BMD-derived bronchioalveolar 22 hyperplasia had a composite UF was 300; see Section 4.2.4). The database deficiencies uncertainty factor, UF_D, was a 3 for the same reasons specified above for the nasal osRfC. A subchronic-to-23 24 chronic uncertainty factor, UF_s, of 3 was incorporated to account for the less-than-lifetime exposure 25 (but there was some indication in <u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u> that the effects were transient, and therefore 26 a 10 was not applied). An interspecies uncertainty factor, UF_A , of 3 was applied to account for 27 residual uncertainty in the extrapolation from laboratory animals to humans (an inhalation 28 dosimetry factor was used to estimate a human equivalent concentration from animal data, but 29 some pharmacodynamic uncertainty remained). A LOAEL-to-NOAEL uncertainty factor, UF_L, of 3 30 was applied to LOAELs because characteristics of the lung histopathological and cellular responses 31 supported a value less than 10. UF_L of 1 was applied when BMD modeling was used (bronchioalveolar hyperplasia). An intraspecies uncertainty factor, UF_H, of 10 was applied to 32 33 account for variability and uncertainty in pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic susceptibility 34 within the human population (source data were only available in male inbred rats).

¹Because Cr(VI) is detoxified in the gut on first-pass, it is possible that inhalation exposures may induce systemic effects not observed following ingestion.

- 1 The overall RfC was based on effects in the upper respiratory tract (ulceration of the nasal
- 2 septum) reported by *medium* confidence <u>studies</u>. Effects of Cr(VI) on the nasal cavity have been
- 3 well established, and this was also the most sensitive effect. It is considered protective of the other
- 4 noncancer effects.

Table ES-3. Organ/system-specific RfCs and overall RfC for Cr(VI)

Hazard	Basis	osRfC mg/m ³	Study exposure description	Confidence
Respiratory (upper tract)	Ulcerated nasal septum in humans	1 × 10 ⁻⁵	Occupational longitudinal study	Medium
Respiratory ^a (lower tract)	Lung cellular responses and histopathological changes in rats	1 × 10 ⁻⁴	Subchronic study	Medium
Overall RfC	Respiratory effects	1 × 10 ⁻⁵	Occupational longitudinal study	Medium

^aHuman equivalent concentrations were calculated using a dosimetric adjustment factor accounting for interspecies differences in particle deposition (the regional deposited dose ratio, or RDDR)

Table ES-4. Summary of reference concentration	(RfC)	derivation
--	-------	------------

Critical effect	Point of departure ^a mg/m ³	UF	cRfC mg/m ³	Confidence
Upper respiratory tract				
Ulceration of the nasal septum (Gibb et al., 2000a)	LOAEL: 3.4 × 10 ⁻³	300	1.1×10^{-5} (rounded to 1×10^{-5})	Medium
Nasal mucosal pathology (<u>Cohen et</u> <u>al., 1974</u>)	LOAEL: 9.5 × 10 ⁻⁴	300	3.2×10^{-6} (rounded to 3×10^{-6})	Medium
Ulceration of the nasal septum (<u>Lindberg and Hedenstierna, 1983</u>)	LOAEL: 3.3 × 10 ⁻³	300	1.1×10^{-5} (rounded to 1×10^{-5})	Medium
Lower respiratory tract				
Histopathology: histiocytosis in rats (<u>Glaser et al., 1990</u>)	LOAEL _{HEC} : 0.133	1000	$1.3 imes 10^{-4}$ (rounded to $1 imes 10^{-4}$)	Medium
Histopathology: bronchioalveolar hyperplasia in rats (<u>Glaser et al.,</u> <u>1990</u>)	BMDL _{1SD} : 0.0413	300	1.4×10^{-4} (rounded to 1×10^{-4})	Medium
Cell responses: LDH in BALF in rats (<u>Glaser et al., 1990</u>)	LOAEL _{HEC} : 0.133	1000	1.3×10^{-4} (rounded to 1×10^{-4})	Medium
Cell responses: Albumin in BALF in rats (<u>Glaser et al., 1990</u>)	LOAEL _{HEC} : 0.170	1000	1.7×10^{-4} (rounded to 2×10^{-4})	Medium
Cell responses: Total protein in BALF in rats (<u>Glaser et al., 1990</u>)	LOAEL _{HEC} : 0.133	1000	1.3×10^{-4} (rounded to 1×10^{-4})	Medium
Respiratory RfC: 1.1×10^{-5} (rounded t	o 1 × 10 ⁻⁵) mg/m ³			•

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy. xxvi DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

1 ES.3 EVIDENCE FOR HUMAN CARCINOGENICITY

Under EPA's Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment (U.S. EPA, 2005a), Cr(VI) is likely
to be carcinogenic to humans by the oral route of exposure. The evidence of carcinogenicity to the
GI tract from animal studies is *robust*, and the evidence of carcinogenicity from human studies is *slight*. There is strong supporting mechanistic evidence for Cr(VI) involvement in biological
pathways contributing to carcinogenesis.

As noted in the Protocol (see Appendix A), this assessment maintains the previous
determination that Cr(VI) is carcinogenic to humans by the inhalation route of exposure based on
long-standing evidence of a causal relationship between inhalation of Cr(VI) and increased

- 10 incidence of lung cancer in humans in occupational settings.
- 11

ES.4 QUANTITATIVE ESTIMATE OF CARCINOGENIC RISK: ORAL EXPOSURE

12 The animal database for cancer by oral exposure consisted of a high confidence chronic 13 2-year drinking water bioassay which found "clear evidence of carcinogenic activity" of Cr(VI) in 14 male and female rats and mice (NTP, 2008). These results were based on increased incidences of 15 squamous cell neoplasms in the oral cavity of rats, and increased incidences of neoplasms in the 16 small intestine of mice. Using these data, benchmark dose (BMD) modeling was applied to derive 17 points of departure (PODs) for small intestinal tumors in mice and oral tumors in rats (See 18 Section 4.3). For mice, human equivalent doses (HEDs) were calculated using PBPK modeling to 19 account for species differences in detoxification of Cr(VI) in the stomach because tumors occurred 20 in the small intestine (after stomach reduction to Cr(III)). For rats, HEDs were calculated using 21 BW^{3/4} scaling in accordance with U.S. EPA (2011c), because tumors occurred in the oral cavity 22 (prior to stomach reduction to Cr(III)). In the absence of an adequately developed theory or 23 information to develop and characterize an oral portal-of-entry dosimetric adjustment factor, 24 application of BW^{3/4} scaling is recommended (U.S. EPA, 2011c, 2005a). 25 The lifetime oral cancer slope factor for humans is defined as the slope of the line from the 26 lower 95% bound on the exposure at the POD to the control response (slope factor = $0.1/BMDL_{10}$). Using linear extrapolation from the BMDL₁₀, human equivalent oral slope factors were derived for 27

- 28 each gender/species/tumor site combination and are listed in Table ES-5. The adult-based oral
- slope factor for Cr(VI) is 0.3, based on tumors of the small intestine of male and female mice.

Table ES-5. Summary of oral slope factor (OSF) derivation

Critical effect	Point of departure mg/kg-d	Human equivalent dose mg/kg-d	OSF ^ª (mg/kg-d) ⁻¹	Confidence
Adenomas or carcinomas in the mouse small intestine of male mice (<u>NTP, 2008</u>)	BMDL _{10%ER} : 1.05	0.319 ^b	0.313	High

Critical effect	Point of departure mg/kg-d	Human equivalent dose mg/kg-d	OSF ^ª (mg/kg-d) ⁻¹	Confidence
Adenomas or carcinomas in the mouse small intestine of female mice (<u>NTP, 2008</u>)	BMDL _{10%ER} : 1.03	0.316 ^b	0.317	High
Squamous cell carcinoma or squamous cell papilloma in oral mucosa or tongue of male rats (<u>NTP,</u> <u>2008</u>)	BMDL _{10%ER} : 3.35	0.917°	0.109	High
Squamous cell carcinoma or squamous cell papilloma in oral mucosa or tongue of female rats (<u>NTP, 2008</u>)	BMDL _{10%er} : 2.70	0.645°	0.155	High

Adult-based OSF: 0.3 (mg/kg-d)⁻¹ (rounded from either 0.313 or 0.317) Lifetime OSF for adenomas or carcinomas in the mouse small intestine, after application of the age-dependent adjustment factors: 0.5 (mg/kg-d)⁻¹ (see Section 4.3.4 for derivation)

^aOSF prior to application of the age-dependent adjustment factors (see below) ^bEstimated by PBPK modeling

^cBW^{3/4} scaling adjustment (administered dose multiplied by $(BW_A/BW_H)^{1/4}$, where $BW_H = 80$ kg (human body weight) and BW_A (animal body weight) is set to a study-specific value.

- 1 Because a mutagenic MOA for Cr(VI) carcinogenicity (see Section 3.2.3) is "sufficiently 2 supported in (laboratory) animals" and "relevant to humans," and as there are no chemical-specific 3 data to evaluate the differences between adults and children, increased early-life susceptibility 4 should be assumed. If there is early-life exposure, age-dependent adjustment factors (ADAFs) 5 should be applied, as appropriate, in accordance with the EPA's Supplemental Guidance for Assessing 6 *Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens* (U.S. EPA, 2005b). 7 The total lifetime OSF for Cr(VI) is 0.5 (per mg/kg-d). Partial oral slope factors for different 8 age groups are provided in Section 4.3.4. 9 ES.5 **OUANTITATIVE ESTIMATE OF CARCINOGENIC RISK: INHALATION EXPOSURE** In 1998, the EPA IRIS Toxicological Review of Hexavalent Chromium classified Cr(VI) as a 10 11 "known human carcinogen by the inhalation route of exposure" based on consistent evidence that
- inhaled Cr(VI) causes lung cancer in humans and supporting evidence of carcinogenicity in animals.
 The same conclusion has since been reached by other authoritative federal and state health
- 14 agencies and international organizations and the carcinogenicity of Cr(VI) is well established for
- 15 inhalation exposures (<u>TCEQ, 2014</u>; <u>IPCS, 2013</u>; <u>NIOSH, 2013b</u>; <u>IARC, 2012</u>; <u>CalEPA, 2011</u>; <u>NTP</u>,
- 16 <u>2011; OSHA, 2006</u>). As stated in the 2014 preliminary packages (<u>U.S. EPA, 2014b</u>, <u>c</u>) and the
- 17 Systematic Review Protocol (Appendix A), the review of cancer by the inhalation route focused on
- 18 data that may improve the quantitative exposure-response analysis conducted in EPA's 1998 IRIS

1	assessment. An overview of the literature screening for exposure-response data is contained in
2	Section 4.4.1.
3	The IUR was based on an occupational cohort by Gibb et al., (<u>2020</u> ; <u>2015</u> ; <u>2000b</u>) of
4	chromate production workers at a facility in Baltimore, MD. Details of the cohort are contained in
5	Section 4.4.
6	Because a mutagenic MOA for Cr(VI) carcinogenicity is "sufficiently supported in
7	(laboratory) animals" and "relevant to humans," and as there are no chemical-specific data to
8	evaluate the differences between adults and children, increased early-life susceptibility should be
9	assumed. If there is early-life exposure, age-dependent adjustment factors (ADAFs) should be
10	applied, as appropriate, in accordance with the EPA's Supplemental Guidance for Assessing
11	Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens (U.S. EPA, 2005b).
12	The total lifetime IUR for Cr(VI) is 2×10^{-2} (per µg Cr(VI)/m ³) [this value was rounded from
13	1.82×10^{-2} (per µg Cr(VI)/m ³)]. Partial unit risks for different age groups are provided in Section
14	4.4.4.

Table ES-6. Summary of inhalation unit risk (IUR) derivation

Critical effect	Basis	IUR (μg Cr(VI)/m³) ⁻¹	Study exposure description	Confidence
Cancer	Lung cancer (<u>Gibb et al.,</u> <u>2020</u>)	2 × 10 ⁻²	Occupational cohort	High

15 ES.6 SUSCEPTIBLE POPULATIONS AND LIFE STAGES

16 Susceptible populations and life stages refers to groups of people who may be at increased

17 risk for negative health consequences following chemical exposures due to factors such as life stage,18 genetics, health status and disease, gender, lifestyle factors, and other co-exposures.

19 Populations susceptible to increased risks for negative health consequences of Cr(VI) exposure:

- Individuals with preexisting health effects that overlap with those caused by Cr(VI)
 exposure may be at increased risk. Health conditions that may be exacerbated by Cr(VI)
 exposure include gastrointestinal diseases, liver diseases, respiratory diseases, and anemia.
- Individuals with chronically high stomach pH are expected to detoxify Cr(VI) less
 effectively, leading to increased uptake of Cr(VI) in the gastrointestinal tract following oral
 exposure. High stomach pH can be caused by a number of factors, such as low gastric acid
 (hypochlorhydria), usage of medications to treat gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD),
 and population variability.
- Individuals with genetic polymorphisms conveying deficiencies in DNA repair capacity may
 have increased susceptibility to Cr(VI)-induced lung cancer.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	 Carriers of a mutated cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) allele may be at higher risk of Cr(VI)-induced cancers of the gastrointestinal tract. Suppression of the CFTR gene was shown to enhance intestinal tumorigenesis in animal models. CFTR was shown to be inactivated in mice exposed to Cr(VI). Thus, individuals with an impaired CFTR due to genetics may suffer an even further reduction in CFTR expression levels following oral exposure to Cr(VI). Life stages susceptible to increased risks for negative health consequences of Cr(VI) 					
8	exposure:					
9 10	• The developmental life stage (in utero) is considered susceptible because Cr(VI) was determined to likely cause developmental toxicity in humans.					
11 12	 Neonates, infants, and young toddlers less than 30 months old, which exhibit elevated stomach pH and therefore cannot effectively detoxify Cr(VI). 					
13 14 15 16	• For cancer effects, incorporation of age-dependent adjustment factors in accordance with the <i>Supplemental Guidance for Assessing Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens</i> (U.S. EPA, 2005b) account for early-life (<2 years) susceptibility by using a 10-fold adjustment to the slope factor.					
17 18 19	• Elderly populations (aged 65 and older) may be at higher risk, because they exhibit some preexisting health conditions associated with aging that may be exacerbated by oral or inhalation exposure to Cr(VI).					
20 21	ES.7 ORAL ABSORPTION UNCERTAINTIES AND ASSUMPTIONS APPLIED IN HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND MODE-OF-ACTION ANALYSES					
22 23						
24 25	Even under controlled rodent pharmacokinetic studies, assessing the oral absorption and whole-body distribution of orally administered Cr(VI) at low doses contains uncertainty. Only total chromium can be measured in tissues in vivo. Total chromium measured in tissues following oral Cr(VI) exposure results from:					
24 25 26 27 28 29	 Even under controlled rodent pharmacokinetic studies, assessing the oral absorption and whole-body distribution of orally administered Cr(VI) at low doses contains uncertainty. Only total chromium can be measured in tissues in vivo. Total chromium measured in tissues following oral Cr(VI) exposure results from: Rapid cellular uptake of administered Cr(VI) that was absorbed into the body as Cr(VI). Slow cellular uptake of Cr(III) that was absorbed into the body as Cr(III), formed from administered Cr(VI) that reduced to Cr(III) extracellularly and outside of systemic circulation (e.g., gastric juices). 					

1	• Background uptake and distribution of dietary and drinking water chromium (Cr(III)				
2	and/or Cr(VI)) not administered or controlled in the bioassay.				
3	Additional details are provided in Section 3.1 (Pharmacokinetics) and Appendix C.1.				
4	Elevated chromium concentration in red blood cells (RBCs) is a strong indicator that Cr(VI) was				
5	absorbed in the GI tract unreduced and was not subsequently reduced by the liver during first-pass				
6	metabolism. Uptake and reduction of Cr(VI) by RBCs is rapid, and the resulting Cr(III) in red blood				
7	cells is bound to hemoglobin and/or diffuses out of the RBC slowly. Therefore, elevated RBC				
8	chromium persists longer relative to plasma chromium levels following systemic Cr(VI) absorption.				
9	Based on analyses of the RBC:plasma ratios of exposed and unexposed rodents from the NTP (2008,				
10	2007) studies (see Appendix C.1.2), general assumptions were made when interpreting animal				
11	studies for hazard identification and MOA:				
12	• At oral <i>ad libitum</i> doses below 1 mg/kg-d, Cr(VI) is absorbed by the GI tract, but most Cr(VI)				
13	absorbed by the GI tract is reduced to Cr(III) by the liver (and to a lesser extent, plasma and				
14	RBCs in the portal vein). At these low doses the GI tract and liver are exposed to Cr(VI), but				
15	exposure to other systems may be low and highly variable. There is high uncertainty as to				
16	whether other systemic tissues receive consistent exposure to Cr(VI) at these doses across				
17	all the studies. Therefore, inconsistent pharmacokinetic and toxicological results among				
18	studies for doses below 1 mg/kg-d are to be expected.				
19	• At oral <i>ad libitum</i> doses greater than or equal to 1 mg/kg-d, Cr(VI) is absorbed by the GI				
20	tract, exceeds the reducing capacity of the liver, and is widely distributed to systemic tissues				
21	(e.g., kidney, lung, brain). Exposure to systemic tissues may still be highly variable, and				
22	there may be some inconsistencies in dose-response between studies.				
23	• For oral gavage doses at any level, Cr(VI) is widely distributed to systemic tissues, and				
24	results in significantly higher internal doses than dietary and drinking water exposure. This				
25	is because the gavage route greatly condenses the timescale of an exposure, surpassing				
26	gastric reduction capacity (ad libitum exposures are distributed over a 24-hour period,				
27	whereas gavage occurs over a very short period).				
28	Injection studies (intravenous or intraperitoneal) will expose systemic tissues to				
29	significantly greater levels of Cr(VI) than oral gavage studies because there is not a first-				
30	pass effect (reduction of Cr(VI) in the stomach and liver). Following injection, there will also				
31	be (temporarily) more Cr(VI) available in the plasma prior to uptake to RBCs.				



Figure ES-1-1. General assumptions regarding absorption and distribution of Cr(VI) ingested by rodents during *ad libitum* **drinking water or dietary bioassays.** At doses <1 mg/kg-d, it is assumed that Cr(VI) is absorbed by the small intestine, and most of the absorbed Cr(VI) is reduced by the liver. At doses ≥1 mg/kg-d, it is assumed that systemic absorption and distribution of Cr(VI) throughout the whole body will occur.

- 1 Despite uncertainties below 1 mg/kg-d, these assumptions were adequate for interpreting
- 2 the current Cr(VI) database, because most studies were conducted at doses greater than 1 mg/kg-d.
- 3 The 1 mg/kg-d dose level was not used as a cutoff for the inclusion of data or to make inferences
- 4 about low-dose extrapolation, but instead was used to generally evaluate the uncertainties of
- 5 results. For studies in which the daily oral *ad libitum* dose was much greater than 1 mg/kg-d, there
- 6 is higher certainty that Cr(VI) reaches target tissues. For studies in which the daily oral *ad libitum*
- 7 doses were lower than 1 mg/kg-d, there is added uncertainty when analyzing data outside of the GI
- 8 or liver, because it cannot be assumed that Cr(VI) reaches other target systemic tissues at high
- 9 enough doses that can induce observable effects. In general, it can be assumed that ingested Cr(VI),
- 10 even at low doses, will expose at least the surface GI epithelial cells if not the liver.

1.INTRODUCTION

1.1. OVERVIEW

1 This Toxicological Review critically evaluates the publicly available studies on Cr(VI) in 2 order to identify its adverse human health effects and to characterize exposure-response 3 relationships. This assessment was prepared under the auspices of the U.S. Environmental 4 Protection Agency's (EPA's) Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) Program. IRIS assessments 5 are not regulations but provide a critical part of the scientific foundation for decisions made in EPA 6 program and regional offices to protect public health. 7 This assessment updates a previous IRIS assessment of Cr(VI) (posted in 1998) that 8 included an oral reference dose (RfD) and inhalation reference concentration (RfC) for effects other 9 than cancer, a determination of carcinogenic potential, and inhalation unit risk (IUR) for 10 carcinogenic effects. 11 As part of the initial steps in assessment development, the IRIS Program undertook scoping 12 and initial problem-formulation activities. During scoping activities, the IRIS Program consulted 13 with EPA program and regional offices to identify the nature of the hazard characterization needed, 14 the most important exposure pathways, and the level of detail required to inform Agency decisions. 15 A broad, preliminary literature survey was conducted to assist in identifying the extent of the 16 evidence and health effects that have been studied for Cr(VI). The IRIS Program also undertook 17 problem-formulation activities to frame the scientific questions that are a focus of this assessment. 18 A summary of the IRIS Program's scoping and problem-formulation conclusions are contained in 19 the 2014 preliminary packages (U.S. EPA, 2014b, c). The preliminary packages were followed by 20 development of a Systematic Review Protocol (Appendix A), which presents detailed methods for 21 conducting the full systematic review and dose-response analysis. As discussed in the preliminary 22 materials and protocol, the IRIS assessment will include evaluations of the evidence relevant to all 23 cancer outcomes and will evaluate noncancer effects for the following potential target systems: 24 respiratory, gastrointestinal (GI) tract, hepatic, hematologic, immunological, reproductive, and 25 developmental. For cancer and nasal irritation via the inhalation route, the systematic review will 26 focus on data that may improve the quantitative dose-response analysis, conducted in EPA's 1998 27 IRIS assessment. 28 Appendices for additional systematic review methods and results, pharmacokinetics, dose-29 response modeling, and public comments are provided as Supplemental Information to this

assessment (see Appendices A to F).

1.1.1. Background

1 Elemental chromium is a Group 6 transition metal (atomic number 24 and atomic weight 2 52) on the periodic table, existing in nature in the form of various oxide minerals (Anger et al., 3 2005). It is present in the Earth's crust and has oxidation states ranging from -2 to +6, with the +34 (trivalent) and +6 (hexavalent) states being the most common (Losi et al., 1994). Chromium in the 5 environment can originate from both natural and anthropogenic sources (discussed in detail in 6 Section 1.1.3) (Johnson et al., 2006; USGS, 1995; Calder, 1988; Pacyna and Nriagu, 1988). Cr(VI) 7 compounds are used for corrosion inhibition, pigment manufacturing (including textile dyeing, 8 printing inks, and colored glass and plastic), and metal finishing (chrome plating/electroplating) 9 (NIOSH, 2013b; NTP, 2011). Cr(VI) has been used in wood preservatives [as chromated copper arsenate (CCA) in pressure treated wood; (ATSDR, 2012; Barnhart, 1997)]; however, this use began 10 11 to decline in 2003 due to a voluntary phaseout of all residential uses of CCA pressure treated wood 12 (Bedinger, 2015; NTP, 2011). Other uses for Cr(VI) that have been discontinued in the United States 13 include leather tanning and corrosion inhibition within cooling systems (NIOSH, 2013b; NTP, 14 2011). Cr(VI) is also a byproduct of processes in the iron and steel industries (Shaw Environmental, 15 2006).

1.1.2. Chemical Properties

16 A summary of the Cr(VI) compounds encountered in the human, animal, and mechanistic 17 studies considered pertinent to this assessment are contained in Table 1-1. This table is not an 18 exhaustive list of all Cr(VI) species. Compounds of chromium complexed to other metals that could 19 potentially confound the results (such as lead chromate, barium chromate, zinc chromate, copper 20 dichromate, strontium chromate) were not included. A majority of the Cr(VI) compounds evaluated 21 by the human, animal, and mechanistic studies relevant to this assessment are known to be water 22 soluble. Calcium chromate was the only insoluble form, and this was used in some animal bioassays 23 and pharmacokinetics studies. Inhalation pharmacokinetics differ between soluble and insoluble 24 forms of Cr(VI) (OSHA. 2006) (see Section 3.1). This assessment will not make separate 25 determinations of toxicity or carcinogenicity of soluble vs. insoluble Cr(VI) compounds because the 26 aim is to evaluate the toxicity and carcinogenicity of Cr(VI) in all forms. Where applicable, issues 27 related to solubility and particle size that may impact study or data interpretations are discussed 28 during study evaluation, hazard identification, and dose-response. 29 Cr(VI) can exist as chromate ($CrO_{4^{2-}}$), hydrochromate ($HCrO_{4^{2-}}$) and dichromate ($Cr_{2}O_{7^{2-}}$) 30 anions, whose concentrations at equilibrium depend on the metal concentration in the solution and 31 pH. At physiological conditions (pH 7.4) and micromolar Cr(VI) concentrations, the major form of

- 32 Cr(VI) is chromate and the minor form is hydrochromate, with the latter becoming a dominant form
- 33 at pH≤6 (<u>Cieślak-Golonka, 1996</u>). Because multiple Cr(VI) compounds are discussed in this

- 1 assessment, all exposure levels were converted to Cr(VI) equivalents (see Protocol Section 8.2,
- 2 Appendix A)².

²In many studies, the administered compound is stated as "sodium dichromate" (Na₂Cr₂O₇) when the compound is administered in aqueous solution with mass units based on sodium dichromate dihydrate (Na₂Cr₂O₇ 2H₂O). Unless otherwise noted, the conversion factor for sodium dichromate dihydrate (0.349) was used to convert parent compound concentrations and doses to Cr(VI) units for studies labeled as either sodium dichromate or sodium dichromate dihydrate. Due to poor reporting, it may be unclear whether the mass per unit volume of the formulation was based on Na₂Cr₂O₇ 2H₂O or Na₂Cr₂O₇ (which would yield a conversion factor of 0.397). In situations where the formulation was prepared based on units of Na₂Cr₂O₇ mass, doses and concentrations listed in this assessment would underestimate the dose by 12%.

Name	Calcium chromate	Sodium chromate	Sodium dichromate	Sodium dichromate, dihydrate
CASRN	13765-19-0	7775-11-3	10588-01-9	7789-12-0
Synonyms	Calcium chromate(VI); calcium chrome yellow; calcium monochromate; gelbin; yellow ultramarine; chromic acid, calcium salt	Sodium chromate(VI); chromium disodium oxide; disodium chromate; rachromate; chromic acid, disodium salt; chromate of soda	Sodium dichromate(VI); sodium bichromate; dichromic acid, disodium salt; bichromate of soda	Dichromic acid, disodium salt, dihydrate
Structure	$\operatorname{Ca}^{+2} \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 & 0 \\ Cf \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]^{2}$	$2Na^{+} \left[\begin{array}{c} O & O \\ Cf \\ O' & O \end{array} \right]^{2-}$	${}^{2\text{Na}^{+}}\!\!\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 & \\ 0 & Cr & O \\ 0 & Cr & O \\ 0 & O & O \end{smallmatrix} \!\right]^{2-}$	${}^{2\text{Na}^{+}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Cr & Cr \\ 0' & 0' & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2} \bullet 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$
Molecular weight	156.07	161.972	261.965	297.995
Molecular formula	CaCrO₄	Na ₂ CrO ₄	$Na_2Cr_2O_7$	Na ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇ •2H ₂ O
Conversion factor ^a	0.333	0.321	0.397	0.349
Melting point	1020°C (<u>Anger et al.,</u> 2005); decomposition	794°C (<u>Lide, 2008</u>)	357°C (<u>Lide, 2008</u>)	85°C (<u>Lide, 2008</u>); decomposition
Density	3.12 g/cm ³ (<u>Anger et</u> <u>al., 2005</u>)	2.72 g/cm ³ (<u>Lide,</u> <u>2008</u>)	2.52 g/cm ³ (<u>Anger et</u> <u>al., 2005</u>)	2.35 g/cm ³ (<u>Lide, 2008</u>)
Water solubility	4.5 g/100 g H ₂ O (4.3 wt%) at 0°C (<u>Anger et al., 2005</u>)	87.6 g/100 g H ₂ O at 25°C (<u>Lide, 2008</u>)	187 g/100 g H ₂ O at 25°C (<u>Lide, 2008</u>)	272.9 g/100 g H ₂ O (73.18 wt%) at 20°C (<u>Anger et al.,</u> <u>2005</u>)
Stability/ reactivity	Decomposes at 1,000°C (<u>Lide, 2008</u>); oxidizing agent (<u>Lewis</u> and Hawley, 2007)	Hygroscopic (<u>Anger et</u> <u>al., 2005</u>)	Strongly hygroscopic; decomposes above 400°C (<u>Lide, 2008</u>); strong oxidizing agent (<u>Anger et al., 2005</u>)	Very hygroscopic, deliquesces in air; strong oxidizing agent in acid solution (<u>Lide, 2008</u> ; <u>Anger</u> <u>et al., 2005</u>)

Table 1-1. Chemical identity and physicochemical properties of Cr(VI)

Synonyms, structures, and molecular formulas and weights were obtained from ChemID Plus

(https://chem.nlm.nih.gov/chemidplus), unless otherwise noted

^aMass conversion factor from parent compound to Cr(VI) units
Name	Potassium chromate	Potassium dichromate	Chromium trioxide ^b	Chromic acid ^{b,c}
CASRN	7789-00-6	7778-50-9	1333-82-0	7738-94-5 (H ₂ CrO ₄); 13530-68-2 (H ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇)
Synonyms	Potassium chromate(VI); bipotassium chromate; dipotassium chromate; chromate of potash; tarapacaite; chromic acid, dipotassium salt	Potassium dichromate(VI); bichromate of potash; potassium bichromate; dipotassium bichromate; dipotassium dichromate; dipotassium dichromium heptaoxide; lopezite; dichromic acid dipotassium salt	Chromium(VI) oxide; hexavalent chromium oxide; chromic trioxide; chromic anhydride	Chromic(VI) acid; chromium hydroxide oxide; dichromic acid (H ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇)
Structure	${}^{2K^{+}}\left[\begin{array}{c} O & O \\ Cf \\ O' & O \end{array}\right]^{2\text{-}}$	${}^{2K^{+}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Cr & 0 \\ 0' & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2}$	O O=Cr O	о но-с́г-он о
Molecular weight	194.188	294.181	99.993	118.008 (H ₂ CrO ₄) 218.001 (H ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇)
Molecular formula	K ₂ CrO ₄	K ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇	CrO₃	H ₂ CrO ₄ ; H ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇
Conversion factor	0.268	0.353	0.520	0.441 (H ₂ CrO ₄) 0.477 (H ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇)
Melting point	974°C (<u>Lide, 2008</u>)	398°C (<u>Lide, 2008</u>)	197°C (<u>Lide, 2008</u>)	Not applicable
Density	2.73 g/cm ³ (<u>Lide, 2008</u>)	2.68 g/cm ³ (<u>Lide, 2008</u>)	2.7 g/cm ³ (<u>Lide,</u> <u>2008</u>)	Not applicable
Water solubility	65.0 g/100 g H ₂ O at 25°C (<u>Lide, 2008</u>)	15.1 g/100 g H ₂ O at 25°C (<u>Lide, 2008</u>)	169 g/100 g H₂O at 25°C (<u>Lide, 2008</u>)	Not applicable
Stability/ reactivity	Nonhygroscopic (<u>Anger</u> <u>et al., 2005</u>). Strong oxidizing agent, may explode in contact with organic materials (<u>Lewis and Hawley,</u> 2007)	Nonhygroscopic; decomposes at 500°C (<u>Lide, 2008; Anger et al.,</u> <u>2005</u>)	Deliquescent; decomposition begins above 198°C (<u>Anger et al., 2005</u>); strong oxidizing agent (<u>O'Neil et al.,</u> 2006)	Strong oxidizing agent (<u>Anger et al., 2005</u>)

Table 1-1. Chemical identity and physicochemical properties of Cr(VI) compounds (continued)

^bChromic acid is formed in aqueous solution when chromium(VI) oxide is dissolved in water; it cannot be isolated as a pure compound out of solution (<u>Anger et al., 2005</u>; <u>Page and Loar, 2004</u>). The term chromic acid is sometimes used to reference chromium(VI) oxide; however, it should be noted that there is a structural difference between the anhydrous substance chromium(VI) oxide and the aqueous chromic acid that forms when the oxide is dissolved in water.
 ^cChromic acid exists in solution as both H₂CrO₄ and H₂Cr₂O₇ (<u>Anger et al., 2005</u>; <u>Page and Loar, 2004</u>; <u>Cotton et al., 1999</u>).
 H₂CrO₄ is the main species in basic solutions (pH > 6) while H₂Cr₂O₇ is the main species in strongly acidic solutions (pH < 1) (<u>Anger et al., 2005</u>; <u>Page and Loar, 2004</u>; <u>Cotton et al., 2005</u>; <u>Page and Loar, 2004</u>; <u>Cotton et al., 1999</u>). Both species are present in equilibrium in solutions that have a pH value between 2 and 6 (<u>Anger et al., 2005</u>; <u>Page and Loar, 2004</u>; <u>Cotton et al., 1999</u>).

1.1.3. Sources, Production, and Use

1.1.3.1. Soil

1

2 The EPA Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) estimates approximately 53 million pounds of 3 chromium and chromium compounds were released to the environment via land releases (such as 4 landfills, land treatment, and surface impoundments, excluding underground injections) (U.S. EPA, 5 2018). Sources of chromium releases into soil include the disposal of commercial products that 6 contain chromium, coal fly ash and bottom fly ash from electric utilities and other industries, solid 7 wastes from metal manufacturing and chrome-plating facilities, chromate production waste, 8 agricultural and food wastes, leather tannery waste, and cooling tower water containing rust 9 inhibitors (Oregon DEO, 2014; ATSDR, 2012; U.S. EPA, 2011b; Pellerin and Booker, 2000; Burke et 10 al., 1991; Nriagu and Pacyna, 1988). Air deposition to soil from combustion processes also occurs. 11 Cr(III) in soil may be present predominantly as chromium hydroxide ($Cr(OH)_3$) or chromium oxide (Cr_2O_3) (Apte et al., 2006; Kim and Dixon, 2002). These Cr(III) forms have low 12 13 solubility and reactivity. Cr(VI) may exist in soil as chromate (CrO_4^{-2}), chromic acid ($HCrO_4^{-}$), 14 dichromate (Cr₂O₇⁻²), and chromate salts (BaCrO₄, CaCrO₄, PbCrO₄, ZnCrO₄) (ATSDR, 2012; Apte et 15 al., 2006; Kim and Dixon, 2002). Conversion of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) may occur in the environment under 16 reducing conditions (by ferrous iron, sulfides, and organic matter), while conversion of Cr(III) to 17 Cr(VI) may occur under oxidizing conditions (by manganese oxide minerals) (Hausladen et al., 18 2018; 2017; McClain et al., 2017; Jardine et al., 2011; Cummings et al., 2007; Oze et al., 2007; 2004; 19 Kim and Dixon, 2002; Fendorf et al., 2000; 1995). Fire-induced oxidation of Cr(III)-substituted iron 20 oxides in soils may also occur during wildfires (Burton et al., 2019). 21 Most Cr(III) compounds are insoluble in water and immobile in soils (which helps inhibit 22 oxidation), while most Cr(VI) compounds are readily soluble in water and highly mobile and 23 bioavailable (Fendorf et al., 2000; Fendorf, 1995). In addition to being stabilized by low solubility 24 and mobility, Cr(III) compounds are more thermodynamically stable than Cr(VI) compounds under 25 most pH values encountered in the environment (Fendorf, 1995). And therefore, the predominant 26 direction of chromium transformation in the environment is $Cr(VI) \rightarrow Cr(III)$. See Figure 1-1.



Figure 1-1. Sources of Cr(VI) in soil and groundwater. Adapted from (<u>Hausladen et al., 2018</u>).

1 **1.1.3.2**. *Water*

2 The EPA Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) estimates approximately 66,000 pounds of 3 chromium and chromium compounds were released to the environment via surface water 4 discharges, and 315,000 pounds were discharged for wastewater treatment in 2019 (U.S. EPA, 5 2018). Data from USEPA's Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) estimates that approximately 6 90,000 pounds of Cr(VI) was discharged in 2020 (U.S. EPA, 2014a). Most chromium released into 7 water from anthropogenic sources is ultimately deposited in sediment. Chromium in the aqueous 8 phase is mostly present as soluble Cr(VI) or as soluble Cr(III) complexes. Reduction of Cr(VI) to 9 Cr(III) can occur in the presence of reducing agents (e.g., organic matter, hydrogen sulfide, sulfur, 10 iron sulfide, ammonium, nitrate). The reduction half-life of Cr(VI) in water can be rapid (ranging 11 from instantaneously to a few days) when reducing agents are present under anaerobic conditions, 12 but can extend from 4–140 days in water with soil and organic sediment (Saleh et al., 1989). 13 Oxidation of Cr(III) to Cr(VI) can also occur within aguifers and water treatment systems (Chebeir and Liu, 2016; U.S. EPA, 1986a). The ratio of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) has been measured to be higher in 14 groundwater than in surface water (Frey et al., 2004). Oxidizing conditions within soil, as well as 15 16 the natural Cr(VI) content of soil and rocks, also affect Cr(VI) content of water (Vengosh et al., 17 2016). Above-average groundwater levels of Cr(VI) have been reported in several areas in the 18 Western US (<u>U.S. EPA, 2014d</u>).

1.1.3.3. Air

19

Approximately 222,840 pounds of chromium and chromium compounds were released
from fugitive and point sources into air from reporting facilities in 2020 (U.S. EPA, 2021b). Based
on data from the 2017 EPA National Emissions Inventory (NEI), approximately 64,208 pounds of
Cr(VI), 1,392 pounds of chromic (VI) acid, 86 pounds of Chromium (VI) Trioxide, and 373,891
pounds of chromium (III) were released into the air nationwide (U.S. EPA, 2021a). The NEI includes

- 1 additional emissions sources not reported under TRI (i.e., mobile sources). Atmospheric chromium
- 2 particles resulting from industrial emissions have been reported to have a mass mean aerodynamic
- 3 diameter (MMAD) of less than 10 μ m, were found to remain airborne for 7–10 days, and were
- 4 subject to long-range transport (<u>Kimbrough et al., 1999</u>). Atmospheric particulate matter is
- 5 deposited on land and water via wet and dry deposition, and metals may deposit at a higher rate in
- 6 urban areas relative to rural and remote locations (<u>Schroeder et al., 1987</u>). Transport of chromium
- 7 from water to the atmosphere is possible via transport in windblown seasalt sprays (<u>Nriagu, 1989</u>).
- 8 Major atmospheric chromium emissions from anthropogenic sources in the United States are
- 9 outlined in Table 1-2.

Table 1-2. Major anthropogenic sources of atmospheric chromium in theUnited States [adapted from ATSDR (2012)]

Combustion of coal and oil	Utility industry cooling towers
Ferrochromium production	Chemical manufacturing cooling towers
Chromium chemical manufacturing	Petroleum refining cooling towers
Chrome plating	Glass manufacturing cooling towers
Chrome ore refining	Primary metal cooling towers
Refractory production	Comfort cooling towers
Cement production	Textile manufacturing cooling towers
Specialty/steel production	Tobacco cooling towers
Sewage sludge incineration	Tire and rubber cooling towers
Municipal refuse incineration	

Data of annual Cr(VI) emissions in the US can be obtained from the EPA National Emissions Inventory (U.S. EPA, 2016a).

10 Depending on the emission source, different forms of Cr(VI) may be emitted (i.e., Cr(VI) acid

11 mists/dissolved aerosols, and Cr(VI) dusts). While information is limited regarding

- 12 non-occupational inhalation exposures to chromic acid mists for the general U.S. population,
- 13 residents of fence-line communities may be exposed to multiple forms of Cr(VI) (<u>OAQPS, 2012</u>).
- 14 Chrome-plating facilities and private residencies may exist in close proximity in mixed land use
- 15 communities (<u>CARB, 2004</u>; <u>CalEPA, 2003</u>). Chromium trioxide (CrO₃) is the acidic anhydride of
- 16 chromic acid (H₂CrO₄). Chromic acid in mists or vapors dehydrates to CrO₃ upon evaporation, and
- 17 some CrO₃ may convert to H₂CrO₄ in moist environments (including the respiratory tract).

1.1.4. Environmental Occurrence

18 Measured concentrations of Cr(VI) in the United States and selected U.S. locations are listed

- 19 in Table 1-3. The mean soil concentration of total chromium in the United States is approximately
- 20 36 mg/kg, and the ratio of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) depends on several factors (such as soil pH). Nationwide
- 21 data for speciated chromium are unavailable, although some site-specific soil concentrations of
- 22 Cr(VI) have been reported. For example, soil Cr(VI) concentrations in Montana were mostly below
- 23 the limit of detection of 0.29 mg/kg (<u>Hydrometrics, 2013</u>). Cr(VI) concentrations near industrial

- facilities in Portland, Oregon were typically below 1 mg/kg but were measured as high as 3 mg/kg
 (Oregon DEO, 2016a, c).
- **3** Public water system data from EPA's Third Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule
- 4 (UCMR3)³, includes both groundwater and surface water sources (<u>U.S. EPA, 2014d</u>). Mean Cr(VI)
- 5 concentrations in public water systems averaged approximately 0.48 μg/L for large systems (U.S.
- 6 <u>EPA, 2014d</u>). There was wide variability by region (Figure 1-2), and a maximum concentration of
- 7 97.4 μg/L.
- 8 Ambient air concentrations of Cr(VI) in the United States typically range from 0.01 to 0.05
- 9 ng/m³ (<u>U.S. EPA, 2016d</u>), but have been measured at values above 1 ng/m³ for urban and industrial
- 10 areas (<u>Oregon DEQ, 2016b; Huang et al., 2014</u>). Historically, Cr(VI) concentrations measured in
- 11 ambient air downwind of industrial facilities emitting Cr(VI) (such as chrome platers) have been
- 12 found to be highly correlated with concentrations measured at the facilities (<u>SCAQMD, 2016</u>).
- 13 Between May 2001-May 2002, residential air near chrome-plating facilities in San Diego, CA were
- 14 measured up to 22.0 ng/m³ Cr(VI) (<u>CalEPA, 2004</u>, <u>2003</u>).

Table 1-3. Estimated environmental concentrations of chromium in the United States

Media and location	Units	Mean	Max	Reference
Ambient air, US	ng/m³ Cr(VI)	0.037	0.5	EPA Air Monitoring Archive for Hazardous
				Air Pollutants (<u>U.S. EPA, 2016b</u>) for 2015
Drinking water, US	μg/L Cr(VI)	0.48	97.4	EPA Third Unregulated Contaminant
				Monitoring Rule (UCMR3) (<u>U.S. EPA,</u>
				<u>2014d</u>)
Surface soil, US	mg/kg total chromium	36	4,120	Data from USGS (<u>Smith et al., 2013</u>)

³Cr(VI) was among 30 contaminants selected for monitoring at public water systems (PWS) for the Third Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule (UCMR3) between 2013 and 2015. A PWS is a network of pipes and conveyances constructed to provide water for human consumption (<u>U.S. EPA, 2006a, b</u>). Small systems, serving 10,000 or fewer people, account for more than 97% of the total number of PWSs, while large systems, serving more than 10,000 people, account for the remaining 3% (<u>U.S. EPA, 2006a, b</u>). A majority of the U.S. population is served by large PWSs (nearly 90% (<u>U.S. EPA, 2006a, b</u>)), and all of them (approximately 4,200) were tested under UCMR3. For small water systems, approximately 800 systems were randomly selected and used as a representative sample (<u>U.S. EPA, 2012c</u>). Small water systems were omitted from analyses presented in this section. Cr(VI) was selected for the UCMR3 cycle, and was not selected for monitoring for the UCMR4 or UCMR5 cycles.



Figure 1-2. Drinking water chromium (VI) concentrations in the United States by EPA region.⁴ Boxplots are based on the average values of samples of large public water systems within the region, from EPA's Third Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule (UCMR3) (<u>U.S. EPA, 2014d</u>). Boxes represent interquartile ranges. Whiskers are 1.5x the interquartile range away from the 25th/75th percentiles.

1.1.5. Potential for Human Exposure

1 2

3

1.1.5.1. General population

General population exposures to Cr(VI) occur via inhalation of ambient air, ingestion of water or food, and non-dietary ingestion of soil or dust. Most human exposure to total chromium

 $^{^4} Region \ 1$ - CT, ME, MA, NH, RI, and VT

Region 2 - NJ, NY, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands

Region 3 - DE, DC, MD, PA, VA, WV and 7 federally recognized tribes

Region 4 - AL, FL, GA, KY, MS, NC, SC, and TN

Region 5 - IL, IN, MI, MN, OH, and WI

Region 6 - AR, LA, NM, OK, and TX

Region 7 - IA, KS, MO, and NE

Region 8 - CO, MT, ND, SD, UT, and WY

Region 9 - AZ, CA, HI, NV, American Samoa, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, Guam, Marshall Islands, and Republic of Palau

Region 10 - AK, ID, OR, WA and 271 native tribes.

- 1 (sum of Cr(VI) and Cr(III)) is from dietary intake of Cr(III) that is naturally present in foods
- 2 (<u>Wisconsin DHS, 2010</u>). Cr(III) is generally understood to be essential to normal glucose, protein,
- 3 and fat metabolism and is thus an element with an Adequate Intake (AI)⁵ values (<u>IOM, 2011</u>),
- 4 although no Recommended Daily Allowance (RDA) has been established due to insufficient
- 5 evidence to establish a level of Cr(III) that is necessary for human health (<u>NIH, 2017</u>; <u>Vincent, 2017</u>;
- 6 <u>Vincent, 2013</u>; <u>Stearns, 2000</u>). Dermal exposure may also occur during the use of consumer
- 7 products that contain chromium, such as some metals and wood or leather treated with chromium-
- 8 containing compounds (<u>ATSDR, 2012</u>; <u>NTP, 2011</u>). Concentrations that non-occupationally exposed
- 9 humans may be exposed to were provided earlier in Table 1-3.
- 10 Quantifying the non-dietary exposure to Cr(VI) via soil ingestion (hand-to-mouth contact
- and pica behavior in children) is uncertain due to limited data on chromium speciation in soil. As
- 12 noted earlier, the Cr(VI)/Cr(III) concentration ratio in soil can vary due to factors such as soil pH
- 13 and mineral content, and no nationwide data on soil Cr(VI) currently exist. Quantifying dietary
- exposure to Cr(VI) via food ingestion is also uncertain due to limited data on speciation in food.
- 15 Typical total chromium (sum of Cr(VI) and Cr(III)) levels in most foods have been reported to range
- 16 from <10 to 1,300 μg/kg, with the highest concentrations being found in meat, fish, fruits, and
- 17 vegetables (WHO, 2003). Dietary total chromium intake in the general U.S. population has been
- 18 estimated to range from 0.293–0.867 μg/kg-day (<u>ATSDR, 2012</u>; <u>Moschandreas et al., 2002</u>). It is
- 19 possible that a fraction of this intake is in the form of Cr(VI) (<u>Hamilton et al., 2018</u>). <u>Mathebula et al.</u>
- 20 (2017) found that 33–73% of total chromium in bread may exist as Cr(VI) (at concentrations
- 21 between 19–64 μ g/kg), and that oxidation of Cr(III) to Cr(VI) can occur from toasting. That study
- 22 also detected Cr(VI) in breakfast cereals at concentrations between 41–470 μg/kg. <u>Soares et al.</u>
- 23 (2010) estimated that 12% of total chromium in bread was hexavalent. However, nationwide data
- 24 for Cr(VI) content in food is limited.
- According to data collected between 2013 and 2015 under EPA's Third Unregulated
- 26 Contaminant Monitoring Rule (UCMR3), Cr(VI) has been reported above the minimum reporting
- 27 limit (0.03 μg/L) by approximately 90% of public water systems in the United States (U.S. EPA,
- 28 <u>2014d</u>). More detailed concentration data for Cr(VI) in large U.S. water systems are provided in
- 29 Appendix C.4.

The general population may be exposed to Cr(VI) in air but will likely receive a lower
inhaled dose when compared to the oral ingestion pathway. A 70 kg individual drinking 2L/day

- 32 water containing 0.5 μ g/L Cr(VI) (Table 1-3) will ingest a dose of 1.4 × 10⁻⁵ mg/kg-d Cr(VI). A 70 kg
- 33 individual with a respiratory rate of 20 m³/day inhaling air containing $4 \times 10^{-5} \,\mu\text{g/m}^3 \,\text{Cr(VI)}$
- 34 (Table 1-3) will inhale Cr(VI) at a body weight-normalized rate of 1.1×10^{-8} mg/kg-d. Both air and
- 35 water concentrations may vary from the approximate mean values by a factor of 100 in extreme
- 36 cases (see Appendix C.4). As a result, it is possible for the inhaled dose to be comparable to the
- 37 ingested dose for people living in an area with low Cr(VI) in water and high Cr(VI) in air.

 $^{{}^{\}scriptscriptstyle 5}\!Adequate$ intakes of chromium for adult males and females are 35 $\mu g/day$ and 25 $\mu g/day$, respectively.

1 Inhalation of Cr(VI) in water droplets during showering can also occur. Since Cr(VI) cannot 2 volatilize, and because Cr(VI) compounds are typically water soluble, the metal will exist only in 3 water droplets and aerosols. An analysis of this exposure pathway was performed by California EPA. and determined that a 70-kg adult breathing 20 m³ of air per day, taking a 10-minute shower 4 5 would inhale 27 mg of liquid water per shower (3.86 \times 10⁻⁷ L/kg-d) (<u>CalEPA, 2011</u>). Assuming 6 water contains 0.5 μ g/L Cr(VI) yields an inhaled dose of 1.9 × 10⁻¹⁰ mg/kg-d, which is five orders of 7 magnitude less than the dose resulting from 2 L/day water ingestion at the same Cr(VI) 8 concentration (1.4×10^{-5} mg/kg-d).

Humans may be exposed via inhalation and incidental ingestion of house dust. A study of
 house dust in areas with no known soil contamination by Cr(VI) in New Jersey measured a mean
 Cr(VI) surface loading of 10 µg/m² (maximum of 169.3 µg/m²), and mean Cr(VI) concentration of
 4.6 µg/g (maximum of 56.6 µg/g) (Stern et al., 2010). Nationwide data of Cr(VI) in house dust are

- $4.6 \,\mu\text{g/g}$ (maximum of 56.6 $\mu\text{g/g}$) (<u>stern et al., 2010</u>). Nationwide data of Cr(vi) in house dust are
- 13 unavailable.
- 14

1.1.5.2. Occupational exposure

15 Occupational exposures to Cr(VI) occur primarily via inhalation or dermal contact (NIOSH, 2013b) and typically exceed those of non-occupational exposures (NTP, 2011). Workers can 16 17 potentially inhale Cr(VI) during its processing or manufacture and when working with mixtures 18 containing the chemical or chemical precursors. Dermal exposures may potentially result from the 19 splashing or spilling of chromium-containing materials that contact the skin or from contact with 20 construction materials containing Portland cement (due to a Cr(VI) impurity) (NIOSH, 2013b). 21 Portal-of-entry sites may be exposed via hand-to-mouth contact and hand-to-nose contact (OSHA, 22 2006), and the extent of these transfers depends on the industry, exposure matrix, and workplace 23 hygiene practices (<u>Cohen et al., 1974</u>). Industries that may have workers who are in contact with 24 Cr(VI)-containing materials include stainless-steel welding, painting, electroplating, steel mill, iron 25 and steel foundries, wood preserving, and occupations that produce paints, coatings, inks, plastic 26 colorants, chromium catalyst, and other chemicals (such as chromium dioxide and chromium 27 sulfate) (NIOSH, 2013b). Other industries with limited potential exposures to Cr(VI) compounds 28 include textile dyeing, glass production, printing, leather tanning, brick production, woodworking, 29 solid waste incineration, oil and gas well drilling, construction and Portland cement production 30 (NIOSH, 2013b; NTP, 2011). Table 1-4 provides a list of industries that are potential sources of 31 chromium exposure.

32

Group 1: Industry sectors where majority of occupational exposures occur to hexavalent chromium	Group 2: Industry sectors with limited potential for occupational exposure to hexavalent chromium
Electroplating	Chromium Dioxide Producers
Welding	Chromium Dye Producers
Painting	Chromium Sulfate Producers
Producers of Chromates and Related	Chemical Distributors
Chemicals from Chromite Ore	Textile Dyeing
Chromate Pigment Production	Producers of Colored Glass
Chromated Copper Arsenate Producers	Printing
Chromium Catalyst Production	Leather Tanning
Paint and Coatings Production	Chromium Catalyst Users
Printing Ink Producers	Producers of Refractory Brick
Plastic Colorant Producers and Users	Woodworking
Plating Mixture Production	Solid Waste Incineration
Wood Preserving	Oil and Gas Well Drilling
Chromium Metal Production	Portland Cement Producers
Steel Mills	Non-Ferrous Superalloy Producers and Uses
Iron and Steel Foundries	Construction
	Producers of Pre-Case Concrete Products

Table 1-4. Industries and occupations that may be sources of chromium exposure

Source: Analysis performed by OSHA (<u>Shaw Environmental, 2006</u>)

1.2. SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT METHODS

The methods used to conduct this assessment, including systematic review procedures and
 approaches for dose-response analysis, are summarized in the remainder of this section. A detailed
 description of these methods is provided in the preliminary materials released in 2014 (U.S. EPA,
 2014b, c) and in the Systematic Review Protocol for Cr(VI) in Appendix A.

1.2.1. Literature Search and Screening

5 Literature search strategies were developed using key terms and words related to the PECO 6 criteria and potentially relevant supplemental material. Relevant subject headings and text-words 7 were crafted into a search strategy that was designed to maximize the sensitivity and specificity of 8 the search results. The search strategy was run, and the results were assessed to ensure that all 9 previously identified relevant primary studies were retrieved in the search. Because each database 10 has its own search architecture, the resulting search strategy was tailored to account for the unique 11 search functionality of each database. 12 The following databases were searched:

- 13 <u>PubMed</u> (National Library of Medicine)
- 14 <u>Web of Science</u> (Thomson Reuters)

- 1 Toxline (National Library of Medicine)⁶ • 2 Searches were not restricted by publication date, and no language restrictions were applied. 3 Web of Science results were limited using the research areas filter. All Web of Science research 4 areas identified in the search results were prioritized by a technical advisor as high priority 5 (e.g., toxicology), low priority (e.g., chemistry), and not relevant (e.g., forestry). Literature searches 6 were conducted in bibliographic databases as described in Appendix B and uploaded to EPA's 7 Health and Environmental Research Online (HERO) database.⁷ 8 Additional relevant literature not found through database searching was sought by: 9 • Manually searching citations from review articles and studies considered to meet PECO 10 criteria after screening ("included" studies). 11 • Searches of gray literature, including primary studies that are not indexed in databases of 12 peer-reviewed literature (e.g., technical reports from government agencies or scientific 13 research groups; unpublished laboratory studies conducted by industry; working papers 14 from research groups or committees; and white papers), or other nontypical searches. Gray 15 literature is typically identified by searching the EPA Chemical Dashboard 16 (https://comptox.epa.gov/dashboard) during problem formulation, by engaging with 17 technical experts, and during solicitation of Agency, interagency, and public comment at 18 multiple steps in the IRIS process. 19 "Backward" searches (to identify articles cited by included studies, reviews, or prior 20 assessments by other agencies). 21 The results returned (i.e., the number of "hits" from each electronic database or other 22 literature source), including the results of any literature search updates, are documented in the 23 literature flow diagrams, which also reflect the literature screening decisions (see Section 2.1). 24 The IRIS Program takes extra steps to ensure identification of pertinent studies by 25 (1) encouraging the scientific community and the public to identify additional studies and ongoing 26 research; (2) searching for publicly available data submitted under the Toxic Substances Control 27 Act and the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act; and (3) considering late-breaking 28 studies that would impact the credibility of the conclusions, even during the review process. Studies 29 identified after peer review begins will only be considered for inclusion if they meet the PECO 30 criteria and may fundamentally alter the assessment's conclusions.
 - 1.2.2. Evaluation of Individual Studies

The detailed approaches used for the evaluation of epidemiologic and animal toxicology
 studies used in the Cr(VI) assessment are provided in the protocol (Appendix A). The general
 approach for evaluating health effect studies meeting PECO criteria is the same for epidemiology

⁶TOXLINE was phased out in December 2019 and integrated into other NLM resources. ⁷Health and Environmental Research Online: <u>https://hero.epa.gov/hero/</u>.

and animal toxicology studies although the specifics of applying the approach differ; thus, they are
 described in detail in protocol Sections 6.2 and 6.3, respectively, in Appendix A.

3 The key concerns for the review of epidemiology and animal toxicology studies are 4 potential bias (factors that affect the magnitude or direction of an effect in either direction) 5 and insensitivity (factors that limit the ability of a study to detect a true effect; low 6 sensitivity is a bias towards the null when an effect exists). In terms of the process for 7 evaluating individual studies, two or more reviewers independently arrive at judgments 8 regarding the reliability of the study results (reflected as study confidence determinations; 9 see below) with regard to each outcome or outcome grouping of interest; thus, different 10 judgments are possible for different outcomes within the same study. The results of these 11 reviews are tracked within EPA's version of the Health Assessment Workplace 12 Collaboration (<u>HAWC</u>).

13 To develop these judgments, each reviewer assigns a category of *good*, *adequate*, *deficient* 14 (or *not reported*, which generally carries the same functional interpretation as *deficient*), or 15 critically deficient (listed from best to worst methodological conduct; see Section 6.1 of the 16 protocol in Appendix A for definitions) to each evaluation domain representing the different 17 characteristics of the study methods that were evaluated based on the criteria outlined in 18 HAWC. Reviewers assigning categories to each domain are guided by core and prompting 19 questions as well as additional considerations specific to Cr(VI) or the outcome of interest. 20 Exposure-specific considerations in epidemiology studies are described in Section 6.2. 21 Briefly, air concentration measurements were preferred to biomarker measurements. 22 Studies in which human exposure was quantified by measurements of total chromium in 23 urine, blood, plasma, or erythrocytes were considered for determination of hazard only if 24 conducted in workers with known occupational exposure to Cr(VI).

Once all evaluation domains were evaluated, the identified strengths and limitations are
 considered as a whole by the reviewers in order to reach a final study confidence classification:

- *High* confidence: No notable deficiencies or concerns were identified; the potential for bias
 is unlikely or minimal, and the study used sensitive methodology.
- *Medium* confidence: Possible deficiencies or concerns were noted, but the limitations are
 unlikely to be of a notable degree or to have a notable impact on the results.
- Low confidence: Deficiencies or concerns were noted, and the potential for bias or
 inadequate sensitivity could have a significant impact on the study results or their
 interpretation. Low confidence results were given less weight compared to high or medium
 confidence results during evidence synthesis and integration (see Sections 1.2.4 and 1.2.5).
- *Uninformative*: Serious flaw(s) were identified that make the study results unusable.
 Uninformative studies were not considered further, except to highlight possible research
 gaps.

- 1 Using the HAWC platform (and conflict resolution by an additional reviewer, as needed), the
- 2 reviewers reached a consensus judgment regarding each evaluation domain and overall
- 3 (confidence) determination. The specific limitations identified during study evaluation were carried
- 4 forward to inform the synthesis (Section 1.2.4) within each body of evidence for a given health
- 5 effect (i.e., study confidence determinations were not used to inform judgments in isolation).
- 6 Additional details regarding study evaluation are provided in Sections 6.1–6.5 of the protocol
- 7 (Appendix A).

1.2.3. Data Extraction

8 The detailed data extraction approach is provided in Section 8 and Appendix B of the

- 9 protocol (Appendix A). Animal data extraction and content management were carried out using
- 10 HAWC, while human epidemiology data were summarized in tabular format in the assessment and
- 11 appendices. In general, studies evaluated as being *uninformative* were not considered further and
- 12 study details are not provided. In addition, study details and results for outcomes determined to be
- 13 less relevant during PECO refinement were not extracted or were only partially extracted
- 14 (Appendix A). The same was typically true for *low* confidence studies where a number of *medium*
- 15 and *high* confidence studies were available, unless the *low* confidence studies included study
- 16 designs lacking in the higher confidence studies (e.g., testing lower exposure levels, or susceptible
- 17 populations or life stages). The level of extraction for specific outcomes within a study may differ
- 18 (i.e., ranging from a narrative to full extraction of dose-response effect size information). Data
- 19 extraction was performed by one member of the evaluation team and checked by at least one other
- 20 member.
- 21 For animal data already extracted to evidence tables released in 2014 (U.S. EPA, 2014b),
- 22 data extraction procedures depended on data type (e.g., dichotomous, continuous, or qualitative).
- 23 For human data already extracted to evidence tables released in 2014 (U.S. EPA, 2014c), data
- 24 extraction procedures depended on the quality of the study and the study design. A detailed
- 25 discussion of the methods used for data extraction are provided in Section 8 of the protocol
- 26 (Appendix A). Extracted data are available in <u>HAWC</u> and are also summarized in tabular or
- 27 graphical form in the hazard identification and dose-response sections.

1.2.4. Evidence Synthesis and Integration

28 For the purposes of this assessment, evidence synthesis and integration are considered 29 distinct but related processes (see Protocol Sections 9 and 10, Appendix A for full details). For each 30 assessed health effect, the evidence syntheses provide a summary discussion of each body of 31 evidence considered in the review that directly informs the integration across evidence to draw an 32 overall judgment for each health effect. The available human and animal evidence pertaining to the 33 potential health effects are synthesized separately, with each synthesis providing a summary 34 discussion of the available evidence that addresses considerations regarding causation that are 35 adapted from <u>Hill (1965</u>). Mechanistic evidence and other supplemental information is also

synthesized to address key science issues and/or to help inform key decisions regarding the human
 and animal evidence.

3 The syntheses focus on describing aspects of the evidence that best inform causal 4 interpretations, including the exposure context examined in the sets of available studies. The 5 human and animal health effects evidence syntheses are based primarily on studies of high and 6 medium confidence. Low confidence studies may be used if few or no studies with higher confidence 7 are available to help evaluate consistency, or if the study designs of the *low* confidence studies 8 address notable uncertainties in the set of high or medium confidence studies on a given health 9 effect. If *low* confidence studies are used, then a careful examination of risk of bias and sensitivity 10 with potential impacts on the evidence synthesis conclusions is included in the narrative. The 11 synthesis of mechanistic evidence and other supplemental information informs the integration of 12 health effects evidence for both hazard identification (i.e., biological plausibility of the available 13 human or animal evidence; inferences regarding human relevance, or the identification of 14 susceptible populations and life stages across the human and animal evidence) and dose-response 15 evaluation. 16 For each assessed health effect, following the evidence syntheses, integrated judgments are 17 drawn across all lines of evidence. During evidence integration, a structured and documented 18 process was used, as follows: 19 Building from the separate syntheses of the human and animal evidence, the strength of the

- Building from the separate syntheses of the number and animal evidence, the strength of the evidence from the available human and animal health effect studies was summarized in parallel, but separately, using a structured evaluation of an adapted set of considerations first introduced by Bradford Hill (<u>Hill, 1965</u>). These summaries incorporate the relevant mechanistic evidence (or MOA understanding) that informs the biological plausibility and coherence within the available human or animal health effect studies.
- The strength of the animal and human evidence was considered together in light of
 inferences across evidence streams. Specifically, the inferences considered during this
 integration include the human relevance of the animal and mechanistic evidence, coherence
 across the separate bodies of evidence, and other important information (e.g., judgments
 regarding susceptibility). Note that without evidence to the contrary, the human relevance
 of animal findings is assumed.
- A summary judgment is drawn as to whether the available evidence base for each potential human health effect as a whole provides sufficient evidence to indicate that Cr(VI) exposure has the potential to cause the health effect in humans; insufficient evidence to assess whether Cr(VI) exposure has the potential to cause the health effect in humans; or, in rare instances, sufficient evidence that a hazard is unlikely.

36 The decision points within the structured evidence integration process are summarized in37 an evidence profile table for each assessed health effect.

- 1 Outlines of the major endpoints assessed within each health effect domain are listed below
- 2 in Table 1-5.

Table 1-5. Endpoint grouping categorie	Table 1-5	1-5. Endpoin	t grouping	categories
--	-----------	--------------	------------	------------

Relevant human health effect category	Examples of endpoints included ^a	Notes
General toxicity	 Body weight (not maternal or pup weights, or weights after developmental-only exposure) Mortality, survival, or LD₅₀s Growth curve Clinical observations (non-behavioral) 	 Clinical chemistry endpoints are under hepatic or hematologic effects Maternal or pup body-weight endpoints are under developmental effects Pathology (including gross lesions) is organ specific
Hepatic effects ^b	 Liver weight and histopathology Serum or tissue liver enzymes (e.g., ALT and AST from clinical chemistry)* Other liver tissue biochemical markers (e.g., albumin; glycogen; glucose)* Liver-specific serum biochemistry (e.g., albumin; albumin/globulin)* Liver tissue lipids: triglycerides, cholesterol Serum lipids 	 Other liver tissue enzyme activity (e.g., catalase) or protein/DNA content are considered under mechanistic evidence for hepatic effects
Hematologic effects ^{b,c}	 Red blood cells* Blood hematocrit or hemoglobin* Corpuscular volume* Blood platelets or reticulocytes* 	 White blood cell count and globulin are under immune effects Serum liver markers are under hepatic effects
Immune effects ^b	 Thyroid weight and histopathology Host resistance General immune assays (e.g., white blood cell counts, immunological factors or cytokines in blood, lymphocyte phenotyping or proliferation)* Any measure in lymphoid tissues (weight; histopathology; cell counts; etc.) Immune cell counts or immune-specific cytokines in non-lymphoid tissues Other immune functional assays (e.g., natural killer cell activity, mixed lymphocyte response, phagocytosis or bacterial killing by monocytes) Immune responses in the respiratory system 	 Red blood cells are under hematologic effects Immune responses in the respiratory tract (such as phagocytosis, cytokine signaling, inflammatory responses) are also under respiratory effects Endpoints related to Cr(VI)- induced allergic hypersensitivity were considered under mechanistic evidence for immune effects

Relevant human health	Examples of endpoints included ^a	Notes
Male Reproductive effects ^b	 Reproductive organ weight and histopathology Markers of sexual differentiation or maturation (e.g., preputial separation) Mating parameters (e.g., success, mount latency) Reproductive hormones* Sperm and semen parameters* 	 Birth parameters (e.g., litter size; resorptions, implantations, viability) are under developmental effects If data indicate altered birth parameters are likely attributable to female fertility, these data may be discussed under female reproductive effects
Female Reproductive effects ^b	 Reproductive organ weight and histopathology Markers of sexual differentiation or maturation (e.g., vaginal opening or estrous cycling) Birth parameters, if attributable to female fertility Reproductive hormones* 	 Birth parameters (e.g., litter size; resorptions, implantations, viability) are under developmental effects
Developmental effects ^b	 Dam health (e.g., weight gain, food consumption) Pup viability/survival or other birth parameters (e.g., number of pups per litter) Pup weight or growth (includes measures into adulthood after developmental-only exposure) Developmental landmarks (eye opening, etc., but not including markers for other organ/system-specific toxicities) Pregnancy outcomes (e.g., spontaneous abortion, early pregnancy loss, pregnancy complications, infant health, congenital malformations/anomalies) [human only] 	 Histopathology and markers of development specific to other systems are organ/system-specific (e.g., vaginal opening is under female reproductive effects; offspring liver weight is under hepatic effects)
Lower respiratory effects Note: Systematic review of evidence for nasal irritation via the inhalation route will focus on data for quantitative dose-response analysis.	 Lung weight and histopathology Biochemical markers of cell industry (e.g., total protein, albumin, and lactate dehydrogenase activity in bronchioalveolar lavage fluid) Cellular responses (e.g., number of macrophages, neutrophils/granulocytes, and lymphocytes) Pulmonary function (e.g., FVC, FEV1.0, DLCO) [human only] 	 Immune responses in the respiratory tract (such as phagocytosis, cytokine signaling, inflammatory responses) are also under immune effects

Relevant human health effect category	Examples of endpoints included ^a	Notes
Carcinogenicity ^b	• Tumors	
Note: Systematic review of	 Precancerous lesions (e.g., dysplasia) 	
evidence for cancer via the		
inhalation route will focus		
on data for quantitative		
dose-response analysis.		

ALT = alanine aminotransferase; AST = aspartate transaminase; BMI = body mass index; DNA = deoxyribonucleic acid; LD_{50} = median lethal dose; FVC: forced vital capacity; FEV1.0: forced expiratory volume in first second; DLCO: the ratio of FEV1.0/FVC, and diffusing capacity of lung for carbon monoxide.

^aEndpoints refer to animal data unless otherwise noted. An asterisk (*) indicates endpoints that are also measured in humans. Endpoints that are *only* measured in humans are noted by descriptive text. Some endpoints are relevant to multiple health effects. These endpoints may be categorized under only a single health effect for clarity. However, in the assessment, such outcome data may be discussed in each relevant health effect synthesis, with cross-referencing to the synthesis containing most of the evidence. The evidence (for or against an effect) will contribute to evidence integration decisions for all relevant health effects. ^bAny of the health effect category indicated, and then referenced under developmental effects.

^cThe primary focus of these assessments will be on the following potential target systems: respiratory, GI tract, hepatic, hematologic, immunological, reproductive, and developmental. For cancer and nasal irritation via the inhalation route, the systematic review will focus on data that may improve the quantitative dose-response analysis, conducted in EPA's 1998 IRIS assessment, for these outcomes.

1.2.5. Dose-Response Analysis

1 Dose-response analysis to support derivation of toxicity values for Cr(VI) were performed 2 consistent with EPA guidelines and support documents, especially EPA's Benchmark Dose Technical 3 Guidance (U.S. EPA, 2012b), EPA's Review of the Reference Dose and Reference Concentration 4 Processes [(U.S. EPA, 2002), Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment (U.S. EPA, 2005a), and 5 Supplemental Guidance for Assessing Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens (U.S. EPA, 6 <u>2005b</u>). Section 11 of the Protocol (Appendix A) describes the general approach to dose-response 7 analysis used in this assessment. 8 This assessment includes development of a reference dose (RfD), a reference concentration 9 (RfC), an inhalation unit risk (IUR), and an oral slope factor (OSF). From among the body of 10 evidence used for the hazard identification assessment, selection of the studies for dose-response 11 assessment used information from the study confidence evaluations, with particular emphasis on 12 conclusions regarding the characteristics of the study population, the accuracy of the exposure 13 estimates for epidemiology studies or dosing methods for toxicology studies, the severity of the 14 observed effects, and the exposure levels analyzed (see Table 11-1 in U.S. EPA (2020)). 15 When suitable data are available, as described in Chapter 12 of U.S. EPA (2020), toxicity 16 values should always be developed for evidence integration conclusions of evidence 17 demonstrates and evidence indicates (likely) as well as for carcinogenicity descriptors of 18 carcinogenic to humans or likely to be carcinogenic to humans. In general, toxicity values

1	would not be developed for "evidence suggests" for noncancer hazard or "suggestive evidence of
2	carcinogenic potential" for cancer hazard conclusions, respectively.
3	Additional special considerations were made when selecting studies for dose-response for
4	Cr(VI), and these are discussed in greater detail in Section 4:
5	Oral animal studies which did not include an exposed group below 20 mg/kg-d were not
6	considered for quantitative analysis ⁸
7	• Inhalation animal studies which did not report measures of particle size and distribution
8	were not considered for quantitative analysis ⁹ .
9	• Human studies for nasal cavity effects which did not report clinical outcomes diagnosed by
10	a trained examiner (e.g., physician, otolaryngologist, or trained researcher) were not
11	considered for quantitative analysis. The desired clinical outcomes were atrophy of the
12	nasal mucosa; ulceration of the nasal mucosa or septum; perforation of the septum; and
13	bleeding nasal septum.

⁸A similar exposure consideration was not necessary for inhalation studies. Fewer animal inhalation studies were available, and concentrations were below levels that would cause severe toxicity. ⁹Availability of particle size distribution information for each study is provided in HAWC.

2.LITERATURE SEARCH AND STUDY EVALUATION RESULTS

2.1. LITERATURE SEARCH AND SCREENING RESULTS

1 Literature searches for studies relevant to the assessment of Cr(VI) have been conducted on 2 a yearly basis since 2013, with the most recent update current through October 2019. This last full 3 literature search update was conducted less than one year before the release of the draft document 4 for public comment. 5 The results of the screening process outlined in Section 4.3 of the protocol (Appendix A) 6 have been posted on the project page for this assessment in the HERO database 7 (https://hero.epa.gov/hero/index.cfm/project/page/project_id/2233), and studies have been 8 "tagged" with appropriate category descriptors (e.g., "included", "potentially relevant supplemental 9 material," "excluded"). Results have also been annotated and reported in a literature flow diagram 10 (see Figure 2-1). 11 Of the 13,794 unique records undergoing title and abstract screening, 10,589 were excluded 12 because they either did not meet PECO criteria outlined in protocol Section 3.3 (Appendix A) or 13 were screened-out using exclusion criteria outlined in protocol Section 4.3 (Appendix A). Using the 14 sorting criteria outlined in protocol Section 4.4 (Appendix A) for studies not meeting PECO criteria 15 but still having information relevant to the specific aims of the assessment, 3,136 records were 16 identified. A total of 174 studies were considered eligible for study evaluation (79 human health

17 effects studies and 85 animal health effects studies).



Figure 2-1. Literature search flow diagram for Cr(VI).

2.2. STUDY EVALUATION RESULTS

- 1 Human and animal studies have evaluated potential respiratory, gastrointestinal (GI) tract, 2 hepatic, hematological, immunological, reproductive, and developmental effects following exposure 3 to Cr(VI). The evidence informing these potential health effects is presented and assessed in Section 4 3.2. Detailed rationales for each domain and overall confidence rating are available in Health 5 Assessment Workspace Collaborative (<u>HAWC</u>). Overall confidence classifications are presented by effect in Section 3.2. Over 170 studies 6 7 met PECO criteria (with about an even number of human and animal studies). Many human and 8 animal studies contained information on multiple endpoints. With the exception of male 9 reproductive effects (which had some *medium* confidence human studies), all human studies 10 meeting PECO criteria that were included in the hazard identification analysis were rated *low* 11 confidence for all hazard domains. Hazard domains having strong animal databases (containing 12 *medium* and *high* confidence studies) were GI, hepatic, hematological, immune, and male and 13 female reproductive. Most animal respiratory studies were *medium* confidence, and most of the 14 animal developmental studies were rated *low* confidence. 15 For human health studies evaluated for dose-response data of nasal effects, three were 16 considered medium (Gibb et al., 2000a; Lindberg and Hedenstierna, 1983; Cohen et al., 1974), and 17 one was considered low (Hanslian et al., 1967). For human health studies evaluated for dose-18 response data of lung cancer, one was considered high (Gibb et al., 2015), one was considered 19 medium (Proctor et al., 2016), two were considered low (Birk et al., 2006; Gerin et al., 1993), and four were considered uninformative (Girardi et al., 2015; Luippold et al., 2005; AEI, 2002; Davies et 20 21 <u>al., 1991</u>). 22 Graphical representations focusing on outcome specific ratings are presented in the organ-
- 23 /system-specific integration sections (Hazard Identification, Section 3.2).

3.HAZARD IDENTIFICATION

3.1. OVERVIEW OF PHARMACOKINETICS

A detailed review and literature inventory of the database regarding the absorption,
 distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) of Cr(VI) is available in Appendix C. This section
 primarily focuses on Cr(VI) reduction to Cr(III) (i.e., metabolism) and localized absorption, which
 have the greatest impact on assessment conclusions for cancer MOA, susceptibility, interspecies
 differences and dose-response.

3.1.1. Pharmacokinetics

Inhaled or ingested Cr(VI) can be reduced to Cr(III) extracellularly by biological fluids 6 7 (e.g., blood, gastric juices and epithelial lining fluid) of humans and rodents. In the hexavalent 8 oxidation state, cellular uptake of chromium oxyanions occurs rapidly via ubiquitous nonspecific 9 sulfate and/or phosphate anion transporters due to the structural similarity of the chromate and 10 dichromate anions to these molecules (see Appendix C for more details). Once absorbed by cells, 11 intracellular reduction generates reactive intermediates Cr(V) and Cr(IV), and finally Cr(III) 12 (Luczak et al., 2016). In the trivalent oxidation state, chromium is poorly absorbed by cells via 13 passive diffusion and has been shown to induce significantly lower tissue chromium burden in 14 exposed rodents compared to Cr(VI) (Collins et al., 2010). Thus, extracellular reduction is believed 15 to be a pathway for detoxification because it decreases the systemic uptake and distribution of 16 Cr(VI) and reduces the exposure of epithelial cells, the first cells to interact with external factors, to 17 Cr(VI). In contrast, *intracellular* reduction of Cr(VI) is considered to be a pathway for its activation 18 following the cellular uptake of Cr(VI). 19 Due to site-specific Cr(VI) reduction differences by route of exposure, ingested Cr(VI) will 20 primarily distribute to gastrointestinal (GI) tract tissues and the liver, while inhaled Cr(VI) will 21 primarily distribute to the respiratory tract and more readily enter systemic circulation. This was 22 demonstrated by O'Flaherty and Radike (1991), which is described in further detail in Appendix 23 C.1.2. These pharmacokinetic factors have implications for Cr(VI)-induced toxicity and 24 carcinogenicity because target tissue doses will strongly depend on route of exposure. An overview 25 of ADME for inhaled and ingested Cr(VI) is provided in Figure 3-1.



Figure 3-1. Overview of the absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion of Cr(VI), with focus on extracellular transport and metabolism at portals of entry.

- Table 3-1 outlines the general findings regarding Cr(VI) pharmacokinetics in different organ 1
- 2 systems, and their implications for the toxicological assessment. It is ordered from external/portal-
- 3 of-entry tissues to internal/systemic tissues and provides additional support for information
- 4 provided in Figure 3-1.

System	General findings	Implication for assessment, with rationale
Respiratory (extracellular)	Reduction of Cr(VI) possible by epithelial lining fluid (ELF) and pulmonary alveolar macrophages (PAM). Components of lung fluids reducing Cr(VI) include glutathione (GSH) and ascorbate (Asc). ¹⁰	Extracellular reduction will not be quantified for inhalation dose-response modeling. Computational fluid dynamics studies of inhaled particulates indicate that respiratory tract deposition does not occur uniformly.
Respiratory (cellular/ epithelial)	Rapid uptake of Cr(VI) into epithelial cells, and reduction to Cr(III). Reduction by lung tissue may involve peripheral lung parenchyma (PLP), Asc, GSH, cysteine, hydrogen peroxide, riboflavin, iron, and enzymatic pathways. Intracellular Cr in lung cells may cluster at the nucleus. ¹¹	Thus, Cr(VI) will not evenly mix with all available reducing agent. Particulates may deposit locally in high amounts in regions of the respiratory tract with insufficient extracellular reducing capacity. Impaction in nasal/nasopharyngeal regions may also occur. Site-specific respiratory tract particle deposition and reduction may be highly variable between individuals.
Oral cavity (extracellular)	Reduction in saliva is possible ¹² , although the extent or rate of localized reduction during the short timescale typical of human or rodent water swallowing is unknown.	Extracellular reduction in the oral cavity will not be quantified. Mixing of drinking water and saliva will not occur uniformly. High interindividual variability exists in oral health/saliva status and water consumption habits. Ingested water temporarily washes-away saliva from the oral cavity.
Oral cavity (cellular/ epithelial)	Uptake to the sensitive oral sites is uncertain. Higher concentrations in oral tissues were detected in mice than in rats, but only rats were susceptible to oral squamous cell carcinoma in the <u>NTP (2008)</u> study. Morphology within different regions of the oral cavity is highly variable (hard palate, buccal mucosa, gingiva, ventral/dorsal tongue, lip), and may impact localized uptake and reduction. ¹³	A PBPK model will not be used to estimate oral cavity absorption for dose-response modeling. Modeling dynamics of this compartment are considered too uncertain (see above), although it will be assumed that direct contact between water and oral epithelium occurs.

Table 3-1. Overall findings by system and implications for the toxicological assessment

- ¹¹Wong et al. (2012), Harris et al. (2005).
- ¹²Petrilli and De Flora (1982).

¹⁰De Flora et al. (1987a), Petrilli et al. (1986).

¹³Kirman et al. (2012), Jones and Klein (2013).

System	General findings	Implication for assessment, with rationale
Stomach and intestine (extracellular/ lumen)	Gastric juices reduce Cr(VI) by 2nd-order reaction in a batch system. Total reducing capacity in all species generally between 10–30 mg/L. Components of gastric juice reducing Cr(VI) include ascorbate, glutathione, NADH, and sulfhydryls. Reduction rate decreases as pH increases. ¹⁴	A gastric PBPK model of the stomach will be used to estimate the Cr(VI) dose escaping stomach reduction. The adjusted daily dose may be used as the basis for an internal dose metric for dose-response modeling. Gastric juice and Cr(VI)-containing water are expected to have time to become well-mixed, and the system is single and continuous (similar to ex vivo batch systems used to study reduction kinetics). Higher uncertainty exists for the small intestine lumen. Multiple discontinuous pockets of water/gastric contents and intestinal secretions will not be well-mixed.
Stomach and intestine (cellular/ epithelial)	Transport of Cr(VI) occurs rapidly by nonspecific phosphate and sulfate transporters. Transport of Cr(III) believed to be slower (diffusion). High variability in GI absorption for both Cr(VI) and Cr(III). Cr uptake may occur primarily in the villi. Reduction occurs in the tissue. ¹⁵	A PBPK model will not be used to estimate epithelial absorption of Cr(VI) in the stomach or intestine. There is high uncertainty in simultaneously quantifying Cr(VI) uptake/reduction, and Cr(III) uptake from lumen, plasma, or background exposure. However, stomach PBPK modeling of reduction/transit is sufficient for use in dose- response modeling without incorporating uptake kinetics. In this assessment, it will be assumed that the small intestinal epithelium is exposed to any unreduced Cr(VI) escaping the stomach.
Blood	Rapid uptake of Cr(VI) into RBCs. Uptake by anion transporters (i.e., band-3 protein). Rapid reduction of Cr(VI) in RBCs by GSH. Binding to hemoglobin and other components in RBC. Transport of Cr(III) into or out of RBCs occurs slowly (thus, bound or unbound Cr(III) may be "trapped" in RBC). Cr(VI) uptake into WBCs also rapid. Reduction of Cr(VI) in plasma occurs slowly. ¹⁶	A systemic PBPK model will not be used to estimate whole-body pharmacokinetics. Due to rapid clearance and reduction locally by liver, RBCs, and most other systemic tissues, BW ^{3/4} scaling of the available dose estimated to escape reduction in the stomach would be used for dose-response modeling for systemic endpoints outside the GI tract.
Liver	Uptake and reduction of Cr(VI) occurs rapidly. Reduction by GSH, ascorbate and other electron donors and enzymes. Uptake into cells by anion transporters. ¹⁷	

¹⁷<u>Alexander et al. (1982)</u>, <u>Alexander et al. (1986)</u>, <u>Wiegand et al. (1986)</u>, <u>Alexander and Aaseth (1995)</u>.

¹⁴De Flora et al. (1987a), De Flora et al. (1997), Proctor et al. (2012) Kirman et al. (2013).

¹⁵Alexander and Aaseth (1995), Shrivastava et al. (2003), Thompson et al. (2015a).

¹⁶Wiegand et al. (1985), Ottenwaelder et al. (1988), Devoy et al. (2016).

System	General findings	Implication for assessment, with rationale
All other systemic organs and tissues	In vivo studies at high doses (regardless of route) have measured widespread Cr in all or most tissues examined. Distribution may be dependent on route of exposure. ¹⁸ Localized reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) occurs in all tissues. Systemic elimination of Cr(III) from the whole body occurs primarily via urinary excretion. Studies also detect chromium in tissues of control animals due to background dietary or drinking water chromium (believed to be in the trivalent form).	

3.1.1.1. Oral exposure

1

2 The extracellular reduction process is important for the oral route of exposure due to the 3 acidity of gastric juice that influences the reduction of Cr(VI). Cr(VI) reduction occurs more rapidly 4 at low pH (Figure 3-2). The pH of the stomach lumen for humans and rodents in the fasted state are 5 approximately 1.3 and 4, respectively (Figure 3-3). Under such conditions, humans would reduce 6 Cr(VI) more effectively than rodents. Because the pH of the small intestinal lumen is higher than 7 that of the stomach, reduction is believed to be slower once Cr(VI) is emptied from the stomach. As 8 a result, Cr(VI) that is not reduced in the stomach compartment may traverse the remaining 9 sections of the GI tract.

¹⁸O'Flaherty and Radike (1991).



Figure 3-2. Reduction of Cr(VI) in samples of human gastric juice (fasted subjects) using data from Proctor et al. (2012). For these experiments, stomach contents were diluted 10:1 to highlight the effect of pH. Reduction of Cr(VI) in natural (undiluted) gastric juice occurs faster (see Appendix C.1.3).



Figure 3-3. GI tract pH values reported in <u>Mcconnell et al. (2008)</u> (rodents: female BALB/c mice and female Wistar rats) and <u>Parrott et al. (2009)</u> (humans).

1 Along the GI tract, the concentration of Cr(VI) will be highest at the portal of entry and in

- 2 the lumen proximal to the portals of entry (oral cavity, tongue, esophagus, stomach, duodenum).
- 3 Within the epithelium, a concentration gradient will exist across tissue depth, with the greatest

1 Cr(VI) concentration at the apical surface of the mucosa, and lower levels at deeper components of 2 the tissue. Differences in tissue morphologies and absorption across the various segments of the GI 3 tract result in variable Cr(VI) exposures for different tissue and cell types, which have implications 4 for site-specific uptake and pharmacodynamics (See Sections 3.2.2.3 and 3.2.3.3). Figures 3-4 and 3-5 5 illustrate how Cr(VI) will distribute and absorb within the GI tract tissues. 6 The oral epithelium is composed of multiple cell layers (Figure 3-4) (Squier and Kremer, 7 2001) and regenerates with stem cells located in the relatively deeper layers (e.g., the lamina 8 propria or basal layer) (Iones and Klein, 2013; Marvnka-Kalmani et al., 2010). The precise location 9 of the stem cells depends on the region of the oral mucosa (e.g., lip, hard palate, gingiva, tongue) 10 [Jones and Klein, 2013; Marynka-Kalmani et al., 2010]. The concentration of ingested Cr(VI) in the 11 oral cavity may not exhibit a proximal-to-distal gradient because very limited reduction and 12 dilution will occur in the lumen. However, the surface cell layers will receive higher exposure. The 13 small intestine is comprised of three anatomical sections, the duodenum, jejunum, and ileum 14 (Figure 3-5), each of which have different lengths and absorption surface areas (Castelevn et al., 15 2010). Within the small intestine, the concentration of ingested Cr(VI) that is not reduced in the 16 stomach will be the highest in the duodenum. The duodenal villi serve as the functional structures 17 for absorption. Villous epithelial cells are continuously lost and replaced by stem cells in the bottom 18 two-thirds of the crypt (Potten et al., 2009; Potten et al., 1997). Stem cells differentiate as they 19 move upward from the crypt and are shed at the tip of the villi. Within the stomach, gastric stem 20 cells are located within glandular pits, and unlike the small intestine, they are nearer to the lumen 21 and more likely to be exposed to surface irritants (Mills and Shivdasani, 2011). 22 There are species differences in GI tract structure and drinking water consumption patterns 23 that may impact susceptibility to the effects of ingested Cr(VI). The rodent stomach is segmented 24 into a glandular stomach and non-glandular (keratinized) forestomach, whereas humans have a single glandular stomach type (<u>Kararli, 1995</u>)¹⁹. Elevated pH has been measured in the forestomach 25 26 of rodents (relative to the glandular stomach) (Kohl et al., 2013; Browning et al., 1983; Kunstyr et 27 al., 1976), and pH variation might not follow the same fed/fasted pattern as the glandular stomach 28 (Ward and Coates, 1987). As a result, it is likely that kinetics within the stomach, and Cr(VI) 29 exposure to the absorptive regions of the stomach, differ between rodents and humans. Within the 30 oral cavity, the location and type of tissue keratinization (which decreases site-specific absorption) 31 differs by species, with a greater percentage of the rodent oral epithelium being keratinized relative 32 to humans (<u>lones and Klein, 2013</u>). There are also interspecies differences in the relative lengths 33 and surface areas of small intestinal segments (<u>Casteleyn et al., 2010</u>). With respect to the pattern 34 of drinking water consumption, humans ingest beverages sporadically and within a short period of

¹⁹A comparative 21-day pharmacokinetic study in guinea pigs (which do not have a forestomach), rats, and mice by <u>NTP (2007)</u> found no fundamental differences in pharmacokinetics that could be attributable to different stomach structure.

time, whereas rodents consume water at a more sustained rate over the nocturnal period (<u>Yuan</u>,
 1993; Spiteri, 1982).

- 3 The characterization of interspecies differences in site-specific pharmacodynamics for
- 4 Cr(VI) is highly uncertain due to the nature of the observed tumors (see Section 3.2.3). <u>NTP (2008)</u>
- 5 observed tumors of the oral cavity in rats, and tumors of the small intestine of mice following
- 6 exposure to Cr(VI) in drinking water for two years. The lack of oral tumors in mice cannot be
- 7 explained by interspecies differences in pharmacokinetics because higher chromium
- 8 concentrations have been measured in the oral tissues of mice vs. rats following a 90-day Cr(VI)
- 9 drinking water study (<u>Kirman et al., 2012</u>). In addition, rats are generally more prone to oral cancer
- 10 development than mice, and mice are more prone to neoplasia in the small intestine (<u>Ibrahim et al.</u>,
- 11 <u>2021; Chandra et al., 2010</u>) (Appendix D.5).
- 12 In GI tract tissues where tumors were not observed in rodents by <u>NTP (2008)</u> (such as the
- 13 stomach or colon), there are also interspecies differences that are difficult to model. For example,
- 14 chemically-induced epithelial tumors of the forestomach in mice and rats are the most common
- 15 neoplasms of the GI tract observed by NTP and Carcinogenic Potency databases, but those of the
- 16 glandular stomach are rare (<u>Chandra et al., 2010</u>). However, glandular stomach cancer is one of the
- 17 major causes of cancer diagnosis and cancer death in humans worldwide (<u>Crew and Neugut, 2004</u>).
- 18 It is the 5th most commonly diagnosed cancer and the 7th most prevalent in the world (<u>Rawla and</u>
- **19 Barsouk**, 2019). Morphologies of stomach tumors differ greatly between humans and rodents
- 20 (<u>Hayakawa et al., 2013; Tsukamoto et al., 2007</u>), and therefore lack of Cr(VI)-induced stomach
- 21 tumors in rodent bioassays may not be directly applicable to humans. Because these interspecies
- 22 differences could not be quantified in a pharmacokinetic or pharmacodynamic model, site-specific
- 23 internal dose metrics were not derived for GI tract tissues.



Figure 3-4. Schematic of the rat oral cavity depicting the gradient of Cr(VI) concentration following ingestion of Cr(VI) in drinking water, both from anterior to posterior locations, as well as across the tissue depth. Drawn based in part on images by NRC (2011) and Jones and Klein (2013). Transmucosal uptake may lead to systemic absorption.



Figure 3-5. Schematic of the mouse upper GI tract (stomach and small intestine) depicting the gradient of Cr(VI) concentration following ingestion of Cr(VI) in drinking water, both from anterior to posterior locations, as well as across the tissue depth. Drawn based on images by <u>Radtke and Clevers (2005)</u>, <u>Fox and Wang (2007)</u>, and <u>Kararli (1995)</u>.

1 Data limitations of oral pharmacokinetic data

Even under controlled rodent pharmacokinetic studies, assessing the oral absorption and
whole-body distribution of orally administered Cr(VI) at low doses contains some uncertainty. Only
total chromium can be measured in tissues in vivo. Total chromium measured in tissues following
oral Cr(VI) exposure results from:

6 1) Rapid cellular uptake of administered Cr(VI) that was absorbed into the body as Cr(VI).
7 Because Cr(VI) transport is carrier-mediated via nonspecific sulfate and/or phosphate
8 anion transporters, this uptake is rapid in the lumen and systemic tissues. The absorbed
9 Cr(VI) may be transported throughout the body and reduced intracellularly to Cr(III) in
10 tissues and red blood cells. Absorption of Cr(VI) by the intestine and reduction of Cr(VI) in
11 the lumen are competitive processes.

- 1 1) Slow cellular uptake of Cr(III) that was absorbed into the body as Cr(III), formed from 2 administered Cr(VI) that reduced to Cr(III) extracellularly and outside of systemic 3 circulation (e.g., gastric juices). This process is slow and inefficient because Cr(III) transport 4 occurs by passive diffusion, resulting in a low percent absorption of Cr(III) in the GI tract, 5 and a low percent absorption of Cr(III) into systemic tissues from plasma. However, high 6 concentrations of Cr(III) in the lumen may occur during controlled Cr(VI) studies (via 7 extracellular reduction), leading to more uptake of Cr(III) than would typically occur from 8 background dietary ingestion.
- 9 2) Slow cellular uptake of Cr(III) that was absorbed into the body as administered Cr(VI) and 10 reduced by other components within systemic circulation (e.g., plasma, liver, red blood 11 cells). While uptake of Cr(VI) into the intestinal lumen is rapid, systemic reduction to Cr(III) 12 is also rapid. Once reduced. Cr(III) will diffuse slowly (into or out of) systemic tissues and 13 circulate throughout the body in plasma. For example, plasma can reduce Cr(VI) 14 extracellularly, and the resulting Cr(III) absorbed into tissues. RBCs can reduce Cr(VI) 15 intracellularly, and the resulting Cr(III) can be released to systemic circulation (to be 16 absorbed by other tissues) after RBCs are broken down.
- 17 3) Background uptake and distribution of dietary and drinking water chromium (Cr(III)
 18 and/or Cr(VI)) not administered or controlled in the bioassay. This is supported by the
 19 detection of chromium in the tissues of control animals.
- Because chromium becomes trapped within RBCs following exposure to Cr(VI), elevated
 RBC chromium persists longer relative to plasma chromium levels following systemic Cr(VI)
 absorption. Based on analyses of the RBC:plasma ratios of exposed and unexposed rodents from the
 NTP (2008, 2007) studies (see Appendix C.1.2), it may be assumed that a significantly large
 percentage of oral *ad libitum* doses greater than 1 mg/kg-d likely escapes gastric and hepatic
 reduction in rodents and is widely distributed throughout the body. At lower doses, it may be
 difficult to interpret pharmacokinetic data due to background chromium exposure, and the fact that
- 27 28

3.1.1.2. Inhalation exposure

a lower percentage of the dose reaches systemic circulation.

29 Inhalation pharmacokinetics of Cr(VI) differ substantially from ingestion, and there is less 30 detoxification via extracellular reduction. Deposition of particles along the respiratory tract is not 31 uniformly distributed and is strongly dependent on particle size. Inhaled particles with a diameter 32 greater than 5 µm will typically deposit proximal to the trachea (extrathoracic region). Particles 33 with a diameter in the range of $2.5-5 \mu m$ generally deposit in the tracheobronchial region. Particles 34 with a diameter less than 2.5 µm generally deposit in the pulmonary region. However, some 35 proportion of larger particles (>2.5 µm) are still capable of reaching the pulmonary region (OSHA, <u>2006</u>). Deposition of both larger particles and ultrafine particles (>0.1 µm) can occur in the head 36 37 airways, including the nasal passages (Hinds, 1999; ICRP, 1994). Particle size distributions in the

- 1 air vary between industries or between different processes within the same industrial plant (OSHA,
- 2 <u>2006</u>). Particles of respirable size capable of depositing in the lower respiratory tract have been
- 3 observed in some workplace settings (<u>Kuo et al., 1997a</u>). As a result, this assessment assumes
- 4 deposition in all regions of the respiratory tract is possible, and that some inconsistencies in
- 5 observed effects may be due to particle size. Deposition and transmucosal uptake in the oral cavity
- 6 are also considered to occur because humans may breathe through both the mouth and nose
- 7 (Figure 3-6), as compared to nose-only breathing in rodents.
- 8 Within the lower respiratory tract of the lung, particles may locally accumulate at high
- 9 quantities in susceptible areas such as airway bifurcation sites (<u>Balashazy et al., 2003</u>; <u>Schlesinger</u>
- 10 <u>and Lippmann, 1978</u>). This is supported by studies showing high chromium deposition at these
- 11 sites in the lungs of chromate workers, and a correlation between lung chromium burden and lung
- 12 cancer (<u>Kondo et al., 2003; Ishikawa et al., 1994a</u>, <u>b</u>).
- 13 The respiratory environment is less acidic than the gastric environment (<u>Krawic et al.</u>,
- 14 <u>2017</u>) and would be less likely to effectively reduce Cr(VI) in vivo. Unlike gastric juice, which exists
- 15 in the stomach as a single continuous pocket, respiratory tract epithelial lining fluid is a thin,
- 16 heterogeneous film (<u>Ng et al., 2004</u>). Inhaled Cr(VI) will not evenly mix with all the available
- 17 extracellular components of the lung that are capable of reducing Cr(VI) to Cr(III). Thus,
- 18 extracellular components capable of Cr(VI) reduction may be overwhelmed in local regions of the
- 19 respiratory tract where high deposition occurs (<u>Krawic et al., 2017</u>), regardless of the total reducing
- 20 capacity of components in the lung. As a result, PBPK modeling of extracellular Cr(VI) reduction in
- 21 the lung was not considered for this assessment.



Figure 3-6. Schematics of the human respiratory system (adapted from <u>Kleinstreuer et al. (2008)</u>²⁰) depicting deposition of particles or mists **containing Cr(VI).** The term generation refers to the branching pattern of airways. Each division into a major daughter (larger in diameter) and minor daughter airway is termed a generation (<u>U.S. EPA, 1994</u>).

1 Inhalation pharmacokinetics and target internal doses to the lung and systemic organs will

2 also vary depending on the solubility of the Cr(VI) compound being inhaled. Both high and low

- 3 soluble forms of Cr(VI) are believed to be absorbed into lung tissue after deposition in the airways
- 4 (<u>OSHA, 2006</u>). However, the accumulation rates in the lung, and the extent of systemic absorption
- 5 will differ. Highly soluble Cr(VI) may be rapidly absorbed by cells, leading to high localized Cr(VI)
- 6 concentrations in the lung tissue. Because the highly soluble Cr(VI) would be rapidly absorbed and
- 7 cleared, the high localized Cr(VI) lung concentrations may be temporary (<u>O'Flaherty and Radike</u>,
- 8 <u>1991</u>). Cr(VI) absorbed by the lungs is rapidly transported to the bloodstream and may expose

²⁰Modified with permission from the Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering, Volume 10 © 2008 by Annual Reviews, <u>http://www.annualreviews.org</u>.

1 other systemic tissues (<u>OSHA, 2006</u>). Cr(VI) compounds with low solubility may persist in the lung

2 for longer periods of time and come into close contact with the bronchoalveolar epithelial cell

3 surface (<u>OSHA, 2006</u>). So while uptake would be slower, there may be a higher exposure over time.

4 Cr(VI) that is not readily absorbed into the lung may be transported to the stomach by mucociliary

- 5 clearance (<u>O'Flaherty and Radike, 1991</u>). As a result, inhaled Cr(VI) compounds with low solubility
- 6 may not reach other systemic tissues as readily as soluble Cr(VI), since most Cr(VI) swallowed by
- 7 mucociliary clearance would be reduced in the stomach.
- 8 Chromium-containing compounds such as the potassium/sodium/ammonium chromates
- 9 and dichromates, and chromium trioxide, are highly soluble in water, while some mixed salt
- 10 chromate pigments (such as lead and zinc chromate) are poorly soluble (<u>O'Flaherty and Radike</u>,
- 11 <u>1991</u>). While stainless-steel welding fumes contain both high and low soluble components, the
- 12 Cr(VI) component of the fume is considered highly soluble and may be distributed throughout the
- 13 body (Antonini et al., 2010a; Antonini et al., 1999).

14 3.1.1.3. Intracellular reduction (all routes of exposure)

15 After Cr(VI) uptake by cells, Cr(III) is the ultimate product of the intracellular reduction of 16 Cr(VI). Depending on the Cr(VI) concentration and reducing agent involved (e.g., ascorbate, or thiol-17 containing compounds such as glutathione and cysteine), various amounts of the unstable and 18 reactive intermediates Cr(V) and Cr(IV) can be generated prior to reduction to Cr(III). This has 19 implications for pharmacodynamics and mode of action (see Section 3.2.3.4). The reduction 20 pathway via ascorbate occurs with a two-electron reduction to primarily produce Cr(IV) (Revnolds 21 and Zhitkovich, 2007), although Cr(V) species have been detected following Cr(VI) reduction by 22 ascorbate (Poljsak et al., 2005; Stearns et al., 1995; Stearns and Wetterhahn, 1994). When Cr(VI) is 23 reduced via thiols such as glutathione, there are two distinct one-electron transfers producing both 24 intermediates Cr(V) and Cr(IV) (Luczak et al., 2016; O'Brien et al., 2003). Both the one- and 25 two-electron reduction steps are immediately followed by one-electron reductions to produce 26 Cr(III) (Levina and Lay, 2005). Reduction by ascorbate is kinetically favorable with an estimated 27 reduction rate 13x faster than cysteine and 61x faster than glutathione (<u>Quievryn et al., 2003</u>), and 28 the reduction pathway via ascorbate accounts for 90% of metabolism in vivo (Standeven and 29 Wetterhahn, 1992, 1991; Suzuki and Fukuda, 1990). It has been shown that in vitro studies may 30 produce inaccurate results because standard cultured cells contain <1% of the normal in vivo 31 ascorbate levels (Luczak et al., 2016). Without adequate ascorbate, glutathione is the major 32 reducing agent, and the oxidative Cr(V) is the major intermediate; the additional Cr(V) also depletes 33 glutathione, thereby increasing the abundance of Cr(V) (Luczak et al., 2016). In addition, the 34 presence of ascorbate has been shown to stabilize the reactive intermediates generated by the 35 glutathione pathway, leading to even more potential interaction between Cr(V) and intracellular 36 components (Martin et al., 2006). These intracellular reduction pathways are summarized in Figure 37 3-7; for further discussion of the biological consequences of the intracellular reduction of Cr(VI), 38 see Section 3.2.3.4.



Figure 3-7. Intracellular reduction pathways of Cr(VI). Adapted from <u>Zhitkovich (2011)</u>. The reduction pathway via ascorbate occurs with a two-electron reduction to Cr(IV), immediately followed by a one-electron reduction to Cr(III). When Cr(VI) is reduced via thiols such as glutathione, there are two distinct one-electron transfers producing the intermediates Cr(V) and Cr(IV), and lastly another electron transfer producing Cr(III). There may be uncertainty whether the ascorbate pathway truly lacks a Cr(V) intermediate (<u>Poljsak et al.,</u> <u>2005</u>; <u>Stearns et al., 1995</u>; <u>Stearns and Wetterhahn, 1994</u>). In vivo and in vitro differences may arise from the media and ascorbate levels used for experiments in cultured cells. Ascorbate may have a stabilizing effect on the reactive intermediates produced via the glutathione pathway.

3.1.2. Description of Pharmacokinetic Models

- 1 A brief description of the available pharmacokinetic models for Cr(VI) are listed below in
- 2 chronological order in Table 3-2. For this assessment, models adapted from <u>Sasso and Schlosser</u>
- 3 (2015); <u>Schlosser and Sasso (2014)</u> were used for oral dose-response and rodent-to-human
- 4 extrapolation (see Appendix C). Physiology parameters defined in <u>Sasso and Schlosser (2015)</u> were
- 5 revised to account for the fed and fasted states in humans, and to use alternative gastric
- 6 physiological parameters obtained from literature and other gastric modeling platforms. A minor
- 7 structural change was also made to harmonize the volumes of stomach lumen and gastric juice (see
- 8 Appendix C).

Reference	Species	Notes
O'Flaherty (1996) O'Flaherty (1993) O'Flaherty et al. (2001) O'Flaherty and Radike (1991)	Rat	Compartments include kidney, liver, bone, GI tract, two lung pools (for inhalation only), plasma, red blood cells, and lumped compartments for remaining tissues (rapidly and slowly perfused). A single lumped compartment represents the GI tract, and reduction kinetics do not include pH-reduction relationships. This model is not readily extendable to the mouse.
O'Flaherty et al. (2001)	Human	
		Calibrated to data from exposure via intravenous injection, gavage, inhalation (intratracheal), and drinking water (all data are from studies dated 1985 and earlier). Background Cr(III) exposure is simulated in the model and contributes to predicted total chromium concentrations.
<u>Kirman et al. (2012)</u>	Rat <i>,</i> mouse	Compartments include kidney, liver, bone, GI tract, plasma, red blood cells and a lumped compartment for remaining tissues. A multicompartment model represents the GI tract (oral cavity, stomach, duodenum, jejunum, ileum, large intestine), with reduction kinetics based on the model by <u>Proctor et al. (2012)</u> .
<u>Kirman et al. (2013)</u>	Human	
		Incorporates pharmacokinetic data from experiments designed by the study authors, and data from other studies. Only data for drinking water and dietary routes of exposure incorporated. Total concentrations in control groups subtracted from exposure groups to account for background Cr(III) exposure.
Schlosser and Sasso	Rat,	Simulates Cr(VI) reduction kinetics and transit in the stomach.
<u>Schlosser (2015)</u>	human	Incorporates pharmacokinetic model of the stomach lumen by Kirman et al. (<u>2013</u> ; <u>2012</u>), but with a revised model for Cr(VI) reduction based on reanalysis of ex vivo data to improve model/data fit.
Kirman et al. (<u>2017</u> ; <u>2016</u>)	Rat, mouse human	Same structure as Kirman et al. (2013; 2012), but incorporates a revised model for Cr(VI) reduction based on additional human gastric juice data. This model supersedes earlier models by the same investigators.
ICRP (<u>Hiller and</u> <u>Leggett, 2020</u>)	Human	Biokinetic model assuming linear 1st-order transfer rates among different systemic tissues. Compartments include respiratory tract, stomach, small intestine, red blood cells, plasma, liver, kidneys, other/soft tissue, trabecular bone, cortical bone, right colon, left colon, rectosigmoid colon, urinary bladder, urine, feces. Reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) not explicitly modeled (assumed as a linear transfer between different special plasma compartments).

Table 3-2. Pharmacokinetic m	odels for Cr(VI)
------------------------------	------------------

The O'Flaherty Cr(VI) model was adapted from a PBPK model for lead, and it does not

1

- 2 describe Cr(VI) kinetics in the target tissue or species of concern (the mouse GI tract). The models
- 3 by Kirman et al. (2013; 2012) simulate interspecies differences in gastric reduction kinetics in mice,
- 4 rats, and humans. These models have a structure similar to the human model by O'Flaherty et al.
- 5 (2001), but differ in their simulation of background Cr(III) exposure and kinetics of the GI tract and
- 6 bone. The model presented in Sasso and Schlosser (2015) and Appendix C.1.5 only incorporates the

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy. DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE
- 1 GI lumen compartments necessary to simulate the non-systemic dose metrics. It incorporates in
- 2 vivo gastric kinetics from the Kirman et al. (2013; 2012) models, but includes a revised ex vivo
- 3 reduction model by <u>Schlosser and Sasso (2014)</u> to improve model fit to the ex vivo data of <u>Proctor</u>
- 4 <u>et al. (2012)</u> and <u>Kirman et al. (2013)</u>. Models of the GI tract incorporate ex vivo reduction models
- 5 and may be run independently of the rest of the body if the internal dose is not impacted by blood
- 6 or tissue concentrations (Figure 3-8). Some internal dose metrics for GI tract toxicity do not require
- 7 estimates of tissue absorption, blood concentrations or systemic elimination. Validation of whole-
- 8 body pharmacokinetics is complicated by background exposure and inability to speciate chromium
- 9 oxidation states in vivo (see Section ES.7 and 3.1.1.1).
- 10 The ICRP model (<u>Hiller and Leggett, 2020</u>) was focused heavily on the distribution of Cr(III)
- 11 in the body and had an over-simplified linear assumption for Cr(VI) reduction that would be
- 12 inadequate for assessment of effects in the GI tract.



Figure 3-8. Relationship between ex vivo reduction models, in vivo gastric models, and whole-body PBPK models.

- 13 The <u>Kirman et al. (2017)</u> model made revisions to the previous Kirman et al. models by
- 14 incorporating some ex vivo reduction concepts presented the <u>Schlosser and Sasso (2014)</u> (such as
- 15 multiple-pathway reactions), and is calibrated to human gastric juice data for fed and fasted
- 16 individuals (<u>Kirman et al., 2016</u>). Ex vivo data provided in <u>Kirman et al. (2016)</u> and <u>De Flora et al.</u>
- 17 (2016) were used to assess model uncertainties and population variability and develop a fed-state
- 18 gastric reduction capacity (see Appendix C.1). Minor updates to the <u>Sasso and Schlosser (2015)</u> in
- 19 vivo model structure and physiology are documented in Appendix C.1.5.

1 3.1.2.1. Rationale for using a gastric PBPK model

2 This toxicological review applies models describing the reduction kinetics and transit of
3 Cr(VI) in the stomach lumen (as opposed to whole-body PBPK models) for the oral dose-response
4 assessment and rodent-to-human extrapolation (Appendix C.1.5).

5 In the GI tract, the extent of reduction in the stomach compartment determines the 6 maximum Cr(VI) mass or concentration that enters the small intestine. As a result, the stomach 7 compartment is a major contributor to inter- and intraspecies pharmacokinetic variation. If 8 reduction does not occur effectively in the stomach, a greater amount of unreduced Cr(VI) will 9 persist in the small intestinal compartments (duodenum, jejunum, and ileum). Since values of pH in 10 the small intestinal compartments are higher than in the stomach for all species (Figure 3-3), 11 reduction may occur less effectively once chromium has emptied from the stomach. Furthermore, 12 the data underlying the ex vivo reduction model were generated under batch reaction conditions, 13 which is more similar to the stomach compartment than the dynamic intestine. Modeling the 14 stomach requires less extrapolation of the data. 15 The gastric PBPK models are consistent with both ex vivo and in vivo pharmacokinetics

16 studies. It is estimated that approximately 10% of an ingested dose of Cr(VI) is absorbed in the GI

17 tract of rodents (<u>Fébel et al., 2001</u>; <u>Thomann et al., 1994</u>), and this is consistent with the percentage

18 of unreduced Cr(VI) emptying from the stomach predicted by the gastric PBPK model (Appendix C).

- 19 Under typical physiological conditions in the human (gastric pH of below 3, and gastric emptying
- 20 half-time of approximately 15–30 minutes), gastric PBPK models predict that approximately 1–

21 10% of ingested Cr(VI) may be emptied by the human stomach unreduced. This is in agreement

with pooled human gastric juice data by <u>De Flora et al. (2016)</u>, which showed that approximately
93% of the chromium is reduced by undiluted gastric juice after 15 minutes. This is also consistent

24 with a Cr(VI) bioavailability study performed in an in *vitro system*, which found that human

bioaccessibility could be as high as 20% at low doses (0.005 mg/kg-d) at a gastric pH of 3.0 (but

26 drastically lower than 20% at low pH)(<u>Wang et al., In Press</u>) (in press). Elevated chromium

27 biomarkers (plasma, red blood cells and urine) have been measured in human volunteers ingesting

28 Cr(VI) (<u>Finley et al., 1997; Kerger et al., 1997; Kerger et al., 1996; Paustenbach et al., 1996</u>).

29 While reduction may still occur in small intestinal compartments, effects observed by <u>NTP</u>

30 (2008) in mice (see Sections 3.2.2 and 3.2.3.2) indicate that unreduced Cr(VI) may traverse the

small intestine. The jejunum and ileum exhibited lower incidences of effects in mice, which may
 indicate that Cr(VI) was reduced and/or diluted by intestinal secretions and lumen contents. Data

indicate that Cr(VI) was reduced and/or diluted by intestinal secretions and lumen contents. Data
 by Kirman et al. (2012) also shows chromium concentrations decreasing in the distal direction in

34 the small intestine of mice exposed to Cr(VI) in drinking water for 90 days. While it is believed that

35 more Cr(VI) is absorbed in the proximal small intestine, this assessment will not quantify spatial

36 differences in absorption within the small intestine. It will be assumed that all Cr(VI) which escapes

37 the stomach and enters the small intestine is capable of exposing the intestinal epithelium of any

38 region.

3.2. SYNTHESIS AND INTEGRATION OF HEALTH HAZARD EVIDENCE BY ORGAN/SYSTEM

3.2.1. Respiratory Tract Effects Other Than Cancer

1 The respiratory tract is comprised of multiple tissues that are responsible for air intake and 2 gas exchange. The upper respiratory tract is composed of the nose, nasal cavity, mouth, pharynx 3 and larynx. This region filters, warms and humidifies inhaled air prior to entering the lower 4 respiratory tract, while also facilitating olfactory function. The lower respiratory tract 5 (i.e., tracheobronchial and pulmonary regions), which begins at the larvnx below the vocal cords, is 6 composed of the trachea, bronchi, bronchioles, and the alveoli. The pulmonary region facilitates gas 7 exchange with the blood. The upper and lower airways and gas-exchange region can be affected by 8 inhaled toxicants that are deposited along the different regions of the respiratory tract, resulting in 9 a variety of adverse respiratory outcomes. For an overview of how the particle size and solubility of 10 Cr(VI) compounds will impact the retention and absorption of Cr(VI) in different regions of the 11 respiratory tract, see Section 3.1. 12 Effects in the nasal cavity (irritation/ulceration of the nasal mucosa or septum, perforation 13 of the septum, and bleeding nasal septum) have been documented for decades in humans 14 occupationally exposed to Cr(VI) in chromium-related industries (Bloomfield and Blum, 1928). As 15 stated in the Cr(VI) IRIS Assessment Protocol (Appendix A), based on EPA's 1998 evaluation of the 16 literature and the determination that the effects of Cr(VI) on the nasal cavity have been well 17 established [e.g., <u>OSHA (2006)</u> and <u>U.S. EPA (2014c)</u>], EPA will not re-evaluate the qualitative 18 evidence for an association between inhalation Cr(VI) exposure and nasal effects. Rather, the 19 review of the evidence for nasal effects focuses on identifying studies that might improve the 20 quantitative dose-response analysis for this outcome. The review of the evidence and dose-21 response for nasal effects can be found in Section 3.2.1. 22 For human studies, this assessment focuses on respiratory effects that may be sensitive and 23 specific to the effects of inhaled Cr(VI) exposure. This includes decrements in lung function 24 assessed using spirometry, with comparisons against lesser or unexposed individuals. Mortality or 25 self-reported symptoms (such as cough) that are nonspecific and may be attributed to multiple 26 other causes were not considered relevant for this assessment. For animal bioassays, this 27 assessment considered relevant any reported respiratory effects. Animal studies of respiratory 28 effects following Cr(VI) exposures typically focused on cellular responses (i.e., cell recruitment, cell 29 function and cellular products), histopathology, and lung weight.

- **30 3.2.1.1**. *Human Evidence*
- 31 <u>Study evaluation summary</u>

Table 3-3 summarizes the human studies considered in the evaluation of the effects of
 exposure to Cr(VI) on the lower respiratory tract. These comprise four occupational cohort studies

- 1 of workers in industrial settings in which exposure to Cr(VI) is known to occur (predominantly
- 2 through inhalation): a chrome electroplating department in Taiwan (<u>Kuo et al., 1997b</u>), a chromate
- 3 production plant in China (<u>Li et al., 2015b</u>), a chrome electroplating plant in Sweden (<u>Lindberg and</u>
- 4 <u>Hedenstierna, 1983</u>), and several plants in France at which stainless-steel welding was performed
- 5 (<u>Sobaszek et al., 1998</u>). Five additional studies were considered but were deemed *uninformative*
- 6 due to critical deficiencies (<u>Sitalakshmi et al., 2016</u>; <u>Sharma et al., 2012</u>; <u>Huvinen et al., 2002b</u>;
- 7 <u>Nielsen et al., 1993; Bovet et al., 1977</u>) and are not further discussed (see <u>HAWC</u> for additional
- 8 details).
- 9 Concentrations of Cr(VI) in air were measured in three of the four studies. Concentrations of
- 10 Cr(VI) from stationary monitors and personal samplers at a chrome-plating facility in Sweden
- 11 ranged from <0.2 to 46 μg/m³ (Lindberg and Hedenstierna, 1983). Concentrations of Cr(VI) from
- 12 personal samplers ranged from 0.2 to 230.0 μ g/m³ in a study of chromium electroplaters in Taiwan
- 13 (mean [SD]: $63.2 [67.2] \mu g/m^3$ (<u>Kuo et al., 1997a, b</u>)). Air concentrations from stationary monitors
- 14 were slightly lower (median [quartile]²¹: 15.45 [19] μ g/m³) in the study of chromate workers in
- 15 China (Li et al., 2015b). The use of cellulose fiber filters instead of PVC filters as recommended by
- 16 NIOSH may have resulted in underestimated air concentrations in the latter study (see <u>HAWC</u> for
- 17 additional details).
- 18 After study evaluation, all four studies were categorized as *low* confidence (<u>Li et al., 2015b</u>;
- 19 <u>Sobaszek et al., 1998; Kuo et al., 1997b; Lindberg and Hedenstierna, 1983</u>). A lack of air or
- 20 biomarker measurements in the study of stainless-steel welders (Sobaszek et al., 1998), and
- 21 potential for residual confounding in the other studies (Li et al., 2015b; Kuo et al., 1997b; Lindberg
- 22 <u>and Hedenstierna, 1983</u>), raised concerns about the ability of these studies to appropriately
- 23 characterize respiratory effects and resulted in *low* confidence ratings despite other notable
- 24 strengths in terms of study design and methods. In all the considered studies, while the primary
- 25 focus was on chromium exposure, co-exposure to other occupational hazards may also contribute
- to observed health effects. For example, other metallic elements in welding fumes or nickel in
- electroplating work, could also impact respiratory health (<u>Antonini et al., 2010b; ATSDR, 2005</u>).
- 28 However, similar effects on respiratory outcomes from studies conducted across different
- 29 occupational settings, where the specific co-exposures would be expected to differ, would alleviate
- 30 concern that any observed effects are due solely to co-exposures rather than to Cr(VI).
- 31 The main results of the four studies considered are summarized in Table 3-4.

²¹The article states this value as median and quartile; this appears consistent with an inter-quartile range.

Table 3-3. Summary of human studies for Cr(VI) lower respiratory effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome.^a Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales.

Author (year)	Industry	Location	Study Design	Pulmonary Function
<u>Kuo et al. (1997b)</u> (related: <u>Kuo et</u> <u>al. (1997a)</u>)	Chrome electroplating	Taiwan	Cohort (occupational)	L
<u>Li et al. (2015b)</u>	Chromate production	China	Cohort (occupational)	L
Lindberg and Hedenstierna (1983)	Chrome electroplating	Sweden	Cohort (occupational)	L
Sobaszek et al. (1998)	Stainless-steel welding	France	Cohort (occupational)	L

^aStudies excluded due to critical deficiency in one or more domains: <u>Nielsen et al. (1993)</u>, <u>Bovet et al. (1977)</u>, <u>Sharma et al. (2012)</u>, <u>Sitalakshmi et al. (2016)</u>, and <u>Huvinen et al. (2002b)</u> (related: <u>Huvinen et al. (1996)</u>). One of these studies (<u>Bovet et al., 1977</u>) met the PECO criteria but was found to be uninformative at the study evaluation stage due to publication prior to the availability of standardized spirometry guidelines from the American Thoracic Society.

1 <u>Synthesis of human evidence</u>

2 Pulmonary function

3

- Four core endpoints were considered in the evaluation of the effects of exposure to Cr(VI)
- 4 on pulmonary function: forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in first second
- 5 (FEV1.0), the ratio of FEV1.0/FVC, and diffusing capacity of lung for carbon monoxide (DLCO). The
- 6 first three of these are measured by spirometry. Other tests of pulmonary function (such as peak
- 7 flow, airway responsiveness, and lung volume) were not utilized in any of the four studies
- 8 considered. A key consideration for the evaluation of spirometry data is the adherence to guidelines
- 9 published by the American Thoracic Society (ATS) (<u>ATS/ERS, 2019</u>)²² and use of appropriate
- 10 reference population data for estimation of predicted values. The results from the four studies
- evaluating spirometry endpoints are shown in Tables 3-4 and 3-5.

²²These guidelines first developed in 1979 with subsequent updates; standardized guidelines were harmonized with the European Respiratory Society beginning in 2005 with subsequent updates and include detailed standardized protocols for the collection of spirometry data. Key features of the ATS guidelines include: recommendations regarding spirometer equipment specifications; protocols to be followed during the administration of spirometry tests; and the importance of considering age, sex, and height when interpreting results (ideally by expressing spirometry measurements as a percent of the measurement predicted, using reference values appropriately matched to the demographic characteristics of the study population).

Study	Exposure	Conf.	Result Format	N	FVC	FEV	FEV/FVC
<u>Li et al.</u>	Chromate production	L	Mean (SD) expressed as a	Exp: 91	Exp: 72.34	Exp: 76.04	Exp: 116.18
<u>(2015b)</u>			percent of predicted	Ref: 38	(14.18)	(16.20)	(11.32)
	Median total Cr ^a		values.		Ref: 81.01	Ref: 86.71	Ref: 114.08
	measured in air: 15.45				(20.79)	(24.53)	(10.79)
	μ g/m ³ (exposed) and 0.23				<i>p</i> = 0.196	<i>p</i> = 0.011	<i>p</i> = 0.044
	(referent) μg/m³						
<u>Kuo et al.</u>	Chrome electroplating	L	Adjusted regression	Exp: 26	β: -556.4	β: -368.0	-
<u>(1997b)</u>			coefficients (SE) and p-	Ref: 34	(151.2) mL	(163.9) mL	
	Mean Cr(VI) measured in		value		<i>p</i> < 0.01	<i>p</i> < 0.05	
	air near electroplating						
	tank: 8.0 μg/m³ (Cr						
	factors), 2.8 μg/m ³ (Cr-Ni						
	factory) and <lod (zn<="" th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></lod>						
	factory) (published						
	separately in <u>Kuo et al.</u>						
	(1997a); unclear whether						
	for the same factories						
	included in the study)						
Lindberg and	Chrome electroplating	L	See table below	Multiple	See table below	See table below	-
<u>Hedenstierna</u>				comparison			
<u>(1983)</u>	Cr(VI) exposure			groups. See			
	categories were low			table below			
	(<2 μg/m³), high (≥2						
	μg/m ³) or mixed exposure						
	to chromic acid and other						
	acids and metallic salts						
Sobaszek et	Stainless-steel welding	L	Mean (SD) expressed as a	Exp: 130	Exp: 103 (12)	Exp: 99 (15)	Exp: 95 (8)
<u>al. (1998)</u>			percent of predicted	Ref: 234	Ref: 101 (13)	Ref: 98 (14)	Ref: 96 (8)
	No quantitative exposure		values.		NS	NS	NS
	measures						

Table 3-4. Summary of results from human studies of effects of Cr(VI) exposure on pulmonary function

^aTotal Cr includes Cr(III) and Cr(VI). No quantitative Cr(VI) exposure measurements reported.

Study information	Ν	FVC	FEV
Exposure	Males only, Monday	Nonsmokers, Exp: 5.61	Nonsmokers, Exp: 4.54
Chrome electroplating	morning before work:	(0.99)	(0.92)
Study confidence		Nonsmokers, Ref: 5.20	Nonsmokers, Ref: 4.08
Low	Exp: 26 nonsmokers	(1.00)	(0.85)
	Exp: 48 smokers	NS	NS
Result format	Ref: 52 nonsmokers		
Mean (SD) expressed as	Ref: 67 smokers	Smokers, Exp: 5.27 (0.90)	Smokers, Exp: 4.31 (0.85)
actual volume (Liters of		Smokers, Ref: 5.66 (1.02)	Smokers, Ref: 4.38 (0.92)
air)		NS	NS
	Males and females,	Mon. morning: 5.96 (1.64)	Mon. morning: 5.13 (1.37)
Note: Measurements	Non-smoker, High Exp		
were taken Monday	(n = 6)	Thurs. afternoon: 5.75	Thurs. afternoon: 4.92
morning before work,		(1.58)	(1.29)
Thursday morning before		<i>p</i> < 0.01	<i>p</i> < 0.05
work, and Thursday	Males and females,	Mon. morning: 5.41 (1.27)	Mon. morning: 4.45 (1.05)
afternoon after work	Non-smoker, Low Exp		
	(n = 10)	Thurs. afternoon: 5.35	Thurs. afternoon: 4.43
		(1.24)	(0.97)
		NS	NS
	Males and females,	Mon. morning: 4.93 (1.17)	Mon. morning: 4.12 (0.92)
	Non-smoker, Mixed		
	Exp (n = 15)	Thurs. afternoon: 4.73	Thurs. afternoon: 4.06
		(1.22)	(0.95)
		<i>p</i> < 0.01	NS
	Males and females,	Mon. morning: 5.04 (1.04)	Mon. morning: 4.07 (0.95)
	Smoker, All Exp		
	(n = 48)	Thurs. afternoon: 4.97	Thurs. afternoon: 4.00
		(0.97)	(0.91)
		<i>p</i> < 0.05	NS

Table 3-5. Summary of results from <u>Lindberg and Hedenstierna (1983)</u> study of effects of Cr(VI) exposure on pulmonary function

1 One *low* confidence study (Li et al., 2015b) reported lower FVC and FEV1.0 in chromate 2 workers compared to referents (workers in the same plant in administrative offices) with little to 3 no exposure to Cr(VI) in China (Li et al., 2015b) (Table 3-4). The percent predicted values for FVC 4 and FEV1.0 in the exposed group were 72.34 (SD:14.18) and 76.04 (SD: 16.20), respectively, 5 compared with 81.01 (SD: 20.79) and 86.71 (SD: 24.53), respectively, in the referent group. The low 6 percent predicted values in both the exposed and referent groups may in part reflect the high 7 prevalence of smoking (39.56% of exposed and 28.95% of unexposed workers were current smokers), which was not accounted for in these analyses. Another possible reason for low percent 8 9 predicted values across groups is that the referent group had undescribed exposure to Cr(VI) or 10 other respiratory toxicants. Finally, it is possible that use of reference values from an ethnically 11 different population (in this case, Japanese and European referent populations, per correspondence 12 with study author (Jia, 2021)) could have resulted in low percent predicted values (Korotzer et al.,

1 2000). The use of an inappropriate referent to estimate predicted pulmonary function measures 2 may not impede comparisons of FVC and FEV1.0 between groups within the same study; however, 3 the impact could differ for FVC compared with FEV1.0, thus there is greater uncertainty in 4 FEV1.0/FVC results (mean [SD]: 116.18 [11.32] in exposed, 114.08 [10.79]). One low confidence 5 study (Li et al., 2015b) reported lower FVC and FEV1.0 in chromate workers compared to referents 6 (workers in the same plant in administrative offices) with little to no exposure to Cr(VI) in China (Li 7 et al., 2015b) (Table 3-4). The percent predicted values for FVC and FEV1.0 in the exposed group 8 were 72.34 (SD:14.18) and 76.04 (SD: 16.20), respectively, compared with 81.01 (SD: 20.79) and 9 86.71 (SD: 24.53), respectively, in the referent group. The low percent predicted values in both the 10 exposed and referent groups may in part reflect the high prevalence of smoking (39.56% of 11 exposed and 28.95% of unexposed workers were current smokers), which was not accounted for in 12 these analyses. Another possible reason for low percent predicted values across groups is that the 13 referent group had undescribed exposure to Cr(VI) or other respiratory toxicants. Finally, it is 14 possible that use of reference values from an ethnically different population (in this case, Japanese 15 and European referent populations, per correspondence with study author (<u>lia, 2021</u>)) could have 16 resulted in low percent predicted values (Korotzer et al., 2000). The use of an inappropriate 17 referent to estimate predicted pulmonary function measures may not impede comparisons of FVC 18 and FEV1.0 between groups within the same study; however, the impact could differ for FVC 19 compared with FEV1.0, thus there is greater uncertainty in FEV1.0/FVC results (mean [SD]: 116.18 20 [11.32] in exposed, 114.08 [10.79]). 21 Another *low* confidence study comparing chrome electroplaters to zinc electroplaters in 22 Taiwan (Kuo et al., 1997b) reported average FVC and FEV values were 556.4 mL (SD: 151.2, 23 p < 0.01) and 368.0 mL (SD 163.9, p < 0.05) lower, respectively, in the group of chrome 24 electroplaters after adjusting for age and sex (Table 3-4). However, height (an important predictor 25 for these measures) was not accounted for in comparison of spirometry values. 26 A low confidence study of chromium electroplaters in Sweden (Lindberg and Hedenstierna, 27 1983) (Table 3-5) did not find significant differences between FVC or FEV1.0 comparing those with 28 low and high average exposure to chromic acid, nor when comparing exposed workers and a 29 referent group of auto mechanics. However, when evaluating spirometry measurements over the 30 course of the work week (pre-shift on Monday morning vs. post-shift on Thursday afternoon), there 31 were significant decrements in both measures for those in the high exposure group. This finding 32 demonstrates the potential for short-term effects of chromic acid exposure to impact lung function 33 within the same individual and is not affected by the potential for confounding by age and height 34 that is a primary concern for the comparison of exposed and referent group lung function 35 measures; however, it does not inform the difference between workers exposed to chromic acid 36 and referent workers. The fourth low confidence study (Sobaszek et al., 1998) also did not report significant 37 differences in FVC, FEV1.0 (or the ratio of FEV1.0/FVC) between exposed and referent groups 38

- 1 (Table 3-4). There were no major concerns regarding selection bias, outcome measurement, or
- 2 statistical analyses in this study, which presented results as a percent of predicted values and
- 3 followed ATS protocols. Rather, the low confidence rating arose from concerns about the ability of
- 4 the study to detect an association in the presence of exposure misclassification arising from the lack
- 5 of quantitative exposure data (<u>Sobaszek et al., 1998</u>). However, an additional analysis conducted in
- 6 this study may provide supporting evidence of an association between chronic exposure to
- 7 stainless-steel welding fume and decreased pulmonary function. In this analysis, maximal
- 8 expiratory flow (MEF) first increased and then decreased with exposure quantified as years of
- 9 duration in welding. The initial increase in MEF may indicate that more susceptible workers quickly
- 10 left the workforce (i.e., healthy worker effect). Subsequently, the remaining workers experienced a
- 11 decrease in MEF after long-term exposure to stainless-steel welding fumes (more than 25 years), a
- 12 pattern that is consistent with the results of the *low* confidence study reporting decreases in
- 13 pulmonary function in workers exposed to Cr(VI) compared to lesser exposed workers (Li et al.,
- 14 <u>2015b</u>).

15 Overall, there is an indication in two *low* confidence human studies that higher Cr(VI)

16 exposure is associated with decrements in lung function assessed using spirometry, and the two

17 remaining *low* confidence studies may have had insufficient sensitivity to appropriately

- 18 characterize such associations.
- 19 3.2.1.2. Animal Evidence

20 <u>Study evaluation summary</u>

The eight animal toxicology studies that were considered in the evaluation of the effects of Cr(VI) on the respiratory tract are summarized in Table 3-6. All of these studies used the inhalation route of exposure (nose only or whole body) using respirable aerosols²³ and examined respiratory effects in male rats, mice, and rabbits. Female animals were not assessed. The exposure duration for the mouse studies was 2 years, while the rabbit studies were limited to 4–6 weeks. The rat studies ranged from 4 weeks to 18 months.

The outcomes reported can be generally grouped into three categories: cellular responses,
lung histology and lung weight. Cellular responses include cell recruitment (the transfer of vascular
cells; monocytes, granulocytes/neutrophils and lymphocytes into the airways), cell function
(macrophage phagocytosis) and release of cellular products (proteins and enzymes). Cell
recruitment is evaluated using bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) to obtain total cell counts, and relative

- 32 abundance of the various resident and recruited populations of cells recovered in the BAL fluid
- 33 (BALF) including monocytes, macrophages, granulocytes/neutrophils and lymphocytes. Cell

²³For study quality evaluation, consideration was given to reporting (or lack of reporting) of particle size and distribution (such as mass median aerodynamic diameter [MMAD] and geometric standard deviation [GSD]). Lack of reporting on particle sizes negatively impacted the *exposure methods sensitivity* rating and *overall confidence* rating.

Г

- 1 function is evaluated by measuring the ability of macrophages to phagocytose foreign particles and
- 2 their ability to release protective oxidant enzymes. Cellular products released by protective cells
- 3 within the lumen of the lung that can be measured in the BALF include cytokines, intracellular
- 4 enzymes and proteins, as well as other cell signaling chemicals.
- 5 The majority of the study outcomes focusing on cellular responses and histopathology were
- 6 rated as medium confidence with minor concerns that did not negatively affect the overall outcome
- 7 confidence rating. Five study outcomes were rated as *low* confidence (four of these were for lung
- 8 weight, and one was for lung histopathology), and one was rated *uninformative* (Table 3-6).

Table 3-6. Summary of included studies for Cr(VI) respiratory effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome.^a <u>Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales</u>.

	Respiratory outcomes					
Author (year)	Species (strain) ^ь	Exposure design	Exposure route	Cellular responses	Histopathology	Lung weight
<u>Cohen et al. (2003)</u>	Rat (F344)	4, 8, 12, 24, 48 wk	Inhalation	М		
<u>Glaser et al. (1985)</u>	Rat (Wistar)	28 and 90 d	Inhalation	М	М	М
<u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u>	Rat (Wistar)	30 d, 90 d, and 90 d with 30 d recovery	Inhalation	М	М	L
<u>Kim et al. (2004)</u>	Rat (Sprague-Dawley)	90 d	Inhalation		М	L
<u>Johansson et al.</u> (1986a)	Rabbit (not specified)	4–6 wk	Inhalation		М	L
<u>Johansson et al.</u> (<u>1986b)</u>	Rabbit (not specified)	4–6 wk	Inhalation	М	Μ	
Nettesheim et al. (1971)	Mouse (C57BL/6)	2 yr	Inhalation		L	
<u>Glaser et al. (1986)</u>	Rat (Wistar)	Chronic	Inhalation		U	L

^aIn addition to these studies, there was one study <u>Nettesheim et al. (1970)</u> that met the PECO criteria but was found to be *uninformative* at the study evaluation stage due to incomplete reporting of histopathological findings in all the groups. A group of foreign language studies (Adachi et al., (<u>1987</u>; <u>1986</u>; <u>1981</u>)) were determined to be *uninformative* (English-language abstract and results indicated that the exposure vehicle purposefully contained additional contaminants in order to simulate a chromic acid bath). Noncancer histopathology in <u>Glaser et al.</u> (<u>1986</u>) was rated uninformative due to incomplete reporting of histopathological findings in all the groups. ^bAll data are for male animals

1 <u>Synthesis of animal evidence</u>

2 Lung Cellular Responses in BALF

3 When particulate matter is inhaled, the lungs typically respond by increasing phagocytic cell 4 populations to aid in clearance of the particles. Populations of macrophages in the lung increase by 5 replication of the resident lung macrophages (Bitterman et al., 1984), as well as by recruitment of 6 monocytes from the bloodstream that travel to the lung and mature to macrophages (van Oud 7 Alblas and van Furth, 1979). In addition, granulocytes (i.e., neutrophils) can be recruited to assist in 8 the phagocytosis of the foreign particles (Kodavanti, 2014). These changes in cell populations, 9 indicative of inflammation, may be accompanied by biochemical markers of cell injury, such as 10 changes in the amounts of total protein, albumin, and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) activity in BALF 11 (<u>Henderson, 1984</u>). These cellular responses are protective immediately following exposure but 12 can become injurious to the organism if they are prolonged, leading to long-term changes such as 13 increased alveolar-capillary permeability (pulmonary edema). 14 Four of the included studies reported cellular response outcomes, all of which had *medium* 15 confidence ratings. Laboratory animals exposed to aerosols of Cr(VI) exhibited changes in the 16 protective cells that reside in or recruit to the lung. Findings included changes in the number of 17 macrophages, granulocytes/neutrophils, and lymphocytes, as well as changes in the total BAL cells. 18 Chromium concentration-related changes in the number of macrophages recovered in the BALF 19 were observed in all four studies (Cohen et al., 2003; Glaser et al., 1990; Johansson et al., 1986b; 20 <u>Glaser et al., 1985</u>), although the direction of the effects were not consistent across studies or 21 durations of exposure (Figure 3-9). 22 Statistically significant increases in numbers of alveolar macrophages in BALF were 23 reported in male rabbits exposed to $0.9 \text{ mg/m}^3 \text{ Cr}(\text{VI})$ as sodium chromate aerosol for 4–6 weeks 24 (Johansson et al., 1986b) and in male Wistar rats exposed to Cr(VI) as sodium dichromate at 25 concentrations of 0.20 and 0.40 mg/m³ for 30 or 90 days (Glaser et al., 1990). In contrast, Glaser et 26 al. (1985) reported no significant changes in the number of BALF macrophages in male Wistar rats 27 after 28 days of Cr(VI) exposure, and a significant concentration-dependent decrease in the number 28 of BALF macrophages from rats exposed to Cr(VI) concentrations of 0.050 and 0.20 mg/m³ for 29 90 days. The numbers of BALF macrophages in F344 rats exposed to Cr(VI) in the form of calcium 30 chromate aerosol (0.36 mg/m^3) for durations of 4, 8, 12, 24, and 48 weeks were decreased relative 31 to controls at most intervals (Cohen et al., 2003). 32 While data for the number of BALF macrophages were variable in the available studies, 33 macrophages were shown by one research group to undergo replication as a consequence of Cr(VI) 34 exposure via inhalation. Significant increases in specific macrophage populations including 35 polynuclear macrophages (Glaser et al. (1985), 90 day, LOAEL 0.05 mg/m³), macrophages in 36 telophase (Glaser et al. (1985), 90 day, LOAEL 0.025 mg/m³) and dividing macrophages (Glaser et 37 al. (1990), 90 day, LOAEL 0.05 mg/m³) were observed in Wistar rats. In addition, an increase in the

38 average macrophage diameter was noted following a 90 day exposure (<u>Glaser et al., 1990</u>; <u>Glaser et</u>

1 <u>al., 1985</u>). In contrast, macrophage diameter in male rabbits exposed to 0.9 mg/m³ Cr(VI) for 4–6

2 weeks was not different from that in controls, although the number of macrophages was

3 significantly increased (Johansson et al., 1986b). The inconsistency in effects on BALF macrophages

- 4 could be related to the differences in study design (i.e., form of chromium administered, animal
- 5 species and strain, exposure design, endpoint methodology). The ability to synthesize results across
- 6 studies is limited due to the small number of studies reporting a particular outcome.
- 7 Only two studies examined changes in BALF cell populations other than macrophages after
- 8 inhalation exposure to Cr(VI). Significant increases in the percentage of BALF lymphocytes were
- 9 observed in Wistar rats after 28 and 90 days of exposure to 0.025 mg/m³ and 0.05 mg/m³ Cr(VI).
- 10 However, after 90 days of exposure at a higher dose (0.2 mg/m³) the percentage of BALF
- 11 lymphocytes was not significantly different from control. Similarly, the percentage of BALF
- 12 granulocytes / neutrophils was significantly increased over control only after exposure to
- 13 0.05 mg/m³ Cr(VI), and decreased compared to control at the higher dose of 0.2 mg/m³ (<u>Glaser et</u>
- 14 <u>al., 1985</u>). However, the percentage of BALF granulocytes / neutrophils was demonstrated to
- 15 significantly increase over time following exposure to 0.36 mg/m³ Cr(VI) in a different study
- 16 utilizing F-344 rats (<u>Cohen et al., 2003</u>). The differences in rat strain and exposure levels limit
- 17 ability to draw conclusions for these other cell populations, but the two studies do demonstrate
- 18 changes at both lower and higher levels of exposure.
- Limited investigation of BAL cells provides equivocal evidence of changes in functional
 activity of the macrophages. Specifically, no functional changes were observed in macrophages
 from rabbits exposed to 0.9 mg/m³ Cr(VI) for 4–6 weeks (Johansson et al., 1986b) based on
 measures of oxidative metabolic activity (via ability to reduce nitro blue tetrazolium) and
 phagocytic activity (using fluorescently-labeled yeast cells). However, male Wistar rats exposed to
 0.05 mg/m³ Cr(VI) for 28 days, and to 0.025 mg/m³ and 0.05 mg/m³ for 90 days displayed
 significant increases in phagocytosis of latex particles. Interestingly, at higher concentrations
- 26 (0.2 mg/m³) phagocytosis was significantly reduced (<u>Glaser et al., 1985</u>). In addition, exposure to
- 27 0.2 mg/m³ Cr(VI) for 42 days prior and 49 days post challenge with iron oxide particles
- demonstrated significant reductions in early and late phase clearance (<u>Glaser et al., 1985</u>).

29 One *medium* confidence study evaluated several biochemical markers of cell injury (Glaser 30 et al., 1990). They reported significant increases in total protein, albumin, and LDH activity in the 31 BALF at all Cr(VI) concentrations in male Wistar rats exposed for both 30 and 90 days (90-day time 32 point, LOAEL 0.05 mg/m³); increases were concentration-related and were statistically significant 33 at most concentrations investigated. <u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u> also included a group of rats exposed for 34 90 days with a 30-day recovery period. The author found that many of the BALF endpoints, 35 including total number of macrophages, number of dividing macrophages, and LDH levels, had 36 returned to approximately control values at the end of the recovery period. However, BALF total 37 protein remained statistically significantly elevated at all exposure concentrations, and BALF

albumin remained statistically significantly elevated in the two highest concentration groups (0.20

- 1 and 0.40 mg/m³) even after recovery (Figure 3-9). Although only evaluated in one *medium*
- 2 confidence study, there is additional support for these findings. <u>Zhao et al. (2014)</u> (considered a
- 3 supplemental study due to use of intratracheal instillation exposure) reported statistically
- 4 significant increases in albumin and total protein levels in BALF isolated from male Sprague-
- 5 Dawley rats exposed to 0.022 or 0.22 mg/kg Cr(VI) once per week for four weeks via intratracheal
- 6 instillation.
- 7 Although increases in BALF total protein are characteristic of acute lung injury, this marker
- 8 alone is considered insufficient to indicate lung injury due to its nonspecific nature and unknown
- 9 source. BALF protein can increase due to leakage of vascular fluid, and/or lung cells releasing more
- 10 protein in the alveolar lining fluid. A more specific indicator is the observation of increased BALF
- albumin, which comprises a major portion of BALF protein. Albumin in BALF can only come from
- 12 vascular leakage, since lung cells will not make and release albumin to the lumen (Kodavanti,
- 13 <u>2014</u>); consequently, increased albumin indicates an alteration in the epithelial and vascular
- 14 permeability of the lung. While the database that evaluated BALF albumin, protein and LDH only
- 15 includes one to two studies, the positive evidence suggests lung epithelial and vascular injury
- 16 following Cr(VI) exposure.

Endpoint	Study Name	Animal Description	Observation Time	no change significant increase Significant decrease
Total protein in BALF	Glaser et al. (1990)	Rat, Wistar (♂)	90.0 days	
			120.0 days	
Albumin in BALF	Glaser et al. (1990)	Rat, Wistar (♂)	90.0 days	
			120.0 days	
LDH in BALF	Glaser et al. (1990)	Rat, Wistar (♂)	90.0 days	
			120.0 days	• • • • • •
Dividing macrophages in BALF	Glaser et al. (1990)	Rat, Wistar (♂)	90.0 days	
			120.0 days	• • • • • • • •
Granulocytes in BALF	Glaser et al. (1985)	Rat, Wistar (♂)	90.0 days	•• <u></u>
Lymphocytes in BALF	Glaser et al. (1985)	Rat, Wistar (♂)	90.0 days	
Macrophage diameter in BALF	Glaser et al. (1985)	Rat, Wistar (♂)	90.0 days	
	Johannson et al. (1986) 63708	Rabbit, unspecified (♂)	6.0 weeks	•
Macrophages in telophase in BALF	Glaser et al. (1985)	Rat, Wistar (♂)	90.0 days	
Polynuclear macrophages in BALF	Glaser et al. (1985)	Rat, Wistar (♂)	90.0 days	••
Total Cells in BALF	Cohen et al. (2003)	Rat, Fischer F344 (♂)	4.0 weeks	••
			8.0 weeks	•
			12.0 weeks	••
			24.0 weeks	••
			48.0 weeks	•
Total Macrophages in BALF	Cohen et al. (2003)	Rat, Fischer F344 (♂)	4.0 weeks	••
			8.0 weeks	•
			12.0 weeks	••
			24.0 weeks	•
			48.0 weeks	•
	Glaser et al. (1985)	Rat, Wistar (ੈ)	90.0 days	
	Glaser et al. (1990)	Rat, Wistar (ੈ)	90.0 days	
			120.0 days	• • • • • •
	Johannson et al. (1986) 63708	Rabbit, unspecified (♂)	6.0 weeks	•
Total Neutrophils in BALF	Cohen et al. (2003)	Rat, Fischer F344 (♂)	4.0 weeks	•
			8.0 weeks	•
			12.0 weeks	•
			24.0 weeks	•
			48.0 weeks	• _
Viability of BAL cells	Glaser et al. (1990)	Rat, Wistar (♂)	90.0 days	• • • • •
			120.0 days	• • • • •
				0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9

Figure 3-9. Lung cellular responses in BALF in male animals. The 120-day observation time in <u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u> incorporates 90 days of exposure followed by a 30-day period of no exposure (recovery time). <u>Click to see interactive graphic</u>. A graphic containing 30-day data by <u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u> can be found in <u>HAWC</u>. An expression of dose-response for selected cellular responses can be found in Section 4.2.1 and in <u>HAWC</u>.

1 Lung Histopathology

2 Histopathology is a classic approach used in evaluating effects on the lung and can detect a

3 large range of effects from minor changes in cell populations to significant structural alterations.

4 Seven of the included studies reported histopathological outcomes, comprising five *medium*

5 confidence, one *low* confidence, and one *uninformative* study. <u>Nettesheim et al. (1971)</u> was rated

6 *low* confidence for the outcome of histopathology. Results for this study were only provided

7 qualitatively and without identifying lesions in any specific treatment group or comparison to

8 control (see <u>HAWC</u> for details).

9 One of the *medium* confidence studies dealt specifically with in vitro ultrastructural electron
10 microscopy of macrophages with no additional tissue characterization (<u>Johansson et al., 1986b</u>). In

general, three of the four remaining medium confidence, short-term and subchronic studies of 1 2 Cr(VI) in rats and rabbits provide consistent evidence of histiocytosis (macrophage accumulation) 3 in the lung (Kim et al., 2004; Glaser et al., 1990; Johansson et al., 1986a) while one subchronic rat 4 study (<u>Glaser et al., 1985</u>) reported normal histopathology findings following Cr(VI) exposure 5 (Figure 3-10). 6 In one *medium* confidence study, the incidence of accumulation of macrophages in the 7 alveolar and peribronchial region of the lung was increased in male Wistar rats exposed to 8 0.050–0.40 mg/m³ Cr(VI) as sodium dichromate for exposure durations of 30 days (incidence: 9 30%–80%; the concentration-response curve was nonmonotonic, with maximal incidence at 10 0.10 mg/m^3 , 90 days (incidence: 90%–100%), and 90 days with a 30-day recovery period 11 (incidence: 50%–100%) (<u>Glaser et al., 1990</u>). A second *medium* confidence study of similar design 12 by the same authors did not appear to have investigated these effects (Glaser et al., 1985). 13 Additionally, macrophage aggregation and the accumulation of foamy cells were observed 14 in male Sprague-Dawley rats exposed to Cr(VI) as chromium trioxide aerosol for 90 days Kim et al. 15 (2004). All rodents in the high concentration group (1.25 mg/m^3) exhibited accumulation of 16 macrophage aggregations and foamy cells in the alveolar region. This effect was observed to a 17 lesser extent at 0.5 mg/m³ but was not observed at 0.2 mg/m³. This indicates a dose-response 18 relationship; quantitative data for these effects were not presented in this study but the pattern can 19 be inferred based on statements regarding number of animals (i.e., 'all', 'less than all', 'none'). 20 Finally, increased intra-alveolar or intrabronchiolar accumulation of macrophages was 21 reported in 4 of 8 male rabbits exposed to $0.9 \text{ mg/m}^3 \text{ Cr}(\text{VI})$ in the form of sodium chromate for 22 4–6 weeks (Iohansson et al., 1986a). Some macrophages were enlarged, multinucleated or 23 significantly vacuolated and accumulated in a nodular formation. In this study and a companion 24 study that examined macrophages lavaged from the right lung of these rabbits (Johansson et al., 25 <u>1986b</u>), ultrastructural examination of macrophages revealed large lysosomes with dark or 26 electron-dense patchy inclusions and short membranous fragments or lamellae. The percentage of 27 cells that contained inclusions and the percentage of macrophages with a smooth surface were 28 stated to be significantly increased in the Cr(VI)-exposed group (p < 0.02; however, quantitative 29 data were not presented (<u>Johansson et al., 1986b</u>). 30 Evidence for Cr(VI)-related histopathologic changes in the lungs other than macrophage 31 accumulation is limited, and there is some suggestion of a transient effect. A high incidence of 32 bronchioalveolar hyperplasia (70–100%) was reported in male Wistar rats after 30 days of 33 exposure to 0.050–0.40 mg/m³ Cr(VI) relative to the control (10%) (<u>Glaser et al., 1990</u>). The same 34 study reported lower incidence of this effect after 90 days of exposure, and after 90 days of 35 exposure with a 30-day recovery period. There was an increased incidence of fibrosis (10-40%) in 36 the groups exposed for 30 days to concentrations at or above $0.1 \text{ mg/m}^3 \text{ Cr(VI)}$, but no increase for 37 the 90-day exposure groups. <u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u> also stated that the upper airways of male Wistar 38 rats exposed 0.1–0.40 mg/m³ Cr(VI) showed focal inflammation; however, incidence data were not

- 1 reported, and the exposure period was not stated. Other investigators did not discuss examination
- 2 of the upper respiratory tract in experimental animals. <u>Glaser et al. (1985)</u> noted qualitatively that
- 3 all Wistar male rats exposed for 90 days to 0.025–0.20 mg/m³ Cr(VI) exhibited normal histologic
- 4 findings in the lung. <u>Nettesheim et al. (1971)</u> exposed mice to calcium chromate dust from 6 months
- 5 to approximately 120 weeks at a single concentration of 13 mg/m³. This concentration was
- 6 significantly higher than those used in the Glaser et al. studies. The study observed marked changes
- 7 in the small airways (ranging from epithelial necrosis and atrophy to marked hyperplasia). In
- 8 addition, the study observed bronchiolarization of the alveoli, and alveolar proteinosis with
- 9 distention of the terminal bronchioli and alveoli.
- 10 In general, histiocytosis and other effects observed in macrophages were observed in the
- 11 lung following Cr(VI) exposure. Less data were available for bronchiolar hyperplasia, and there is
- 12 some indication those effects did not persist. The study design by <u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u> allowed for
- 13 histopathological effects to be observed as a function of concentration and time (including after a
- 14 recovery period). Bronchiolar hyperplasia peaked at the earliest time point examined (30 days) and
- 15 diminished over time. Histiocytosis peaked at 90 days and only slightly diminished during the 30-
- 16 day recovery period. Based on the 30- and 90-day experiments, and the recovery period data, the
- 17 structural changes in the lung appear to be transient while the influx of cells persists.

En de sist	Church Marrie		Oha a martia a Tima		🔵 no change	significant	increase	// Signific	an
Endpoint	Study Name	Animal Description	Observation Time						_
Abnormal macrophage reaction	Johannson et al. (1986) 63707	Rabbit, unspecified (♂)	6.0 weeks	+				-	
Nodular macrophages	Johannson et al. (1986) 63707	Rabbit, unspecified (♂)	6.0 weeks	•				-	
Macrophage effects (qualitative)	Johannson et al. (1986) 63708	Rabbit, unspecified (♂)	6.0 weeks	•				-	
Bronchioalveolar Hyperplasia	Glaser et al. (1990)	Rat, Wistar (♂)	30.0 days						
		Rat, Wistar (ି)	90.0 days	• • •	- --				
		Rat, Wistar (♂)	120.0 days						
Fibrosis	Glaser et al. (1990)	Rat, Wistar (ି)	30.0 days	••▲	• 🔺				
		Rat, Wistar (♂)	90.0 days		• •				
		Rat, Wistar (ି)	120.0 days		• •				
Histopathology (General)	Glaser et al. (1985)	Rat, Wistar (ି)	90.0 days						
nflammatory reactions	Kim et al. (2004)	Rat, Sprague-Dawley (්)	90.0 days	•	•	•	_		-
ung histiocytosis	Glaser et al. (1990)	Rat, Wistar (ି)	30.0 days	• 📥					
		Rat, Wistar (ି)	90.0 days						
		Rat, Wistar (ି)	120.0 days						
	Johannson et al. (1986) 63707	Rabbit, unspecified (♂)	6.0 weeks	•	_	_		-	
Relative lung weight	Glaser et al. (1990)	Rat, Wistar (♂)	30.0 days						
				U 0.1	0.2 0.3 0.4	0.5 0.6	0.7 0.8 n3	0.9 1	

Figure 3-10. Histopathological results and effects in macrophages in male rat lungs. Results from <u>Kim et al. (2004)</u> were qualitative, and dose ranges and the noted statistically significant dose groups are presented here for comparative purposes. The 120-day observation time from <u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u> incorporates 90 days of exposure followed by a 30-day period of no exposure (recovery time). <u>Click to see interactive graphic.</u> A figure containing incidence data of selected histopathological outcomes can be found in Section 4.2.1 or in <u>HAWC</u>.

- 18 Lung Weight
- 19 Increases in lung weight, a nonspecific indicator of lung injury, can occur from a variety of
- 20 pulmonary conditions, including edema, inflammation (including macrophage accumulation),

fibrosis, accumulation of foreign matter, or abnormal tissue growth (e.g., tumors). Changes in lung
weight were examined in five of the included studies, one of which was *medium* confidence while

3 the remaining four were considered *low* confidence for this endpoint.

4 The relative lung weight outcome in <u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u> was rated as *low* confidence 5 because the study lacked sufficient methodological details for measuring lung weight and reduced 6 body weight gain in exposed rats. The relative lung weight outcome in <u>Glaser et al. (1986)</u> was 7 rated as *low* confidence because the study lacked sufficient methodological details for measuring 8 lung weight, only included data for the high dose group, and did not report absolute lung weight 9 (despite reporting end-of-study body weight loss). The lung weight outcome in Johansson et al. 10 (1986a) was rated *low* confidence for several reasons: inconsistent exposure times on study, 11 variable weight/age of animals in the control and exposure groups, lack of documentation of end-12 of-study weight, and reporting of absolute lung weight only. The <u>Kim et al. (2004)</u> study was also 13 rated *low* confidence for lung weight due to reporting of only relative weights, when both relative 14 and absolute weights of the lung and other organs are preferred for assessing effects from body 15 weight changes and differing types of lung toxicity. 16 Increased lung weight, which was attributed to accumulation of macrophages, was 17 observed in one *medium* confidence and one *low* confidence study following subchronic inhalation 18 exposure to Cr(VI). Glaser et al. (1985), reported increased mean relative lung weights (9–35%) in 19 Wistar rats exposed for 90 days to Cr(VI) at concentrations of 0.05–0.20 mg/m³. Study authors also 20 noted that relative lung weights were also increased after 28 days of exposure to Cr(VI) 21 concentrations $\geq 0.05 \text{ mg/m}^3$; however, quantitative lung weight data were not presented for these 22 higher doses. In a similarly designed study by the same investigators, Glaser et al. (1990) reported a 23 concentration-dependent increase in relative lung weight in Wistar rats following both 30 and 90 24 days of exposure (9–48%), and following a 90-day exposure with a 30-day recovery period (5– 25 23%); the increase was statistically significant at concentrations of $0.10-0.40 \text{ mg/m}^3$ at all time 26 points, and at the lowest concentration (0.05 mg/m^3) after 30 days of exposure. In contrast, 27 statistically significant changes in lung lower left lobe weight were not observed in male rabbits 28 exposed to 0.9 mg/m³ for 4–6 weeks (<u>lohansson et al., 1986a</u>), and changes in relative lung weight 29 were not observed in male Sprague-Dawley rats exposed at concentrations ranging from 0.2– 30 1.25 mg/m³ for 90 days (<u>Kim et al., 2004</u>). 31 In the only available chronic study (Glaser et al., 1986), mean relative lung weight in Wistar 32 rats exposed to 0.10 mg/m^3 (highest concentration tested) for 18 months and kept on study for 33 another 12 months (total time on study: 30 months) was 15% greater compared with controls, 34 although this change cannot be interpreted as clearly due to macrophage accumulation given the 35 observation of lung tumors at this concentration. Lung weights were not reported for the low- and

36 mid-concentration exposure groups where tumors did not develop, but no changes were noted by

37 the study authors.

- 1 To summarize, although there were some inconsistencies in the evidence, increases in lung
- 2 weights in Wistar rats were observed in the only *medium* confidence study available and a second
- 3 *low* confidence study by the same authors (Figure 3-11). These changes in lung weight may
- 4 represent an indicator of nonspecific lung injury or inflammation associated with Cr(VI) inhalation.
- 5 The studies reveal that changes in lung weight may vary by species, strains, and exposure duration
- 6 and may attenuate over time.



Figure 3-11. Lung weight in male animals. The 120-day observation time incorporates 90 days of exposure followed by a 30-day period of no exposure (recovery time). <u>Click to see interactive graphic</u>.

- 7 Other Findings
- 8 Various clinical findings that could be related to either upper or lower respiratory tract
- 9 effects were observed in two studies. Obstructive respiratory dyspnea was reported in male Wistar
- 10 rats exposed for 30 days to 0.2 or 0.4 mg/m³ Cr(VI) in a 30 and 90-day study, although data were
- 11 not provided regarding incidence, severity, persistence or recovery (<u>Glaser et al., 1990</u>). This may
- 12 indicate hypersecretion of mucus and accumulation in the upper respiratory tract (Kodavanti,
- 13 <u>2014</u>). In a 13-week inhalation study (<u>Kim et al., 2004</u>), "peculiar sound during respiration" was
- 14 observed from weeks 1–7 in male Sprague-Dawley rats exposed to 0.2–1.25 mg/m³ Cr(VI) in the
- 15 form of chromium trioxide aerosol mists.

16 <u>Summary of Lower Respiratory Effects in Animals</u>

17 Based on the evidence presented above, BALF parameters were the most sensitive

- 18 indicators of potential lung injury by chromium exposure, which were observed in multiple studies
- 19 of medium confidence in rats and rabbits. These studies typically exposed laboratory animals to
- 20 aqueous aerosols of Cr(VI) (with the exception of <u>Nettesheim et al. (1971)</u> which used dust and was
- 21 a low confidence study). Thus, the effects observed in *medium* confidence studies were unrelated to
- 22 particle response²⁴. Increases in BALF total protein, albumin, and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)
- 23 activity are characteristic of acute lung injury. While total protein is a nonspecific indicator, the

²⁴For control groups, studies typically exposed rodents to filtered air or inert aerosols (with diluent likely being sterile water, although none of the articles provided details). Neither of these are expected to have adverse effects on the airways.

- 1 concentration of albumin in the BALF is normally very low, and an increase indicates an alteration
- 2 in the epithelial and vascular permeability of the lung. Damage to cells releases the cytosolic
- 3 enzyme LDH; increased enzymatic activity of LDH in the BALF is a common finding with acute lung
- 4 injury (<u>Henderson et al., 1985</u>). The increase in BALF albumin and LDH activity provide evidence of
- 5 lung injury following Cr(VI) exposure via inhalation; however, it should be noted that this evidence
- 6 came from a single study, and no other studies examined these effects. These findings were
- 7 accompanied by some evidence of histiocytosis (macrophage accumulation) and increased
- 8 leukocytes in plasma (see Section 3.2.6), which are supportive of inflammatory lung responses
- 9 (Nikula et al., 2014), although these findings generally lessened with longer chromium exposure
- 10 durations and may reflect adaptation or resolution of the cellular responses during these later time 11 points of exposure.
- 12 The evidence base of histopathological effects in the lung were mostly limited to 13 macrophage accumulation, which were observed by multiple studies of *medium* quality. Findings 14 for other histopathological changes, such as bronchioalveolar hyperplasia, were only reported in 15 one study.
- 16 Increased lung weight was observed in the single *medium* confidence study in Wistar rats, 17 but not in lower confidence studies in other species and strains. However, lung weight is a 18 nonspecific indicator of lung injury and may be a consequence of multiple other more sensitive 19 outcomes (such as increased macrophages).
- 20

3.2.1.3. *Mechanistic Evidence*

21 Mechanistic evidence indicating the biological pathways involved in respiratory toxicity 22 following the inhalation of Cr(VI) is summarized below. Studies of human occupational inhalation 23 exposures, in vivo studies in mammals that were exposed via inhalation or intratracheal instillation, 24 and in vitro studies in human primary or immortalized lung cells were prioritized for informing 25 interpretations of respiratory health effects following inhalation exposure to Cr(VI) in humans; this 26 prioritization strategy is summarized in Appendix Table C-31. These studies focused primarily on 27 oxidative stress and cellular toxicity of the lung; more detailed summaries can be found in Appendix 28 Table C-32.

29 **Oxidative stress**

30 Cr(VI) compounds are strong oxidizers and can readily enter cells, where they interact with 31 intracellular reductants to form Cr(VI) intermediate species [Cr(V) and Cr(IV)] and the stable 32 Cr(III). These intermediate species form reactive oxygen species (ROS) that at high levels can 33 damage intracellular components, including DNA. Increased oxidative stress induced by Cr(VI) has 34 been consistently reported in many species and cell types (reviewed in Cancer, Section 3.2.3) 35 Twenty-two observational studies measuring various indicators of oxidative stress in 36 industrial workers exposed to Cr(VI) were identified; 21 of these detected systemic biomarkers of 37 oxidative damage in urine and/or blood and are summarized in Appendix Section C.3.6. While a few

1 occupational exposure studies did not detect statistically significant indicators of oxidative stress in 2 exposed workers (Wultsch et al., 2014; Pournourmohammadi et al., 2008; Kim et al., 1999; Faux et 3 al., 1994; Gao et al., 1994), most studies reported statistically significant increased incidences of 4 oxidative stress through increased levels of relevant markers (e.g., 8-OHdG adducts, lipid 5 peroxidation, decreased levels of antioxidant enzymes) that correlated with exposure to Cr(VI) in 6 urine and blood (El Safty et al., 2018; Hu et al., 2018; Yazar and Yildirim, 2018; Pan et al., 2017; 7 Mozafari et al., 2016; Elhosary et al., 2014; Zendehdel et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2012b; Zhang et al., 8 2011; Kalahasthi et al., 2006; Goulart et al., 2005; De Mattia et al., 2004; Maeng et al., 2004; Kuo et 9 al., 2003; Huang et al., 1999; Gromadzińska et al., 1996). One group investigated welders exposed to 10 Cr(VI), finding significant upregulation of a glycoprotein, Apolipoprotein J/Clusterin, that correlated 11 with chromium levels in blood and urine; ApoJ/CLU has been shown to be involved in cellular 12 senescence and is implicated in diseases related to oxidative stress, inflammation, and aging 13 (Alexopoulos et al., 2008). 14 Less evidence is available for oxidative stress measured in the lung, summarized in 15 Appendix Section C.2.1. One study in exposed workers, <u>Kim et al. (1999)</u>, analyzed respiratory 16 epithelial cells from exposed lead chromate pigment factory workers and did not detect a difference 17 in 8-OHdG levels compared to office workers in the same factory. However, the chromium levels 18 measured in the blood were similar between the exposed and referent groups, indicating that 19 perhaps exposure misclassification could have contributed to the null findings. In animals, Maeng et 20 al. (2003) exposed rats via inhalation to 0.18 or 0.9 mg/m^3 sodium chromate for 1, 2, or 3 weeks 21 and reported increased formation of 8-OHdG adducts after 1 week exposure that resolved at weeks 22 2–3, despite consistently diminished activity of the enzymes that repair these lesions at weeks 1–3. 23 These results are supported by two studies exposing rats to Cr(VI) via intratracheal instillation that 24 detected significantly increased oxidative DNA lesions (8-OHdG) in the lung following four weekly 25 intratracheal instillations of 0.063 or 0.630 mg Cr/kg (Zhao et al., 2014) or once daily 26 administrations of 0.09 mg Cr(VI)/kg for three consecutive days (Izzotti et al., 1998). 27 Inhalation exposures provide a direct route for Cr(VI) compounds to be absorbed by the 28 bronchial epithelium, and increased oxidative stress induced by Cr(VI) has been confirmed in 29 studies of human lung cells. Cells deficient in the ability to repair oxidative DNA lesions were 30 reported to have a significant increase in cytotoxicity and cell cycle delay following Cr(VI) exposure 31 (Reynolds et al., 2012; Reynolds and Zhitkovich, 2007). Cr(VI) exposure has also been observed to 32 cause oxidative stress with minimal or no cytotoxicity, indicating that oxidative stress may in some 33 instances be induced at levels that do not affect cell viability. Caglieri et al. (2008) noted increased 34 lipid peroxidation in BEAS-2B human bronchial epithelial cells with cytotoxicity but also in A549 35 human lung adenocarcinoma cells at subtoxic levels. Asatiani et al. (2011; 2010) observed 36 increased ROS and the antioxidant enzymes glutathione peroxidase, glutathione reductase, and 37 catalase at transiently toxic Cr(VI) concentrations. Martin et al. (2006) found that adding 38 glutathione to Cr(VI)-treated cells decreased levels of ROS; conversely, addition of ascorbate

- 1 (Vitamin C), a primary intracellular reducer of Cr(VI), increased levels of ROS. The authors theorize
- 2 that the ascorbate reduction pathway could interact with reactive Cr(V) intermediates that are
- 3 generated via the glutathione pathway, stabilizing Cr(V) and leading to more potential interaction
- 4 between Cr(V) and intracellular components. In addition, ascorbate reduction of Cr(VI) occurs at a
- 5 much faster rate than glutathione and has been shown to result in higher levels of genotoxicity than
- 6 glutathione (<u>Zhitkovich, 2011</u>). Another group reported that cellular thioredoxins and
- 7 peroxiredoxins are especially sensitive to oxidation by Cr(VI), disrupting redox signaling and
- 8 affecting cell survival (<u>Myers et al., 2011</u>; <u>Myers et al., 2010</u>; <u>Myers and Myers, 2009</u>; <u>Myers et al.,</u>
- 9 <u>2008</u>).

10 <u>Cytotoxicity</u>

11 Apoptosis, or programmed cell death, typically plays a protective role in eliminating 12 damaged cells from the body but can also be triggered by excessive levels of ROS, contributing to 13 tissue damage and inflammation. The evidence from studies of exposed workers for specific 14 measures of apoptosis is sparse due to inadequate information to characterize Cr(VI) exposures. 15 Gambelunghe et al. (2003) did not detect an increase in apoptosis among chrome-plating workers, 16 although this study was estimating cell death using the comet assay, which is an insensitive method 17 of measuring apoptosis. Wultsch et al. (2017) reported increased cytotoxicity in the exfoliated 18 buccal and nasal cells of electroplaters indicated by histopathological evidence of nuclear anomalies 19 consistent with apoptosis; however, this study was evaluated for another nuclear effect, 20 micronuclei (Section 3.2.3.2), and was found to be *uninformative* due to critical deficiencies in the 21 exposure domain. <u>Halasova et al. (2010)</u> determined that expression of the apoptosis inhibitor 22 survivin protein was decreased and pro-apoptotic p53 was increased in former chromium workers 23 with lung cancer compared to unexposed lung cancer patients, but the authors did not describe 24 methods for exposure assessment and characteristics of the exposed and unexposed groups that 25 may also affect the apoptosis measures were not compared. In animal models, one intratracheal 26 instillation exposure study in rats observed increased apoptosis in bronchial epithelium and lung 27 parenchyma (D'Agostini et al., 2002). 28 Cytotoxicity occurring at micromolar Cr(VI) levels that increases with dose and duration of 29 exposure has been consistently observed in numerous in vitro studies in human lung cells (Yang et 30 al., 2017; Reynolds et al., 2012; Asatiani et al., 2011; Asatiani et al., 2010; Caglieri et al., 2008; 31 Reynolds and Zhitkovich, 2007: Martin et al., 2006: Pascal and Tessier, 2004: Carlisle et al., 2000: 32 Popper et al., 1993), with some studies specifically detecting increases in apoptotic cell death 33 (Reynolds et al., 2012; Azad et al., 2008; Reynolds and Zhitkovich, 2007; Gambelunghe et al., 2006; <u>Carlisle et al., 2000</u>). Evidence for the involvement of a p53-mediated pathway for the induction of 34 35 apoptosis was conflicting; Carlisle et al. (2000) observed a 4–6 fold increase in p53 in LL-24 human lung fibroblasts, and Gambelunghe et al. (2006) observed increased expression of p53 in MOLT-4 36 lymphoblastic leukemia cells, but a similar increase in p53 was not observed in BEAS-2B human 37

- 1 bronchial epithelial cells, and <u>Reynolds and Zhitkovich (2007)</u> determined that p53 status had no
- 2 effect on apoptosis (or cytotoxicity) in primary human lung IMR90 fibroblasts or H460 human lung
- 3 epithelial cells. Similarly, information on the identification of caspases involved in Cr(VI)-induced
- 4 apoptosis was conflicting, with one group reporting that inhibiting caspase-3, -8 and -9 did not
- 5 reduce apoptosis in MOLT-4 lymphoblastic leukemia cells (<u>Gambelunghe et al., 2006</u>), while
- 6 another group reported a significant decline in apoptosis after specific suppression of caspase-9 in
- 7 H460 human lung epithelial cells (<u>Azad et al., 2008</u>). Autophagy, another cellular defense
- 8 mechanism that can alternately induce or suppress cell death, was reported following Cr(VI)
- 9 exposure in A549 human lung adenocarcinoma cells (<u>Yang et al., 2017</u>). The autophagy was
- 10 correlated with a transcription factor, HMGA2, that is highly expressed in lung cancer patients, and
- 11 was suppressed by silencing HMGA2.
- 12 Cytotoxicity appeared to be dependent on cell type, possibly reflecting underlying
- 13 differences in sensitivity, with A549 lung adenocarcinoma cells slightly more resistant to
- 14 cytotoxicity than BEAS-2B bronchial epithelial cells derived from non-tumorigenic cells. <u>Asatiani et</u>
- 15 al. (2011) observed that at doses ≤5 μ M, the cytotoxicity in HLF fetal human lung fibroblasts and L-
- 16 41 human epithelial-like cells resolved after 24 h, but these concentrations were sufficient to
- 17 induce oxidative stress and an upregulation of antioxidant enzymes. Increasing levels of ascorbate
- 18 to better simulate physiological levels, were found to potentially increase oxidative damage (Martin
- 19 <u>et al., 2006</u>) or promote cytotoxicity and apoptosis by forming Cr-DNA adducts (<u>Reynolds et al.</u>,
- 20 <u>2012; Reynolds and Zhitkovich, 2007; Carlisle et al., 2000</u>). This evidence implies that the pathways
- 21 for Cr(VI)-induced apoptosis and toxicity in human lung cells are complex and likely to differ
- 22 substantially among species and cell type.
- 23 Lung cellular inflammation
- 24 Specific support for the lung cellular responses in animals discussed in the above evidence 25 synthesis is also provided by two supplemental studies in animals that did not meet PECO criteria 26 due to the route of exposure used (intratracheal instillation). Zhao et al. (2014) reported 27 statistically significant increases in relative lung weight and in albumin and total protein levels in 28 BALF isolated from male Sprague-Dawley rats exposed to 0.063 or 0.630 mg Cr(VI)/kg once per 29 week for four weeks via intratracheal instillation. These effects were concurrent with increases in 30 oxidative damage (8-OHdG lesions) and NF-κB, consistent with oxidative stress and inflammation. In another study in rats exposed to 0.0035, 0.017, or 0.087 mg Cr(VI)/kg, 5x/week, or 0.017, 0.087. 31 32 or 0.44 mg/kg, 1x/week via intratracheal instillation for 30 weeks, lungs of animals dosed with 33 ≤ 0.087 mg/kg Cr(VI) contained macrophage foci, while in the high dose group, in addition to benign 34 and malignant tumors, severe damage and fibrosis to the bronchioloalyeolar region of the lung was 35 observed, alongside inflammatory foci that included alveolar macrophages, epithelial cell 36 proliferation, and inflammatory thickening of the alveolar septa (Steinhoff et al., 1986). 37 Studies investigating immune toxicity (Section 3.2.6) have observed changes in various
- 38 cytokine signaling in the blood, serum, and plasma of chromate workers exposed to Cr(VI) (Qian et

1 al., 2013; Mignini et al., 2009; Kuo and Wu, 2002) (summarized in Appendix Table C-38), although 2 one study specific to the lung in rats exposed via inhalation to $0.119 \text{ mg Cr}(\text{VI})/\text{m}^3$ for 5 h/d for 5 3 consecutive days reported no detectable changes in several cytokines in BALF (Cohen et al., 2010). 4 In human lung cells in vitro, cytotoxicity was shown to correlate with a net loss of urokinase-type 5 plasminogen activator activity that has been shown to promote pulmonary fibrosis (Shumilla and 6 Barchowsky, 1999), as well as an inflammatory response via protein phosphorylation and cytokine 7 signaling (Pascal and Tessier, 2004). Although the direction of these changes was not consistent 8 across studies, fluctuations in systemic cytokine levels and redox imbalance are characteristic of an 9 inflammatory response and may be indicative of a disruption in the regulatory balance that dictates

- 10 normal immune system function.
- 11 3.2.1.4. Integration of Evidence

12 Overall, the available **evidence indicates** that Cr(VI) likely causes lower respiratory tract 13 effects in humans under relevant exposure scenarios (see Table 3-7). Cr(VI) is a known lung 14 carcinogen, but the evidence for noncancer effects in the respiratory tract (with the exception of 15 nasal effects) is more sparse. This evidence integration conclusion is based on observations of 16 decreased lung function among chromium-exposed workers in two of the four *low* confidence 17 human studies and of biochemical effects indicative of lung injury (albumin, LDH, and total protein 18 in BALF) in *medium* confidence animal studies, supported by supplemental and mechanistic 19 observations consistent with an inflammatory tissue response following Cr(VI) exposure. 20 The development of the ATS guidelines in 1987 greatly increased the reliability of 21 spirometry measurements. These improvements to outcome measurement technology and 22 methods coincide with or came after changes to industrial processes aimed at reducing Cr(VI) 23 exposures in workers. Thus, while researchers were in a better position to reduce outcome

- 24 measurement error after the ATS guidelines become available, at the same time, the contrast in
- exposures was reduced compared to previous decades, impacting study sensitivity. All four of the
- included human studies thus had potential for decreased sensitivity due to lower exposure levels
 attributed to industrial hygiene and process changes in more recent years. All four included human
- 28 studies were found to be *low* confidence, and two of these reported decreases in lung function in
- 29 chromate workers compared to referents (Li et al., 2015b; Kuo et al., 1997b). Given the consistency
- 30 of the findings from these two *low* confidence studies and biological plausibility provided by
- 31 supporting evidence for changes in inflammatory, oxidative stress, and cytotoxicity biomarkers in
- workers exposed to Cr(VI) (described under "Mechanistic Evidence"), the human studies are
 interpreted to provide *slight* evidence for lower respiratory tract effects.
- The pathogenesis of chronic pulmonary disease induced by chemicals toxic to the lung involves the accumulation of inflammatory macrophages (Laskin et al., 2019). In the available animal studies, which together provide moderate evidence of lung inflammation, histopathological changes in the lung following Cr(VI) exposure included histiocytosis (macrophage accumulation) observed in four out of the five *medium* confidence animal studies. Infiltration of histiocytes was

1 also observed in multiple other organs following oral exposure in rodents (see a broader discussion 2 in Section 3.2.6, Immune Effects), which increases confidence that this inflammatory effect is a 3 result of Cr(VI) exposure. For inhalation exposure, histiocytosis was biologically significant because 4 it accompanied markers in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF), and increased leukocytes in 5 plasma (see Section 3.2.6), which are observations supportive of inflammatory lung responses 6 (Nikula et al., 2014). Cellular responses consistent with injury in the lung following Cr(VI) exposure 7 were also observed in animal studies, including increased albumin, total protein, and LDH activity 8 in BALF, biomarkers known to be evidence of injury and vascular leakage in the lower airway and 9 deep lung (Kodavanti, 2014). Additionally, findings of increased lung weights in a single study of 10 Wistar rats (but not other strains or species examined in lower confidence studies) and clinical 11 findings in two rodent studies of obstructive respiratory dyspnea (Glaser et al., 1990) and "peculiar 12 sound during respiration" and periodic nose bleeds (Kim et al., 2004), are coherent with the 13 inflammatory changes consistently indicated in the available animal studies. 14 As described in Section 3.1, inhaled chromium can accumulate in high concentrations at 15 portal-of-entry tissues (such as the respiratory epithelium), resulting in absorption into the 16 epithelial cells in the lung and lung airways, and particles may accumulate in susceptible areas such 17 as airway bifurcation sites. Studies investigating the underlying mechanisms involved in Cr(VI)-18 induced lung toxicity report significant cytotoxicity at micromolar concentrations in vitro, 19 concurrent with indications of an inflammatory response (oxidative stress, cytokine and nuclear 20 transcription factor activation) as well as increased programmed cell death (apoptosis, autophagy) 21 in response to Cr(VI) exposure. These data support the biological plausibility of the inflammatory 22 tissue responses observed in Cr(VI)-exposed animals. Although the available mechanistic studies in 23 humans were measuring systemic markers of oxidative stress and inflammation in the blood and 24 urine rather than specifically in the lung, consistent evidence of increased reactive oxygen species 25 generation and cytokine modulation in exposed workers is consistent with an inflammatory 26 response that contributes to health effects. 27 For lower respiratory tract effects, there were inconsistencies in the data that may be 28 explained by differences in study design and particle size. Large inhaled particles (with diameter >5

29 μ m) will deposit in the extrathoracic region, particles greater than 2.5 μ m are generally deposited 30 in the tracheobronchial regions, and particles less than 2.5 μ m are generally deposited in the 31 pulmonary region (OSHA, 2006). The rodent study of sodium dichromate aerosols by Glaser et al. 32 (1990; 1985) likely induced effects in the lower respiratory tract due to the small particle sizes 33 achieved by the experiment (MMAD < $0.4 \mu m$). For the human occupational studies, particle sizes 34 may have been larger and more variable (Kuo et al., 1997a), causing a lower proportion of Cr(VI) to 35 deposit in the pulmonary region. However, human studies of occupationally exposed workers still 36 provide some evidence for pulmonary function deficits with increased Cr(VI) exposure. Animal and 37 human studies also differed with respect to the types of data collected, which precluded the ability 38 to directly compare effects. Human data were based on functional measures (pulmonary function

- 1 evaluated using spirometry), whereas animal data were based on histopathological measures and
- 2 cellular responses. The endpoints reported by studies in humans and animals were
- 3 complementary; overall the currently available **evidence indicates** that Cr(VI) is likely to cause
- 4 lower respiratory toxicity in humans.

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
Evidence from studies of expo	osed humans				⊕⊕ ⊙
PULMONARY FUNCTION Four <i>low</i> confidence studies in occupationally exposed adult workers: <u>Kuo et al. (1997b)</u> <u>Li et al. (2015b)</u> <u>Lindberg and Hedenstierna</u> (1983) Sobaszek et al. (1998)	Exposure to Cr(VI) was associated with decreased FVC and FEV1.0 in two <i>low</i> confidence studies (association not statistically significant for FVC in one of the two studies). No association between Cr(VI) and FVC, FEV1.0, or FEV1/FVC was found in the remaining <i>low</i> confidence studies, which may be explained by low study sensitivity.	 Coherence of observed effects on multiple measures of pulmonary function (apical studies) Observed decrements in FEV and FVC in two low confidence studies 	 Imprecision of effect estimates Low confidence studies 	 ⊕⊙⊙ Slight Based on decreased pulmonary function with higher exposure to Cr(VI) in two low confidence studies. 	The evidence indicates that Cr(VI) inhalation is likely to cause lower respiratory toxicity in humans, based on <i>moderate</i> evidence in rats showing increases in biochemical indicators of lung injury and evidence of lung inflammation. This is supported by <i>slight</i> human evidence of decreased pulmonary function from <i>low</i> confidence studies of exposed workers and supportive
Evidence from animal studies					in oxidative stress and cytotoxicity
LUNG CELLULAR and BIOCHEMICAL RESPONSES, including HISTOPATHOLOGY Six <i>medium</i> confidence studies in rats and rabbits: Kim et al. (2004) Cohen et al. (2003) Glaser et al. (1985) Glaser et al. (1985) Glaser et al. (1990) Johansson et al. (1986a) Johansson et al. (1986b) One <i>low</i> confidence study in mice:	Inflammatory changes in BALF Increased macrophages in two <i>medium</i> confidence studies, but no changes or slight decreases in two others. Increases in neutrophils/ granulocytes in two <i>medium</i> confidence studies, and increased lymphocytes up to 90 days in one <i>medium</i> confidence study. <u>Macrophage Functional changes</u> Increased phagocytosis in one	 Consistent evidence of inflammatory changes across <i>medium</i> confidence studies and rat strains Coherence of observed effects across different biomarkers of lung injury 	 Biomarker evidence of lung injury is less specific than pathology Lack of duration- dependence (some effects weakened with longer exposures) Some unexplained 	⊕ ⊕ ⊙ Moderate Coherent and largely consistent increases in biomarkers of pulmonary injury and inflammatory cells in BALF and lung tissue, as well as mechanistic findings	biomarkers. The findings in animals are consistent with known biomarkers of human pulmonary dysfunction and thus considered relevant to humans. The evidence is inadequate to determine whether oral Cr(VI) exposure might be capable of causing noncancer respiratory effects. No respiratory effects were observed following

Table 3-7. Evidence profile table for respiratory effects other than cancer

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
<u>Nettesheim et al. (1971)</u>	concentrations ≤0.05 mg/m ³), but no change in another. <u>BALF Biochemistry</u> Increased protein, albumin and LDH in one <i>medium</i> confidence study. <u>Histiocytosis</u> Four of five <i>medium</i> confidence studies reported the accumulation of macrophages in the lung by histopathology. <u>Other Histological Changes</u> Mixed evidence for bronchiolar hyperplasia (one <i>medium</i> confidence study); epithelial hyperplasia, atrophy, and necrosis (one <i>low</i> confidence study); and normal histopathology (one <i>medium</i> confidence study).	 Concentration- response gradient for most effects Large effect magnitude for histopathological effects Biological plausibility (mechanistic evidence of lung oxidative stress and apoptosis in animal models, primarily from instillation and in vitro studies) 	findings for macrophages in BALF and their functional changes • Unclear adversity of some inflammatory changes and lack of expected coherence with more overt histopathological markers of injury	inflammatory changes in lung.	3.1, Cr(VI) can expose portal-of- entry tissues, and reduction of Cr(VI) in these tissues and red blood cells decreases uptake by other organ systems.
LUNG WEIGHT One <i>medium</i> confidence study in rats: Glaser et al. (1985) Four <i>low</i> confidence studies in rats and rabbits: Glaser et al. (1986) Kim et al. (2004) Glaser et al. (1990) Johansson et al. (1986a)	Lung Weight Increased lung weights were reported in the only <i>medium</i> confidence study and one <i>low</i> confidence study, both in Wistar rats, with exposures for up to 90 days and for 18 months; however, effects were not observed in other <i>low</i> confidence studies of male rabbits exposed for 4–6 weeks or	 Concentration- response gradient in two studies (Glaser et al. (<u>1990; 1985</u>)) Effect magnitude (up to 48% increased relative lung weight) Biological plausibility 	 Some inconsistency across studies, although inconsistent studies were low confidence 	⊕⊙⊙ Slight Changes in lung weight were reported in one rat strain but not in <i>low</i> confidence studies of a different strain or in rabbits.	

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
	male Sprague-Dawley rats exposed for 90 days.	(increased macrophages will increase lung weight)			
Mechanistic evidence					
Biological events or pathways	Summary of key findings and int	terpretations		Judgments and rationale	
Oxidative stress	 Interpretation: Inhalation exposu cellular redox balance in the lung induced lung toxicity. Key findings: Consistent evidence of signification studies of workers exposed to in urine and blood Increased formation of 8-OHdC exposed to Cr(VI) via inhalation In vitro evidence of oxidative sincreased ROS production, oxid increased antioxidant enzyme immortalized lung cells Deficiency in DNA repair of 8-C and cell cycle delay following C 	tion exposure to Cr(VI) induces a disruption of the e in the lung that is a key component of Cr(VI)- e of significant increases in oxidative stress in 17 exposed to Cr(VI) that correlated with levels of Cr(VI) n of 8-OHdG DNA adducts in one study of rats a inhalation oxidative stress with exposure to Cr(VI), including uction, oxidation of lipids and proteins, and nt enzyme activity, in human primary and cells epair of 8-OHdG lesions led to increased cytotoxicity			
Cytotoxicity	 Interpretation: Inhaled Cr(VI) is pentry tissues; this toxicity, prima multiple studies of human cells in death in the lung. Key findings: Increased apoptosis in the lung instillation in one study 	riesumed to be cytotox rily shown by one study n vitro, may involve pro g of rats exposed to Cr(\	ic to portal-of- y in animals and grammed cell VI) via intratrachea	underlie the respiratory effects reported in humans and in animals exposed to Cr(VI). Fluctuations in	

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
	 Consistent in vitro evidence of a apoptosis following Cr(VI) expose Some evidence of increased p52 Cr(VI) exposure in humans or hu	dose- and time-depen sure in human lung ce 3 (which can be pro-a uman lung cells in vitr	cytokine levels and redox imbalance are characteristic of		
Inflammation	 Interpretation: Inflammation induction induction involve pro-inflammatory cytoking generation. Key findings: Supplemental evidence of inflaming histopathology, and increased linstillation studies support animwere concurrent with increases signaling Cytokine signaling changes in chalanter involves in the statement is statement in the statement is statement in the statement in	uced by inhalation exp e signaling and enhan mmatory cellular char ung weight in Cr(VI) a nal evidence judgmen in oxidative stress an nromate workers (App	posure to Cr(VI) may need ROS niges, nimal intratracheal ts; these effects id inflammatory cell pendix C.2.5)	an inflammatory response and may be indicative of a disruption in the regulatory balance that dictates normal immune system function.	

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy. 3-45 DRA

3.2.2. Gastrointestinal Tract Effects Other Than Cancer

1 Studies of the GI tract following ingestion of Cr(VI) in humans and animals have generally 2 reported an increased incidence in nonneoplastic lesions in the stomach and portions of the small 3 intestine. The GI tract is responsible for the digestion, absorption, and excretion of ingested 4 substances. The main function of the stomach is storage and digestion; it is lined with epithelial 5 cells with tight junctions that lack the absorptive villi found in the intestines. In the small intestine, 6 the villi in the semipermeable mucosa consist of epithelial cells characterized by a brush border of 7 microvilli that further increase absorptive capacity. Between the villi are deep cavities called crypts. 8 Both crypts and villi contain epithelial enterocytes and goblet cells that secrete mucus. A schematic 9 of the epithelial morphologies of the stomach and small intestine is provided in Section 3.1.1 10 Pharmacokinetics, Figure 3-5. While the small intestine has a large absorptive capacity it also 11 serves as a barrier that prevents potentially toxic substances in the lumen, including bacteria, from 12 entering systemic circulation (e.g., by mucus secretion). The crypts in the small intestine supply 13 rapidly dividing stem cells for the renewal of the intestinal epithelium, which turns over within 14 days (Potten et al., 2009; Potten et al., 1997). Within the stomach, gastric stem cells are located 15 within glandular pits, and unlike the small intestine, they are nearer to the lumen and more likely to 16 be exposed to surface irritants (Mills and Shivdasani, 2011). In animal studies, the areas of the

- 17 small intestine that are more proximal to the stomach (the duodenum and jejunum) appear to be
- 18 more susceptible to injury than the ileum, the distal portion.
- 19 **3.2.2.1**. *Human Evidence*

20 The literature search for this assessment did not identify epidemiological studies that met 21 PECO criteria for this health effect. The ATSDR Toxicological Profile (ATSDR, 2012) describes 22 multiple case reports of deaths among adults and children resulting from ingesting Cr(VI) 23 compounds and subsequent damage to the GI tract and other organs. GI effects reported in acute 24 oral poisoning studies identified in the literature search for this assessment include stomach and 25 esophageal pain, diarrhea, lesions of the stomach and duodenum, hemorrhage of the GI tract, and gut mucosal necrosis (Goullé et al., 2012; Baresic et al., 2009; Hantson et al., 2005; Kolacinski et al., 26 27 2004; Sharma et al., 2003; Stift et al., 2000; Kołaciński et al., 1999; Loubières et al., 1999; Stift et al., 28 1998; Kurosaki et al., 1995; van Heerden et al., 1994). The ATSDR Toxicological Profile (ATSDR, 29 2012) also describes reports of stomach pain, GI ulcer, and gastritis among workers employed in 30 electroplating and chromate production were also described from studies published from 1950-31 1978. The exposures could have occurred via both inhalation and ingestion of Cr(VI) dusts in the 32 workplace. ATSDR concluded that these studies included no or inappropriate comparison groups 33 and therefore a direct association between Cr(VI) exposure and these signs and symptoms could 34 not be drawn.

1 3.2.2.2. Animal Evidence

2 <u>Study evaluation summary</u>

3 Table 3-8 summarizes the four animal bioassays that were considered in the evaluation of

4 noncancer effects in the GI tract from ingested Cr(VI). The studies, conducted by two organizations,

5 the US National Toxicology Program (NTP) (<u>NTP, 2008, 2007</u>) and ToxStrategies, Inc. (<u>Thompson et</u>

- 6 <u>al., 2012b;</u> Thompson et al., 2011), exposed mice and rats of both sexes to Cr(VI) in drinking water,
- 7 and were of subchronic duration except for the <u>NTP (2008)</u> 2-year bioassay. Results in all studies
- 8 were limited to histopathological observations and mechanistic evidence; the latter is also
- 9 described with the evidence for GI tract cancer in Section 3.2.3.2.

Table 3-8. Summary of included studies for Cr(VI) GI histopathological outcomes and overall confidence classification. <u>Click to see interactive data</u> graphic for rating rationales.

Author (year)	Species (strain)	Exposure design	Exposure route	Histopathology
<u>NTP (2007)</u>	Rat (F344/N), male and female;	Subchronic	Drinking water	Н
	Mouse (B6C3F1, BALB/c, C57BL/6),			
	male and female			
<u>NTP (2008)</u>	Rat (F344/N), male and female;	Chronic	Drinking water	Н
	Mouse (B6C3F1), male and female			
Thompson et al. (2011)	Mouse (B6C3F1), female	Subchronic	Drinking water	Н
Thompson et al. (2012b)	Rat (F344), female	Subchronic	Drinking water	Н

High (H), medium (M), low (L), or uninformative (U).

10 <u>Synthesis of evidence in animals</u>

- 11 All four *high* confidence studies in rats and mice reported various histological effects in the
- 12 GI tract associated with oral exposure to Cr(VI). In the small intestine these included diffuse
- 13 epithelial/crypt cell hyperplasia, histiocytic cellular infiltration, and degenerative changes in the
- 14 villi (vacuolization, atrophy, and apoptosis); in the glandular stomach these included squamous
- 15 metaplasia and gastric ulceration (<u>Thompson et al., 2012b</u>; <u>Thompson et al., 2011</u>; <u>NTP, 2008</u>,
- 16 <u>2007</u>). Across studies, the most commonly observed nonneoplastic GI lesion was epithelial cell
- 17 hyperplasia in the mouse small intestine (<u>Thompson et al., 2012b</u>; <u>Thompson et al., 2011</u>; <u>NTP</u>,
- 18 <u>2008</u>, <u>2007</u>). Results from studies in mice and rats are summarized in Figures 3-12 and 3-13, and
- 19 study design differences are outlined in Table 3-9 (detailed results are summarized in Appendix
- 20 Table C-32). Dose-dependent histiocytic infiltration, described by <u>NTP (2008)</u> as being of unknown
- 21 biological significance, was also observed in the small intestine of exposed animals across studies,
- 22 sexes, and species.

	Species/strain	Exposure	Number of	
Study reference	and sex	duration	animals/group	Dose groups (mg Cr(VI)/kg-d)
<u>NTP (2008)</u> ^a	B6C3F1 mouse,	2 years	50	0, 0.450, 0.914, 2.40, 5.70 (M)
	male and female			0, 0.302, 1.18, 3.24, 8.89 (F)
<u>NTP (2008)</u>	F344 Rat, male	2 years	50	0, 0.200, 0.760, 2.10, 6.07 (M)
	and female			0, 0.248, 0.961, 2.60, 7.13 (F)
NTP (2007)	F344 Rat, male	90 days	10	0, 1.74, 3.14, 5.93, 11.2, 20.9 (M)
	and female			0, 1.74, 3.49, 6.28, 11.5, 21.3 (F) ^a
<u>NTP (2007)</u>	B6C3F1 mouse,	90 days	10	0, 3.1, 5.3, 9.1, 15.7, 27.9 (M+F)
	male and female			
<u>NTP (2007)</u>	B6C3F1 mouse,	90 days	5	0, 2.8, 5.2, 8.7
	male			
<u>NTP (2007)</u>	BALB/c mouse,	90 days	5	0, 2.8, 5.2, 8.7
	male			
NTP (2007)	am-C57BL/6	90 days	5	0, 2.8, 5.2, 8.7
	mouse, male			
Thompson et al.	F344 Rat, female	7 days	5	0, 0.015, 0.21, 2.9, 7.2, 20.5
<u>(2012b)</u>			40	-
		90 days	10	
Thompson et al. (2011)	B6C3F1 mouse,	7 days	5	0, 0.024, 0.32, 1.1, 4.6, 11.6, 31.1
	remaie	90 days	10	

Table 3-9. Design features of studies that examined GI tract effects via the oral route of exposure

^aNote: In the synthesis, male and female doses were rounded to the same values for simplicity.



Figure 3-12. Diffuse epithelial hyperplasia in Cr(VI) treated mice in *high* **confidence studies.** Note: NTP (2008, 2007) did not present quantitative no-effect data. However, the dose levels and ranges for the exposure groups without effects are displayed here for comparative purposes. <u>Click to see interactive data graphic</u>.



Figure 3-13. Diffuse epithelial hyperplasia in Cr(VI) treated rats in *high* **confidence studies.** Note: NTP (<u>2008</u>, <u>2007</u>) did not present quantitative no-effect data. However, the dose levels and ranges for the exposure groups without effects are displayed here for comparative purposes. <u>Click to see interactive data graphic</u>.

1 In subchronically exposed B6C3F1 mice, statistically significant elevated incidences of 2 minimal to mild²⁵ diffuse duodenal epithelial cell hyperplasia were observed in both males and 3 females at all doses (\geq 3 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d, incidence increasing with dose) (NTP, 2007). In a 4 companion subchronic strain comparison study, statistically significant increases in the incidence 5 of diffuse epithelial hyperplasia in the duodenum were also observed across all three strains of 6 male mice tested (i.e., <u>B6C3F1</u>, <u>BALB/c</u>, and <u>am3C57BL/6</u>) (<u>NTP, 2007</u>). A separate subchronic 7 study also showed a significant increase in duodenal hyperplasia in B6C3F1 mice at doses \geq 11.6 mg 8 Cr(VI)/kg-d (Thompson et al., 2011). This study did not show increasing incidence with dose, but 9 the lowest dose level at which the epithelial hyperplasia was observed in Thompson et al. (2011) 10 (~12 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d) was about 4x higher than for NTP (2007) (~3 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d), and resulted 11 in dose-dependent apoptosis (which was statistically significant at the highest dose of 31.1 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d), which likely degenerated the duodenal tissue. The subchronic results of hyperplasia 12 13 in the duodenum were consistent with a 2-year study that showed statistically significant elevated incidences of minimal to mild diffuse epithelial cell hyperplasia in the duodenum of the same 14 severity but at lower doses (≥ 0.3 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d, incidence increasing with dose with the exception 15 16 of the high dose males that had a slightly lower incidence than the second highest dose group) (NTP, 2008). In the jejunum, there were no significantly elevated increases in epithelial cell 17 18 hyperplasia in either sex of B6C3F1 mice in a subchronic study at doses up to 28 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d 19 (NTP, 2007), but in a second subchronic study, female mice of the same strain showed statistically significant elevated epithelial cell hyperplasia in the jejunum at doses \geq 11.6 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d 20 21 (Thompson et al., 2011). In the 2-year mouse study, this effect was observed in the jejunum of

female mice at the highest dose (8.89 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d) (<u>NTP, 2008</u>). Together, these results show a

²⁵According to NTP severity grading: 1=minimal, 2=mild, 3=moderate, 4=marked.

consistent pattern of minimal to mild diffuse epithelial hyperplasia in mice, which was present in
 subchronic studies at higher doses compared to the chronic study.

- 3 In subchronic and chronic NTP studies in F344 rats, increased diffuse epithelial hyperplasia
- 4 was not observed in the small intestine (<u>NTP, 2008, 2007</u>). In contrast, a statistically significant
- 5 increase in these lesions was observed following \geq 7.2 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d exposures for 7 and 90 days
- 6 in female F344 rats in a study by a separate group (<u>Thompson et al., 2012b</u>). The differences in the
- 7 presence or absence of these lesions in F344 rats across studies is unknown, but this may have
- 8 been affected by differences in water intake between the two study groups, leading to higher
- 9 exposures to the rats in the the <u>Thompson et al. (2012b)</u> study. At the administered Cr(VI)
- 10 concentrations, which were nearly equivalent between the studies, the mg/kg-d doses in the NTP
- 11 subchronic bioassay (<u>NTP, 2007</u>) and the time weighted average doses from weeks 1–13 in the NTP
- 12 chronic bioassay (<u>NTP, 2008</u>) were approximately twofold lower than the mg/kg-d doses in
- 13 <u>Thompson et al. (2012b)</u>. In addition, <u>Thompson et al. (2012b)</u> noted that the animal vendor
- 14 sources for the F344 rats were different between groups (NTP used animals from Taconic Farms,
- 15 Inc. (NTP, 2008, 2007) and Thompson et al. (2012b) used animals from Charles River Laboratories
- 16 International, Inc.), although the mice used by each group were also procured from these two
- 17 different sources, respectively.
- 18 In the rat glandular stomach, there were also observations of epithelial hyperplasia along
- 19 with several other lesion types in a subchronic but not chronic studies. These lesions were not
- 20 observed in a subchronic study of three different strains of mice, nor in a chronic mouse study.
- 21 Statistically significant increased incidences of epithelial hyperplasia, squamous metaplasia, and
- 22 ulcers in the glandular stomach were reported in male and female F344 rats exposed to 21 mg
- 23 Cr(VI)/kg-d (the highest dose) in the 13-week NTP study (<u>NTP, 2007</u>). No statistically significant
- 24 increased incidences of glandular stomach or forestomach lesions were reported in the 2-year
- studies of F344 rats and B6C3F1 mice (<u>NTP, 2008</u>), or in the <u>NTP (2007)</u> 13-week studies of
- 26 B6C3F1, BALB/c, or *am3*-C57BL/6 mice. Neither of the Thompson et al. (<u>2012b</u>; <u>2011</u>) 13-week
- 27 studies conducted histologic examinations of the forestomach or glandular stomach of mice or rats.
- 28 The inconsistency between subchronic and chronic study results in rats is likely attributable to
- 29 dose selection; in the 13-week study, stomach lesions occurred at an exposure that was threefold
- 30 higher than the highest dose administered in the 2-year chronic assay.
- Degenerative changes to the cells lining the GI tract can manifest as necrosis, apoptosis, and
 subsequent villous stunting, resulting in crypt abscess and ulceration (<u>Betton, 2013</u>). The NTP
- 33 subchronic bioassay reported that the duodenal villi of B6C3F1 mice were short, thick, and blunted,
- 34 with cytoplasmic vacuolization in the epithelial cells lining the villi tips at doses up to 27.9 mg
- 35 Cr(VI)/kg-d (results were not presented quantitatively) (<u>NTP, 2007</u>). Consistent with these results,
- the NTP 2-year bioassay qualitatively reported degenerative effects in mouse duodenal villi
- 37 (described as short, broad, and blunt) at doses up 8.89 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d. These effects were not
- reported in F344 rats at doses up to 21 or 7.13 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d after subchronic exposure or

- 1 chronic exposure respectively (<u>NTP, 2008, 2007</u>). GI tissue atrophy and apoptosis were not
- 2 reported in the NTP bioassays in either species (<u>NTP, 2008, 2007</u>). Although cytoplasmic
- 3 vacuolization, when irreversible, can be considered a marker of cell death due to cytoprotective
- 4 autophagy in response to stress (<u>Shubin et al., 2016</u>), the vacuolization observed in epithelial cells
- 5 at the tips of villi in mice in the subchronic study was not interpreted by NTP to be indicative of
- 6 atrophy or apoptosis and was not observed in the 2-year bioassay (<u>NTP, 2008, 2007</u>). There was an
- 7 increased incidence of minimal to mild salivary gland atrophy in female rats after two years at the
- 8 two highest doses (the effect at the highest dose lacked statistical significance), although this effect
- 9 is of unknown biological significance.
- 10 <u>Thompson et al. (2011)</u> reported degenerative changes in the intestines of female B6C3F1
- 11 mice after subchronic exposure including statistically significant atrophy in villi of the duodena and
- 12 jejuna (31.1 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d, highest dose), apoptosis in the duodenal villi (31.1 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d),
- and cytoplasmic vacuolization in the duodena and jejuna (\geq 4.6 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d) (Figure 3-14).
- 14 These results are generally consistent with the descriptive observations reported by NTP in mice
- 15 after subchronic and chronic exposure. While the subchronic NTP study did not report identical
- 16 histopathological findings, it stated that "the epithelial cells lining the tips of the villi of many of the
- 17 exposed mice were swollen and had vacuolated cytoplasm. Collectively, these duodenal lesions
- 18 suggest regenerative hyperplasia secondary to previous epithelial cell damage or degeneration"
- 19 (<u>NTP, 2007</u>). The subchronic study in female F344 rats by <u>Thompson et al. (2012b)</u> also reported
- 20 apoptosis of the duodenal villi at the two highest doses (7.2 and 20.5 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d), but no
- 21 atrophy or vacuolization (Figure 3-14).
- 22 Two follow-up publications using the same experimental subchronic dataset in female 23 B6C3F1 mice (Thompson et al., 2011) reported increases in some markers of duodenal villus 24 cytotoxicity described as karyorrhectic nuclei, desquamation, villous blunting, and disruption of 25 cellular architecture in the duodenal villi at doses \geq 4.6 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day (Thompson et al., 2015a; 26 <u>O'Brien et al., 2013</u>). It should be noted that <u>O'Brien et al. (2013)</u> only evaluated one animal in the 27 next-lowest dose group (1.1 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day) for desquamation and disruption of cellular 28 arrangement. In the crypt compartment, although increases in crypt length, area, and number of 29 crypt enterocytes were reported, there were no statistically significant or dose-responsive changes 30 in mitotic or apoptotic indices (Thompson et al., 2015a; O'Brien et al., 2013). Observations after 7-31 day exposures reported by this group (considered supporting evidence due to the short duration) 32 include duodenal hyperplasia, villous atrophy, and cytoplasmic vacuolization, but again with no 33 changes in crypt apoptosis indices, mitotic activity, or increases in karyorrhectic nuclei in the crypt 34 compartment (Thompson et al., 2015b; Thompson et al., 2011). The authors attribute this 35 discrepancy to either the 24-hour period without Cr(VI) exposure prior to sacrifice and/or to the 36 sudden increase in the number of crypt enterocytes that then migrated toward the villus and 37 became post-mitotic in that 24-hour period, apparently as mitotic figures were being measured
- **38** (<u>Thompson et al., 2015a</u>; <u>O'Brien et al., 2013</u>).

- 1 While <u>NTP (2008)</u> noted short, broad, and blunt duodenal villi in mice, they did not report
- 2 observing duodenal villus atrophy. In a second review of the NTP 2-year bioassay mouse
- 3 histopathology slides by <u>Cullen et al. (2015</u>), these authors reported villus atrophy and blunting in
- 4 all mice in the highest dose group. <u>Cullen et al. (2015)</u> also only observed cytoplasmic vacuolization
- 5 in males; NTP made a general statement that vacuolization was observed in the tips of the villi
- 6 without presenting incidence or details. While there were some descriptive reporting differences
- 7 across studies for nonneoplastic histopathological lesions, an independent expert pathology review
- 8 (<u>Francke and Mog. 2021</u>) of the diagnostic criteria used by these reports (<u>Cullen et al., 2015</u>;
- 9 <u>Thompson et al., 2015a; NTP, 2008, 2007</u>) confirmed there was no meaningful difference or
- 10 improvement when comparing the five histological diagnoses applied by this second review (<u>ACC</u>,
- 11 <u>2015; Cullen et al., 2015</u>) to those used by NTP. In fact, NTP addressed four of the five diagnostic
- 12 terms used by <u>Cullen et al. (2015)</u> (i.e., histiocytic cellular infiltrates, atrophy/blunting, enterocyte
- 13 vacuolation, and epithelial hyperplasia), with the exception of single-cell necrosis (i.e., apoptosis).
- 14 Thus, the "short, broad, blunt" duodenal villi of exposed mice reported by <u>NTP (2008)</u> are
- 15 analogous to the <u>Cullen et al. (2015)</u> report of "atrophy/blunting" of the villus.



Figure 3-14. Cr(VI)-induced degenerative changes in the small intestines of mice and rats in high confidence studies. <u>Click here to see interactive graphic</u>.

- 16 Increased infiltration of histiocytes (macrophage immune cells) in the duodenum and 17 jejunum was consistently observed in both sexes of rats and mice orally exposed both chronically 18 and subchronically to Cr(VI) (Thompson et al., 2012b; Thompson et al., 2011; NTP, 2008, 2007). 19 NTP (2008) indicated that the biological significance of the histiocytic infiltration is not known, but 20 surmised that the infiltration of macrophages may reflect phagocytosis of an insoluble chromium 21 precipitate. It should be noted that while macrophage accumulation may be associated with 22 inflammation, NTP did not report chronic inflammation in the GI tract, or the influx of other 23 inflammatory cells associated with the histiocytic infiltration in the small intestine (NTP, 2008, 24 2007). 25 In summary, diffuse epithelial hyperplasia of the small intestine was consistently observed
- in the three *high* confidence studies in mice, occurring at higher doses in the subchronic studies
1 compared to the chronic study, with similar severity across studies. Diffuse epithelial hyperplasia

2 was also observed in the rat small intestine, but these findings were inconsistent between the two

3 reporting groups. Similar degenerative changes in the duodenal villi were consistently observed

4 across studies, and although the description of these effects varied, the results were essentially the

5 same. Histiocytic infiltration was also consistently observed, though this effect was interpreted by

6 the report authors to be of unknown biological significance (<u>NTP, 2008</u>) and is likely not adverse on

7 its own.

8

3.2.2.3. Mechanistic Evidence

9 The screening and identification of mechanistic studies for evidence relevant to Cr(VI)-10 induced oxidative stress, cell proliferation and cell death in the GI tract prioritized both oral 11 exposure studies in animals and studies via all routes in animals if results were presented for GI 12 tissues, as well as in vitro studies in human cells derived from GI tissues (primary and 13 immortalized); this prioritization strategy and a summary of the studies can be found in Appendix 14 C.2.2.2. No human oral exposure studies or human studies of cytotoxicity or cell proliferation 15 specific to the GI tract were identified. Because mechanistic evidence from studies of non-malignant 16 toxic effects specific to the GI tract (in vivo or in vitro) following the ingestion of Cr(VI) is also 17 relevant to cancer of the GI tract, a summary of this evidence is presented in Section 3.2.3.3. The 18 evidence supports a consistent, coherent, and biologically plausible role for oxidative stress, 19 cytotoxicity, and cell proliferation induced by Cr(VI) exposure in both the nonneoplastic toxicity 20 and carcinogenic effects of Cr(VI) in the GI tract. 21 Three in vivo studies were identified that reported biomarkers of oxidative stress in GI 22 tissues after oral exposure (Thompson et al., 2012b; Thompson et al., 2011; De Flora et al., 2008). In 23 addition, a gavage study (Sengupta et al., 1990) reported various oxidative stress parameters in GI

tissue after administration of potassium dichromate at doses of 1500 mg/kg-bw for three days and
300 mg/kg-bw for 30 days. However, the inclusion of doses that are higher than the LD50 (130

26 mg/kg) for rats (<u>Thermo Fisher, 2009</u>) is considered a limitation for interpreting the results of this
27 study.

28 In female B6C3F1 mouse GI tract tissues, the reduced-to-oxidized glutathione ratio 29 (GSH/GSSG), which is considered a biomarker of redox status, showed statistically significant, dose-30 dependent decreases in the oral and duodenal epithelium in mice exposed to Cr(VI) in drinking 31 water (≥ 11.6 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d and ≥ 4.6 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d, respectively) after 7 days of exposure, 32 indicating an increase in oxidative stress, with no correlated change in the GSH/GSSG ratio in 33 plasma (Thompson et al., 2011). After 90 days, there was still a significant decrease in the 34 GSH/GSSG ratio in the small intestinal epithelia of the duodenum (up to a 38.5% decrease at the top 35 dose) and jejunum (up to a 52% decrease at the top dose), but not in the ileum, at concentrations 36 \geq 1.1 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d and decreases in plasma at higher concentrations (\geq 11.6 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d), but 37 no decreases were detected in the oral mucosa despite a measurable chromium concentration in 38 these tissues. While GSH/GSSG ratio measurement is a generally accepted indicator of oxidative

- 1 stress, ascorbate is the preferred in vivo reductant accounting for 90% of Cr(VI) oxidative
- 2 metabolism (described in detail in Section 3.1.1.). Although the expected primary oxidative
- 3 pathway is not captured in these experiments, the decreased GSH/GSSG ratio with increasing dose
- 4 implies some level of Cr(VI)-induced oxidative stress was occurring in the duodenum. However,
- 5 protein carbonyls, an indicator of protein oxidation, were only slightly elevated in the duodenum
- 6 after 90 days (<u>Thompson et al., 2011</u>), possibly indicating that the ROS mediated damage is being
- 7 preferentially directed at nucleic acids rather than proteins, although the reason for this preference
- 8 is not known.

9

- This study also did not observe increases in 8-0HdG DNA adducts in the oral cavity or
- 10 duodenal tissue of mice (<u>Thompson et al., 2011</u>). The absence of oxidatively induced 8-OHdG
- adducts in mouse GI tissues is consistent with a study by <u>De Flora et al. (2008)</u>, which found no
- 12 increase in these lesions in the forestomach, glandular stomach, or duodenum after female SKH-1
- 13 mice were exposed for 9 months via drinking water at concentrations of 1.20 and 4.82 mg
- 14 Cr(VI)/kg-d. The reason for the lack of oxidative DNA lesions associated with the oxidative stress in
- 15 these studies is not known.
- 16 In female F344/N rats, <u>Thompson et al. (2012b)</u> reported no statistically significant changes
- 17 in GSH/GSSG ratios in either the oral cavity or the small intestine of female rats after 7 days of
- 18 Cr(VI) exposure to concentrations 0.1–180 mg/L Cr(VI), with the exception of decreases in the
- 19 jejunum at the high concentration of 180 mg/L Cr(VI) and a decrease at 0.1 mg/L Cr(VI) in the oral
- 20 mucosa. After 90 days, statistically significant and dose-dependent reductions in the GSH/GSSG
- 21 ratio in the oral mucosa and jejunum were observed at concentrations ≥20 mg/L Cr(VI) (<u>Thompson</u>
- 22 <u>et al., 2012b</u>). These results are in partial contrast to experiments in mice from the same research
- 23 group (described above), which showed decreases in GSH/GSSG ratio in the duodenum but not the
- 24 oral mucosa at 90 days despite mice having measurable total chromium concentrations in the oral
- 25 cavity (<u>Thompson et al., 2011</u>). The plasma GSH/GSSG ratio was also decreased at concentrations
- $\geq 60 \text{ mg/L Cr(VI)}$. No changes in the GSH/GSSG ratio were observed in the duodenum at 90 days,
- and there were no changes in 8-isoprostane, a marker of lipid peroxidation, in the oral mucosa orduodenum.
- 29 A large body of evidence from cells exposed in vitro demonstrates the increases in oxidative 30 damage induced by Cr(VI), where ROS levels, lipid and protein oxidation, and decreased levels of 31 antioxidant enzymes correlate with DNA damage that is increased in test systems with disabled 32 DNA excision repair processes or abrogated with antioxidant pretreatment (Appendix Section 33 C.3.6). This includes studies performed with human colon and gastric cancer cell lines to study 34 oxidatively induced DNA damage and cytotoxicity. In vitro, it appears that Cr(VI) exposure can 35 result in oxidative stress with minimal or no cytotoxicity, as shown in human colorectal 36 adenocarcinoma Caco-2 cells (Thompson et al., 2012a). Thompson et al. (2012a) measured both 37 8-OHdG adducts and levels of phosphorylated histone H2AX (yH2AX), a marker of DNA double-38 strand breaks that could arise from various sources including ROS and/or direct chemical

 $1 \qquad interactions. After 24 hours, cytotoxic concentrations of Cr(VI) increased 8-OHdG and \gamma H2AX levels, \\$

2 while non-cytotoxic concentrations only elevated 8-OHdG, suggesting that oxidative stress could be

- 3 a mechanism for DNA damage other than double-strand breaks at lower concentrations in in vitro
- 4 test systems. Notably, these results conflict with the in vivo study results following subchronic
- 5 Cr(VI) exposure in drinking water presented above, which consistently showed no changes in 8-
- 6 OHdG.

7 In the same study, <u>Thompson et al. (2012a)</u> reported that differentiated Caco-2 cells were

8 more resistant to cytotoxicity than undifferentiated cells. There were no reported changes in

9 immunofluorescence staining of differentiated Caco-2 cells for p53 or annexin-V (apoptosis

- 10 markers) or LCB3 (an autophagy indicator). There was, however, a dose-dependent translocation of
- 11 ATF6 to the nucleus in differentiated cells, which is an indicator of endoplasmic reticulum stress
- 12 and supports in vivo toxicogenomic data indicating this response in duodenal tissue (Kopec et al.,
- 13 <u>2012b; Thompson et al., 2012a</u>). A study by a separate group with the human gastric cancer cell line

14 SGC-7901 showed that Cr(VI) treatment in cells modified by knockdown of URI (a transcription

15 factor and oncogene) enhanced ROS production and cell death compared to control cells treated

16 with Cr(VI) (Luo et al., 2016). This suggests URI may have a role in suppressing Cr(VI)-induced

17 oxidative stress and apoptosis.

18 Tissue injury induced by cytotoxicity and oxidative stress in the GI tract may lead to 19 necrosis and/or regenerative proliferation, evidenced by the histological degenerative changes in 20 the small intestinal villi of mice exposed to Cr(VI) up to 2 years, as well as in the small intestine and glandular stomach of rats exposed for 3 months. While ultimately only mice developed intestinal 21 22 tumors, the observations of hyperplasia, metaplasia, and ulcer in the stomach and villous wounding 23 in the intestine of rats are similarly demonstrative that Cr(VI) may cause GI toxicity through tissue 24 injury. As described in the synthesis of animal evidence, observations indicative of degenerative 25 changes in the mouse small intestine were reported across studies and suggest a regenerative 26 response to epithelial cell injury (Thompson et al., 2011; NTP, 2008, 2007). These Cr(VI)-specific 27 effects in the small intestine are supported by X-ray fluorescence data showing ingested Cr 28 concentrates in the duodenal villi of mice (Thompson et al., 2015b; Thompson et al., 2015a; O'Brien 29 et al., 2013; Thompson et al., 2011). In the duodenum, diffuse hyperplasia was observed at all doses 30 after both subchronic (\geq 3 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d) and chronic (\geq 0.3 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d) exposure, and focal 31 hyperplasia was observed after chronic exposure at doses $\geq 2.4 \text{ mg Cr(VI)/kg-day}$. 32 Tissue injury in the mouse duodenal villi may lead to a compensatory proliferative response 33 in the crypt compartment and hyperplasia observed in the intestinal mucosa as observed by dose-

34 dependent crypt enterocyte proliferation (<u>Thompson et al., 2015b</u>; <u>O'Brien et al., 2013</u>), although

- 35 the relationship between this measure of increased cell proliferation after a 7-day exposure and the
- 36 observations of villous hyperplasia after 3 months or 2 years of exposure are unclear. These
- 37 investigators observed increased numbers of crypt enterocytes but did not detect a treatment-

1 related increase in mitotic indices in these crypts, which would appear to be inconsistent with 2 regenerative crypt hyperplasia (<u>Thompson et al., 2015b</u>; <u>O'Brien et al., 2013</u>). 3 Perturbations in cell signaling pathways that enhance cellular proliferation may contribute 4 to the hyperplastic effects observed in the small intestine of B6C3F1 mice. Gene expression 5 profiling studies of the tissues collected in the subchronic drinking water exposure study by 6 <u>Thompson et al. (2011)</u> found that Ki-67 expression, a protein associated with cell proliferation 7 used to label proliferative intestinal crypt compartment cells (Li et al., 2015a; Basak et al., 2014), 8 was increased within the duodenal mucosa in mice at the two highest doses (11.6 and 31 mg/kg-d 9 Cr(VI) by day 91 (with dose-dependent increases at \geq 4.6 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) at day 8) (Rager et al., 10 2017; Kopec et al., 2012a). A separate group reported that after 60 days of exposure to Cr(VI) in 11 drinking water, the c-Myc oncogene showed a dose-dependent increase in the stomach (gene 12 expression and protein levels \geq 3.5 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) and colon (gene expression \geq 1.7 mg/kg-day 13 and protein levels \geq 5.2 mg/kg-day Cr(VI)) of male Wistar rats (<u>Tsao et al., 2011</u>), consistent with 14 the promotion of cell cycle progression and cell proliferation. The same study also reported a 15 decrease in the expression of RKIP (Raf kinase inhibitor protein; $\geq 5.2 \text{ mg/kg-day Cr(VI)}$), which is 16 thought to negatively regulate MAPK (mitogen activated protein kinase) signaling involved in 17 cellular proliferation (Vandamme et al., 2014). The gene expression and protein levels of tumor 18 suppressor and cell cycle regulator p53 were also downregulated in the stomach (gene expression 19 \geq 3.5 mg/kg-day and protein levels \geq 1.7 mg/kg-day Cr(VI)) and colon (gene expression and protein 20 levels \geq 5.2 mg/kg-day Cr(VI)) (<u>Tsao et al., 2011</u>). Consistent with these studies, toxicogenomic 21 analyses of GI tissues in Cr(VI)-treated animals have identified differentially expressed genes 22 (DEGs) associated with activation of c-Myc, MAPK, and a variety of additional pathways associated 23 with cell cycle, proliferation, and apoptosis. A summary of gene expression changes and 24 toxicogenomic results most pertinent to both noncancer and cancer GI effects can be found in 25 Appendix C.3.3 and C.3.4, respectively, and is discussed in the context of cancer MOA in Section 26 3.2.3. 27 Although the molecular pathways leading to the cytotoxic effects of Cr(VI) in the GI tract

28 following oral exposures are not clear, it is likely to involve chronic oxidative stress known to occur 29 across multiple tissues following Cr(VI) exposures (see Section 3.2.3.3), though there are also 30 indications of oxidative stress occurring in the absence of cytotoxicity. The data from studies of 31 Cr(VI) provide consistent support for oxidative stress as a mechanism of Cr(VI) toxicity in the lung 32 (Section 3.2.1), liver (Section 3.2.4), male and female reproductive organs (Sections 3.2.7 and 3.2.8, 33 respectively), and fetal development (Section 3.2.9), though in vivo results specific to the GI tract 34 are mixed (<u>Thompson et al., 2013</u>). Proliferative cell signaling pathways show upregulation in the 35 GI tract that is generally consistent with the pathological evidence of tissue regeneration in the 36 mouse small intestine, though it cannot be conclusively determined whether these dose-dependent 37 gene expression and protein level changes are associated with compensatory cell proliferation 38 following cytotoxicity or are induced by Cr(VI) exposure via another pathway.

1 3.2.2.4. Integration of Evidence

2 Overall, the currently available evidence indicates that oral exposure to Cr(VI) likely 3 causes GI tract toxicity in humans under relevant exposure circumstances. This evidence is 4 summarized in Table 3-10. This conclusion is based on *robust* studies in rodents that found Cr(VI) 5 causes nonneoplastic effects in the GI tract at doses ≥ 0.3 mg/kg-d Cr(VI) (NTP, 2008). These effects 6 include dose-responsive diffuse epithelial hyperplasia in mice after both subchronic and chronic 7 exposure at all doses, and degenerative changes in the rat and mouse intestine. Human evidence for 8 nonneoplastic effects in the GI tract was *indeterminate* due to a lack of studies of chronic, 9 nonneoplastic GI effects in humans. The ATSDR Toxicological Profile (ATSDR, 2012) described 10 multiple case reports of Cr(VI) induced GI toxicity or deaths among adults and children but none 11 included an appropriate comparison group. 12 The animal toxicological database provides *robust* evidence that Cr(VI) is toxic to the GI 13 tract. The primary nonneoplastic effects associated with both chronic and subchronic oral exposure

to Cr(VI) in the GI tract are consistent and biologically coherent, and include epithelial cell

15 hyperplasia, degenerative changes, and histiocytic cellular infiltration in the small intestine. Diffuse

- 16 epithelial hyperplasia of the small intestine was predominant in mice across all studies, with
- 17 incidence increasing with dose. NTP observed diffuse epithelial hyperplasia, which involved the
- 18 entire small intestinal mucosa, in all exposed groups (≥0.3 mg/kg-d Cr(VI)) of males and females in
- both subchronic and chronic studies (<u>NTP, 2008, 2007</u>). The incidence rate was high (>26%) at the
- 20 lowest dose. Other subchronic experiments, including a strain comparison study by NTP, also
- observed these lesions in mice (<u>Thompson et al., 2011</u>; <u>NTP, 2007</u>). The dose-response relationship
- 22 for epithelial hyperplasia was stronger in the proximal small intestine (duodenum) than it was in
- the jejunum (see Figure 3-12), indicating the effects of Cr(VI) are diminished by a decrease in
- concentration as the chemical traverses the small intestine²⁶. In addition to diffuse hyperplasia,
- 25 there was a low, nonsignificant incidence of focal epithelial hyperplasia in the duodenum observed
- by NTP after 2 years in both male and female mice at the mid and high doses. These lesions are
- discussed further in Section 3.2.3.2 as they may be more indicative of a direct treatment-related
- 28 preneoplastic response.

In rats, epithelial hyperplasia and villus atrophy/blunting were only reported in one
subchronic study limited to females (≥7.2 mg and 31.1 mg/kg-d Cr(VI) respectively) (Thompson et
al., 2012b). Histopathological discrepancies in the rat small intestine between these findings and
the NTP (2008, 2007) studies are a source of uncertainty, but could involve differences in study
variables such as those described by Thompson et al. (2012b) (e.g., different vendor sources,

- 34 differences in water intake), or differences in analyses (i.e., comprehensive pathology reporting by
- 35 NTP vs. hypothesis-driven MOA studies by Thompson et al. (<u>Francke and Mog. 2021</u>)). In the

²⁶As Cr(VI) traverses the small intestine, the concentration of Cr(VI) in the lumen decreases due to 1) reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III), 2) uptake to the small intestine epithelium, 3) dilution by GI contents (including by ongoing intestinal secretions). See Section 3.1.

- 1 glandular stomach, a significantly increased incidence of nonneoplastic lesions was seen in male
- 2 and female F344 rats exposed to the highest dose (21 mg/kg-d Cr(VI)) in the subchronic NTP study;
- 3 this effect was not observed at any dose after two years (<u>NTP, 2008, 2007</u>). This is likely explained
- 4 by differences in dosing, as the rat stomach lesions observed after 13 weeks occurred at an
- 5 exposure threefold higher than the highest dose in the 2-year chronic assay.
- 6 Observations of histiocytic infiltration in the small intestine were consistent across studies,
 7 sexes, and species: however, this effect is of unknown biological significance. Histiocytic infiltration
- sexes, and species; however, this effect is of unknown biological significance. Histiocytic infiltration
 (to varying degrees) was also observed in the liver and the pancreatic and mesenteric lymph nodes
- 9 (NTP, 2008, 2007). A plausible explanation for this effect is increased phagocytosis due to an
- **10** to the laboration of the test of the test of the test of the laboration of the
- 10 insoluble precipitate of the test material. Cr(III), the reduced form of Cr(VI), is not a substrate for
- 11 active transport through the cell membrane and would therefore enter cells through passive
- 12 diffusion or phagocytosis (<u>Witt et al., 2013</u>). Therefore, the observed histiocytosis is most
- 13 compatible with phagocytically active macrophages containing Cr(III). An alternative explanation
- 14 could be that histiocytosis occurred as a result of chronic inflammation; however, neither pathology
- 15 consistent with inflammation nor the presence of other inflammatory cells types were observed in
- 16 rats or mice following drinking water exposures (<u>NTP, 2008, 2007</u>).
- 17 Together, these effects provide consistent, biologically coherent evidence of GI toxicity
- 18 involving tissue wounding by the test substance leading to degenerative changes, regenerative
- 19 proliferation and hyperplasia. The hyperplasia in the GI tract following oral exposures is considered
- 20 to be representative of the constellation of histopathological observations that together result in a
- 21 change in tissue function that is considered an adverse noncancer effect, independently from the
- significance of this lesion as a preneoplastic effect in the potential progression to cancer.
- 23 Mechanistic evidence from in vitro and in vivo models provides additional support for GI tissue
- 24 cytotoxicity and apoptosis occurring as a result of Cr(VI) exposure, as well as a proliferative
- 25 response that may be directly associated with a Cr(VI)-induced stimulation of proliferative cell
- 26 signaling pathways, an indirect consequence of compensatory cell proliferation following tissue
- 27 injury, or a combination of both.

Table 3-10. Evidence profile table for effects in the GI tract other than cancer

	Evidence summ	nary and interpretation	on		
Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
Evidence from studies of e	exposed humans (occupational mul	ti-route)	•	•	$\oplus \oplus \odot$
No human studies met PECO criteria for nonneoplastic GI effects	For human evidence of cancer of the GI tract, see Section 3.2.3.2 and Table 3-24 (Evidence profile table for cancer of the GI tract).			⊙⊙⊙ Indeterminate	The evidence indicates that Cr(VI) is likely to cause GI toxicity in humans.
Evidence from animal stud	dies (oral)				Robust evidence in rats
HISTOPATHOLOGICAL CHANGES High confidence: <u>NTP (2008)</u> <u>Thompson et al. (2012b)</u> <u>Thompson et al. (2011)</u>	All studies examining effects in the small intestine are rated high confidence. Degenerative changes in intestinal villi and hyperplasia of the small intestine observed in male and female mice by NTP (2008, 2007), and in female mice and rats by Thompson et al. (2012b; 2011). Histiocytic cellular infiltration observed in the small intestine of male and female rats and mice in all studies and bioassays. Because these effects can also represent preneoplastic lesions that are part of the morphologic and biologic continuum leading to cancer (<u>Boorman et al., 2003</u>),	 Consistent findings in mice in four <i>high</i> confidence studies which contained multiple bioassays (both sexes and multiple strains of mice) Coherence- biologically related findings across studies Large magnitude of effects Strong dose- response gradient Mechanistic evidence (oxidative stress, cell proliferation) provides plausibility 	 Inconsistent findings in rats (intestinal hyperplasia observed in two of four <i>high</i> confidence studies), although this inconsistency between species may be partly explained by pharmacokinetic s 	 ⊕⊕⊕ <i>Robust</i> Histopathological changes reported in high confidence studies (proliferative changes) observed across the animal evidence base database are coherent following chronic and/or subchronic oral exposures in rats and mice and suggest adverse effects of Cr(VI) on the GI tract (specifically, the small intestine), findings that are supported by mechanistic evidence of oxidative stress and cell proliferation. 	consistent findings of histopathological changes indicative of epithelial damage and changes in GI epithelial architecture following oral exposure. Although these effects are presumed to be relevant to humans, the lack of human evidence demonstrating that the changes observed in rodents would occur and progress in humans precludes a higher conclusion level (i.e., evidence demonstrates). Mechanistic findings in animals provide some evidence supportive of

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment			
	additional discussions are provided in Section 3.2.3.2 (Gastrointestinal Tract Cancer) and Table 3-24.				oxidative stress in the GI tract as a potential mechanism for degenerative GI effects in multiple animal species.			
Mechanistic evidence					This mechanism is			
Biological events or pathways	Summary of key findings and inte	rpretations		Judgments and rationale	humans.			
Oxidative stress	 Interpretation: Cr(VI) is a potent or species and oxidative stress via int cytotoxicity in the GI tract followin degenerative lesions in the GI tract Decreased GSH/GSSG ratio in sm mice and 90 days in rats, and in 90 days, although no 8-OHdG ac (Thompson et al., 2011; De Flora In vitro evidence of increased or adenocarcinoma Caco-2 cells, th that induced minimal or no cyto 	kidizer that can produce racellular intermediate g oral exposures. This so t (see animal evidence, a nall intestinal epitheliun oral mucosa in mice at a dducts or protein oxidat <u>a et al., 2008</u>) kidative stress in human nough this also occurred toxicity (<u>Thompson et a</u>	Biologically plausible mechanistic evidence supports involvement of oxidative stress in the histopathological findings of degenerative effects, although there are some inconsistencies in the animal findings in the GI tract following oral exposures. Evidence of increased cell proliferation in affected	The evidence is inadequate to determine whether Cr(VI) inhalation exposure might be capable of causing noncancer GI effects. No noncancer GI effects were observed following inhalation. As described in Section 3.1, Cr(VI) can expose portal-of-entry tissues, and reduction of Cr(VI) in these tissues and				
Cell proliferation	Interpretation: Evidence of increas histopathological observations of h following oral exposure to Cr(VI) (s measures do not indicate the mole unknown whether they are indicat cell proliferation has not been deter Key findings:	ed cell proliferation is converged cell proliferation is converged as a number of the mouse see animal evidence, about the product of regenerative prolected in the rat oral cavity of the rat orat oral cavity of the rat oral cavit	onsistent with the e small intestine ove), although these oliferation and it is iferation. Increased ity.	tissues is consistent with hyperplasia but cannot be conclusively associated with tissue regeneration following injury.	red blood cells decreases uptake by other organ systems.			

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
	 The cellular replication marker k mucosal cells from the small into water for 7 and 90 days (<u>Rager c</u>) Dose-dependent increases in the oncogenic cell proliferation pror regulator p53, in rat stomach an mg/kg-d Cr(VI) in drinking water drinking water (<u>Tsao et al., 2011</u>) Toxicogenomic analyses of GI tiss identified differentially expresses of c-Myc, MAPK, and a variety o cycle and proliferation (see App. 	Ki-67 was increased in iso estine of mice exposed t et al., 2017; Kopec et al., e protein and gene expro- moter, and downregulation of colon exposed to dose for 60 days of exposure c) essues in Cr(VI)-treated ar ed genes (DEGs) associat f additional pathways as endix C.3.4)	olated duodenal o Cr(VI) via drinking <u>2012a</u>) ession of c-Myc, an ion of cell cycle es as low as 5 to Cr(VI) in himals have ed with activation sociated with cell		

3.2.3. Cancer

1 3.2.3.1. Respiratory Tract Cancer

2 In 1998, the EPA IRIS Toxicological Review of Hexavalent Chromium classified Cr(VI) as a 3 "known human carcinogen by the inhalation route of exposure" based on consistent evidence that 4 inhaled Cr(VI) causes lung cancer in humans and supporting evidence of carcinogenicity in animals. 5 The same conclusion has since been reached by other authoritative federal and state health 6 agencies and international organizations and the carcinogenicity of Cr(VI) is considered to be well 7 established for inhalation exposures (TCEQ, 2014; IPCS, 2013; NIOSH, 2013b; IARC, 2012; CalEPA, 8 2011; NTP, 2011; OSHA, 2006). Thus, the current review of cancer by the inhalation route adopts 9 the same EPA cancer descriptor for this route, *carcinogenic to humans*, and the analyses focus on 10 data that may improve the quantitative exposure-response analysis conducted in EPA's 1998 IRIS 11 assessment, as stated in the 2014 preliminary packages (U.S. EPA, 2014b, c) and the Systematic Review Protocol (Appendix A). An overview of the literature screening and study evaluation for 12 13 exposure-response data is presented in Section 4.4. 14 3.2.3.2. Gastrointestinal Tract Cancer 15 Human Evidence via the Oral Route of Exposure 16 Study evaluation summary 17 Three studies analyzed stomach cancer risk in populations exposed to Cr(VI) in drinking 18 water. Three additional studies were identified but excluded due to critically deficient ratings in at 19 least one domain, and are not discussed further (Fryzek et al., 2001; Bick et al., 1996; Bednar and 20 Kies, 1991). The three included, *low* confidence studies are ecological analyses of cancer mortality 21 in residential populations with potential exposure to Cr(VI)-contaminated drinking water in China 22 and Greece (Table 3-11). 23 Two of the studies were ecological analyses of cancer mortality in relation to groundwater 24 contamination in the same exposed population in Liaoning Province, China (Kerger et al., 2009; 25 Beaumont et al., 2008). The Beaumont et al. (2008) and Kerger et al. (2009) studies are reanalyses 26 of Zhang and Li (1987), the original scientific report published in the Chinese Journal of Preventive 27 Medicine. Another publication, Zhang and Li (1997), has been challenged for conflict-of-interest due

- 28 to undisclosed funding²⁷. Investigators compared cancer mortality rates (total between
- 29 1970–1978) between five contaminated regions identified along a groundwater plume of Cr(VI)
- 30 and four presumed uncontaminated regions surrounding a ferrochromium production plant. The
- 31 contaminated areas included five communities downgradient of the alloy plant along a dry riverbed
- 32 where plant wastewater effluent from chromium smelting had been disposed since 1960. The

²⁷<u>Zhang and Li (1997</u>) was retracted by the journal because "financial and intellectual input to the paper by outside parties was not disclosed" (<u>Smith, 2008</u>; <u>Brandt-Rauf, 2006</u>).

- 1 communities without contamination included the town adjacent to the alloy plant (TangHeZi) and
- 2 three agricultural areas to the north, west and south. Another study with an ecological design, Linos
- 3 et al. (2011), analyzed cancer mortality and Cr(VI) exposure via drinking water in Oinofita
- 4 municipality, Greece, with data on residents from 1999–2009. Processed liquid industrial waste
- 5 containing Cr(VI) was dumped into Asopos River starting around 1969, which was the source for
- 6 drinking water in wells within the municipality from 1970–2009 (Linos et al., 2011).
- 7 The definition of Cr(VI) exposure in these studies was based on living in towns or areas
- 8 proximate to contaminated rivers, which were the source of drinking water, and assumed
- 9 consumption. Individual-level data on the source or amount of drinking water consumed was not
- 10 collected. Sampling to measure Cr(VI) concentrations in drinking water was limited in terms of
- 11 timespan as well as geographical coverage. In addition, only drinking water in the areas with
- 12 suspected contamination was sampled; Cr(VI) concentrations were not measured in drinking water
- 13 in areas considered to be unexposed, which could lead to unrecognized exposure and subsequent
- 14 misclassification (Linos et al., 2011; Kerger et al., 2009; Beaumont et al., 2008). Based on data for
- 15 Liaoning Province reported by the Jinzhou Health and Anti-epidemic Station in 1986,
- 16 concentrations of Cr(VI) in drinking water (analytical methods were not available) in 1965, when
- 17 the contamination was identified, ranged between 0.002–20.0 mg/L in villages along the plume
- 18 that extended from the disposal site located near the chromium alloy plant (Kerger et al., 2009;
- 19 <u>Beaumont et al., 2008</u>). Well water samples collected in Oinofita municipality between 2007–2010
- 20 ranged between 0.010–0.156 mg/L (<u>Linos et al., 2011</u>).

21 The studies of both populations were classified as *low* confidence, primarily due to 22 limitations in the exposure assessment. In each study, exposure was defined at the population level; 23 no individual-level exposure assignments were possible. Beaumont et al. (2008) and Kerger et al. 24 (2009) assigned exposure status based on residence information in the death certificate. Residence 25 at the time of death may not represent residence location – and thus inferred Cr(VI) exposure – at 26 the critical time window for initiation and progression of cancer, although such misclassification of 27 the exposure proxy is expected to be nondifferential. In addition, the duration of follow-up in both 28 studies was not adequate to allow for the long latency of cancer development. These limitations are 29 expected to result in bias in a direction toward a null association. Finally, age-adjusted site-specific 30 cancer mortality by region for the study years in China was not available to the investigators and

31 had to be estimated using other available data.

Table 3-11. Summary of human studies for Cr(VI) cancer of the GI tract and
overall confidence classification. <u>Click to see interactive data graphic for rating</u>
<u>rationales</u> .

Author (Year)	Location	Exposure Assessment	Study Design	Selection	Exposure	Outcome	Confounding	Analysis	Sensitivity	Self-reporting	Overall confidence
<u>Beaumont et al.</u> (2008) ^ª	Liaoning Province, China	Communities downstream of a ferrochromium plant versus unexposed communities (assumed)	Semi-ecologic cancer mortality	D	D	D	D	A	D	A	Low
<u>Kerger et al.</u> (2009)ª	Liaoning Province, China	Communities downstream of a ferrochromium plant versus unexposed communities (assumed)	Semi-ecologic cancer mortality	D	D	D	D	А	D	A	Low
<u>Linos et al.</u> (2011)	Oinofita, Greece	Residents of Oinofita, a contaminated region versus surrounding residents	Semi-ecologic cancer mortality	A	D	A	A	A	D	A	Low

G = good; A = adequate; D = deficient.

^aStudies are reanalyses of Zhang and Li (<u>1997</u>; <u>1987</u>).

Each of the three studies selected the referent, or unexposed population, as the larger area 1 2 surrounding the exposed area (Linos et al., 2011; Kerger et al., 2009; Beaumont et al., 2008), and 3 were not able to account for differing lifestyles, occupational histories, or background rates of 4 cancer in the referent population that may influence cancer risk. <u>Beaumont et al. (2008)</u> compared 5 cancer mortality in the contaminated villages to mortality in either the surrounding unexposed 6 villages, or the entire Liaoning Province, with both comparison groups including the industrial city 7 of TangHeZi. Larger populations, such as a province or state, have the advantage of providing 8 relatively stable estimates, particularly for low-incident events such as site-specific cancers, but 9 may obscure differences by demographic and other characteristics important for the study 10 population. Kerger et al. (2009) compared cancer mortality in the chromium-exposed agricultural 11 areas to the unexposed agricultural areas and to the unexposed city of TangHeZi separately to 12 address potential residual confounding by demographic and socioeconomic factors. Mortality rates 13 for stomach cancer in TangHeZi were lower than those in the unexposed agricultural areas. 14 Although an analysis of gastric cancer rates in China in 1990–1992 showed lower mortality rates in 15 urban areas (15.3 per 100,000) compared with rural areas (24.4 per 100,000), possibly in response 16 to economic development and urbanization (e.g., sanitation, refrigeration) (Yang, 2006), this same 17 study reported little difference between urban and rural rates in 1973–1975 (20.1 and 19.4 per 18 100,000 in urban and rural areas, respectively), the relevant time period with respect to the

- 1 Liaoning Province studies given the anticipated latency of cancer development and diagnosis
- 2 following the onset of exposure. Therefore, while it is possible that demographic differences
- 3 influenced the difference in mortality rates, another factor may have been statistical instability due
- 4 to small population sizes.

5 Synthesis of human evidence

- 6 Results of the studies on Cr(VI) oral exposure and cancer are presented in Table 3-12. The
- 7 analyses of stomach cancer in two exposed populations in Liaoning Province, China, and Oinofita,
- 8 Greece, showed an association with Cr(VI), although effect estimates were imprecise. While the
- 9 results of two reanalyses of Zhang and Li (<u>1987</u>) indicated an increased risk when comparing the
- 10 exposed villages to the unexposed referent group, inclusion of the industrial city of TangHeZi in the
- 11 referent group increased the magnitude of the relative risk, which became statistically significant
- 12 (including TangHeZi, RR 1.82, 95% CI: 1.11, 2.91; excluding TangHeZi, RR 1.22, 95% CI: 0.74, 2.01)
- 13 (Kerger et al., 2009; Beaumont et al., 2008). The mortality rate from stomach cancer was much
- 14 lower in TangHeZi, the reason why inclusion of the city was influential. However, Beaumont et al.
- 15 (2008) also used the mortality experience of the larger province as a referent and observed an
- 16 elevated, statistically significant risk (SMR: 1.69, 95% CI: 1.12–2.44). Unfortunately, the number of
- 17 deaths from stomach cancer was not reported for one of the villages with higher contamination
- 18 levels, which makes it difficult to compare results between the two studies.

Reference	Exposure	Cancer Deaths (N)	Relative Risk	Ratio Measure (95% Cl) N
Linos et al. (2011) Oinofita, Greece	Cr(VI) in drinking water Mortality in exposed areas compared to surrounding area (assumed to be unexposed)	All cancers (118) Stomach (6)ª	SMR (95% CI)	All cancers: 113.6 (94.1, 136.1) Stomach: 120.9 (44.4, 263.2)
Beaumont et al. (2008) Liaoning Province, China	Cr(VI) in drinking water Mortality in exposed communities compared to nearby regions (assumed to be unexposed) and to province as a whole	All cancer (262) ^b Stomach cancer (75) ^{b, c}	Rate ratio (95% CI)	Compared to unexposed regions: All cancers: 1.13 (0.86, 1.46) Stomach: 1.82 (1.11, 2.91) Compared to larger province: All cancers: 1.23 (0.97, 1.53) Stomach: 1.69 (1.12, 2.44)

Table 3-12. Associations between drinking water exposures to Cr(VI) and cancer in *low* confidence epidemiology studies

Reference	Exposure	Cancer Deaths (N)	Relative Risk	Ratio Measure (95% Cl) N
<u>Kerger et al.</u> (2009) Liaoning Province, China	Cr(VI) in drinking water Mortality in exposed communities (C) compared to (A) industrial town, or (B) three unexposed agricultural villages	All cancer (263) ^b Stomach cancer (89) ^{b, c}	Rate ratio (95% CI)	C vs. B All cancers: 1.10 (0.80, 1.51) Stomach: 1.22 (0.74, 2.01) B vs. A All cancers: 1.03 (0.77, 1.39) Stomach: 1.70 (1.00, 2.89) C vs. A All cancers: 1.14 (0.85, 1.52) Stomach: 2.07 (1.25, 3.44)

^aSite-specific cancer risk presented for number of cases >5.

^bNumber deaths in the study villages were estimated as described by authors.

^cMortality rates were missing for stomach cancer in one contaminated village, Nuer River Village.

1 The studies of both of the populations exposed to Cr(VI) in drinking water reported 2 increased SMRs when their mortality experience was compared to unexposed communities in the 3 surrounding areas. These estimates were imprecise and changed in magnitude depending on the 4 definition of the unexposed communities. The lack of individual estimates of exposure, the 5 uncertain nature of the mortality data, and the potential impact of confounding by differences in

6 SES between comparison groups make it difficult to draw any conclusions.

7 <u>Human Evidence via the Inhalation Route of Exposure</u>

8 EPA conducted a review and meta-analysis of GI cancer risk from studies of workers with 9 occupational inhalation exposure to Cr(VI). Exposure via inhalation may pose an increased risk of 10 cancer in the GI tract in occupationally exposed populations either as a result of systemic 11 absorption and distribution, or via deposition in airways, mucociliary clearance, and swallowing of 12 particles (Sedman et al., 2006). Numerous studies have evaluated the association between Cr(VI) 13 exposure and cancers of the GI tract, including at least three recent meta-analyses (Deng et al., 14 2019; Suh et al., 2019; Welling et al., 2015) and two older meta-analyses (Gatto et al., 2010; Cole 15 and Rodu, 2005) (Table 3-13). These meta-analyses varied in their scope and the specific research 16 question under study. Among the more recent meta-analyses, the Welling et al. study (Welling et al., 17 2015) concluded that Cr(VI) exposure was associated with increased risks of stomach cancer, while 18 Suh et al. (2019) had the opposite conclusion; the work by Deng et al. (2019), which considered 19 additional cancer sites, concluded that there was no evidence for increased risk of death due to 20 digestive system cancers overall, but that the findings for rectal cancer specifically were suggestive 21 of increased risk, and the risk of oral cancer incidence (not mortality) was significantly increased. 22 EPA performed an updated literature search to identify studies for inclusion in a new meta-analysis 23 of Cr(VI) exposure in relation to GI tract cancers. The goal of the meta-analysis was to calculate 24 summary effect estimates for persons with likely occupational exposure to Cr(VI) from an updated 25 set of studies with similar design. Methods for the systematic review and meta-analysis are in

Appendix C.3.1.

Study	Outcome	Included	Excluded	Summary effect estimate and 95% confidence interval for specified cancer sites (number of included studies)
<u>Cole and</u> <u>Rodu</u> (2005)	Relative risk (RR) estimates for stomach cancer	Began with set of known relevant studies, then performed a literature search; included those published after 1950	'no usable data'; 'occupational settings with little or no chrome exposure'	Stomach (n = 32): 1.13 (1.03, 1.24)
<u>Gatto et al.</u> (2010)	Measures of effect or data available to calculate relative risk (RR) for GI tract cancers	Published after 1950; occupational exposure (inhalation or ingestion); exposure potential stated explicitly or from industry with recognized exposure potential: chromate production, stainless-steel welding, chrome pigment production, chrome plating, ferrochrome production		Esophagus (n = 15): 1.17 (0.90, 1.51) Stomach (n = 29): 1.09 (0.93, 1.28) Colon (n = 13): 0.89 (0.70, 1.12) Rectum (n = 20): 1.17 (0.98, 1.39)
<u>Welling et</u> <u>al. (2015)</u>	Relative risk (RR) estimates for stomach cancer	Chromate or chromium production and plating; leather work and tanning; Portland cement work; and stainless-steel production, welding, polishing and grinding	Occupations such as painting, general foundry work, construction and shoe (non- leather) manufacturing; Welding or metal plating studies that did not evaluate stainless-steel or chromium work; Studies involving work with asbestos cement	Stomach (n = 56): 1.27 (1.18, 1.38)
<u>Deng et al.</u> (2019)	Standardized mortality or incidence ratio (SMR or SIR) estimates for cancer of the digestive system	"the exposure factor was clear and exposure was to Cr(VI)" Chromate production, cement production, cement industry workers, aircraft manufacturing workers, chromium platers, tanners, welders, masons	Occupational exposure to materials other than Cr(VI), such as asbestos or nickel; professions such as shoemaking (non-leather) or general building work. Based on study quality evaluation using Newcastle-Ottawa scale, excluded studies with ratings <6	Esophagus (n = 14): 0.88 (0.73, 1.05) Stomach (n = 33): 0.93 (0.78, 1.09) Colon (n = 12): 1.06 (0.93, 1.21) Rectum (n = 23): 1.14 (0.98, 1.33)

Table 3-13. Meta-analyses of GI tract cancers and Cr(VI) occupational exposure

Study	Outcome	Included	Excluded	Summary effect estimate and 95% confidence interval for specified cancer sites (number of included studies)
<u>Suh et al.</u> (2019)	Stomach cancer morbidity and/or mortality	Chromate production, stainless-steel welding, chrome pigment production, chrome plating/ electroplating, ferrochrome production industries, Leather tanners (if indicate exposure to Cr(VI) or process such as "two bath" process), Cement workers (if involved cement production); Other occupations if Cr(VI) exposure indicated by authors	PMR studies, Registry studies where 'Specifications of Cr(VI) exposures are not indicated by the authors"—includes studies such as <u>Andersen et al. (1999)</u> and <u>Pukkala et al. (2009)</u> . Based on study quality evaluations using NTP OHAT Risk of Bias Rating Tool for Human and Animal Studies, tiered studies and excluded tier 3.	Stomach (n = 44): 1.08 (0.96, 1.21)

1 Occupational studies that analyzed cancer risks related to Cr(VI) exposure were identified 2 as part of the overall assessment search strategy process described in the Cr(VI) Protocol (U.S. EPA, 3 2019). This search strategy, which was conditioned on terms for Cr(VI), identified 35 potentially 4 relevant citations. Since these searches only identified references that mentioned chromium or 5 related terms in the title or abstract, an additional search strategy was developed to identify studies 6 of occupational groups with likely exposure to Cr(VI). The search terms and literature identification 7 results are found in Appendix C.3.1. In total, 35 references from the previous literature searches for 8 the assessment, 93 references from the subsequent occupationally-focused search for the meta-9 analysis, and 20 references identified by looking through the reference lists in the three most recent 10 meta-analyses were included in this review. Of these, 21 studies were not included because they 11 were earlier follow-ups with more recent reports available, the cohorts were not exposed to Cr(VI), 12 or they did not contain results for site-specific GI tract cancers. 13 A comparison of the studies included in the three most recent meta-analyses and this 14 analysis with a rationale for decisions to exclude is in the appendix (Table C-52, Section C.3.1). The 15 studies included in each meta-analysis comprised a partially overlapping set of studies reflecting 16 the various time periods used for the literature searches, the inclusion criteria, and the results of

- 17 the evaluations of study "quality" used in the studies. The meta-analyses focused on the studies
- 18 considered to be *medium* or *high* overall confidence for which EPA had greater certainty in the
- 19 exposure assessment for Cr(VI) and minimal concern for other sources of bias. In this analysis, the
- 20 primary reason for considering a study to be of low confidence was that exposure to Cr(VI) in the
- 21 population was too uncertain.

1 The studies included in EPA's meta-analysis reported a variety of effect estimates, including 2 standardized incidence or mortality ratios, standardized risk ratios, odds ratios, and proportionate 3 mortality ratios. Studies that calculated proportionate mortality ratios were not included. In some 4 instances, multiple risk estimates were reported—for example, for men or women separately, for 5 exposure or occupational subgroups, or by latency period. A priori, risk estimates were preferred if 6 they (1) were adjusted for potential confounders including age, sex, time period, and geographic 7 region; (2) were estimated for the longest latency period; (3) were from the most recent follow-up 8 of a specific study cohort; (4) were estimated for the most highly exposed subgroup of the study 9 population. When reviewing the studies captured by the literature search and evaluation of the 10 studies, there were some cancer sites or groupings that were difficult to reconcile across studies 11 due to differences in ICD codes included, for example, or changes in coding practices and diagnostic 12 naming conventions over time and across geographical sites. Consequently, it was hard to 13 determine whether the same cancer sites were contained within some of the groupings. Further, in 14 some cases the number of studies for a given cancer site was small enough (and heterogenous 15 enough) that a meta-analysis seemed unlikely to yield useful information. Consequently, a 16 quantitative meta-analysis was performed to derive summary risk estimates for a subset of GI tract 17 cancers by site: esophagus, stomach, colon, and rectum. For each of these four sites, there was a 18 larger number of studies to include in a summary effect estimate, and these studies used relatively 19 consistent definitions for these specific cancer sites. 20 Separate meta-analyses were performed to obtain summary estimates from studies 21 reporting odds ratios (stomach cancer, esophageal cancer), and from studies reporting SMR, SIR, or 22 SRR estimates (all four sites). All analyses were performed using the 'metafor' package in R 23 (Viechtbauer, 2010), with a random effects model. This package was also used to generate forest 24 plots (see Figures 3-15 to 3-21). The potential for publication bias was evaluated using the Egger's 25 test (Egger et al., 1997) for funnel plot asymmetry. The I² statistic value for each study is used to

represent the percentage of variation across studies that is due to heterogeneity rather thanchance.

28 As shown in Table 3-14, the summary effect estimates showed small increases in risk for 29 each cancer site associated with Cr(VI) exposure, although only the estimate for rectal cancer was 30 statistically significant. There were few studies reporting odds ratios, but in each case (esophagus 31 and stomach), summary effect estimates based on these studies were somewhat higher compared 32 with summary estimates based on other relative risk measures (although neither odds ratio-based 33 estimate was statistically significant). There was no evidence of funnel plot asymmetry based on 34 Egger's regression test, indicating that publication bias was not likely to be present. 35 Summary effect estimates were also derived for each cancer site, stratified by occupational 36 grouping (see Appendix Table C-45). This separation by occupational grouping did show some 37 expected patterns for colon cancer risk estimates in that the occupations with a higher certainty of

38 exposure to Cr(VI) (i.e., ferrochromium, chromate production, stainless-steel workers, chromium

- 1 pigment exposed workers) showed higher summary effect estimates. However, there remained
- 2 inconsistencies among the studies overall, and the results for cancer of the rectum did not show a
- 3 similar pattern of risk. The results of these more detailed analyses are discussed in Appendix
- 4 C.3.1.3.

Number of Summary effect Cancer individual effect estimate (95% p-value for funnel estimates confidence interval) plot asymmetry Site Effect Estimate Type Esophagus Odds Ratio 2 1.43 (0.19, 11.09) Not computed Relative Risk (SMR, SIR, or 21 1.08 (0.92, 1.37)^a 0.33 SRR) Stomach Odds Ratio 4 1.38 (0.77, 2.49) 0.79 48 Relative Risk (SMR, SIR, or 1.01 (0.89, 1.15) 0.08 SRR) Relative Risk (SMR, SIR, or 1.10 (0.97, 1.25) Colon 19 0.53 SRR) Relative Risk (SMR, SIR, or 32 1.18 (1.01, 1.37) 0.94 Rectum SRR)

Table 3-14. Summary effect estimates from random effects meta-analysis, by cancer site and type of effect estimate

^aWarning displayed during estimation of the summary estimate indicates that results may not be stable due to the large range of sampling variance between included estimates.

5 Due to misclassification and heterogeneity of Cr(VI) exposure among and within the 6 included studies, there may have been a decreased ability to detect an association if it existed. 7 Although this analysis included studies that analyzed associations among occupational groups or 8 subgroups with greater certainty of exposure to Cr(VI), variation in the prevalence, frequency and 9 magnitude of exposure is likely within the exposure groups. Other factors that could contribute to 10 the observed heterogeneity of risk estimates include presence of co-exposures and bias due to the 11 use of occupational cohorts. Cancer risk in these industries is likely affected by prevalent exposures 12 to other carcinogens in addition to Cr(VI), which would vary both within and across occupational 13 groupings. As noted in Appendix Table C-51, two industry groupings with higher certainty of Cr(VI) 14 prevalence, ferrochromium, chromate production, and stainless-steel workers, and chromium 15 pigment exposed workers, had occupational settings characterized by different co-exposures, 16 which argues against a strong common confounder. In some cases, authors did attempt to adjust for 17 co-exposures or restrict the study population to minimize their effect. The majority of the studies 18 estimated relative risk using SMRs, which also are subject to a bias toward the null due to the 19 healthy worker effect. The summary effect estimates for esophageal and stomach cancers calculated 20 using odds ratios from the few case-control studies was not subject to this bias and indicated a higher risk. However, these odds ratio estimates are based on very few studies and are highly 21 22 uncertain.

1 Previous meta-analyses reported summary effect estimates for stomach cancer which

- 2 ranged between 0.93 (<u>Deng et al., 2019</u>) to 1.27 (<u>Welling et al., 2015</u>). A statistically significant
- 3 increase in risk of stomach cancer was reported from two of the previous five estimates (<u>Welling et</u>
- 4 <u>al., 2015; Cole and Rodu, 2005</u>). This assessment's finding of no increased risk (summary relative
- 5 risk of 1.01) is within the range of these previous estimates. Two of the five previous meta-analyses
- 6 included estimates for cancers of the esophagus, colon and rectum (<u>Deng et al., 2019</u>; <u>Gatto et al.</u>,
- 7 <u>2010</u>). This assessment's summary estimate of 1.08 for esophageal cancer was not significantly
- 8 elevated, and was slightly less than that from <u>Gatto et al. (2010</u>). The effect estimate for colon
- 9 cancer of 1.10 (95% CI: 0.97, 1.25), was close to the estimate reported by <u>Deng et al. (2019)</u>. Finally,
- 10 this assessment's estimate of rectal cancer risk was significantly elevated, and very similar to those
- 11 previously reported (1.18, 95% CI: 1.01, 1.37), compared with 1.17 (<u>Gatto et al., 2010</u>) and 1.14
- 12 (<u>Deng et al., 2019</u>)).
- 13 <u>Animal Evidence via the Oral Route of Exposure</u>

14 Synthesis of neoplastic animal evidence

- Neoplastic lesions following oral administration of Cr(VI) via drinking water were observed in the 2-year study conducted by NTP (2008) in both sexes of B6C3F1 mice and F344/N rats. This was the only animal study examining the potential for tumor development via the oral route of exposure and was rated as *high* confidence. An overview of the confidence classification for the GI histopathology reported in this study can be found in Section 3.2.2, Table 3-8 and in <u>HAWC</u>.
- 20 In this study, both sexes of F344/N rats exhibited an increased incidence of squamous cell 21 carcinomas or papillomas in the oral cavity (mucosa or tongue), uncommon tumor types. Tumor 22 incidence was statistically significant at the highest doses tested, 6.07 and 7.13 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d in 23 male and female rats, respectively. The overall tumor incidence at the high dose was 14% in male 24 rats and 22% in female rats (NTP. 2008), as compared to no tumors in control males and 2% 25 incidence in females. There was also a nonsignificant, low incidence (4%) of oral cavity tumors in 26 female rats receiving 2.6 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d. Microscopic examination of the tumors present in the oral 27 cavity of rats indicated they were highly invasive, originating in the oral mucosa of the palate 28 adjacent to the upper molar teeth with spread to the tongue, Harderian gland, the soft tissues
- surrounding the nose, and the brain (<u>NTP, 2008</u>).

30 In the same study, male and female B6C3F1 mice exhibited increased incidences of 31 adenomas and carcinomas in the small intestine, with most tumors occurring in the duodenal 32 section most proximal to the stomach. In male mice, there was a significant trend for increased 33 incidence of adenoma and carcinomas in the small intestine. Statistically significant increases in 34 adenomas or carcinomas were observed at doses $\geq 2.4 \text{ mg Cr(VI)/kg-d}$ with an overall incidence of 35 40% at the high dose (<u>NTP, 2008</u>). Female mice also showed a significant trend for increased 36 incidence of adenomas and carcinomas in the small intestine. At doses \geq 3.24 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d, 37 incidence of adenomas was statistically significantly increased and reached up to 44%. While most

- 1 tumors in both sexes were located in the duodenum (first section of the small intestine), female
- 2 mice also showed a significant increase (10%) in overall incidence in the jejunum (middle section of
- 3 the small intestine). Histopathological evaluation of the adenomas in mice were described as
- 4 discrete, broad based and focally extensive; composed of irregular, elongated crypts; epithelial cells
- 5 with oval to elongated nuclei; and increased mitotic activity (<u>NTP, 2008</u>). Carcinomas were
- 6 characterized as extensive with invasion of the submucosa and/or muscularis mucosa; epithelial
- 7 cells with round, oval, or elongated nuclei; and with atypical mitosis that was of greater extent than
- 8 observed in adenomas.
- 9

The data for both species and sexes are summarized in Table 3-15 and Figure 3-15.

Tumor type and sp	Administered mg/L, mg/kg-d Cr(VI) ^a and incidence/total					
	0 mg/L	5	10	30	90	
Male B6C3F1	mice	0 mg/kg-d	0.450	0.914	2.40	5.70
Adenomas (duodenum)	enomas (duodenum) 1/50			1/50	5/50	15/50*
Carcinomas (duodenum)		0/50	0/50	0/50	2/50	3/50
Adenomas or carcinomas	Incidence / Total	1/50	3/50	2/50	7/50*	20/50*
(duodenum, jejunum, or ileum)	Incidence / Total (adj) ^b	1/50	3/49	2/49	7/50*	20/50*
Animals dead prior to day 365		0	1	1	0	0
Formula R6C2F1	mino	0 mg/L	5	20	60	180
remaie Bocsri	L mice	0 mg/kg-d	0.302	1.18	3.24	8.89
Adenomas (duodenum)	0/50	0/50	2/50	13/50*	12/50*	
Carcinomas (duodenum)		0/50	0/50	0/50	1/50	6/50*
Adenomas or carcinomas	Incidence / Total	1/50	1/50	4/50	17/50*	22/50*
(duodenum, jejunum, or ileum)	Incidence / Total (adj) ^b	1/49	1/50	4/49	17/50*	22/49*
Animals dead prior to day 365		1	0	1	0	1
Male E2/14 r	0 mg/L	5	20	60	180	
Iviale F544 I	ats	0 mg/kg-d	0.200	0.760	2.10	6.07
Squamous cell carcinoma (oral muc	cosa)	0/50	0/50	0/49	0/50	6/49*
Squamous cell papilloma (oral muc	osa)	0/50	0/50	0/49	0/50	1/49
Squamous cell carcinoma (tongue)		0/49	1/50	0/47	0/49	0/48
Squamous cell papilloma (tongue)		0/49	0/50	0/47	0/49	1/48
Squamous cell carcinoma or	Incidence / Total	0/50	1/50	0/49	0/50	7/49*
papilloma (oral mucosa or tongue)	Incidence / Total (adj) ^b	0/50	1/47	0/47	0 2.10 9 0/50 9 0/50 7 0/49 7 0/49 9 0/50 7 0/50 7 0/50	7/49*
Animals dead prior to day 365	·	0	3	2	0	0
		0 mg/L	5	20	60	180
Female F344	rats	0 mg/kg-d	0.248	0.961	2.60	7.13
Squamous cell carcinoma (oral mud	cosa)	0/50	0/50	0/50	2/50	11/50*
Squamous cell carcinoma (tongue)		0/45	0/49	0/48	1/48	0/48
Squamous cell carcinoma (oral	Incidence / Total	1/50	1/50	0/50	2/50	11/50*
mucosa or tongue)	Incidence / Total (adj) ^b	1/50	1/50	0/50	2/50	11/50*
Animals dead prior to day 365	·	0	0	0	0	0

Table 3-15. Data on neoplastic lesions in a *high* confidence study of rats and mice (<u>NTP, 2008</u>)

^aTime-weighted average daily doses calculated from NTP water consumption data.

^bTumor incidences adjusted based on the number of animals surviving beyond 365 days. First tumor onset: 451 days for intestinal tumors in mice, and 506 days for oral tumors in rats (both occuring at the highest doses). ^cFor tissues where an effect was not observed, incidence data were not provided by NTP and were therefore not

included in this table (i.e., there were no squamous cell papillomas in the oral cavity of female rats).

*Denotes significant difference from the control group reported by <u>NTP (2008)</u> using the Poly-3 test (p < 0.05).



Figure 3-15. Fractional incidence of mice with adenomas or carcinomas in the small intestine (SI tumors), and fractional incidence of rats with squamous cell carcinomas or papillomas in the oral mucosa or tongue (oral tumors). Data presented on a basis of (A) administered mg/L Cr(VI), where incidence data for male and females were combined, and (B) administered mg/kg-d Cr(VI), where incidence data for males and females are separated due to differences in water intake and dose. For mice, both males and females were exposed to 5 mg/L, while all other nonzero doses differed between males and females. For rats, both males and females were exposed to the same mg/L Cr(VI) concentration levels. Incidence data adjusted for rodents surviving at least one year.

Notably, at the lower doses, incidences of specific neoplasms in the GI tract observed during 1 2 the 2-year study exceeded NTP historical controls in both B6C3F1 mice and F344 rats. Therefore, 3 some tumors which were not statistically significant versus concurrent controls at low doses may 4 be biologically significant due to the increasing trend and low historical control incidence 5 (Appendix D.5). Tumors of the oral cavity are rare (Ibrahim et al., 2021; Leininger and Schutten, 6 2018; Chandra et al., 2010). In the 2-year NTP (2008) bioassay, one squamous cell carcinoma was 7 identified in the tongue of a male rat in the lowest dose group (0.2 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d), and in the 8 tongue of a female rat at 2.6 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d. The historical controls for squamous cell carcinoma of 9 the tongue are 0/1398 for male rats and 1/1350 for female rats (see Appendix D.5). The historical 10 rates of squamous cell carcinomas and papillomas in the whole oral cavity in rats are less than 1% in both males and females. In the 2-year bioassay, there was an increasing trend in these tumor 11 12 types in both male and female rats (Figure 3-15), with a 22% incidence in female rats at the highest dose. Tumors of the small intestine of mice are also rare (historical rates of 2.3% and 0.67% in 13 14 males and females, respectively). These tumors were observed in all exposed groups of mice 15 (including 3/49 at the lowest dose in males), with an incidence of $\geq 40\%$ in the highest dose groups in both sexes. One tumor each was observed in the control groups of male and female mice (leading 16 17 to a 2% incidence for controls). In general, historically, rats are more prone to oral cancer 18 development than mice, and mice are more prone to neoplasia in the small intestine (Ibrahim et al.,

> This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy. DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

2021; Chandra et al., 2010) (Appendix D.5). The reason is unknown, but likely multifactorial in 1 2 nature, possibly involving differences in the microbiome (Ibrahim et al., 2021).

3

3.2.3.3. Mechanistic Evidence (all routes)

4 Cr(VI) is a human lung carcinogen when inhaled. When ingested, Cr(VI) has been shown to 5 cause tumors in the GI tract in animals exposed in drinking water (NTP, 2008) and there are 6 indications from a meta-analysis of chromate-exposed workers that Cr(VI) are carcinogenic in the 7 GI tract in humans (see above). Evidence relevant to the potential key events and pathways 8 involved in Cr(VI)-induced cancer via oral or inhalation exposures was systematically identified 9 (Section 1.2) and is presented in detail in Appendix C.3.2 organized by the key characteristics of 10 carcinogens (Smith et al., 2016). The key characteristics of Cr(VI) with the largest evidence bases 11 and most relevant study designs are DNA reactivity (electrophilicity/formation of DNA adducts). genotoxicity, altered DNA repair processes and genomic instability, epigenetic effects, oxidative 12 13 stress, and altered cell division and death. This evidence, along with the evidence of tumors and 14 preneoplastic lesions from animal bioassays and from gene expression (Appendix C.3.3) and 15 toxicogenomic studies (Appendix C.3.4), informed the identification of the steps and key events 16 involved in Cr(VI)-induced cancer as described in EPA's cancer guidelines (U.S. EPA, 2005a). 17 There are multiple mechanistic processes induced by Cr(VI) exposure that appear to 18 contribute to carcinogenesis. The large majority of the mechanistic evidence relevant to 19 interpretations of upstream mechanistic processes induced by Cr(VI) that may lead to 20 tumorigenesis has been summarized in Appendix C.3 and in Section 3.2.3.4. The key events 21 identified to be involved in the carcinogenic process induced by Cr(VI) are the distribution, cellular 22 uptake, and intracellular reduction of Cr(VI); the DNA reactivity of chromium and the formation of 23 Cr-DNA adducts; oxidative stress and free radical-induced cytotoxicity and DNA damage; epigenetic 24 modifications; altered DNA repair; the silencing of tumor suppressor genes and the activation of 25 oncogenes; genomic instability; gene and chromosomal mutation; the suppression of apoptosis; 26 cytotoxicity and degenerative cellular changes; cell proliferation and regenerative hyperplasia; and 27 chronic inflammation. The evidence for these key events is summarized in the next section, 3.2.3.4. 28 The studies informing these key events were not evaluated for risk of bias, reporting, and 29 sensitivity concerns using predefined metrics. However, a set of studies with designs best suited to 30 examining whether and to what extent Cr(VI)-induced tumorigenesis involves a mutagenic MOA 31 were prioritized and subject to an additional level of review. This includes studies measuring gene 32 or chromosomal mutation endpoints in occupationally exposed humans and studies in 33 experimental animals in inhalation or oral exposure scenarios (see below). This is because the 34 results of the analyses of whether Cr(VI) acts via a mutagenic MOA for cancer can influence dose-35 response decisions, including the application of age-dependent adjustment factors (ADAFs) and

36 low-dose linear extrapolation (U.S. EPA, 2005b). It is also for this reason that this MOA analysis

37 includes consideration of both GI and lung tumors; although the hazard for lung cancer is not being 38 revisited (see Section 3.2.3.1), a determination of whether a mutagenic MOA is applicable to lung

- 1 tumors is important to consider for dose-response. The summary and evaluation of the mechanistic
- 2 evidence most informative to evaluating the role of mutagenicity is synthesized in the following
- 3 sections. The inferences drawn from these syntheses are then used to construct and analyze a
- 4 mutagenic MOA for carcinogenesis; the MOA analyses for a mutagenic MOA and whether a
- 5 mutagenic MOA could be secondary to tissue injury and compensatory proliferation induced by
- 6 Cr(VI) are presented in Section 3.2.3.4, "Cancer mode-of-action summary."
- 7 <u>Evidence informing a mutagenic MOA</u>
- 8 A mutation is a permanent, transmissible change in the genetic material of an organism. 9 Mutations can be caused by alterations in the DNA sequence of a gene, as well as structural 10 (clastogenic) and numerical (aneugenic) chromosome alterations (Eastmond et al., 2009). 11 Genotoxicity is a more comprehensive term, referring to the ability of an exogenous agent to alter 12 genetic material. Some genotoxicity assays directly measure mutations, while others measure DNA 13 damage; proficient DNA repair of these genetic alterations depends on many factors including the 14 type of genetic damage and the repair capacity of the individual. Although both terms will be used 15 in the following sections, the more inclusive term "genotoxicity" will be used when discussing 16 evidence for a mutagenic MOA in a broader context. Consideration of both types of genotoxicity 17 evidence and a broad survey of multiple genotoxicity endpoints, when available, is important for a 18 comprehensive characterization of an agent's genotoxicity and the underlying genotoxic processes. 19 A large body of evidence is available to inform the genotoxicity of Cr(VI). Many genotoxicity 20 studies of Cr(VI) were conducted in test systems primarily used to screen substances for genotoxic 21 potential, which are useful but also include endpoints measuring genetic damage that may not 22 represent damage that is transmissible to daughter cells, or that use exposure methods that are 23 expected to result in higher concentrations of Cr(VI) at the cell membrane, including i.p. 24 administration and in vitro studies, leading to a greater quantity of Cr(VI) being taken up by the cell 25 and reduced to Cr(III). These studies have largely shown that intracellular Cr(III) can form DNA 26 adducts (reviewed in Zhitkovich (2011)) and is mutagenic (reviewed in Chen et al. (2019), Wise et 27 al. (2018) and Nickens et al. (2010). This section is focused on the phenotypic evidence for Cr(VI)-28 induced genotoxicity; the evidence for the mechanisms underpinning this genotoxicity, including 29 cellular uptake and reduction of Cr(VI) and the formation of Cr-DNA adducts and oxidative DNA 30 lesions, is summarized in the key events for the cancer MOA in Section 3.2.3.4. All studies informing 31 genotoxic mechanisms are considered, but a more specific and critical analysis below focuses on 32 evidence that most directly informs the ability of Cr(VI) to cause mutations in exposed humans. 33 Namely, using the study prioritization and evaluation criteria described in Appendix C.3.2.2, this 34 analysis focuses on studies that use assays to detect transmissible genetic damage (i.e., gene 35 mutation, micronuclei, and chromosomal aberrations) observed in exposed humans or in 36 mammalian test systems in vivo utilizing routes of exposure more applicable to humans (i.e., oral 37 and inhalation).

1 Human study evaluation summary

2 Studies of occupationally or environmentally exposed humans were considered to be most 3 relevant to a mutagenic MOA analysis for cancer if they included measures of gene mutation (prior 4 to tumorigenesis), micronuclei induction, or chromosomal aberrations. Human studies were only 5 considered if they included a comparison or referent population exposed to Cr(VI) at lower levels 6 (or no exposure/exposure below detection limits) or for shorter periods of time. Twenty-nine 7 studies of chromosomal aberrations and/or micronuclei in humans were identified according to 8 these prioritization considerations (see Appendix C.3.2.2) and evaluated for reporting quality, risk 9 of bias and sensitivity. Six studies were considered but deemed uninformative due to critical 10 deficiencies in either the exposure or outcome domain (Wultsch et al., 2017; Coelho et al., 2013; Sellappa et al., 2010; Hilali et al., 2008; Cid et al., 1991; Sarto et al., 1990) and are not discussed 11 12 further. The confidence judgments of the 23 informative studies, all conducted in workers 13 occupationally exposed to Cr(VI) that are expected to primarily be inhalation exposures, are 14 summarized in Table 3-16. All of the included studies were cross-sectional in design, comparing 15 individuals employed in occupations with known potential for chromium exposure to referent 16 groups, with the specific occupations, geographic locations, and exposure measurement methods 17 are summarized in Table 3-16. No oral exposure studies in humans were identified. 18 All studies were categorized as *low* or *medium* confidence. Among *low* confidence studies, 19 common reasons for decreased confidence ratings included small sample size/low power (Linging 20 et al., 2016; Wultsch et al., 2014; Medeiros et al., 2003; Benova et al., 2002; Vaglenov et al., 1999; 21 Deng et al., 1988; Husgafvel-Pursiainen et al., 1982; Sarto et al., 1982), presence of co-exposures to 22 other occupational hazards that may also contribute to the observed genotoxicity (e.g., nickel) not 23 accounted for in the design or analysis (Wultsch et al., 2014; Qayyum et al., 2012; Iarmarcovai et al., 24 2005), residual confounding due to minimal or no control for covariates (Balachandar et al., 2010; 25 Vaglenov et al., 1999; Koshi et al., 1984), limitations in outcome assessment techniques or inadequate reporting (Qayyum et al., 2012; Balachandar et al., 2010; Danadevi et al., 2004; Koshi et 26 27 al., 1984; Littorin et al., 1983; Sarto et al., 1982), and insufficient description to allow for evaluation 28 of potential for bias (including selection bias) (Linging et al., 2016; Oavvum et al., 2012; 29 Balachandar et al., 2010; Halasova et al., 2008; Iarmarcovai et al., 2005; Danadevi et al., 2004; 30 Maeng et al., 2004; Medeiros et al., 2003; Benova et al., 2002; Koshi et al., 1984; Sarto et al., 1982). 31 Among *medium* confidence studies, the most common reason for decreased confidence rating was 32 insufficient description to allow for evaluation of potential for bias (including selection bias) (Long 33 et al., 2019; El Safty et al., 2018; Hu et al., 2018; Halasova et al., 2012). 34 For all studies, exposure to chromium was inferred based on occupational group. Given the 35 likelihood of chromium exposure in the industries evaluated, an exposure assessment that did not 36 include a precise estimate of exposure levels was not identified as a primary limitation in most of 37 these studies for consideration with respect to mechanistic interpretations. However, lack of 38 certainty about differentiation of exposure between comparison groups (including the potential for

- 1 exposure among "controls") was a concern in several studies (<u>Halasova et al., 2012</u>; <u>Vaglenov et al.</u>,
- 2 <u>1999; Migliore et al., 1991; Deng et al., 1988</u>). In all but two studies (<u>Sudha et al., 2011; Migliore et</u>
- 3 <u>al., 1991</u>), chromium biomarker and/or air concentrations were also measured; these data served
- 4 to confirm that exposure occurred and provided context for results, but these measurements were
- 5 not a requirement in the evaluation criteria.

Table 3-16. Summary of included human cross-sectional occupational studies for Cr(VI) mutagenic effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome. <u>Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales</u>.

Author (year)	Industry	Location	Exposure Measurement/Cr Validation Measures	Gene mutation	Chromosomal aberrations	Micronuclei
El Safty et al. (2018)	Chrome electroplating	Egypt	Job category/ serum samples	-	-	Μ
<u>Halasova et al.</u> (2012)	Welding	Slovak Republic	Job category/blood samples	-	Μ	-
<u>Hu et al. (2018)</u> ª	Unspecified factory work with exposure to chromate	China	Job category/ blood and air samples	-	-	Μ
<u>Long et al. (2019)</u>	Chromate production	China	Job category/blood samples	-	-	М
<u>Sudha et al. (2011)</u>	Welding	India	Job category	-	-	Μ
<u>Balachandar et al.</u> (2010)	Tannery	India	Job category/urine and air samples	-	L	L
Benova et al. (2002)	Chrome electroplating	Bulgaria	Job category/urine and air samples	-	L	L
Danadevi et al. (2004)	Welding	India	Job category/ blood samples	-	-	L

Author (year)	Industry	Location	Exposure Measurement/Cr Validation Measures	Gene mutation	Chromosomal aberrations	Micronuclei
<u>Deng et al. (1988)</u>	Chrome electroplating	China	Job category/ air, hair, and stool samples	-	L	-
<u>Halasova et al.</u> (2008)	Welding	Slovak Republic	Job category/ blood samples	-	L	-
<u>Husgafvel-</u> <u>Pursiainen et al.</u> (1982)	Welding	Finland	Job category/ urine samples	-	L	-
larmarcovai et al. (2005)	Welding	France	Job category/blood and urine samples	-	-	L
<u>Koshi et al. (1984)</u>	Stainless-steel welding	Japan	Job category/ urine samples	-	L	-
Linqing et al. (2016)	Chrome electroplating	China	Job category/blood samples	-	-	L
Littorin et al. (1983)	Stainless-steel welding	Sweden	Job category/ urine and air samples	-	L	U
<u>Maeng et al. (2004)</u>	Chrome electroplating and buffing	South Korea	Job category/urine, blood, and air samples	-	L	-
<u>Medeiros et al.</u> (2003)	Stainless-steel welders; Tannery	Portugal	Job category/plasma and urine samples	-	-	L
<u>Migliore et al.</u> (1991)	Tannery	Italy	Job category	-	-	L
<u>Qayyum et al.</u> (2012)	Chrome electroplating	India	Job category/plasma samples	-	-	L
<u>Sarto et al. (1982)</u>	Chrome electroplating	Italy	Job category/urine samples	-	L	-

Author (year)	Industry	Location	Exposure Measurement/Cr Validation Measures	Gene mutation	Chromosomal aberrations	Micronuclei
<u>Vaglenov et al.</u> (1999)	Hydraulic machinery; Chrome electroplating	Bulgaria	Job category/air, red blood cells, urine samples	-	-	L
<u>Wultsch et al.</u> (2014)	Chrome electroplating	Austria	Job category/whole blood samples	-	-	L
<u>Xiaohua et al. (2012)</u>	Chromate production	China	Job category/urine, blood, air samples			L

^aTwo other studies by the same group (<u>Li et al., 2014a</u>; <u>Li et al., 2014b</u>) reported the same micronucleus frequency data and were tagged as "duplicate data" supplemental material.

- 1 Synthesis of human genotoxicity evidence
- 2 Among the 23 informative studies prioritized for evaluating mutagenicity, 16 evaluated
- 3 micronucleus incidence and 10 evaluated chromosomal aberrations (three studies evaluated more
- 4 than one of these endpoints). The study details are summarized in Table 3-17 (more detailed
- 5 summaries can be found in Appendix Table C-54).

Reference	Population	Duration of work in exposed group (mean (SD) yrs)	Cr measurements (mean (SD) unless otherwise indicated)	Endpoints ^b
<u>El Safty et al.</u> (<u>2018)</u> <i>Medium</i> confidence	Cross-sectional study in Egypt Exposed: 41 electroplating workers Referents: 41 administrative workers	26.68 (11.21)	<u>Air</u> (mg/m ³) <i>Total Cr</i> Exposed: median: 15.5 (IQR: 19.0) Referents: median: 0.2 (IQR: 0.4) <u>Blood</u> (μg/L) Exposed: 8.5 (1.3) Referents: 4.1 (1.4)	In exfoliated buccal cells: \uparrow MN in exposed compared to controls ($p < 0.001$) \uparrow serum Cr correlates with \uparrow MN \uparrow serum 8-OHdG in exposed compared to controls ($p < 0.001$)
<u>Halasova et al.</u> (2012) <i>Medium</i> confidence	Cross-sectional study in Slovak Republic Exposed: 73 welders Referents: 73 individuals without known exposures	10.2 (1.7)	<u>Blood</u> (μmol/L) <i>Total Cr</i> Exposed: 0.07 (0.04) Referents: 0.03 (0.007)	In cultured lymphocytes: No significant differences in CAs between exposed and control groups ↑ CAs in individuals with Gln/Gln genotype compared to Arg/Gln or Arg/Arg genotypes in XRCC1 Arg399Gln; more pronounced in Cr- exposed workers (p = 0.01) (no correlation with XRCC3 polymorphisms)
<u>Hu et al. (2018)</u> <i>Medium</i> confidence	Cross-sectional study in China Exposed: 87 workers at factory with chromate exposure Referents: 30 administrative workers	Median: 5.0 IQR: 7.0	<u>Air</u> (μg/m ³) Exposed: median: 15.5 (IQR:19.0) Referents: median: 0.2 (IQR: 0.4) <u>Blood</u> (μg/L) Exposed: GM: 8.5 (1.3) Referents: GM:4.1(1.4)	个 MN in peripheral lymphocytes in exposed workers compared with referent
Long et al. (2019) Medium confidence	Cross-sectional study in China Exposed: 120 chromate production facility workers Referents: 97 unexposed workers at the same factory	14.57 (5.85)	<u>Blood</u> (μg/L) Exposed: median: 2.81 (IQR: 3.86) Referents: median: 0.99 (IQR: 1.21)	↑ MN frequency ratio in lymphocytes of exposed Interactions between Cr exposure and MN frequency in lymphocytes for some SNPs

Table 3-17. Associations between Cr(VI) exposure and prioritized genotoxicity outcomes in epidemiology studies^a

Poforonco	Donulation	Duration of work in exposed group	Cr measurements (mean (SD) unless otherwise	Endnoints ^b
Sudha et al. (2011) Medium confidence	Cross-sectional study in India Exposed: 66 welders Referents: 60 general population controls	Range: 5–20	NR	In exfoliated buccal cells: \uparrow MN frequency and comet tail length in welders compared to controls; increased with duration of work ($p < 0.05$)
<u>Balachandar et</u> <u>al. (2010)</u> <i>Low</i> confidence	Cross-sectional study in India Exposed 1: 36 directly exposed (DE) through tannery work Exposed 2: 36 indirectly exposed (IE) through residential proximity to tanneries Referents: 36 unexposed individuals	DE (tannery) workers (% by duration) 0–5: 17% 5–10: 33% 10–15: 36% 15–20: 11% 20–25: 3%	<u>Air</u> (mg/m ³) <i>Cr(VI)</i> DE 0.021 (0.003) IE: 0.013 (0.005) Referents: 0.006 (0.001) <u>Urine</u> DE: 2.11 (1.01) IE: 1.81 (0.88) Referents: 0.54 (0.39) <i>(Units not provided</i>)	In cultured lymphocytes: ↑ CAs in DE group compared to IE group and controls ↑ MN among directly exposed subjects compared to indirectly exposed & controls; further elevated in those with longer duration of exposure ↑ mean tail length for comet assay in DE group compared to IE group and controls
<u>Benova et al.</u> (2002) <i>Low</i> confidence	Cross-sectional study in Bulgaria Exposed: 15 chrome-plating workers Referents: 23 individuals (15 workers and 8 rural residents)	N by duration: 2–5: 3 6–10: 1 11–15: 4 16–20: 4 >20: 3	$\frac{\text{Air} (\text{mg/m}^3)}{Cr(VI)}$ High exposed workers: 0.0249 (SE: 0.004) Low exposed workers: 0.0075 (SE: 0.001) Referents: 0.0004 (SE: 0) <u>Urine</u> (µg/L) High exposed workers: 104.22 (SE: 27.51) Low exposed workers: 18.63 (SE: 3.16) Referents: 1.18 (SE: 0.23)	In cultured lymphocytes and exfoliated buccal cells: No significant difference in frequencies of CAs or SCEs in exposed workers compared to controls \uparrow MN in workers compared to controls (lymphocytes: $p < 0.01$; buccal: $p < 0.001$)
<u>Danadevi et al.</u> (2004) <i>Low</i> confidence	Cross-sectional study in India Exposed: 102 welders Referents: 102 general population controls	Range: 1–24	<u>Blood</u> (μg/L) Exposed: 151.65 (SD not provided) Referents: 17.86 (SD not provided)	↑ MN in exfoliated buccal cells compared to controls ($p < 0.001$), correlated with duration of work, age, and Cr level in blood ↑ mean comet tail length in whole blood cells compared to controls ($p < 0.001$)

		Duration of work	Cr measurements	
Reference	Population	in exposed group (mean (SD) yrs)	(mean (SD) unless otherwise indicated)	Endpoints ^b
Deng et al. (1988) Low confidence	Cross-sectional study in China Exposed 1: 7 electroplating workers exposed to chromium Exposed 2: 7 electroplating workers exposed to nickel Referents: 10 officer workers	12.8 (range: 4–18)	<u>Air</u> (mg/m ³) <i>Total Cr</i> Workers: 8 × 10 ⁶ (SE: 3.7 × 10 ⁶) <u>Stool</u> (μg/g) Workers: 8.5 (SE: 3.2) <u>Hair</u> (μg/g) Workers: 35.7 (11.5)	In cultured lymphocytes: ↑ CAs in chromium workers compared to nickel workers & controls ↑ SCE in chromium & nickel workers compared to controls
<u>Halasova et al.</u> (<u>2008)</u> <i>Low</i> confidence	Cross-sectional study in Slovak Republic Exposed: 39 welders Referents: 31 individuals without known exposures	10.2 (1.7)	<u>Blood</u> (μmol/L) <i>Total Cr</i> Exposed: 0.07 (0.04) Referents: 0.03 (0.007)	In cultured lymphocytes: Nonsignificant \uparrow CAs in exposed compared to control groups \uparrow CAs ($p < 0.05$) in lymphocytes in individuals with Gln/Gln genotype compared to Arg/Gln or Arg/Arg genotypes in XRCC1 Arg399Gln (no correlation with XRCC3 polymorphisms)
<u>Husgafvel-</u> <u>Pursiainen et al.</u> (1982) <i>Low</i> confidence	Cross-sectional study in Finland Exposed: 23 welders Referents: 22 employees at printing company	21 (10)	<u>Urine</u> (μmol/L) <i>Total Cr</i> Exposed: range: 0.20–1.55	In cultured lymphocytes: No significant differences in frequency of CAs or SCEs between welders and controls

Reference	Population	Duration of work in exposed group (mean (SD) yrs)	Cr measurements (mean (SD) unless otherwise indicated)	Endpoints ^b
<u>larmarcovai et</u> <u>al. (2005)</u> <i>Low</i> confidence	Cross-sectional study in France Exposed: 60 welders n = 27 working in areas "without any collective protection device" n = 33 working in places with "smoke extraction systems Referents: 30 office workers	Range: 0.5–45	<u>Blood</u> (μg/L) Exposed: 123.8–145.8 (58.8– 87.7) ^c Referents: 92.0 (15.0) <u>Urine</u> (μg/g creatinine) Exposed: 18.6–33.0 (11.0– 21.4) ^c Referents: 12.8 (6.6)	In cultured lymphocytes: \uparrow MN in non-protected welders compared to controls ($p = 0.03$) \uparrow mean comet tail length in welders at the end of the work week ($p < 0.001$); not significant at the start of the week \uparrow mean comet tail length in individuals with Gln/Gln genotype compared to Arg/Gln or Arg/Arg genotypes in XRCC1 Arg399Gln (no correlation with XRCC3 polymorphisms)
<u>Koshi et al.</u> (<u>1984)</u> <i>Low</i> confidence	Cross-sectional study in Japan Exposed: 51 stainless-steel welders Referents: 33 office/research workers	12 (range: 5–20)	<u>Urine</u> (μg/L) Exposed: 9.8 (9.2) Referents: 4.2 (1.2) μg/L	In cultured lymphocytes: 个 CAs and SCEs in welders compared to controls
Linging et al. (2016) Low confidence	Cross-sectional study in China Exposed: 29 chrome-plating workers Referents: 29 workers without chromate exposure history	NR	<u>Blood</u> (μg/L) Exposed: 15.2 (range: 2.1–42) Referents: 4.6 (range: 0.2–28)	In cultured lymphocytes: ↑ MN frequencies in workers compared to controls (<i>p</i> = 0.0048) No correlation between blood Cr concentration and MN ↓ methylation of MT-TF and MT-RNR1 genes in mitochondrial DNA correlated with blood Cr

		Duration of work	Cr measurements	
	-	in exposed group	(mean (SD) unless otherwise	- • • • b
Reference	Population	(mean (SD) yrs)	indicated)	Endpoints [®]
Littorin et al. (1983) Low confidence	Cross-sectional study in Sweden Exposed: 24 stainless-steel welders Referents: 24 matched individuals without occupational mutagenic exposures	19 (range: 7–41)	<u>Air</u> (mg/m ³) <i>Cr VI</i> Exposed: 0.055 (range: 0.005–0.321) <u>Urine</u> (μmol/L) Exposed: 47 (range: 5–155) Referents: 1.5 (range: <0.4–7.0)	In cultured lymphocytes: No significant differences in CAs or SCEs between exposed and control groups No significant differences in MN between exposed and control groups
Maeng et al.	Cross-sectional	9.1 (range: 0–40)	<u>Air</u> (mg/m ³)	In cultured lymphocytes:
(2004) Low confidence	study in South Korea Exposed: 51 male chrome- plating/buffing workers Referents: 31 office workers		Cr VI Exposed: GM: 0.0032 (range: 0.0003-0.09) Referents: GM: 3×10^{-5} (range: $1.4 \times 10^{-5}-6.1 \times 10^{-5}$) Blood (µg/dL) Exposed: GM: 0.86 (range: $0.11-8.99$) Referents: GM: 0.17 (range: $0.00-0.67$) Urine (µg/g creatinine) Exposed: GM: 12.82 (range: $0.66-8.74$) Referents: GM: 3.39 (range: $0.40-9.04$)	Nonsignificant \uparrow CAs detected by solid Giemsa staining in exposed compared with unexposed that were statistically correlated with higher blood Cr \uparrow CAs with \uparrow frequency of chromosome translocations in exposed compared with unexposed ($p < 0.01$) detected by FISH \uparrow MDA in blood plasma in exposed compared to controls ($p < 0.01$)
<u>Medeiros et al.</u> (2003) <i>Low</i> confidence	Cross-sectional study in Portugal Exposed 1: 5 welders Exposed 2: 33 tannery workers Referents: 20– 30 unexposed individuals	NR	<u>Plasma</u> (μg/L) Tannery workers: 2.43 (2.11) Welders: 1.55 (0.67) Referents: 0.41 (0.11) <u>Urine</u> : (μg/g creatinine) Tannery workers: 2.63 (1.62) Welders: 1.90 (0.37) Referents: 0.70 (0.38)	In cultured lymphocytes: \uparrow MN in tannery workers compared to controls ($p < 0.01$) Nonsignificant \uparrow MN in welders ($n = 5$) \uparrow DNA-protein crosslinks in tanners ($p < 0.001$) and welders ($p < 0.05$) compared to controls
Migliore et al. (1991) Low confidence	Cross-sectional study in Italy Exposed: 17 tannery workers and 2 reference groups from different industries	NR	NR	No effects on MN frequency in cultured lymphocytes

		Duration of work	Cr measurements (mean (SD) unless otherwise	
Reference	Population	(mean (SD) yrs)	indicated)	Endpoints^b
Qayyum et al. (2012) Low confidence	Cross-sectional study in India Exposed: 100 electroplating workers (grouped by length of work) Referents: 50 individuals with no known exposure to nickel or chromium	Group 1: range: 1– 9 Group 2: range: 10–25	<u>Plasma</u> (μg/L) Group 1: 2.9 (0.8) Group 2: 1.7 (0.6) Referents: 0.6 (0.8)	In buccal cells of Group II compared to Group I, and in Group III compared to Group II: \uparrow MN frequency ($p < 0.05$) MN also correlated with Cr levels in plasma ($p < 0.01$)
<u>Sarto et al.</u> (<u>1982)</u> <i>Low</i> confidence	Cross-sectional study in Italy Exposed: 38 plating factory workers (bright plating and hard plating) Referent 1: 35 sanitary workers Referent 2: 14 healthy blood donors	Hard plating: 7 (3) Bright plating: 9 (11)	<u>Urine</u> (μg/g creatinine) Exposed—Hard plating: 10.0 (7.5) Exposed—Bright plating: 6.1 (2.8) Referents: 1.9 (1.4)	In cultured lymphocytes: \uparrow CAs (mostly CSAs) among all exposed bright platers ($p < 0.001$) and hard platers ($p < 0.01$) compared to controls \uparrow SCEs in hard platers compared to blood donors
<u>Vaglenov et al.</u> (<u>1999</u>) <i>Low</i> confidence	Cross-sectional study in Bulgaria Exposed: 30 hydraulic machinery workers (grouped by high and low exposure) & 10 hospitalized electroplating workers Referents: 18 administrative workers	Overall range: 4– 25 High exposed mean: 11.63 Low exposed mean: 10.44	<u>Air</u> (mg/m ³) High exposed: 0.083 (SE: 0.010) Low exposed: 0.043 (SE: 0.01) Referents: 0.0003 (SE: 0.0001) <u>Erythrocytes</u> (µg/L) High exposed: 8.40 (SE: 1.93) Low exposed: 4.31 (SE: 1.03) Referents: 0.57 (SE: 0.05) <u>Urine</u> (µg/L) High exposed: 5.0 (SE: 1.52) Low exposed: 3.97 (SE: 1.98) Referents: 0.49 (SE: 0.06)	↑ MN and binucleated cells carrying MN in lymphocytes of exposed compared to control Correlations of Cr measured in air, erythrocytes and urine, with higher MN in lymphocytes

Reference	Population	Duration of work in exposed group (mean (SD) yrs)	Cr measurements (mean (SD) unless otherwise indicated)	Endpoints ^b
<u>Wultsch et al.</u> (2014) <i>Low</i> confidence	Cross-sectional study in Austria Exposed: 22 chrome-plating workers Referents: 22 jail warden controls	NR	<u>Blood</u> (μg/L) Exposed: 2.3 (1.5) Referents: 0.2 (0.2)	In exfoliated cells of exposed chrome platers compared to referent: \uparrow MN frequency in nasal cells ($p = 0.005$) No significant effect on MN frequency in buccal cells (23% increase; p = 0.516) \uparrow nuclear anomalies in buccal and nasal cells
<u>Xiaohua et al.</u> (2012) Low confidence	Cross-sectional study in China Exposed: 79 chromate production workers Referents: 112 peasant volunteers without occupational chromate exposure	Mean: 14.89 SE: 8.65	<u>Air</u> (μg/m ³) Exposed: 13.01 (range:1.03– 56.60) Referents: 0.073 (range: 0.023– 0.235) <u>Blood</u> (μg/L) Exposed: 9.19 (range: 1.17– 51.88) Referents: 3.44 (range: 0.25– 22.51) <u>Urine</u> (μg/g creatinine) Exposed: 17.03 (range: 2.78– 97.23) Referents: 2.49 (range: 0.39– 26.82)	↑ MN in binucleated blood cells in exposed group compared to controls Moderate correlations (0.353–0.517) between BNMN and Cr concentrations in blood, urine, air

GM = geometric mean; IQR = interquartile range; SE = standard error; CA = chromosomal aberration; MN = micronuclei; NR = not reported.

^aStudies presented by study confidence (high to low) first, then alphabetically by author.

^bSome endpoints reported by the same study but not included in the PECO are also included here for context. p-values are added to provide additional context but should not be the sole focus for interpretation.

^cThis study reported subgroup means and SD; therefore, this table reports the range of means and the range of SDs for these groups.

1

1 Micronuclei

2 Micronuclei are formed when dividing cells contain whole chromosomes or acentric 3 chromosome fragments that have lagged behind during anaphase, indicating aneuploidy or the 4 presence of chromosomal aberrations. Additional procedures to detect the presence of a 5 centromere in the micronucleus can distinguish between loss of a whole chromosome or 6 chromosome fragments. All prioritized studies in humans focused on the detection of micronuclei 7 or chromosomal aberrations in peripheral blood lymphocytes or exfoliated nasal or buccal cells 8 (epithelial cells inside the mouth/cheek). In humans, it has been shown that an increased frequency 9 of micronuclei in circulating blood is positively associated with an increased risk of cancer (Bonassi 10 et al. (2011b; 2007)). In addition, micronuclei detected in exfoliated epithelial cells from the oral 11 buccal or nasal mucosa is an effective measure of genetic damage in directly exposed tissues 12 (Bonassi et al., 2011a). 13 Among the 16 studies evaluating micronuclei, four were rated as *medium* confidence and 12 14 were rated as *low* confidence. All four of the *medium* confidence studies reported increased 15 micronuclei, with two studies reporting these increases in lymphocytes (Long et al., 2019; Hu et al., 16 2018), and two reporting increases in buccal cells (El Safty et al., 2018; Sudha et al., 2011). These 17 studies included populations from several industries with chromium exposure including 18 electroplating, chromate production, and welding. While these studies compared groups defined by 19 job category, three of the four studies augmented the exposure assessment by including data from 20 supplemental biomarker and/or air measures that showed total Cr levels were higher in exposed 21 workers and in exposure settings, confirming that exposures occurred and providing context for 22 the positive results (Long et al., 2019; El Safty et al., 2018; Hu et al., 2018) (see Table 3-17). 23 Among the 11 low confidence studies, there were ten that reported increased micronuclei 24 for at least one cell type. Three evaluated buccal cells (Qayyum et al., 2012; Danadevi et al., 2004; 25 Benova et al., 2002), six evaluated lymphocytes and/or leukocytes in peripheral blood (Linging et 26 al., 2016; Balachandar et al., 2010; Iarmarcovai et al., 2005; Medeiros et al., 2003; Benova et al., 27 2002; Vaglenov et al., 1999), and one evaluated nasal cells (Wultsch et al., 2014) (this study also 28 reported a slight nonsignificant increase in micronuclei in buccal cells). These studies were 29 comprised of populations exposed to chromium via welding, electroplating, hydraulic machinery, 30 and tanneries. These studies also confirmed exposure in biomarker and/or air measures of total Cr 31 or Cr(VI), though Linging et al. (2016) did not detect a significant correlation between the increased 32 blood Cr levels and statistically significantly increased micronucleus frequency in exposed workers 33 (Table 3-17). As described in Appendix C.3.3., the potential direction of bias in these low confidence 34 studies could not be determined. 35 One *low* confidence study reported no significant effects on micronucleus endpoints. In this

- study, <u>Migliore et al. (1991</u>), there is uncertainty regarding the potential for chromium exposure
 among the tannery workers evaluated and no accompanying biomarker measurements to provide
- among the tannery workers evaluated and no accompanying biomarker measurements to provide
- 38 confirmation; misclassification of individuals with regards to exposure group may produce bias

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy. 3-88 DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE
1 towards the null. In the absence of quantitative measures of exposure, it cannot be determined 2 whether a negative result reflects low exposures, a lack of mutagenicity, or both.

- 3 Dose-response concordance for the observed increases in micronuclei was observed in
- 4 several studies, most reliably based on correlations between Cr levels measured in blood and
- 5 micronuclei in buccal cells in the *medium* confidence study by El Safty et al. (2018) and also in the
- 6 low confidence studies by Qayyum et al. (2012) and Danadevi et al. (2004). Danadevi et al. (2004)
- 7 also noted a correlation between Cr levels and duration of work and age. A correlation between
- 8 work duration and increased micronucleus frequency was also noted in buccal cells in the medium
- 9 confidence study by <u>Sudha et al. (2011)</u> and in lymphocytes in the low confidence study by
- 10 (Balachandar et al., 2010).
- 11 Several of these studies also reported other significantly increased systemic genotoxicity
- 12 markers in exposed workers that may be coherent with the observed micronuclei increases,
- 13 including serum 8-OHdG (El Safty et al., 2018) and comet tail length in blood cells (Sudha et al.,
- 14 2011; Danadevi et al., 2004).
- 15 Overall, all four *medium* confidence studies across different study populations and 16
- industrial settings (Table 3-16) and covering both lymphocytes and exfoliated epithelial cells
- 17 provide evidence for an association between chromium exposure and increased micronuclei. These
- 18 results are supported by the large majority of the available *low* confidence studies. Despite their
- 19 limitations, *low* confidence studies provide supporting evidence for this endpoint in conjunction
- 20 with the conclusions from *medium* confidence studies. In addition, when looking broadly across
- 21 studies and evaluating the evidence base as a whole, concerns about any particular study deficiency
- 22 is attenuated given that ten of the 11 low confidence studies demonstrated increases in micronuclei
- 23 despite differences in population and exposure scenarios.
- 24 Chromosomal aberrations
- 25 Structural or numerical chromosomal aberrations, observable during metaphase in cells 26 undergoing mitosis, are typically detected using simple, solid-staining techniques that allow visual 27 identification of chromosome and chromatid breaks, but do not detect translocations or other more 28 complex forms of chromosomal damage. Use of G-banding techniques or molecular fluorescent 29 probes (e.g., FISH) increase the type and complexity of detectable cytogenetic damage. In humans, it 30 has been shown that an increased frequency of chromosomal aberrations in circulating blood is 31 positively associated with an increased risk of cancer (Bonassi et al., 2008; Norppa et al., 2006). 32 All included studies evaluating chromosomal aberrations were rated as *low* confidence 33 except for one *medium* confidence study, <u>Halasova et al. (2012)</u>, that identified chromosomal 34 aberrations only within genetically susceptible populations but did not identify differences
- 35 between the broader exposed and control groups. It should be noted, however, that a concern for
- 36 bias towards the null due to potential insensitivity was identified for this study (see Appendix
- 37 C.3.2.2). The mean levels of blood chromium among the exposed group in this study were low (0.07
- 38 μ mol/L = 3.64 μ g/L) and within the range reported for the referent groups in other studies of

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy. DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE 1 chromosomal aberrations (e.g., <u>Maeng et al. (2004)</u>: 2.0 μg/L) and micronuclei (e.g., <u>Linqing et al.</u>

- **2** (2016): 4.6 μg/L). Lack of control for potential confounders is also a concern in this study (<u>Halasova</u>
- 3 <u>et al., 2012</u>).

Among the nine *low* confidence studies, six reported increased chromosomal aberrations
among exposed compared to unexposed individuals (<u>Balachandar et al., 2010; Halasova et al., 2008;</u>
<u>Maeng et al., 2004; Deng et al., 1988; Koshi et al., 1984; Sarto et al., 1982</u>). These studies examined
individuals exposed to chromium in a range of settings, such as tanneries, mining, electroplating,

- 8 and welding. While several studies had deficiencies that pose substantial concern for bias, such as
- 9 limited evaluation of confounders or potential for selection bias (Koshi et al., 1984; Sarto et al.,
- 10 <u>1982</u>), others had deficiencies that primarily relate to sensitivity, such as small sample size and
- 11 unclear differentiation between exposure groups (<u>Balachandar et al., 2010</u>; <u>Halasova et al., 2008</u>;
- 12 <u>Deng et al., 1988</u>). Identification of effects on chromosomal aberrations despite sensitivity concerns

13 in these studies that may bias results towards the null can provide stronger evidence of effect

- 14 despite the individual overall study quality ratings of *low*.
- **15** Three *low* confidence studies evaluating populations of welders or chrome-plating workers
- 16 reported no changes in chromosomal aberrations in exposed individuals compared to controls
- 17 (<u>Halasova et al., 2008</u>; <u>Benova et al., 2002</u>; <u>Littorin et al., 1983</u>). It should be noted that two of these
- 18 studies may have limited power to detect the outcome of interest due to small sample size (Benova
- 19 <u>et al., 2002; Husgafvel-Pursiainen et al., 1982</u>).
- 20 Overall, while the evidence base is mostly consistent regarding the association between
- 21 chromium exposure and chromosomal aberrations across a variety of exposure scenarios,
- 22 biomarkers, and geographic regions, these observations are only available from studies rated as *low*
- 23 confidence and a single medium confidence study with mixed results. Although considering the
- entire evidence base mitigates concerns about any particular deficiency in a single *low* confidence
- 25 study and some of these studies detected effects despite limitations in power and sensitivity
- 26 (Coelho et al., 2013; Balachandar et al., 2010; Halasova et al., 2008; Deng et al., 1988), it is difficult
- to draw definitive judgments from the predominantly low confidence evidence base on
- 28 chromosomal aberrations.

29 Supporting genotoxicity evidence

In addition to the studies of gene and chromosome mutation described above, other types of
genotoxicity studies conducted among humans exposed occupationally or environmentally to
Cr(VI) are considered supporting evidence for the ability of Cr(VI) to cause genetic damage in
exposed workers. These are biomonitoring DNA damage assays conducted on peripheral blood that
measure DNA strand breaks, adducts, crosslinks, or other DNA damage and repair-related
endpoints (e.g., sister chromatid exchange). These studies are summarized in Appendix Table C-49.
They did not undergo formal study evaluation unless they included other endpoints that met the

37 mutagenic prioritization criteria.

1 DNA damage in exposed humans Seven of eight studies of exposed chromium industry workers detected significant increases 2 3 in DNA strand breaks in peripheral blood using the comet assay; seven of these studies also 4 confirmed exposures by detecting higher Cr levels in air and/or biomarkers compared to referents 5 (Wang et al., 2012b; Sudha et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011; Balachandar et al., 2010; Iarmarcovai et 6 al., 2005; Danadevi et al., 2004; Gambelunghe et al., 2003; Gao et al., 1994). These tests provide 7 supporting evidence for increased genetic damage following Cr(VI) exposure, though they do not 8 anticipate the proportion of DNA strand breaks that could lead to mutation. Five studies evaluated 9 DNA-protein crosslinks, which are considered biomarkers for the genotoxic effects of Cr(VI) exposure in humans (Zhitkovich, 2005). Four of these studies documented increases among 10 11 exposed groups compared to controls (Medeiros et al., 2003; Quievryn et al., 2001; Taioli et al., 12 1995; Costa et al., 1993). The fifth study did not document clear differences between exposed and 13 controls but did identify positive associations between DNA-protein crosslinks and chromium in 14 erythrocytes at low and medium exposure levels, with a saturation of crosslink incidence at higher 15 levels (Zhitkovich et al., 1996a). Fifteen studies evaluated sister chromatid exchange (SCE). 16 Elevated levels of SCEs following exposures are indicative of increased DNA repair and are 17 considered biomarkers of exposure to potential genotoxic agents but do not correlate well with 18 mutation frequency (Eastmond, 2014). Among these, six studies documented increased SCEs per 19 cell among exposed groups of welders (Werfel et al., 1998) or electroplating workers (Wu et al., 20 2001; Wu et al., 2000; Lai et al., 1998; Deng et al., 1988; Stella et al., 1982) compared to control 21 groups. Similarly, one study documented an association between urinary chromium and SCE (Sarto 22 et al., 1982). Seven studies did not observe impacts on SCEs, either through comparing exposed and control groups (Benova et al., 2002; Nagaya, 1986; Koshi et al., 1984; Littorin et al., 1983; 23 24 Husgafvel-Pursiainen et al., 1982) and/or through evaluating the association with urinary 25 chromium directly (Nagaya et al., 1991; Nagaya et al., 1989; Nagaya, 1986). One study documented 26 a decrease in SCE frequency among welders compared to controls, though the authors noted 27 concerns with the alkaline filter elution that may have impacted the validity of the results (Popp et 28 <u>al., 1991)</u>. 29 Genetic polymorphisms 30 Genetic polymorphisms can alter individual susceptibility to health effects of environmental 31 exposures, including chromium. Thirteen studies in humans were identified that evaluated genetic 32 polymorphisms in relation to chromium exposure and cancer-related outcomes (mechanistic or 33 apical). Seven studies evaluated genetic polymorphisms in relation to mechanistic outcomes 34 relevant to cancer (e.g., mutations, genome instability). Of these, one focused on micronuclei, with 35 interaction effects reported for some genes related to DNA repair and tumor suppression (XRCC3, 36 BRCA2, NBS1) (Long et al., 2019). Two studies from the same lab group (Halasova et al., 2012; 37 Halasova et al., 2008) reported increased chromosomal aberrations among welders with

- 38 polymorphisms of one gene that encodes DNA repair enzymes (XRCC1) but not others (XPC, XPD,
- 39 EPG, XRCC3, hOGG1). Similarly, polymorphisms in XRCC1 were also associated with increases in This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy. 3-91

DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

- 1 DNA strand breaks among welders (<u>Iarmarcovai et al., 2005</u>) and measures of DNA damage such as
- 2 olive tail moment, tail length, and tail DNA% among electroplating workers (<u>Zhang et al., 2012</u>).
- 3 Finally, two studies of electroplating workers from another lab group evaluated potential
- 4 differential effects on sister chromatid exchange due to polymorphisms in genes related to
- 5 detoxification (GSTM1, GSTT1); interaction effects were detected for GSTT1 (<u>Wu et al., 2001</u>) in one
- 6 study but not the other (<u>Wu et al., 2000</u>).
- 7 Four studies evaluated genetic polymorphisms in the context of cancer. One study identified
- 8 an increased risk of lung cancer in individuals with certain polymorphisms in XPD (<u>Sarlinova et al.</u>,
- 9 <u>2015</u>), which is involved in nucleotide excision repair. Three studies approached the question in a
- 10 different way, probing the frequency of certain gene variants in cancer cases. Polymorphisms in the
- 11 surfactant protein B gene were found to be more common in small-cell carcinomas from workers
- 12 exposed to Cr(VI) compared to non-chromate-related small-cell carcinomas from matched controls
- 13 (Ewis et al., 2006). In another study, the odds of hMLH1 polymorphisms was found to be elevated in
- 14 chromate-related lung cancer cases compared to non-chromate-related hospital-matched controls
- 15 (<u>Halasova et al., 2016</u>). Finally, one study evaluated microsatellite instability (operationalized as
- 16 replication error (RER), defined as microsatellite instability at two or more loci) among individuals
- 17 with lung cancer; study authors report increased frequency of RER among cases with chromate
- 18 exposure compared to those without chromate exposure as well as an association between
- 19 duration of chromate exposure and lung cancer cases with RER compared to those without RER
- 20 (<u>Hirose et al., 2002</u>).
- Although it is difficult to draw conclusions based solely on the human evidence, the existing
 data suggest that genetic polymorphisms may play a role in cancer susceptibility of individuals
 exposed to Cr(VI), and the impact of polymorphisms relevant to DNA damage and detoxification
 pathways in particular can provide important insight on the cancer MOA for Cr(VI).
- 25 <u>Target tissue analyses of genotoxicity</u>
- 26 A small number of studies conducting analyses of genotoxicity in human gastric fluid or 27 primary human GI or lung cells were also identified. In a gastric reduction capacity experiment 28 using pre- and post-meal gastric fluid samples from healthy volunteers (n = 8), higher reducing 29 capacity and significantly decreased mutagenicity (evaluated by the Ames assay) were observed in 30 post-meal samples compared to pre-meal samples. A 70% total Cr(VI) reduction was observed 31 within 1 minute with a 98% reduction by 30 minutes (De Flora et al., 2016). Because gastric 32 emptying occurs in vivo (reduction and emptying are competitive processes), a fraction of ingested 33 Cr(VI) will empty to the small intestine prior to reduction (see Section 3.1 and Appendix C.1). In a 34 study of lung reduction capacity by the same group, the S-9 fraction from pulmonary alveolar 35 macrophages (PAM) isolated from the lung of human subjects (n = 47) was capable of lowering 36 Cr(VI)-induced mutagenicity in the Ames assay by approximately 25% when preincubated for 1 37 hour prior to plating (Petrilli et al., 1986). Similar results were obtained by the S-12 fractions of 38 peripheral lung parenchyma isolated from healthy subjects and from patients with lung cancer on

- 1 the mutagenicity of Cr(VI) in the Ames assay; samples from smokers had a significantly higher
- 2 ability to reduce Cr(VI) (<u>De Flora et al., 1987b</u>).
- 3 <u>Pool-Zobel et al. (1994)</u> performed the comet assay for measuring DNA strand breaks on
- 4 human mucosal cells from macroscopically healthy tissues of patients collected during biopsy
- 5 treated with 0.087–0.349 μmoles/mL Cr(VI) in vitro. The results showed genotoxicity occurring at
- 6 non-cytotoxic doses, with responses in the cells from humans paralleling those of cells from SD rats
- 7 (see DNA damage section in synthesis of animal genotoxicity evidence). Similarly, a separate group
- 8 reported statistically significant increases in DNA damage using the comet assay in two studies of
- 9 human primary gastric mucosal cells exposed to concentrations $\geq 177 \,\mu M \, Cr(VI)$, which underwent
- 10 repair within an hour (<u>Trzeciak et al., 2000; Błasiak et al., 1999</u>).
- 11 <u>Tumor genotyping</u>
- 12 The study of mutations occurring in oncogenes or tumor suppressor genes in tumor tissues 13 can help identify chemical-specific driver mutations that could be key for tumor progression, as 14 well as signature mutations that can potentially establish a causal association between chemical 15 exposure and tumors. One study, <u>Alguacil et al. (2003)</u>, evaluated mutations in the KRAS oncogene 16 in tumor tissues, comparing pancreatic cancer cases with and without KRAS mutated tumors in 17 individuals with inhalation exposure to chromium (ascertained using occupational history and a 18 job-exposure matrix). The exposed workers with pancreatic tumors had increased odds of KRAS 19 mutations in these tumors. Study authors also documented an increased proportion of G-to-T 20 transversions with inhalation exposure to chromium. However, very few individuals were 21 identified as having occupational chromium exposure, resulting in wide confidence intervals 22 around the effect estimates (Alguacil et al., 2003). In addition, because pancreatic tumors have not 23 been associated with occupational Cr(VI) exposure, and nearly 100% of pancreatic tumors 24 (pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas) have mutations in the KRAS gene (Waters and Der, 2018), this 25 evidence may have little biological relevance to Cr(VI)-induced cancer.
- 26 Three studies evaluated p53 mutations among chromate factory workers with lung cancer, 27 comparing cases with and without chromium exposure. Kondo et al. (1997) identified fewer p53 28 mutations among chromate workers. Yet, study authors also identified specific patterns of p53 29 mutations among lung cancer cases with prior chromate exposure, including double missense 30 mutations. However, lack of adjustment for confounding and small sample size limit confidence in 31 these findings (Kondo et al., 1997). Similarly, Katabami et al. (2000) detected an upregulation in 32 cyclin D1 protein expression but no differences in p53 or bcl-2 protein expression in lung cancer 33 tissues from chromate-exposed patients compared to non-exposed or pneumoconiosis lung cancer 34 patients, though this study also had a small sample size and only considered confounding due to 35 smoking status. Cyclin D1 is involved in the regulation of cell cycle progression and is elevated in a 36 number of human cancers (Alao, 2007), and when paired with the absence of a protective p53-37 induced apoptotic response, may indicate a factor in Cr(VI)-induced cancer development. The third 38 study, <u>Halasova et al. (2010)</u>, determined that expression of the apoptosis inhibitor survivin protein

- 1 was decreased, concomitant with an increase in pro-apoptotic p53 levels, in former chromium
- 2 workers with lung cancer compared to control lung cancer patients. However, little information
- 3 was given regarding the potential exposures of these workers, and no information on confounders
- 4 including smoking status was included. Although this finding is not surprising given these
- 5 interconnected pathways of cell fate determination, the potential for co-exposures and
- 6 co-morbidities precludes the ability to draw conclusions from these findings.
- 7 Overall, specific driver mutations or mutational signatures considered to be specific to
- 8 Cr(VI) exposure have not been identified in exposed humans. However, there is evidence that
- 9 critical human cancer effector pathways are directly and indirectly impacted after Cr(VI) exposure.
- 10 Cr-DNA adducts, well established to occur in controlled conditions in cell cultures and acellular test
- 11 systems in vitro (see Section 3.2.3.4 for a broader discussion of Cr-DNA adduct formation), could
- 12 potentially provide additional support connecting exposure to genotoxic chemicals with effect.
- 13 However, due to their transient nature, they do not appear to have the potential to be used as
- 14 biomarkers of genotoxicity following Cr(VI) exposure in humans; accordingly, no evidence of the
- 15 recovery of Cr-DNA adducts has been identified in Cr(VI)-exposed humans or animals.

1 *Animal study evaluation summary*

2 As described above in the introduction to the mutagenic MOA evaluation approach and in 3 Appendix C.3.2.2, the available animal evidence prioritized as the most relevant for informing a 4 mutagenic MOA analysis for cancer includes measures of gene mutation (prior to tumorigenesis), 5 micronuclei induction, and chromosomal aberrations. These studies were prioritized for evaluation 6 and synthesis in this section based on study design, namely if they were conducted in animals 7 exposed via inhalation or intratracheal instillation, or via the oral route, including drinking water, 8 diet, or gavage. Gavage and intratracheal instillation exposures were considered with the 9 acknowledgment that these dosing regimens condense the exposure time while potentially 10 inhibiting reduction kinetics leading to increased point-of-contact Cr(VI) exposure. Studies 11 measuring DNA damage or indicators of DNA damage or using less relevant methods of chemical 12 administration (i.e., i.p. injection) were not prioritized but are still considered as supplemental 13 evidence to mutation and are summarized in the following section. 14 Table 3-18 summarizes the 11 animal studies of Cr(VI)-induced mutagenicity via inhalation 15 or oral exposures (reporting 12 total endpoints) that were prioritized for evaluation. These consist 16 of six studies measuring mutation frequency following short-term and subchronic exposures to 17 drinking water (Aoki et al., 2019; Thompson et al., 2017; Thompson et al., 2015c; O'Brien et al., 2013; Kirpnick-Sobol et al., 2006) or via intratracheal instillation (Cheng et al. (2000; 1998); the 18 19 preliminary and primary study results were reported in two separate publications), six studies 20 measuring micronucleus incidence following acute, short-term, or chronic drinking water and/or 21 gavage exposures (Thompson et al., 2015b; O'Brien et al., 2013; NTP, 2007; De Flora et al., 2006; Mirsalis et al., 1996; Shindo et al., 1989), and one dominant lethal test in rats exposed via 22 23 intragastric instillation (Marat et al., 2018). 24 The endpoints specific to mutation, identified using the prioritization criteria for 25 mutagenicity evidence relevant to cancer (outlined in Appendix C.3.2.2), were evaluated separately 26 from any apical endpoints that may have also been reported in these animal bioassays (see Table 3-27 8). The majority of the prioritized studies are in vivo assays considered to be complementary, as the 28 transgenic rodent assay primarily detects point mutations and small deletions (Dobrovolsky and 29 Heflich, 2018), and the micronucleus assay can detect chromosomal aberrations and aneuploidy 30 (Hayashi, 2016). Following study evaluation, all 12 studies of mutagenic endpoints were 31 categorized as low confidence. 32 For many of the considered studies (Aoki et al., 2019; Thompson et al., 2017; Thompson et a al., 2015c; Thompson et al., 2015b; O'Brien et al., 2013; NTP, 2007; De Flora et al., 2006; Mirsalis et 33 34 al., 1996), the concern was not with the "quality" of the study, but rather with study designs that were not optimized for genotoxic endpoints and thus lacked sensitivity for detecting an effect if one 35 36 were to be present, leading to deficiencies in the exposure sensitivity domain. According to the test 37 guidelines (TG) adopted by the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) for the transgenic rodent assay (TG 488, (OECD, 2020)) and the mammalian erythrocyte 38 This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy. DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE 1 micronucleus test (TG 474, (<u>OECD, 2016a</u>)), the two endpoints reported in most of the prioritized

- 2 studies, these studies should include a range of doses with the top dose representing the maximum
- 3 tolerated dose (MTD) that produces non-lethal toxicity in the animals (or, if not achievable, a daily
- 4 dose of 1000 mg/kg-bw for a 28 day administration)²⁸. This is to ensure the study is capable of
- 5 characterizing the mutagenic potential of the chemical on the target tissue(s) by confirming the
- 6 substance has reached the target tissue at levels high enough to induce toxicity, which is often the
- 7 bone marrow for standard micronucleus tests in polychromatic erythrocytes. Testing for
- 8 mutagenicity up to toxic levels is particularly important for increasing confidence in null findings in
- 9 vivo for a substance known to be mutagenic in vitro, such as Cr(VI). The motivation for selecting a
- 10 dose range to specifically study the induction of mutagenic effects at the same dose levels (albeit
- 11 with shorter exposure durations) that caused preneoplastic lesions and tumors in these animals
- 12 (e.g., up to 31.1 mg/kg-d Cr(VI) in female mice) is understandable. However, a bioassay properly
- 13 designed to detect potential mutagenic effects from ingested Cr(VI)²⁹, a known carcinogen and a
- 14 mutagen via other routes of exposure, was not identified.
- 15 Other concerns about the ability of these studies to appropriately characterize mutagenicity
- 16 also contributed to their *low* confidence ratings. Deficiencies in the outcome sensitivity domain
- 17 included studies that counted too few plaque-forming units in the transgenic rodent assay (Cheng
- et al. (2000; 1998)) or polychromatic erythrocytes in the micronucleus assay (<u>0'Brien et al., 2013</u>;
- 19 <u>Shindo et al., 1989</u>), testing in tissues that did not develop tumors (<u>Thompson et al., 2017</u>), a
- 20 mutation frequency background too high to reliably detect an effect (<u>O'Brien et al., 2013</u>), or failed
- 21 positive controls (<u>Thompson et al., 2015b</u>). A few studies were deficient in results display
- sensitivity, including a failure to account for litter effects in a mutation study of exposures in mice
- in utero (<u>Kirpnick-Sobol et al., 2006</u>), not reporting the total number of cells scored for micronuclei
- 24 (<u>O'Brien et al., 2013</u>), or pooling total micronuclei from multiple animals (<u>Thompson et al., 2015b</u>).

²⁸TG 474 (<u>OECD, 2016a</u>): "The study should aim to identify the maximum tolerated dose (MTD), defined as the highest dose that will be tolerated without evidence of study-limiting toxicity, relative to the duration of the study period (for example, by inducing body weight depression or hematopoietic system cytotoxicity, but not death or evidence of pain, suffering or distress necessitating humane euthanasia. The highest dose may also be defined as a dose that produces toxicity in the bone marrow (e.g., a reduction in the proportion of immature erythrocytes among total erythrocytes in the bone marrow or peripheral blood of more than 50%, but to not less than 20% of the control value)...If the test chemical does not produce toxicity in a range-finding study or based on existing data, the highest dose for an administration period of 14 days or more should be 1000 mg/kg body weight/day, or for administration periods of less than 14 days, 2000 mg/kg/body weight/day." TG 488 (<u>OECD, 2020</u>): "The top dose should be the Maximum Tolerated Dose (MTD). The MTD is defined as the dose producing signs of toxicity such that higher dose levels, based on the same dosing regimen, would be expected to produce lethality."

²⁹There were issues with Cr(VI) palatability at high drinking water concentrations (above ~90 mg/L Cr(VI) in the <u>NTP (2007)</u> strain comparison study and at higher doses in the toxicity study), but in these cases it would also be acceptable to use gavage administration to confirm delivery of a sufficient dose of Cr(VI). Only one study included a gavage-administered dose that reached sufficient bone marrow toxicity, but this study was judged *low* confidence due to deficiencies in the reporting, confounding, and outcome sensitivity domains (<u>Shindo et al., 1989</u>).

- 1 One dominant lethal test identified did not report the strain of animals, test compound, or vehicle
- 2 used (<u>Marat et al., 2018</u>). The prioritized studies are summarized in Table 3-19.

Table 3-18. Summary of prioritized animal studies for Cr(VI) mutagenicity and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome. Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales.

				Μ	utager	nic
		1		er	iapoin	رد ب
Author (year)	Species (strain)	Exposure duration	Exposure route	Gene mutation	Micronuclei	Dominant Lethal tes
Cheng et al. (<u>2000</u> ;	Mouse (C57BL/6 Big	1, 2, or 4 wks	Intratracheal	L	-	-
<u>1998</u>)	Blue [®] and nontransgenic C57BL/6), female	post-instillation	instillation			
<u>Aoki et al. (2019)</u>	Mouse (transgenic gpt delta), male	28 and 90 days	Drinking water	L	-	-
<u>Kirpnick-Sobol et al.</u> (2006)	Mouse (C57BL/6Jp ^{un} /p ^{un}), pregnant dams and offspring	GD 10.5–20.5	Drinking water	L	-	-
Thompson et al. (<u>2017</u> ; <u>2015c</u>)	Rat (transgenic Big Blue® TgF344), male	28 days	Drinking water	L	-	-
O'Brien et al. (2013) [related study: (Thompson et al., 2011)]	Mouse (B6C3F ₁), female	90 days	Drinking water	L	L	-
<u>NTP (2007)</u>	Mouse (B6C3F ₁), male and female; Mouse (B6C3F ₁ , BALB/c, <i>am3</i> - C57BL/6), male	90 days	Drinking water	-	L	-
Thompson et al. (2015b)	Mouse (B6C3F ₁), female	7 days	Drinking water	-	L	-
<u>De Flora et al. (2006)</u>	Mouse (BDF ₁), male and female; Mouse (Swiss albino) pregnant dams and fetuses	20 or 210 days or pregnancy duration	Drinking water, gavage, i.p.	-	L	-
Mirsalis et al. (1996)	Mouse (Swiss- Webster), male and female	2 days	Drinking water, gavage	-	L	-
<u>Shindo et al. (1989)</u>	Mouse (MS/Ae and CD-1), male	Bolus dose (acute)	Gavage, i.p.	-	L	-
<u>Marat et al. (2018)</u>	Rat ("mature white outbred"), male	60 days	Intragastric administration	-	-	L

- 1 Synthesis of animal genotoxicity evidence
- 2 The studies prioritized for being most informative for a mutagenic MOA analysis are
- **3** summarized in Table 3-19.

Table 3-19. Prioritized	genotoxicity studies ij	n animals expos	ed to Cr(vn
Tuble 5 17.1 Hornelacu	Schologicity studies h	п апппать слроз		•••

Deference	System/	Endneint/Deculted	Commonto
Reference	Exposure	Endpoint/Results*	Comments
Tests in lung tissue			
Cheng et al. (<u>2000</u> ; <u>1998</u>) <i>Low</i> confidence	Mouse, transgenic C57BL/6 Big Blue®, female Intratracheal instillation (single administration): 0, 1.7, 3.4, or 6.8 mg/kg Cr(VI) Measured mutation frequency in lung at 1, 2, or 4 weeks post-exposure	Significantly increased mutation frequency at all doses; increased with dose and duration post-treatment Mutation spectrum: increased frequency of G:C to T:A transversions, associated with oxidative damage	Preliminary experiment identified doses >6.75 mg/kg were lethal Potentially underpowered with 4 mice per dose group Positive control not concurrently tested with Cr(VI)-treated group Inconsistent/low numbers of PFUs scored per animal Spontaneous mutations primarily G:C to A:T transitions
Tests in GI tissue			
Aoki et al. (2019) Low confidence	Mouse, transgenic gpt delta, male Drinking water, 28 d: 0, 30, or 90 mg/L Cr(VI) (0, 13, or 30 mg/kg-d Cr(VI)) Drinking water, 90 d: 0, 3, 10, or 30 mg/L Cr(VI) (0, 1.6, 6, or 17 mg/kg-d Cr(VI)) Measured mutation frequency in duodenum at 28 and 90 days	In mouse duodenum: No increased mutation frequency (gpt delta locus) relative to control at 28 or 90 d Mutation spectrum: slightly increased A:T to T:A transversions at 28 d but not at 90 d (significance unknown)	Study selected doses based on NTP 2-yr bioassay and did not include a top MTD, potentially biasing toward the null Positive control not concurrently tested with Cr(VI)-treated groups 90-d study potentially underpowered with 4 mice per dose group Spontaneous mutations primarily G:C to A:T transitions Positive control potassium bromate (but not Cr(VI)) had increased G:C to T:A transversions, associated with oxidative damage

	System/		
Reference	Exposure	Endpoint/Results ^a	Comments
Thompson et al. (2015c) Low confidence	Rat, transgenic Big Blue((R)) TgF344, male Drinking water: 180 mg/L Cr(VI), 28 d	In oral mucosa (upper inner gingiva and adjacent palate tissue and the upper outer gingiva and adjacent buccal tissue): No increase in mutation frequency (cII gene) relative to control	Study used single dose group based on NTP 2-yr bioassay top dose and did not include a top MTD, potentially biasing toward the null Cr levels in the gingival/ buccal and gingival/palate regions were 0.66 and 1.0 µg/g, respectively, compared to untreated Tg344 rats, which were 0.17 and 0.33 µg/g respectively in the gingival/palate regions Authors reported in vitro results showing enriched responses for p53, cell proliferation and apoptosis
Thompson et al. (2017) Low confidence		In duodenum: No increase in mutation frequency (cII gene) relative to control	Study used single dose group based on NTP 2-yr bioassay top dose and did not include a top MTD, potentially biasing toward the null Positive control not concurrently tested with Cr(VI)-treated group Rat small intestine is not a tumor target tissue
<u>O'Brien et al.</u> (2013) <i>Low</i> confidence	Mouse, B6C3F1, female Drinking water: 0, 0.1, 1.4, 4.9, 20.9, 59.3, and 181 mg/L Cr(VI) (0, 0.024, 0.32, 1.1, 4.6, 11.6, or 31.1 mg/kg-d Cr(VI)) 7 or 90 d (Continued analysis of tissues from <u>Thompson et</u> <u>al. (2011)</u>)	Micronucleus assay, in crypt and villous cells from scraped duodenal epithelium: No increase in micronucleus frequency in crypt cells Statistically significantly increased micronuclei in villous cells from animals exposed to 11.6 mg/kg-d Cr(VI) for 90 days or 31.1 mg/kg-d Cr(VI) for 7 or 90 days ACB-PCR, in scraped duodenal epithelium: No induction of GGT to GAT mutations in KRAS codon 12 detected by ACB-PCR relative to control	Micronucleus assay: No baseline incidence of micronuclei established in these tissues Crypt cell data pooled from all animals per dose group and large variation in total cells counted per dose Total number of villous cells analyzed not presented ACB-PCR: High background mutant frequency Both endpoints: Study selected doses based on NTP 2-yr bioassay and did not include a top MTD, potentially biasing toward the null Positive control not concurrently tested with Cr(VI)-treated group

	System/		
Reference	Exposure	Endpoint/Results ^a	Comments
<u>Thompson et al.</u> (2015b) <i>Low</i> confidence	Mouse, B6C3F1, female Drinking water: 0, 1.4, 20.9, and 180 mg/L Cr(VI) (0, 0.32, 4.6, and 31.1 mg/kg-d Cr(VI)) 7 d	In duodenal crypts (villi not reported): No increase in micronucleus frequency relative to control No effect on levels of γH2AX	Study selected doses based on NTP 2-yr bioassay and did not include a top MTD, potentially biasing toward the null No baseline MN incidence established for these tissues, positive control DMH was null, number of cells analyzed inadequate to measure an effect 21 and 180 mg/L Cr(VI) significantly increased the number of crypt enterocytes, although no increase in crypt mitotic activity was detected No aberrant crypt or villous foci; no apoptosis in crypt cells
Tests in other tissu	es	1	
Kirpnick-Sobol et al. (2006) Low confidence	Mouse, C57BL/ 6Jp ^{un} /p ^{un} , female Drinking water: 0, 22, or 44 mg/L Cr(VI) at 10.5 to 20.5 days postcoitum (average dose of 4.4 or 8.8 mg/kg-day)	In 20-day-old offspring harvested to visualize eyespots corresponding to DNA deletions in their retinal pigment epithelium (RPE): Increased deletions with dose (<i>p</i> < 0.01)	Failed to account for litter effects, potentially biasing away from the null No information on blinding; concerning for this type of assay that requires manual counting of eyespots Positive control not concurrently tested with Cr(VI)-treated group No signs of toxicity observed
<u>Marat et al.</u> (2018) <i>Low</i> confidence	Rat, white outbred males Intragastric administration, 1 mg Cr/kg body mass, single dose, 60 days prior to mating with virgin female rats	Survival of F1 fetuses from F0 males exposed to Cr(VI): Ratio of live fetuses in the Cr(VI) treatment group compared to the control group = 0.665 indicating increased dominant lethal mutation frequency in exposed male rats	Deficiencies in reporting and information on lab proficiency/reproducibility Study also reported increased micronucleus frequency in bone marrow in rats exposed to a single i.p. dose of K2Cr2O7
<u>NTP (2007)</u> <i>Low</i> confidence	Study 1: Mouse, B6C3F ₁ (5/sex/group) Drinking water: 0, 21.8, 43.6, 87.2, 174.5, or 350 mg/L Cr(VI), 90 d NTP estimated daily doses at 0, 3.1, 5.2, 9.1, 15.7, or 27.9 mg Cr(VI)/kg	In peripheral blood: B6C3F ₁ : No effect on %MN NCEs (males: <i>p</i> = 0.857; females: <i>p</i> = 0.158)	The reduction of PCE/NCE ratio in treatment groups was slight, indicating mild bone marrow toxicity, though this did not increase with dose

	System/		
Reference	Exposure	Endpoint/Results ^a	Comments
	Study 2: Mouse, B6C3F ₁ (5/group), BALB/c (5/group), and <i>am3</i> - C57BL/6 (10/group), males Drinking water: 0, 21.8, 43.6, or 87.2 mg/L Cr(VI), 90 d NTP estimated average daily doses at 0, 2.8, 5.2, or 8.7 mg Cr(VI)/kg	In peripheral blood: B6C3F ₁ : NTP determined this result to be equivocal due to a trend test p-value very nearly significant ($p = 0.031$; α level = 0.025) and a significant response ($p = 0.0193$) in the highest dose group of 87.2 mg/L. BALB/c: No effect on %MN NCEs ($p = 0.680$) $am3$ -C57BL/6: \uparrow %MN NCEs ($p < 0.001$)	No effect on PCE/NCE ratio and no clinical signs of toxicity observed; failure to include an MTD potentially biases toward the null <i>am3</i> -C57BL/6 transgenic mice intended to measure mutation frequency, but technical difficulties prevented completion of this study
<u>Mirsalis et al.</u> (1996) <i>Low</i> confidence	Mouse, Swiss-Webster, M&F (5/sex/group) Drinking water: 0, 1, 5, or 20 mg/L Cr(VI), 48 h Gavage: 20 mL/kg of 0, 1, 5, or 20 mg/L Cr(VI), 2 doses, 24 and 48 h	In bone marrow: No effect on %MN PCEs	Study did not include enough information to accurately calculate a dose for either experiment Study did not include a top MTD (no effect on PCE/NCE ratio) potentially biasing toward the null
De Flora et al. (2006) <i>Low</i> confidence	Experiment 1: Mouse, BDF ₁ males Drinking water: 0, 10, or 20 mg/L Cr(VI), 20 d Daily intake estimated at 3 and 6 mg/kg-bw for 10 and 20 mg/L, respectively Gavage or i.p.: 0 or 17.7 mg/kg Cr(VI), single dose, 24 h	Drinking water, in peripheral blood, day 0, 5, 12, and 20: no effect on %MN NCEs Drinking water, in bone marrow, day 20: no effect on %MN PCEs Gavage, in bone marrow, 24 h: no effect on %MN PCEs i.p. injection, in bone marrow, 24 h: significant increase in %MN PCEs (<i>p</i> < 0.001)	Results of %MN NCEs at day 5–20 are uninterpretable; evaluation of MN in mature erythrocytes requires 4 weeks of continuous treatment (<u>Macgregor et al.,</u> <u>1990</u>) Per os exposure groups did not include a top MTD (no effect on PCE/NCE ratio) potentially biasing toward the null
	Experiment 2: Mouse, BDF ₁ M&F Drinking water: 0, 5, 50, and 500 mg/L Cr(VI), 210 d Daily intake estimates per dose group, respectively: Males: 1.65, 16.5, and 165 mg Cr(VI)/kg-bw Females: 1.4, 14, and 140 mg Cr(VI)/kg-bw	In peripheral blood, day 0, 14, 28, 56, and 147: no effect on %MN NCEs In bone marrow, day 210: no effect on %MN PCEs	Results of %MN NCEs at day 14 are uninterpretable; evaluation of MN in mature erythrocytes requires 4 weeks of continuous treatment Study did not include a top MTD (no effect on PCE/NCE ratio) potentially biasing toward the null Cr(VI) groups had similar drinking water consumption at all doses Slight decrease in body weight in Cr(VI)-treated animals, especially females

Defense	System/		Commente
Reference	Exposure Experiment 3: Mouse, pregnant Swiss albino Drinking water: 0, 5, or 10 mg/L Cr(VI) (as both sodium dichromate dihydrate (SDD) and potassium dichromate (PDC)) throughout pregnancy duration, 18 d i.p.: 0 or 17.7 mg/kg Cr(VI) (as both SDD and PDC), PD	Endpoint/Results ^a In the bone marrow of dams or in the liver or peripheral blood of fetuses: Drinking water: no effect on %MN PCEs i.p. exposures: micronuclei significantly increased in all tissues (<i>p</i> < 0.001)	Comments Per os exposure groups did not include a top MTD (no effect on PCE/NCE ratio) potentially biasing toward the null No effect on fetus body weights
Shindo et al. (1989) Low confidence	17, 24 h Mouse, MS/Ae and CD-1, male Gavage and i.p. injection: 2.68, 5.36, 10.7, 21.4, 42.8, and 85.7 mg Cr(VI)/kg, bolus dose, 24 h	Gavage, in bone marrow: No effect on %MN PCEs up to acutely toxic oral gavage doses that reduced PCE/NCE ratio >50% i.p. injection, in bone marrow: Dose-dependent increase in %MN PCEs and decrease in PCE/NCE ratio	Calculated LD50s: MS/Ae mice LD50: 80.3 mg Cr(VI)/kg p.o., 13.4 mg Cr(VI)/kg i.p. CD-1 mice LD50: 48.2 mg Cr(VI)/kg p.o., 8.57 mg Cr(VI)/kg i.p. Study reported mean/SD per dose group but did not report the number of animals tested per group Baseline MN incidence extremely low

^aResults reported in the same study of genotoxicity endpoints or exposure routes that did not meet PECO have also been included here for study context.

1 Gene mutations

2 Three studies in mice and rats were identified that used transgenic models to measure 3 mutation frequency in tumor target tissues after short-term or subchronic exposures to Cr(VI) in 4 drinking water (Aoki et al., 2019; Thompson et al., 2015c) or in the lung following intratracheal 5 instillation (Cheng et al. (2000; 1998)). The rodents contain transgenes (i.e., reporter genes 6 integrated into their genome) that can detect point mutations in any tissue studied. Cheng et al. 7 (2000; 1998) exposed female transgenic C57BL/6 Big Blue® mice to Cr(VI) via intratracheal 8 instillation, then measured the mutation frequency in the *lacl* transgene in lung tissues after 1, 2, or 9 4 weeks post-instillation. This study was found to be *low* confidence, primarily due to concerns 10 regarding the number of animals per dose group (four; five is the current minimum 11 recommendation (OECD, 2020)) and the low and inconsistent number of plaque-forming units 12 evaluated, which were pooled per dose group and not reported per mouse. A preliminary study 13 determined that doses ≤ 6.75 mg/kg were not lethal; the second experiment included dose groups 14 exposed to 0, 6.8, 3.4, and 1.7 mg/kg Cr(VI). The study reported increasing mutation frequency with dose and time post-instillation; at the top dose after 4 weeks, the mutation frequency was 4.7-fold 15 16 of background levels, although there is some concern that the mutation frequency in the vehicle

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.3-102DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

- 1 control providing comparison was only assessed at 1 week post-treatment. The observed increase
- 2 of mutation frequency with time up to 4 weeks post-treatment corresponds to the average cell
- 3 turnover time of 28 days in lung tissue.
- 4 In a study conducted by members of the same group that created the transgenic *gpt* delta
- 5 mouse used in the study (<u>Nohmi et al., 1996</u>), <u>Aoki et al. (2019</u>) used male mice to examine
- 6 mutation frequency in the duodenum after 28 or 90 days of exposure via drinking water, at
- 7 concentrations of 0, 30, and 90 mg/L Cr(VI) (28 days) or 0, 3, 10, and 30 mg/L Cr(VI) (90 days).
- 8 This group selected doses for both exposure periods based on the doses used in the NTP 2-year
- 9 bioassay with the exception of the lowest dose selected [3 mg/L Cr(VI)], which was less than the
- 10 lowest dose used by NTP [5 mg/L Cr(VI)]. No significant increase in mutation frequency was
- 11 detected after either time period. Although this study was otherwise well-conducted, deficiencies in
- 12 study design led to sensitivity concerns indicating potential for bias toward the null, leading to
- 13 overall *low* confidence. Use of concurrently run positive controls and inclusion of a dose that
- 14 induced clear clinical signs of toxicity would have increased confidence in the negative findings for
- this assay.

16

- A transgenic 28-day Big Blue® TgF344 rat study conducted by Thompson et al. (<u>2017</u>;
- 17 <u>2015c</u>) reported exposure to 180 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water also did not significantly increase
- 18 the mutant frequency in the gingival/buccal or gingival/palate regions in the oral cavity of rats or in
- 19 the rat duodenum. Similar to <u>Aoki et al. (2019</u>), the selection of a single Cr(VI) exposure group that
- 20 was not high enough to induce systemic toxicity in a short-term bioassay led to reduced confidence
- in the sensitivity of this study design to detect a positive result and an overall *low* confidence
 judgment. In addition, the inclusion of rat duodenal tissues in this mutation assay provides little
- value to mechanistic interpretation given the small intestine is not a tumor target tissue in rats.
- 24 In another *low* confidence mutation study by the same group, <u>O'Brien et al. (2013)</u>
- conducted an analysis of KRAS codon 12 GGT to GAT mutations in mice, which are associated with
 human colorectal cancer and metastasis (<u>Iones et al., 2017; Margonis et al., 2015</u>). The study used
- tissues obtained from a previous subchronic bioassay in female mice (<u>Thompson et al., 2011</u>). The
- 28 detection method, allele-specific competitive blocker polymerase chain reaction (ACB-PCR), was
- 29 developed and validated by one of the study authors (<u>Mckinzie and Parsons, 2002</u>) and is a
- 30 sensitive method for detecting specific mutations. There were no statistically significant Cr(VI)
- 31 treatment-related increases measured for KRAS codon 12 GAT mutations; however, results were
- 32 difficult to interpret due to the lack of a concurrent positive control and the high background
- 33 mutation incidence (10⁻² to 10⁻³) compared to previous findings of spontaneous mutation
- frequency in mouse lung $[3.88 \times 10^{-4}; (Meng et al., 2010)]$, rat distal colon $[12.9 \times 10^{-5}; (Mckinzie)]$
- 35 and Parsons, 2011)], or human colonic mucosa [1.44 × 10⁻⁴; (Parsons et al., 2010)]. Although this
- 36 was a 90-day study, the dose levels tested in drinking water were selected to replicate those used in
- the 2-year NTP bioassay [up to 180 mg/L Cr(VI)] and did not include a higher dose to determine

1 whether mutations would have been induced at toxic levels, reducing the sensitivity of this study to 2 detect an effect.

3 In a mouse model for measuring mutant frequency, the C57BL/6[p^{un}/p^{un} mouse strain takes 4 advantage of a naturally occurring mutation, a tandem duplication at the pink-eyed dilution (p)5 locus, that causes the mice to have pink eyes (Brilliant et al., 1991). Exposure to mutagens that 6 induce deletions via homologous recombination during fetal development can lead to reversion of 7 this unstable mutation back to black-pigmented cells, or evespots, which are visible and 8 quantifiable. Although this assay developed by <u>Schiestl et al. (1997)</u> has not become part of the 9 standard testing battery for the detection of mutagens, it represents a highly sensitive assay for 10 detecting deletion mutations in single cells that are caused by transplacental exposures during 11 embryonic development. The Schiestl lab (Kirpnick-Sobol et al., 2006) exposed female C57BL/6J 12 p^{un}/p^{un} mice to 22 or 44 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water from 10.5 to 20.5 days post-coitum. Despite 13 a somewhat elevated background frequency ($\sim 10^{-4}$), dose-dependent, statistically significant 14 increases in mutations were observed in offspring (p < 0.01). However, the results of this study 15 were presented as the mean of individual pups without taking litter effects into account, potentially 16 overestimating the statistical significance of experimental findings (Haseman et al., 2001) and 17 leading to bias away from the null. Therefore, this study was judged to be *low* confidence for this 18 outcome.

19 One rodent dominant lethal test was identified (Marat et al., 2018). This assay detects gene 20 and/or chromosomal mutations produced in male germ cells during a pre-mating exposure period, 21 causing fetal death (OECD, 2016b). Marat et al. (2018) reported a dominant lethal mutation 22 frequency of 0.665 by comparing the number of live F1 fetuses to control after exposure of F0 male

23 rats to 0.353 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) by oral gavage, with increases in pre- and post-implantation

24 mortality. The dominant lethal test appears to have been conducted appropriately and detected a

25 10-fold increase in post-implantation mortality, but this study was found to be *low* confidence due

26 primarily to reporting deficiencies.

27 Micronuclei

28 Mutation studies can also measure increased incidences of heritable genetic alterations due 29 to numerical or structural changes in the chromosomes of animals exposed to Cr(VI) in vivo. Four 30 studies measuring changes in micronucleus frequency in the peripheral blood or bone marrow of 31 mice exposed to Cr(VI) via drinking water or oral gavage were identified. In the 90-day bioassay 32 conducted by NTP (2007), two micronucleus assays were conducted in mice exposed to Cr(VI) in 33 drinking water. Study 1 exposed B6C3F₁ male and female mice up to 350 mg/L Cr(VI), and Study 2 34 exposed male B6C3F₁, BALB/c, and *am3*-C57BL/6 mice up to 87.2 mg/L Cr(VI). B6C3F₁ mice did not 35 have increased frequencies of micronuclei in Study 1, but in Study 2, the result was considered 36 equivocal due to a nearly statistically significant increased trend (p = 0.031; the one-tailed trend 37 test required a p < 0.025 for significance). For the two other strains tested in Study 2, BALB/c mice 38 also showed no increase in micronucleus frequency, but the top two dose groups of *am3*-C57BL/6

> This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy. DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

1 mice had statistically significant increases in micronuclei (p = 0.0025 and 0.0001 at 43.6 and 87.2 2 mg/L, respectively), as well as a statistically significant trend (p < 0.001), with no evidence of bone 3 marrow toxicity. Although 5 animals per dose group is the minimum required for this test, it is of 4 note that the micronucleus test with the only clear, statistically significant positive result reported 5 by NTP (2007), in *am3*-C57BL/6 mice, tested twice as many animals (10/dose group), increasing 6 the power of this study to detect an effect. This transgenic strain of mice was specifically included 7 to perform an analysis of mutation frequency that was unsuccessful due to technical difficulties; 8 however, there is no reason to suspect that the endogenous genome of transgenic mice would be 9 unusually sensitive to clastogenic or aneugenic damage, and no data exist to suggest strain-specific 10 susceptibility.

11 The interpretation of negative results for the hazard identification of micronucleus 12 incidence in erythrocytes requires confirmation that the test agent reached the bone marrow at a 13 sufficient dose to induce erythropoietic toxicity; the OECD Test Guidelines (OECD, 2016a) 14 recommend that the highest dose should reduce the percentage of polychromatic erythrocytes 15 (PCEs, also known as reticulocytes) among total erythrocytes (normochromatic erythrocytes, or 16 NCEs) by at least 50%. In Study 1, a slight decrease in %PCEs among total NCEs was noted, 17 indicative of toxicity in the bone marrow, but this reduction was relatively small (19% and 25% 18 reduction compared to controls in male and female mice, respectively, at 350 mg/L) and did not 19 increase with dose. The mice in Study 2, exposed to lower concentrations of Cr(VI), had no 20 decreases in %PCEs. However, the top doses from each study caused reductions in body weight 21 gain (which the study authors attributed to decreased palatability causing reduced food intake and 22 not to Cr(VI)-induced toxicity) indicating that higher doses could not have been administered in 23 drinking water. The NTP study, a well-conducted bioassay, was high confidence for the 24 histopathological measures, but for the reasons described above was found to be *low* confidence for 25 this endpoint. Although some toxicity was measured in the bone marrow in one (of two) arm of the 26 study, a study design including more animals and higher doses, perhaps administered via gavage to 27 avoid palatability issues, would have increased the sensitivity of this study to detect a positive 28 result and/or increased confidence in the negative/equivocal findings. 29 Two otherwise well-conducted in vivo micronucleus studies were found to be *low* confidence for sensitivity concerns. Mirsalis et al. (1996) dosed mice via drinking water and gavage 30

31 up to 20 mg/L Cr(VI) for 48 hours and did not detect an increase in micronucleus frequency or any 32 effect on PCE/NCE ratio in the bone marrow. In another large study using far higher doses for a 33 longer duration, <u>De Flora et al. (2006)</u> exposed mice to up to 500 mg/L in drinking water for 34 210 days in addition to exposures to pregnant dams of 10 mg/L in drinking water for the duration of pregnancy. However, no increased incidence of micronuclei or effect on PCE/NCE ratio was 35 36 observed in the peripheral blood or bone marrow of exposed adults or in the liver or blood of 37 fetuses exposed in utero. In another branch of this study, <u>De Flora et al. (2006)</u> also dosed mice 38 with single i.p. injections of 17.7 mg/kg-bw Cr(VI), which produced positive results for

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.3-105DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

1 micronucleus induction; these subtoxic exposures were considered positive controls for the route

2 comparison study, emphasizing the importance of pharmacokinetic considerations for Cr(VI)

- 3 exposures. This study also screened NCEs from peripheral blood for micronuclei after 10 or
- 4 20 mg/L drinking water exposures for 20 days but these data are not considered (i.e.,
- 5 *uninformative*) as this exposure duration is insufficient for detecting micronuclei in mature
- 6 erythrocytes (<u>Macgregor et al., 1990</u>).
- 7 One study, <u>Shindo et al. (1989</u>), did include a top dose (85.7 mg Cr(VI)/kg) that reached
- 8 sufficient bone marrow toxicity. This well-conducted study was part of a larger effort by the
- 9 Collaborative Study Group for the Micronucleus Test to establish best practices for this assay. The
- 10 group conducted a pilot test to determine LD50s for each strain and route (oral and i.p.). A
- 11 micronucleus test was then conducted, finding no increases in micronucleus frequency from acute
- 12 oral exposures that reached a maximum tolerated dose in each strain. This study, however, was
- 13 determined to be *low* confidence due to lack of reporting the number of animals tested and not
- 14 establishing a sufficient background level of micronucleated PCEs to ensure adequate detection
- sensitivity in the study; for the CD-1 mice, the background micronucleus frequency was zero.
- While the micronucleus assay has been traditionally performed in peripheral blood or bone 16 17 marrow, it has been developed for use in other tissues provided the test is optimized for sensitivity (e.g., ensuring the test captures cells during the first cell division post-exposure). Notably, some GI 18 19 tract mutagens [e.g., N-methyl-N'-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine (MNNG), N-methyl-N-nitrosourethane 20 (NMUT)], do not show increased micronucleus frequency in the peripheral blood or bone marrow 21 due to pharmacokinetic considerations, and adapting the MN assay for use in the GI tract, where the 22 cellular turnover rate is 3–5 days, has yielded positive results for GI carcinogens known to be 23 mutagenic (e.g., <u>Okada et al. (2019)</u>). Only two studies, conducted by the same group, were 24 identified that specifically measured micronuclei in duodenal epithelial cells of mice exposed to

25 Cr(VI) in drinking water (<u>Thompson et al., 2015b</u>; <u>O'Brien et al., 2013</u>).

- 26 <u>O'Brien et al. (2013)</u> identified micronuclei as well as mitotic and apoptotic cells in fully 27 intact crypts from formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded duodenal tissues obtained from a 28 previous subchronic bioassay in female mice (<u>Thompson et al., 2011</u>). Because the bioassay had 29 been conducted previously, appropriate positive controls were not run concurrently, which would 30 be useful for establishing proficiency in this less standardized tissue for this assay (compared to the 31 bone marrow or peripheral blood) for which no historical control data is available. In crypt cells, 32 zero micronuclei were reported for every dose group; this, and the lack of cytotoxicity detected in 33 these tissues even at the top dose (as measured by mitotic indices), indicate that the study was also 34 likely not sensitive enough to detect an effect in these tissues, leading to a judgment of *low* 35 confidence. At a minimum, scoring enough cells to detect a background rate for micronuclei 36 incidence would have helped increase confidence in these findings. In the villous cells, however, 37 statistically significantly increased numbers of cells with micronuclei were observed at the top dose 38 at day 7 and the two highest dose groups (60 and 180 mg/L Cr(VI)) at day 90. The micronuclei
 - This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.3-106DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

1	counts were pooled per dose group, and the total number of cells scored was not reported, so
2	frequency cannot be determined, contributing to the <i>low</i> confidence judgment for this endpoint.
3	In the second micronucleus study in the GI tract by this group, <u>Thompson et al. (2015b)</u>
4	again reported no increased micronuclei in duodenal crypt cells, but this study did not report
5	whether there were again increased micronuclei in villous cells. Concerns regarding the sensitivity
6	of the study design primarily involve the lack of establishing proficiency in this nonstandard assay.
7	Specifically, again, a baseline number of micronucleated cells in crypts and/or duodenal
8	enterocytes was not established; two exposure groups [180 mg/L Cr(VI), and the positive control,
9	65 mg/kg DMH] reported zero micronuclei in 5161 and 3153 cells, respectively. These groups had
10	lower numbers of cells analyzed than the vehicle control, which screened 6694 cells to identify four
11	micronucleated enterocytes (0.06%). Therefore, sufficient numbers of cells should have been
12	counted for all dose groups to increase confidence in the sensitivity of this assay to detect reliable
13	negative result. In addition, the top dose did not induce a change in mitotic indices in the crypts
14	which was interpreted as a lack of cytotoxicity, indicating a lack of sensitivity for this endpoint (see
15	above discussion on sensitivity concerns for this assay).
16	Of primary concern regarding the sensitivity of <u>Thompson et al. (2015b)</u> is the lack of
17	micronuclei detection or other nuclear damage in animals dosed with 65 mg/kg DMH via gavage, or
18	the low, nonsignificant levels of micronuclei reported for i.p. injection of DMH. DMH
19	(1,2-dimethylhydrazine) is a colon carcinogen and alkylating agent widely used to induce colon
20	tumors in animal models (Vanhauwaert et al., 2001) and has been used as a positive control to
21	validate the micronucleus assay in the GI tract by other groups (<u>Coffing et al., 2011</u> ; <u>Ohyama et al.,</u>
22	2002; Goldberg et al., 1983). When administered via gavage or i.p., it induces increased
23	micronucleus frequency in the mouse colon (<u>Ohyama et al., 2002;</u> <u>Vanhauwaert et al., 2001;</u>
24	Goldberg et al., 1983). Another study validating the micronucleus assay in GI tissues dosed mice
25	with DMH via gavage at 16.5, 33, 50, and 66 mg/kg and reported statistically significant, dose-
26	dependent increases in micronuclei in the duodenum and colon at all doses tested (<u>Coffing et al.</u> ,
27	2011), with micronuclei detected at a higher frequency in the duodenum than in the colon.
28	Therefore, this study was judged to be <i>low</i> confidence for this endpoint.
29	Supporting genotoxicity evidence
30	DNA damage
31	Genotoxicity endpoints that did not meet the mutagenicity prioritization criteria have also
32	been reported in animal studies. These include measures of DNA damage that may not reflect actual

- 33 mutation frequency, as well as studies using less relevant routes of exposure (i.e., i.p. injection
- 34 studies). The prioritization strategy for identifying these studies and study details is summarized in
- **35** Appendix C.3.2.2.
- 36 Only one animal study was identified that reported DNA damage measures following direct
- exposure to the lung. <u>Gao et al. (1992)</u> exposed Wistar rats to 0.45 and 0.87 mg/kg Cr(VI) via
- 38 intratracheal instillation and detected a significant increase of DNA strand breaks in peripheral

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.3-107DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

1 lymphocytes after 24 hours. Several drinking water exposure studies were identified that reported

- 2 mostly negative findings for DNA damage. Thompson et al. (2015b; 2015a) conducted
- 3 immunohistochemical staining for phosphorylated histone H2AX (γH2AX), a marker of DNA
- 4 double-strand breaks, in the intestinal villi and crypts of mice after oral exposure.
- 5 Immunohistochemical grading reported moderate staining in the crypts that was not treatment-
- 6 related, and moderate staining in the villi after exposure to 31 mg/kg Cr(VI)-day (high dose) after
- 7 13 weeks (<u>Thompson et al., 2015a</u>). A 7-day follow-up study by the same group also reported no
- 8 treatment-related increase in γH2AX foci in the crypts, although these results may have biased
- 9 toward the null due to the 24 hour recovery period given the potentially rapid disappearance of
- 10 γH2AX (<u>Thompson et al., 2015b</u>). Another group reported a 1.5-fold increase in γH2AX in the 'distal
- section' of the GI tract in C57BL/6J mice exposed to up to 1.9 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water for 150
- 12 days, although the low number of animals studied (2/group) make these findings less informative
- 13 (<u>Sánchez-Martín et al., 2015</u>). A separate genotoxicity study reported no evidence of DNA-protein
- 14 crosslinks in GI tissues (forestomach, glandular stomach, and duodenum) of female SKH-1 mice
- after 9 months of low dose oral exposure to 1.20 and 4.82 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day through drinking
- 16 water (<u>De Flora et al., 2008</u>).
- 17 Three studies in mice administering Cr(VI) via gavage reported significant, dose-dependent
- 18 increases in DNA damage, measured by the comet assay, in multiple tissues, including lymphocytes
- 19 (<u>Wang et al., 2006</u>), leukocytes (<u>Dana Devi et al., 2001</u>), stomach, colon, liver, kidney, bladder, lung,
- 20 and brain (<u>Sekihashi et al., 2001</u>). Single, bolus gavage doses greatly condense the exposure time,
- 21 inhibiting gastric reduction (ad libitum drinking water exposures are distributed over a 24-hour
- 22 period, whereas gavage occurs over a very short period). This difference in pharmacokinetics could
- 23 potentially explain the difference in genotoxicity results between gavage and drinking water
- observations. The only tissue <u>Sekihashi et al. (2001)</u> tested that did not find an increase in DNA
- 25 damage was the bone marrow, and no indications of cytotoxicity were observed in the animals,
- 26 indicating that Cr(VI) did not reach the bone marrow at sufficient concentrations to induce DNA
- 27 damage (Dana Devi et al., 2001; Sekihashi et al., 2001).
- 28 Similarly, studies in rats and mice uniformly indicate Cr(VI) can cause gene and 29 chromosomal mutations and DNA damage when injected intraperitoneally (i.p.); these are 30 summarized in Appendix Table C-52. While less informative for GI tract cancers, intraperitoneal 31 dosing experiments are considered supplemental to oral dosing studies in providing mechanistic 32 evidence to inform mutagenic and genotoxic effects. Dosing via i.p. injection results in higher 33 systemic tissue concentrations of Cr(VI) compared to oral and inhalation exposure because this 34 route bypasses Cr(VI) reduction mechanisms that would otherwise dampen systemic Cr(VI) 35 distribution and absorption (see Section 3.1 and Appendix C.1). Systemic effects are more likely
- 36 following i.p. injection compared to oral exposure. However, some mechanistic studies aim to
- 37 examine the effects of Cr(VI) on target tissues, irrespective of route, and i.p. injections may be the

only feasible method to expose some systemic target organs to carefully controlled and consistent
 concentrations of Cr(VI).

3 Although in vitro studies of human cells were prioritized over other mammalian cells, Pool-4 Zobel et al. (1994) compared responses from both human and rat cells. This study performed the 5 comet assay for measuring DNA strand breaks on human and rat gastric mucosal cells from 6 macroscopically healthy tissues of patients collected during biopsy or from Sprague-Dawley rats 7 treated with 0.087–0.349 µmoles/mL Cr(VI) in vitro. The results showed genotoxicity occurring at 8 non-cytotoxic doses, with responses in the cells from SD rats paralleling those from human cells, 9 providing some evidence of species concordance for genotoxicity induced by Cr(VI). 10 Signature mutations 11 Other investigations of specific Cr(VI)-induced mutations that may be relevant to GI 12 carcinogenesis have been reported. An analysis of the specific types of point mutations induced by a 13 chemical can determine whether, compared to spontaneous mutations, certain mutations are more 14 associated with exposures, i.e., signature mutations. Chemical-specific mutational signatures can 15 potentially establish an association between chemical exposure and mutation, as well as lending

- 16 mechanistic insight to the types of DNA damage most associated with the specific mutation. In
- 17 addition to analyzing mutation frequency, two studies examined specific types of point mutations in
- 18 the mouse small intestine after 28 or 90 days of exposure. G:C to T:A transversions, mutations that
- 19 frequently result from the DNA damage associated with oxidative stress, were observed to occur at
- a slightly higher frequency (11%) in the lung of the Cr(VI)-treated transgenic mice (6.75 mg/kg,
- 21 intratracheal instillation) (Cheng et al. (2000; 1998)), consistent with in vitro findings by this group
- 22 (Liu et al., 1999). The G:C to T:A transversions correlated with glutathione levels, presumably
- 23 because the antioxidant is reducing higher levels of intracellular Cr(VI) and thus increasing reactive
- 24 oxygen species generation.
- 25 In another study in transgenic mice, an increase in G:C to T:A transversions was not
- 26 observed in mutations recovered from the duodenum in animals exposed to Cr(VI) in drinking
- 27 water (Aoki et al., 2019). This study did, however, detect a higher rate of A:T to T:A transversions in
- the Cr(VI)-exposed animals at 28 days that was not detectable at 90 days; the significance of this
- 29 mutation in relation to Cr(VI) is not known, but it indicates a potential signature mutation that
- 30 could be investigated further. The Cheng et al. (2000; 1998) study reported a higher frequency of all
- 31 mutation types in Cr(VI)-exposed animal lung tissue compared to controls, whereas the <u>Aoki et al.</u>
- 32 (2019) study did not detect an increase in mutations over background in the duodenum. Although
- 33 the study did not conduct additional testing to determine whether this difference is attributable to a
- 34 lack of oxidative DNA damage (and subsequent G:C to T:A transversions) in the animals in the Aoki
- 35 <u>et al. (2019)</u> study, it is possible that mutations related to oxidative damage are more likely to be
- 36 induced in a single high intratracheal instillation exposure (6.75 mg/kg Cr(VI)) in Cheng et al.
- 37 (2000; 1998), compared to a longer, lower dose exposure period (up to 0.7 mg/kg-d for 28 days or
- 38 0.45 mg/kg-d for 90 days, drinking water) used by <u>Aoki et al. (2019)</u>. Some consistency in results is

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.3-109DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

1 noted by the finding that both studies reported that a high proportion of spontaneous mutations

2 were G:C to A:T transitions. Overall, there is not enough evidence to conclude that there may be a

3 signature mutation associated with Cr(VI) exposure.

4 Integration of genotoxicity evidence

5 Cr(VI) has been shown to be genotoxic and induce mutations in vitro and in animals
6 exposed via i.p. injection (summarized in Appendix C.3.3.3 and C.3.3.4), providing mechanistic
7 support for the mutagenicity of Cr(VI) in these specific exposure scenarios. The evidence is less
8 clear from in vivo exposures, where pharmacokinetics can influence the ability and extent of Cr(VI)
9 reaching the tissues at concentrations capable of inducing detectable mutations. Therefore,
10 genotoxicity studies were prioritized to identify gene and chromosomal mutation studies in vivo
11 using inhalation and oral routes of exposure more relevant to humans.

Occupational exposure studies provide the most human relevant information for mutagenic
 risk from Cr(VI) exposures. Consistent evidence of the mutagenic and genotoxic effects associated
 with Cr(VI) exposure is provided by human studies across a diversity of study populations and
 industrial settings (summarized in Table 3-17 and Appendix Table C-47). In studies detecting

16 transmissible genetic damage (i.e., micronuclei and chromosomal aberrations), increased

- 17 micronucleus frequency and, to a lesser extent, chromosomal aberrations were consistently
- 18 detected in the peripheral blood lymphocytes and exfoliated nasal and buccal epithelial cells of

19 exposed workers. These biomarkers have been shown to be positively associated with an increased

risk of cancer in humans (Bonassi et al. (2011b; 2008; 2007), (Norppa et al., 2006)). The data for

21 micronuclei and chromosomal aberrations are supported by additional evidence of genotoxic

22 responses to Cr(VI) exposure in humans, including DNA strand breaks, adducts, and crosslinks

23 (summarized in Appendix Table C-49).

24 No studies investigating genotoxicity in nonneoplastic lung tissues were identified in the

- 25 occupational exposure studies, but there was consistent evidence of increased micronucleus
- 26 frequency in buccal cells from workers occupationally exposed to Cr(VI) via chrome plating and
- 27 welding from two *medium* confidence studies (<u>El Safty et al., 2018</u>; <u>Sudha et al., 2011</u>) supported by

findings reported in three *low* confidence studies (<u>Qayyum et al., 2012</u>; <u>Danadevi et al., 2004</u>;

29 <u>Benova et al., 2002</u>). Although occupational exposure occurs primarily via inhalation, changes in

30 buccal cells can serve as a surrogate of direct Cr(VI) exposures to the GI tract in humans if ingested

31 Cr(VI) is able to reach those tissues in comparable amounts. Micronucleus frequency in these

32 workers was found to correlate with blood chromium levels (<u>El Safty et al., 2018</u>; <u>Qayyum et al.,</u>

33 <u>2012</u>; <u>Danadevi et al., 2004</u>), with work duration (<u>Danadevi et al., 2004</u>), and with systemic

34 measures of DNA damage (e.g., 8-OHdG adducts, DNA strand breaks) (<u>El Safty et al., 2018; Sudha et</u>

35 <u>al., 2011; Danadevi et al., 2004</u>).

The experimental evidence base of gene and chromosomal mutation studies in animals is
 smaller and composed entirely of *low* confidence studies (see Appendix Figures C-22 to C-25 for a

1 visual comparison of the reported findings from the oral exposure studies). One study was

2 identified that exposed animal lung tissues directly to Cr(VI) (via intratracheal instillation) and

- 3 reported dose-dependent increases in mutation frequency that increased with time from 1 to 4
- 4 weeks post-exposure (Cheng et al. (2000; 1998)). Although this is only one *low* confidence study, it
- 5 is coherent with the findings in exposed humans and demonstrates the mutagenicity of Cr(VI) when
- 6 it comes into direct contact with tissues.
- 7 A slightly higher number of studies investigating mutagenicity via the oral route are
- 8 available. Four drinking water and/or gavage studies in mice measured micronucleus frequency in
- 9 the peripheral blood or bone marrow, the tissues most commonly studied in the micronucleus
- 10 assay due to the requirement of exposing actively dividing cells. Acute and subchronic studies by
- 11 NTP found mixed results among three strains of mice (<u>NTP, 2007</u>), while three additional studies
- 12 reported negative results in the bone marrow and/or peripheral blood (<u>De Flora et al., 2006</u>;
- 13 <u>Mirsalis et al., 1996; Shindo et al., 1989</u>). When interpreting genotoxicity results, particularly
- 14 negative results for a substance known to be mutagenic in other exposure scenarios, it is important
- 15 to confirm that the test substance reached the tissues tested. In vivo micronucleus assays are
- 16 designed to inform decisions regarding the mutagenic potential of a chemical (Eastmond et al.,
- 17 <u>2009</u>), but if the doses selected for testing are lower than levels inducing some toxicity in the target
- 18 tissues, it is not possible to conclude the chemical would not be a mutagen at higher, subtoxic or
- 19 even toxic doses. For some of these studies, there is reason to suspect the exposures were not high
- 20 enough to achieve adequate tissue concentrations in the bone marrow. For example, although
- 21 pharmacokinetic findings by <u>NTP (2007)</u> indicate that Cr(VI) can reach the bone (or femur) at
- 22 concentrations above 10 mg/L Cr(VI) (approximately 1–2 mg/kg-d), two of these studies exposing
- 23 animals to concentrations up to 20 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water (De Flora et al., 2006; Mirsalis et
- 24 <u>al., 1996</u>) did not detect increases in micronuclei, and also did not detect decreases in the PCE/NCE
- 25 ratio, which would indicate toxicity in the bone marrow as specified by standard guidance for this
- 26 assay (<u>OECD, 2016a</u>). A third study, exposing animals via gavage to much higher doses (bolus dose,
- 27 up to 86 mg/kg Cr(VI)³⁰), also reported negative findings that were observed in animals with
- 28 significant bone marrow toxicity, but this study was *low* confidence due to the lack of establishing a
- 29 background spontaneous rate of micronucleus incidence and not reporting the number of animals
- 30 tested (<u>Shindo et al., 1989</u>).
- 31 The subchronic bioassay by NTP exposed male and female B6C3F₁ mice to concentrations in
- 32 drinking water up to 350 mg/L Cr(VI) and did not detect increases in micronucleus frequency;
- 33 these animals had a slight induction of bone marrow toxicity, though decreased palatability in these
- 34 animals prevented these investigators from achieving a higher tissue concentration, and led to the
- 35 selection of lower doses for their second study (<u>NTP, 2007</u>). There were some positive findings in
- the second study, a mouse strain comparison of toxicity responses that dosed up to 87.2 mg/L

DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

³⁰As a comparison, drinking water exposure of the top concentration of 350 mg/L Cr(VI) in Study 1 by <u>NTP</u> (2007) yields a daily dose of approximately 20 mg/kg-d, which is distributed over a longer period of time. This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

1 Cr(VI), with *am3*-C57BL/6 positive, BALB/c negative, and B6C3F₁ nearly statistically significant 2 (see Table 3-19). This was despite a complete lack of toxicity in the bone marrow in these animals. 3 It is possible that, due to pharmacokinetic variability, Cr(VI) concentrations in drinking water do 4 not always reach sufficient concentrations in the bone marrow to induce significant mutagenicity in 5 that tissue, making this test in bone marrow tissues or cells a less sensitive measure for detecting 6 mutagenic potential in GI tissues following drinking water exposures. To enter bone marrow, orally 7 ingested Cr(VI) must escape 1) extracellular reduction in the GI tract lumen, 2) extracellular 8 reduction or cellular uptake in the liver and portal blood, and 3) extracellular reduction or cellular 9 uptake in systemic blood. Unlike gastrointestinal tract tissues which may be more directly exposed 10 to higher sustained levels of Cr(VI), the bone marrow may receive lower levels of exposure. 11 Evidence in tumor target tissues, as with the mutation study in the lung, is considered more 12 informative due to the point of contact uptake of Cr(VI) and intracellular reduction that initiates 13 potential carcinogenic pathways associated with Cr(VI) exposure (see Section 3.2.3.4). Three 14 studies directly investigated mutation frequency in tissues in the mouse duodenum or the rat oral 15 cavity following drinking water exposures. Two are gene mutation studies that examined target 16 tissues in the mouse duodenum (Aoki et al., 2019) or the rat oral cavity (Thompson et al., 2015c) of 17 transgenic rodents following subchronic drinking water exposures. Neither of these *low* confidence 18 studies observed significant increases in mutation frequencies. These studies designed the dosing 19 regimen based on the NTP 2-year bioassay and did not cover a range of doses that included a toxic 20 dose, which would have increased confidence in this study's ability to detect an effect. 21 A third study, O'Brien et al. (2013), did not detect an increase in KRAS codon 12 GGT to GAT 22 mutations in the mouse duodenal tissues. While KRAS mutations, primarily occurring in codons 12 23 and 13, have been identified in 35–45% of human colorectal cancers (Nguyen and Duong, 2018), 24 and many types of codon 12 mutations have been identified in tumors of the GI tract in humans 25 (Peng and Zhao, 2014), there are no data to establish the presence of codon 12 GGT to GAT 26 mutations in tumors from Cr(VI)-exposed workers, or in oral rat or duodenal mouse tumors 27 induced by Cr(VI). Furthermore, a comparison study with spontaneous mutations in untreated 28 animals has not been conducted. Considering these factors, and the high background incidence of 29 mutation frequency in this study decreasing the sensitivity for detecting an effect, no inferences can 30 be made regarding the significance of these results. 31 Although micronucleus detection in bone marrow or peripheral blood is standard practice, 32 this assay can be used for any tissue with actively dividing cells. Two studies by the same group 33 tested intact duodenal tissues from mice exposed to Cr(VI) in drinking water for 7 or 90 days, 34 separately counting micronuclei in crypt and villous cells. Both studies, testing dose ranges based 35 on the NTP 2-year bioassay that did not include a group with a maximum tolerated dose, reported 36 no increased incidence of micronuclei in crypt cells from Cr(VI)-exposed animals. The first, O'Brien 37 et al. (2013), did not observe a single micronucleus in crypt cells at any dose. The failure of 38 establishing a background incidence, paired with no concurrent positive controls, make these

1 results difficult to interpret. In their second study of crypt cells, although an extremely low

2 background incidence was observed, two exposure groups again were observed to have zero

- 3 micronuclei: the top concentration (180 mg/L Cr(VI)), and one positive control, DMH
- 4 (1,2-dimethylhydrazine) (<u>Thompson et al., 2015b</u>). This is of some concern considering this
- 5 chemical has been used as a positive control to validate the micronucleus assay in the GI tract by
- 6 other groups (<u>Coffing et al., 2011</u>; <u>Ohyama et al., 2002</u>; <u>Vanhauwaert et al., 2001</u>; <u>Goldberg et al.</u>,
- 7 <u>1983</u>).

8

Of these two studies, only <u>O'Brien et al. (2013)</u> also scored villous enterocytes for

- 9 micronuclei and reported a statistically significant increase at the top dose at day 7 and the two
- 10 highest exposure groups (60 and 180 mg/L Cr(VI)) at day 90. Although the incidences were pooled
- 11 for all animals and the total number of cells scored was not reported, this is an intriguing finding.
- 12 Micronuclei cannot be formed in cells that are not actively dividing. Although intestinal villous cells
- 13 have a rapid turnover rate of 3–5 days, it is the crypt cells that are the rapidly dividing progenitor
- 14 cells; these cells proliferate and differentiate, migrating up the villi to form the cells lining the
- 15 intestinal villi (<u>Gelberg, 2018</u>). The nonproliferative, fully differentiated villous enterocytes are
- 16 continually sloughed into the lumen as they are replaced by new cells (<u>Potten et al., 2009</u>).
- 17 Therefore, to discover micronuclei in the villous cells, and not in crypt cells (assuming that the
- 18 study design was sufficient to detect mutational changes in this region), either demonstrates that
- **19** genetic damage occurring in the crypt cells suddenly ceased or was repaired in the 24 hours
- 20 between the end of the exposure and sacrifice, pushing the last micronucleated cells into the villus,
- 21 or, that in response to Cr(VI), the villous enterocytes absorbing Cr(VI) began dedifferentiating and
- 22 migrating back toward the crypt cells, leaving them vulnerable to the genotoxic effects of Cr(VI)
- 23 (consistent with the "top-down" theory for colorectal cancer, (<u>Hanahan, 2022</u>; <u>Schwitalla et al.</u>,
- 24 <u>2013</u>; <u>Shih et al., 2001</u>). Either instance indicates a potential for Cr(VI) to induce genetic damage in
- 25 intestinal villi; however, better designed experiments would be needed to draw any interpretations
- with confidence.
- 27 In vitro studies of GI tissues comparing genotoxicity across species have shown that cellular
- 28 responses are similar in gastric mucosal cells between humans and rodents (<u>Pool-Zobel et al.</u>,
- 29 <u>1994</u>). However, other genotoxicity endpoints from in vivo oral exposure studies specific to GI
- 30 tissues were negative, including γH2AX, a marker of DNA double-strand breaks (<u>Thompson et al.</u>,
- 31 <u>2015b</u>; <u>Thompson et al., 2015a</u>), and DNA-protein crosslinks were not increased in the
- 32 forestomach, glandular stomach, and duodenum (<u>De Flora et al., 2008</u>). In addition, several in vivo
- 33 studies found no increase in 8-OHdG adducts in target tissues across species (<u>Thompson et al.</u>,
- 34 <u>2012b</u>; <u>Thompson et al., 2011</u>; <u>De Flora et al., 2008</u>), suggesting that oxidative DNA damage may
- 35 not be a primary source of permanent DNA alteration.
- Two positive but low confidence in vivo mutation studies were not conducted in portal-ofentry or tumor target tissues but were designed to detect mutations induced in germ cells and the developing fetus. Although the focus of this analysis is to inform an MOA for cancer, an agent that
 - This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.3-113DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

- 1 causes mutation in germ cells is of added concern due to the potential for generating heritable
- 2 mutations that can be passed to offspring if the agent is anticipated to reach the germinal tissues
- 3 (<u>U.S. EPA, 1986b</u>). <u>Marat et al. (2018)</u> reported increased dominant lethal mutation frequency,
- 4 indicative of increased chromosomal aberrations and/or gene mutations arising in the exposed F0
- 5 male. The second study found a significant dose-dependent increase in mutations in mice after
- 6 gestational drinking water exposures despite elevated background frequency (Kirpnick-Sobol et al.,
- 7 <u>2006</u>), although there are indications this study may have been biased away from the null. Although
- 8 it cannot be determined from these two *low* confidence studies that ingested Cr(VI) reaches these
- 9 tissues in sufficient concentrations to conclude there is a potential mutagenic hazard to germ cells
- 10 and the developing fetus, further research is needed.
- 11 Although the current evidence base has not consistently identified signature mutations
- 12 associated with Cr(VI) exposure, there may be some indications from in vitro studies that Cr(VI)
- 13 induces mutations in vivo primarily through larger deletions or structural changes, versus smaller
- 14 point mutations or frameshifts that would be detected by the transgenic rodent assay. Additional
- 15 investigation of preserved tissues from animal bioassays could allow the analysis of higher
- 16 numbers of cells to increase the sensitivity of micronucleus detection. Future testing for mutation
- 17 induction in the GI tract could increase sensitivity by harvesting dissociated mucosal epithelial cells
- to increase the number of cells for analysis (<u>Okada et al., 2019; Coffing et al., 2011</u>), and flow
- 19 cytometric scoring of micronucleated cells can dramatically increase the sensitivity of this assay
- 20 (<u>Dertinger et al., 2011</u>). Updated technologies in DNA sequencing and the identification of
- 21 mutational signatures are also capable of resolving these evidence gaps (e.g., <u>Riva et al. (2020)</u>;
- 22 <u>Valentine et al. (2020)</u>).
- In conclusion, there is consistent and coherent evidence that a mutagenic MOA for Cr(VI)induced carcinogenesis is biologically plausible and relevant to humans. The implications of this
 evidence in the context of human pharmacokinetics and the full complement of carcinogenic
 pathways, including interpretations regarding tissue type-specific (e.g., in the lung; in the GI tract)
 induction, that can be initiated by Cr(VI) exposure will be discussed in the next section.
- 28

3.2.3.4. Mode-of-action integration of evidence for carcinogenesis

29 Figure 3-16 summarizes the key events (organized by levels of biological complexity) and 30 mechanistic pathways that have been identified to be involved in the carcinogenic process induced 31 by Cr(VI). Evidence supporting each key event (boxes) and key event relationship (arrows) is 32 presented in more detail in Table 3-20. The corresponding key characteristic of carcinogens 33 (Appendix C.3.2, (Smith et al., 2016)) is identified with each key event where applicable, as well as 34 whether the key event is recognized to be a hallmark or enabling characteristic of cancer (Hanahan, 35 2022; Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011). The visualization of key events in this figure resembles the 36 layout commonly used in adverse outcome pathway (AOP) networks, but this diagram is chemical-37 specific. Although some events clearly precede others, due to the complexity of the key event

- 1 pathways the key events themselves have not been numbered to avoid the suggestion of an
- 2 overarching temporal order.
- 3



Figure 3-16. Key events and mechanistic pathways induced by Cr(VI) exposure that can lead to cancer.

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.3-116DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

1

		References for Cr(VI)-specific
Key event	Key event relationship and evidence	evidence ^a
Pharmacokinetic-dep	endent molecular initiating event	
Distribution,	Once Cr(VI) reaches the target tissue(s) in sufficient amounts, the Cr(VI) oxyanion is	Reviewed in Section 3.1.1, Zhitkovich
cellular uptake and	taken up by cells via nonspecific anion transporters where it is reduced via intracellular	(2011), <u>Nickens et al. (2010)</u> (see below
intracellular	reductants to Cr(V), Cr(IV), and the kinetically stable Cr(III). The predominant	summary of key events)
reduction of Cr(VI)	intracellular reduction pathways and intermediates depend on available ascorbate,	
	glutathione, and cysteine.	
Macromolecular		
DNA reactivity,	Cr(VI) is not DNA reactive, but Cr(III), the final reduction product, can form bulky Cr-	Reviewed in <u>Zhitkovich (2005)</u> (see below
adduct and	DNA and Cr-protein adducts and crosslinks, leading to replication fork stalling and DNA	summary of key events)
crosslink formation,	double-strand breaks.	
and DNA double-		
strand breaks		
Oxidation of	Redox reactions during the intracellular reduction of Cr(VI) generates reactive	Reviewed in Levina and Lay (2005),
biological	intermediates Cr(V) and Cr(IV) that produce reactive oxygen species, directly damaging	Zhitkovich (2011) (see below summary of
macromolecules	intracellular molecules including DNA, proteins and lipids, and inducing cell signaling	key events)
and ROS generation	pathways and transcription factors associated with inflammation, cytotoxicity,	
	apoptosis and necrosis, including TNF- α , NF- κ B, and NRF2. Cr(VI) is a strong oxidizing	
	agent and can abstract electrons from a number of intracellular ligands, forming	
	oxyradical species and leading to oxidative stress and cytotoxicity.	
Oxidative DNA	Reactive oxygen species generated by intracellular reduction of Cr(VI) can cause DNA	Reviewed in Shi et al. (2004)
damage	strand breaks, both directly through free radical damage and base modifications	
	(e.g., 8-OHdG adducts), and indirectly via ROS generation, lipid and protein	
	peroxidation, and depletion of intracellular antioxidants and DNA repair capacity. DNA	
	damage correlates with ROS levels and treatment with antioxidants reduces DNA	
	damage.	

Table 3-20. Evidence for key events and key event relationships involved in Cr(VI)-induced carcinogenesis

		References for Cr(VI)-specific
Key event	Key event relationship and evidence	evidence ^a
Epigenetic	Cr(VI) exposure induces extensive promoter-specific methylation, global	Reviewed in Chen et al. (2019)
modifications	hypomethylation, post-translational histone modifications, and microRNA	
	dysregulation, affecting the expression of an extensive number of genes shown to be	
	altered by Cr(VI) exposure; this pattern of hypermethylation of CpG islands,	
	downregulating tumor-suppressor genes, and concomitant hypomethylation of global	
	(non-CpG) regions, upregulating tumor promoter genes, contributes to genomic	
	instability, and has been observed in many idiopathic cancers including	
	adenocarcinomas of the GI tract.	
Altered DNA repair	Cr(VI) exposure alters DNA repair processes by the suppression of DNA repair genes via	Reviewed in <u>Chen et al. (2019)</u> ; see also
	epigenetic silencing of mismatch repair (MMR) genes. Epigenetic silencing of DNA	<u>Guo et al. (2019); Wang and Yang (2019);</u>
	repair genes leads to suppression of proficient DNA repair pathways, including	<u>Hu et al. (2018); Li et al. (2016); Wang et</u>
	mismatch repair (MMR), leading to microsatellite instability, and homologous	<u>al. (2012b)</u>
	recombination repair (HR), leading to an increased frequency of replication fork stalling	
	and DNA double-strand breaks. Increased global hypomethylation and increased	
	promoter-specific hypermethylation of CpG islands in DNA repair genes have been	
	observed in the lung tumors of chromate-exposed workers, contributing to	
	mutagenesis and genomic instability, a hallmark of cancer.	
Inadequate DNA	If the DNA damage produced by Cr(VI) reduction and the formation of DNA adducts and	Rudnykh and Zasukhina (1985)
repair (connector	ROS damage cannot be adequately repaired (or removed by programmed cell death),	
event)	this can lead to gene mutations, aneuploidy, and genomic instability. In humans,	
	decreased DNA repair synthesis has been observed in lymphocytes among individuals	
	exposed to chromium occupationally. The suppression of DNA damage response and	
	repair genes increases the probability that Cr(VI)-induced genetic damage will lead to	
	mutations.	
Silencing of tumor	A number of tumor suppressor genes have been shown to be downregulated by Cr(VI)	<u>Ali et al. (2011), Hu et al. (2016)</u> , <u>Kondo et</u>
suppressor genes	exposure, with some known to be due to epigenetic silencing, including APC, P16 ^{IIIK4a} ,	<u>al. (2006)</u> , <u>Tsao et al. (2011)</u> , <u>Li et al.</u>
and activation of	CFTR, and possibly p53, though there is conflicting evidence for p53 involvement.	(2017), Lu et al. (2018), Park et al. (2017),
oncogenic	Activation of the c-Myc and Wnt/β-catenin oncogenic pathways has also been	Mezencev and Auerbach (2021)
pathways	implicated.	

		References for Cr(VI)-specific
Key event	Key event relationship and evidence	evidence ^a
Cellular and Tissue Le	vel	
Genomic instability	Genomic and chromosomal instability induced by Cr(VI) contributes to tumorigenesis	Reviewed in <u>Wise and Wise (2010)</u> ; also <u>Ali</u>
	and manifests primarily as microsatellite instability, caused by the epigenetic	et al. (2011), Hirose et al. (2002),
	suppression of mismatch repair genes, and aneuploidy.	Peterson-Roth et al. (2005), Takahashi et
		<u>al. (2005)</u>
Gene and	Bulky Cr-DNA lesions lead to replication fork stalling and DNA double-strand breaks,	See mutagenic MOA evidence synthesis,
chromosomal	which can become fixed mutations if not efficiently repaired or targeted for cell death	Section 3.2.3.3
mutation	by apoptosis. Some of these mutation may confer a growth advantage, leading to a	
	clonal outgrowth of the mutated cells and tumorigenesis, a process that is more likely	
	to occur in rapidly proliferating cells.	
Suppression of	Unlike the cytotoxicity-related apoptosis induced by the direct cellular injury caused by	<u>Tsao et al. (2011)</u>
apoptosis	initial Cr(VI) exposures, the downstream suppression of programmed cell death via	
	apoptosis contributes to the fixation of mutations and unchecked cell proliferation,	
	leading to tumorigenesis. Cr(VI) was shown to initiate signaling pathways that promote	
	cell proliferation and inhibit apoptosis in GI target tissues in rats exposed via drinking	
	water for 60 days.	
Cytotoxicity	The oxidative damage induced by Cr(VI) can lead to frank cytotoxicity, which has been	Reviewed in Levina and Lay (2005), Shi et
	observed as increased levels of apoptosis in the lung and small intestine in animals	<u>al. (2004)</u>
	following inhalation and drinking water exposures, respectively. This cytotoxicity	
	contributes to degenerative changes and regenerative hyperplasia. Cytotoxicity has not	
	been detected in the rat oral cavity.	
Cell proliferation	Cr(VI) exposure to the lung and GI tract has been shown to induce cell proliferation,	Kopec et al. (2012a), Rager et al. (2017),
	both by inducing proliferative signaling pathways and by evading apoptotic signals that	<u>Tsao et al. (2011)</u> , <u>Katabami et al. (2000)</u>
	regulate uncontrolled cell growth in normal cells, contributing to hyperplasia and	
	tumorigenesis. Increased cell proliferation can lead to increased genomic instability and	
	the potential for the clonal selection of mutations that confer tumorigenic hallmarks.	
	Cell proliferation has not been detected in the rat oral cavity.	
Degenerative	Biochemical and histopathological evidence of cellular injury has been observed in the	<u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u> , <u>NTP (2007)</u> , <u>NTP</u>
cellular changes	rat lung following inhalation exposures and in the mouse and rat small intestine	(2008), Thompson et al. (2011), Thompson
	following drinking water exposures, indicative of degenerative changes that can initiate	<u>et al. (2012b)</u>
	compensatory cell proliferation. No observations of degenerative cellular changes have	
	been observed in the rat oral cavity.	

		References for Cr(VI)-specific
Key event	Key event relationship and evidence	evidence ^a
Regenerative	Hyperplasia consistent with regeneration following cell injury has been reported	NTP (2008), NTP (2007), Glaser et al.
hyperplasia	following oral exposures in the small intestine of mice and rats and following inhalation	(1990), Thompson et al. (2011), Thompson
	exposures in the lung in rats. Hyperplasia has not been observed in the rat oral cavity	et al. (2015b), Thompson et al. (2012b)
	following Cr(VI) exposures.	
Inflammation	Chronic inflammation is an enabling characteristic of cancer. Evidence consistent with	Johansson et al. (1986b), Glaser et al.
	inflammatory lung responses has been observed following Cr(VI) inhalation. However,	<u>(1990)</u> , <u>Glaser et al. (1985)</u> , <u>Cohen et al.</u>
	no evidence consistent with chronic inflammation has been reported following oral	<u>(2003)</u> , <u>Kim et al. (2004)</u>
	exposures in animals or humans. Some suggestive evidence from oxidative stress,	
	cytokine fluctuations, and proinflammatory signaling pathways (e.g., NF-kB) may be	
	indirectly indicative but this evidence in inconclusive.	
Organ		
Tumor formation	 Lung (inhalation): Cr(VI) is a human lung carcinogen. 	<u>NTP (2008)</u>
	Oral cavity (ingestion): Increased incidence of squamous cell carcinomas or	
	papillomas (mucosa or tongue) in both sexes of F344/N rats (NTP 2-year	
	bioassay). Statistically significant at highest dose (≥6 mg/kg-d in males, ≥ 7.13	
	mg/kg-d in females) with dose-response trend in lower dose groups, in	
	drinking water. See Figure 3-16 and Table 3-15. Tumors are rare (see Appendix	
	D.5).	
	• Small intestine (ingestion): Increased incidences of adenomas and carcinomas	
	in both sexes of B6C3F1 mice (NTP 2-year bioassay). Statistically significant at	
	two highest exposures (≥ 2.4 mg/kg-d in males, ≥3.2 mg/kg-d in females) with	
	dose-response trend in lower dose groups, in drinking water. See Figure 3-16	
	and Table 3-15. Tumors are rare (see Appendix D.5).	

^aComplete references for the evidence provided in the table can be found in the below summaries of each key event.

1 Key events for Cr(VI)-induced cancer

Pharmacokinetic-dependent Molecular Initiating Event: The distribution, cellular uptake and
 reduction of Cr(VI)

4 The effects induced by Cr(VI) can only occur if Cr(VI) reaches the target tissue prior to 5 extracellular reduction, which essentially inactivates its toxic and carcinogenic potential. Therefore, 6 consideration of the pharmacokinetics and the competing processes of reduction and uptake of 7 inhaled or ingested Cr(VI) are central to assessing the carcinogenic potency of Cr(VI). 8 Chromium (VI) compounds have been traditionally considered nonreactive towards purified DNA 9 under physiological conditions. Their ability to induce oxidative stress and DNA damage in exposed 10 cells and tissues in vitro and in vivo (discussed in the following sections) is explained by the 11 uptake-reduction model of Cr(VI)-mediated genotoxicity (Wetterhahn et al., 1989). Based on this 12 model and irrespective of target cell type, Cr(VI) species taken up by cells by anion transporters 13 undergo intracellular reduction predominantly driven by ascorbate, glutathione and cysteine to 14 form DNA-reactive and/or oxidative damage-inducing intermediates Cr(V) and Cr(IV), and 15 eventually the thermodynamically stable Cr(III), which accumulates in cells via its binding to DNA 16 and other molecules (Zhitkovich, 2011, 2005). These nonspecific anion transporters, present in all 17 cell types, rapidly take up soluble Cr(VI) due to the structural similarity of the tetrahedral 18 configuration of the chromate $(Cr_2O_4^{2-})$ or dichromate $(Cr_2O_7^{2-})$ anions to that of phosphate 19 (HPO_4^{2-}) and sulfate (SO_4^{2-}) anions (Wetterhahn et al., 1989). 20 Reduction of Cr(VI) is a kinetically controlled process, and the role of specific reductants 21 reflects their reaction rates with Cr(VI) compounds and intracellular concentrations. The highest 22 rate of Cr(VI) reduction was found for ascorbate, followed by cysteine and glutathione with 23 respective rate ratios of 61:13:1 (<u>Quievryn et al., 2003</u>). Since typical intracellular concentrations of 24 ascorbate (1-2 mM) and glutathione (1-10 mM) are comparable and considerably higher than that 25 of cysteine (0.03-0.2 mM) (Tian et al., 2014), the principal intracellular reducer of Cr(VI) is 26 ascorbate, accounting for 80-90% of its metabolism (Zhitkovich, 2011, 2005). Ascorbate and 27 glutathione also display a synergistic effect on the reduction of Cr(VI), and the rate of this reduction 28 by a mixture of ascorbate and glutathione under physiologically relevant conditions was found 29 higher than a sum of reduction rates of each of these reductants (Suzuki, 1990). 30 It should be noted that studies performed in cell-free or cell-based systems that do not fully 31 reflect physiological conditions and concentrations of intracellular reducers may not truly reflect 32 cellular and molecular processes that occur in human tissues under environmental exposures to 33 Cr(VI). This limitation affects mechanistic cell-free studies that use certain non-physiological 34 buffers and cell-based studies that employed ascorbate-depleted cells grown in standard growth 35 media (Ouievryn et al., 2002). Since ascorbate represents a major intracellular reductant of Cr(VI) 36 (Suzuki and Fukuda, 1990), restoration of ascorbate in cell-based systems is necessary for a correct 37 assessment of the fate of Cr(VI) and DNA damage following its intracellular uptake.

1 Reduction of Cr(VI) by ascorbate generates variable amounts of Cr(V), Cr(IV), and carbon-2 based radicals (Stearns and Wetterhahn, 1994). At physiologically relevant molar ratios of 3 ascorbate to Cr(VI) exceeding 2:1, the only detectable intermediate reduction product is reportedly 4 Cr(IV). The presence of Cr(V) is detectable only at non-physiological ratios of equimolar or lower 5 ratio of ascorbate to Cr(VI), or in ascorbate-depleted cells (Zhitkovich, 2011; Stearns and 6 Wetterhahn, 1994). Reduction of Cr(VI) by ascorbate under physiologically relevant conditions is a 7 low oxidant-generating process that differs remarkably from reduction of Cr(VI) by glutathione, 8 which generates substantially more reactive oxygen species (Wong et al., 2012). However, in spite 9 of reduced DNA oxidative damage in cells with restored ascorbate, these cells can still experience a 10 large increase in genotoxicity, as displayed by an increased frequency of DNA double-strand breaks 11 in one study by Wong et al. (2012). 12 The reduced form of glutathione (GSH) is a major intracellular reducer of Cr(VI) in cells 13 cultured without restoration of ascorbate (Figure 3-7 in Section 3.1.1). This reduction can be a one-14 or two-electron process (Zhitkovich, 2011), but more typically it proceeds as a one-electron process 15 sequentially producing Cr(V), Cr(IV) and Cr(III) (Marin et al., 2018). Reduction by cysteine in the 16 presence of variable amounts of glutathione is also a one- or two-electron process, with the one-17 electron process dominating in the physiological range of concentrations (Quievryn et al., 2001). 18 As described in Section 3.1.1.2, inhaled Cr(VI) that deposits in the upper and lower 19 respiratory tract will come in direct contact with epithelial cells. Reduction of Cr(VI) by epithelial 20 lining fluid is less effective than gastric fluid, and both high and low-soluble compounds can pose a 21 hazard to respiratory tract epithelial cells. Although highly soluble Cr(VI) compounds may clear the 22 lungs faster than low-soluble forms, they have the potential to be more readily taken up by cells. 23 Low-soluble forms are absorbed more slowly and may be cleared in the mucus but may expose the 24 epithelial cells for a longer period of time. In addition, high localized accumulation of Cr(VI)-25 containing particulates may occur in susceptible lung regions such as airway bifurcation sites 26 (Balashazy et al., 2003; Schlesinger and Lippmann, 1978). This is supported by studies showing 27 high chromium deposition at these sites in the lungs of chromate workers, and a correlation 28 between lung chromium burden and lung cancer (Kondo et al., 2003; Ishikawa et al., 1994a, b). 29 There is an extensive mechanistic database demonstrating the toxicity and mutagenicity of Cr(VI) 30 in humans via the inhalation route of exposure (see Section 3.2.3.3 and Appendix C.3.2.2). 31 Therefore, it will be assumed that inhaled Cr(VI) at any concentration is capable of exposing the 32 epithelial cells in the respiratory tract, and that compared to GI epithelial cells after Cr(VI) ingestion 33 (discussed below), the respiratory epithelial cells have an increased potential for Cr(VI) uptake and 34 Cr(VI)-mediated cytotoxicity and the induction of mutations in these cells. 35 Following ingestion, the evidence shows that approximately 10% of the Cr(VI) dose is 36 absorbed in the GI tract of rodents (Fébel et al., 2001; Thomann et al., 1994). In humans, it is 37 estimated that <10% is absorbed in the GI tract (depending on the dose and stomach pH), and this 38 number may be 10% or higher in susceptible populations (see Section 3.3.1 and Appendix C.1.5).

- 1 Therefore, it is likely that a portion of ingested Cr(VI) interacts with the epithelial cells of the GI
- 2 tract in all species. Effects observed by <u>NTP (2008)</u> in mice indicate that unreduced Cr(VI) may
- 3 traverse the entire small intestine. The highest incidences of tumors and potentially preneoplastic
- 4 lesions were observed in the duodenum, the region most proximal to the stomach. This region has a
- 5 higher surface area per unit length of intestine (<u>Casteleyn et al., 2010</u>), increasing the absorptive
- 6 capacity in this tissue. The combination of high Cr(VI) concentration at the epithelial surface and
- 7 high absorptive surface capacity are the likely main contributors to the lesions observed in mice by
- 8 <u>NTP (2008)</u>.
- 9 In contrast to the duodenum, the absorption surface area of the stomach is low (<u>Casteleyn</u>
- 10 <u>et al., 2010</u>), which may account for the lack of stomach tumors in the <u>NTP (2008)</u> bioassay. The
- 11 jejunum and ileum have lower absorption surface areas than the duodenum (but still higher than
- 12 the stomach), and these segments exhibited lower incidences of tumors in mice than the
- 13 duodenum. Lower tumor incidence also may have been a result of Cr(VI) reduction and dilution by
- 14 intestinal secretions and lumen contents. Data by <u>Kirman et al. (2012)</u> shows chromium
- 15 concentrations decreasing in the distal direction in the small intestine of mice exposed to Cr(VI) in
- 16 drinking water for 90 days. While the absorption surface area of the oral cavity is also low, as the
- 17 first tissue of contact, it is being exposed to the highest concentration of Cr(VI). This may make oral
- 18 tissues more prone to neoplastic effects in rats. However, pharmacokinetics cannot explain why
- 19 rats and mice differ with respect to oral and small intestinal tumors, since these differences may be
- 20 due to a variety of other factors (<u>Ibrahim et al., 2021; Chandra et al., 2010</u>). Figure 3-17 illustrates
- 21 the ordering of tissues within the GI tract and is annotated with the types of tumors observed by
- 22 <u>NTP (2008)</u> in both mice and rats.



Figure 3-17. Reported tumors of the digestive tract tissues for all rodents exposed to Cr(VI). Points indicate primary adenomas and carcinomas for the mouse small intestine, and primary squamous cell carcinomas and squamous cell papillomas for the rat oral cavity (oral mucosa and tongue). Multiple tumors per animal per tissue are included, but tumors which were known to have metastasized from other sites were not included.

1 In the small intestine, the localization of total chromium in different intestinal

- 2 compartments provides some mechanistic information on the ability of Cr(VI) to reach the crypts
- 3 (where stem cells reside), which could give rise to cytotoxicity as well as fixed mutations in these
- 4 highly proliferative cells. Thompson et al. (2015b; 2015a) used X-ray fluorescence
- 5 microspectroscopy to examine the concentrations of total chromium in the cells residing within
- 6 mouse villi and crypts after 1 and 13 weeks of exposure. All analysis was performed in the middle
- 7 section of the duodenum, which may be a significant source of bias because (1) ingested Cr(VI)
- 8 tissue concentrations are expected to be highest in the section of the duodenum closest (proximal)
- 9 to the stomach because reduction/dilution will occur as Cr(VI) traverses the intestine, and (2) the
- 10 human duodenum is much shorter than that of the rodent duodenum (<u>Casteleyn et al., 2010</u>), and
- 11 therefore the middle section of the rodent duodenum may not be as relevant to humans. After 13
- 12 weeks of exposure, <u>Thompson et al. (2015a)</u> detected a weak Cr signal (0.4 μ g/g) in the 24 small
- 13 intestine crypts that were examined, with a 35-fold higher (14 μ g/g) mean concentration in the villi.
- 14 A separate 7-day study reported the absence of Cr in the crypt compartment without quantitative
- 15 results; however, these observations may be biased toward the null due to the rapid movement of
- 16 cells from the crypt compartment and the 24-hour recovery time before imaging was performed
- 17 (<u>Thompson et al., 2015b</u>). In a subsequent gene expression study that separately analyzed
- 1 microdissected crypts and villi in preserved mouse small intestinal tissues from <u>Thompson et al.</u>
- 2 (2011), a robust response in gene expression changes was detected in crypts at ≥4.6 mg Cr(VI)/kg-
- d and in villi at all doses (≥0.024 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d) after 7 and 90 day exposures, demonstrating that
- 4 Cr(VI) does reach the crypts at these concentrations in drinking water (<u>Chappell et al., In Press</u>).
- 5 In light of the pharmacokinetic evidence, this assessment assumes that ingested Cr(VI)
- 6 escaping stomach reduction is capable of coming into contact with cells of the epithelium of the
- 7 lower GI tract (small and large intestine), although the Cr(VI) concentration exposing the cells will
- 8 be lower than the ingested concentration. Furthermore, this assessment assumes that ingested
- 9 Cr(VI) at any concentration is capable of coming into direct contact with the epithelial cells of the
- 10 upper GI tract (oral cavity, esophagus, and stomach) prior to stomach reduction. The Cr(VI)
- 11 concentration exposing the cells of the oral cavity is likely very close to the ingested concentration.

12 DNA reactivity (KC#1)

13 Cr(VI) is not known to be DNA reactive. In contrast, the intermediate Cr(IV) and Cr(V) and 14 terminal Cr(III) species, generated during intracellular reduction of Cr(VI), can induce DNA damage 15 through their direct interactions with DNA, or indirectly via oxidative damage (Arakawa et al., 16 2012). Reduction of Cr(VI) in cell-free, cell-based and in vivo systems generates variable amounts of 17 the intermediate chromium species depending on the nature and concentration of the reducers, 18 concentrations of Cr-species, and other conditions (Borges et al., 1991). It has been suggested that 19 the abundance of specific intermediate species could be a major factor in determining the DNA 20 damaging activity of Cr(VI) (Sugden and Stearns, 2000). The kinetics of intracellular reduction are 21 reviewed in Section 3.1.1.3, and the specific experimental support for the in vivo generation of the 22 intermediate and terminal Cr species, as well as their direct and indirect genotoxicity potential, is

- 23 described in Appendix C.3.2.
- 24 DNA reactivity of Cr species
- 25 Formation of Cr(V) and free radicals generated by these species has been considered to play
- an important role in Cr(VI)-induced DNA damage. Findings reported by <u>Shi and Dalal (1994)</u> were
 interpreted as evidence for the ability of Cr(V) to interact with the N7 atom of purines to form
- interpreted as evidence for the ability of Cr(V) to interact with the N7 atom of purines to form
 Cr(V)-dG and Cr(V)-dA DNA adducts and cause oxidative damage at dG sites and formation of 8-
- **28** Cr(V)-dG and Cr(V)-dA DNA adducts and cause oxidative damage at dG sites and formation of 8-
- hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) residues, presumably caused by hydroxyl radicals generated through a Fenton-like reaction (i.e., $Cr(V)+H_2O_2 \rightarrow Cr(VI) + \cdot OH + OH$ -). In addition to the oxidative
- 31 damage through reactive oxygen species, Cr(V) has been shown to induce direct oxidative DNA
- 32 damage through abstraction of H atoms at the deoxyribose sugar moiety, which results in
- 33 generation of abasic sites (<u>Sugden and Wetterhahn, 1997</u>).
- 34 Cr(IV) is the major transient form of intracellular reduction of Cr(VI) in cells with
- 35 physiological levels of ascorbate. In the presence of hydrogen peroxide, Cr(IV) is a more potent
- 36 Fenton-like reagent than Cr(V) and generates hydroxyl radicals, which has been shown to cause
- 37 DNA strand breaks (Luo et al., 1996) and oxidative damage at dG positions, which are preventable

1 by hydroxyl radical scavengers (Shi et al., 1999). In addition, this process generates Cr(V), which 2 can cause DNA damage through direct and indirect mechanisms. 3 Cr(III) is a thermodynamically stable species produced by the reduction of Cr(VI) through 4 the intermediary species Cr(V) and Cr(IV), which transiently exist in variable amounts during the 5 intracellular reduction of Cr(VI). The interaction of Cr(III) with DNA is responsible for the 6 formation of DNA lesions, the most common of which are the binary Cr(III)-DNA adducts (Floro and 7 Wetterhahn, 1984). Two different forms of Cr(III)-DNA adducts were suggested by a study that 8 examined DNAzyme Ce13d reacted with CrCl₃. The results showed that Cr(III) first binds to the 9 DNA phosphate backbone through weak electrostatic interactions, then slowly coordinates with all 10 four nucleobases, forming highly stable DNA interstrand crosslinks (Zhou et al., 2016). A more 11 recent study concluded instead that Cr(III) is coordinated with N7 of dG as a $[Cr(H_2O)_5]^+$ complex 12 located within the major groove of the DNA double helix structure without the direct participation 13 of neighboring bases of phosphate groups (Brown et al., 2020), but also supported the formation of 14 interstrand crosslinks. It is likely that the existing evidence of the reactions of Cr(III) complexes 15 with DNA do not provide a full model of all possible Cr-DNA interactions that occur during Cr(VI) 16 reductions with variable amounts of intracellular reducers. 17 Binary Cr(III)-DNA adducts can further conjugate proteins and form DNA-protein cross-18 links (DPCs). The DPCs represent ternary protein-Cr(III)-DNA adducts generated by a rate-limiting 19 reaction of binary Cr(III)-DNA adducts with proteins. Formation of DPCs in cultured cells exposed 20 to Cr(VI) is decreased by depletion of glutathione and facilitated by restoration of physiological 21 levels of ascorbate (Macfie et al., 2010). Overall, the biological significance of the DPCs is still 22 incompletely understood. In addition to inducing possible genotoxic responses, some studies 23 demonstrated their ability to inhibit specific gene expression (Macfie et al., 2010). 24 Other ternary adducts identified in the cells exposed to Cr(VI) are ascorbate-Cr(III)-DNA, 25 glutathione-Cr(III)-DNA, cysteine-Cr(III)-DNA, and histidine-Cr(III)-DNA. Ascorbate-Cr(III)-DNA 26 adducts were detected in Cr(VI)-treated human A549 lung cancer cells with restored ascorbate 27 levels, and these crosslinks accounted for approximately 6% of the total DNA-bound chromium 28 (<u>Ouievryn et al., 2002</u>). In addition, binding of Cr(III) and the formation of Cr(III)-DNA adducts 29 induces structural distortions of DNA (Zhitkovich et al., 2001). 30 Biological effects of Cr-DNA interactions 31 Binary Cr(III)-DNA adducts formed by the reaction of Cr(III) aqua complexes and DNA are 32 reportedly weakly mutagenic lesions and their mutagenic potential is considerably lower in 33 comparison with any ternary ligand-Cr-DNA adduct (<u>Ouievryn et al., 2003</u>). Indeed, ascorbate-34 Cr(III)-DNA and cysteine-Cr(III)-DNA adducts were found to be 31-fold and 5.3-fold more

35 mutagenic than the binary Cr(III)-DNA adducts, respectively (<u>Holmes et al., 2008</u>; <u>Zhitkovich et al.</u>,

- 36 <u>2001</u>). Consequently, ascorbate appears to be the most important intracellular reducer of Cr(VI)
- 37 that forms highly mutagenic DNA adducts. The ternary adducts glutathione-Cr(III)-DNA and
- 38 histidine-Cr(III)-DNA were also found mutagenic, and their mutagenicity exceeded that of cysteine-

1	Cr(III)-DNA (Voitkun et al., 1998). Ternary adducts are also more genotoxic than binary Cr(III)-DNA
2	adducts, demonstrated through more prominent DNA replication fork stalling by ternary adducts in
3	comparison to binary adducts (e.g., (<u>Quievryn et al., 2003; Snow and Xu, 1991</u>).
4	Under lower, non-physiological levels of ascorbate, reduction of Cr(VI) by glutathione in
5	vitro produced mutagenic glutathione-Cr(III)-DNA adducts (<u>Guttmann et al., 2008</u>). This finding
6	implies weak mutagenicity of lesions produced at physiological concentrations of GSH in ascorbate-
7	depleted cells and suggests that studies employing standard cell cultures with low intracellular
8	ascorbate could have underestimated mutagenicity of Cr(VI). Taken together, studies performed
9	under non-physiological low ascorbate levels favored production of Cr(V) and a lower amount of
10	highly mutagenic ternary species, which did not truly reflect the genotoxic and mutagenic effects of
11	Cr(VI) (<u>Quievryn et al., 2006</u>).
12	Cells with restored ascorbate levels display considerably different cell signaling responses
13	to Cr(VI) than ascorbate-depleted cells. As previously shown, reduction of Cr(VI) by glutathione in
14	vitro and in cells with depleted ascorbate leads to an appreciable formation of Cr(V), which can act
15	as an oxidant (<u>Quievryn et al., 2003</u>), while reduction of Cr(VI) by ascorbate is a low oxidant
16	generating process (<u>Wong et al., 2012</u>). Treatment with Cr(VI) also induces double-strand breaks in
17	cells with restored ascorbate; however, these are formed selectively in euchromatin and their
18	signaling is dependent on ATR rather than on ATM kinase (<u>Deloughery et al., 2015</u>).
19	The specific role of Cr-species and Cr-induced DNA lesions in the toxicity and
20	carcinogenicity of Cr(VI) has not yet been conclusively established. Depending on experimental
21	conditions, reduction of Cr(VI) has been found to produce binary Cr-DNA and ternary ligand-Cr-
22	DNA adducts, interstrand crosslinks, DNA-protein crosslinks, oxidative damage to bases and
23	deoxyribose, DNA strand breaks, and DNA abasic sites, which have been associated, to various
24	extents, with cell cycle arrest, DNA repair, cell death and mutagenesis (Sugden et al., 2001; Arakawa
25	et al., 2000; Casadevall et al., 1999; Stearns and Wetterhahn, 1997; Zhitkovich et al., 1996b;
26	Bridgewater et al., 1994).

27 Oxidative stress and oxidative DNA damage (KC#5)

28 Oxidative stress induced by Cr(VI) exposure appears to lead to several toxicity pathways 29 causing cytotoxicity, inflammation (in the lung), cell proliferation, and DNA damage. Redox 30 reactions during the intracellular reduction of Cr(VI) generate reactive intermediates Cr(V) and 31 Cr(IV) that produce reactive oxygen species, which can cause cytotoxicity and directly damage 32 intracellular molecules including DNA, proteins and lipids, and in the process, induce cell signaling 33 pathways associated with inflammation and cell proliferation (reviewed in Levina and Lay (2005)). 34 Radical species formed when Cr(VI) oxidizes intracellular macromolecules can also induce oxidative damage (reviewed in <u>Zhitkovich (2011</u>)). Reactive oxygen species generated by 35 intracellular reduction of Cr(VI) can cause free radical damage to DNA via base modifications 36 37 (e.g., 8-OHdG adducts), lipid and protein peroxidation, and depletion of intracellular antioxidants 38 (reviewed in Shi et al. (2004)). Because these effects have been well-documented in review articles,

1 this section will focus on evidence of oxidative stress in occupationally exposed humans and in 2 animals exposed to Cr(VI) via oral or inhalation, or in vitro studies using human cells derived from 3 lung or GI tissues. Oxidative stress induced by Cr(VI) exposure has been characterized in other 4 health effects sections of this assessment, including oxidative damage contributing to Cr(VI)-5 induced toxicity of the lung (Section 3.2.1), GI tract (Section 3.2.2), liver (Section 3.2.4), male and 6 female reproductive organs (Sections 3.2.7 and 3.2.8, respectively), and fetal development (Section 7 3.2.9). Therefore, the evidence from the lung and GI tract in animals will be briefly summarized 8 again here, along with systemic evidence of oxidative stress following inhalation or oral exposures. 9 As summarized in Section 3.2.1, many observational studies reported statistically 10 significantly increased incidences of systemic disruption in cellular redox status that correlated 11 with exposure to Cr(VI) in urine and blood of industrial workers and rodents exposed to Cr(VI); 12 these are also summarized in Appendix C.3.2.5. In tumor target tissues, one study relevant to lung tissues did not detect increased 8-OHdG adducts in the sputum of lead chromate pigment factory 13 14 workers (<u>Kim et al., 1999</u>). No studies examining oxidative stress in GI tissues were identified in 15 exposed humans. 16 A small number of animal studies were identified that evaluated oxidative stress in tumor 17 target tissues. Oxidative DNA damage in the rat lung, evidenced by increased formation of 8-OHdG 18 adducts, was reported following inhalation or intratracheal instillation exposures in rats (Zhao et 19 al., 2014; Maeng et al., 2003; Izzotti et al., 1998). Three in vivo studies were identified that reported 20 biomarkers of oxidative stress in GI tissues after oral exposure (Thompson et al., 2012b; Thompson 21 et al., 2011; De Flora et al., 2008). None of these studies observed an increase in 8-OHdG adducts in 22 the mouse or rat small intestine or oral cavity following Cr(VI) drinking water exposures. However, 23 an increased proportion of oxidized glutathione (GSSG) relative to reduced glutathione (GSH), 24 indicative of oxidative stress, was observed in the mouse small intestine after 7 and 90 days of 25 exposure, with a correlated change in the GSH/GSSG ratio in plasma after 90 days at doses \geq 59 26 mg/L Cr(VI) (Thompson et al., 2011). A decreased GSH/GSSG ratio was also observed in the mouse 27 oral mucosa after 7 days, but this resolved after 90 days despite a significantly higher total 28 chromium concentration in these tissues compared with the control (Thompson et al., 2011). 29 Changes in GSH/GSSG ratios were generally not observed in the oral cavity of rats after 7 days of 30 Cr(VI) exposure (the ratio was decreased at 0.1 mg/L Cr(VI) in the oral mucosa) but were 31 significant and dose-dependent in the oral mucosa and jejunum (and not the duodenum) at ≥ 20 mg 32 Cr(VI)/L for 90 days (Thompson et al., 2012b), with a significantly decreased ratio in plasma at 33 ≥170 mg/L. While GSH/GSSG ratio measurement is a generally accepted indicator of oxidative 34 stress, ascorbate is the preferred in vivo reductant, accounting for 90% of Cr(VI) oxidative 35 metabolism. Therefore, though the primary oxidative pathway is not captured in these experiments, 36 the level of involvement of GSH implies extensive oxidative stress was occurring in these tissues. 37 Other indicators of protein or lipid oxidation were not elevated in the duodenum of mice after 90 38 days (<u>Thompson et al., 2011</u>) or in the rat in the oral mucosa or duodenum (<u>Thompson et al.</u>,

<u>2012b</u>). The reason for the lack of oxidative DNA lesions associated with the oxidative stress in
 these studies is not known. The significance of the oxidative stress detected in tissues that do not
 develop tumors, or the potential physiological reasons for the inconsistencies between species, is
 also not clear.

5 A large body of evidence from cells exposed in vitro exists to support and investigate the 6 oxidative damage induced by Cr(VI) (Appendix Table C-57). These studies include tests in model 7 systems where ROS levels, lipid and protein oxidation, and decreased levels of antioxidant enzymes 8 all correlate with DNA damage. This DNA damage is increased in test systems deficient in processes 9 involved in repairing free radical damage, and is decreased in many test systems with antioxidant 10 pre-treatment. The evidence base includes studies performed with human lung or colon and gastric 11 cancer cell lines to study oxidatively induced DNA damage and cytotoxicity. These in vitro studies 12 have been summarized in "Mechanistic Evidence" in Sections 3.2.1 (Respiratory Tract Effects Other 13 Than Cancer) and 3.2.2 (Gastrointestinal Tract Effects Other Than Cancer). 14 In addition to oxidative stress initiating cytotoxicity and DNA damage following Cr(VI) 15 exposure, there is evidence that oxidative stress can result in pro-inflammatory signaling pathways 16 that contribute to cancer. The nuclear transcription factor NF-κB is activated in response to redox 17 cell signaling and cytokines and is involved in cell survival, proliferation and inflammation 18 (Taniguchi and Karin, 2018). NF-KB has been found to be upregulated in response to Cr(VI) 19 exposure in numerous studies and test systems, including in the Cr(VI)-exposed rat lung (Zhao et 20 al., 2014), in human lung cells in vitro (Wang et al., 2019; He et al., 2013; Zuo et al., 2012; Wang et 21 al., 2004; Kim et al., 2003), and in other human cells in vitro (Tully et al., 2000; Kaltreider et al., 22 1999; Chen et al., 1997; Ye et al., 1995). The increases in NF-κB levels correlated with increasing 23 ROS levels and were abrogated by antioxidant treatments (Kim et al., 2003; Chen et al., 1997). 24 Increased NF-κB expression was shown to prevent apoptosis induced by Cr(VI) exposure in human 25 lung cells, potentially contributing to oncogenic transformation (Wang et al., 2004). TNF- α , which 26 activates NF-kB, is a pro-inflammatory cytokine produced by immune cells that are involved in 27 redox signaling (Blaser et al., 2016). It has been shown to be induced systemically by Cr(VI) in rats 28 (<u>Mitrov et al., 2014</u>), in LPS-stimulated mice (<u>lin et al., 2016</u>), and in HaCaT immortalized human 29 keratinocyte cells in vitro (Lee et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2010b). However, these findings were not 30 predictive of the results in three studies of occupationally exposed humans, which did not detect 31 increased systemic TNF- α levels in blood or serum (<u>Qian et al., 2013</u>; <u>Mignini et al., 2009</u>; <u>Kuo and</u> 32 <u>Wu, 2002</u>). 33 The transcription factor NRF2 binds to and activates genes regulated by Antioxidant 34 Response Element (ARE) in response to oxidative stress, transactivating genes for antioxidant

enzymes and promoting cell survival (<u>He et al., 2020</u>). NRF2 has been observed to be upregulated in

human liver cells (<u>Zhong et al., 2017a</u>) and constitutively activated in Cr(VI)-transformed human

37 lung cells in vitro (<u>Clementino et al., 2019</u>). In vivo, the gene that codes for NRF2, NFE2L2, was

- 1 found to be upregulated in the duodenum of mice exposed for 91 days to Cr(VI) in drinking water 2 (Kopec et al., 2012a).
- 3 Gene expression changes in genes involved in ROS homeostasis have also been observed in
- 4 human lung, hepatic, and epithelial cells treated with Cr(VI) in vitro (e.g., NOX, SOD1, SOD2, CAT,
- 5 GSR) (Zhong et al., 2017b; Zhong et al., 2017a; Zeng et al., 2013; Russo et al., 2005; Asatiani et al.,
- 6 2004). In addition, Cr(VI) was found to oxidize and inhibit mitochondrial and cellular thioredoxins
- 7 and peroxiredoxins involved in cell survival and redox signaling in immortalized human bronchial
- 8 epithelial cells, leading to increased sensitivity to ROS damage (Myers et al. (2011; 2010; 2009; 2008)).
- 9
- 10 Overall, there is a consistent, coherent, and biologically plausible evidence base available to
- 11 describe the intracellular reduction and redox imbalance, oxidative stress, and cellular oxidative
- 12 damage due to free radical generation caused by Cr(VI) exposure, potentially contributing to
- 13 cytotoxicity, genetic damage, and cell proliferative signaling pathways.
- 14 *Epigenetic modifications (KC#4)*
- 15 Epigenetic modifications are heritable changes in gene expression that occur without
- 16 altering the genetic material (Sharma et al., 2010). This "nonmutational epigenetic
- 17 reprogramming," which can be mediated through modifications to histones, DNA methylation, and
- 18 noncoding RNAs (e.g., microRNA), is considered an enabling characteristic of cancer (Hanahan,
- 19 2022). Five studies evaluated epigenetic changes in humans in relation to chromium exposure.
- 20 Kondo et al. (2006) reported increased methylation of P16^{ink4a}, a tumor-suppressor gene, in
- 21 chromate factory workers with lung cancer who had occupational chromate exposure compared to
- 22 those without chromate exposure. Similarly, they observed increased methylation of P16^{ink4a} with
- 23 increased duration of chromium exposure (≥ 15 years) among lung cancer cases (Kondo et al.,
- 24 2006). Increased methylation was also observed in DNA MMR genes hMLH1 and hMSH2 when
- 25 comparing lung cancer cases with and without chromate exposure (Ali et al., 2011; Takahashi et al.,
- 26 2005) and in the CpG islands (promoter regions) of MMR and HR genes (i.e., MGMT, HOGG1, XRCC1,
- 27 ERCC3, and RAD51) in exposed factory workers compared to controls (Hu et al., 2018). Another
- 28 study identified inverse associations between blood chromium and the microRNA miR-3940-5p.
- 29 which functions as an epigenetic tumor-suppressor by targeting cyclin D1 and ubiquitin specific
- 30 peptidase-28 (Ren et al., 2017), as well as between miR-3940-5p and the DNA repair genes BRCC3
- 31 and XRCC2, involved in DNA damage response and homologous DNA repair (Li et al., 2014b). Ali et
- 32 al. (2011) also observed increased methylation at MGMT, which encodes an enzyme that repairs
- 33 DNA adducts at the O6 position of guanine, in chromate lung tumors compared to non-chromate
- 34 lung tumors, as well as in APC, a tumor-suppressor gene that is suppressed via promoter
- 35 hypermethylation or mutation in over 85% of colorectal cancers (Zhu et al., 2021; Juanes, 2020).
- 36 Two additional studies reported decreased methylation across global DNA (Wang et al., 2012b) as
- 37 well as mitochondrial genes (MT-TF and MT-RNR1) specifically (Linging et al., 2016) in chromium-

1 exposed workers (chromate production workers and chrome-plating workers, respectively)

- 2 compared to controls.
- 3 The findings in humans are supported by studies in vitro showing that Cr(VI) exposure
- 4 induces extensive promoter-specific hypermethylation, global hypomethylation, post-translational
- 5 histone modifications, and microRNA dysregulation, demonstrating that Cr(VI)-mediated
- 6 epigenetic alterations may play a role in affecting the expression of an extensive number of genes
- 7 shown to be altered by Cr(VI) exposure (reviewed in <u>Chen et al. (2019)</u>). The results from
- 8 toxicogenomic studies (reviewed in Appendix C.3.4) showing multiple pathways affected by Cr(VI)
- 9 with relevance to carcinogenesis are consistent with the scope of genes shown to be affected by
- 10 Cr(VI)-induced epigenetic alterations. This pattern of hypermethylation of CpG islands and
- 11 concomitant hypomethylation of global (non-CpG) regions has been observed in many idiopathic
- 12 cancers including adenocarcinomas of the GI tract (Locke et al., 2019; CGARN, 2018a).

13 Altered DNA repair (KC#3)

- 14 Although there are numerous processes contributing to the repair of genetic damage when
- 15 it occurs, these processes are not failsafe, and any alterations to these activities can result in an
- 16 increased risk of heritable mutation (<u>Chatterjee and Walker, 2017</u>). As reviewed in the next section,
- 17 epigenetic modifications induced by Cr(VI) exposure have been shown to silence genes involved in
- 18 DNA repair, an effect that is found in a significant number of lung tumors from chromate workers
- 19 compared to non-chromate lung tumors and has been found to increase with dose (<u>Hu et al., 2018</u>;
- 20 Li et al., 2014b; Ali et al., 2011; Takahashi et al., 2005). Hirose et al. (2002) reported finding
- 21 microsatellite instability (MSI) at two or more loci in 78.9% of lung cancers with chromate
- 22 exposure compared to lung cancers without chromate exposure. MSI is the result of a state of
- 23 genetic hypermutability that is caused by defective mismatch repair and is found in approximately
- 24 15% of colorectal cancers (<u>Boland and Goel, 2010</u>). Subsequent studies identified hypermethylation
- of the CpG island promoter regions of MMR genes hMLH1 and hMSH2 in lung tumors of workers
- 26 exposed to chromate compared to lung tumors from unexposed subjects (<u>Ali et al., 2011</u>; <u>Takahashi</u>
- 27 <u>et al., 2005</u>). In vitro, Cr(VI) exposure of human colon cells lacking MLH1 protein led to increased
- resistance to apoptosis, providing a selective growth advantage (<u>Peterson-Roth et al., 2005</u>). This
- 29 epigenetic silencing of genes involved in DNA repair observed in workers exposed to Cr(VI) may
- 30 contribute to mutagenesis and genomic instability, a hallmark of cancer.
- Another study of workers in the chromium industry investigated the effect of prolonged
 exposure to Cr(VI) on the ability of the cell to correct errors during DNA replication. Evidence of
 decreased DNA repair synthesis was observed in isolated lymphocytes exposed to UV light to
 compare DNA repair synthesis between Cr(VI)-exposed workers and unexposed subjects (Rudnykh
 and Zasukhina, 1985). A nonmonotonic relationship with duration of exposure was also identified,
 though sample size was limited within each category of duration.
- 37 This slowing of DNA replication could be explained by the formation of bulky Cr-DNA38 adducts, which can stall replication forks, leading to increased formation of DNA double-strand

- 1 breaks. There are two main DNA double-strand break repair pathways: homologous recombination
- 2 (HR) and non-homologous end joining (NHEJ). NHEJ is the predominant repair process in the G1
- 3 phase of the cell cycle, prior to synthesis, when only one chromatid is present; it is more error-
- 4 prone than HR, which occurs primarily in S/G2, using the sister chromatid as a template for repair.
- 5 Cr(VI) has been shown to induce DNA double-strand breaks and Rad51 foci formation, inducing HR
- 6 in vitro (<u>Bryant et al., 2006</u>). However, several studies have also reported a specific inhibition of
- 7 genes involved in HR, including Rad51 (<u>Hu et al., 2018; Browning et al., 2016; Li et al., 2016</u>).
- 8 Cr(VI)-induced targeting of Rad51 following prolonged in vitro exposures to Cr(VI) has also been
- 9 shown to involve alterations in Rad51-mediated nucleofilament assembly, which the authors
- 10 speculated was due to a Cr(VI)-mediated inhibition of Rad51 nuclear import (Browning and Wise,
- 11 <u>2017</u>; <u>Browning et al., 2016</u>). This evidence suggests that a Cr(VI)-mediated influence on Rad51
- 12 may result in modifications to HR, increasing reliance on NHEJ and potentially leading to
- 13 unrepaired DNA double-strand breaks and increased aneuploidy and genomic instability.
- 14 Silencing of tumor suppressor genes and activation of oncogenic pathways
- 15 The ability to evade growth inhibition by suppressing genes that limit cell proliferation is a
- 16 hallmark of cancer (<u>Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011</u>). The decreased expression of a number of tumor
- 17 suppressor genes has been observed following Cr(VI) exposure. For some of these genes, the
- 18 mechanism of decreased expression involves epigenetic silencing, and it has been observed that GI
- 19 tumors have significantly higher frequencies of DNA hypermethylation at CpG islands than non-GI
- 20 tumors (<u>CGARN, 2018a</u>). Cr(VI) was found to induce methylation at CpG sites in the promoter
- 21 region of the P16^{ink4a} tumor-suppressor gene; inactivation of this gene is commonly found in lung
- 22 cancers and was observed in lung tumors of workers exposed to chromate, which increased with
- duration of exposure (<u>Hu et al., 2016</u>; <u>Ali et al., 2011</u>; <u>Kondo et al., 2006</u>). Methylation of the APC
- 24 (adenomatous polyposis carcinoma) gene, a tumor-suppressor gene that maintains genome
- 25 integrity by preventing instability, has also been shown to occur more frequently in the lung tumors
- of chromate-exposed workers compared to non-chromate lung tumors (<u>Ali et al., 2011</u>). APC
- 27 suppression by mutation or CpG island hypermethylation is present in over 85% of colorectal
- 28 cancers (<u>Zhu et al., 2021</u>).
- P53 is a tumor-suppressor that normally regulates cell cycle arrest and apoptosis to protect
 against tumor formation; the induction of p53 target genes can indicate the presence of DNA
- 31 damage, and inactivation of p53 is associated with carcinogenesis (Williams and Schumacher,
- 32 2016). P53 gene expression and protein levels were suppressed in the stomach (gene expression
- $\geq 3.5 \text{ mg/kg-day}$ and protein levels $\geq 1.7 \text{ mg/kg-day}$ Cr(VI)) and colon (gene expression and protein
- 34 levels $\geq 5.2 \text{ mg/kg-day Cr(VI)}$ of male Wistar rats after 60 days of exposure to Cr(VI) in drinking
- 35 water (Tsao et al., 2011). No studies of p53 expression in human GI tissues or nonneoplastic lung
- 36 tissues are available, but studies in lung tumor tissues from chromate exposed vs. non-chromate
- exposed workers detected either no difference (<u>Katabami et al., 2000</u>) or increases (<u>Halasova et al.</u>,
- 38 2010) in p53 protein expression, or reduced levels of p53 mutations (Kondo et al., 1997), and two

- 1 studies of the peripheral blood of exposed workers detected increased p53 protein expression
- 2 (<u>Elhosary et al., 2014</u>; <u>Hanaoka et al., 1997</u>). However, although these studies in humans were not
- 3 evaluated for risk of bias and sensitivity, little information was given regarding potential co-
- 4 exposures, making it difficult to draw conclusions from these findings. In vitro, some studies show
- 5 p53 activation in human lung cells increased with higher Cr(VI) concentrations (<u>Hu et al., 2016</u>) or
- 6 occurring in vitro and not in vivo (<u>Rager et al., 2017</u>), so the nature of how p53 expression may be
- 7 affected by Cr(VI) is not understood.
- 8 The oncogene c-Myc has also been shown to be differentially methylated in response to
- 9 Cr(VI). Myc was found to show a dose-dependent increase (protein and mRNA) in the stomach and
- 10 colon of male Wistar rats after 60 days of exposure in drinking water to Cr(VI) in the stomach (≥ 3.5
- 11 mg/kg-d) and colon (≥1.7 mg/kg-d) (<u>Tsao et al., 2011</u>). In context, these findings are consistent
- 12 with the other observed effects of Cr(VI) exposure given the activity of this broad ranging
- 13 oncogene, whose transcriptional control overlaps pathways of DNA damage response, cell
- 14 proliferation and metabolism. Myc can be activated by another oncogenic pathway, the Wnt/β-
- 15 catenin signaling pathway. Although no studies were identified that specifically investigated this
- 16 pathway following Cr(VI) exposure, its involvement has been indirectly implicated by studies of
- 17 Cr(VI)-induced methylation and subsequent downregulation of APC, a Wnt antagonist, as well as by
- 18 the downregulation of serine/threonine kinase 11 and depletion of the Gene 33 protein (<u>Lu et al.</u>
- 19 <u>2018; Li et al., 2017; Park et al., 2017</u>).
- An analysis of the toxicogenomic data reported in Kopec et al. (2012b; 2012a) from mice
 exposed to Cr(VI) in drinking water has identified a potential role for CFTR (cystic fibrosis
 transmembrane conductance regulator) in the carcinogenic effects of Cr(VI) (Mezencev and
 Auerbach, 2021). A tumor suppressor function has been demonstrated for CFTR in the GI tract of *Cftr* knockout mice (Than et al., 2016). *Cftr* gene expression was decreased in mice exposed to
 Cr(VI) levels as low as 0.1 mg/L Cr(VI) (0.024 mg/kg-d) in drinking water for 8 days. Loss of CFTR
- 26 expression in humans was found to correlate with the severity of colorectal cancer, and in animals
- with a mutated *Apc* gene, to potentiate tumor progression Than et al. (2016). Although this effect
- with a indicated Apc gene, to potentiate tunior progression <u>mail et al. (2010)</u>. Although this effect
 has not been characterized beyond this single analysis, the implications of a specific Cr(VI)-induced
- 29 CFTR suppression contributing to cancer risk in humans warrants further investigation.
- 30 *Genomic instability (KC#3)*
- 31 Genomic instability, an increased rate in the acquisition of genomic alterations, is an
- 32 enabling characteristic of cancer and is present in nearly all human cancers (<u>Hanahan and</u>
- 33 <u>Weinberg, 2011; Negrini et al., 2010</u>). As mentioned above, Cr(VI) exposure induces the
- 34 suppression of DNA repair genes involved in mismatch repair. Defective mismatch repair leads to a
- 35 form of genomic instability, microsatellite instability, which is a state of genetic hypermutability
- that is closely associated with colorectal cancer in humans (<u>Boland and Goel, 2010</u>). Microsatellite
- 37 instability has been detected in the lung tumors of chromate workers compared to non-chromate

1 workers (<u>Hirose et al., 2002</u>), suggesting that Cr(VI) exposure may facilitate increased genomic 2 instability, and ultimately cancer initiation and progression. 3 In addition to microsatellite instability, Cr(VI) exposure is also associated with increased 4 aneuploidy, a numerical chromosomal aberrations that involves chromosome malsegregation and 5 breakage (Eastmond et al., 2009) that is endemic of chromosomal instability and is a hallmark of 6 cancer (Ben-David and Amon, 2020). Several studies have shown the ability of Cr(VI) to induce 7 aneuploidy in vitro, summarized in Appendix Table C-54 and by Wise and Wise (2010). Aneuploidy 8 was confirmed by detection in kinetochore-positive micronuclei (Güerci et al. (2000), Seoane et al. 9 (2002; 2001, 1999)) or by chromosome painting with fluorescent probes (Figgitt et al., 2010). 10 Exogenous agents inducing an uploidy may act by interfering with the mitotic spindle apparatus 11 via disruption of the microtubule cytoskeleton, a mechanism that is consistent with studies of 12 Cr(VI)-induced aneuploidy (Nijs and Kirsch-Volders (1986), Seoane et al. (2002; 2001, 1999)). It is 13 also plausible that altered DNA damage and repair pathways (e.g., loss of functional p53 and 14 activation of driver oncogenes like Myc, reviewed above) can increase aneuploidy by promoting cell 15 cycle progression before repair pathways can be initiated, resulting in chromosome 16 malsegregation. APC, a tumor-suppressor gene associated with colorectal cancer when suppressed 17 via promoter hypermethylation or mutation, has also been shown to have a key role in mitotic 18 spindle orientation (Juanes, 2020). Although the mechanism for induction of aneuploidy by Cr(VI) 19 is not known, the APC gene was found to be silenced by hypermethylation in the lung tumors of 20 chromate-exposed workers (Ali et al., 2011), providing a hypothesis for how an euploidy may be 21 induced by Cr(VI), disrupting cell division and contributing to carcinogenesis; further research is 22 warranted.

23 Gene and chromosomal mutation (KC#2)

24 The evidence for the genotoxic effects of Cr(VI) is presented and synthesized in Section

25 3.2.3.3. There is consistent and coherent evidence that a mutagenic MOA for Cr(VI)-induced

26 carcinogenesis is biologically plausible and relevant to humans. Primary evidence is provided by

- 27 *medium* and *low* confidence studies of occupationally exposed humans; some evidence is available
- in animals exposed directly in the lung or GI tract, but this evidence base is small and consists of *low*
- 29 confidence studies, many of which were not optimized for reliably detecting genotoxicity.
- 30 Genotoxicity studies employing more direct exposures to Cr(VI) (e.g., in vitro and in animals
- 31 exposed via i.p. injection) are largely positive (summarized in Appendix C.3.3.3 and C.3.3.4),
- 32 consistent with the intracellular pharmacokinetics and DNA reactivity of Cr(VI), as discussed above.
- 33 Suppression of apoptosis (KC#10)

34 The ability to resist cell death is a hallmark of cancer, contributing to the fixation of

- 35 mutations and unchecked cell proliferation (<u>Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011</u>). Although initial
- 36 exposures to Cr(VI) induce cytotoxicity (see below), there is evidence from one study of longer
- 37 duration exposures that Cr(VI) can lead to the downstream suppression of programmed cell death

- 1 via apoptosis in tumor target tissues. <u>Tsao et al. (2011)</u> measured protein and mRNA levels in the
- 2 stomach and colon of male rats following 60-day exposures to Cr(VI) in drinking water and
- 3 reported decreased expression of RKIP, a MAPK inhibitor, and activation of the MEK/ERK signaling
- 4 pathways, which promotes cell proliferation and inhibits apoptosis (<u>Guo et al., 2020</u>). In addition,
- 5 the same study found decreased expression of p53 (gene and protein), the mediator of a primary
- 6 cellular fate determination pathway, which would also lead to suppression of apoptosis (<u>Tsao et al.</u>,
- 7 <u>2011</u>). This suggests a possible mechanism for a Cr(VI)-specific suppression of apoptosis via
- 8 disruption of p53-mediated pathways that respond to cellular stress, although this is an area that
- 9 requires further investigation.

10 Cytotoxicity and degenerative cellular changes (KC#10)

11 Cr(VI), a strong oxidizer, is known to be cytotoxic in vitro and may trigger apoptosis 12 through increased oxidative stress, leading to DNA and protein damage, mitochondrial dysfunction, 13 and modulation of pro-apoptotic signaling pathways. The reduction of Cr(VI) generates reactive 14 intermediates Cr(V) and Cr(IV) that produce reactive oxygen species that can lead to apoptosis and 15 necrosis, as well as induce cell signaling pathways associated with cell death (reviewed in Levina 16 and Lay (2005) and Shi et al. (2004)). Because this evidence is relevant to both cancer and 17 noncancer mechanisms of toxicity, these effects are reviewed in Sections 3.2.3.1 and 3.2.3.2 for the 18 lung and GI tract, respectively. To summarize, this evidence supports a toxicity pathway of tissue 19 injury induced by cytotoxicity in the lung and GI tract that may lead to necrosis and/or regenerative 20 proliferation. In the lung, studies investigating the underlying mechanisms involved in Cr(VI)-21 induced lung toxicity report significant cytotoxicity at micromolar concentrations in vitro, 22 concurrent with indications of increased programmed cell death (apoptosis, autophagy) in 23 response to Cr(VI) exposure. In the GI tract, evidence of GI tract toxicity that involves Cr(VI)-24 induced cytotoxicity and apoptosis leading to degenerative changes and regenerative hyperplasia, 25 as well as cell proliferation directly induced by Cr(VI). Other evidence of gene expression changes 26 indicate cell signaling pathways induced by Cr(VI) exposure that are involved in the evasion of 27 apoptosis contributing to tumorigenesis, indicating a downstream role independent of the cytotoxic 28 effects of Cr(VI) that separately contributes to carcinogenesis by suppressing apoptosis. These 29 cellular and molecular processes underlie the histopathological changes, including hyperplasia of 30 the small intestine (described in Animal Evidence), that are considered potentially preneoplastic

31 events.

32 Cell proliferation (KC#10)

Cancer is the result of sustained and uninhibited cell proliferation (Hanahan and Weinberg,
 2011). Several studies have identified proliferative markers and signaling pathways that are
 upregulated by Cr(VI) exposure. Increases in transcript expression of Ki-67, a nuclear protein
 associated with cellular proliferation, and in some cases malignant metastasis and tumor growth (Li
 et al., 2015a), was detected in the duodenum of mice after exposure to 11.6 and 31 mg/kg Cr(VI)-

1 day in drinking water: levels were increased approximately 4-fold after 7 days of exposure but 2 diminished to approximately 2-fold after 90 days (data from Kopec et al. (2012a) was presented 3 graphically in <u>Thompson et al. (2013)</u>. In another drinking water exposure study, a dose-4 dependent upregulation of the *c*-Myc oncogene was found in the stomach (\geq 3.5 mg/kg-d) and colon 5 (≥1.7 mg/kg-d) of male Wistar rats after 60 days of exposure to Cr(VI) in drinking water (Tsao et 6 al., 2011). MYC functions as a transcription factor that upregulates genes involved in cell 7 proliferation and other processes contributing to neoplastic transformation (Gabay et al., 2014). 8 Another transcription factor, AP-1, was found to be significantly activated by Cr(VI) 9 exposure in studies of gene expression changes in human lung cells (Zuo et al., 2012; O'Hara et al., 10 2004) and in human breast cancer and rat hepatoma cells (Kaltreider et al., 1999). The AP-1 11 complex, which is composed of oncogenic proteins (Jun, Fos, ATF, MAF) (Eferl and Wagner, 2003), 12 is induced by INK and ERK/MAPK signaling cascades in response to stress and inflammatory 13 cytokines (Gazon et al., 2017), leading to increased cell proliferation and/or inhibition of apoptosis, 14 in part through the activation of cyclin D1 (<u>Guo et al., 2020</u>). Cyclin D1, a regulator and promoter of 15 cell cycle progression, has been detected at significantly increased levels in the lung tumor tissues 16 of chromate-exposed patients compared to unexposed lung cancer patients (Katabami et al., 2000). 17 Increased expression of cyclin D1 has been associated with cell proliferation and tumorigenesis 18 (Guo et al., 2020). These findings are consistent with an induction of biological processes by Cr(VI) 19 that can lead to sustained cell proliferation and contribute to cancer. It is currently unknown to 20 what extent these proliferation-promoting pathways are initiated by Cr(VI)-induced epigenetic 21 repression of transcriptional regulators or are the result of a compensatory response to cytotoxicity 22 and DNA damage sensing and repair machinery (discussed below), or if other direct or indirect 23 factors induced by Cr(VI) are involved.

24 Regenerative hyperplasia

25 Hyperplasia is the enlargement of a tissue or organ resulting from increased cell 26 proliferation and can be induced as an adaptive or compensatory response to cellular and tissue 27 damage. In the evaluation of noncancer effects in the GI tract from ingested Cr(VI), hyperplasia is 28 considered to be an adverse effect (Section 3.2.3), but it can also represent preneoplastic lesions 29 that are part of the morphologic and biologic continuum leading to cancer (Hanahan and Weinberg, 30 2011; Boorman et al., 2003). Because hyperplasia can also be a reversible effect, it is important to 31 consider several relevant factors when determining the contribution of hyperplasia to 32 tumorigenesis, including whether there is a common cellular origin for hyperplasia and tumors, the 33 presence or absence of a morphological continuum within the study between hyperplasia and 34 neoplasia, histologic similarities, whether there is treatment-related toxicity, and other information 35 about the test compound, including mutagenicity and ADME considerations (Boorman et al., 2003). 36 The diffuse intestinal epithelial hyperplasia observed in mice across studies is described in 37 detail in Section 3.2.2.2. In the NTP (2008) 2-year bioassay, minimal to mild diffuse hyperplasia was 38 significantly increased in the duodenum of all exposed male and female mice. These animals also

1 exhibited tumors of epithelial origin (adenomas and carcinomas) that were statistically significant 2 at the two highest exposures ($\geq 2.4 \text{ mg/kg-d}$ in males, $\geq 3.2 \text{ mg/kg-d}$ in females) with a dose-3 response trend in lower dose groups. There were multiple shared pathological features between 4 the diffuse hyperplasia and the neoplastic lesions, including elongated crypts with increased 5 numbers of epithelial cells and mitotic figures (NTP, 2008). These observations are generally 6 consistent with the intestinal hyperplasia observed in mice in subchronic studies by NTP (2007) 7 and Thompson et al. (2015a; 2011), lending further evidence of a consistent response in animals 8 exposed to Cr(VI) via drinking water. 9 However, even with the presence of these morphologic similarities, in the absence of 10 experiments with recovery groups to distinguish these lesions from reversible hyperplasia induced 11 by Cr(VI), it cannot be concluded with certainty that the hyperplasia observed in the subchronic 12 studies would have progressed to neoplasia. As discussed in Section 3.2.2.3, some discrepancies 13 have been noted, including the lack of increased mitotic activity in hyperplastic duodenal crypt cells 14 in mice (Thompson et al., 2015b; O'Brien et al., 2013), although follow-up analysis of the mice 15 exposed via drinking water for 7 and 90 days (Thompson et al., 2011) reported a significant 16 response in gene expression changes related to cell cycle progression in duodenal crypts at doses 17 ≥4.6 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d (<u>Chappell et al., In Press</u>). In addition, as discussed above, although <u>Thompson</u> 18 et al. (2013) reported levels of the cellular replication marker Ki-67 were increased compared to 19 untreated controls in mice exposed for 7 or 90 days in drinking water, these levels declined in the 20 mice exposed for 90 days, and Ki-67 cannot distinguish between chemically induced cell 21 proliferation and proliferation secondary to cellular toxicity without concurrent detection of 22 cellular markers for apoptosis and necrosis. 23 The presence of tissue injury is also important in interpreting the relevance of these lesions 24 to neoplasia. Tissue-specific hyperplasia and neoplasia with an inciting factor such as cellular 25 degeneration and compensatory regeneration may suggest a carcinogenic response that is 26 secondary to chronic tissue injury (Boorman et al., 2003). As reviewed in Section 3.2.2.2, the 27 authors of both sets of studies (Thompson et al., 2012b; Thompson et al., 2011; NTP, 2008, 2007) 28 considered the hyperplastic lesions to be consistent with regenerative hyperplasia resulting from 29 Cr(VI)-induced epithelial damage and degenerative changes seen in the mouse villi. This suggests a 30 mechanism in the carcinogenic process that may be secondary to chronic tissue injury. 31 In addition to the diffuse hyperplasia, a non-statistically significant incidence of focal epithelial hyperplasia was observed in male mice at \geq 2.4 mg/kg Cr(VI)-day that increased slightly 32 33 in severity grading (3.0-3.5) with dose. Female mice also showed a low incidence of focal 34 hyperplasia with increasing severity grading (2.0-3.0) at 1.2 and 3.2 mg/kg Cr(VI)-day with no 35 reported incidences at the high dose (NTP, 2008). NTP considered the focal hyperplasia to be 36 biologically significant preneoplastic lesions due to the pathological similarities to neoplastic 37 growths, including crypts and villi that were lined by increased numbers of cuboidal to tall 38 columnar epithelial cells that were morphologically similar to those of the adenomas (Francke and

1 Mog. 2021). In addition, these lesions, located in the superficial mucosa rather than the crypt 2 mucosa, arose from the same tissue type (duodenal epithelium) as the neoplastic growths³¹. The 3 focal hyperplastic lesions were distinguished from adenomas by their smaller size and less discrete 4 margins that tended to blend with the normal surrounding mucosal epithelium. 5 While diffuse hyperplasia may have an origin in a regenerative response that is secondary 6 to chemically induced tissue degeneration, focal hyperplasia that is morphologically similar to 7 neoplasia without evidence of concurrent tissue injury may be indicative of a direct neoplastic 8 response (Boorman et al., 2003). Although the focal hyperplasia could be a part of the proliferative 9 continuum of lesions, progressing from diffuse hyperplasia to focal hyperplasia (preneoplastic), to 10 adenoma (autonomous growth), to carcinoma (malignant neoplasia) originating from a common 11 precursor cell type, this cannot be confirmed due to the absence of histopathological observations 12 from interim sacrifices. 13 Thompson et al. (2012b) also reported duodenal hyperplasia and villous apoptosis in rats 14 treated with \geq 7.2 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d in drinking water for 7 and 90 days, as well as villous atrophy at 15 7.2 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d. Rats were not observed to develop intestinal lesions or tumors in the bioassays 16 by NTP (2008, 2007). Rats developed tumors in the oral cavity, but there were no observations of 17 lesions or hyperplasia in the rat oral cavity by any of these studies. 18 Hyperplasia has also been observed in the rat lung following inhalation exposures to Cr(VI) 19 for 30 and 90 days (Glaser et al. (1990), see Section 3.2.1.2). A high incidence of bronchioalveolar 20 hyperplasia (70–100%) was reported in male Wistar rats after 30 days of exposure to 0.050–0.40 mg/m³ Cr(VI) relative to the control (10%) (<u>Glaser et al., 1990</u>). The same study reported lower 21 22 incidence of this effect after 90 days of exposure, and after 90 days of exposure with a 30-day 23 recovery period, suggesting this may have been a transient effect. 24 Overall, there is evidence for regenerative hyperplasia as a key event for tumors of the small 25 intestine in mice. Theoretically, any increase in the rate of cell proliferation over the background 26 basal rate of cell division, even if transient, can increase the probability of the formation and 27 fixation of mutations that may confer a selective advantage to the cell and promote the subsequent 28 clonal outgrowth of the mutated cells, leading to tumorigenesis. There are some inconsistencies 29 that create uncertainty in drawing a conclusion that Cr(VI)-induced regenerative hyperplasia is a 30 primary event driving carcinogenesis, including hyperplastic responses that did not increase in 31 severity with dose, and the presence of degenerative lesions and hyperplasia in the rat small 32 intestine with no induction of tumors at this site in this species. Regenerative hyperplasia may be a 33 contributing factor to carcinogenicity in the lung, as toxicity and hyperplasia have been observed in 34 the lung following inhalation exposures, though there is not enough evidence to assume a key role 35 in this tissue. There is no evidence to conclude regenerative hyperplasia is involved in the 36 tumorigenic process in the rat oral cavity.

³¹Most (76%) tumor-bearing animals were observed to have exhibited nonneoplastic lesions in the small intestine (see Appendix D.5).

1 *Chronic inflammation (KC#6)*

2 Cr(VI) has been shown to induce effects consistent with an inflammatory response by 3 generating oxidative stress that can stimulate pro-inflammatory cytokines and activate nuclear 4 transcription factors associated with inflammation (e.g., NF-κB). The evaluation of evidence for 5 effects of Cr(VI) on the immune system, presented in Section 3.2.6, suggests that Cr(VI) may have a 6 stimulatory effect on the immune system, largely based on primary immune response assays 7 indicating increased antibody responses, WBC function and numbers, and total immunoglobulin 8 levels following Cr(VI) exposure in animals (see Section 3.2.6). Although exposure-related 9 stimulation of the immune system can lead to exaggerated inflammatory responses associated with 10 chronic systemic inflammation, the role of inflammation in the carcinogenesis of the GI tract 11 induced by Cr(VI) exposure (Section 3.2.2) is not clear. 12 The GI tract contains the majority of immunoglobulin-producing cells that are present in the 13 human body, and toxicity to the GI tract commonly results in immune system-mediated 14 inflammation (Gelberg, 2018). Chronic inflammation could have driven the diffuse hyperplasia 15 observed prior to carcinogenesis in the mouse small intestine in the NTP subchronic and chronic 16 bioassays, as this is a well-characterized step in inflammatory neoplastic progression, and is an 17 enabling characteristic of cancer (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011; Westbrook et al., 2010). The 18 development of idiopathic GI cancers has been shown to involve chronic inflammation that can 19 induce neoplastic genetic and epigenetic changes mediated by proinflammatory cytokines and ROS 20 (Chiba et al., 2012). In addition, immunogenomic profiling of data from over 10,000 tumors 21 collected by the Cancer Genome Atlas used cluster analysis to identify six immune subtypes 22 commonly associated across multiple tumor types; one identified immune subtype, "wound 23 healing," was associated with colorectal cancer, lung squamous cell carcinomas, head and neck 24 squamous cell carcinomas, and the chromosomal instability (CIN) pathway of colorectal cancer 25 pathogenesis (CGARN, 2018b), tumor tissues also associated with Cr(VI)-induced cancer. However, 26 NTP reported that the rat oral cavity had neither hyperplasia nor inflammation preceding tumor 27 formation, and no signs of inflammation were observed in the mouse small intestine after two years 28 of drinking water exposure to Cr(VI). NTP did report an increased infiltration of histiocytes 29 (macrophage immune cells) in the duodenum and jejunum that was consistently observed in both 30 sexes of rats and mice orally exposed both chronically and subchronically to Cr(VI) (Thompson et 31 al., 2012b; Thompson et al., 2011; NTP, 2008, 2007). However, this was not accompanied by an 32 influx of other inflammatory cells or other histological features consistent with inflammation in the 33 small intestine and was interpreted by the authors to be of unknown biological significance. 34 Evidence following inhalation exposures to Cr(VI) is more robust, with consistent evidence 35 of histiocytosis in the lung from several studies in animals accompanied by inflammatory markers 36 in BALF and increased leukocytes in plasma, observations supportive of inflammatory lung 37 responses (Section 3.2.1). The histiocytic/macrophage infiltration leads to cytokine release and cell

38 to cell signaling conducive to an inflammatory environment (<u>Kodavanti, 2014</u>). Studies

- 1 investigating immune toxicity (Section 3.2.6) in chromate workers have also observed changes in
- 2 cytokine signaling (Appendix C.2.5.2). Although the direction of these changes was not consistent
- 3 across studies, fluctuations in systemic cytokine levels and increased oxidative stress are
- 4 characteristic of an inflammatory response and may indicate a disruption in the regulatory balance
- 5 that dictates normal immune system function. However, while there is evidence of oxidative stress
- 6 and activation of pro-inflammatory cytokines and nuclear transcription factors including NF-kB, the
- 7 characterization of chronic inflammation that may occur prior to the development of neoplasms
- 8 induced by Cr(VI) exposure remains an evidence gap.

9 Tumor formation

10 Neoplastic effects were not observed in subchronic 13-week studies in mice and rats 11 (Thompson et al., 2012b; Thompson et al., 2011; NTP, 2007), though notably some of the 12 observations in the subchronic studies, including elongated intestinal crypts and increased mitotic 13 activity, were also reported in the histopathological analysis of adenomas and carcinomas in the 2-14 vear bioassay. The lack of tumor formation in the subchronic experiments is likely due to 15 insufficient latency time. The earliest appearance of tumors of the mouse small intestine reported 16 by NTP in the two-year bioassay (NTP, 2008) was at 451 days in males and at 625 days in females 17 exposed to the highest tested Cr(VI) doses (5.7 mg/kg-d and 8.9 mg/kg-d in males and females, 18 respectively). In all other dose groups, tumors in the mouse small intestine were reported at 19 terminal sacrifice (729 days). The earliest recorded incidences of tumors of the rat oral cavity 20 reported by <u>NTP (2008)</u> were at 506 days in females and at 543 days in males exposed to the 21 highest tested Cr(VI) doses (7.1 mg/kg-d and 6.1 mg/kg-d in females and males, respectively). 22 Several models have been proposed for the histopathogenesis of GI cancers that are potentially 23 relevant to Cr(VI). One example is the classical model of transformation and clonal expansion of 24 rapidly dividing, self-renewing stem cells at the bottom of the intestinal crypts, or the 'bottom up' 25 model (Schwitalla et al., 2013; Shih et al., 2001; Bach et al., 2000). Alternatively, a 'top down' model 26 of adenoma morphogenesis in a transgenic c-Myc mouse model system suggests that dysplastic 27 cells at the luminal surface of the crypts have the ability to dedifferentiate and spread laterally and 28 downward, forming new crypt-like foci (Schwitalla et al., 2013). This type of cellular phenotypic 29 plasticity driven by oncogenic signaling, observed in colon cancers, is considered a hallmark 30 capability of cancer (Hanahan, 2022). Expression of c-Myc also increases in the stomach and colon of rats after subchronic oral Cr(VI) exposure (Tsao et al., 2011), and toxicogenomic data 31 32 demonstrate comprehensive activation of the c-Myc pathway and concurrent changes in known 33 downstream target genes (Rager et al., 2017; Kopec et al., 2012b; Kopec et al., 2012a; Thompson et 34 al., 2011). The dysplastic cells at the luminal surface are stem-like, preneoplastic, and represent 35 mutant clones containing genetic alterations not found in the morphologically normal cells at the 36 bottom of the crypt (Shih et al., 2001). This model is based in part on the frequent observation that 37 early adenomatous polyps are found at the top of colonic crypts without stem cell compartment 38 contact (Shih et al., 2001). Mechanistically, Schwitalla et al. (2013) proposed that NF-kB can

1 enhance Wnt signaling leading to dedifferentiation of epithelial non-stem villus cells into tumor-

2 initiating cells. In addition, the cell proliferation marker Ki-67, which was increased in the duodena

3 of mice after exposure to Cr(VI) in drinking water (<u>Rager et al., 2017</u>; <u>Kopec et al., 2012a</u>), has been

4 shown to be increased in the dysplastic crypt orifices of idiopathic human intestinal adenomas

5 (<u>Shih et al., 2001</u>).

Evidence favoring the 'bottom up' model is provided by a follow-up analysis of the mice
exposed via drinking water for 7 and 90 days (<u>Thompson et al., 2011</u>), which determined that a

- 8 robust response in gene expression changes was present in the crypts at doses \geq 4.6 mg Cr(VI)/kg-
- 9 d, and that the enrichment of gene sets related to cell cycle progression and DNA damage were
- 10 more robust in the crypts compared to the villi (<u>Chappell et al., In Press</u>). Alternatively, there is

11 evidence for the 'top-down' model, as X-ray fluorescence microspectroscopy in a separate study by

12 this group detected a 35-fold higher mean Cr(VI) concentration in the villi compared to the

13 intestinal crypts (<u>Thompson et al., 2015a</u>). The precise mechanism for how Cr(VI) would initiate a

14 'top-down' process is unknown but could plausibly involve mutagenic processes. Although

15 inconclusive due to incomplete reporting, <u>O'Brien et al. (2013)</u> reported increased micronucleus

16 frequency in the duodenal villi of Cr(VI)-exposed mice, suggesting that further investigation is

17 warranted. Neither model can be reliably ruled out without further investigation.

There is considerable uncertainty regarding the origin of the tumors observed in the rat
oral cavity by <u>NTP (2008)</u>. A recent review of chemicals that have been shown to cause oral

20 squamous cell neoplasms by the NTP suggests multiple mechanisms can promote rat oral tumors

21 (Ibrahim et al., 2021). An i.p. injection experiment in female Wistar rats showed effects on the

22 submandibular gland which may support the findings of oral cancer in rats. Submandibular acinar

23 saliva-secreting cells showed an increase in cystatin staining, which may play a role in

24 tumorigenesis, metastasis, and immunomodulation (<u>Ochieng and Chaudhuri, 2010</u>; <u>Cohen et al.</u>,

25 <u>1993</u>). Inducible type 2 cystatin was not detected in the parotid or sublingual glands, trachea, lung,

stomach, small intestine, large intestine, spleen, liver or pancreas, suggesting that Cr(VI)-induced

27 effects on cystatins are likely to be localized.

28 <u>Cancer mode-of-action summary</u>

29 The mechanistic events identified above have some level of Cr(VI)-specific evidence to 30 indicate their involvement in the carcinogenic effects of Cr(VI). These events are biologically 31 plausible in that they are known to be associated with carcinogenesis and can occur in humans, 32 with interrelated pathways that emerge involving mutagenicity, cytotoxicity and regenerative 33 cellular proliferation. The molecular events involved in these effects are assumed to be relevant to 34 all routes of exposure. The evidence-based assumption is that some amount of unreduced Cr(VI) 35 can reach target tissues when ingested or inhaled and can be quickly taken up by the cells in these 36 tissues, where it will be reduced intracellularly to reactive intermediates that induce toxic and 37 carcinogenic effects. At the tissue level, differences in the evidence for each tumor type also emerge, 38 therefore it is unclear whether some mechanistic events are key for every tumor, as the mechanistic

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.3-141DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

1 effects may be dependent on the specific pattern or duration of activation of certain events. These

2 may occur based on cell type-specific properties such as their baseline proliferative rate or ability

3 to mitigate the effects of oxidative stress. Cr(VI) is a known human lung carcinogen, therefore

4 specifics of lung tumors will not be discussed here in the context of mechanistic evidence, but the

- 5 mechanistic evidence from studies of the exposed lung is considered relevant and discussed along
- 6 with mechanistic evidence for the tumors of the mouse small intestine. There is a lack of empirical
- 7 mechanistic evidence from the rat oral cavity.
- 8 There is extensive evidence of the mutagenicity of Cr(VI) when reduced intracellularly to 9 Cr(III) in studies conducted among in vitro test systems. A mutagenic MOA is also supported in test 10 animals when considering the evidence in the context of pharmacokinetic considerations. Although 11 the evidence of mutation from oral exposures is less consistent, the genotoxicity observed in animal 12 i.p. studies in vivo has been consistently observed. Therefore, evidence of transmissible and 13 permanent genetic alterations have been prioritized for the analysis of a mutagenic MOA if

14 observed following oral or inhalation exposures in GI or lung tissues.

15 Cr(VI) is a known lung carcinogen, and a mutagenic MOA is supported for lung tumors 16 following inhalation exposures primarily by evidence of increased micronuclei detected in the 17 blood and exfoliated nasal and oral epithelial cells from occupationally exposed humans. Mutagenic 18 activity also correlates with blood chromium levels in *medium* confidence studies, and several *low* 19 confidence human studies that demonstrate increased chromosomal aberrations despite many 19 having limitations that would potentially lead to bias toward the null. Supporting evidence is also 21 provided by studies showing increased levels of DNA damage in exposed workers, as well as one

22 *low* confidence study of mutations in the mouse lung that increased with dose and time following

23 intratracheal instillation, providing biological plausibility that mutation is involved in the

24 development of Cr(VI)-induced lung cancers. Therefore, a mutagenic MOA for lung tumors is

- 25 considered to be relevant to humans and sufficiently supported in laboratory animals after
- inhalation exposure, based on the following: 1) the evidence-based interpretation that some
- amount of inhaled Cr(VI) (at physiologically relevant doses) escapes detoxification and is taken up
- by target cells; 2) this uptake is expected to occur more readily in regions of the lung showing a
- high chromate deposition that correlate with sites of lung tumors in exposed workers; 3)
- 30 demonstrations of increased chromosomal mutations in the exfoliated nasal and buccal cells and in
- 31 the peripheral blood of occupationally exposed workers; 4) gene mutations in the mouse lung that

32 increased with dose and time post-intratracheal instillation; 5) other genotoxic effects in the

- 33 peripheral blood of exposed workers and in lung-derived cell cultures in vitro; and 6) mutagenicity
- of Cr(VI) when it reaches cells of various tissue types in vivo and in vitro. The implications of a
- 35 mutagenic MOA for the dose-response analysis and inhalation unit risk calculation for lung cancer
- 36 are presented in Section 4.4.3.
- The evidence for a mutagenic MOA following oral exposures is less clear. There are nohuman oral exposure studies of mutation in the GI tract, although consistent evidence of increased

1 micronucleus frequency in the oral epithelial cells of exposed workers may support the evidence

- 2 that Cr(VI) can induce mutagenic effects when it comes into contact with cells in the GI tract, and
- 3 contributes to an evaluation of whether mutation may be a primary neoplastic event. The database
- 4 of in vivo oral animal exposure genotoxicity studies that are specific to GI tissues is limited to a
- 5 small number of *low* confidence studies, most of which have deficiencies in sensitivity for detecting
- 6 an effect or other concerns that introduce a large amount of uncertainty.
- 7 The mutagenicity assays used by these studies were originally designed and optimized for
- 8 purposes of identifying hazard, namely, whether a chemical is capable of inducing increased
- 9 mutagenic damage, regardless of dose. Although several doses are typically employed, these assays
- are not optimized for dose response, and typically use a minimal number of animals (1-5).
- 11 Therefore, it is important that these assays use a range of doses that include a maximum tolerated
- 12 dose (MTD) or otherwise indicate that the chemical reached the target tissue to ensure sensitivity
- 13 (<u>Hayashi, 2016</u>) and that null findings represent a true lack of effect (versus a deficiency in study
- 14 design). As with all genotoxicity assays, these tests are often considered in an MOA analysis for
- 15 cancer, with the hypothesis that evidence of mutation in the tumor target tissue occurs earlier than
- 16 the induction of tumors, in the same species, and at the same doses causing tumors supports a
- 17 mutagenic MOA. Evaluations of this hypothesis often presume the converse also applies, in that a
- 18 negative result will indicate a lack of mutagenicity and therefore support an alternate MOA that
- 19 does not involve mutagenicity. This assumption often relies on testing results within an acute to
- 20 subchronic exposure period in a small number of animals. It is difficult to make a definitive
- 21 conclusion that Cr(VI) is not mutagenic in the GI tract following oral exposures from an evidence
- base in animals composed of mostly null results from a small number of *low* confidence studies,
- 23 given that Cr(VI) has been shown to be mutagenic in other exposures and test systems, and we can
- 24 reasonably expect that ingested Cr(VI) will reach the GI tract.
- 25 High levels of cytotoxicity can lead to the detection of increased DNA damage in some test 26 systems. For this reason, the interpretation of genotoxicity evidence from chemicals inducing 27 excessive toxicity includes efforts to determine whether increases in genotoxicity are potentially 28 secondary to cytotoxicity. For the Cr(VI) in vivo oral exposure database, there is not enough 29 evidence to determine whether and to what extent Cr(VI)-induced genotoxicity might be the result 30 of (secondary) cytotoxic DNA damage in the GI tract. Most notably, while many of the animal 31 studies examining the most relevant genotoxicity endpoints did not detect substantial evidence of 32 genotoxicity at doses that also caused histological effects in the GI tract, including diffuse 33 epithelial/crypt cell hyperplasia and degenerative changes in the villi (vacuolization, atrophy, and 34 apoptosis), one study did observe statistically significantly increased micronuclei in villous cells 35 from animals exposed to doses that similarly induced villous atrophy and apoptosis. Because no 36 studies were available that specifically examine the presence or absence of genotoxicity in the GI
- 37 tract as the MTD was approached and exceeded, this uncertainty cannot currently be addressed.

1 Although it is presumed that ingested Cr(VI) can reach the target tissues in at least a 2 fraction of humans and animals, there are pharmacokinetic differences between oral and inhalation 3 exposure routes that indicate lower concentrations of Cr(VI) will reach target tissues when 4 ingested than when inhaled. In this context, however, it is still not possible to conclude that there is 5 no potential risk of increased mutations occurring in humans ingesting Cr(VI) in drinking water, 6 particularly when taking into consideration human subpopulations with a diminished ability to 7 reduce Cr(VI) in the stomach due to low gastric pH (see 'Susceptible populations' in the following 8 section). Therefore, a mutagenic MOA is supported for GI tumors after oral exposure, based on the 9 following: 1) the evidence-based interpretation that some amount of ingested Cr(VI) (at 10 physiologically relevant doses) escapes GI detoxification and reaches target cells; 2) the 11 demonstrated chromosomal mutations in buccal cells of occupationally exposed workers; 3) the 12 demonstrated mutagenicity of Cr(VI) when it comes into direct contact with any cell type in various 13 tissues in vivo and in vitro; and 4) a lack of studies designed to adequately test for mutagenicity in 14 the target tissue after ingestion exposure. 15 The mutagenic effects of Cr(VI) in the lung and GI tract are expected to be amplified by 16 promutagenic effects that are also anticipated to be key events for cancer induced by Cr(VI). 17 Oxidative stress induced by reactive Cr(VI) intermediates can damage DNA and intracellular 18 proteins and lead to an imbalance between free radicals and antioxidants. Direct and indirect 19 suppression of DNA repair processes via epigenetic silencing may lead to increased DNA damage, 20 DNA double-strand breaks, and genomic instability including microsatellite instability and 21 aneuploidy. The epigenetic modifications induced by Cr(VI) include extensive promoter-specific 22 hypermethylation, global hypomethylation, post-translational histone modifications, and microRNA 23 dysregulation. These perturbations can affect the expression of an extensive number of genes 24 including tumor suppressors and oncogenes associated with lung and colorectal cancers that 25 involve the promotion of unchecked cellular proliferation along with the suppression of apoptosis. 26 Although epigenetic changes are not permanent changes to the gene sequence, their overall effect 27 can be analogous to mutation in that they are heritable changes affecting gene expression. The 28 oxidative stress, oxidative DNA damage, direct or epigenetic suppression of DNA repair processes, 29 and genomic instability induced by Cr(VI) are all likely to be key events for carcinogenesis 30 applicable to oral and inhalation exposures for all tumor types. These effects combine to produce a 31 promutagenic microenvironment that promotes the formation and fixation of mutations from DNA 32 damage, regardless of whether the genetic damage was produced endogenously, by Cr(VI), or from 33 another source. 34 Consistent evidence of an inflammatory response in the lung following inhalation Cr(VI) 35 exposures in animals indicates this effect is likely to be a key event for lung cancer. Although 36 idiopathic cancer development in the GI tract has also been shown to involve chronic inflammation 37 (Chiba et al., 2012), no histopathological evidence of GI inflammation induced by Cr(VI) oral

1 response associated with GI tract cancers has been shown to be mediated by proinflammatory

2 cytokines and ROS, effects that are known to result from Cr(VI) oral exposures and can lead to

- 3 genetic and epigenetic changes that promote neoplastic transformation. Combined, these data
- 4 suggest that inflammation could still be involved in the neoplastic effects of the small intestine in
- 5 mice.

6 An alternative MOA for carcinogenicity induced by ingested Cr(VI) is regenerative 7 proliferation caused by tissue injury, leading to a higher probability of spontaneous mutations that 8 may result in tumorigenesis. Cr(VI), a strong oxidizer, is known to be cytotoxic in vitro and may 9 trigger apoptosis through increased oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, and modulation of 10 pro-apoptotic signaling pathways. Following oral exposures, regenerative hyperplasia interpreted 11 to be the result of regressive changes such as villous blunting, villous atrophy, and apoptosis of 12 enterocytes was consistently observed in the mouse small intestine (Thompson et al., 2012b; 13 Thompson et al., 2011; NTP, 2008, 2007). Inconsistencies in the hyperplastic responses to these

14 degenerative changes have been noted, however, including hyperplasia that did not increase in

15 severity with dose, and no statistically significant or dose-responsive changes in mitotic or

16 apoptotic indices in tissue regions where increased crypt length, area, and number of crypt

17 enterocytes were reported. The diffuse hyperplasia of the small intestine is likely to be a key event

18 for tumors in this tissue, although these hyperplastic lesions, which were also observed in the rat

19 small intestine by <u>Thompson et al. (2012b</u>), do not always progress to cancer and can represent a

20 functionally adverse change on their own.

21 The GI tract has a high capacity for tissue regeneration following cellular injury, which 22 makes it more sensitive to exposures that may interfere with the process of cell division (Nolte et 23 al., 2016). At least some of the molecular events affecting cell cycle regulation that are altered by 24 Cr(VI) exposure also appear to underlie the regenerative histopathological changes in animals 25 exposed to Cr(VI). A toxicogenomic analysis comparing gene expression changes in the duodenal 26 crypts and villi of the mice exposed via drinking water for 7 and 90 days (Thompson et al., 2011) 27 found a robust response in the crypts at doses \geq 4.6 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d, and that the enrichment of 28 gene sets related to cell cycle progression and DNA damage were more robust in the crypts 29 compared to the villi (Chappell et al., In Press). Other toxicogenomic evidence consistent with 30 increased cellular proliferation in the mouse small intestine, including increased expression of 31 oncogenic c-Myc and the proliferative marker Ki-67, provides additional support for increased cell 32 proliferation occurring in the preneoplastic small intestine, although these markers are not specific 33 to regenerative hyperplasia. It is also not clear whether the degenerative and regenerative effects 34 are key events for other tumor types. No lesions or hyperplasia have been reported in the rat oral 35 cavity, and while cellular injury and hyperplasia were observed in the rat lung following inhalation 36 exposures, the hyperplasia diminished with longer exposures and following a recovery period. 37 The focal hyperplasia observed only in the mouse small intestine, although not statistically 38 significant or dose-dependent, represents a biologically important preneoplastic event that could

1 result from the interaction between Cr(VI)-induced regenerative processes and mutagenic effects

2 (<u>NTP, 2008</u>). These lesions were observed closer to the hyperplastic villous region of the superficial

- 3 intestinal mucosa, where Cr(VI) has been shown to concentrate (<u>Thompson et al., 2015a</u>). Some
- 4 evidence of micronuclei and oncogenic transformation has also been observed in this tissue
- 5 (<u>O'Brien et al., 2013</u>; <u>Tsao et al., 2011</u>). This indicates the potential for a combined MOA for Cr(VI)-
- 6 induced tumorigenesis in the small intestine after oral exposure, where mutagenic effects occur
- 7 concurrently with hyperplasia, providing an environment that can support the clonal expansion of
- 8 mutated cells.

9 Although no histopathological changes were observed in the rat oral cavity preceding tumor

10 formation in subchronic or chronic bioassays of Cr(VI) in drinking water, and no increases in

11 mutation frequency were observed in these tissues in a single study investigating this endpoint,

12 mutagenicity is a biologically plausible mechanism and is coherent with the evidence of increased

13 micronuclei in the buccal cells of exposed humans. Although site concordance is not a requirement

- 14 when considering the evidence for a mutagenic MOA, there is currently not an understanding of
- 15 why humans do not show evidence of oral tumors, or why rats do not have tumors of the small
- 16 intestine. It is plausible that extensive epigenetic alterations, which have been shown to account for

17 phenotypic differences among individuals as well as among different tissue and cell types (Zhang et

- 18 <u>al., 2013</u>), may influence the differences in carcinogenic response and the carcinogenic potency of
- 19 Cr(VI) at the tissue level or even among individuals and across species.
- 20 In conclusion, the available mechanistic evidence supports key events at the molecular and 21 cellular level that are expected to be applicable to all exposure types and tumors. These key events 22 are summarized in Table 3-21 and Figures 3-16 and 3-18. Cr(VI) that is not reduced extracellularly 23 may be taken up by cells near the point of contact, which is generally expected to be the lung for 24 inhalation exposures and the GI tract for oral exposures. The GI tract, including the oral cavity, is 25 expected to be exposed by both of these routes in humans (impaction of dusts in the mouth and 26 tongue resulting from oral breathing and mucociliary clearance may result in GI exposure via the 27 inhalation route). Oxidative stress occurs within the cell, generated by the reactive chromium 28 intermediate species, inducing DNA damage and cytotoxicity. Chromium-DNA adducts can be 29 formed by the ultimate Cr(III) species and, in combination with suppressed DNA repair processes 30 via epigenetic modifications, these adducts and other oxidative DNA damage may be fixed as 31 mutations in these cells. Cr(VI) may also promote an euploidy and microsatellite instability by 32 suppressing DNA mismatch repair. These promutagenic effects, combined with epigenetic 33 modifications influencing the suppression of apoptosis and increased cell proliferation, combine to 34 create a tumor microenvironment supporting the clonal outgrowth of mutated cells. In addition, 35 there is evidence from the small intestine of mice exposed via drinking water that Cr(VI) exposure 36 can induce degenerative effects at the tissue level, with a proliferative response that should 37 promote the selection of cells with a growth advantage, leading to tumorigenesis, though it is

- 1 unclear whether this occurs in all tumor types. These processes also likely involve chronic
- 2 inflammation, though there is inconsistent evidence of this in all tumor tissues.

Biological events		
(and relevant		
sections)	Summary of key findings	Interpretations, judgments and rationale
Distribution of	Lung:	Following exposure to Cr(VI), it has been
Cr(VI)	• Inhaled Cr(VI) comes into direct contact with lung epithelial cells and is expected to	demonstrated that inhaled Cr(VI) can reach
(Sections 3.1.1	be directly absorbed with minimal extracellular reduction (i.e., detoxification) due to	cells in the lung and oral cavity, and after
and 3.2.3.4;	a less favorable reduction environment in lung tissues	ingestion, Cr(VI) can reach cells in the oral
Appendix C.1.2)	 Cr(VI) accumulates at lung bifurcation sites in the lungs of chromate workers 	cavity (either by movement through the GI
	 Cr(VI) burden in the lung correlates with lung cancer incidence 	tract after inhalation and deposition into the
	Oral cavity: Following inhalation or oral exposures, cellular uptake may occur in the	oral cavity, or by direct ingestion), stomach,
	epithelium of the oral mucosa, tongue, and esophagus (prior to Cr(VI) reduction in the	and small intestine, both potentially in
	stomach), although the surface area for mass transfer is low	appreciable amounts to elicit an effect.
	Stomach: While reduction (i.e., inactivation) of ingested Cr(VI) occurs in the stomach, it	Distribution is strongly dependent on route of
	will compete with gastric emptying of Cr(VI) to the small intestine. Uptake in the	exposure (inhalation à respiratory tract, oral
	stomach epithelium is also possible, although the surface area for mass transfer is low	ingestion à gastrointestinal tract and liver)
	Small intestine:	
	 Cr(VI) bioavailability and kinetic considerations suggest that 10–20% of ingested 	
	Cr(VI) escapes human gastric inactivation and could expose the GI tract epithelium	
	• Cr(VI) exposure to the proximal small intestine will be greater than exposure to the	
	distal small intestine, as the Cr(VI) concentration decreases	
	 The surface area for mass transfer in the small intestine is high 	
Cellular uptake of	All cell types: Cr(VI) is rapidly taken up by nonspecific sulfate and phosphate	Ingested or inhaled Cr(VI) can be taken up by
Cr(VI)	transporters due to the structural similarity of Cr(VI).	cells in tumor target tissues.
(Sections 3.1.1	Lung: Particulates may deposit and absorb locally; the amount taken up is dependent	
and 3.2.3.4;	on location, particle size, and solubility.	
Appendix C.1.1)	Oral cavity: Morphology within different regions of the oral cavity is highly variable	
	(hard palate, buccal mucosa, gingiva, ventral/dorsal tongue, lip), and may impact	
	localized cellular uptake.	
	Stomach: Lower absorptive surface area and different morphology than the small	
	intestine. Some uptake may occur prior to gastric emptying.	
	Small intestine: Highly absorptive surface area increases uptake of Cr(VI) (primarily by	
	the villi).	

Table 3-21. Evidence profile table for the carcinogenic mechanisms of inhaled or ingested Cr(VI)

Biological events		
(and relevant		
sections)	Summary of key findings	Interpretations, judgments and rationale
Intracellular	All cell types: Following cellular uptake, Cr(VI) is reduced primarily by ascorbate, but	Intracellular reduction is considered an
reduction of	other biological reductants (e.g., cysteine, GSH) are also capable of reducing Cr(VI).	activation pathway, generating reactive
Cr(VI)	This leads to the intracellular formation of the reactive intermedidate species Cr(V)	intermediates capable of damaging DNA
(Sections 3.1.1.3	and Cr(IV) and the stable Cr(III).	directly or indirectly via oxidative damage.
and 3.2.3.4;		
Appendix C.3.2.1)		
DNA reactivity	All cell types: Intracellular Cr(III) has been demonstrated to be DNA reactive and can	Intracellular Cr(III) can bind to DNA, which
(Section 3.2.3.4;	form stable complexes with DNA, RNA, amino acids and proteins, including Cr(III)-DNA	can form bulky adducts that cause replication
Appendix C.3.2.1)	adducts, DNA-DNA crosslinks, and DNA-protein crosslinks.	fork stalling, DNA double-strand breaks and
		mutations if not adequately repaired or
		eliminated by apoptosis.
Oxidative stress	Inhalation exposure:	A consistent and coherent evidence base
and oxidative	• Consistent evidence of significant increases in oxidative stress in workers exposed to	shows redox reactions during intracellular
DNA damage	Cr(VI) that correlated with levels of Cr(VI) in urine and blood (see Appendix C.2.1 and	reduction of Cr(VI) produce reactive oxygen
(Section 3.2.3.4;	C.3.9)	species that cause DNA damage in
Appendix C.3.2.5)	• Increased formation of 8-OHdG DNA adducts in rats exposed to Cr(VI) via inhalation	occupationally exposed humans,
	(Maeng et al., 2003) or intratracheal instillation (Zhao et al., 2014; Izzotti et al., 1998)	experimental animal studies, and in vitro
	Oral exposure:	studies, although the evidence in animals
	• Decreased GSH/GSSG ratio in small intestinal epithelium after 7 and 90 days of oral	exposed orally is less consistent
	dosing in mice and after 90 days in rats, and in oral mucosa in mice after 7 days and	
	rats at 90 days, although no 8-OHdG adducts or protein oxidation in any tissues	
	(Thompson et al., 2011; De Flora et al., 2008)	
	• Activation of genes involved in oxidative stress in the duodenum of mice exposed to	
	Cr(VI) for 90 days but not after 7 days	
	In vitro:	
	 Detection of reactive intermediates in acellular systems 	
	• Oxidative stress in human primary and immortalized lung or GI cells after exposure	
	to Cr(VI), including increased ROS production, oxidation of lipids and proteins, and	
	increased antioxidant enzyme activity	
	 Increased intracellular reduction via ascorbate correlates with free radical 	
	production, oxidative DNA damage (e.g., 8-OHdG adducts, DNA strand breaks, DNA-	
	protein crosslinks, alkali labile sites) and lipid peroxidation	

Biological events		
(and relevant		
sections)	Summary of key findings	Interpretations, judgments and rationale
	• Addition of antioxidants reduces/eliminates oxidative DNA damage; suppression of	
	antioxidants or use of DNA repair deficient cell line increases oxidative DNA damage	
	• Dose-dependent activation of NF-kB and AP-1, pro-inflammatory transcription	
	factors and redox-sensitive signaling molecules	
Epigenetic	Inhalation exposure:	Consistent, coherent evidence of epigenetic
modifications	• Hypermethylation of tumor-suppressor genes P16 ^{ink4a} (Kondo et al., 2006) and APC	alterations (heritable changes in gene
(Section 3.2.3.4;	(Ali et al., 2011) in chromate factory workers with lung cancer who had occupational	expression that are not caused by changes in
Appendix C.3.2.4)	chromate exposure compared to those without chromate exposure, and	DNA sequence) that correlate with Cr(VI)
	dysregulation of tumor suppressor microRNAs that correlate with Cr blood levels (Li	exposure in humans and are known to
	<u>et al., 2014b</u>).	contribute to microsatellite instability,
	Hypermethylation of DNA mismatch repair and homologous recombination repair	mutagenicity, and carcinogenesis.
	genes in lung cancer cases with chromate exposure (Hu et al., 2018; Ali et al., 2011;	
	Takahashi et al., 2005), leading to microsatellite instability	
	• Global hypomethylation in chromium-exposed workers (<u>Linging et al., 2016</u> ; <u>Wang et</u>	
	<u>al., 2012b</u>)	
	In vitro: Extensive evidence of the epigenetic mechanisms of Cr(VI) (including	
	methylation, histone modifications, and miRNA) (reviewed in <u>Chen et al. (2019)</u>) and	
	increased resistance to apoptosis in human colon cells lacking a key mismatch repair	
	gene when exposed to Cr(VI)	
Inhibition of DNA	Inhalation exposure: epigenetic suppression of genes involved in DNA repair in Cr(VI)-	Consistent, coherent evidence of the
repair	exposed workers (summarized above)	epigenetic suppression of DNA mismatch
(Section 3.2.3.4;	In vitro: Inhibition of genes involved in mismatch repair (see above) and homologous	repair (see above) and homologous
Appendix C.3.2.3)	recombination repair, including RAD51 (Browning et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2016; Li et al.,	recombination repair, leading to increased
	<u>2016; Bryant et al., 2006</u>)	DNA double-strand breaks that are more
		likely to cause mutations.
Genomic	In vitro: Consistent evidence of aneuploidy induced by Cr(VI) ((Figgitt et al., 2010),	Besides the microsatellite instability induced
instability	(<u>Güerci et al., 2000</u>), Seoane et al. (<u>2002</u> ; <u>2001</u> , <u>1999</u>))	by epigenetic suppression of DNA mismatch
(Section 3.2.3.4;		repair (see above), Cr(VI) may also cause
Appendix C.3.2.3)		aneuploidy, a hallmark of cancer. This
		evidence is primarily from in vitro studies.
Genotoxicity and	Inhalation exposure:	Consistent observations of heritable
mutagenicity		structural and numerical genetic damage in

Biological events		
(and relevant		
sections)	Summary of key findings	Interpretations, judgments and rationale
(Section 3.2.3.3;	• Consistent evidence of increased micronucleus frequency from <i>medium</i> confidence	exposed humans, supported by a small
Appendix C.3.2.2)	studies of the blood, nasal and oral cavity of exposed workers that correlated with	number of low confidence studies in animals
	blood chromium levels (<u>Long et al., 2019</u> ; <u>El Safty et al., 2018</u> ; <u>Hu et al., 2018</u> ; <u>Sudha</u>	exposed via inhalation or ingestion, with
	<u>et al., 2011</u>)	other supporting evidence of genotoxicity
	• Ten of 11 <i>low</i> confidence studies found increased micronuclei in workers despite	provided by supplemental studies humans,
	differences in population and exposure scenarios (Linging et al., 2016; Wultsch et al.,	animals, and in vitro.
	2014; Qayyum et al., 2012; Balachandar et al., 2010; Iarmarcovai et al., 2005;	
	<u>Danadevi et al., 2004; Medeiros et al., 2003; Benova et al., 2002; Vaglenov et al.,</u>	
	<u>1999</u>)	
	• Consistent evidence of increased chromosomal aberrations in <i>low</i> confidence studies	
	of workers despite sensitivity concerns that biased toward the null (Balachandar et	
	<u>al., 2010; Halasova et al., 2008; Maeng et al., 2004; Deng et al., 1988; Koshi et al.,</u>	
	<u>1984; Sarto et al., 1982)</u>	
	 Increased mutation frequency in the lungs of transgenic rodents exposed via 	
	intratracheal instillation, increasing with dose and post-exposure time, provides	
	biological plausibility for mutations in exposed target tissues (Cheng et al. (2000;	
	<u>1998</u>))	
	• Consistent supporting evidence of genotoxicity in studies of exposed humans and	
	animals dosed via i.p. injection, including DNA strand breaks, adducts, crosslinks, or	
	other DNA damage and repair-related endpoints (e.g., sister chromatid exchange)	
	(See Appendix C.3.3, Table C-59)	
	• Correlation of systemic Cr levels and other genotoxic endpoints (<u>El Safty et al., 2018</u> ;	
	<u>Qayyum et al., 2012; Sudha et al., 2011; Danadevi et al., 2004)</u>	
	 Correlation of MN with work duration (<u>Danadevi et al., 2004</u>) 	
	Oral exposure:	
	• Some mixed evidence of micronucleus frequency in one <i>low</i> confidence study in the	
	bone marrow of Cr(VI)-exposed mice (<u>NTP, 2007</u>) and positive findings of mutation in	
	two low confidence studies in the developing mouse fetus (Schiestl et al., 1997) and	
	in male rat germ cells (<u>Marat et al., 2018</u>)	
	• Largely null findings of gene mutation or micronuclei in <i>low</i> confidence studies in the	
	bone marrow (<u>De Flora et al., 2006;</u> <u>Mirsalis et al., 1996;</u> <u>Shindo et al., 1989</u>) or Gl	
	tract (Aoki et al., 2019; Thompson et al., 2015c; Thompson et al., 2015b; O'Brien et	

Biological events		
(and relevant		
sections)	Summary of key findings	Interpretations, judgments and rationale
	al., 2013) of mice or rats, though all but one of these studies lacked sensitivity for	
	detection due to nontoxic dose ranges tested	
	In vitro:	
	• DNA reactivity and genotoxicity has been confirmed in a large evidence base of in	
	vitro studies (see Appendix C.3.4)	
Cytotoxicity and	Biochemical markers of cell injury in the lung:	Consistent evidence of cytotoxicity and
degenerative	 Concentration-related increases in total protein, albumin, and LDH activity have 	degenerative cellular changes observed in the
cellular changes	been observed in rats exposed via inhalation for 30 and 90 days to ≥0.05 mg/m ³	lung and small intestine of animals following
(Sections 3.2.1,	Cr(VI) (<u>Glaser et al., 1990</u>)	inhalation and drinking water exposures,
3.2.2, 3.2.3.4)	Atrophy and blunting of small intestinal villi:	respectively.
	• Observed to increase with dose in mice following drinking water exposures to ≥11.6	
	Cr(VI)/kg-d after 7 and 90 days (<u>Thompson et al., 2011</u>)	
	 Observed in a significant proportion of mice at all doses after 90 day (≥3 mg 	
	Cr(VI)/kg-d) or 2 year (≥0.3 mg/kg-d) drinking water exposures in mice (not observed	
	in rats) (<u>NTP, 2008</u> , <u>2007</u>)	
	 Also observed in rats at 7.2 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d in drinking water (<u>Thompson et al.</u>, 	
	<u>2012b</u>)	
	Cytoplasmic vacuolization of small intestinal villi:	
	 Observed in mice following ≥11.6 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d in drinking water for 7 days and 	
	≥4.6 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d in drinking water for 90 days (not observed in rats) (Thompson et	
	<u>al., 2011</u>)	
	• Observed at all doses (≥3 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d) in drinking water after 90 days exposure in	
	drinking water (qualitative data) (not observed in rats) (<u>NTP, 2007</u>)	
	Apoptosis in the lung and small intestine:	
	 Lung: One intratracheal instillation exposure study in rats observed increased 	
	apoptosis in bronchial epithelium and lung parenchyma; in vitro studies support dose	
	and time-dependent increases in apoptosis following Cr(VI) exposure in human lung	
	cells (Reynolds et al., 2012; Azad et al., 2008; Reynolds and Zhitkovich, 2007;	
	Gambelunghe et al., 2006; D'Agostini et al., 2002; Carlisle et al., 2000)	
	 Small intestine, mouse: Apoptotic villi increasing with dose ≥11.6 Cr(VI)/kg-d in 	
	drinking water for 90 days; not observed after 7 days (Thompson et al., 2015b;	
	Thompson et al., 2015a; O'Brien et al., 2013; Thompson et al., 2011)	

Biological events		
(and relevant		
sections)	Summary of key findings	Interpretations, judgments and rationale
	 Small intestine, rat: Apoptotic villi at ≥7.2 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d in drinking water 	
	(<u>Thompson et al., 2012b</u>)	
Suppression of	Oral exposures:	Biologically plausible evidence of the
apoptosis	• Inhibition of the MAPK inhibitor RKIP was observed in the stomach and colon of male	suppression of apoptosis, a hallmark of
(Section 3.2.3.4;	Wistar rats after 60 days of exposure to Cr(VI) in drinking water, leading to the	cancer, in the stomach and colon of animals
Appendix C.3.2.10	activation of the ERK/MAPK signaling pathway (Tsao et al., 2011)	exposed via drinking water.
and C.3.3)	• Activation of the ERK/MAPK signaling pathway promotes cell proliferation (via c-Myc	
	expression activation) and has been observed in rat stomach and colon after oral	
	exposure (<u>Tsao et al., 2011</u>)	
Cell proliferation	Inhalation exposures:	Biologically plausible evidence of increased
(Section 3.2.3.4;	• Cyclin D1, a regulator and promoter of cell cycle progression, has been detected at	cell proliferation, a hallmark of cancer, as
Appendix C.3.2.10	significantly increased levels in the lung tumor tissues of chromate-exposed patients	interpreted by the aberrant expression of
and C.3.3)	compared to unexposed lung cancer patients. Increased expression of cyclin D1 has	genes related to cell cycle regulation in lung
	been associated with cell proliferation and tumorigenesis (Katabami et al., 2000)	tumor tissues of humans exposed to Cr(VI)
	Oral exposures:	and in the stomach, duodenum and colon of
	• The cellular replication marker Ki-67, which is upregulated in human intestinal	animals exposed via drinking water.
	adenomas, has been found to be increased in isolated duodenal mucosal cells from	
	the small intestine of mice exposed to Cr(VI) via drinking water for 7 and 90 days	
	(<u>Rager et al., 2017</u> ; <u>Kopec et al., 2012a</u>)	
	• The c-Myc oncogene codes for a pro-proliferation transcription factor and can be	
	activated by Wnt or the MAPK/ERK pathway, though it can also be blocked by NF-κB	
	signaling. A dose-dependent increase in the c-Myc oncogene was found in the	
	stomach and colon of male Wistar rats after 60 days of exposure to Cr(VI) in drinking	
	water (<u>Tsao et al., 2011</u>)	
	• Galectin-1, associated with gastric cancer cell motility and overexpressed in gastric	
	tumor cells and digestive cancers, was increased in the stomach and colon of male	
	Wistar rats after 60 days of exposure to Cr(VI) in drinking water (<u>Tsao et al., 2011</u>)	
Regenerative	Focal epithelial hyperplasia of the small intestine:	Consistent evidence of hyperplasia
hyperplasia	 Observed in mice exposed to ≥1.18 mg (females) and ≥2.4 mg (males) Cr(VI)/kg-d in 	interpreted to be the result of regeneration
(Sections 3.2.1,	drinking water for 2 years. The responses were not statistically significant, but this is	following cell injury following oral exposures
3.2.2, 3.2.3.4)		in the small intestine of mice and rats and

Biological events		
(and relevant		
sections)	Summary of key findings	Interpretations, judgments and rationale
	considered a biologically significant pre-neoplastic lesion due to morphologic	following inhalation exposures in the lung in
	similarity to adenoma (<u>NTP, 2008</u>)	rats.
	Diffuse epithelial hyperplasia of the lung and small intestine:	
	• Lung: Bronchioalveolar hyperplasia (70–100%) observed in rats following 0.050–0.40	
	mg/m ³ Cr(VI) inhalation exposure for 30 days, but incidence was decreased at 90	
	days (<u>Glaser et al., 1990</u>)	
	• Small intestinal crypt cells, mice: Hyperplasia reported in mice exposed for 7 days at	
	31.1 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d (NS) in drinking water with no changes in mitotic activity in crypt	
	cells and following 90 days at ≥11.6 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d (non-dose-dependent)	
	(Thompson et al., 2015b; Thompson et al., 2011)	
	 Small intestine, mice: Hyperplasia observed at all doses (≥3 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d) in 	
	drinking water for 90 days, minimal to mild severity, 100% incidence at mid/high	
	dose levels, with increased numbers of mitotic figures in the hyperplastic epithelium	
	(in females and four male datasets in multiple strains). Also observed at all doses	
	(≥0.3 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d) in drinking water for 2 years, increasing with dose, minimal to	
	mild severity, with increased numbers of mitotic figures in the hyperplastic	
	epithelium (<u>NTP, 2008</u> , <u>2007</u>)	
	 Small intestinal villous cells, rats: Hyperplasia observed at ≥7.2 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d in 	
	drinking water for 7 and 90 days (Thompson et al., 2012b)	
Inflammation	In the lung:	Consistent evidence of chronic inflammation,
(Section 3.2.3.4;	• Increases in macrophages in BALF at 0.9 mg/m ³ Cr(VI) inhalation exposure for 4-6	an enabling characteristic of cancer, has been
Appendix Table C-	weeks in rabbit and at at 0.20 and 0.40 mg/m ³ Cr(VI) for 30 and 90 days in rats	observed in animals following Cr(VI)
38)	(<u>Glaser et al., 1990</u> ; <u>Johansson et al., 1986b</u>)	inhalation. There is no histopathological
	• In rats exposed for 28 and 90 days, increased lymphocytes in BALF at 0.025 mg/m ³	evidence consistent with chronic
	and 0.05 mg/m ³ Cr(VI); increased granulocytes/neutrophils at 0.05 mg/m ³ Cr(VI); no	inflammation reported following oral
	change or decreased number of macrophages at 0.050 and 0.20 mg/m ³ Cr(VI)	exposures in animals, although some indirect
	inhalation exposure. In rats exposed for 4-48 weeks, increased	evidence consistent with inflammation has
	granulocytes/neutrophils; no change or decreased number of macrophages at 0.36	been reported.
	mg/m ³ Cr(VI) inhalation exposure (Cohen et al., 2003; Glaser et al., 1985)	
	• Histiocytosis (macrophage accumulation) associated with inflammation observed in	
	rats and rabbits exposed via inhalation for 30-90 days (Kim et al., 2004; Glaser et al.,	
	<u>1990; Johansson et al., 1986a)</u>	

Biological events (and relevant		
sections)	Summary of key findings	Interpretations, judgments and rationale
	In the GI tract:	
	• Cytokine fluctuations observed in the duodenum (and not the oral mucosa) of mice	
	(\downarrow IL-1 β and TNF- $lpha$) and rats (\uparrow IL-1 $lpha$, IL-6; \downarrow IL-4) following Cr(VI) exposure in	
	drinking water	
	• Induction of proinflammatory signaling pathways (e.g., NF-κB) in animals following	
	oral exposures	





1 <u>Susceptible populations</u>

A number of different factors were identified that could predispose some populations of
humans to be more susceptible to Cr(VI) carcinogenicity when ingested.

4 Low stomach acid

5 Because extracellular reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) serves as a detoxifying mechanism, 6 conditions that would lower an individual's ability to effectively reduce Cr(VI) could lead to a 7 higher rate of Cr(VI) absorption into the cells lining the GI tract. Following oral ingestion, gastric 8 emptying to the small intestine competes with the rapid extracellular reduction to Cr(III) by gastric 9 juices (Proctor et al., 2012; De Flora et al., 1997). However, there is significant interindividual 10 variability of stomach pH in the human population. Individuals taking medication to treat gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), including calcium carbonate-based acid reducers and 11 12 proton pump inhibitors, have an elevated stomach pH during treatment. Individuals with a preexisting low stomach acid condition (hypochlorhydria, also known as achlorhydria) consistently 13 14 have a high gastric pH of approximately 8 (Kalantzi et al., 2006; Feldman and Barnett, 1991; 15 Christiansen, 1968). This condition may be caused or exacerbated by multiple other preexisting 16 gastric conditions, including *H. pylori* infection. Gastric pH also varies by age, with neonates notably 17 having neutral stomach pH at birth (Neal-Kluever et al., 2019). The prevalence of hypochlorhydria 18 (see above) is believed to be high in elderly populations (age 65 and up) (Doki et al., 2017). The

19 general healthy population also exhibits high variability in stomach pH. Among adults without

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.3-156DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

- 1 hypochlorhydria and who do not regularly take antacids, 5% of men may exhibit basal pH
- 2 exceeding 5, and 5% of women may exhibit basal pH exceeding 6.8 (Feldman and Barnett, 1991).
- 3 Genetic polymorphisms
- 4 Individuals with genetic polymorphisms conveying deficiencies in DNA repair capacity may 5 have increased susceptibility to Cr(VI)-induced lung cancer. Several studies in humans have 6 identified polymorphisms in genes related to DNA repair and tumor suppression that were 7 correlated with increased genetic damage and lung cancer (summarized above and in Appendix 8 C.3.14; see also (Urbano et al., 2012)). DNA adducts formed directly by chromium or indirectly via 9 oxidative damage are substrates for nucleotide excision repair (for bulky lesions) and mismatch 10 repair (for misincorporated bases during DNA replication and homologous recombination); 11 heritable deficiencies in the effectiveness of these repair processes can cause a higher rate of unprocessed genetic damage leading to the formation of heritable mutations. 12
- 13 Carriers of the cystic fibrosis mutant allele
- 14 The analyses by US EPA (see Appendix C.3.4.2 and <u>Mezencev and Auerbach (2021)</u>) of the 15 toxicogenomic data reported in Kopec et al. (2012b; 2012a) from mice exposed to Cr(VI) (reviewed 16 earlier in this section) have identified a potential role for CFTR in the carcinogenic effects of Cr(VI). 17 Tumorigenicity of impaired CFTR activity in animal models supports the relevance of the Cr(VI)-18 mediated inactivation of CFTR for the development of small intestinal tumors in mice exposed to 19 Cr(VI) in drinking water (Than et al., 2016), and CFTR has been shown to act as a tumor suppressor 20 in the human colon (Than et al., 2016). These findings may indicate that carriers of the mutated 21 CFTR allele could be more sensitive to the Cr(VI)-mediated carcinogenicity. In the US alone, more 22 than 10 million people are carriers of a mutated CFTR allele that confers an approximate 50% 23 reduction in CFTR expression levels. Although these individuals do not develop cystic fibrosis, the
- 24 deficit in CFTR function has been shown to lead to an increased risk for several conditions
- associated with the disease, including colorectal cancer (OR = 1.44, 95% CI: 1.01–2.05) (<u>Miller et al.</u>,
- 26 <u>2020</u>). CFTR suppression induced by low Cr(VI) exposures in drinking water can be expected to
- 27 occur in all exposed populations, but a more significant effect would be expected in humans already
- 28 producing low levels of this protein.
- 29

3.2.3.5. Integration of Evidence for Cancer of the GI Tract

The integrated evidence for Cr(VI)-induced cancer of the GI tract is summarized in Table 322. Overall, Cr(VI) is **likely to be carcinogenic** to the human GI tract by the oral route of exposure.
This conclusion is based on *robust* evidence of cancer from a *high* confidence 2-year cancer
bioassay conducted by NTP, which showed a statistically significant increase in oral cavity tumors
in male and female F344/N rats and small intestine neoplasms in B6C3F1 male and female mice
(NTP, 2008). Notably, at the lower doses where tumor occurrence was nonsignificant compared to
concurrent controls, incidences exceeded NTP historical controls in both species. Therefore, some

tumors that were not statistically significant may be biologically significant due to the increasing
 trend and low historical control incidence (Appendix D.5).

The evidence of carcinogenicity of the GI tract from human studies is *slight*. Results for two
populations exposed to Cr(VI) through drinking water in China and Greece were available in the

5 epidemiological evidence base that analyzed stomach cancer risk (<u>Linos et al., 2011</u>; <u>Kerger et al.</u>,

- 6 <u>2009</u>; <u>Beaumont et al., 2008</u>). The studies reported increased SMRs when their mortality
- 7 experience was compared to other communities in the surrounding areas or to the mortality
- 8 experience in the province where the exposed communities were located. While uncertainties in

9 the study methods and analyses resulted in low confidence ratings, the studies in both populations
10 reported increased risk estimates supporting a judgment of *slight*.

11 The summary effect estimates from the meta-analysis of GI tract cancer risk from the 12 occupational studies of workers with inhalation exposure to Cr(VI) showed small increases in risk 13 for each cancer site, and this increase was statistically significant for rectal cancer. There were few 14 studies reporting odds ratios, but in each case (esophagus and stomach), summary effect estimates 15 based on these studies were somewhat higher compared with summary estimates based on other 16 relative risk measures (although neither odds ratio-based estimate was statistically significant). 17 However, there were not clear patterns of risk by either occupational group or specific cancer site. 18 There is more coherence for the results for colon cancer when stratified by occupational grouping 19 (Appendix Table C-45; Appendix Figure C-19) since the occupations with a higher certainty of 20 exposure to Cr(VI) showed higher summary effect estimates, although inconsistencies remain 21 among the studies overall. 22 Looking across the available evidence in animals and humans, while interspecies 23 correlation is lacking for the exact tumor site within the intestinal tract, the overall species 24 concordance, spanning the entire alimentary tract, including the oral cavity, is robust. Although it is

difficult to draw conclusions regarding an association between human exposure to Cr(VI) through

- 26 drinking water and GI tract cancer from the available epidemiological evidence, there is consistency
- 27 among species (human, rat, and mouse) regarding the potential for Cr(VI) to cause cancer at

28 various sites along the GI tract.

Potential MOAs for carcinogenicity induced by ingested Cr(VI) in the mouse small intestine
 include mutagenicity and regenerative proliferation caused by tissue injury leading to a higher
 probability of the clonal outgrowth of spontaneous mutations. These mechanistic processes are not
 mutually exclusive, and there is evidence that Cr(VI)-induced carcinogenesis in the GI tract after
 oral exposure involves both MOAs.

Bioavailability results and kinetic considerations (see Section 3.1 and Appendix C.1) lead to
the evidence-based interpretation that approximately 10–20% of ingested low dose Cr(VI) escapes
human gastric inactivation and could therefore reach the target cells in appreciable amounts and
would thus be reasonably anticipated to act as a mutagen in the GI tract epithelium. Given the
cellular capacity for uptake of Cr(VI) in highly absorptive intestinal tissues, it is biologically

plausible that Cr(VI) can induce genetic damage in the human GI tract. By assuming significant (80-1 2 90%) but incomplete gastric detoxification, the capacity for autonomous growth may remain latent 3 for weeks, months, or years, during which time an initiated cell may be phenotypically 4 indistinguishable from other parenchymal cells in that tissue. The average tumor diagnosis was 5 over 700 days (100 weeks) for both sexes of mice (first onset at 451 days and most observed at 6 terminal sacrifice). Most human and animal neoplasms studied to date are of monoclonal origin. 7 There are several salient characteristics of initiation. It can occur following a single exposure to a 8 known carcinogen. Changes produced by the initiator may be latent for weeks or months and are 9 considered irreversible. The hyperplasia observed at the 2-year evaluation endpoint may, 10 therefore, be a manifestation of intestinal responses to late clonal expansion following an early 11 initiation. Also, with age, spontaneous DNA replication becomes more error prone resulting in small 12 intestinal tumors. Therefore, the hyperplastic changes described could support either MOA 13 (cytotoxicity with regenerative cell proliferation and mutagenicity). 14 The hypothesis that continuous wounding results in regenerative proliferation that may 15 give rise to spontaneous mutations progressing to neoplasia is largely supported by 16 histopathological findings that indicate degenerative changes including villous blunting/atrophy 17 accompanied by cytoplasmic vacuolization and crypt hyperplasia. Importantly, it is unlikely that 18 this MOA is solely operational in the intestinal tumors observed by NTP after 2 years. While a 19 'wounding and regenerative cell proliferation' MOA is supported by short-term (7 and 28 day) and 20 subchronic (90 day) bioassays, these studies were (a) too short in duration to show that 21 regenerative hyperplasia progressed to tumor formation (resulting in a threshold dose) and (b) did 22 not demonstrate that a mutagenic MOA could reliably be excluded. Therefore, whether the clonal 23 selection and outgrowth of spontaneous mutations is responsible for Cr(VI) tumorigenesis remains 24 a data gap; DNA sequencing data may assist with assessing the validity of this hypothesis. 25 No direct mechanistic evidence in the rat oral mucosa is available to support an MOA for 26 tumorigenesis of the rat oral cavity induced by ingested Cr(VI). It is important to note that the 27 apical membrane of the human tongue, oral mucosa, and esophagus will come into direct contact 28 with Cr(VI) in ingested drinking water before gastric detoxification. This is supported by consistent 29 observations of increased micronuclei in oral epithelial cells from humans occupationally exposed 30 to Cr(VI). Importantly, the proposed wounding and regenerative proliferation MOA for the 31 intestinal tumors in mice does not address the Cr(VI) oral cavity tumors of rats, in which neither 32 degenerative changes nor hyperplasia were observed. Only one *low* confidence study investigated 33 the mutation frequency in the rat oral cavity and did not find an increase after a 7-day exposure to 34 Cr(VI) in drinking water. Additional studies designed to be sensitive for detecting mutations as well 35 as other potential mechanisms involved in carcinogenicity of the oral mucosa are needed. 36 Overall, the determination of a mutagenic MOA, the incompleteness of gastric detoxification, 37 and the development of oral cavity tumors without any apparent tissue injury or regenerative

- 1 proliferation argue against a threshold for low dose extrapolation of cancer risk for both oral and GI
- 2 tract tumors from ingested Cr(VI).
| Studies, outcomes,
and confidenceSummary of key findingsFactors that
increase certaintyFactors that
decrease
certaintyJudgments and
rationaleInferences and
summary judgmentEvidence from studies of exposed humansEvidence from studies of exposed humans• Consistent
observations of
elevated risk using
two comparisons
(i.e., surrounding
regions and entire
province) in
separate
geographical
locations• Consistent
observations of
elevated risk using
two comparisons
(i.e., surrounding
regions and entire
province) in
separate
geographical
locations• Consistent
observations of
elevated risk using
two comparisons
of the mortality
data for that
period in China• O:O:
Slight
Although increased
SMRs were found in two
studies of two
populations exposed to
Cr(VI) in drinking water
when comparing
mortality to unexposed
of confounding
by differences in• Consistent
of confounding
by differences in• Consistent
of confounding
by differences in• Cological study
distribution• Cological study
distribution
exposure• Cological study
distribution
separate
estimates of
exposure• Cological study
distribution
of the mortality
data for that
period in China
estimates were
imprecise and changed• Cological study
distribution
of confounding
by differences in• Cological study
distribution
of confounding
by differences in• Cological study
distribution
of confounding
by differences in• Cological significance
distributionInterest the sumany index
distribution• Consistent
observations of
elevated risk using
troution and freece exposed to | | Evidence summ | nary and interpretati | on | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| Evidence from studies of exposed humans⊕⊕⊙GASTRIC CANCER (ORAL)
Low confidence:
Beaumont et al. (2008)
Kerger et al. (2009)
Linos et al. (2011)Results for two populations in
China and Greece exposed to
Cr(VI) in drinking water showed
increased SMRs.• Consistent
observations of
elevated risk using
two comparisons
(i.e., surrounding
regions and entire
province) in
separate
geographical
locations• Ecological study
designs (lack of
individual
estimates of
exposure)• Ecological study
designs (lack of
individual
estimates of
exposure)• Cr(VI) is likely to be
carcinogenic to hum
via the oral route of
exposure.• Uncertain nature
of the mortality
data for that
period in China
by differences in• Consistent
observations of
elevated risk using
two comparisons
(i.e., surrounding
regions and entire
province) in
separate
geographical
locations• Ecological study
designs (lack of
individual
estimates of
exposure)• Dotential impact
of confounding
by differences in• Dotential impact
of confounding
by differences in• Dotential impact
of confounding
by differences in• Cr(VI) is likely to be
carcinogenic to hum
via the oral route of
exposure. | Studies, outcomes,
and confidence | Summary of key findings | Factors that increase certainty | Factors that
decrease
certainty | Judgments and
rationale | Inferences and summary judgment |
| SES between
comparison
groupsin magnitude depending
on the definition of the
unexposed
communities. While
uncertainties in the
estimates
changed in
magnitude
depending on
how referents
were definedEvidence from huma
 | Evidence from studies of e
GASTRIC CANCER (ORAL)
Low confidence:
Beaumont et al. (2008)
Kerger et al. (2009)
Linos et al. (2011) | Results for two populations in
China and Greece exposed to
Cr(VI) in drinking water showed
increased SMRs. | Consistent observations of elevated risk using two comparisons (i.e., surrounding regions and entire province) in separate geographical locations | Ecological study
designs (lack of
individual
estimates of
exposure) Uncertain nature
of the mortality
data for that
period in China Potential impact
of confounding
by differences in
SES between
comparison
groups Imprecise
estimates
changed in
magnitude
depending on
how referents
were defined | Pationale Pationale Pationale Pationale Pationale Pational Patio | Summary Judgment ⊕⊕⊙ Cr(VI) is likely to be carcinogenic to humans via the oral route of exposure. Robust evidence shows tumors of the GI tract in mice (small intestine) and rats (oral cavity) in both sexes; the oral cavity tumors were rare indicating increased biological significance. Evidence from humans is slight but is consistent in reporting some risk of cancers of the GI tract in humans exposed via drinking water or mucociliary clearance. Biological plausibility for the small intestinal tumors is provided by |

Table 3-22. Evidence profile table for cancer of the GI tract^a

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
GI TRACT CANCER (INHALATION/ORAL) Medium confidence: 43 occupational studies of cancer mortality or incidence	A meta-analysis of GI tract cancer risk from occupational studies of workers with inhalation and oral (swallowing dust) exposure to Cr(VI) showed small increases in risk for each cancer site. The summary estimates for SMR/SIR analyses of rectal cancer were statistically significant. The summary estimates for the few studies reporting odds ratios (esophagus and stomach) were somewhat higher (although neither odds ratio-based estimate was statistically significant).	 Large number of studies contributed SMR/SIR results for four cancer sites (esophagus, stomach, colon and rectum) Occupations with a higher certainty of exposure to Cr(VI) showed higher summary effect estimates 	 There were no coherent patterns of risk when looking at cancer sites across occupational groupings or at occupational groups across cancer sites Variation in the prevalence, frequency and magnitude of exposure is likely within the exposure groups, which would result in an underestimate of the estimated risk 	⊕⊙⊙ Slight Although the risk estimate for rectal cancer was statistically significant, and coherent results for colon cancer risk were found when stratified by occupational groupings expected to have higher exposures to Cr(VI), inconsistencies in patterns of risk across occupational groups raise uncertainties.	hyperplasia in the small intestine of mice and molecular evidence of cell proliferation and oxidative stress in these animals prior to tumor formation. A primary role for mutagenicity, evident in oral cavity tissues of exposed humans and known to occur when Cr(VI) comes into direct contact with cells, in GI tract tumorigenesis (and in particular, in tumors of the rat oral cavity) is not clear but also cannot be ruled out. Susceptibility is assumed for humans with impaired ability to reduce Cr(VI) in the stomach.

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
GI TRACT TUMORS (ORAL) High confidence: <u>NTP (2008)</u>	Statistically significant increases in tumors of the GI tract were reported in a high confidence 2- year animal bioassay: adenomas and carcinomas of the small intestine (male and female mice), and squamous cell carcinomas and papillomas of the oral mucosa and tongue (male and female rats). Tumors of the oral cavity and small intestine have a very low historical incidence.	 Consistent findings in one high confidence 2-year study that contained bioassays in rats and mice of both sexes Coherent, biologically related findings within the GI tract Large magnitude of effects Strong dose- response gradient Mechanistic evidence provides biological plausibility 	• None	⊕⊕⊕ Robust Consistent findings in one large high confidence study finding tumors in the GI tract in two species and both sexes Animal mechanistic evidence informing biological plausibility (hyperplasia in mouse small intestine may be a precursor event for tumors)	
HISTOPATHOLOGICAL CHANGES (ORAL) High confidence: <u>NTP (2008)</u> <u>NTP (2007)</u> <u>Thompson et al. (2012b)</u> <u>Thompson et al. (2011)</u>	All studies examining effects in the small intestine are rated high confidence. Degenerative changes in intestinal villi and hyperplasia of the small intestine observed in male and female mice by NTP (2008, 2007), and in female	 Consistent findings in four high confidence chronic and subchronic studies that contained multiple bioassays in rats and mice of both sexes, and 	 Inconsistent observations of hyperplasia between mice and rats, though this is explained in part by pharmacokineti c differences 	 ⊕⊕⊕ <i>Robust</i> Histopathological changes reported in high confidence studies (tissue injury and proliferative changes) observed across the animal evidence base 	

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
	mice and rats by Thompson et al. (2012b; 2011). Histiocytic cellular infiltration observed in the small intestine of male and female rats and mice in all studies and bioassays.	 multiple strains of mice Large magnitude of effects Strong dose- response gradient Mechanistic evidence provides plausibility Coherence as potential preneoplastic lesions in the mouse small intestine only 		database are coherent following chronic and/or subchronic oral exposures in rats and mice and suggest adverse effects of Cr(VI) on the GI tract, findings that are supported by mechanistic evidence. Inconsistency between species (mice consistently exhibited hyperplasia of the small intestine, whereas results in rats were mixed) is partly explained by pharmacokinetics	

^aSee Table 3-21 for the summary of key mechanistic events involved in Cr(VI)-induced cancer.

3.2.4. Hepatic effects

The liver is a common site of toxicity as it functions to metabolize exogenous as well as
 endogenous chemicals. The liver is considered an accessory digestive organ because it synthesizes
 proteins and compounds necessary for digestion as well as filtering and metabolizing nutrients and
 toxicants absorbed by the small intestine (first-pass effect). The liver also metabolizes chemicals
 absorbed into the bloodstream from other routes (such as intravenous injection or inhalation).
 Because of the first-pass effect, the liver may be affected more severely by toxic chemical exposure
 via the oral route as compared to the inhalation route.

8 3.2.4.1. Human Evidence

9 <u>Study evaluation summary</u>

10 There are four studies that reported on the association between Cr(VI) exposure and 11 hepatic-related clinical chemistries, including alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate 12 aminotransferase (AST), alkaline phosphatase (ALP). Increases in serum ALT and AST are 13 considered indicative of hepatocellular damage, with ALT considered to be the more sensitive and 14 specific indicator (EMEA. 2008; Boone et al., 2005). Increases in ALP can be associated with liver 15 cholestasis, however, ALP is not as specific to liver injury as extrahepatic sources of ALP exist 16 (Boone et al., 2005). Other serum measures evaluated, which can help inform liver toxicity, 17 included bilirubin, albumin, total protein, creatinine, and albumin/globulin ratio. In general, 18 increased serum bilirubin and decreased serum albumin/total protein can indicate impaired liver function (EMEA, 2008; Boone et al., 2005). 19 20 With respect to confidence in the human studies, one study (Khan et al., 2013) was 21 classified as uninformative because exposure was based on tannery work, and there was insufficient 22 information provided on the specific tanning processes used at the facility³². This study was not 23 considered further. The three remaining studies were included and classified as low confidence (see 24 Table 3-23), with two (Saraswathy and Usharani, 2007; Lin et al., 1994) in occupational populations 25 with exposure primarily via inhalation and one (Sazakli et al., 2014) in the general population with 26 exposure primarily via the oral route. Lin et al. (1994) had adequate exposure measurement due to 27 use of air sampling with appropriate methods and categorization into three levels of exposure. In 28 the remaining two studies, the primary limitation was *deficient* exposure measurement, primarily 29 due to concerns about potential for nondifferential exposure misclassification that would be likely

- 30 to bias the results towards the null (Sazakli et al., 2014; Saraswathy and Usharani, 2007). In Sazakli
- 31 <u>et al. (2014)</u>, exposure was estimated based on water intake and blood and hair Cr concentrations,

³²Leather tanning processes that can potentially lead to Cr(VI) exposure include: (1) use of a two-bath process, (2) on-site production of tanning liquors, and (3) leather finishing steps that involve Cr(VI) (e.g., use of Cr(VI)-containing pigments) (<u>Shaw Environmental, 2006</u>). If these processes are not specified by the study, it cannot be determined whether exposure was to Cr(VI) or Cr(III).

- 1 but there were poor correlations across measures. In <u>Saraswathy and Usharani (2007)</u>, no air data
- 2 was available and there was no quantitative measurement of exposure. These considerations on
- 3 exposure measurement are the primary basis for the clinical chemistry outcome judgments
- 4 presented in Table 3-23.

Table 3-23. Summary of human studies for Cr(VI) hepatic effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome. <u>Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales</u>.

Author (year)	Industry	Location	Exposure Measurement	Study Design	Clinical Chemistry
<u>Lin et al. (1994)</u>	Chrome plating	Taiwan	Urine, Air, Work category	Cross-sectional	L
<u>Sazakli et al. (2014)</u>	General population	Greece	Urine, Hair, Modeled lifetime Cr(VI) exposure dose	Cross-sectional	L
<u>Saraswathy and</u> <u>Usharani (2007)</u>	Chrome plating	India	Work category	Cross-sectional	L
<u>Khan et al. (2013)</u>	Tannery	Pakistan	Blood, Urine, Work category	Cross-sectional	U

5 <u>Synthesis of evidence in humans</u>

6 Two studies (<u>Sazakli et al., 2014</u>; <u>Saraswathy and Usharani, 2007</u>) reported statistically

7 significant changes consistent with liver dysfunction in at least one of the tests (i.e., higher levels of

8 ALT, AST, ALP, or bilirubin and/or lower levels of total protein or albumin with higher exposure) as

9 shown in Table 3-24. These associations were observed despite the potential for exposure

10 misclassification that may have reduced sensitivity. <u>Saraswathy and Usharani (2007)</u> observed an

11 exposure-response gradient across the three exposure categories for ALT, AST, ALP, and total

12 protein. However, there is some inconsistency in the direction of results for total protein and

13 albumin between the two studies. The third study (<u>Lin et al., 1994</u>) evaluated serum ALT, AST,

14 creatinine, and albumin/globulin ratio. The study authors did not report quantitative results but

15 reported that there were no significant differences among workers in the four exposure groups.

Reference, confidence	Population	Exposure comparison and effect estimate	ALT	AST	ALP	Total protein	Other
Sazakli et al. (2014), low confidence	Cross-sectional in Greece, general population; two drinking water exposure groups (n = 237) and controls (n = 67)	Regression coefficients for calculated lifetime exposure dose and hair biomarkers	Lifetime: -0.03 (for In-ALT) Hair: 0.05 (for In-ALT)	Lifetime: 0.04 Hair: 0.04	Lifetime: 0.12* Hair: 0.22*	Lifetime: 0.14* Hair: 0.24*	Lifetime: Albumin 0.21* Bilirubin -0.11 Hair: Albumin 0.23* Bilirubin -0.07
Saraswathy and Usharani (2007), Iow confidence	Cross-sectional in India, two chrome plater groups (n = 130) and male area residents (n = 130)	Means ± SD for control/ exposed 8–15 yrs (A)/ exposed 16–25 yrs (B)	Control: 22.0 ± 1.7 Exposed A: 34.3 ± 2.5* Exposed B: 43.3 ± 1.7*	Control: 19.2 ± 2.1 Exposed A: 32.9 ± 3.7* Exposed B: 38.6 ± 4.0*	Control: 60.8 ± 5.7 Exposed A: 70.2 ± 6.2* Exposed B: 83.7 ± 7.6*	Control: 7.8 ± 0.4 Exposed A: 7.5 ± 0.1* Exposed B: 6.1 ± 0.1*	NR
<u>Lin et al.</u> (1994), low confidence	Cross-sectional in Taiwan, three chrome plater groups (n = 79) and aluminum plater referent group (n = 40)	Analysis and quantitative results not reported.	ALT, AST, se evaluated, h among work	rum creatinir owever, autl ers across ex	ne and album nors report n posure grou	nin/globulin r o significant ps (results no	atio difference ot shown).

Table 3-24. Associations between Cr(VI) and liver clinical chemistries in epidemiology studies

**p* < 0.05.

NR: not reported

1 In addition, four studies (presented in five publications) reported on mortality attributable 2 to cirrhosis of the liver, all based on occupational cohorts (Birk et al., 2006; Moulin et al., 2000; 3 Moulin et al., 1993b; Moulin et al., 1993a; Moulin et al., 1990). These studies indicated no increase 4 in cirrhosis mortality with higher exposure levels, but this evidence is considered inadequate to 5 assess the association with Cr(VI) due to several limitations, including lack of control of potential 6 confounding (such as by alcohol consumption), concerns about sensitivity and specificity of the 7 exposure measures, and the sensitivity of mortality as the outcome measure. 8 Overall, there is an indication in the available human studies that higher Cr(VI) exposure 9 may be associated with increased liver dysfunction, but there is some inconsistency in the available

10 results and study evaluation concerns, especially with respect to exposure measurement.

1 3.2.4.2. Animal Evidence

2 <u>Study evaluation summary</u>

3 Information relevant to the evaluation of an association between Cr(VI) exposure and liver

- 4 effects comes from oral and inhalation studies in mice and rats involving subchronic, chronic, and
- 5 gestational exposures. Liver effects evaluated in this synthesis include changes in liver histology,
- 6 clinical chemistry, and relative liver weight. As displayed in Table 3-25, studies reporting liver
- 7 effects in the Cr(VI) evidence base were of varying study quality (based on factors including
- 8 strength of study design and transparency of reporting), with the most informative evidence from
- 9 the NTP chronic and subchronic drinking water bioassays in rats and mice (<u>NTP, 2008, 2007</u>).

Table 3-25. Summary of included animal studies for Cr(VI) liver effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome.^a Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales.

				Live	r outco	mes
Author (year)	Species (strain)	Exposure design	Exposure route	Organ weight	Clinical chemistry	Histopathology
<u>NTP (1997)</u>	Mouse (BALB/c)	Reproductive study- continuous breeding (F0 to F2)	Diet	Н		Н
<u>NTP (1996a)</u>	Mouse (BALB/c)	Subchronic	Diet			Н
<u>NTP (1996b)</u>	Rat (Sprague-Dawley)	Subchronic	Diet			Н
<u>NTP (2007)</u>	Rat (F344/N); Mouse (B6C3F1, BALB/c, C57BL/6)	Subchronic	Drinking water	Н	Н	Η
<u>NTP (2008)</u>	Rats (F344/N); Mouse (B6C3F1)	Chronic	Drinking water		Н	Н
<u>Wang et al. (2015)</u>	Rat (Sprague-Dawley), male	Subchronic	Drinking water	М	М	М
Elshazly et al. (2016)	Rat (Sprague-Dawley)	Subchronic	Drinking water	-	М	М
<u>Chopra et al. (1996)</u>	Rat (Wistar), female	Subchronic	Drinking water	М	L	L
<u>Rafael et al. (2007)</u>	Rat (Wistar)	Subchronic	Drinking water	-	М	L
Acharya et al. (2001)	Rat (Wistar), male	Chronic	Drinking water		L	L
Krim et al. (2013)	Rat (Albino)	Subchronic	Gavage	-	М	-
<u>Navya et al. (2017a)</u>	Rat (Wistar), male	Subchronic	Gavage	-	М	L
<u>Mo et al. (2018)</u>	Rabbit (New Zealand), male and female	Subchronic	Gavage	-	-	L

	Liver outcomes					
Author (year)	Species (strain)	Exposure design	Exposure route	Organ weight	Clinical chemistry	Histopathology
<u>Meenakshi et al.</u> (1989)	Rat (Wistar)	Subchronic	Gavage	-	L	U
<u>Kim et al. (2004)</u>	Rat (Sprague-Dawley)	Subchronic	Inhalation	М	М	-
<u>Glaser et al. (1985)</u>	Rat (Wistar)	Subchronic	Inhalation	L	L	М
<u>Glaser et al. (1986)</u>	Rat (Wistar)	Chronic	Inhalation	L	L	U

^aFive studies reporting liver endpoints met PECO criteria but were considered to be *uninformative* at the study evaluation stage: (<u>Sánchez-Martín et al., 2015</u>; <u>Soudani et al., 2013</u>; <u>Geetha et al., 2003</u>; <u>Kumar and Barthwal</u>, <u>1991</u>; <u>Nettesheim et al., 1971</u>).

1 <u>Synthesis of evidence in animals</u>

2 Histopathology

3 Several subchronic and chronic studies in rats and mice reported histological lesions in the 4 liver associated with oral exposure to Cr(VI). These lesions include increased inflammation and 5 infiltration of immune cells (Elshazly et al., 2016; NTP, 2008, 2007), cytoplasmic vacuolation (fatty 6 changes) (Elshazly et al., 2016; NTP, 2008; Acharya et al., 2001; NTP, 1997; Chopra et al., 1996; 7 NTP, 1996a), indications of apoptosis and necrosis (Elshazly et al., 2016; Acharya et al., 2001; 8 Chopra et al., 1996), and increased hepatocellular foci (Elshazly et al., 2016; NTP, 2008). These 9 findings are presented in more detail below (see also Figure 3-19). While some NTP studies 10 observed histological lesions, several other NTP studies failed to find altered histological findings in 11 the liver. These studies include an oral study that exposed male and female SD rats to doses of up to 12 approximately 10 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day for 9 weeks (NTP, 1996b), as well as a 3-month study in three 13 different strains of mice (NTP, 2007). A 3-month study in B6C3F1 mice reported a lack of 14 histological changes in the liver (NTP, 2007) as well as a 9-week oral study in BALB/c mice 15 (although some non-statistically significant increases in vacuolation were observed) (NTP, 1996a). 16 In addition, no treatment-related lesions in the liver were found in male and female BALB/c F0 or 17 F1 mice exposed orally in a continuous breeding study at doses of 30–50 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day for 18 approximately 20 weeks (<u>NTP, 1997</u>). Across the evidence base, there is some indication that mice 19 may be more resistant than rats to Cr(VI)-induced changes in the liver, and that histological 20 changes that were not observed following subchronic exposure durations may be apparent after 21 chronic exposure. For instance, a study of male and female B6C3F1 mice exposed at doses up to 22 ~28 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day for 12 weeks (NTP, 2007) did not find evidence of liver histological changes; 23 however, after 2 years of exposure, histiocytic infiltration was noted in female mice (but not males) 24 (<u>NTP, 2008</u>).

1 The available inhalation studies (*medium* and *low* confidence) investigated, but did not 2 observe, histological alterations in the liver in rats exposed for 12 weeks at concentrations of up to 3 1.25 mg Cr(VI)/m³ (<u>Kim et al., 2004</u>) or 0.2 mg Cr(VI)/m³ (<u>Glaser et al., 1985</u>), or for longer 4 durations (18 months followed by a 12 month unexposed period) at concentrations of up to 0.1 mg 5 Cr(VI)/m³ (Glaser et al., 1986). However, liver chromium concentration following inhalation 6 exposure to Cr(VI) is expected to be approximately 1–2 orders of magnitude lower than 7 concentrations following oral exposure due to the first-pass effect (O'Flaherty and Radike, 1991). 8 As a result, the extent of hepatotoxicity would be expected to differ by route of exposure. 9 Inflammation-Related Hepatotoxicity Inflammation-related histological changes in the liver (increased inflammation and 10 11 infiltration of immune cells) were reported in several high confidence studies of Cr(VI) exposure in 12 F344 rats (NTP, 2008, 2007) and B6C3F1 mice (NTP, 2008). In female F344 rats, statistically 13 significantly increased incidences of chronic focal inflammation were reported for females in the 14 highest dose group following 3 months of exposure at 20.9 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day (NTP, 2007) and at 15 lower doses (0.2-7 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day) after two years of exposure, with incidences increasing 16 monotonically with dose (NTP, 2008). In male F344 rats exposed for 3 months, no statistically 17 significant increase in liver lesions was found (<u>NTP, 2007</u>); however, after 2 years of exposure, 18 chronic inflammation was increased in males in the second highest dose group (56%) relative to 19 controls, although control incidence was high (38%) and no clear dose-response was apparent for 20 this endpoint (NTP, 2008). In a 2-year study, a statistically significantly increased incidence of 21 chronic inflammation was observed in female B6C3F1 mice in the second highest exposure group 22 (3.2 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day) but not in other exposed groups (high dose: 8.9 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day) or in 23 male mice at doses up to 5.7 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day (NTP, 2008). Increased Kupffer cell (stellate 24 macrophage) activation was observed in a high dose, *medium* confidence study in male SD rats 25 exposed to approximately³³ 25 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day for six months (Elshazly et al., 2016). In a 26 continuous breeding study in BALB/c mice, no increased inflammatory changes in the liver were 27 observed in F0 or F1 male or female mice exposed for approximately 20 weeks at doses up to 30-28 50 mg/kg-day (<u>NTP, 1997</u>). 29 In damaged tissues, infiltrating histiocytes (macrophages) display functions such as 30 modulation of inflammatory cells, removal of damaged tissues/cellular debris, and antigen 31 presentation, as well as fibrogenic stimulation (Yamate et al., 2016). The incidence of infiltration of 32 histiocytes in the liver was statistically significantly elevated in female F344 rats exposed for

- 33 3 months at doses \geq 3.5 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day (<u>NTP, 2007</u>) and in female F344 rats exposed at lower
- doses (≥ 0.96 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day) for two years (<u>NTP, 2008</u>). Histiocytic infiltration was not
- 35 observed in male F344 rats exposed for 3 months at doses up to 20.9 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day but was

³³<u>Elshazly et al. (2016)</u> did not contain enough information to accurately calculate a dose in mg/kg-d. Using drinking water factors for SD rats from <u>U.S. EPA (1988)</u>, the dose may be as high as 25 mg/kg-d (although this does not take into account decreased palatability of the drinking water at 180 mg/L).

- 1 statistically significantly elevated in high dose male rats (5.9 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day) following 2 years of
- 2 exposure. Increased incidences of minimal to mild histiocytic infiltration were also observed in all
- 3 exposed groups of female mice (0.3 to 8.9 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day), showing an increasing response with
- 4 dose, in a 2-year study, but not in male mice (<u>NTP, 2008</u>). Hepatic infiltration of inflammatory cells
- 5 was also noted in a *medium* confidence study which exposed male rats to approximately 25 mg
- 6 Cr(VI)/kg-day for six months (<u>Elshazly et al., 2016</u>). <u>NTP (2008</u>) stated that the significance of
- 7 histiocytic infiltration is unknown but hypothesized that infiltration of macrophages may reflect
- 8 phagocytosis of an insoluble precipitate. However, it is important to acknowledge that activated
- 9 macrophages can also damage tissue by secreting cytotoxic factors indicative of an innate
- 10 inflammatory response and creating an inflammatory environment (<u>Francke and Mog, 2021</u>;
- 11 <u>Koyama and Brenner, 2017; Yamate et al., 2016</u>) and chronic hepatic inflammation can lead to
- 12 fibrosis (Koyama and Brenner, 2017). Histiocytic cellular infiltration with exposure to Cr(VI) was
- 13 also observed in several other tissues (including the duodenum and mesenteric and pancreatic
- 14 lymph nodes) in both rats and mice (<u>NTP, 2008</u>). See the immune effects section (Section 3.2.6) for
- 15 further discussion of this effect.

16 *Necrosis and Apoptosis*

- Few chronic or subchronic studies across the evidence base reported liver necrosis or
 indications of apoptosis. The incidence of necrosis was not increased in Cr(VI)-exposed animals in
- the large (50/sex/group), *high* confidence, 2-year NTP bioassay in F344 rats or B6C3F1 mice at
- doses of up to 6–9 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day (<u>NTP, 2008</u>) or in an NTP continuous breeding study in F0 and
- 21 F1 BALB/c mice (<u>NTP, 1997</u>). However, a high dose, *medium* confidence study observed necrosis in
- all SD rats exposed to 25 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day for six months (<u>Elshazly et al., 2016</u>). In addition,
- 23 several *low* confidence studies (discussed below) of shorter duration in Wistar rats reported
- evidence of necrosis or apoptosis associated with Cr(VI) exposure. <u>Rafael et al. (2007)</u> described
- 25 histological changes indicative of apoptosis as well as necrosis in Wistar rats exposed to
- 26 approximately 3 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day for 10 weeks. This study also reported immunohistochemical
- 27 evidence for increased expression of caspase-3, a marker for apoptosis, in male rats (<u>Rafael et al.</u>,
- 28 <u>2007</u>). Mechanistic markers of apoptosis also have been observed with Cr(VI). A 28-day study in
- 29 male rats gavaged with 10.6 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d reported increased expression of genes involved in
- 30 apoptosis concurrent with increases in liver enzymes (ALT, AST, and ALP) (<u>Navya et al., 2017a</u>).
- 31 Regarding evidence of necrosis, two related publications qualitatively described periportal necrosis
- 32 in Wistar rats exposed to 1.4 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day for 22 weeks (<u>Acharya et al., 2001</u>; <u>Chopra et al.</u>,
- **33** <u>1996</u>). While low levels of hepatocellular apoptosis may be difficult to detect in chronic and
- 34 subchronic toxicity studies, numerous short-term mechanistic studies indicate the upregulation of
- 35 apoptotic genes as well as the detection of specific markers of apoptosis (e.g., caspase-3) following
- 36 Cr(VI) exposure (see *Mechanistic Evidence* below and Table 3-26).

1 Fatty Changes and Vacuolation

- 2 Fatty changes, or steatosis, the accumulation and retention of fat in hepatocytes, is an early 3 pathological change associated with liver disease. Histologically, fatty change is sometimes noted as 4 vacuolation, with lipid accumulating in hepatocytes as vacuoles. Fatty changes often coincide with hepatic inflammation (Kaiser et al., 2012; Day and James, 1998). If the insult responsible for 5 6 steatosis persists, more severe pathologies can develop including fibrosis and cirrhosis (Kaiser et 7 al., 2012; Day and James, 1998). Liver vacuolation associated with oral exposure to Cr(VI) was 8 reported in several publications (Elshazly et al., 2016; NTP, 2008; Acharya et al., 2001; Chopra et al., 9 1996; NTP, 1996a) but not others (NTP, 2007, 1997, 1996b). An increased incidence of scattered 10 hepatocytes with cytoplasmic vacuoles containing lipid, characterized as "fatty changes," was noted 11 in female (but not male) F344 rats at doses ≥ 0.96 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day in the *high* confidence 2-year 12 NTP (2008) study. Furthermore, two similarly designed *low* confidence studies qualitatively 13 reported liver vacuolation in Wistar rats exposed to 1.4 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day for 22 weeks (Acharva et 14 al., 2001; Chopra et al., 1996). A high dose, *medium* confidence study observed vacuolation in all 15 male SD rats exposed to approximately 25 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day for six months (Elshazly et al., 2016). 16 Hepatic vacuolation was also observed in a high confidence study of male and female BALB/c mice 17 exposed via diet at doses \geq 5.6 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day for 9 weeks (<u>NTP. 1996a</u>). Study authors reported 18 that the vacuoles were suggestive of lipid accumulation (NTP, 1996a). However, these findings 19 were not supported by other high confidence studies of this strain of mice treated for 3 months 20 (NTP, 2007, 1997) or a similarly designed 9-week study in rats (NTP, 1996b). No increase in the 21 incidence of vacuolation was found at doses up to 8.7 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day in male BALB/c mice in a
- 3-month study (NTP, 2007) or in F0 male and female BALB/c mice in a continuous breeding study
- 23 at doses up to \sim 30–50 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day for approximately 20 weeks (<u>NTP, 1997</u>).
- 24 Other Histological Effects

25 Hepatocellular degeneration, necrosis, altered hepatocellular foci of mixed type, bile duct

- 26 hyperplasia, oval cell hyperplasia, and periductal fibroplasia were observed in a *medium* confidence
- 27 study in male SD rats exposed to approximately 25 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day for six months (Elshazly et al.,
- 28 <u>2016</u>). Other isolated histological changes were reported in the evidence base, including the
- 29 observation of basophilic hepatocellular foci, a preneoplastic lesion. In F344 rats, authors reported
- 30 an exposure-related increased incidence of basophilic hepatocellular foci in the 2-year study in
- 31 male rats, but not in females (<u>NTP, 2008</u>).
- 32 Summary of Histological Effects
- **33** Overall, there is consistent evidence of Cr(VI)-induced hepatic histological effects, across
- 34 species and sexes, in animals exposed via the oral route. Increases in chronic inflammation and
- 35 histiocyte infiltration as well as increased fatty change and associated vacuolation were reported in
- 36 several *high* confidence studies following chronic and/or subchronic oral exposures in rats and
- 37 mice. Evidence of cell death (necrosis and apoptosis) was reported in several *low* confidence
- 38 studies and is supported by short-term mechanistic studies (see Figure 3-19); however, these

- 1 endpoints were unchanged in higher confidence studies testing similar doses, for longer durations.
- 2 Histopathological effects were not observed in *low* and *medium* confidence studies following
- 3 inhalation exposures, potentially due to differences in target tissue dose across routes of exposure.
- 4 In general, female rodents appear to be more sensitive to Cr(VI) induced histological
- 5 changes (e.g., hepatic inflammation and fatty changes; <u>NTP (2008)</u>). However, few studies are
- 6 available in the database that evaluated both males and females; most study designs used either
- 7 male or female animals. In the 2 year rat study (<u>NTP, 2008</u>), chronic inflammation and histiocytic
- 8 inflammation and were significantly increased in females at lower doses than males (approximately
- 9 6–10 fold lower than in male animals).³⁴ Increased fatty changes were also seen in <u>female rats</u> at
- 10 doses as low as 0.94 mg/kg-day and were not significantly elevated in <u>males</u> at doses as high as
- 11 5.9 mg/kg-d. However, basophilic foci (often considered a preneoplastic effect), was noted in <u>male</u>
- 12 <u>rats</u> at doses as low as 0.77 mg/kg-d and was not observed in female rats, although male rats were
- 13 observed to have a much higher background rates of this lesion. For mice, which generally
- 14 appeared to be less sensitive than rats to hepatic effects with Cr(VI) exposure, statistically
- 15 significant increases in chronic inflammation and histiocytic infiltration were seen in female, but
- 16 not male mice (<u>NTP, 2008</u>).³⁵

³⁴Inflammation: click to see rat data in <u>females</u> and <u>males</u> in HAWC.

Infiltration: click to see rat data in <u>females</u> and <u>males</u> in HAWC.

³⁵Inflammation: click to see mouse data in <u>females</u> and <u>males</u> in HAWC. Infiltration: click to see mouse data in <u>females</u> and <u>males</u> in HAWC.



Figure 3-19. Hepatic effects of oral Cr(VI) exposure in animals (histopathology). <u>Click to see an interactive graphic</u>.

1 Clinical Chemistry

2 Many studies have examined serum indicators that are potentially informative for

3 predicting hepatotoxicity following exposure to Cr(VI) (see Figure 3-20). The most commonly

4 reported indicators included ALT, AST, ALP, and sorbitol dehydrogenase (SDH). ALT, in particular,

5 is found abundantly in the cytosol of the hepatocyte, thus, in the case of hepatocellular injury,

6 necrosis, or reparative activity, ALT is released into the bloodstream (Kim et al., 2008; Boone et al.,

7 <u>2005</u>). Several studies by the oral route reported statistically significant increases in serum

8 enzymes; however, no statistically or biologically significant increases in serum enzyme activities

9 were observed in the available inhalation studies (<u>Kim et al., 2004</u>; <u>Glaser et al., 1986</u>; <u>Glaser et al.</u>,

10 <u>1985</u>).

Statistically significant increases in ALT were reported in most of the studies in rats that
 measured this enzyme; increases ≥100% of the control mean were reported in approximately half

of these studies (Elshazly et al., 2016; NTP, 2008, 2007; Rafael et al., 2007; Acharva et al., 2001; 1 2 Chopra et al., 1996). An increase in ALT of >100% (of the control mean) generally raises concern for 3 hepatic injury (EMEA, 2008; Boone et al., 2005) and is considered biologically relevant. Biologically 4 significant increases in ALT (>100%) were observed across studies in F344 and Wistar rats that 5 were exposed to Cr(VI) for durations ranging from three months to two years at doses as low as 1– 6 2 mg/kg-day (NTP, 2008, 2007; Acharya et al., 2001; Chopra et al., 1996). ALT was also statistically 7 significantly elevated in some strains of mice following three months of exposure; however, these 8 increases were smaller in magnitude (<100% of control) (<u>NTP, 2007</u>). Click here to see the 9 magnitude of ALT changes in HAWC for NTP (2008, 2007). 10 Statistically significant increases in AST were also observed across rat studies (of various 11 subchronic durations), with the magnitude of increase ranging from 60–113% above control mean 12 (Navya et al., 2017a; Krim et al., 2013; Soudani et al., 2013; Acharya et al., 2001; Chopra et al., 1996; 13 Meenakshi et al., 1989). However, many studies in the evidence base did not measure AST, 14 including the *high* confidence NTP bioassays. AST is considered a less specific and sensitive 15 indicator of hepatocellular injury than ALT (EMEA, 2008; Boone et al., 2005). 16 Increases in ALP, an indication of hepatobiliary damage (Boone et al., 2005), were less 17 consistent across the evidence base, with some studies noting significant increases and other 18 studies noting decreases in ALP. Several *high* confidence studies reported small (10–31%) but 19 statistically significant decreases in ALP in F344 rats (NTP, 2008, 2007) and in one strain of male 20 mice (NTP, 2007). However, decreases in ALP are not seen as a reflection of hepatobiliary toxicity, 21 but are thought to be related to decreased food consumption (Traylos et al., 1996) or conditions 22 including malnutrition, mineral deficiencies, and anemia (Lum, 1995), a finding noted in the NTP 23 studies (2008, 2007). Four *medium* or *low* confidence studies in rats found statistically significant 24 increases in ALP of 59–165% (Navya et al., 2017a; Elshazly et al., 2016; Krim et al., 2013; Chopra et 25 al., 1996). An increase in ALP was noted in male Wistar rats exposed to 5.3–10.6 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day 26 for 28–30 days (Navya et al., 2017a; Krim et al., 2013) and in female Wistar rats treated with 1.4 mg 27 Cr(VI)/kg-day for 5.5 months (Chopra et al., 1996). No change relative to control was seen in male 28 Wistar rats exposed to 1.4 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day for 5.5 months (Acharya et al., 2001). 29 Sorbitol dehydrogenase (SDH), considered to be a supplemental indicator of hepatotoxicity 30 (Boone et al., 2005), was evaluated in two NTP studies (NTP, 2008, 2007). NTP reported 31 statistically significant increases in SDH of 77–458% compared to controls in F344 male and female 32 rats exposed to ≥ 1.7 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day for 3 months (NTP, 2007). Changes in SDH, in male rats only, 33 were also observed in a 2-year NTP study conducted in the same rat strain that examined clinical 34 chemistry endpoints at 3, 6, and 12 months (<u>NTP, 2008</u>). This study found more muted responses 35 than the 3-month study (<u>NTP, 2007</u>), with statistically increased levels of SDH (24–69%) in the top 36 two dose groups at the 6-month time point, but not at the 3- or 12-month time points (<u>NTP, 2008</u>). 37 In mice, small but statistically significant decreases in SDH were observed in two strains of mice; 38 however, decreases in SDH are not indicative of liver damage (NTP, 2007).

2 exposed to Cr(VI), glycogen depletion was noted in two strains of male mice (NTP, 2007) and in two 3 related studies in male and female Wistar rats (Acharya et al., 2001; Chopra et al., 1996). In NTP 4 (2007), two strains of mice examined histologically showed glycogen depletion at doses \geq 5.2 mg 5 Cr(VI)/kg-day (B6C3F1) and ≥ 2.8 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day (*am3*-C57BL/6) but no glycogen depletion was 6 found in exposed BALB/c mice (NTP, 2007). Acharya et al. (2001) and Chopra et al. (1996) also 7 noted statistically significant decreased liver glycogen in rats exposed at 1.4 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day (the 8 only dose tested) for 5.5 months. Hepatic glycogen levels are also dependent on caloric intake. NTP 9 [2007] noted that the glycogen depletion was likely a result of depressed food consumption, often 10 observed when water consumption is decreased, as it was at the high dose in this study; however, 11 food consumption data was not reported. 12 Overall, significant increases in serum markers of liver damage were reported in several 13 high and medium confidence oral exposure studies. Generally consistent elevations of ALT and AST 14 were seen across multiple well-conducted studies in both rats and mice, with the magnitude of 15 change in ALT considered to be biologically significant and a specific indication of liver damage.

Hepatic glycogen levels may be affected by exposure to hepatotoxic chemicals. In animals

- 16 Changes to ALP and SDH were inconsistent across the evidence base and the biological significance
- 17 of decreased glycogen observed in several studies is difficult to interpret. No effects on serum
- 18 markers of liver damage were reported following inhalation exposures.

1

Endpoint	Study Name	Animal Description	Observation Time	no change	significant incre	ease 💙 Signi	ficant deci	rease
Alanine Aminotransferase (ALT)	Elshazly et al. (2016)	Rat, Sprague-Dawley (ି)	6 months	A				
	Krim et al. (2013)	Rat, Albino Wistar (♂)	30 days 🔶					
	NTP (2007)	Rat, F344/N (්)	90 days		A			
		Rat, F344/N (♀)	90 days 🔶		A			
		Mouse, B6C3F1 (්)	90 days 🔶	• • ••				
		Mouse, BALB/c (්)	90 days 🔶 🗕					
		Mouse, C57BL/6 (්)	90 days 🔶 🗕	• • •				
	NTP (2008)	Rat, F344/N (♂)	6 months	<u> </u>				
			12 months	<u> </u>				
			90 days	<u> </u>				
	Navya etal. (2017)	Rat, Albino Wistar (♂)	28 days				L	
	Rafael et al. (2007)	Rat, Wistar (ి)	10 weeks	A				
	Wang et al. (2015)	Rat, Sprague-Dawley (ି)	4 weeks	•	•			
Alkaline Phosphatase (ALP)	Elshazly et al. (2016)	Rat, Sprague-Dawley (්)	6 months	A -				1
	Krim et al. (2013)	Rat, Albino Wistar (♂)	30 days 🔶					
	NTP (2007)	Rat, F344/N (♂)	90 days 🕂 🗸					
		Rat, F344/N (♀)	90 days					
		Mouse, B6C3F1 (්)	90 days 🔶					
		Mouse, BALB/c (්)	90 days	• • • •				
		Mouse, C57BL/6 (්)	90 days	• • • •				
	NTP (2008)	Rat, F344/N (්)	6 months	<u> </u>				
			12 months	<u> </u>				
			90 days	<u> </u>				
	Navya etal. (2017)	Rat, Albino Wistar (♂)	28 days				N	
	Rafael et al. (2007)	Rat, Wistar (ႆ)	10 weeks	•				
Aspartate Aminotransferase (AST)	Krim et al. (2013)	Rat, Albino Wistar (ି)	30 days					
	Navya etal. (2017)	Rat, Albino Wistar (♂)	28 days				N	
	Wang et al. (2015)	Rat, Sprague-Dawley (♂)	4 weeks	•	A			
Sorbitol dehydrogenase (SDH)	NTP (2007)	Rat, F344/N (♂)	90 days		A			
		Rat, F344/N (♀)	90 days •		A			
		Mouse, B6C3F1 (්)	90 days					
		Mouse, BALB/c (්)	90 days 🔶	• • •				
		Mouse, C57BL/6 (්)	90 days 🔶 🗸	∕ ∕ →				
	NTP (2008)	Rat, F344/N (♂)	6 months	.— A				
			12 months	•				
			90 days	•				
			0	5 10	15 20 mg/kg-day	25 3	0 35	4(



1 Liver weight

2 Several studies reported statistically significant changes (both increases and decreases) in 3 absolute and relative liver weight (see Figure 3-21) following short-term or subchronic oral 4 exposures; liver weight was not measured in the 2-year NTP (2008) bioassay. Liver weight relative 5 to body weight has been shown to be more informative in the evaluation of liver toxicity, as 6 compared to absolute liver weight, especially when changes in body weight are observed (Bailey et 7 al., 2004). Therefore, this discussion focuses on changes in relative liver weight where available. 8 In the only high confidence study in rats, relative liver weights were decreased by about 9 10% in F344 males exposed to Cr(VI) in drinking water for three months in the two highest dose 10 groups (11.2 and 20.9 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day) compared with control values; no significant liver weight changes were found in any female exposed group (NTP, 2007). Relative liver weight was 11 12 substantially increased (>twofold) in female Wistar rats exposed to 1.4 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day in 13 drinking water for 22 weeks in a low confidence study (Chopra et al., 1996). A shorter duration 14 *medium* confidence study (4 weeks) in male Sprague-Dawley rats at doses up to 21 mg 15 Cr(VI)/kg-day reported no change in liver weight (Wang et al., 2015). 16 In mice, several *high* confidence experiments conducted by NTP across three different 17 strains observed a consistent pattern of absolute, but not relative, liver weight changes in high dose 18 animals (9–30 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day) exposed to Cr(VI) through drinking water for about 3 months. 19 Statistically significant decreases in absolute liver weights, but not relative liver weight, were 20 observed in B6C3F1, BALB/c and am3-C57BL/6 mice (NTP. 2008, 2007). However, study authors 21 reported that changes in absolute liver weight in these studies were correlated with decreased body weights seen at higher doses (NTP, 2008, 2007). Several older NTP studies in BALB/c mice 22 23 did not measure liver weight (<u>NTP, 1997, 1996a</u>). Regarding inhalation exposure, no changes in relative liver weight were observed in two 24 25 90-day rat studies at concentrations of 0.2 mg Cr(VI)/m³ (<u>Glaser et al., 1985</u>) or 1.25 mg Cr(VI)/m³ 26 (Kim et al., 2004); however, an 18-month study at concentrations of up to 0.1 mg $Cr(VI)/m^3$ 27 observed a statistically significant increase (13.5%) in relative liver weight (<u>Glaser et al., 1986</u>). 28 Overall, inconsistent findings were observed for relative liver weight changes in *high* and 29 *medium* confidence oral exposure and *low* confidence inhalation studies, with decreases in relative 30 liver weight observed in *high* confidence studies, and evidence for increased liver weight primarily

31 limited to the *low* confidence studies.



Figure 3-21. Hepatic effects of oral Cr(VI) exposure in animals (relative liver weight). <u>Click to see an interactive graphic</u>.

1 3.2.4.3. *Mechanistic Evidence*

2 The mechanistic data for liver toxicity indicates that several key events contribute to the 3 hepatic effects observed in humans and animals. Exposure to Cr(VI) may cause oxidative and 4 endoplasmic reticulum stress and mitochondrial dysfunction. These events can lead to 5 inflammation and apoptosis, which can account for histopathological and serum indicators of liver 6 injury seen in animals. In vivo experiments in rodents report that ingested and (to a lesser extent) 7 inhaled Cr(VI) can accumulate in the liver (<u>lin et al., 2014; Cheng et al., 2000</u>), demonstrating the 8 metal can reach the target tissue and further supporting the biological plausibility for Cr(VI)-9 induced liver toxicity. A pharmacokinetic study by O'Flaherty and Radike (1991) demonstrated that following inhalation or oral exposure to nearly equivalent target absorbed doses of Cr(VI), oral 10 exposure resulted in liver concentrations that were 1-2 orders of magnitude higher than those 11 12 from inhalation exposure (See Appendix C.1.2). As a result, the extent of hepatotoxicity would be 13 expected to differ by route of exposure. 14 A large body of mechanistic information (125 studies) exists to inform the potential 15 hepatotoxicity of Cr(VI) (see Appendix C.2.3). Therefore, studies which are more informative for

chronic human exposure were prioritized for further analysis and interpretation. These included
mammalian studies that focused on exposure routes more relevant to humans (e.g., oral and
inhalation studies), as well as repeat dose studies of longer durations (≥28 days). Shorter duration
studies utilizing oral and inhalation routes of administration and in vitro studies in human cell lines
also provided insight into biological plausibility and human relevance of the observed mechanisms.

Oral repeat dose studies provide support for oxidative stress, mitochondrial damage,
 inflammation, and apoptosis as mechanisms of Cr(VI)-induced liver effects. A 36-day dietary study
 in male mice receiving 1 and 4 mg/kg/K₂Cr₂O₇-day (0.35 and 1.41 mg/kg-d Cr[VI]) reported

24 significant increases in hepatic lipid peroxidation and other markers of ROS-related stress (<u>Jin et al.</u>,

25 <u>2014</u>), similar to a 10 week gavage study in rabbits receiving 5 mg/kg-day (<u>El-Demerdash et al.</u>,

26 <u>2006</u>). <u>Rafael et al. (2007</u>) described immunohistochemical evidence for increased expression of

27 Caspase-3, a marker for apoptosis in Wistar rats exposed to approximately 3 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day for

28 10 weeks. A 28-day study in male rats receiving 30 mg/kg/K₂Cr₂O₇-day (10.6 mg/kg-d Cr[VI]) by

29 gavage (<u>Navya et al., 2017a; Navya et al., 2017b</u>) also reported increases in lipid peroxidation and

decreased SOD, CAT, and GST activity, concurrent with increases in serum indicators of liver

31 toxicity (ALT, AST, and ALP) and histological changes in the liver (described as feathery

32 degeneration). These effects were concurrent with the upregulation of some genes involved in

33 oxidative stress, inflammation, and apoptosis, such as TNF-α, MAPK, Atf-1, GADD-45, Bax, and

34 Caspase-1, while anti-apoptotic genes, including Bcl-2 and OGG-1, were downregulated (<u>Navya et</u>

35 al., 2017a; Navya et al., 2017b). Ninety- and 120-day studies in rats exposed to Na₂Cr₂O₇ (3.97 mg

36 Cr(VI)/kg-day and 0.99 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day, respectively) reported lipid peroxidation in hepatic

37 mitochondria and microsomes accompanied by increased urinary excretion of metabolites

38 indicative of lipid peroxidation such as MDA (<u>Bagchi et al., 1997</u>; <u>Bagchi et al., 1995a</u>).

1 Oral studies in rats and mice of shorter, acute durations provide further support for a mode 2 of action for Cr(VI)-induced liver effects involving oxidative stress and apoptosis. Similar to longer 3 term repeat dose studies, shorter term and single-dose studies report increased chromium content 4 in the liver, increased lipid peroxidation and ALT and AST, free radical production, indicators of 5 inflammation, upregulation of pro-apoptotic genes and proteins, and down-regulation of anti-6 apoptotic genes and proteins in liver tissue (Zhong et al., 2017c; Wang et al., 2010c; Bagchi et al., 7 2002; Bagchi et al., 2001; Bagchi et al., 2000; Bagchi et al., 1995b; Kumar and Rana, 1982). 8 In vitro studies in human cell lines provide additional support for the biological plausibility 9 of these liver toxicity mechanisms in humans. Human liver carcinoma cell lines show increases in 10 ROS production and MDA at various concentrations as well as effects on antioxidant enzymes and 11 mitochondrial function (Zhong et al., 2017a; Zeng et al., 2013; Patlolla et al., 2009). Similar results 12 were observed in human fetal hepatocytes including increased mitochondrial stress, ER stress-13 related mechanisms, and the activation of apoptotic and senescence signaling cascades (Liang et al., 14 2019; Xiao et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019; Liang et al., 2018a; Liang et al., 2018b; Yi et al., 2017; 15 Zhang et al., 2017; Zhong et al., 2017b; Zhong et al., 2017c; Zhang et al., 2016; Xiao et al., 2014; Xie 16 et al., 2014; Xiao et al., 2012a; Xiao et al., 2012b; Yuan et al., 2012b; Yuan et al., 2012a). In vitro 17 study results also support the upregulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines and signaling molecules 18 such as NF-kB, TNF- α , LBT4, and IL1 β (Zhong et al., 2017c; Yi et al., 2016). 19 Collectively, the data indicate oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, inflammation, 20 and apoptosis as possible interconnected mechanisms for liver toxicity. The toxicological evidence 21 in animals taken together with mechanistic evidence, particularly data from oral, in vivo studies

suggest a possible MOA of Cr(VI)-induced liver toxicity involving the production of free radicals and reactive intermediates through intracellular Cr(VI) reduction. In this possible MOA, the production of these reactive species alters antioxidant enzyme activity and stresses the endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondria, triggering an apoptotic signaling cascade. Oxidative stress may lead to liver inflammation and the upregulation of genes involved in an inflammatory response.

27 3.2.4.4.

3.2.4.4. Integration of Evidence

28 Overall, the available **evidence indicates** that Cr(VI) likely causes hepatic effects in humans 29 under relevant exposure circumstances. This conclusion is based on studies in animals that 30 observed hepatic effects with drinking water exposure levels as low as 0.24–1.7 mg/kg-day Cr(VI). 31 The human evidence for Cr(VI)-induced liver effects is limited in terms of number and confidence of 32 studies. However, two of the available three studies (one occupational and one general population 33 study) provide an indication of exposure-related alterations of liver clinical chemistry (Sazakli et al., 2014; Saraswathv and Usharani, 2007). Given the plausible support for these findings from in vitro 34 35 studies of human hepatic cells, the human evidence is interpreted to provide *slight* evidence of 36 hepatic toxicity associated with hexavalent chromium. Integrated evidence of the hepatic effects of 37 Cr(VI) exposure from human, animal, and mechanistic studies is summarized in an evidence profile 38 table, Table 3-26.

1 The available animal studies provide *moderate* evidence for liver effects in rats and mice 2 orally exposed to Cr(VI) compounds, based primarily on elevated serum enzymes suggestive of 3 liver toxicity, as well as histological evidence of inflammatory effects and fatty changes in the liver 4 that are supported by a large and coherent database of in vivo mechanistic studies. This conclusion 5 is specific to oral exposure to Cr(VI) as few, lower confidence inhalation studies evaluated liver 6 toxicity and were generally null, possibly owing to the known differences in pharmacokinetics 7 across routes. 8 Elevations of ALT and AST were seen across the oral evidence base, with biologically 9 significant elevations in ALT (>100%) seen in multiple studies. ALT in particular is considered a sensitive and specific indicator of liver injury (Kim et al., 2008; Boone et al., 2005). Increased ALT is 10 11 roughly correlated with the degree of hepatic inflammation, with patients with high ALT levels 12 tending to have more severe inflammation in the liver than those with normal ALT values (Kim et 13 <u>al., 2008</u>). 14 Chronic inflammation in the liver is a concern as it can lead to liver fibrosis (Koyama and 15 Brenner, 2017). Dose-dependent increases in chronic inflammation were most evident in female 16 F344 rats exposed for three months to two years (<u>NTP, 2008</u>). Lesser increases in chronic 17 inflammation were also seen in male F344 rats and female (but not male) B6C3F1 mice exposed for 18 two years, although background incidence of this lesion was high (NTP, 2008, 2007). 19 Fatty change (steatosis) is a common pathological change associated with liver disease, 20 often leading to, or coinciding with, inflammation. If the insult responsible for steatosis persists, 21 more severe pathologies can develop, including fibrosis and cirrhosis (Kaiser et al., 2012; Day and 22 <u>James, 1998</u>). Histological findings of vacuolation and fatty changes were also observed in several 23 studies (NTP, 2008; Acharya et al., 2001; Chopra et al., 1996; NTP, 1996a). Fatty changes are 24 thought to be mediated by impaired mitochondrial function, which was observed in several studies 25 of Cr(VI) exposure to human hepatic cells in vitro (Yi et al., 2017; Zhong et al., 2017c; Zhong et al., 26 2017a; Zhang et al., 2016; Xiao et al., 2014; Xie et al., 2014; Zeng et al., 2013; Xiao et al., 2012a; Yuan 27 et al., 2012a; Patlolla et al., 2009). 28 Severe histological changes such as necrosis and fibrosis were not observed in the 29 high-confidence NTP three-month or two-year studies in F344 rats and B6C3F1 mice (NTP, 2008, 30 2007). However, several lower confidence subchronic studies in rats noted increased evidence of 31 apoptosis or necrosis (Elshazly et al., 2016; Rafael et al., 2007; Acharya et al., 2001; Chopra et al., 32 **1996**). These effects are supported by mechanistic evidence that suggests a possible MOA of 33 Cr(VI)-induced liver toxicity involving the production of free radicals and reactive intermediates 34 through intracellular Cr(VI) reduction resulting in oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, inflammation, and apoptosis. Taken together, the serum enzyme and histopathology data from 35 36 human, animal, and in vitro studies support biologically significant changes in the livers of rodents 37 orally exposed to Cr(VI).

	Evi	dence summary and	interpretation		
Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
Evidence from studies of e	exposed humans				
CLINICAL CHEMISTRY Low confidence: <u>Sazakli et al. (2014)</u> <u>Saraswathy and Usharani</u> (2007) Lin et al. (1994)	Statistically significant changes in at least one marker of liver dysfunction (ALT, AST, ALP, bilirubin or total protein) were reported in 2 out of 3 <i>low</i> confidence studies, though the direction of the associations was not coherent for all endpoints across studies (i.e., increases in ALT, AST, ALP, and bilirubin would be expected to accompany decreases in total protein, but this was not consistently the case).	• Exposure-response gradient between exposure groups in one study for ALT, AST, ALP, and TP	• Lack of coherence of results across endpoints in some studies	 ⊕⊙⊙ Slight Although two studies reported changes in clinical chemistry markers of liver dysfunction, all studies were low confidence. 	 ⊕ ⊕ ⊙ The evidence indicates that hexavalent chromium is likely to cause liver toxicity in humans. Effects on clinical chemistry were observed in both human and animal studies.
Evidence from animal stud	lies				
HISTOPATHOLOGY (Oral) High confidence: <u>NTP (1996a)</u> <u>NTP (1997)</u> <u>NTP (2007)</u> <u>NTP (2008)</u>	Increased chronic inflammation and histiocyte infiltration with subchronic and chronic exposures in male and female rats and mice Increased fatty change and vacuolation	 Mostly <i>high</i> and <i>medium</i> confidence studies Consistent findings regarding inflammatory changes and fatty changes/vacuolation across species and sexes 		 ⊕ ⊕ ⊙ Moderate Findings of histopathological changes (particularly inflammation-related effects and fatty changes/vacuolation) 	<i>Moderate</i> evidence in rats and mice shows consistent findings of elevated liver enzymes indicative of hepatocellular damage and changes in liver architecture following oral exposure. Mechanistic findings in animals provide evidence supportive of histopathological endpoints in the liver.

Table 3-26. Evidence profile table for hepatic effects

	Evi	dence summary and	interpretation		
Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
Medium confidence: <u>Wang et al. (2015)</u> <u>Elshazly et al. (2016)</u> Low confidence: <u>Acharya et al. (2001)</u> <u>Chopra et al. (1996)</u> <u>Rafael et al. (2007)</u>	No increase in necrosis in <i>high</i> confidence studies (apoptosis not evaluated); however, lower confidence studies and numerous mechanistic studies have indicated an increase in apoptosis and markers of apoptosis.	 Coherence with increases in ALT and AST Mechanistic evidence provides biological plausibility 		coupled with significant increases in ALT and AST are considered to be adverse and a specific indication of liver injury.	Oxidative stress was identified as a potential mechanism for liver effects in multiple animal species. This mechanism is presumed relevant to humans.
HISTOPATHOLOGY (Inhalation) Medium confidence: <u>Kim et al. (2004)</u> Low confidence: <u>Glaser et al. (1985)</u>	No histological changes in rats treated for 12 weeks or 18 months			Hepatic effects were generally not observed following inhalation exposures.	Hepatic effects were inconsistent following inhalation. Because of the first-pass effect, the liver may be affected more severely by Cr(VI) exposure via the oral route as compared to the inhalation route.
CLINICAL CHEMISTRY (Oral) High confidence: <u>Krim et al. (2013)</u> <u>NTP (2007)</u> <u>NTP (2008)</u>	Statistically significant elevations of ALT and AST seen across studies	 Consistent increases in ALT and AST in High and Medium confidence studies Magnitude of effect- large effect size for ALT and AST 	 Concerns for bias and sensitivity in all studies Inconsistent findings 		

Evidence summary and interpretation							
Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment		
Medium confidence: <u>Navya et al. (2017a)</u> <u>Rafael et al. (2007)</u> <u>Wang et al. (2015)</u> <u>Elshazly et al. (2016)</u> Low confidence: <u>Acharya et al. (2001)</u> <u>Chopra et al. (1996)</u> <u>Meenakshi et al. (1989)</u>	Biologically significant increases in ALT (>100%) were observed across studies and at doses as low as 1–2 mg/kg-day Changes to ALP were less consistent across the evidence base	 Dose-response gradient within studies Coherence with histopathology (inflammation and fatty changes) Mechanistic evidence of oxidative stress provides biological plausibility 					
CLINICAL CHEMISTRY (Inhalation) Medium confidence: <u>Kim et al. (2004)</u> Low confidence: <u>Glaser et al. (1985)</u> <u>Glaser et al. (1986)</u>	No significant changes in enzymatic markers of liver damage (ALT, AST, ALP, SDH) following inhalation						

Evidence summary and interpretation						
Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment	
ORGAN WEIGHT (Oral) High confidence: <u>NTP (2007)</u> <u>NTP (1997)</u> Medium confidence: <u>Chopra et al. (1996)</u> <u>Wang et al. (2015)</u>	Inconsistent findings for relative liver weight changes in <i>high</i> and <i>medium</i> confidence oral studies, with no change or decreased relative liver weight observed in high and medium confidence studies and evidence for increased relative liver weight primarily limited to <i>low</i> confidence studies. Increases in absolute liver weight likely correlated with body weight decreases seen at high doses.		 Inconsistent findings in relative liver weight across studies of varying confidence levels. 			
ORGAN WEIGHT (Inhalation) Medium confidence: <u>Kim et al. (2004)</u> Low confidence: <u>Glaser et al. (1985)</u> <u>Glaser et al. (1986)</u>	Changes in liver weight were inconsistent following inhalation exposures. One 18 month study observed a statistically and biologically significant (>10%) increase in relative liver weight (<u>Glaser et al., 1986</u>).					

Evidence summary and interpretation							
Mechanistic evidence	Mechanistic evidence						
Biological events or pathways	Summary of key findings and interpretations	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment				
Oxidative and endoplasmic reticulum stress	 Interpretation: Consistent in vivo and in vitro evidence of Cr(VI)-induced oxidative and ER stress evidenced by increased lipid peroxidation, ROS, and decreased antioxidant enzyme activity concurrent with biomarkers of liver injury. <i>Key findings:</i> Consistent evidence of significant increases in lipid peroxidation in liver tissue in chronic, subchronic and acute dose animal studies (Navya et al., 2017a; Zhong et al., 2017c; Jin et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2010c; Bagchi et al., 2002; Bagchi et al., 2001; Bagchi et al., 2000; Bagchi et al., 1997; Bagchi et al., 1995b; Bagchi et al., 1995a; Kumar and Rana, 1982) Increased oxidative stress (decreased antioxidant enzyme activity) concurrent with serum biomarkers of liver injury (increased ALT, AST, and ALP) in a 28-day study in rats (Navya et al., 2017a) Increased oxidative stress (lipid peroxidation, free radical production) concurrent with serum biomarkers of liver injury (increased ALT and AST) in liver tissue in short-term and acute oral exposure studies in rats and mice (Zhong et al., 2017c; Wang et al., 2010c; Bagchi et al., 2002; Bagchi et al., 2000; Bagchi et al., 2000; Bagchi et al., 2000; Bagchi et al., 2001; Bagchi et al., 2001; Bagchi et al., 2002; Bagchi et al., 2001; Bagchi et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2010c; Bagchi et al., 2002; Bagchi et al., 2001; Bagchi et al., 2000; Bagchi et al., 2002; Bagchi et al., 2001; Bagchi et al., 2000; Bagchi et al., 2002; Bagchi et al., 2001; Bagchi et al., 2000; Bagchi et al., 2002; Bagchi et al., 2001; Bagchi et al., 2000; Bagchi et al., 2002; Bagchi et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2010c; Bagchi et al., 2002; Bagchi et al., 2001; Bagchi et al., 2000; Bagchi et al., 2002; Bagchi et al., 2001; Bagchi et al., 2000; Bagchi et al., 2002; Bagchi et al., 2001; Bagchi et al., 2000; Bagchi et al., 2002; Bagchi et al., 2017; Vang et al., 2019) In vitro evidence of increased ROS production and MDA and effects on antioxidant enzymes in human liver carcinoma cell lines (Zhong et al., 2	Biologically plausible, consistent, coherent observations of oxidative stress and endoplasmic reticulum stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, inflammation, and apoptosis concurrent with apical observations of liver toxicity following (oral) exposures to Cr(VI) in animals, supported by in vitro evidence in human cells.					

Evidence summary and interpretation							
Mechanistic evidence	Mechanistic evidence						
Biological events or pathways	Summary of key findings and interpretations	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment				
Mitochondrial dysfunction	<i>Interpretation:</i> In vitro evidence in human liver cell lines of Cr(VI)-induced mitochondrial dysfunction.						
	 Key findings: In vitro evidence of effects on mitochondrial function in human liver carcinoma cell lines (<u>Zhong et al., 2017a; Zeng et al., 2013; Patlolla et al., 2009</u>) In vitro evidence of increased mitochondrial stress in human fetal hepatocytes (<u>Yi et al., 2017; Zhong et al., 2017c; Zhang et al., 2016; Xiao et al., 2014; Xie et al., 2014; Xiao et al., 2012a; Yuan et al., 2012a</u>) 						
Inflammation	 Interpretation: Consistent in vivo and in vitro evidence of Cr(VI)-induced liver inflammation. Key findings: Increased indicators of inflammation concurrent with serum biomarkers of liver injury (increased ALT and AST) in liver tissue in short-term and acute oral exposure studies in rats and mice (Zhong et al., 2017c; Wang et al., 2010c; Bagchi et al., 2002; Bagchi et al., 2001; Bagchi et al., 2000; Bagchi et al., 1995b; Kumar and Rana, 1982) In vitro evidence of the upregulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines and signaling molecules such as NF-kB, TNF-α, LBT4, and IL1β in human cells (Zhong et al., 2017c; Yi et al., 2016) 						

Evidence summary and interpretation					
Mechanistic evidence					
Biological events or pathways	Summary of key findings and interpretations	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment		
Apoptosis	 Interpretation: Cr(VI) alters protein and gene expression of biomarkers associated with apoptosis in vivo concurrent with liver injury. Key findings: Increased expression of caspase-3 and histological changes indicative of apoptosis in a 10-week rat study (Rafael et al., 2007) Upregulated transcription of pro-apoptotic genes and downregulated transcription of anti-apoptotic genes concurrent with serum biomarkers of liver injury (increased ALT, AST, and ALP) in a 28-d rat study (Navya et al., 2017a) Upregulation of pro-apoptotic genes and proteins and downregulation of anti-apoptotic genes and proteins and downregulation of anti-apoptotic genes and proteins concurrent with serum biomarkers of liver injury (increased ALT and AST) in liver tissue in short-term and acute oral exposure studies in rats and mice (Zhong et al., 2017c; Wang et al., 2010c; Bagchi et al., 2002; Bagchi et al., 2001; Bagchi et al., 2000; Bagchi et al., 1995b; Kumar and Rana, 1982) 				
	 In vitro evidence of the activation of apoptotic signaling cascades in human fetal hepatocytes (<u>Yi et al., 2017</u>; <u>Zhong et al., 2017</u>; <u>Zhang et al., 2016</u>; <u>Xiao et al., 2014</u>; <u>Xie et al., 2014</u>; <u>Xiao et al., 2012a</u>; <u>Yuan et al., 2012a</u>) 				

3.2.5. Hematologic effects

1 Hematology is a subgroup of clinical pathology concerned with morphology, physiology, 2 and pathology of blood and blood-forming tissues. Hematology parameters routinely measured 3 using blood tests such as complete blood count (CBC) and a clinical chemistry panel are described 4 in Table 3-27. A first tier diagnostic test typically measures three primary blood types: red blood 5 cells (RBCs), white blood cells, and platelets. These measures and other RBC indices are useful 6 indicators of blood pathology, including anemia and leukemia. RBCs carry oxygen throughout the 7 body, while white blood cells are involved in immune function (discussed in Section 3.2.6) and 8 platelets are involved in blood clotting. RBCs also carry most of the body's iron, which can be 9 indirectly measured in blood by measuring transferrin, a membrane-bound transporter of ferric 10 (Fe⁺³) iron, and total iron binding in blood. Hematology along with clinical chemistry measures (e.g., 11 blood proteins, enzymes, chemicals and waste products) and other general health status indicators 12 are useful for assessing overall health status, monitoring disease, and determining if follow-up 13 testing is needed. In humans, hematology and clinical chemistry test results are interpreted 14 according to reference range criteria to identify values outside of normal background ranges 15 (summarized in Table 3-27). 16 RBCs act as a sink for chromium in the blood. Cr(VI) is rapidly taken up by RBCs, where it is 17 reduced to Cr(III) and remains trapped for the lifetime of the cell (see Section 3.1 and Appendix C 18 for more details). After RBCs are broken down, the Cr(III) is released to systemic circulation and

- 19 may be absorbed by other tissues or excreted in urine. Because Cr(III) cannot readily cross cell
- 20 membranes, the RBC chromium level is commonly used as a biomarker for Cr(VI) exposure in
- 21 industrial settings (<u>Miksche and Lewalter, 1997</u>). The focus of this section is primarily on RBCs and
- 22 related components. Cr(VI) effects on white blood cell parameters are discussed in the context of
- the immune system in Section 3.2.6.

Table 3-27. Hematologic endpoints commonly evaluated in routine blood testing

Endpoint	Description	Human Reference Range ^a	Animal Reference Range
Hemoglobin (Hgb, g/dL)	Iron-containing oxygen-transport metalloprotein in RBCs	Male: 13.5 to 17.5 Female: 12.0 to 15.5	Male: 14.4 ± 0.91^{b} Female: 13.8 ± 1.09^{b} Male: 15.9 ± 1.0^{c} Female: 15.5 ± 1.0^{c}
Hematocrit (Hct)	Percentage (by volume) of the blood that consists of RBCs Hematocrit (%) = MCV × RBC / 10	Male: 38.3 to 48.6% Female: 35.5 to 44.9%	Male: 39.1 ± 2.7 ^b Female: 36.9 ± 2.96 ^b Male: 47.0 ± 3.1 ^c Female: 45.1 ± 2.8 ^c

Endpoint	Description	Human Reference Range ^a	Animal Reference Range
Red blood cell (RBC; erythrocyte) count	The most common blood cell responsible for systemic oxygen delivery. Expressed as number of RBCs per μL of blood	Male: 4.35 to 5.65 million Female: 3.92 to 5.13 million	Male: 8.01 ± 0.59 ^b Female: 7.03 ± 0.66 ^b Male: 8.91 ± 0.75.3 ^c Female: 8.17 SD 0.63 ^c
Reticulocytes	Immature non-nucleated RBCs containing residual RNA; indicates rate of new RBC production. The normal range depends on your level of hemoglobin. Hemoglobin is a protein in red blood cells that carries oxygen. The range is higher if hemoglobin is low, from bleeding or if red cells are destroyed.	0.5 to 2.5	Male: 2.0 ± 1.23 ^b Female: 1.6 ± 0.87 ^b Male rat 1.9 ± 0.9 ^c Female rat 1.8 ± 0.8 ^c
Mean corpuscular (cell) volume (MCV)	Average volume of the RBC MCV = hematocrit × 10 / RBC Low MCV: microcytic (smaller RBCs, possibly caused by iron deficiency and anemia); high MCV: macrocytic (larger RBCs, possibly caused by excess iron).	80–100 fl	Male: 51.3 ± 3.0 ^b Female: 52.6 ± 2.7 ^b
Mean cell hemoglobin (MCH)	Average weight of hemoglobin (Hb) in the RBC MCH = Hb × 10 / RBC, (g/dL) Hemoglobin concentration normalized as amount of hemoglobin per cell. High MCH: may indicate macrocytic anemia (large red blood cell volume leading to low Hb concentration), while low MCH may indicate other types of anemia (e.g., from iron deficiency).	Male: 13.5 to 17.5 Female: 12.0 to 15.5	Male: 19.0 ± 1.9 ^b Female: 19.8 ± 0.94 ^b
Mean cell hemoglobin concentration (MCHC)	Average concentration of Hb in the RBC volume MCHC = Hb × 100 / hematocrit (g/dL) Hemoglobin concentration normalized to red blood cell volume. Low MCHC: hypochromic (RBCs paler than normal); high MCHC: hyperchromic (RBCs more pigmented than normal)	33.4–35.5	

^aHuman reference range values from Mayo Clinic

^bReference ranges from CrI:COBSCD Sprague-Dawley rats aged <6 months, n = 324 (<u>Wolford et al., 1986</u>) ^cReference ranges from Sprague-Dawley rats aged 10–20 weeks old, n = 2235–2816 (<u>Matsuzawa et al., 1993</u>)

1 3.2.5.1. Human Evidence

2 <u>Study evaluation summary</u>

3

There are five studies that reported on the association between Cr(VI) exposure and

4 hematologic parameters pertaining to the erythron, specifically complete blood counts (CBC),

5 including RBC, hemoglobin, hematocrit, and platelets. Four studies were classified as *low*

6 confidence (Table 3-28). <u>Sazakli et al. (2014)</u> was limited due to exposure measurement; exposure

7 was estimated using water intake and historic water concentration records as well as hair and

8 blood concentrations. Correlations between these measures were low. It is likely that any exposure

- 1 misclassification would be nondifferential and therefore lower the precision of the effect estimates
- 2 but is unlikely to bias the results away from the null. <u>Sharma et al. (2012)</u> was limited in most
- 3 domains, and exposure was based on residence in a geographic area with contaminated
- 4 groundwater, which does not distinguish the heterogeneity of exposure across exposed
- 5 participants. Lacerda et al. (2019) was limited due to potential for selection bias and confounding
- 6 and <u>Song et al. (2012)</u> was limited due to potential for confounding. The remaining study (<u>Khan et</u>
- 7 <u>al., 2013</u>) was classified as *uninformative* because exposure was based on tannery work, and there
- 8 was insufficient information provided on the specific tanning processes used at the facility³⁶.

Table 3-28. Summary of human studies for Cr(VI) hematologic effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome. Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales.

Author (voor)	Inductor	Location	Exposure	Study	Clinical
Author (year)	maustry	Location	wieasurement	Design	Chemistry
Lacerda et al. (2019)	Chrome-	Brazil	Exposure group	Cross-	L
	plating workers		validated by urine, blood	sectional	
			sampling		
Sazakli et al. (2014)	General	Greece	Urine, Hair, Modeled	Cross-	L
	population		lifetime chromium	sectional	
	P - P		exposure dose		
Sharma et al. (2012)	General	India	Residence in geographic	Cross-	L
	population		area with contaminated	sectional	
			groundwater vs. control		
Song et al. (2012)	Chromate	China	Work category validated	Cross-	L
	production		by air. blood sampling	sectional	
	workers		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Khan et al. (2013)	Tannery	Pakistan	Blood, Urine, Work	Cross-	U
			category	sectional	

9 <u>Synthesis of evidence in humans</u>

10 One of the included *low* confidence studies (Sazakli et al., 2014) reported statistically 11 significant decreases in hemoglobin and hematocrit (Table 3-29), inconsistent with another *low* 12 confidence study that reported statistically significant increases in the same endpoints (Lacerda et 13 al., 2019). Song et al. (2012) reported no association with hemoglobin but did not report on 14 hematocrit. Another low confidence study reported higher red blood cell counts and lower mean 15 corpuscular volume (MCV) in exposed participants, stratified by sex (all statistically significant 16 except MCV in women) (Sharma et al., 2012). None of the other studies reported an association 17 between Cr(VI) exposure and RBC count, and none examined associations with diagnosed anemia 18 or dichotomized hematologic parameters as the outcome. Platelet findings were also inconsistent. ³⁶Leather tanning processes that can potentially lead to Cr(VI) exposure include: 1) use of a two-bath process,

³⁶Leather tanning processes that can potentially lead to Cr(VI) exposure include: 1) use of a two-bath process, 2) on-site production of tanning liquors, and 3) leather finishing steps that involve Cr(VI) (e.g., use of Cr(VI)-containing pigments) (<u>Shaw Environmental, 2006</u>). If these processes are not specified by the study, it cannot be determined whether exposure was to Cr(VI) or Cr(III).

- 1 <u>Sharma et al. (2012)</u> reported lower platelets in exposed participants, while <u>Sazakli et al. (2014)</u>
- 2 reported higher platelets with higher exposure, both statistically significant.

Reference, confidence	Population	Exposure comparison and effect estimate	RBC (10 ¹² /L)	Hemoglobin (g/dL)	Hematocrit (%)
<u>Lacerda et al.</u> (2019), low	Cross-sectional in Brazil, chrome- plating workers (n = 50) and controls (n = 50)	Means ± SD for chromium unexposed/ exposed	Unexposed: 5.34 ± 0.79 Exposed: 5.95 ± 0.90	Unexposed: 14.16 ± 0.40 Exposed: 15.70 ± 0.14*	Unexposed: 39.18 ± 0.49 Exposed: 43.30 ± 0.36*
<u>Sazakli et al.</u> (2014), low	Cross-sectional in Greece, general population; Two exposure groups (n = 237) and controls (n = 67)	Regression coefficients for calculated lifetime exposure dose and Cr in hair	Lifetime: 0.007 Hair: –0.09	Lifetime: –0.09* Hair: –0.06	Lifetime: -0.09* Hair: -0.1*
<u>Sharma et al.</u> (2012), low	Cross-sectional in India, general population with residence in contaminated area (n = 186) or not (n = 230)	Means ± SD for chromium unexposed/ exposed	Males Unexposed: 4.28 ± 0.69 Exposed: 5.55 ± 1.39* Females Unexposed: 3.89 ± 0.71 Exposed: 5.67 ± 1.26*	NR	NR
<u>Song et al. (2012)</u> , Iow	Cross-sectional in China, chromate production workers (n = 100) and controls (n = 80)	Means ± SD for chromium unexposed/ exposed	Unexposed: 4.7 ± 0.4 Exposed: 4.8 ± 0.8	Unexposed: 144.8 ± 12.6 Exposed: 148.8 ± 27.2	NR

Table 3-29. Associations between Cr(VI) and hematologic parameters in epidemiology studies

*p < 0.05. Shading indicates results supportive of an association between Cr(VI) and hematologic parameters in the direction of anemia (i.e., decrease in red blood cells, hemoglobin, and hematocrit). NR: not reported

Due to inconsistent results across *low* confidence studies, there is no clear evidence of an association between Cr(VI) exposure and hematologic effects in humans. There is not a clear explanation for the conflicting results in <u>Lacerda et al. (2019)</u> and <u>Sazakli et al. (2014)</u>, even when considering differences in route of exposure. The null findings in <u>Song et al. (2012)</u> may be due to reduced sensitivity, but this is a very limited evidence base in terms of number and confidence of studies so further exploration of patterns by exposure levels/analysis are not possible.

1 3.2.5.2. Animal Evidence

2 <u>Study evaluation summary</u>

3 Table 3-30 provides a summary of the animal toxicology studies considered in the 4 evaluation of the hematologic effects of Cr(VI). The available evidence included 14 studies 5 conducted in rats (three strains) and mice (three strains). Exposure durations and routes included 6 one chronic oral study (<u>NTP. 2008</u>), one subchronic oral study (<u>NTP. 2007</u>), seven oral 3–9 week 7 studies (<u>Wang et al., 2015; Krim et al., 2013; NTP, 2006a</u>, <u>b</u>, <u>2005</u>, <u>1996a</u>, <u>b</u>), one study conducted 8 using NTP's Reproductive Assessment by Continuous Breeding (RACB) protocol (NTP, 1997), and 9 four inhalation studies ranging from short-term to chronic exposure durations (Kim et al., 2004; 10 <u>Glaser et al., 1990; Glaser et al., 1986; Glaser et al., 1985)</u>. 11 Of the 15 included studies, 10 were considered *medium* or *high* confidence studies, and 12 included eight National Toxicology Program (NTP) studies with exposure durations ranging from 4 13 days to 12 months (Table 3-30). Three of the four inhalation studies and one of the 11 oral studies 14 that examined hematologic endpoints were considered *low* confidence mostly because of limited 15 reporting of study methods and/or results. Five additional studies with hematologic data were 16 judged *uninformative* based on critical deficiencies identified when the studies were evaluated 17 (i.e., Anwar et al. (1961) mixed animals of different breeds; Kumar and Barthwal (1991) did not use concurrent controls; Shrivastava et al. (2005a) lacked information on sex, number of mice, and 18 19 control group; and <u>Zabulyte et al. (2009)</u> and <u>Zabulyte et al. (2006)</u> had multiple deficiencies 20 including randomization procedures, lack of vehicle control, and others). Full study evaluation

21 details are available in <u>HAWC</u>.

Table 3-30. Summary of included studies for Cr(VI) hematologic effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome.^a Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales.

Author (year)	Species (strain)	Exposure design	Exposure route	Hematologic outcomes ^b
<u>NTP (1996a)</u>	Mouse (BALB/c)	3, 6, and 9 wk	Oral (Diet)	Н
<u>NTP (1996b)</u>	Rat (Sprague-Dawley)	3, 6, and 9 wk	Oral (Diet)	Н
<u>NTP (1997)</u>	Mouse (BALB/c)	Continuous breeding design	Oral (Diet)	Н
<u>NTP (2005)</u>	Mouse (B6C3F1), female	28 d	Oral (Drinking water)	Н

Author (year)	Species (strain)	Exposure design	Exposure route	Hematologic outcomes ^b
<u>NTP (2007)</u>	Rat (F344/N) Mouse (B6C3F1) Mouse (B6C3F1, BALB/ <i>c, am3-</i> C57BL/6), male—comparative toxicity study	90 d	Oral (Drinking water)	H
<u>NTP (2008)</u>	Rat (F344/N), male Mouse (B6C3F1), female	2 yr	Oral (Drinking water)	Н
<u>NTP (2006b)</u>	Rat (Sprague-Dawley), female	28 d	Oral (Drinking water)	М
<u>NTP (2006a)</u>	Rat (F344), female	28 d	Oral (Drinking water)	М
Krim et al. (2013)	Rat (Wistar), male	30 d	Oral (Gavage)	М
<u>Wang et al. (2015)</u>	Rat (Sprague-Dawley), male	28 d	Oral (Drinking water)	М
<u>Kim et al. (2004)</u>	Rat (Sprague-Dawley), male	90 d	Inhalation	М
<u>Glaser et al. (1985)</u>	Rat (Wistar), male	28 and 90 d	Inhalation	L
<u>Glaser et al. (1986)</u>	Rat (Wistar), male	18 months	Inhalation	L
<u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u>	Rat (Wistar), male	30 and 90 d	Inhalation	L
Samuel et al. (2012a)	Rat (Wistar), female	Pregnant dams, GD 9–21	Oral (Drinking water)	L

^aStudies in this table were ordered first by route of exposure, and then by confidence rating. Within a confidence rating, studies were ordered chronologically.

^bWithin each study, multiple hematologic outcomes (such as those in Table 3-27) were typically measured using analytical methods for complete blood counts. For this reason, multiple outcome sensitivity ratings are not presented.

1 <u>Synthesis of evidence in animals</u>

2

Evidence informing Cr(VI) effects on hematologic endpoints was available from several

3 (mostly short-term) *medium* and *high* confidence oral exposure studies (Table 3-30). There were

4 two *high* confidence studies, one subchronic (<u>NTP, 2007</u>) and one chronic (<u>NTP, 2008</u>) bioassay,

5 reporting hematologic outcomes in F344 rats and B6C3F1 mice that were useful for evaluating the

- 6 potential subchronic and lifetime hematologic effects of Cr(VI) exposure in humans. Both studies
- 7 are discussed below in detail and are summarized in HAWC in an interactive visualization that is
- 8 available by clicking the following link: <u>Cr(VI) Hematology (NTP)</u> and in Figure 3-22 below (note

- 1 that only observation times at 90 days and greater are presented).³⁷ Methodological considerations
- 2 for evaluating hematology findings in general included alterations in water intake, fasted/fed
- 3 status, lifestage, and sex. The findings were also considered along with available reference ranges to
- 4 assess the biological significance of the observed changes.

³⁷Older data from other *medium* and *high* confidence studies performed by the National Toxicology Program (<u>NTP, 2006a, b, 2005, 1997, 1996a, b</u>) are consistent with results by NTP (<u>2008, 2007</u>). Only the most recent NTP results are synthesized, because they provide data at a wide dose range for multiple species and strains, and also provide data from multiple timepoints within its 2-year study.
Endpoint Name	Study Name	Animal Description	Observation time	Cr(VI) Animal To	xicology Hematology Effects NTP	
Red blood cell count	NTP 2007	Rat, F344/N (Q)	90 days	• • • •		
		Rat, F344/N (0)	90 days	• • • •		
	NTP 2008	Mouse, B6C3F1 (Q)	90 days		A	
		Rat, F344/N (o*)	90 days	••• <u>A</u>	A	
		Mouse, B6C3F1 (Q)	12 months	••	A	
		Rat, F344/N (♂)	12 months			_
Hemoglobin (Hgb)	NTP 2007	Mouse, B6C3F1 (Q)	90 days	• • • •		
		Mouse, B6C3F1 (0)	90 days	• • • •	•	•
		Rat, F344/N (Q)	90 days	••• •		
		Rat, F344/N (0)	90 days	• • • 🔻	▼	
	NTP 2008	Rat, F344/N (0)	90 days	••• 🔻	▼	
			6 months	•••	—	
			12 months	•••	▼	
Hematocrit (Hct)	NTP 2007	Rat, F344/N (Q)	90 days	•••		$\mathbf{\nabla}$
		Rat, F344/N (0)	90 days	• • • •		$\mathbf{\nabla}$
	NTP 2008	Mouse, B6C3F1 (Q)	90 days	▲• •		
		Rat, F344/N (♂)	90 days	***	—	
		Mouse, B6C3F1 (Q)	12 months	•••	•	
		Rat, F344/N (0)	12 months	•••	•	
Mean cell volume (MCV)	NTP 2007	Mouse, B6C3F1 (Q)	90 days	• • V V	▼	$\mathbf{\nabla}$
		Mouse, B6C3F1 (0)	90 days	• 🔻 🗸 –		$\mathbf{\nabla}$
		Rat, F344/N (Q)	90 days	••▼ ▼		$\mathbf{\nabla}$
		Rat, F344/N (0)	90 days	• 🔻 🗸	▼	$\mathbf{\nabla}$
	NTP 2008	Mouse, B6C3F1 (Q)	90 days	•••	—	
		Rat, F344/N (♂)	90 days	• 🔻 🔻	—	
		Mouse, B6C3F1 (Q)	12 months	•••	—	
		Rat, F344/N (0)	12 months	•••	—	
Mean cell hemoglobin (MCH)	NTP 2007	Mouse, B6C3F1 (Q)	90 days	• • •	▼	$\overline{\nabla}$
		Mouse, B6C3F1 (0)	90 days	• 🗸 🗸	V	$\mathbf{\nabla}$
		Rat, F344/N (Q)	90 days	• 🗸 🗸	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	$\mathbf{\nabla}$
		Rat. F344/N (0)	90 days	• • • •	V	V
	NTP 2008	Mouse, B6C3F1 (9)	90 days		V	1
	1111 2000	Rat F344/N (07)	90 days		—	
		iuu, 15 (0)	12 months		—	
Agan cell hemoglobin concentration (MCHC)	NTP 2007	Rat F344/N (0)	90 days		·	$\overline{\nabla}$
tean cen nemogioum concentration (werte)	1411 2007	Rat, F344/N (7)	90 days			
	NTD 2008	Mouse B6C3EL(0)	90 days			-
	NIF 2008	Rat F344/NL(A)	90 days			
		Mouse B6C2EL(O)	12 months			
		Mouse, BoCSFT (¥)	12 months			
	NTD 2007	Rat, F344/N (6')	12 months			-
Reticulocytes	NTP 2007	Rat, F344/N (¥)	90 days		-	
	NUED 2000	Kat, F344/N (O')	90 days			
	NTP 2008	Mouse, B6C3F1 (Q)	90 days	•••	•	
		Rat, F344/N (O')	90 days	•••		
		Mouse, B6C3F1 (Q)	12 months	** *	•	
		Rat, F344/N (0)	12 months		• • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Nucleated Erythrocytes	NTP 2007	Rat, F344/N (Q)	90 days	••••	•	•
		Rat, F344/N (0)	90 days	•••	•	
	NTP 2008	Rat, F344/N (0)	90 days	** *	•	
			12 months	M A	▲	

Figure 3-22. Hematology findings from <u>NTP (2007)</u> and <u>NTP (2008)</u> in rats and mice exposed by gavage to Cr(VI) for 90 days or 12 months (full details available in the <u>HAWC link</u>).

1 Direct measures of hematopoietic health include RBCs, hemoglobin (Hgb), and hematocrit 2 (Hct) levels (see Table 3-27). RBCs were increased across study designs, sexes, and species in both 3 a high confidence subchronic study (NTP, 2007) and a high confidence chronic bioassay (NTP, 4 2008) (Figure 3-22). Statistically significant treatment effects corresponded with an approximately 5 2–4% change in the 20 mg/L dose group, 4–8% change at 60 mg/L, and 5–18% change from 6 controls in the 180 mg/L dose group (NTP, 2008) (click to view RBC findings)³⁸. Note that RBC 7 counts were greater at 90 days than 12 months within each dose group and sex. Hgb was decreased 8 in both male and female rats and female mice at 9 and 12 month observation times at doses \geq 174.5 9 mg/L. The magnitude of change was <5% from control mean for all findings except in the ≥ 174.5 10 mg/L dose groups. Hct increased in female mice at 90 days and decreased in male and female rats 11 at doses \geq 174.5 mg/L. No changes in Hct were observed in either species at 12 months Cr(VI) 12 exposure. 13 In humans, it is important clinically to interpret RBCs, Hgb, and Hct findings in the context 14 of reference ranges (i.e., historical controls) to determine if follow-up is needed. In animals, rat 15 reference ranges were available from two studies of Sprague-Dawley rats (Matsuzawa et al., 1993; 16 Wolford et al., 1986). Both studies reported one standard deviation from the mean that was 17 equivalent to a \sim 6–8% change from the mean for RBC, Hgb, and Hct in 10–20 week old rats; 18 however, there was significant strain variation in the population means, indicating there may be 19 uncertainty in applying Sprague-Dawley rat references ranges to the F344 rats used in the NTP 20 studies. Reference ranges for F344 rats were available from ToxRefDB (Watford et al., 2019), a 21 repository for in vivo toxicity data, indicating an average variation of <4% for Hct and RBCs and 22 <2% for Hgb. Interpretation of the NTP findings in the context of the Sprague-Dawley (Matsuzawa 23 et al., 1993; Wolford et al., 1986) reference ranges suggests significant biological variation at 9 24 months that returns to normal by 12 months. However, interpretation of the NTP findings using the 25 F344 (ToxRefDB) rat reference ranges suggests the observed collection of hematologic changes in 26 rats at both 90 days and 12 month Cr(VI) exposures have potential biological significance, although 27 the magnitude of the effect is low. 28 While the RBC, Hgb, and Hct findings were considered to be potentially adverse at 90 days 29 based on *high* confidence studies, the magnitude of change, the increasing responses with dose, and

30 consistency across species and sexes supported by coherent changes in other RBC indicators (MCV,

31 MCH, and MCHC), the adversity of effects at 12 months were less certain and potentially adaptive.

32 Decreased mean cell volume (MCV) values (i.e., smaller RBCs) were consistently observed across

³⁸Exposures for <u>NTP (2008)</u> and <u>NTP (2007)</u> are expressed in the text as concentration in drinking water (mg Cr(VI)/L) rather than daily dose (in mg Cr(VI)/kg-day). Differences in rodent drinking water consumption rates relative to body weight during the growth period lead to different mg/kg-d doses at the different collection times within the same exposure group of the 2-year study. Discussion in units of drinking water concentrations simplifies the group-level comparisons. Estimates of time weighted average daily doses at different observation time are available <u>here</u> (for <u>NTP (2008)</u>) and <u>here</u> (for <u>NTP (2007)</u>). At 20 mg/L Cr(VI) in rats for the 2-year study, the time weighted average dose was 2 mg/kg-d at 22 days, 1.5 mg/kg-d at 90 days, and 0.88 mg/kg-d at 1 year.

- 1 study designs, sexes, and species (although male rats were the most sensitive) in both *high*
- 2 confidence NTP bioassays (NTP, 2008, 2007), but while MCV decreases were dose-responsive
- 3 across rat 90 day observation times, with a maximal response of a \sim 30% change from control in
- 4 male rats receiving 349 mg/L for 90 days, when comparing the MCV response to Cr(VI) exposure
- 5 from 90 days to 12 months, the 12 month response was less robust (23% decrease compared with
- 6 7% at 12 months). Cr(VI) effects on MCH were consistent and coherent with MCV; decreases were
- 7 dose-responsive across 90 day and 12 month observation times, with a maximal response of $\sim 30\%$
- 8 at 349 mg/L (90 days), but similar to MCV, the response was less intense at 12 months (~8%
- 9 decrease from control) compared with same dose at 90 day observation time (\sim 27% change) in
- 10 rats. The MCHC response to Cr(VI) exposure in rats and mice was muted compared with MCV and
- 11 MCH, with a maximum response of 5-10% change from control in male and female rats exposed for
- 12 90 days to \geq 174.5 mg/L. The dose-response was less clear at 12 months exposure. The pattern of
- 13 response, however, was similar to MCH and MCV when comparing the MCHC response between
- 14 exposure durations and species, with a greater response at 90 days compared to 12 months, and in
- 15 rats compared with mice.
- 16 Reticulocytes (RET) and nucleated reticulocytes are immature RBCs and their levels may 17 indicate alterations in RBC production (Whalan, 2015, 2000). Reticulocytes and nucleated
- 18 erythrocytes were increased, but the finding was inconsistent across species and sexes with
- 19 increases observed in males only at the maximum dose (NTP, 2008, 2007) and in all female dose
- 20 groups at 90 days (NTP, 2007). Notably, the observed changes from control for reticulocyte
- 21 measures (NTP, 2008, 2007) were within normal reference ranges reported by Matsuzawa et al.
- 22 (1993) and Wolford et al. (1986). Microscopic evaluation of blood smears at exposure durations up 23 to 90 days identified erythrocyte fragments and keratocytes (evidence of stress or damage to the
- 24 bone marrow and evidence of increased RBC injury or turnover) (NTP, 2008, 2007). Similar
- 25 microscopic findings from blood smears were not observed after 12 months Cr(VI) exposure.
- 26 Although the focus of the assessment is on the development of chronic reference values, 27 hematologic effects were observed in studies with exposure durations <90 days. In general, the 28 direction of change was similar to the later time points, but the magnitude of response was greater 29 at observation times <90 days, peaking at 22 days (<u>NTP, 2008</u>). Other *medium* and *high* confidence 30 studies were also available at exposure durations ≤ 9 weeks. In general, these studies reported 31 limited or no statistically significant changes in hematologic parameters at the same dose levels 32 where effects were observed in the subchronic and chronic studies. Decreased MCV and MCH levels 33 ($\leq 6\%$) were observed in Sprague-Dawley rats exposed to ≥ 10 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day (via diet) for up to 34 9 weeks (NTP, 1996b). In two other 28-day studies by NTP, hematologic effects at doses \geq 9 mg 35 Cr(VI)/kg-day exposure (via drinking water) were not observed for RBCs, hemoglobin, hematocrit, 36 and MCHC in female Sprague-Dawley and F344 rats (NTP, 2006a, b). MCV and MCH findings were 37 not dose responsive nor considered biologically meaningful.
- 38
 - The hematologic effects of inhalation exposure were reported in one *medium* confidence

- 1 study (<u>Kim et al., 2004</u>) where findings included increased RBC count (8%), decreased hematocrit
- 2 (\leq 11%), and decreased hemoglobin (\leq 8%) in Sprague-Dawley rats exposed for 90 days to Cr(VI)
- 3 concentrations ranging from 0.2–1.25 mg/m³. No effects on MCV or MCHC were observed. No
- 4 effects on RBCs were reported in male Wistar rats in three *low* confidence studies with exposure
- 5 durations that ranged from 28 days to 18 months (<u>Glaser et al., 1990</u>; <u>Glaser et al., 1986</u>; <u>Glaser et al., 1</u>
- 6 <u>al., 1985</u>), whereas the 30- and 90-day experiments did not specify which hematologic parameters
- 7 were examined. The highest concentrations tested ranged from 0.1–0.4 mg/m³; the highest
- 8 concentration tested in the 18-month study by <u>Glaser et al. (1986)</u> (0.1 mg/m³) was lower than the
- 9 lowest concentration tested by <u>Kim et al. (2004)</u> (0.2 mg/m³).
- 10 3.2.5

3.2.5.3. Mechanistic Evidence

11 The subchronic and chronic studies provide evidence for microcytic hypochromic anemia 12 (characterized by low Hgb concentrations in abnormally small RBCs) after 90 days. After 12 months 13 exposure, most findings returned to near normal levels (Hgb, Hct, MCHC) or were potentially in the 14 low-normal range (RBC, MCV, MCH) when comparing to rat reference ranges. The clinical pathology 15 and microscopic evaluation indicated small RBCs (microcytic) that were hypochromic (less color 16 consistent with decreased Hgb). The mechanistic studies provide evidence for connecting these 17 findings to upstream events, including altered iron metabolism leading to iron deficiency, and 18 oxidative stress potentially leading to RBC damage, smaller size, and increased turnover.

19 Effects on iron homeostasis

20 Iron is a critical requirement for metabolic processes including oxygen transport, 21 deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) synthesis, and electron transport (Abbaspour et al., 2014). Iron 22 imbalance, deficiency, and overload have known health effects in humans including iron-deficient 23 anemia and iron toxicity. Iron is abosorbed from the diet by villous enterocytes in the small 24 intestine. Cellular iron import involves both receptor-mediated endocytosis (by transferrin) of 25 ferric iron (Fe⁺³) as well as uptake of reduced iron ferrous iron (Fe²) by membrane-bound 26 transporters. A majority of the iron is contained by RBCs where iron is stored in complexes with 27 ferritin (in the ferric state), complexed by heme in the ferrous state (Fe^{2} +), or to a smaller extent 28 labile in the cytosolic pool in the ferrous state (Fe²+). Several studies provided evidence that Cr(VI) 29 intereferes with iron homeostasis, thereby decreasing iron bioavailability. Although blood iron 30 measures were not available from the NTP studies, a subchronic study by Suh et al. (2014) reported 31 a dose-responsive reduction in iron levels in serum, duodenum, liver, and bone marrow in F344 32 rats and B6C3F1 mice administered Cr(VI) (as sodium dichromate dihydrate) in drinking water for 33 90 days (0.1–180 mg Cr(VI)/L) compared to controls. Decreased iron was accompanied by altered 34 expression of genes involved in iron transport and absorption. Based on these findings and the 35 knowledge that Cr(VI), Cr(V), and Cr(IV) can oxidize ferrous iron (Fe⁺²) to ferric iron (Fe⁺³) (Buerge 36 and Hug, 1997; Fendorf and Li, 1996), Suh et al. (2014) hypothesized that Cr(VI) may oxidize

37 ferrous (Fe^{+2}) iron to ferric (Fe^{+3}), thereby interfering not only with (Fe^{+2}) absorption in the

- 1 intestinal lumen, but also competing with (Fe⁺²) for heme binding and ferric iron (Fe⁺³) storage by
- 2 ferritin in RBCs. Cr(VI), but not Cr(III) (<u>NTP, 2010</u>; <u>Stout et al., 2009</u>), hinders iron aborption in the
- 3 small intestine, leading to iron deficiency in rats and to a lesser extent in mice. Consistent with this
- 4 hypothesis, Cr(VI) reduced to Cr(III) has been shown to bind transferrin under physiological
- 5 conditions (Levina et al., 2016; Deng et al., 2015). Consistent with Suh et al. (2014), Wang et al.
- 6 (2015) also observed dose-related decreases in iron levels in the liver, kidney, duodenum, and lung
- 7 in rats exposed to concentrations up to 106.1 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water for four weeks; no
- 8 changes were detected in blood iron levels, but significant decreases in Hgb, MCH, and MCHC levels
- 9 and increased RBC counts were observed. This evidence that Cr(VI) can inhibit iron absorption
- 10 suggests that humans with preexisting blood conditions (e.g., anemia, iron deficiency, intestinal
- 11 bleeding disorders) would be expected to be more sensitive to any potential hematologic effects of
- 12 Cr(VI) exposure.
- 13 Oxidative stress, RBC membrane damage and eryptosis
- 14Both iron deficiency and Cr(VI) exposure have been shown to independently increase
- 15 oxidative damage. Potassium dichromate, like iron, is a charged heavy metal, and it has been
- 16 proposed that interaction between iron bound by RBCs alters erythrocyte function and/or
- 17 formation particularly by targeting the erythron (<u>NTP, 2007</u>, <u>1997</u>, <u>1996a</u>, <u>b</u>). Cr(VI) redox results
- 18 in oxidative damage both to hemoglobin and to the RBC membrane (<u>ATSDR, 2012</u>; <u>NTP, 2007</u>). The
- 19 increased oxidative damage can initiate pathways leading to erythrocyte injury and eryptosis
- 20 (i.e., erythrocyte apoptosis) as well as smaller RBCs (<u>Kempe et al., 2006</u>), consistent with
- 21 observations of decreased MCV in rats and mice (<u>NTP, 2008, 2007</u>).
- As discussed in Section 3.2.1, "Respiratory effects other than cancer," evidence of oxidative
- 23 stress (i.e., increased oxidative 8-OHdG DNA adducts and lipid peroxidation levels, decreased
- 24 antioxidant levels) has been detected at significant levels in the blood (RBCs, plasma, serum) of
- workers exposed to Cr(VI) (<u>El Safty et al., 2018</u>; <u>Hu et al., 2018</u>; <u>Xu et al., 2018</u>; <u>Mozafari et al., 2016</u>;
- 26 Elhosary et al., 2014; Zendehdel et al., 2014; Kalahasthi et al., 2006; De Mattia et al., 2004; Maeng et
- 27 <u>al., 2004; Wu et al., 2001; Huang et al., 1999; Gromadzińska et al., 1996</u>) (see Appendix Table C-56).
- In animals, one 4-week drinking water study in male F344 rats exposed to 10.6–106 mg Cr(VI)/L
- 29 and found increased plasma malondialdehyde (MDA), a reactive marker of lipid peroxidation, and
- 30 decreased glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), an antioxidant enzyme (<u>Wang et al., 2015</u>). Other
- 31 findings consistent across in vitro studies with primary human RBCs included observation of
- 32 oxidative stress indicators and eryptosis, including increased MDA levels, changes in antioxidant
- 33 activity, increased cytosolic Ca²⁺, increased phosphatidylserine on the outer membrane surface, and
- decreased ATP (<u>Sawicka and Długosz, 2017; Zhang et al., 2014; Lupescu et al., 2012; Ahmad et al.</u>
- 35 <u>2011; Fernandes et al., 1999; Koutras et al., 1964</u>). These effects indicate a loss of membrane
- 36 integrity, coherent with the microscopic evaluations of blood smears from exposed rats and mice,
- 37 where evidence of erythrocyte injury, including poikilocytes, erythrocyte fragments/schizocytes,

1 and keratocytes, were observed after 90 days of Cr(VI) exposure in drinking water (<u>NTP, 2008</u>,

2 <u>2007</u>). Collectively, the findings of RBC oxidative stress leading to cell membrane damage and

3 eryptosis are a possible pathway leading to the observed changes in RBC size, and are correlative

4 with an erythrogenic response supported by increased RBC counts. However, study durations were

- 5 limited to \leq 90 days and it is not clear if these mechanistic effects would be persistent long-term.
- 6

3.2.5.4. Integration of Evidence

7 Overall, the currently available *evidence suggests* that Cr(VI) exposure may cause 8 hematologic effects in humans under relevant exposure circumstances. This conclusion is based 9 primarily on *moderate* animal evidence from *high* and *medium* confidence subchronic and chronic 10 studies in rats and mice reporting consistent (across similar exposure durations and doses, sexes, 11 and species), dose-related, and coherent findings (i.e., in RBC, Hgb, MCHC, MCH, and MCV) at 90 12 days exposure. However, the confidence in these findings was diminished due to the decrease in 13 magnitude of the collective effect by 12 months, with many findings returning to normal or near 14 normal levels (generally, with a magnitude of change <10% compared to controls). Given the 15 absence of correlative findings of apparent RBC injury from blood smears (other than smaller RBCs 16 that were hypochromic) and the absence of supportive mechanistic findings (such as iron 17 deficiency and oxidative stress) at 12 months, there exists a large amount of uncertainty regarding 18 the adverse versus adaptive nature of the observed effects at exposure durations greater than 90 19 days. In particular, the biological significance of the response at 12 months is uncertain; comparing 20 the observed decreases in Cr(VI)-exposed rats to reference ranges ("historical controls") indicates 21 that the observed findings fall within the low-normal or just below the low normal range of results 22 typically observed for the tested strains. 23 Although the adversity or clinical relevance of the observed changes in any one of the 24 individual hematologic parameters in isolation is unclear, and there is a large degree of uncertatinty

in the adversity of the effect at 12 months, the interpretation of the collective animal evidence stillsignals a potential concern, particularly when considering that similar findings in humans would

- 27 likely warrant follow-up testing and evaluation by a hematologist. Supporting evidence of Cr(VI)-
- 28 induced iron deficiency and oxidative stress indicates potential pathways leading to the observed

29 findings of hypochromic microcytic anemia, consistent with the microscopic evaluation of blood

30 smears (with findings of damage to the erythron), strengthens the evidence for an effect at 90 days.

- 31 Information including iron levels and ferritin tests that are useful for evaluating the amount of
- 32 stored iron were not available at exposure durations >90 days, making it difficult to confirm
- 33 whether the diminished effects at 12 months could still be considered adverse. Therefore, although
- 34 there remains a (weaker) signal for an effect at 12 months, there exists a large amount of
- 35 uncertainty as to the adversity of the effect. Integrated evidence for the hematologic effects of
- 36 Cr(VI) exposure from human, animal, and mechanistic studies is summarized in an evidence profile
- table (Table 3-31). The only available human study examining potential hematologic effects was
- 38 considered *uninformative*; therefore, there is *indeterminate* human evidence of hematologic effects.

- 1 However, the mechanistic evidence suggests that humans with preexisting blood conditions (e.g.,
- 2 anemia, iron deficiency, intestinal bleeding disorders) would be expected to be more sensitive to
- 3 any potential hematologic effects of Cr(VI) exposure.

	Evidence su	mmary and interpret	ation		
Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
Evidence from studie	s of exposed humans				$\oplus \oplus \odot$
HEMATOLOGIC PARAMETERS Four <i>low</i> confidence studies, two in occupationally exposed adult workers and two in general population adults	Exposure to Cr(VI) was associated with lower hemoglobin and/or hematocrit in one study ($p < 0.05$), while two studies reported in the opposite direction (higher hemoglobin and hematocrit in one study, higher red blood cells in one), and one study reported no association.	No factors noted	 <i>Low</i> confidence studies Unexplained inconsistency across studies 	⊙⊙⊙ Indeterminate The available evidence is inconsistent across Iow confidence studies.	The evidence suggests that Cr(VI) may cause hematologic effects in humans. <i>Primary basis:</i> One <i>high</i> confidence subchronic and one <i>high</i> confidence chronic study with consistent findings across species and dose duration with coherent effects on RBC indices suggesting microcytic anemia.
 Hematology Six <u>high</u> confidence studies in adult rats and mice 28-day oral 9-week oral (2 studies) Continuous breeding oral 90-day oral 2-year oral Five medium studies in adult male and female rats 	Hematologic effects included consistent decreases in Hgb, MCV, MCH, and MCHC, and increased RBC counts and reticulocytes at 90 days; marginal (near low-normal) decreases in MCV, MCH and increase in RBC at 12 months. Most findings returned to near normal by 12 month exposures. 90 day findings were coherent with microscopic findings of RBC damage including smaller size and hypochromic appearance	 Consistent findings of decreased Hgb, MCH, MCHC, MCV, and increased RBC across species and sexes in subchronic and chronic studies Coherence of decreased Hgb, MCH, MCHC, and MCV with increased RBC and reticulocytes 	 Lack of duration- dependence (effects of Cr(VI) decreased with longer-term exposures) Uncertainty of the biological significance of effects at 12 months 	⊕⊕⊙ Moderate High confidence subchronic and chronic studies with consistent findings across species (although rats are more sensitive) and sexes based on coherent effects across multiple related endpoints (RBC, Hgb, MCHC, MCH and	and supportive mechanistic findings of of Cr(VI)-induced iron deficiency and RBC damage. However, the confidence in these findings is reduced by the uncertainty regarding the adverse versus adaptive nature of the observed effects, particularly given the near amelioration of effects after one year, precluding a higher confidence judgment (i.e., <i>evidence</i> <i>indicates</i>).

Table 3-31. Evidence profile table for hematologic effects

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

	Evidence su	immary and interpret	ation		
Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
 28-day oral (3 studies) 30-day oral 90-day inhalation Four <i>low</i> confidence studies in male rats and mice 28- and 90-day inhalation (2 experiments, 1 study) 30- and 90-day inhalation (2 experiments, 1 study) 18-month inhalation Short-term oral study during pregnancy 	that were consistent with Cr(VI)-induced iron deficiency.	 Dose-response gradient for RBC, MCH, MCV, MCHC, Hgb (rat, 90-day) Mechanistic evidence of iron deficiency and altered pathways involved in iron metabolism in rats exposed for ≤90 days provides biological plausibility 		MCV). Strong dose response relationship (primarily at 90 day for RBC, Hgb, MCV, MCHC, MCH in rats). Some uncertainty around biological relevance of the effect as the magnitude of the change compared to controls decreased by 12 mo. Strong mechanistic support for anemia (indicated by decreased Hgb, MCV, MCHC, MCH) provided by mechanistic studies demonstrating Cr(VI) induced iron deficiency and oxidative damage in the blood of exposed humans and animals, and regenerative responses consistent with smaller RBC size.	Human relevance: Human evidence was primarily inconsistent and <i>low</i> confidence. Without evidence to the contrary, effects in rats and mice are considered relevant to humans. <i>Cross-stream coherence:</i> N/A (human evidence <i>indeterminate</i>) <i>Susceptible populations and life</i> <i>stages</i> : People with preexisting blood conditions (e.g., anemia, iron deficiency, chronic intestinal bleeding disorders) are expected to be susceptible populations.
Mechanistic Evidence	e			1	
Biological events or pathways	Summary of key findings and ir	nterpretation		Judgments and rationale	

	Evidence su	mmary and interpret	tation		
Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
Oxidative Stress	 Interpretation: Oxidative stress of lead to erythrocyte lipid peroxid Key Findings: Consistent evidence of oxidative Cr(VI) (see Section 3.2.1, "Resp Increased oxidative stress leve exposed in drinking water for 4 Cr(VI) increased markers of ox primary human RBCs in vitro, i enzymes, increased cytosolic C decreased ATP 	caused by Cr(VI) reactiv ation, membrane dama ve stress in the blood of piratory effects other th els in plasma in one in vi 4 weeks idative stress, cellular in including MDA, decreas Ca ²⁺ , membrane destabi	e intermediates may age, and eryptosis. f workers exposed to an cancer") ivo study of rats njury and death in sed antioxidant ilization, and	Biologically plausible pathways leading to the observed clinical pathology and microscopic evaluation of blood smears that included Cr(VI) oxidation of ferrous to ferric iron, potentially altering bioavailability, oxidative damage to	
Iron Deficiency	 Interpretation: Interference with Hgb, iron and its transporter protoxicity. Key Findings: Cr(VI) interaction with iron ma or formation Cr(VI) reduced to Cr(III) may bi physiological conditions Additional in vivo evidence sug homeostasis including dose-de tissues, altered gene regulatio Cr(VI) 	iron homeostasis due iteins may also contribu y alter RBC binding and ind transferrin, an iron t ggests Cr(VI)-induced al ependent decreases in t n, and increased ratios	to interactions with ute to hematologic I erythrocyte function transporter, under terations in iron total iron in various of RBC Cr(VI):plasma	the RBC leading to increased turnover and smaller size, and Cr(VI) interference with iron metabolism leading to iron deficiency. Support for oxidative stress occurring in the blood of humans is provided by consistent findings of increased markers of oxidative stress in exposed workers.	

3.2.6. Immune effects

1 The purpose of the immune system is to provide protection from infections and, in some 2 cases, the development of neoplasms. A properly functioning immune system involves a delicate 3 interplay among many cell types working in concert to properly regulate the immune response. The 4 immune system is integrated into tissues, organs and peripheral sites throughout the body. For this 5 reason, xenobiotic exposure by virtually any route can adversely impact components of the immune 6 system. Modulation of the immune system in either direction can result in dysfunction. Xenobiotic 7 exposure can alter primary immune sites important for immune cell maturation, including the bone 8 marrow, liver, thymus, and Peyer's patches. Secondary lymphoid sites (i.e., spleen, lymph nodes, 9 tonsils) can also be impacted by exposure to immunotoxicants. Immunotoxicity may be expressed 10 as immunosuppression, unintended stimulation of immune responses, hypersensitivity, or 11 autoimmunity (IPCS, 2012). Data from functional assays provide the most sensitive and specific 12 evidence of immune hazard. 13 This synthesis is organized and summarized based on the World Health Organization's 14 Guidance for Immunotoxicity Risk Assessment for Chemicals (IPCS, 2012) that describes best

15 approaches for weighing immunotoxicological data. Within this framework, data from endpoints

16 observed in the absence of an immune stimulus (e.g., levels of serum immunoglobulins, white blood

17 cell (WBC) counts, WBC differentials, T cell subpopulations, immune organ weights) are not

18 sufficient on their own to draw a conclusion regarding immune hazard but may provide useful

19 supporting evidence, especially when evaluated in the broader context of functional data (<u>IPCS</u>,

20 <u>2012</u>). Consequently, the sections that follow are organized into two categories: the more

21 informative measures of immune system function and supporting immune system data.

22 3.2.6.1. Human Evidence

23 <u>Study evaluation summary</u>

24 Table 3-32 summarizes the human epidemiology studies considered in the evaluation of the 25 potential effects of Cr(VI) on the immune system. These consist of nine cross-sectional occupational 26 studies conducted among workers in four industries with known risk of exposure to Cr(VI) in a 27 range of geographical locations. They include two studies of chrome-plating workers (Kuo and Wu, 28 2002; Verschoor et al., 1988), two studies of tannery workers (Mignini et al., 2009; Mignini et al., 29 2004), two studies of chemical plant workers (Qian et al., 2013; Tanigawa et al., 1998), one study of 30 chromate production workers (Wang et al., 2012a) and one study of plastic workers (Boscolo et al., 31 <u>1997</u>). In addition, one cross-sectional study assessed the effects of Cr(VI) exposure on the general 32 population in Greece (Sazakli et al., 2014). All studies were considered *low* confidence. Four 33 additional studies were identified and classified as *uninformative* due to critical deficiencies in 34 exposure methods sensitivity and/or confounding and were not considered further (Islam et al., 2019; Khan et al., 2013; Kativar et al., 2008; Snyder et al., 1996). Information on study evaluation 35

1 are provided in the text below and in Table 3-32. Available evidence in human studies was limited

- 2 to *ex vivo* WBC function, white blood cells (number, type, and T cell subpopulations),
- 3 immunoglobulin levels, complement levels, and cytokine levels.

4 While cytokines are critical for maintaining immune homeostasis, cytokine data, especially 5 measures of blood cytokines, can be challenging to interpret as primary evidence of immune hazard 6 (Tarrant, 2010). Changes in cytokine levels can be associated with many different types of tissues 7 and toxicities, as part of cell differentiation to different immune cell types, or including site-specific 8 inflammation, which reflects an immune response to tissue injury but not necessarily an impact on 9 or impairment of immune function. For this reason, cytokine secretion data (in the absence of a 10 stimulus) were not considered apical outcomes for the purpose of identifying immune hazard, but 11 rather as supporting evidence for understanding mechanisms of immune disruption and are 12 summarized in the Mechanistic and Supporting Evidence section below without systematic review.

- 13 Allergic sensitization can occur in some individuals exposed to Cr(VI) (OSHA, 2006).
- 14 Because the primary exposure route (i.e., dermal) is outside the scope defined by the PECO criteria,
- 15 evidence for allergic hypersensitivity responses following Cr(VI) exposure has not been
- 16 comprehensively reviewed, but is briefly summarized in the Mechanistic and Supporting Evidence
- 17 section below if the exposures or outcomes were relevant to non-dermal Cr(VI) exposures

Table 3-32. Summary of human studies for Cr(VI) immune effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome. <u>Click to</u> see interactive data graphic for rating rationales.

Author (year)	Industry	Locatio n	Exposure Measurement	Study Design	<i>Ex vivo</i> white blood cell function ^a	White blood cells (hematologv)	White blood cells (subpopulations)	Immunoglobulin levels
Boscolo et al.	Plastic	Italy	Air	Cross-	-	L	L	L
<u>(1997)</u>	workers			sectional				
	exposed to							
	lead chromate							
<u>Kuo and Wu (2002)</u>	Chrome-	Taiwan	Urine, air	Cross-	-	-	L	-
	plating			sectional				
	workers							
<u>Mignini et al.</u>	Tannery	Italy	Dust, blood, urine	Cross-	L	-	L	-
<u>(2004)</u>	workers			sectional				
<u>Mignini et al.</u>	Tannery	Italy	Air, blood, urine	Cross-	L		L	-
<u>(2009)</u>	workers			sectional				
Qian et al. (2013)	Chemical	China	Work categories,	Cross-	-	-	-	L
	plant workers		validated by air,	sectional				

Author (year)	Industry	Locatio n	Exposure Measurement	Study Design	<i>Ex vivo</i> white blood cell function ^a	White blood cells (hematology)	White blood cells (subpopulations)	Immunoglobulin levels
			urine, blood samples					
<u>Sazakli et al. (2014)</u>	General population	Greece	Urine, Hair, Modeled lifetime chromium exposure dose	Cross- sectional	-	L	-	-
<u>Tanigawa et al.</u> <u>(1998)</u>	Chemical plant workers	Japan	Work category	Cross- sectional	-	-	L	-
<u>Verschoor et al.</u> (1988)	Chrome platers, stainless-steel welders	Netherla nds	Work categories, validated by urine samples	Cross- sectional	_	_	_	L
<u>Wang et al. (2012a)</u>	Chromate production workers	China	Urine	Cross- sectional	-	L	-	-

^a*Ex vivo* white blood cell function is more informative of immune system function, while the other measures provide supporting immune system data.

1 <u>Synthesis of Human Evidence</u>

2 More informative measures of immune system function

Ex vivo WBC function Ex vivo WBC functional assays (e.g., NK cell activity, phagocytosis,
 proliferative responses) are performed outside the body using isolated cells collected from exposed
 individuals. These assays are considered clear evidence of adverse immunosuppression (IPCS,

- 6 <u>2012</u>). Two studies examined the association between occupational Cr(VI) exposure and *ex vivo*
- 7 WBC function (Table 3-32). Both studies of tannery workers were *low* confidence, with *deficient*
- 8 ratings in participant selection, exposure measurement and sensitivity (<u>Mignini et al., 2009</u>; <u>Mignini</u>
- 9 <u>et al., 2004</u>). <u>Mignini et al. (2009)</u> did not observe an effect on phagocytosis by PMNs or NK cell
- 10 activity, but effects of Cr(VI) exposure on the mitogen-induced proliferative response was
- 11 consistently observed in both studies (<u>Mignini et al., 2009</u>; <u>Mignini et al., 2004</u>) (Table 3-33).
- 12 Compared to controls, lymphocytes harvested from the exposed workers were stimulated to
- 13 proliferate to a greater extent in the presence of the T cell mitogen phytohemagglutinin (PHA)
- 14 (<u>Mignini et al., 2009</u>). The T cell mitogen concanavalin A (ConA) also stimulated lymphocytes
- 15 collected from these workers (<u>Mignini et al., 2009</u>; <u>Mignini et al., 2004</u>), and there was evidence
- 16 that the effect of Cr(VI) exposure on ConA stimulation may be affected by HLA haplotype (Mignini
- 17 <u>et al., 2004</u>). The B cell mitogen, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), had no effect on lymphocyte
- 18 proliferation following Cr(VI) exposure (<u>Mignini et al., 2009</u>).

Reference, confidence	Population	Exposure measure	Exposure levels	Comparison and effect estimate	Endpoint
<u>Mignini et al.</u> (2004), low	Cross- sectional study in Italy of 20	Cr levels in blood and urine	NR	ANOVA and the Student's t test	Sig. inc. in mitogen-stimulated lymphocyte proliferation (ConA) in exposed groups (pooled data from both exposure groups)
	exposed and 24 unexposed workers				Sig. inc. in mitogen-stimulated lymphocyte proliferation in exposed HLA-B8-DR3-negative group to ConA, but not in the HLA-B8-DR3-positive group (pooled data from both exposure groups)
<u>Mignini et al.</u> (2009), low	Cross- sectional study in Italy of 40	Cr levels in urine, 3 categories	~0.6, 0.4, 0.2 ug/L	Means by exposure category (not reported)	Sig. inc. in mitogen-stimulated lymphocyte proliferation in high exposure group to PHA and ConA, but not to LPS
	exposed tannery workers and 44				No effect on percent phagocytosis, phagocytosis index, or killing percent by PMNs
	unexposed workers				No effect on NK cell activity, data not shown

Table 3-33. Associations between Cr(VI) exposure and *ex vivo* WBC function in epidemiology studies

- 1 Supportive immune system data
- 2 *Immunoglobulin levels*
 - Three studies examined the association between Cr(VI) exposure and nonspecific
- 4 immunoglobulin levels (Table 3-32). All three studies were *low* confidence and had *deficient* ratings
- 5 in participant selection, outcome ascertainment, and confounding (<u>Qian et al., 2013</u>; <u>Boscolo et al.,</u>
- 6 <u>1997</u>; <u>Verschoor et al., 1988</u>). Immunoglobulin levels are difficult to interpret alone without a
- 7 controlled immune challenge preceding the measurement. Among these studies (Table 3-34),
- 8 exposed workers had lower levels of IgA and IgG <u>Qian et al. (2013)</u>, but levels were unaffected in
- 9 <u>Boscolo et al. (1997)</u>. Levels of IgG were also unaffected in <u>Verschoor et al. (1988)</u>. Serum levels of
- 10 IgM were unaffected by Cr(VI) exposure in the only two studies that investigated this isotype (<u>Qian</u>
- 11 <u>et al., 2013</u>). IgE levels were unaffected in the only study that investigated this isotype (<u>Boscolo et</u>
- 12 <u>al., 1997</u>).

3

Reference, confidence	Population	Exposure measure	Exposure levels	Comparison and effect estimate	lgG	lgM	lgA	lgE
Boscolo et al. (1997), low	Cross- sectional study in Italy of 15 plastic workers exposed to lead chromate and 15 unexposed workers from the same area	Exposed/ unexposed. Chromium levels measured in blood and urine. Levels in exposed were significantly higher in urine, but similar to unexposed in blood	Chromate ranged in air from 0.1 to 5.7 μg/m ³	Median in mg/dl (25th– 75th) for exposed and unexposed	Exposed: 1240 (991– 1296) Unexpose d: 1151 (942– 1276)	Exposed: 118 (75– 140) Unexpose d: 79 (58– 111)	Exposed: 193 (182– 282) Unexpose d: 277 (186– 292)	NA
<u>Verschoor et</u> <u>al. (1988)</u> , low	Cross- sectional study in the Netherlands of 21 chrome platers, 38 SS welders, 16 boilermakers , and 63 unexposed workers	Work categories, validated by urine samples	9, 3, 1, 0.4 μg/g creatinine in urine	Mean ± SD	Chrome platers: 11.6 ± 3.2 SS welders: 11.1 ± 2.6 Boilermak ers: 11.1 ± 2.8 Controls: 11.6 ± 2.4	NA	NA	NA
Qian et al. (2013), low	Cross- sectional study in China of 56 workers exposed to potassium dichromate and 50 unexposed individuals living 20 km from factory	Exposed/ unexposed validated by air sampling	14.4 ± 18. 1 μg/m ³	Except for IgE, mean in g/L ± SD for exposed and unexposed	Exposed: 10.9 ± 2.5 Unexpose d: 12.4 ±2.1 p = 0.03*	Exposed: 1.2 ± 0.5 Unexpose d: 1.0 ± 0.4	Exposed: 2.4 ± 0.9 Unexpose d: 2.8 ± 1.2 p = 0.04*	Exposed (Median g/L (quartile)] 55.2 (157.4) Unexpos ed 81.9 (237.1)

Table 3-34. Associations between Cr(VI) exposure and immunoglobulin (Ig) levels in epidemiology studies

NA = not applicable.

WBC counts (hematology) For WBC measure

For WBC measures, three studies were available (Table 3-32). All three studies were

3 cross-sectional studies of Cr(VI) exposure and measured WBC counts, or related measures,

- 1 including counts of total WBCs, lymphocytes and granulocytes (Table 3-35). All studies were *low*
- 2 confidence. <u>Sazakli et al. (2014)</u> was *deficient* only for exposure measurement, while the remaining
- 3 studies were *deficient* for multiple domains, including participant selection (<u>Wang et al., 2012a</u>;
- 4 <u>Boscolo et al., 1997</u>), confounding (<u>Wang et al., 2012a</u>; <u>Boscolo et al., 1997</u>), and outcome
- 5 ascertainment (Boscolo et al., 1997). Among these studies, one reported an increase in WBCs with
- 6 higher exposure to Cr(VI). This was statistically significant for total WBCs, and non-statistically
- 7 significant increases were also observed for lymphocytes and neutrophils (<u>Wang et al., 2012a</u>). Two
- 8 other studies indicated no increase (<u>Sazakli et al., 2014; Boscolo et al., 1997</u>), with one indicating
- 9 non-statistically significant decreases for lymphocytes and WBCs(<u>Boscolo et al., 1997</u>) (Table 3-35).

Reference, confidence	Population	Exposure measure	Exposure levels	Comparison and effect estimate	Total WBC (count 10 ⁹ /L)	Lymphocytes (count 10 ⁹ /L)	Granulocytes (count 10 ⁹ /L)	Neutrophils (count 10 ⁹ /L)
<mark>Sazakli et al. (2014)</mark> low	Cross-sectional in Greece, general population; Two exposure groups (n = 237) and controls (n = 67)	Chromium levels measured in blood and hair. Estimated lifetime chromium exposure dose calculated using concentration in drinking water, intake rate, and body weight	NR	Regression coefficients for calculated lifetime exposure dose and Cr in hair	Lifetime dose: -0.03 Hair: 0.07	Lifetime dose: 0.02 Hair: 0.1	Lifetime dose: -0.01 Hair: 0.03	NA
<mark>Boscolo et al. (1997)</mark> , Iow	Cross-sectional study in Italy of 15 plastic workers exposed to lead chromate and	Exposed/ unexposed. Chromium levels measured in blood and urine. Levels in exposed were	Chromate ranged in air from 0.1 to 5.7 µg/m ³	Median (25th– 75th) for exposed and unexposed	Exposed: 6764 (5940–7180) Unexposed: 6776 (5680– 8190)	Exposed: 2340 (1490–2915) Unexposed: 2730 (2300–3090)	NA	NA

Table 3-35. Associations between Cr(VI) exposure and WBC counts in epidemiology studies

15 unexposed

workers from

the same area

significantly higher

in urine, but similar

to unexposed in

blood

Reference, confidence	Population	Exposure measure	Exposure levels	Comparison and effect estimate	Total WBC (count 10 ⁹ /L)	Lymphocytes (count 10 ⁹ /L)	Granulocytes (count 10 ⁹ /L)	Neutrophils (count 10 ⁹ /L)
<u>Wang et al. (2012a)</u> , <i>low</i>	Cross-sectional study in China of 86 chromate production workers and 45 unexposed workers	Exposed/ unexposed. Chromium levels measured in urine were significantly higher in exposed workers	<50 μg/m ³	Mean (SD) for exposed and unexposed	Exposed: 7.0 (1.7) Unexposed: 6.2 (1.3) $p = 0.03^*$ Mixed WBC ^a Exposed: 0.6 (0.3) Unexposed: 0.4 (0.1)	Exposed: 2.2 (0.7) Unexposed: 2.1 (0.5)	NA	Neutrophils Exposed: 4.1 (1.4) Unexposed: 3.7 (1.0)

NA = not applicable.

^a Cell mixture containing neutrophils, eosinophils, basophils and mast cells.

- 1 *Lymphocyte subpopulations*
- 2 Five studies examined the association between Cr(VI) exposure and lymphocyte
- 3 subpopulations (Table 3-32). All five studies were *low* confidence cross-sectional studies of Cr(VI)
- 4 exposure and white blood cell counts (Qian et al., 2013; Mignini et al., 2009; Mignini et al., 2004;
- 5 <u>Tanigawa et al., 1998</u>; <u>Boscolo et al., 1997</u>). Three studies reported decreased CD4+, CD8+, and
- 6 CD3+ cells with higher exposure to Cr(VI) (Kuo and Wu, 2002; Tanigawa et al., 1998; Boscolo et al.,
- 7 <u>1997</u>), with statistical significance in two. Two studies reported no qualitative effects on the levels
- 8 of CD3+, CD4+, CD8+, DC19 (<u>Mignini et al., 2009</u>; <u>Mignini et al., 2004</u>), CD56 (<u>Mignini et al., 2004</u>),
- 9 CD16+/CD56+ and CD4/CD8 (<u>Mignini et al., 2009</u>) levels (Table 3-36).

Reference, confidence	Population	Exposure measure	Exposure levels	Comparison and effect estimate	CD4+	CD8+	CD3+	CD19	CD56
<u>Boscolo et al.</u> (1997), low	Cross-sectional study in Italy of 15 plastic workers exposed to lead chromate and 15 unexposed workers from the same area	Exposed/ unexposed. Chromium levels measured in blood and urine. Levels in exposed were significantly higher in urine, but similar to unexposed in blood	Chromate ranged in air from 0.1 to 5.7 µg/m ³	Median (25th– 75th) for exposed and unexposed	Exposed: 870 (585– 1135) Unexposed: 1140 (970– 1240) p < 0.05*	Exposed: 710 (435–795) Unexposed: 810 (570–870)	Exposed: 1630 (1035– 1995) Unexposed: 1890 (1680– 2170)	Exposed: 180 (150– 280) Unexpos ed: 330 (260– 460)	NA
Tanigawa et al. (1998), <i>Iow</i>	Cross-sectional study in Japan of 19 retired chromate workers and 13 unexposed workers	Exposed/unexpose d. No validation of exposure levels.	NR	Mean ± SD for exposed and unexposed, by smoking status	Exposed smokers: 790 ± 260 Exposed nonsmokers: 870 ± 510 Unexposed smokers: 1660 ± 570 Unexposed non- smokers: 1250 ± 450 p < 0.05*	Exposed smokers: 470 ± 250 Exposed nonsmokers: 330 ± 200 Unexposed smokers: 540 ± 280 Unexposed nonsmokers: 670 ± 480 p < 0.05*	Exposed smokers: 1140 \pm 380 Exposed nonsmokers: 1150 \pm 640 Unexposed smokers: 2110 \pm 530 Unexposed nonsmokers: 1840 \pm 650 $p < 0.05^*$	NA	NA
Kuo and Wu (2002), low	Cross-sectional study in Taiwan of 27 workers from 5 Cr	Chromium levels in air samples and urine.	NR	Beta (SE) for moderate and high urine Cr vs. low group	Moderate: -0.03 (2.5) High: -0.2 (4.0)	Moderate: -1.8 (2.3) High: -6.5 (3.6)	NA	NA	NA

Table 3-36. Associations between Cr(VI) exposure and lymphocyte subpopulations in epidemiology studies

Reference, confidence	Population	Exposure measure	Exposure levels	Comparison and effect estimate	CD4+	CD8+	CD3+	CD19	CD56
	electroplating plants and 19 unexposed workers			Correlation coefficient with airborne Cr	-0.06	-0.08	NA	NA	NA
Mignini et al. (2004), low	Occupational exposure study in Italy of 20 exposed and 24 unexposed workers	Cr levels in blood and urine	NR	ANOVA and the Student's t test	No changes reported, data not shown	No changes reported, data not shown	No changes reported, data not shown	No changes reported, data not shown	No changes reported, data not shown
<u>Mignini et al.</u> (2009), <i>low</i>	Cross-sectional study in Italy of 40 exposed tannery workers and 44 unexposed workers	Cr levels in urine, 3 categories	~0.6, 0.4, 0.2 ug/L	Mean ± SD for exposed and unexposed, Duncan Multiple Range,' 'Newman- Keuls, Mann- Whitney test	No changes reported, data not shown	No changes reported, data not shown	No changes reported, data not shown	No changes reported, data not shown	No changes reported, data not shown

NA = not applicable.

1 3.2.6.2. Animal Evidence

2 This section focuses on outcomes considered informative for the identification of 3 chemical-induced adverse effects on the immune system (IPCS, 2012; U.S. EPA, 1998b), particularly 4 changes in response to an immune challenge, including effects on antibody responses, host 5 resistance, and *ex vivo* white blood cell (WBC) function. Supporting data collected from animals in 6 the absence of an immune challenge were also considered, including effects on immune organ 7 pathology, nonspecific immunoglobulin levels, immune organ weights, WBC counts (spleen, 8 thymus, bone marrow and hematology), and lymphocyte subpopulations. In addition to the 9 evidence syntheses below, the study findings have been summarized in Appendix Table C-42. 10 Study evaluation summary 11 Table 3-37 summarizes the animal toxicology studies considered in the evaluation of the 12 effects of Cr(VI) on the immune system. These studies consist of one oral diet (<u>NTP, 1996a</u>), one 13 oral gavage (Krim et al., 2013), 11 drinking water (Karaulov et al., 2019; Jin et al., 2016; Wang et al., 14 2015; NTP, 2008, 2007, 2006a, b, 2005; Shrivastava et al., 2005a; Shrivastava et al., 2005b; Snyder 15 and Valle, 1991), and eight inhalation studies (Cohen et al., 2010; Cohen et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2004; Cohen et al., 1998; Glaser et al., 1990; Glaser et al., 1986; Johansson et al., 1986b; Glaser et al., 16 17 1985). These studies used a variety of mouse and rat strains, including BALB/c, B6C3F1, 18 am3-C57BL/6, and Swiss mice (NTP, 2008, 2007, 2005; Shrivastava et al., 2005a; Shrivastava et al., 19 2005b; NTP, 1996a) and Sprague-Dawley, F344, F344/N, Wistar, and albino Wistar rats (Karaulov 20 et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2015; Krim et al., 2013; Cohen et al., 2010; NTP, 2008, 2007; Cohen et al., 2006; NTP, 2006a, b; Kim et al., 2004; Cohen et al., 1998; Snyder and Valle, 1991; Glaser et al., 1990; 21 22 Glaser et al., 1986; Glaser et al., 1985).

Table 3-37. Summary of included studies for Cr(VI) immunological effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome.^a Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales.

			-	More informative measures ^b			Supporting evidence					
Author (year)	Species (strain)	Exposure design	Exposure route	Host resistance	Antibody responses	Ex vivo WBC function	Immune organ pathology	Immunoglobulin levels	Immune organ weights	WBC counts and differentials (spleen, thymus, bone marrow)	WBC counts (hematology)	
<u>NTP (2005)</u>	Mouse (B6C3F1)	Short-term	Drinking water	-	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Μ	
<u>NTP (2006b)</u>	Rat (Sprague- Dawley)	Short-term	Drinking water	-	Н	Н	М	Н	Н	Н	М	
<u>NTP (2006a)</u>	Rat (F344)	Short-term	Drinking water	-	Н	Н	М	Н	Н	Н	М	
<u>NTP (2007)</u>	Rat (F344/N); Mice (B6C3F1, BALB/c, <i>am3</i> - C57BL/6)	Subchronic	Drinking water	-	-	-	Η	-	Н	-	Н	
<u>NTP (2008)</u>	Rat (F344/N); Mice (B6C3F1)	Chronic	Drinking water	-	-	-	Н	-	-	-	Н	
<u>Karaulov et al.</u> (2019)	Rat (Wistar)	Chronic	Drinking water	-	-	М	L	-	М	М	-	
<u>Wang et al. (2015)</u>	Rat (Sprague- Dawley)	Short-term	Drinking water	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	М	
<u>Jin et al. (2016)</u>	Mouse (ICR)	Short-term	Drinking water	-	-	-	-	-	М	-	-	
<u>Shrivastava et al.</u> (2005a)	Mouse (Swiss)	Short-term & subchronic	Drinking water	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	L	
<u>Shrivastava et al.</u> (2005b)	Mouse (Swiss)	Short-term & subchronic	Drinking water	-	-	L	-	-	L	-	-	
Snyder and Valle (1991)	Rat (F344)	Short-term	Drinking water	-	-	L	-	-	-	-	-	
Krim et al. (2013)	Rat (albino Wistar)	Short-term	Gavage	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	М	

				More informative measures ^b			Supporting evidence					
Author (year)	Species (strain)	Exposure design	Exposure route	Host resistance	Antibody responses	Ex vivo WBC function	Immune organ pathology	Immunoglobulin levels	Immune organ weights	WBC counts and differentials (spleen, thymus, bone marrow)	WBC counts (hematology)	
<u>NTP (1996a)</u>	Mouse (BALBC)	Subchronic	Diet	-	-	-	Н	-	-	-	Н	
<u>Cohen et al. (1998)</u>	Rat (F-344)	Short-term	Inhalation	-	-	М	-	-	-	-	-	
<u>Cohen et al. (2006)</u>	Rat (F-344)	Short-term	Inhalation	М	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<u>Cohen et al. (2010)</u>	Rat (F-344)	Short-term	Inhalation	М	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<u>Glaser et al. (1985)</u>	Rat (Wistar)	Short-term & subchronic	Inhalation	-	L	L	-	М	М	-	L	
<u>Glaser et al. (1986)</u>	Rat (Wistar)	Chronic	Inhalation	-	-	-	М	L	L	-	М	
<u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u>	Rat (Wistar)	Short-term & subchronic	Inhalation	-	-	-	-	L	-	-	М	
<u>Kim et al. (2004)</u>	Rat (Sprague- Dawley)	Subchronic	Inhalation	-	-	-	-	-	М	-	Μ	
<u>Johansson et al.</u> (1986b)	Rabbit (strain not specified)	Chronic	Inhalation	-	-	Μ	-	-	-	-	-	

^aIn addition to these included studies, there were three animal toxicology studies reporting immunotoxicity outcomes that met PECO criteria but were found to be *uninformative* at the study evaluation stage for reporting or attrition <u>Geetha et al. (2003</u>), outcomes measures <u>Nettesheim et al. (1971</u>), and outcomes measures, exposure methods, reporting or attrition, confounding variable control, and selection or performance <u>Kumar and Barthwal</u> (1991).

^bHost resistance, antibody responses, and *ex vivo* WBC function are more informative as measures of immune system function. The remaining measures provide supporting immune system data.

1 <u>Synthesis of Animal Evidence</u>

2 More informative measures of immune system function

3 *Host resistance*

4 Host resistance assays are considered the gold standard of immunotoxicity testing because

5 clearance of a self-replicating infectious agent or neoplastic disease requires the integration of

- 1 immune system responses to protect the host, and disruption of this integrated response at any
- 2 point can be detected as a reduction in host resistance. The effect of exposure to Cr(VI)
- 3 (0.119 mg/m³ for 5 h/d for 5 consecutive days) on *in situ* clearance of pneumonia-inducing *Listeria*
- 4 *monocytogenes* (24, 48 and 72 h timepoints) was investigated in two *medium* confidence studies of
- 5 male F344 rats (<u>Cohen et al., 2010</u>; <u>Cohen et al., 2006</u>). Compared to the air-exposed control,
- 6 pathogen clearance was reduced in rats exposed to high soluble (Na₂CrO₄) and low soluble
- 7 (CaCrO₄) Cr(VI), but only when measured at the 72 h timepoint (<u>Cohen et al., 2010</u>; <u>Cohen et al.</u>,
- 8 <u>2006</u>). The authors noted that the reduction in pathogen clearance did not correlate with lung
- 9 chromium burden (<u>Cohen et al., 2010</u>; <u>Cohen et al., 2006</u>). Overall, available data suggest that short-
- 10 term exposure to chromium may reduce *in situ* bacterial clearance in the lung (i.e., phagocyte
- 11 recruitment and bacterial lysis). Since the model used in these studies is a targeted host resistance
- 12 model designed to evaluate local pathogen clearance by macrophages, future studies using a
- 13 comprehensive host resistance model (e.g., influenza virus) would be useful for developing a better
- 14 understanding of the potential for Cr(VI) exposure to impair host resistance.
- 15 Antibody responses
- 16 Cr(VI) exposure increased IgM antibody-forming cell responses to sheep red blood cells in
 17 three *high* confidence 28-day NTP studies (<u>NTP, 2006a, b, 2005</u>), but the effect was only significant
 18 in two of the studies (<u>NTP, 2006a, 2005</u>) and the same effect was not observed in a repeat assay
 19 performed by <u>NTP (2005)</u>. One 90-day inhalation study, found to be *low* confidence due to
- 20 deficiencies in the presentation of results, also reported increased IgM antibody-forming cell
- 21 responses to sheep red blood cells (Glaser et al., 1985). These investigations were performed in
- 22 female B6C3F1 mice and two different strains of female rat exposed to a broad and overlapping
- range of Cr(VI) in drinking water (5–180 mg/L) and according to experimental protocols sufficient
- 24 for the detection of alterations in antibody cell forming responses.
- Antibody response studies only provide information on the number of antibody producing plasma cells at the time of assay completion, but these studies do not provide any information on the levels of antigen-specific antibodies in the serum of Cr(VI)-exposed animals. Three *high*
- 28 confidence NTP studies in mice and rats exposed to Cr(VI) in drinking water for 28 days showed no
- effect on serum titers of total IgM antibodies specific for two different T cell-dependent antigens
 (NTP, 2006a, b, 2005).
- Overall, Cr(VI) exposure increased antibody responses to sheep red blood cells but did not
 alter the serum antibody titer following exposure to Cr(VI).
- 33 Ex vivo WBC function

In a *low* confidence study by <u>Glaser et al. (1985)</u>, phagocytic activity was significantly
increased compared to the control group in alveolar lung macrophages isolated from male Wistar
rats exposed to Cr(VI) (up to 0.050 mg/m³) as sodium dichromate by inhalation for 28 and 90 days
but was decreased significantly following a 90-day exposure to 0.20 mg/m³. Findings by the
companion study (<u>Glaser et al., 1990</u>) also showed changes characteristic of acute lung injury and

- 1 inflammatory lung responses (see Section 3.2.1.2). In a second, *medium* confidence inhalation
- 2 exposure study, phagocytosis by rabbit alveolar macrophages was unaffected following exposure to
- 3 $0.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ mg/m}^3 \text{ Cr(VI)}$ as sodium chromate for 4–6 weeks (<u>Johansson et al., 1986b</u>). The absence of
- 4 an effect in <u>Johansson et al. (1986b)</u> may have been due to a 3-day gap between cessation of
- 5 exposure to Cr(VI) and evaluation of phagocytic activity. In <u>Glaser et al. (1985)</u>, the clearance of
- 6 inhaled iron oxide was lower in the lungs of rats exposed to 0.20 mg/m³ Cr(VI) for 42 days, though
- 7 the number of lung macrophages was also reduced relative to the control group. Consequently, the
- 8 observed decrease in lung clearance cannot be attributed definitively to a defect in phagocytosis. In
- 9 a third *low* confidence study, however, phagocytic activity of mouse splenic macrophages was
- 10 reduced from 92% in control male Swiss mice to 36% in mice exposed to 14.8 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) in
- 11 drinking water for 9 weeks (<u>Shrivastava et al., 2005b</u>).
- Cr(VI) exposure had no effect on natural killer (NK) cell activity, mixed lymphocyte
 response (MLR), and anti-CD3 stimulation of lymphocytes in three *high* confidence drinking water
- 14 studies (<u>NTP, 2006a, b, 2005</u>) and one *low* confidence drinking water study (<u>Snyder and Valle</u>,
- 15 <u>1991</u>). The studies were performed in female B6C3F1 mice and two different strains of female rats
- 16 (Sprague-Dawley and F344) exposed to a broad and overlapping range of Cr(VI) in drinking water
- 17 (5–180 mg/L) and according to experimental protocols sufficient for the detection of alterations in
- 18 cell-mediated responses.
- Mitogen-induced proliferative response was consistent in three *low* confidence studies
 (Shrivastava et al., 2005b; Snyder and Valle, 1991; Glaser et al., 1985). Spleen cells isolated from
 male Swiss mice exposed to Cr(VI) in drinking water (14.8 mg/kg-day) for 9 weeks were stimulated
 to proliferate with ConA, but the investigators did not conduct statistical analyses of the findings
 (Shrivastava et al., 2005b). Increased proliferation was observed in splenocytes isolated from F344
 rats exposed to Cr(VI) in drinking water (100 or 200 mg/L) for 3 weeks when stimulated with the T
 lymphocyte mitogen ConA or B lymphocyte mitogen lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (Snyder and Valle,
- 26 <u>1991</u>). Spleen cells isolated from rats exposed to Cr(VI) by inhalation (0.20 mg/m³) for 90 days
- 27 were stimulated to proliferate to a greater extent than controls by ConA (<u>Glaser et al., 1985</u>).
- 28 Mitogen-induced cytokine secretion was evaluated in two *medium* confidence studies
- 29 (<u>Karaulov et al., 2019</u>; <u>Cohen et al., 1998</u>). Spleen cells isolated from rats exposed to Cr(VI) in
- drinking water for 45, 90, and 135 days and stimulated with ConA secreted less IL-6 (day 135) and
- 31 more IL-4 (day 45, 90, and 135) than controls, while secretion of IL-10 and IFNγ were unaffected by
- 32 treatment (<u>Karaulov et al., 2019</u>). Compared to control, secretion of IL-1 and TNF α were decreased
- in pulmonary alveolar macrophages harvested from rats exposed to Cr(VI) by inhalation for 4
- 34 weeks and stimulated with LPS whereas a nonsignificant increase in IL-6 secretion was observed
- 35 (<u>Cohen et al., 1998</u>).
- Compared to the control group, exposure to Cr(VI) (0.36 mg/m³) by inhalation for 28 days
 had no effect on spontaneous O₂- and H₂O₂ production in the presence or absence of IFN-γ at
 4 weeks, but increased opsonized zymosan-stimulated O₂-, and decreased H₂O₂ production

1 stimulated by opsonized zymosan in the presence of IFN- γ (<u>Cohen et al., 1998</u>). Cr(VI) had no effect

2 on LPS-stimulated nitric oxide (NO) production at 4 weeks but reduced NO production stimulated

- 3 by IFN-γ at 4 weeks; the authors did not make statistical comparisons between the LPS-stimulated
- 4 and IFN-γ-stimulated groups (<u>Cohen et al., 1998</u>).
- 5 Overall, Cr(VI) exposure had no effect on natural killer (NK) cell activity, mixed lymphocyte
- 6 response (MLR), and anti-CD3 stimulation of lymphocytes in three *high* confidence drinking water
- 7 studies (<u>NTP, 2006a, b, 2005</u>). Other studies provide some evidence for effects on mitogen-
- 8 stimulated splenocyte proliferation, reactive oxygen species production, and phagocytic activity.
- 9 However, data supporting effects on mitogen-stimulated splenocyte proliferation come from three
- 10 *low* confidence studies (<u>Shrivastava et al., 2005b</u>; <u>Snyder and Valle, 1991</u>; <u>Glaser et al., 1985</u>). Data
- 11 supporting effects on phagocytosis are limited to one *low* (<u>Glaser et al., 1985</u>) and one *medium*
- 12 confidence study (Johansson et al., 1986b) whereas data on reactive oxygen species are limited to
- 13 only one *low* confidence study (<u>Cohen et al., 1998</u>). Consequently, additional studies are necessary
- 14 to better understand the potential effect of Cr(VI) on these endpoints, particularly studies that more
- 15 thoroughly document exposure conditions, exposure dose, group size, data processing, and
- 16 attrition.

17 Supportive immune system data

18 *Immune organ pathology*

19 No gross pathological changes were reported in six *medium* or *high* confidence NTP oral 20 studies where rats or mice were exposed to Cr(VI) for 28 days to 2 years (NTP, 2008, 2007, 2006a, 21 b, 2005, 1996a) and one *medium* confidence chronic inhalation study that included a 12-month 22 recovery period (<u>Glaser et al., 1986</u>). In one *low* confidence drinking water study in male Wistar 23 rats of unknown age exposed to Cr(VI) (20 mg/kg-day) for up to 135 days, evaluation of the thymus 24 (day 90) revealed structural changes including decreased epithelial reticular cells and 25 physiologically important associations between these cells and T cells, potentially leading to 26 functional impairment of the central immune system (Karaulov et al., 2019). In the same study, 27 structural effects including an increased B-zone and a decreased T-zone were observed in spleens 28 across all timepoints (45, 90, and 135 days). Although the specific type of lymph node was not 29 reported, lymph node size was increased and was attributed to changes in cellular elements 30 including reticulocytes and lymphocytes. 31 Although unlikely to be an indicator of impaired immune function, infiltration of histiocytes 32 (macrophages) was observed in liver, small intestine, and mesenteric and pancreatic lymph nodes 33 in rats and mice in two *high* confidence NTP studies at oral exposure durations up to 2 years (NTP,

- 34 <u>2008</u>, <u>2007</u>). In damaged tissues, infiltrated macrophages display functions such as modulation of
- 35 inflammatory cells, removal of damaged tissues/cellular debris, and antigen presentation, as well as
- 36 fibrogenic stimulation (<u>Yamate et al., 2016</u>). Histiocytic infiltrates were characterized by study
- 37 authors as small, individual clusters and sometimes as syncytia of histiocytes that were large
- 38 (approximately 20–80 microns in diameter) and had pale, lightly eosinophilic, faintly stippled

- 1 cytoplasm and single, small, peripheral, dark basophilic nuclei. This finding was distinct from the
- 2 histopathological finding of chronic inflammation in the liver that NTP characterized as small,
- 3 randomly scattered aggregates of macrophages, lymphocytes, and neutrophils. Dose-related
- 4 findings of histiocytic infiltration were also observed in the lung following inhalation exposure (Kim
- 5 <u>et al., 2004; Glaser et al., 1990; Glaser et al., 1986; Johansson et al., 1986b; Johansson et al., 1986a</u>)
- 6 (see Section 3.2.1.2). The NTP authors (<u>NTP, 2008, 2007</u>) noted that the biological significance of
- 7 the histiocytic cellular infiltrates is unknown but suggested this finding may indicate phagocytosis
- 8 of an insoluble chemical precipitate. However, it is important to acknowledge that activated
- 9 macrophages can also damage tissue by secreting cytotoxic factors indicative of an innate
- 10 inflammatory response and create an inflammatory environment (<u>Francke and Mog, 2021</u>; <u>Yamate</u>
- 11 <u>et al., 2016</u>).
- 12 Overall, Cr(VI) exposure had no effect on spleen or thymus pathology in six *medium* or *high* 13 confidence oral studies and one *medium* quality inhalation study (28-day or 90 days with a
- 14 recovery period). One *medium* quality oral study reported structural changes in the thymus and
- 15 spleen and cellular content of lymph nodes after 90 days; this study did not include a recovery
- 16 period, which could have increased its ability to detect effects of exposure.
- 17 *Immunoglobulin levels*
- 18 Short-term, subchronic and chronic inhalation exposures to Cr(VI) (25, 50 and 100 g/m³)
- 19 did not alter total serum immunoglobulin levels in one *low* confidence study performed in male
- 20 Wistar rats (<u>Glaser et al., 1990</u>). However, in a *medium* confidence study by the same authors,
- 21 <u>Glaser et al. (1985)</u> observed a dose-dependent increase in serum immunoglobulins in male rats
- following inhalation exposure for 90 days (0.025–0.10 mg/m³); serum immunoglobulin levels
- returned to baseline when rats were exposed to a higher Cr(VI) concentration (i.e., 0.20 mg/m³).
- 24 Although quantitative data were not reported, serum immunoglobulins were also reported to
- decrease following inhalation exposure to chromium oxide for 6 months (0.1 mg/m³) in a *low*
- 26 confidence study (<u>Glaser et al., 1986</u>). Changes in total serum immunoglobulin levels alone are not
- 27 considered sensitive enough to detect mild to moderate immunotoxicity or predictive enough to
- identify immunotoxicants (<u>IPCS, 2012</u>; <u>Luster et al., 1993</u>; <u>Luster et al., 1992</u>). However, in
- 29 combination with data on measures of immune function, these results may provide supporting
- 30 evidence of immunomodulation.

31 *Immune organ weight*

- 32 Absolute thymus weight was unchanged in two *high* confidence NTP studies performed in
- female Sprague-Dawley and F344 rats exposed to a range of Cr(VI) concentrations (5–180 mg/L) in
- 34 drinking water for 28 days (<u>NTP, 2006a</u>, <u>b</u>). However, absolute thymus weight was decreased in
- 35 one *high* confidence NTP study performed in male B6C3F1 and am3-C57BL/6 mice exposed to
- 36 Cr(VI) (90 mg/L, high dose group only) in drinking water for 3 months (<u>NTP, 2007</u>). When
- 37 evaluated using a higher concentration, the absolute thymus weight was unchanged in one *high*
- 38 confidence NTP study performed in male and female mice and rats (B6C3F1, BALB/c, and F344/N)

1 exposed to a range of Cr(VI) concentrations (20–350 mg/L) in drinking water for 3 months (NTP. 2 2007). In one *medium* confidence study, absolute thymus weight decreased in rats exposed to 3 chromium (20 mg/kg-d) in drinking water for up to 135 days (Karaulov et al., 2019). 4 <u>NTP (2005)</u> reported a decrease in relative spleen weight in female mice exposed to 5 11 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water for 28 days; these findings were not replicated when the study 6 authors repeated the experiment. Relative spleen weight was not affected by exposure to Cr(VI) in 7 drinking water for 28 days in other NTP studies (<u>NTP, 2006a, b</u>). However, relative spleen weight 8 was also decreased in F344/N rats and *am3*-C57B mice subchronically exposed to chromium at 9 doses \geq 90 mg/L in drinking water (<u>NTP, 2007</u>). Similarly, in a *low* confidence study, relative spleen 10 weight decreased gradually over time in mice exposed to chromium (14.8 mg/kg) in drinking water 11 for nine weeks (Shrivastava et al., 2005b). In one medium confidence study, absolute spleen weight 12 and body weight decreased in rats exposed to chromium (20 mg/kg-d) in drinking water for up to 13 135 days (Karaulov et al., 2019). Relative spleen weight was significantly increased in a medium 14 confidence drinking water study following exposure to 50 mg/L Cr(VI) for 7 days, but not following 15 21 days exposure to 200 mg/L (<u>lin et al., 2016</u>). These results suggest the effect may recover with 16 time or there may be a nonmonotonic dose-response. In a *medium* confidence inhalation study, 17 relative spleen weight increased following chromium exposure for 28 or 90 days at concentrations 18 $\geq 0.050 \text{ mg/m}^3$ (Glaser et al., 1985). However, this effect was not observed in a *low* confidence 19 chronic inhalation study using the same model system when the study design incorporated a 20 12-month recovery period following an 18-month exposure (Glaser et al., 1986). Spleen weight was 21 also reported to be unaffected in rats exposed by inhalation to higher Cr(VI) concentrations 22 (i.e., 0.20–1.25 mg/m³) for 13 weeks (Kim et al., 2004). 23 Overall, chromium exposure only reduced absolute thymus weight in a single drinking 24 water study and the effect was not observed in a second study exposing the same strain of mice to a

broader and higher range of doses. However, absolute thymus weight was decreased in a longer
duration drinking water study. Depending on the concentration of chromium tested, the exposure

duration, and the route of administration, chromium exposure was shown to either have no effect,

to increase, or to decrease relative spleen weight. Recognizing that immune organ weights are often

29 confounded by stress responses, results of immune organ weight is of limited utility for immune

30 organ pathology.

31 WBC counts and differentials (spleen, thymus, bone marrow)

No effects on the absolute number of splenic WBCs (total), or lymphocyte subtypes were observed in two *high* confidence NTP studies performed in female Sprague-Dawley rats and B6C3F1 mice exposed to Cr(VI) in drinking water for 28 days (5–180 mg/L) (NTP, 2006b, 2005). In another *high* confidence 28-day drinking water study in female F344 rats, the total number of splenic WBCs was also unaffected, but the numbers of NK cells and macrophages were increased at doses of 4 mg/kg-d and 0.5 mg/kg-d Cr(VI), respectively (NTP, 2006a). In both instances, the observed increase in cell number was only detected at 1 out of 4 dose levels tested in the study and

- 1 always at levels that fell within the range of concentrations tested in the other two drinking water
- 2 studies (<u>NTP, 2006b</u>, <u>2005</u>). In one *medium* confidence drinking water study in male Wistar rats
- 3 exposed to Cr(VI) (20 mg/kg-day) for up to 135 days, the absolute number of splenic T cells and T
- 4 helper cells was decreased on days 90 and 135, but the relative values were unaffected for these
- 5 timepoints (<u>Karaulov et al., 2019</u>). The absolute and relative number of C8+ T cells were decreased
- 6 in the spleens of rats on day 90, but not at any other timepoint. The absolute number of splenic
- 7 karyocytes, and myeloid cells decreased, and effects on the absolute number of plasma cells either
- 8 increased or decreased depending on the timepoint (<u>Karaulov et al., 2019</u>). In the same study, the
- 9 absolute number of thymocytes decreased. The absolute number of bone marrow myeloid cells,
- 10 neutrophils, lymphocytes, and karyocytes were increased at the 135-day timepoint (<u>Karaulov et al.</u>,
- 11 <u>2019</u>).
- Overall, recognizing that splenic WBC counts and differentials have only been evaluated in a
 small number of drinking water studies, the effects of chromium exposure on splenic WBC and
- 14 splenic WBC differentials varied across studies. These differences in outcome may relate to
- 15 experimental design parameters including rodent species, test article concentration and study
- 16 duration. Based on a single *medium* quality study, chromium exposure has the potential to alter the
- 17 number of thymocytes and bone marrow cells. Additional studies are needed to better understand
- 18 the effects of chromium on WBC counts and differentials.
- 19 WBC counts (hematology)
- 20 Dose-related increases in total WBCs and some WBC types were reported in F344/N rats 21 exposed to Cr(VI) for up to 14 weeks (NTP, 2008, 2007); however, WBC counts were similar to the 22 control at 6 months and decreased at 12 months of exposure (NTP, 2008). Increased total WBC 23 number was also reported in one *medium* confidence inhalation study performed in rats for 30 and 24 90 days but the effect reversed in animals exposed for 90 days followed by a 30-day observation 25 period (<u>Glaser et al., 1990</u>). In a low confidence drinking water study in Swiss mice, total WBC 26 number and some WBC types decreased after 3 weeks of Cr(VI) exposure (Shrivastava et al., 27 2005a).
- No effects on WBCs (total or differentials) were observed in mice in three *high* confidence
 NTP studies (<u>NTP, 2007, 2005, 1996a</u>), in mice or rats in seven *medium* confidence studies (<u>Krim et</u>
 al., 2013; <u>NTP, 2006a</u>, b; <u>Kim et al., 2004</u>; <u>Glaser et al., 1986</u>), and in rats in two *low* confidence
- 31 studies (<u>Shrivastava et al., 2005a; Glaser et al., 1985</u>). These short-term, subchronic, and chronic
- exposure studies included oral exposures via the diet (approximately 1–50 mg/kg-d Cr(VI)) (<u>NTP</u>,
 <u>1996a</u>), oral gavage (5.3 mg/kg Cr(VI)) (<u>Krim et al., 2013</u>), and drinking water (approximately
- 122013, or an gavage (0.5 mg/kg of (VI)) (<u>NTP, 2007, 2006a</u>, <u>b</u>, 2005) as well as inhalation exposures
- 35 (0.025–1.25 mg/m³) (<u>Kim et al., 2004; Glaser et al., 1986; Glaser et al., 1985</u>) in rats and mice.
- 36 Overall, evidence for Cr(VI)-related changes in WBC count is inconsistent.

1 3.2.6.3. Mechanistic and Supporting Evidence

Available evidence from studies of apical immune endpoints in human and animals suggests
that Cr(VI) exposure may have the capacity to modulate the immune system by stimulating some
elements of immune responses (antibody response, mitogen-stimulated lymphocyte proliferation,
total WBC counts (hematology), complement levels) and suppressing others (pathogen clearance).
The sections that follow describe mechanistic data from studies of mechanistic endpoints that
might inform immune effects derived from human ex vivo and in vivo animal investigations.
Summary tables of mechanistic studies are presented in Appendix C.2.5.2.

9 <u>Immune modulation</u>

10 Several lines of mechanistic information support the conclusion that Cr(VI) exposure may

11 have the potential to modulate the immune system. For organizational purposes, available

12 mechanistic and supporting evidence was organized into effect categories of key characteristics

13 common to immunotoxicants; these studies are summarized in Appendix Table C-44.

14 Effects on immune cell differentiation or activation

15 Alterations in dendric cell maturation and T cell activation could impact antigen

- 16 presentation, a process central to the development of adaptive immune responses. In human
- 17 monocyte-derived dendritic cells in vitro, exposure to Cr(VI) increased expression of dendritic cell

18 maturation marker CD86 but had no effect on expression of CD83 (<u>Toebak et al., 2006</u>). Cr(VI)

19 exposure decreased anti-CD3/anti-CD28-stimulated expression of T cell activation markers CD69

and CD25 in primary mouse T cells (<u>Dai et al., 2017</u>).

21 Effects on immune effector cell function

22 Phagocytosis is important in both innate and adaptive immune responses by removing

- 23 pathogens and debris and as a key event in antigen presentation. The available animal studies
- 24 (reviewed above, under "Ex vivo WBC function") reported inconsistent effects of Cr(VI) exposure
- 25 on phagocytic activity (i.e., increased, decreased, or no effect) in alveolar macrophages (Johansson
- 26 <u>et al., 1986b; Glaser et al., 1985</u>) and decreased activity in splenic macrophages (<u>Shrivastava et al.,</u>

27 <u>2005b</u>). In vitro studies were more consistent in demonstrating that exposure to Cr(VI) decreased

28 phagocytic activity of human PMNs isolated from workers exposed to Cr(VI) (<u>Mignini et al., 2009</u>),

29 bovine alveolar macrophages (<u>Hooftman et al., 1988</u>), mouse peritoneal macrophages (<u>Christensen</u>

- 30 <u>et al., 1992</u>), and mouse RAW264.7 macrophages (<u>Badding et al., 2014</u>). However, only two of these
- 31 studies measured cell viability to take into account a potential role for cytotoxicity as a causative
- 32 factor (<u>Badding et al., 2014</u>; <u>Hooftman et al., 1988</u>). Additional in vivo and in vitro studies would
- help to better understand the effects of Cr(VI) exposure on phagocytic activity.
- 34 Other in vitro studies reported diminished activity in important effector cell functions
- including IgG production (Borella and Bargellini, 1993), cell mobility (Christensen et al., 1992), and
- 36 NK cell degranulation (<u>Dai et al., 2017</u>). Pokeweed mitogen-stimulated IgG production by human

- 1 primary lymphocytes was reduced by Cr(VI) exposure (<u>Borella and Bargellini, 1993</u>). Random cell
- 2 migration was decreased in stimulated mouse primary peritoneal macrophages (<u>Christensen et al.</u>,
- 3 <u>1992</u>). Activation of T cells stimulated by anti-CD3 and expression of CDa107a, a marker for NK cell
- 4 degranulation, was reduced in mouse splenocytes following Cr(VI) exposure (<u>Dai et al., 2017</u>).
- 5 In general, although conflicting evidence was reported in the three in vivo animal studies
- 6 identified, Cr(VI) exposure consistently decreased immune effector cell function in vitro. However,
- 7 caution should be taken when interpreting these data, since only the studies by <u>Badding et al.</u>
- 8 (2014), Dai et al. (2017), and Hooftman et al. (1988) evaluated cell viability as a potential causative
- 9 factor for observed effects following exposure to Cr(VI).

10 Effects on immune cell proliferation

- 11 As discussed in the section "Ex vivo WBC function" above, the effect of Cr(VI) exposure on
- 12 spleen cell proliferation ex vivo has been investigated using three approaches including mitogen
- 13 stimulation, anti-CD3 ± anti-CD28 stimulation, and the MLR. Exposure to Cr(VI) in vivo increased
- spleen cell proliferation in the presence of ConA (<u>Shrivastava et al., 2005b</u>; <u>Snyder and Valle, 1991</u>;
- 15 <u>Glaser et al., 1985</u>). Consistent with this finding, ConA-induced spleen cell proliferation was
- 16 increased when lymphocytes collected from Cr(VI) exposed workers were cultured in the presence
- 17 of Cr(IV) in vitro (<u>Mignini et al., 2009</u>). Furthermore, in vitro exposure to Cr(VI) increased
- 18 activation by ConA in human lymphocytes, but decreased activation when exposure was to a higher
- 19 dose (<u>Mignini et al., 2009</u>). <u>Snyder and Valle (1991</u>) reported inhibition of in vitro ConA-stimulated
- 20 proliferation, whereas <u>Mignini et al. (2004)</u> reported no effect.
- The effect of in vivo exposure to Cr(VI) on spleen cell proliferation stimulated by LPS has
 only been investigated in a single report (Snyder and Valle, 1991) (see Ex vivo WBC function). In
- that study, the low dose of LPS (100 mg/L), but not the high dose (200 mg/L), decreased rat splenic
- 24 lymphocyte proliferation. LPS-induced spleen cell proliferation was decreased in lymphocytes
- cultured in the presence of Cr(IV) in vitro (<u>Mignini et al., 2009</u>).
- 26 Addition of Cr(VI) to lymphocytes cultured from exposed workers lead to an increase in
- 27 PHA-stimulated proliferation (<u>Mignini et al., 2009</u>). When exposed to Cr(VI) in vitro, the
- 28 proliferation response was biphasic in PHA-stimulated human primary lymphocytes (Mignini et al.,

Cr(VI) exposure had no effect on anti-CD3 spleen cell proliferation in three rodent studies

- 29 <u>2009; Borella and Bargellini, 1993</u>).
- 30
- 31 (<u>NTP, 2006a, b, 2005</u>). In contrast, exposure to Cr(VI) in vitro decreased anti-CD3 and
- anti-CD3/anti-CD28 stimulated primary human lymphocyte proliferation (<u>Dai et al., 2017</u>; <u>Akbar et</u>
 <u>al., 2011</u>).
- 34 In vivo studies showed no effect of Cr(VI) exposure on MLR (<u>NTP, 2005; Snyder and Valle</u>,
- 35 <u>1991</u>). However, MLR was increased when splenocytes collected from Cr(VI)-exposed rats were
- exposed to additional Cr(VI) in vitro (<u>Snyder and Valle, 1991</u>). When the only source of Cr(VI)
- 37 exposure was in vitro, either no effect or a stimulatory effect on MLR was observed (<u>Snyder and</u>
- 38 <u>Valle, 1991</u>). Recognizing that these the in vitro studies performed by were part of an investigation

- 1 (<u>Snyder and Valle, 1991</u>) using the same study design parameters (i.e., rat strain, exposure
- 2 duration, Cr(VI) concentration, stimulator), the discrepancy may be attributable to low study
- 3 replication.

4 *Effects on communication between immune cells*

- 5 *Complement levels*
- 6 One *low* confidence cross-sectional study investigated the effects of Cr(VI) exposure on
- 7 complement levels (Table 3-32). In that study, exposure to Cr(VI) increased levels of complement
- 8 C3 (mean: 0.91 ± 0.13 g/L unexposed, 1.20 ± 0.24 g/L exposed) and C4 (mean: 0.23 ± 0.05 g/L
- 9 unexposed, 0.32 ± 0.07 g/L exposed) in serum (<u>Qian et al., 2013</u>). Serum complement levels
- 10 increased two- to threefold above baseline are associated clinically with infection or acute
- 11 inflammation (<u>Ritchie et al., 2004</u>). But even subtle increases in baseline complement C3 and C4 are
- 12 associated with other inflammatory markers and have been identified as a risk factor for disorders
- 13 associated with systemic inflammation, including cardiometabolic disease (<u>Hertle et al., 2012</u>;
- 14 Engström et al., 2007b; Engström et al., 2007a; Engström et al., 2005).
- 15 *Mitogen-stimulated cytokine secretion*
- 16 Effects of in vivo Cr(VI) exposure on mitogen-induced cytokine secretion by isolated cells in
- 17 vitro was evaluated in two *medium* confidence studies with ConA (<u>Karaulov et al., 2019</u>) or LPS
- 18 (<u>Cohen et al., 1998</u>). A single in vivo study observed increased secretion of TNF- α and IL-6 in the
- 19 serum of LPS challenged mice (<u>lin et al., 2016</u>). There are no in vitro studies available assessing the
- 20 effects of Cr(VI) exposure on ConA-stimulated cytokine secretion.
- 21 Cytokine measurements in biological media
- 22 Twenty-one studies investigated the effects of Cr(VI) on immune cell communication (see
- 23 Appendix Tables C-44 and C-45). A primary mechanism of communication for cells of the immune
- 24 system is through production and release of cytokines, which are low molecular weight
- 25 glycoproteins involved in immune responses and are commonly classified as pro-inflammatory
- 26 (i.e., immune stimulating) or anti-inflammatory (i.e., immunosuppressive). In practice, however, the
- 27 distinction between the classes of cytokines is not clear cut. Interpretation of cytokine data
- 28 collected from biological medium is challenging because, depending on context, the same cytokine
- 29 can have either activating or suppressing effects on a particular cell type (<u>Nature, 2019</u>).
- **30** Furthermore, reduction in the level of a pro-inflammatory cytokine can have an anti-inflammatory
- effect and vice versa. The effects of Cr(VI) exposure on levels of 30 cytokines (i.e., IL-1a, IL-1b, IL-2,
- 32 IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-7, IL-8, IL-9, IL-10, IL-12, IL-13, IL-17, IL-17A, TNF-α, IFN-α, MIP-2,
- 33 CXCL10, CXCL11, CCL5, CCL17, CCL18, CCL20, CCL22, eotaxin, G-CSF, GM-CSF, MCP-1, and MIP1α)
- 34 have been investigated. These studies include cytokine measurements conducted following in vivo
- 35 and in vitro exposures to Cr(VI) in human and animal models. Generally, the specific cytokines
- 36 measured included in each study varied, making interpretation of consistency for a given cytokine
- 37 difficult. Interpretation is further hampered by the mix of responses reported for the same

- 1 cytokine. Irrespective, the available data suggest that Cr(VI) exposure has the potential to alter
- 2 levels of some cytokines, potentially disrupting the regulatory balance that dictates normal immune
- 3 system function. While the predictive value of cytokine levels for hazard assessment is unclear, the
- 4 observed alterations in cytokine levels do add to the weight of the evidence evaluation of Cr(VI) and
- 5 its potential to modulate the immune system.
- 6 Vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM-1), endothelial-leukocyte adhesion molecule 1
- 7 (ELAM-1), and intracellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1) play an important role in endothelial
- 8 transmigration, the process whereby immune cells enter tissues. Expression of these important
- 9 proteins is up-regulated by certain cytokines (e.g., IL-1, TNF-α). <u>Mignini et al. (2009)</u> reported no
 10 effect of Cr(VI) exposure had no effect on levels of these proteins.
- Cr(VI) exposure had no effect on E-rosetting by human lymphocytes collected from exposed
 workers and treated with additional chromium in vitro. E-rosetting occurs when human T cells
 spontaneously bind to sheep red blood cells, a process that involves CD2 (i.e., the E-rosette
- 14 receptor), which plays an important role in T cell activation.
- 15 Allergic hypersensitivity
- 16 Hypersensitivity responses are the result of an over-reaction of the immune system. Allergic
- 17 hypersensitivity to Cr(VI) is generally observed following occupational exposure (<u>Hedberg, 2018</u>).
- 18 Hypersensitivity reactions are organized into four different classes, Type I, II, III, and IV (<u>Weaver</u>
- **19** and Murphy, 2016). There are only a few anecdotal case reports and a small number of animal
- 20 studies associating Cr(VI) with Type I hypersensitivity (antibody mediated) responses that cause
- 21 allergic asthma (ATSDR, 2012; Ban et al., 2010; Fernández-Nieto et al., 2006; OSHA, 2006; Bright et
- 22 <u>al., 1997; Olaguibel and Basomba, 1989</u>); however, there is strong and compelling evidence that
- 23 Cr(VI) causes Type IV hypersensitivity responses. Type IV hypersensitivity responses are mediated
- by T cells and are responsible for allergic contact dermatitis (ACD) resulting from dermal exposure.
- 25 As described in the protocol (Appendix A), a review of the evidence for Cr(VI)-induced ACD is not
- 26 included in this toxicological review because the scope of the Cr(VI) IRIS assessment is comprised
- of potential health effects by the inhalation and oral routes of exposure. Consequently, Cr(VI)-
- 28 induced ACD was not comprehensively reviewed but was considered as supporting evidence for the
- effects of Cr(VI) exposure on the immune system. The strongest evidence for Cr(IV) Type IV
- 30 hypersensitivity reactions comes from dermal patch testing in humans (<u>ATSDR, 2012; OSHA, 2006</u>).
- 31 Human clinical evidence of Type IV hypersensitivity is supported by data from in vivo and ex vivo
- 32 investigations performed in Guinea pigs (<u>Wang et al., 2010a</u>; <u>Ikarashi et al., 1996</u>; <u>Helmbold et al.</u>,
- **33** <u>1993; Saloga et al., 1988; Christensen et al., 1984; Parker et al., 1984; Jirova et al., 1983;</u>
- 34 Siegenthaler et al., 1983; Lindberg et al., 1982; Turk and Parker, 1977; Miyamoto et al., 1975;
- 35 <u>Schneeberger and Forck, 1974</u>) and mice (<u>Lindemann et al., 2008</u>; <u>Mandervelt et al., 1997</u>;
- 36 <u>Basketter et al., 1994; Ikarashi et al., 1992; Vreeburg et al., 1991; Kimber et al., 1990; Mor et al.,</u>
- 37 <u>1988; Lischka, 1971</u>).

1 3.2.6.4. Integration of Evidence

2 Overall, the **evidence suggests** that Cr(VI) may modulate the immune system through both 3 stimulatory and suppressive actions. This conclusion is primarily based on coherent evidence of 4 effects on ex vivo WBC function across human and animal studies, antibody responses to 5 T cell-dependent antigen measured in animals, and reduction in host resistance to bacterial 6 infection reported in animal studies. However, confidence in the evidence was reduced because 7 some of the studies are *low* confidence and reported findings often differed across studies. 8 Integrated evidence of immune system effects of Cr(VI) exposure from human, animal, and 9 mechanistic studies is summarized in an evidence profile table (Table 3-38). 10 The evidence of an association between Cr(VI) exposure and immunotoxicological effects in 11 humans is *slight*. The available studies are *low* confidence. Data obtained from supporting immune 12 system studies lack consistency across studies and across endpoints within studies. However, there 13 is some evidence from the most informative studies (i.e., ex vivo WBC function) that Cr(VI) has the 14 potential to stimulate at least some aspects of immune function. In addition, the large evidence base 15 demonstrating that exposure to Cr(VI) can induce allergic hypersensitivity responses further 16 supports this conclusion (ATSDR, 2012). 17 Evidence from animal toxicology studies and supportive mechanistic data from in vivo and 18 in vitro studies provide *slight* evidence that Cr(VI) has both stimulatory and suppressive effects on 19 the immune system. Cr(VI) exposure increased antibody responses to T cell-dependent antigen 20 (i.e., sheep red blood cells), and effects on this critical function of the immune system were 21 observed in mice exposed orally and in rats exposed orally or by inhalation (NTP, 2006a, 2005; 22 Glaser et al., 1985). The body of evidence in support of this effect is small, but the findings are 23 supported by evidence from some studies of increases in ex vivo WBC function (Shrivastava et al., 2005b; Cohen et al., 1998; Snyder and Valle, 1991; Glaser et al., 1985), WBC numbers (NTP, 2008, 24 25 2007; Glaser et al., 1990), and total immunoglobulin levels following in vivo Cr(VI) exposure (Glaser 26 et al., 1985). Some mechanistic evidence has demonstrated an increased response to antigenic 27 stimuli in one-way mixed lymphocyte cultures when splenocytes collected from Cr(VI)-exposed 28 rats were exposed to additional Cr(VI) in vitro (Snyder and Valle, 1991) and increased 29 mitogen-stimulated spleen cell proliferation with in vitro Cr(VI) exposure (Mignini et al., 2009; 30 Borella and Bargellini, 1993). Data demonstrating that exposure to Cr(VI) can result in allergic 31 hypersensitivity responses bolster these findings (ATSDR, 2012). 32 There is also evidence of an effect on host resistance, with short-term inhalation exposure 33 decreasing in situ clearance of bacteria from the lungs of Cr(VI)-exposed rats (Cohen et al., 2010; 34 Cohen et al., 2006). The host resistance model used for these studies is designed to evaluate local

35 pathogen clearance by alveolar macrophages. While the effect cannot be directly attributed to a

- 36 defect in phagocytosis, lung clearance of inhaled iron oxide was reduced in rats exposed to Cr(VI)
- by the inhalation route (<u>Glaser et al., 1985</u>). Furthermore, phagocytic activity of PMNs collected
- 38 from exposed workers (<u>Mignini et al., 2009</u>) and splenic macrophages collected from mice exposed

- 1 to Cr(VI) in drinking water was reduced (<u>Shrivastava et al., 2005b</u>), and several in vitro mechanistic
- 2 studies showed decreased phagocytic activity by human primary PMNs (Mignini et al., 2009),
- 3 bovine alveolar macrophages (<u>Hooftman et al., 1988</u>), mouse peritoneal macrophages (<u>Christensen</u>
- 4 <u>et al., 1992</u>), and mouse RAW264.7 macrophages (<u>Badding et al., 2014</u>). Cr(VI) exposure also
- 5 impaired the mobility of mouse alveolar macrophages (<u>Christensen et al., 1992</u>). Together, these
- 6 findings suggest that Cr(VI) can alter key functions of cells of the innate immune system, but
- 7 additional studies would be useful for identifying the most relevant exposure contexts and the
- 8 overall impact of these effects on immunity.
- 9 It is not without precedent for a single chemical to exert both stimulatory and suppressive
- 10 effects on various immune parameters (<u>IPCS, 2012</u>). Exposure-related stimulation of the immune
- 11 system might increase susceptibility to allergic disease or autoimmunity and can include
- 12 exaggerated or inappropriately prolonged inflammatory responses associated with systemic
- 13 chronic inflammation, which can increase risk of developing other serious health conditions such as
- 14 cardiometabolic disease or cancer (<u>Furman et al., 2019</u>; <u>IPCS, 2012</u>). In addition, because
- 15 continuous, uncontrolled immune stimulation represents a disruption of the homeostatic processes
- 16 required to maintain a balanced immune response, stimulation of the immune system may be
- 17 accompanied by immunosuppression, potentially altering host resistance as was observed here in a
- 18 limited number of studies. Additional studies are necessary to better understand the effects of
- 19 Cr(VI) exposure on the immune system, particularly with respect to studies of host resistance.
| Studies, outcomes,
and confidence | Summary of key findings | Factors that increase certainty | Factors that
decrease
certainty | Judgments and rationale | Inferences and summary judgment | |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| Evidence from studies of | exposed humans | | | | $\oplus \odot \odot$ | |
| EX VIVO WBC
FUNCTION
Low confidence:
<u>Mignini et al. (2004)</u>
<u>Mignini et al. (2009)</u> | Increased lymphocyte proliferation
induced by two different T cell
mitogens but not by a B cell mitogen.
No effect on phagocytosis by PMNs or
NK cell activity. | Coherence with
two different T cell
mitogens Mechanistic
evidence provides
biological
plausibility | • <i>Low</i> confidence studies | ⊕⊙⊙ Slight Although changes in T cell mitogen-induced lymphocyte proliferation, WBC counts, and complement factors | that Cr(VI) may cause
immune modulation in
humans based on:
<i>Slight</i> evidence from <i>low</i>
confidence cross-
sectional studies of
workers with known risk
of Cr(VI) exposure | |
| WBC COUNTS
Low confidence:
<u>Boscolo et al. (1997)</u>
<u>Sazakli et al. (2014)</u>
<u>Wang et al. (2012a)</u>
<u>Kuo and Wu (2002)</u>
<u>Mignini et al. (2004)</u>
<u>Mignini et al. (2009)</u> | A positive association with white
blood cell counts was observed in 1/3
studies, while an inverse association
was also observed in 1/3 studies. | • No factors noted | Unexplained
inconsistency in
WBC counts
across studies Low confidence
studies | were reported,
available data were
derived from <i>low</i>
confidence studies. | showing increased ex vivo
WBC function
(i.e., mitogen-stimulated
proliferative responses to
T cell mitogens).
<i>Slight</i> evidence from <i>high</i> ,
<i>medium</i> , and <i>low</i>
confidence studies
demonstrating | |
| WBC SUBPOPULATIONS
Low confidence:
<u>Boscolo et al. (1997)</u>
<u>Kuo and Wu (2002)</u>
<u>Mignini et al. (2004)</u>
<u>Mignini et al. (2009)</u>
<u>Tanigawa et al. (1998)</u> | Decreased CD4+ cell number in
workers (2 of 2 studies) and in
exposed and unexposed smokers and
nonsmokers (1 of 1 studies).
Decreased CD8+ cell number in
workers (1 of 2 studies) and in
exposed smokers (1 of 1 study). | Consistent findings
regarding CD4+
subpopulations in
three studies | • <i>Low</i> confidence studies | | antibody response, ex
vivo WBC function, WBC
number, and Ig levels and
suppressive effects on
host resistance.
Supportive mechanistic
evidence from animal in
vivo, ex vivo, and in vitro | |

Table 3-38. Evidence profile table for immune effects

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
IMMUNOGLOBULIN LEVELS Low confidence: <u>Boscolo et al. (1997)</u> <u>Qian et al. (2013)</u> <u>Verschoor et al. (1988)</u>	A consistent stimulatory effect on serum levels of IgA IgM was reported in two studies whereas effects on IgG were inconsistent in three studies.	 Consistent findings regarding serum IgA and IgM levels in two studies 	 Unexplained inconsistency in IgG levels in two different studies Low confidence studies 		models demonstrating the potential for multiple mechanisms of immune system toxicity.
COMPLEMENT LEVELS Low confidence: <u>Qian et al. (2013)</u>	Increased complement factors C3 and C4 in one <i>low</i> confidence study.	• No factors	• <i>Low</i> confidence studies		
Evidence from animal stu	idies	•	•	•	
ANTIBODY RESPONSES High confidence: <u>NTP (2005)</u> <u>NTP (2006b)</u> <u>NTP (2006a)</u> Low confidence: <u>Glaser et al. (1985)</u>	Increased IgM antibody-forming cell responses was associated with exposures in two <i>high</i> confidence drinking water studies and one <i>low</i> confidence inhalation study; the effect was not reproducible in <u>NTP</u> (2005), and no effect was observed in a third <i>high</i> confidence study.	 Coherence with studies performed in rats and mice following exposure via two different routes High confidence studies 	 Antibody response was inconsistent in high confidence studies 	 ⊕⊙⊙ Slight Cr(VI) induced changes in the most meaningful immunological endpoints (i.e., antibody 	
ANTIGEN-SPECIFIC ANTIBODY TITER High confidence: <u>NTP (2005)</u> <u>NTP (2006b)</u> <u>NTP (2006a)</u>	Three <i>high</i> confidence NTP studies of 28-day exposures in drinking water showed no effect on serum titers of total IgM antibodies specific for two different T cell-dependent antigens.	 Consistent findings regarding serum titers of IgM antibodies High confidence studies 	• No factors	response, host resistance and ex vivo WBC function) and endpoints that provide supporting evidence (i.e., immune organ weight,	
HOST RESISTANCE Medium confidence: <u>Cohen et al. (2006)</u>	Exposure to Cr(VI) compounds with high and low solubility was associated with decreased in situ bacterial clearance in the lung	• Consistent findings regarding in situ bacterial clearance	• No factors	immunoglobulin levels, and WBC counts).	

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
<u>Cohen et al. (2010)</u>		 Mechanistic evidence provides biological plausibility Medium confidence studies 			
EX VIVO WBC FUNCTION High confidence: NTP (2005) NTP (2006b) NTP (2006a) Medium confidence: Cohen et al. (1998) Johansson et al. (1986b) Low confidence: Glaser et al. (1985) Snyder and Valle (1991) Shrivastava et al. (2005b)	Effects on phagocytosis by macrophages were observed in two <i>low</i> confidence studies. Stimulatory effects on superoxide and hydrogen peroxide production were observed following 4 weeks exposure in the presence of zymosan. Cr(VI) reduced IFN-g stimulated production of nitric oxide following 4 weeks of exposure. Increased mitogen-induced proliferative response in observed in three <i>low</i> confidence studies. No effects on NK cell activity, the MLR, or anti-CD3-stimulated spleen cell proliferation were observed in three <i>high</i> confidence short-term drinking water studies performed in rats and mice.	 Consistent findings across studies of all confidence levels regarding NK cell activity, anti-CD3- stimulated spleen cell proliferation, MLR, and mitogen- induced proliferative response (ConA) Coherent findings for studies investigating phagocytosis, NK cell activity, anti- CD3-stimulated spleen cell proliferation, MLR, and mitogen- induced proliferative response Mechanistic evidence provides 			

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy. 3-235 DRA

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
		biological plausibility • <i>High</i> and <i>medium</i> confidence studies			
IMMUNE ORGAN PATHOLOGY High confidence: <u>NTP (1996a)</u> <u>NTP (2005)</u> <u>NTP (2007)</u> <u>NTP (2008)</u> Medium confidence: <u>Karaulov et al. (2019)</u> <u>NTP (2006b)</u> <u>NTP (2006a)</u> <u>Glaser et al. (1986)</u>	No effects on immune organ gross pathology were reported in six medium or high confidence NTP studies and one medium confidence inhalation study. Similarly, no effects on immune organ histopathology were observed in two high confidence NTP studies. However, structural effects were reported in one medium confidence study.	 Consistent findings for immune organ gross pathology Coherence with male and female rats and mice exposed orally or by inhalation High and medium confidence studies 	• No factors		
IMMUNOGLOBULIN LEVELS – TOTAL Medium confidence: <u>Glaser et al. (1985)</u> Low confidence: <u>Glaser et al. (1986)</u> <u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u>	A dose-dependent increase in serum immunoglobulins following inhalation exposure for 90 days (0.025–0.10 mg/m ³ Cr(VI)); serum immunoglobulin levels were not different from control when rats were exposed to a higher Cr(VI) concentration (i.e., 0.20 mg/m ³). Other inhalation studies of short-term, subchronic, and chronic exposure duration reported no alterations in total serum immunoglobulin levels but did not provide quantitative data.	 Dose-response gradient <i>Medium</i> confidence study 	• No factors		

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
IMMUNE ORGAN WEIGHT High confidence: <u>NTP (2005)</u> <u>NTP (2006b)</u> <u>NTP (2006a)</u> <u>NTP (2007)</u> Medium confidence: <u>Glaser et al. (1985)</u> Jin et al. (2016) Karaulov et al. (2019) Kim et al. (2004) Low confidence: <u>Glaser et al. (1986)</u> <u>Shrivastava et al.</u> (2005b)	Treatment-related decrease in absolute thymus weight was only observed in some long-term studies, but not others. Effects of Cr(VI) exposure on absolute and relative spleen weight were observed in some studies, but not others. Results do not consistently correlate with dose, route of administration, exposure duration or species.	 Consistent findings regarding absolute thymus weight. Most studies are high or medium confidence studies 	• No factors		
WBC COUNTS High confidence: <u>NTP (2005)</u> <u>NTP (2006b)</u> <u>NTP (2006a)</u> Medium confidence: <u>Karaulov et al. (2019)</u>	The percent splenic macrophages increased in one <i>high</i> confidence study. The absolute number of macrophages increased, and the percentage NK cells was increased in a different <i>high</i> confidence study. The absolute and/or relative number for lymphocyte populations varied by timepoint in a <i>medium</i> confidence study. No effects on the absolute number of splenic WBCs in four drinking water studies.	• High and medium confidence studies			

Evidence summary and interpretation						
Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale		
WBC COUNTS (HEMATOLOGY) High confidence: NTP (1996a) NTP (2007) NTP (2008) Medium confidence: NTP (2006b) NTP (2006b) NTP (2006a) Glaser et al. (1986) Glaser et al. (1986) Glaser et al. (1990) Kim et al. (2004) Krim et al. (2013) Wang et al. (2015) Low confidence: Glaser et al. (1985) Shrivastava et al. (2005a) Mechanistic evidence an	Effects on WBC counts were reported in two of five studies performed in mice (4 drinking water, 1 diet) and four of nine studies performed in rats (2 drinking water, 2 inhalation). These effects were observed more often in studies of exposure durations <90 days	 Coherence, especially for rats exposed for longer exposure durations High and medium confidence studies 				
Biological events or pathways	Summary of key findings and interpret	tation		Judgment(s) and rationale		
Effects on immune cell differentiation or activation	Interpretation: In vitro exposure studie activation of dendritic cells, which serv immune responses. Chromium exposur proliferation in vitro. Key findings:	s indicate Cr(VI) has the e an important role in ir re decreased T cell active	potential to affect inate and adaptive ation and	Biologically plausible, coherent and consistent observations of effects on phagocytosis.		

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
Effects on immune effector function of specific cell types	 Dose-dependently increased expression (dendritic cell maturation marker) for antigen presenting cells) expression dendritic cells in vitro (<u>Toebak et a</u>) Decreased activation and prolifera and anti-CD28 in vitro (<u>Dai et al., 2</u>) <i>Limitations</i>: Small evidence base Lack of coherence between in vitro and Valle, 1991) and results of expression vitro. Phagocytosis is in immune responses by removing pathog antigen presentation. <i>Key findings</i>: 	ession of cell surface ma but no effect on CD83 (ssion in human monocy l., 2006) tion of T cells stimulated 017) o lymphocyte proliferati vivo human studies (Mig at Cr(VI) decreases phag mportant in both innate gens and debris and also effected from exposed w (nini et al., 2009) creased phagocytic activ arvested from murine al ge cell line (<u>Badding et a</u> n et al., 1988) effect on random migra exposed to non-cytotoxi is production in human p ni, 1993) I surface expression of C l., 2017)	rker CD86 activation marker te-derived d with anti-CD3 on data (<u>Snyder</u> <u>nini et al., 2009</u> ; ocytosis by and adaptive o as a key event in orkers and treated rity by nd bovine sources <u>al., 2014</u> ; tion in mouse c concentrations rimary D107a, a marker	For the remaining biological events, the evidence base is too small or the findings do not align with in vivo findings (e.g., effects on cell proliferation).	

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
	 Limitations: Inconsistent effects on phagocytic studies in vivo 				
Effects on immune cell proliferation	 Interpretation: Consistent with in vivo e potential to alter T cell proliferative res Key findings: In vitro evidence of potential alteration mitogens PHA and ConA (Mignini et al Bargellini, 1993; Snyder and Valle, 19) Cr(VI) exposure had no effect on B ce proliferation of lymphocytes collected Cr(VI) in vitro (Mignini et al., 2009) In vitro evidence that Cr(VI) exposure stimulated by anti-CD3/anti-CD28 (Data Limitations: Inconsistent evidence for effects on t Difficulty in comparing results due to 	exposure, Cr(VI) exposu ponses. ons in proliferative resp il., 2009; <u>Mignini et al., 191</u>) Il mitogen-stimulated (i d from exposed workers decreases proliferation <u>ai et al., 2017</u> ; <u>Akbar et a</u> he MLR differing test condition	re in vitro has the onses to T cell 2004; <u>Borella and</u> .e., LPS) s and treated with of lymphocytes <u>al., 2011</u>)		
Effects on immune cell communication	 Interpretation: Cr(VI) increases completinfection or development of inflammat <i>Key findings:</i> Cr(VI) exposure increased complement study of serum collected from worker (<u>Qian et al., 2013</u>) Limitations: Limited evidence demonstrating eff 	ment factors, which ma ory disease nt factors C3 and C4 in c rs occupationally expose fects on cell communica	y indicate recent one <i>low</i> confidence ed to chromium tions		

3.2.7. Male reproductive effects

1 The male reproductive system consists of internal and external organs that are regulated by 2 a balanced interplay of hormones from the hypothalamus-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axis. The 3 development and function of the male reproductive system can be affected by toxicants that 4 directly reach reproductive tissues or by the disruption of hormone activity at any point along the 5 HPG axis (<u>Creasy and Chapin, 2018</u>). Common endpoints associated with male reproductive toxicity 6 include semen parameters and male reproductive hormone levels in human studies, as well as 7 changes in fertility and fecundity, sperm parameters, reproductive system organ weights and 8 histopathology, structural abnormalities, and changes in sexual behavior in animal studies (U.S. 9 EPA, 1996a). This section considers reproductive effects in males exposed to Cr(VI) at any life stage, 10 including exposures occurring preconception and for all stages of development. This is in 11 accordance with EPA's Framework for Assessing Health Risk of Environmental Exposures To Children 12 (U.S. EPA, 2006d), which recommends that evidence for organ system toxicity be considered for all 13 life stages in order to identify populations or life stages that may be more susceptible to chemical-14 induced toxicity. Reproductive effects resulting from developmental exposures are also considered in the "Developmental effects" section. 15

16 **3.2.7.1**. *Human Evidence*

17 <u>Study evaluation summary</u>

18 Table 3-39 summarizes the human epidemiology studies considered in the evaluation of the effects of Cr(VI) on the male reproductive system. These consist of six cross-sectional occupational 19 20 studies conducted among workers in two industries with known risk of exposure to Cr(VI) in 21 Denmark and India. They include five studies of stainless-steel welders (Danadevi et al., 2003; 22 Hjollund et al., 1998; Bonde and Ernst, 1992; Bonde, 1990; Jelnes and Knudsen, 1988). Two of these 23 studies were performed on the same cohort of workers using different analyses (Bonde and Ernst, 24 <u>1992; Bonde, 1990</u>) and therefore were evaluated as a single study (Table 3-39), although there are 25 differences in the analyses and results between the two studies as discussed below. In addition, one 26 study conducted in chromium (III) sulfate production workers was considered relevant due to 27 evidence of exposure to Cr(VI) among the workers that could be explained by the location of the 28 chromium sulfate operations within a chromate production plant (Kumar et al., 2005). 29 In all studies, the primary exposure route was inhalation of Cr(VI) in air. Air concentrations 30 of Cr(VI) (mean [SD] = 3.6 [2.8] ug/m³) were reported in one cohort of stainless-steel welders 31 (Bonde, 1990) (Protocol, Section 6, Appendix A for more on consideration of welding studies in this 32 assessment). No other studies of Cr(VI) exposure and male reproductive effects in humans reported 33 air concentrations of Cr(VI) or total chromium. 34 Lack of air concentration measurements in all studies except one (Bonde, 1990) contributed

35 to concerns about potential bias from exposure misclassification. These concerns were mitigated

- 1 when job-based dichotomous exposure categories were consistent with reported concentrations of
- 2 chromium in urine (Bonde and Ernst, 1992) or blood (Danadevi et al., 2003). In one study of
- 3 workers on a site where both trivalent and hexavalent chromate products were produced (<u>Kumar</u>
- 4 <u>et al., 2005</u>), it is unclear whether blood concentrations of chromium reflected Cr(VI) specifically;
- 5 however the high rate of nasal perforation among the workers in this study indicate a history of
- 6 Cr(VI) exposure. Other study evaluation concerns included potential residual confounding (Kumar
- 7 <u>et al., 2005; Jelnes and Knudsen, 1988</u>) and concerns about outcome measurement (<u>Kumar et al.,</u>
- 8 <u>2005; Hjollund et al., 1998</u>).

9

- The study evaluations resulted in one *medium* confidence study (<u>Bonde and Ernst, 1992</u>;
- 10 Bonde, 1990) and four *low* confidence studies (<u>Kumar et al., 2005</u>; <u>Danadevi et al., 2003</u>; <u>Hjollund et</u>
- 11 <u>al., 1998; Jelnes and Knudsen, 1988</u>). Results of the male reproductive effects in these studies—
- 12 specifically, semen parameters and serum reproductive hormones—are summarized in Table 3-40.

Table 3-39. Summary of human studies for Cr(VI) male reproductive effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome.^a <u>Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales</u>.

				Sperm	
Author (year)	Industry	Location	Study Design	Parameters	Hormones
Bonde and Ernst (1992), Bonde	SS Welding	Denmark	Cohort	М	М
<u>(1990)</u>			(occupational)		
Danadevi et al. (2003)	SS Welding	India	Cohort	L	-
			(occupational)		
Hjollund et al. (1998)	SS Welding	Denmark	Cohort	L	U ^b
			(occupational)		
Jelnes and Knudsen (1988)	SS Welding	Denmark	Cohort	Lc	-
			(occupational)		
Kumar et al. (2005)	Chromium	India	Cohort	L	-
	sulfate ^d		(occupational)		

SS = Stainless Steel

^aIn addition to these included studies, two additional studies reported male reproductive outcomes that met PECO criteria but were found to be *uninformative* at the study evaluation stage: <u>Tielemans et al. (1999)</u>; <u>Li et al. (2001)</u>. ^bAnalysis of hormone concentrations in <u>Hjollund et al. (1998)</u> compared all welders to referents (no analysis

comparing SS welders to referents) and therefore was found to be uninformative for this outcome. *Cuninformative* for motility only. *Low* confidence for other sperm parameters.

^dThough chromium sulfate is trivalent, there is evidence of simultaneous or recent exposure to Cr(VI) in the exposed group.

13 <u>Synthesis of evidence in humans</u>

14 Semen parameters

15 Four core endpoints were considered in the evaluation of the effects of exposure to Cr(VI)

16 on semen parameters: volume, concentration, morphology and motility. A key consideration when

17 assessing the quality of outcome measurements for these endpoints was the window of time

18 following collection of samples (<u>Radke et al., 2019</u>). Other quality control procedures related to

- 1 collection and processing of samples were considered, including but not limited to collection of
- 2 more than one sample from the same individual and abstinence period duration before sample
- 3 collection.
- 4 One *medium* confidence study reported mild decreases in semen volume and sperm motility
- 5 in stainless-steel welders (mean [SD] = 2.4 [1.1] mL; 51.0 [15.7] percent motile) compared to
- 6 nonwelders (mean [SD] = 3.1 [1.3] mL; 57.7 [14.8] percent motile), but no differences in sperm
- 7 concentration or morphology between these two groups (<u>Bonde, 1990</u>). In the same cohort,
- 8 comparisons of sperm concentration, morphology and motility among three exposure groups
- 9 characterized by urine chromium measurements were indicative of an effect but did not reach
- 10 statistical significance (Bonde and Ernst, 1992) (Table 3-40). Both air concentrations and urine
- 11 chromium concentration were higher among stainless-steel welders compared to mild steel
- 12 welders or nonwelders, and these exposure data lent confidence to the exposure characterization of
- 13 participants in both analyses. These data also reveal some exposure misclassification in both
- 14 analyses that may have decreased study sensitivity. The detection of a statistically significant
- 15 decrease in volume and motility despite limits to study sensitivity increased confidence in the
- 16 findings of this study.

					Semen Parameters				Hormones		
		Confi	Result			Concentration	% Normal		Т	LH	FSH
Study	Exposure	dence	Format	N	Vol (mL)	(million/mL)	forms	% Motile	(nmol/L)	(IU/L)	(IU/L)
Danish	SS welding v.	Μ	Mean (SD)		Exp: 2.4 (1.1)	Exp: 58.4 (16.7)	Exp: 65.8 (15.7)	Exp: 51.0 (15.7)	Exp: 17.3 (5.8)	Exp: 6.1 (2.4)	Exp: 4.4 (5.1)
Welders ^a	ref		and p-value	Exp: 35	Ref: 3.1 (1.3)	Ref: 58.6 (23.9)	Ref: 66.7 (17.1)	Ref: 57.7 (14.8)	Ref: 21.2 (8.0)	Ref: 7.2 (2.7)	Ref: 4.9 (2.8)
Bonde				Ref: 54	p < 0.05	NS	NS	p < 0.05	<i>p</i> < 0.05	NS	NS
<u>(1990)</u>											
Danish	3-level ^a	Μ	Unadjusted		β: 0.2	β: -1.5	β: -1.6	β: –0.5	β: –1.2	β: -0.1	β: -0.1
Welders ^a			regression	Low: 60	Low: 2.9 (1.3)	Low: 54.5 (26.9)	Low: 65.8	Low: 55.2 (14.6)	Low: 21.0	Low: 6.8 (3.0)	Low: 4.7 (2.9)
Bonde and			beta;	Med: 24	Med: 3.0 (1.6)	Med: 62.8 (21.7)	(17.8)	Med: 54.8 (11.9)	(7.8)	Med: 6.8 (2.4)	Med: 5.0
Ernst (1992)			Mean (SD)	High: 23	High: 3.2 (1.4)	High: 50.7 (20.9)	Med: 61.0	High: 51.6 (16.4)	Med: 18.7	High: 6.7 (2.8)	(2.6)
			and p-value		NS	NS	(17.1)	NS	(7.3)	NS	High: 4.5
							High: 56.8		High: 16.4		(2.2)
							(20.5)		(5.6)		NS
							NS		NS		
<u>Danadevi et</u>	Welders ^b v.	L	Mean (SD)		Exp: 2.4 (0.5)	Exp: 14.5 (24.0)	Exp: 37.0 (14.3)	% IMMOTILE:	-	-	-
<u>al. (2003)</u>	Controls		and p-value	Exp: 57	Ref: 2.5 (0.5)	Ref: 62.8 (43.7)	Ref: 69.0 (8.0)	Exp: 31.0 (16.6)			
				Ref: 57	NS	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	Ref: 12.4 (7.0)			
								<i>p</i> < 0.001			
<u>Hjollund et</u>	SS welding v.	L	Median	Exp: NR	-	Exp: 56.0	-	-	Uninformative	Uninformative	Uninformativ
<u>al. (1998)</u> c	ref		(crude and	Ref: NR		(crude)			for this	for this	e for this
			adj)	(29, 205		Exp: 65.5 (adj)			endpoint	endpoint	endpoint
				respectively		Ref: 50.0					
				at		(crude)					
				enrollment)		Ref: 46.4 (adj)					
Jelnes and	SS welding v.	L	Median and		Exp: 3.0	Exp: 58.6	Exp: 36.0	Uninformative	-	-	-
<u>Knudsen</u>	ref		p-value	Exp: 75–77	Ref: 3.0	Ref: 58.2	Ref: 36.5	for this endpoint			
<u>(1988)</u>				Ref: 67–68	<i>p</i> = 0.50–0.70	<i>p</i> = 0.95	<i>p</i> = 0.70–0.90				
<u>Kumar et al.</u>	Chromate	L	Mean (SD)		Exp: 2.67	Exp: 49.57	Exp: 27.87 (2.5)	Exp: 73.77	-	-	-
<u>(2005)</u>	workers v.		and p-value	Exp: 54–61	(0.964)	(36.3)	Ref: 45.10	(11.79)			
	ref			Ref: 10–15	Ref: 2.54	Ref: 43.75 (29.9)	(13.4)	Ref: 76.89 (5.76)			
					(0.641)	p = NS	<i>p</i> < 0.005	<i>p</i> = NS			
					p = NR						

Table 3-40. Summary of results from human studies of Cr(VI) male reproductive effects

NS = not significant, as reported the study; exact p-values are included in the table when available. NR = not reported.

^aTwo analyses in the same cohort (<u>Bonde and Ernst, 1992</u>; <u>Bonde, 1990</u>). Exposure variable characterization by job category (supported by air concentration data) in 1990 analysis, exposure characterization by urine chromium (supported by job history) in 1992 analysis.

^bWelding type not specified, blood chromium higher in welders compared to referents, co-exposure to Ni.

^cStainless steel and non-stainless-steel welders were pooled in the analysis of the male hormone concentrations; therefore, the hormone analysis from this study was considered *uninformative*.

1 Of the four other studies considered, all four measured sperm concentration and were 2 judged to be low confidence for that outcome (Kumar et al., 2005; Danadevi et al., 2003; Hjollund et 3 al., 1998; Jelnes and Knudsen, 1988) (Table 3-39). Three of the studies also measured semen 4 volume and sperm morphology and motility and were judged to be *low* confidence for all outcomes 5 (Kumar et al., 2005; Danadevi et al., 2003; Jelnes and Knudsen, 1988), with the exception of one 6 study that was *uninformative* for motility (Jelnes and Knudsen, 1988). One low confidence study, 7 like the *medium* confidence study discussed above, reported a statistically significant decrease in 8 sperm concentration in occupationally exposed groups compared to referents (Danadevi et al., 9 2003). One study reported an increase in sperm concentration in stainless-steel workers that may 10 have been explained by a shorter period of abstinence before sample collection in that group 11 compared to the referent (Hiollund et al., 1998); in addition, sperm samples in this study were 12 frozen before analysis raising concerns about the quality of the outcome measurements (WHO. 13 2010). In all other studies, samples were not frozen and were analyzed within a short time of 14 collection. Also consistent with the findings of the *medium* confidence study discussed previously, 15 two *low* confidence studies that investigated sperm motility reported decreases in the exposed 16 group compared to referents. These findings were statistically significant in one of the studies 17 (Danadevi et al., 2003), but did not reach significance in the other study (Kumar et al., 2005). Both 18 studies also reported changes in morphology (i.e., decreased percent normal forms) in the 19 occupationally exposed group compared to referents (Kumar et al., 2005; Danadevi et al., 2003). 20 One *low* confidence study reported no effect of Cr(VI) exposure on volume, concentration, or 21 morphology, but limited description of the methodology impeded the study evaluation (Jelnes and 22 Knudsen, 1988). 23 Consistency in the findings across several of the five studies, including one *medium* 24 confidence study, suggests that Cr(VI) exposure by the inhalation route at levels observed in 25 occupational settings may impact semen quality. Sperm concentration, morphology, and motility 26 were decreased in exposed groups compared to referents in three of the five studies (Kumar et al., 27 2005; Danadevi et al., 2003; Bonde, 1990), and these results were statistically significant for 28 concentration (Kumar et al., 2005; Danadevi et al., 2003), morphology (Danadevi et al., 2003), and 29 motility (Kumar et al., 2005; Danadevi et al., 2003; Bonde, 1990) despite the likely impact of 30 exposure misclassification on study sensitivity. Evidence of a dose-response pattern to effects of 31 Cr(VI) exposure on concentration, morphology, and motility provides further supporting evidence 32 of a relationship between such exposures and semen quality (Bonde and Ernst, 1992). Two studies 33 reported findings that were inconsistent with the other studies, but these may be explained by 34 study limitations such as the use of frozen sperm samples or study quality issues (Hjollund et al., 35 1998; Jelnes and Knudsen, 1988). Results for semen volume were inconsistent across studies and 36 within analyses in the same cohort, suggesting that Cr(VI) exposure is not associated with this 37 specific endpoint.

1 Male hormones

2 The male reproductive hormones testosterone, luteinizing hormone (LH), and follicle 3 stimulating hormone (FSH) were considered when assessing the effects of exposure to Cr(VI) on 4 male hormones in humans (Radke et al., 2019). The effects of Cr(VI) on other male reproductive 5 hormones that potentially serve as endpoints for the evaluation of reproductive effects, especially 6 for onset of puberty, such as sex hormone binding globulin and dehydroepidandrosterone (DHEA), 7 were not investigated in the studies included in this analysis. A key consideration in the evaluation 8 of studies of male hormones is the timing of sample collection; morning collection is recommended 9 to account for diurnal variation in serum testosterone concentrations. 10 One *medium* confidence study described in two publications was considered in the 11 evaluation of the effect of Cr(VI) exposure on male hormones (Bonde and Ernst, 1992; Bonde,

12 <u>1990</u>). A study by <u>Hjollund et al. (1998)</u> reported male hormones in welders and nonwelders, but

13 the results were considered *uninformative* and are not discussed further because stainless-steel and

14 non-stainless-steel welders were pooled in this analysis. The *medium* confidence study reported

15 significantly decreased serum testosterone concentration in stainless-steel welders (mean

16 [SD] = 17.3 [5.8] nmol/L) compared with nonwelders (mean [SD] = 21.2 [8.0] nmol/L) (Table 3-40)

17 (Bonde, 1990). A dose-response dependent decrease in serum testosterone was also reported in

18 the same cohort, though results of that analysis did not reach statistical significance (Bonde and

19 Ernst, **1992**). In the same study, decreased serum LH and FSH concentrations were also reported in

20 stainless-steel welders compared to nonwelders, but these results did not reach statistical

21 significance. In an alternative analysis, serum LH and FSH decreased with increased exposure to

22 Cr(VI) characterized by urine concentration, but evidence of a dose-response trend was not as

strong for these endpoints as it was for testosterone. As discussed previously in the section on

24 semen parameters, data on air concentrations, urine chromium concentration and job history

support the categorization of exposure in the *medium* confidence study; however, these data also

26 point to exposure misclassification in both analyses that may have decreased study sensitivity. The

27 detection of a statistically significant exposure-dependent decrease in testosterone as well as

28 nonsignificant decreases in all three hormones measured (testosterone, LH, and FSH) despite

29 limitations in study sensitivity increased confidence in the findings of this study.

Due to the small number of studies that assessed the relationship between Cr(VI) exposure
and male reproductive hormones, consistency could not be assessed. However, evidence from two
separate analyses in a *medium* confidence study indicates that exposure may impact serum
concentrations of testosterone and these results are coherent with evidence for semen parameters
described separately. Evidence of a relationship between Cr(VI) and serum concentration of LH and
FSH was not as strong for these hormones as it was for testosterone. The *medium* confidence study
found a small inverse association between Cr(VI) exposure and serum LH and FSH that was not

37 statistically significant and was not supported by the findings of the *low* confidence study.

1 3.2.7.2. Animal Evidence

2 <u>Study evaluation summary</u>

3 Table 3-41 summarizes the animal toxicology studies considered in the evaluation of the 4 effects of Cr(VI) on the male reproductive system. These consist of a two-generation reproductive 5 study with dietary exposure using NTP's Reproductive Assessment by Continuous Breeding (RACB) 6 protocol (NTP, 1997); subchronic oral exposure studies using diet (NTP, 1996a, b), drinking water 7 (NTP, 2007; Bataineh et al., 1997; Elbetieha and Al-Hamood, 1997), or gavage/unspecified oral 8 administration (Marat et al., 2018; Rasool et al., 2014; Yousef et al., 2006); short-term exposure 9 studies using drinking water (Wang et al., 2015) or unspecified oral administration (Kim et al., 10 2012); a chronic inhalation exposure study (Glaser et al., 1986); subchronic inhalation exposure 11 studies (Kim et al., 2004; Glaser et al., 1985); and studies that evaluated F1 males that had been 12 exposed during gestation (Zheng et al., 2018; Al-Hamood et al., 1998) or during gestation and lactation (Kumar et al., 2017). The three available inhalation studies only reported information on 13 14 male gonad weights (Kim et al., 2004; Glaser et al., 1986) or histopathology (Kim et al., 2004; Glaser 15 et al., 1985), whereas the available oral exposure studies provided more specific measurements of 16 male reproductive function including fertility, sperm parameters, hormone levels, and sexual 17 behavior. The report by <u>NTP (2007)</u> included two separate studies: a 3-month study in rats 18 (F344/N) and mice (B6C3F1), and a second 3-month comparative study using three strains of mice 19 (B6C3F1, BALB/c, C57BL-6). 20 NTP's RACB study (<u>NTP, 1997</u>) and subchronic exposure studies (<u>NTP, 2007</u>, <u>1996a</u>, <u>b</u>) and 21 the gestational exposure study by Zheng et al. (2018) were well-reported and well-designed to 22 evaluate reproductive outcomes and were therefore rated as *high* confidence for almost all 23 reported outcomes (Table 3-41). The remaining studies had reporting limitations and other 24 substantial concerns raised during study evaluation and were rated as *low* confidence across all 25 outcomes. Endpoint-specific concerns identified during study evaluation are discussed in the 26 respective sections below. Three of the *low* confidence studies (Al-Hamood et al., 1998; Bataineh et al., 1997: Elbetieha and Al-Hamood, 1997) exposed animals to high concentrations 27 28 (350–1770 mg/L) of Cr(VI) in drinking water, which was considered a potential confounding 29 variable as it is not possible to determine whether reproductive effects may have been exacerbated 30 by reduced water consumption and/or systemic toxicity; for instance, drinking water 31 concentrations of 350 mg/L Cr(VI) have been associated in rats with decreased water consumption 32 and site of contact toxicity (80 and 100% incidence of ulcers in the glandular stomach of males and 33 females, respectively) (NTP, 2007).

Table 3-41. Summary of included animal studies for Cr(VI) male reproductive effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome.^a <u>Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales</u>.

Author (year)	Exposure	Species (strain)	Exposure life stage and	ertility, Fecundity	Sperm evaluation	Histopathology	Hormones	Organ weights	Sexual behavior	Anogenital distance
<u>NTP (1996a)</u>	Diet	Mouse (BALBC)	Adult males; 3, 6, or 9	-	Н	-	-	Н	-	-
<u>NTP (1996b)</u>	Diet	Rat (Sprague-Dawley)	Adult males; 3, 6, or 9 weeks	-	Н	-	-	Н	-	-
NTP (1997)	Diet	Mouse (BALBC)	Reproductive Assessment by Continuous Breeding (F0 to F2)	Н	Н	-	-	Η	-	-
<u>NTP (2007)</u>	Drinking water	Study 1: Rat (F344/N), Mouse (B6C3F1) Study 2: Mouse (B6C3F1, BALB/c, C57BL-6)	Study 1: Adult males; 3 months Study 2: Adult males, 3 months	-	Н	Μ	-	Η	-	-
<u>Al-Hamood et al.</u> (1998)	Drinking water	Mouse (BALBC)	F1 offspring; GD 12–PND 20	L	-	-	-	L	-	-
Bataineh et al. (1997)	Drinking water	Rat (Sprague-Dawley)	Adult males; 12 weeks	L	-	-	-	L	L	-
Elbetieha and Al- Hamood (1997)	Drinking water	Mouse (Swiss)	Adult males; 12 weeks	L	-	-	-	L	-	-
Kumar et al. (2017)	Drinking water	Rat (Wistar)	F1 offspring; GD 9–14	-	L	L	L	L	-	L
Wang et al. (2015)	Drinking water	Rat (Sprague-Dawley)	Adult males; 4 weeks	-	-	L	-	L	-	-
<u>Marat et al. (2018)</u>	Gavage	Rat (white outbred)	Adult males; 60 days	L	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yousef et al. (2006)	Gavage	Rabbit (NZ white)	Adult males; 10 weeks	-	L	-	L	L	L	-
<u>Zheng et al. (2018)</u>	Gavage	Rat (Sprague-Dawley)	F1 offspring; GD 12–21	-	-	H	Η	1	-	-
<u>Kim et al. (2012)</u>	Oral (unspecified)	Rat (Sprague-Dawley)	Adult males; 6 days	-	L	-	-	L	-	-
<u>Rasool et al. (2014)</u>	Oral (unspecified)	Mouse (strain not reported)	Adult males; 30 or 60 days	-	-	L	-	-	-	-
<u>Glaser et al. (1986)</u>	Inhalation	Rat (Wistar)	Adult males; 18 months	-	-	-	-	L	-	-
<u>Glaser et al. (1985)</u>	Inhalation	Rat (Wistar)	Adult males; 28 or 90 days	-	-	L	-	-	-	-
<u>Kim et al. (2004)</u>	Inhalation	Rat (Sprague-Dawley)	Adult males; 90 days	-	-	L	-	L	-	-

GD = gestation day; PND = postnatal day.

^aIn addition to these included studies, there were seven animal toxicology studies reporting male reproductive outcomes that met PECO criteria but were found to be *uninformative* at the study evaluation stage: Aruldhas et al. (2006; 2005; 2004); Chowdhury and Mitra (1995); Li et al. (2001); Subramanian et al. (2006); Zabulyte et al. (2009); and Zahid et al. (1990).

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy. 3-248 DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

1 <u>Synthesis of evidence in animals^{39 40}</u>

2 Fertility and fecundity

3 No effects on the ability to impregnate females were observed across the five studies in rats 4 or mice that evaluated this outcome. These consisted of the *high* confidence RACB study in mice by 5 NTP (1997) that evaluated F0 and F1 parental animals at oral doses in diet ranging from 6.8– 6 30.3 mg-kg/day Cr(VI) (F0) or 7.9–37.1 mg-kg/day Cr(VI) (F1); two low confidence studies that 7 evaluated adult male rats or mice that had been exposed to 350 mg/L or up to 1770 mg/L Cr(VI). 8 respectively, in drinking water for 12 weeks prior to mating (Bataineh et al., 1997; Elbetieha and Al-9 Hamood, 1997); one low confidence study that evaluated adult male rats that had been exposed to 10 0.353 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) via gavage for 60 days prior to mating (Marat et al., 2018); and one low 11 confidence study that evaluated adult F1 male mice that had been exposed to maternal doses of 12 350 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water during gestation and lactation (Al-Hamood et al., 1998). However, <u>Elbetieha and Al-Hamood (1997)</u> observed a statistically significant decrease in the 13 14 number of implantations and viable fetuses when Cr(VI)-exposed male Swiss mice were mated with 15 untreated females; this effect was observed in 710 or 1410 mg/L Cr(VI) dose groups, but not the 16 highest dose group (1770 mg/L). Similarly, increased pre- and post-implantation mortality in rats 17 dosed with 0.353 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) by oral gavage prior to mating was observed by Marat et al. 18 (2018), who reported a dominant lethal mutation frequency of 0.665 by comparing the number of 19 live fetuses in the Cr(VI) treatment group to the control group. No effects on offspring viability were 20 observed in rats or mice in other studies following paternal exposure (Al-Hamood et al., 1998; 21 Bataineh et al., 1997; NTP, 1997). Overall, decreased fetal viability following paternal-only exposure

- 22 (indicative of dominant lethal mutations in sperm) was observed across two studies, but
- 23 interpretation is limited because these studies were considered *low* confidence and the only
- 24 available *high* confidence study failed to observe similar effects.
- 25 Sperm evaluation
- 26 No effects on sperm were observed in the *high* confidence subchronic exposure studies in
- 27 rats and a variety of mouse strains by NTP at oral doses ranging from 0.35–32.5 mg/kg-day Cr(VI)
- in drinking water or diet (<u>NTP, 2007</u>, <u>1996a</u>, <u>b</u>), or in the *high* confidence RACB study in mice that
- evaluated F0 and F1 males at doses ranging from 6.8–30.3 mg-kg/day Cr(VI) (F0) or 7.9–

<u>NTP (1996a)</u> (here)

NTP (1996b) (here)

NTP (2007) (male B6C3F1 mice, male BALBC mice, male am3-C57BL/6 mice).

³⁹Data are available in HAWC for: <u>NTP (1997)</u> (here)

⁴⁰For many of the oral studies presented here, it was not possible to estimate an average daily mg/kg dose due to lack of reporting. To estimate an average daily dose, paired records of body weight and daily intake of test article are required. This is particularly important for Cr(VI) reproductive and developmental studies, because rapid changes in maternal body weight are expected during pregnancy, and Cr(VI) affects palatability (which affects both Cr(VI) intake rate and body weight). Doses of Cr(VI) are presented where possible, however many cross-study comparisons are done on the basis of mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water.

1 37.1 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) (F1) in diet (NTP, 1997). These studies reported multiple measurements 2 aimed at evaluating effects on spermatogenesis. The NTP RACB and 3-month drinking water studies 3 included measurements of testicular sperm head count (NTP, 2007, 1997), epididymal sperm 4 density (NTP, 2007, 1997), epididymal sperm morphology (NTP, 1997), and evaluation of 5 epididymal sperm motility using computer-assisted sperm motion analysis (NTP, 1997) or visual 6 motility analysis by two observers (NTP, 2007). Sperm from both F0 and F1 males were evaluated 7 in the RACB study (NTP, 1997). In the 3-month dietary exposure studies by NTP (1996a, b), animals 8 underwent whole-body perfusion with fixative after 3, 6, or 9 weeks of exposure and effects on 9 spermatogenesis were evaluated by counting the ratio of preleptotene spermatocytes and Sertoli 10 cell nuclei in Stage X or XI tubules, with investigators blinded to the dose group. Perfusion fixation 11 is considered the gold standard for histopathological evaluation of the testis (Haschek et al., 2009; 12 Foley, 2001), and blinding is considered appropriate for reducing observation bias for this 13 relatively subjective measurement. There were no notable concerns about these evaluations. 14 In contrast, three *low* confidence studies observed dose-related decreases in sperm quality 15 or quantity (Kumar et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2012; Yousef et al., 2006). These studies did not indicate 16 whether investigators were blinded during outcome evaluation and had additional reporting and 17 study design concerns identified during study evaluation. Yousef et al. (2006) reported a 18 statistically significant decrease in packed sperm volume, sperm concentration, total sperm output, 19 and sperm motility, and a statistically significant increase in the percentage of dead sperm in 20 ejaculates measured weekly from adult rabbits exposed via oral gavage to 3.6 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) for 21 10 weeks. Concerns were raised about the interpretation of results because the numerical data 22 presented by the authors (means \pm SE) appeared to be an average of weekly measurements across 23 10 weeks of exposure, which is difficult to interpret. Graphical data were shown for weekly 24 measurements, but only as means without a measure of variance. Kumar et al. (2017) reported a 25 statistically significant decrease in epididymal sperm forward motility (measured visually under a 26 microscope), sperm viability, and sperm count in adult F1 rats that had been exposed during 27 gestation at maternal doses of 17.7–70.7 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water. These measurements were 28 presented as the mean of individual animals without accounting for potential litter effects, which 29 has the potential to overestimate statistical significance (Haseman et al., 2001). Kim et al. (2012) 30 reported a statistically significant decrease in sperm head count and motility but no effect on the 31 percentage of abnormal sperm in adult rats exposed to 10 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) for 6 days. This short 32 exposure duration does not cover the duration of spermatogenesis, and therefore lacks sensitivity 33 for detecting potential effects on spermatogonia. Overall, although these three studies report that 34 Cr(VI) exposure can affect sperm quality and quantity, interpretation is limited since these studies 35 are considered *low* confidence and higher confidence studies failed to observe any effects.

36 Histopathology

Almost all studies that evaluated histopathological outcomes in male reproductive tissuesused conventional fixation in formalin, which is not recommended for the testis because it gives

- 1 poor penetration and may cause artifacts (<u>Haschek et al., 2009</u>; <u>Foley, 2001</u>). This was considered a
- 2 sensitivity concern and reduced the confidence in this dataset. <u>Zheng et al. (2018)</u> is the only study
- 3 that used Bouin's solution, which is considered a preferable fixative for the testis (<u>Creasy and</u>
- 4 <u>Chapin, 2018; Foley, 2001</u>). The study by <u>NTP (2007</u>) reported that slides used for
- 5 histopathological evaluation were peer reviewed and the final diagnoses represent a consensus of
- 6 contractor pathologists and the NTP Pathology Working Groups, which is considered a best practice
- 7 for histopathological evaluations (<u>Crissman et al., 2004</u>). None of the other studies indicated that
- 8 any steps were taken to reduce observational bias.
- 9 No dose-related lesions were observed in the testis, epididymis, prostate, or preputial gland
- 10 in the 3-month drinking water exposure studies by <u>NTP (2007)</u> in rats and in a variety of mouse
- strains at oral doses up to 20.9 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) (Study 1 rats), 27.9 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) (Study 1
- 12 mice), or 8.7 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) (Study 2 mice). These studies by <u>NTP (2007)</u> were considered
- 13 *medium* confidence for the testicular evaluation due to the use of formalin fixative and *high*
- 14 confidence for other male reproductive organs. There were also no reported histopathological
- 15 changes in the gonad in the *low* confidence 25- or 90-day inhalation studies in rats by <u>Glaser et al.</u>
- 16 (1985) and <u>Kim et al. (2004)</u> at concentrations up to 0.2 mg/m³ Cr(VI) or 1.25 mg/m³ Cr(VI),
- 17 respectively; or in the *low* confidence 4-week drinking water study by <u>Wang et al. (2015)</u> at
- 18 concentrations up to 106.1 mg/L Cr(VI).
- 19 In contrast, a *high* confidence gestational exposure study (Zheng et al., 2018) and two *low* 20 confidence subchronic oral exposure studies (Kumar et al., 2017; Rasool et al., 2014) observed 21 histopathological changes in the testis. <u>Zheng et al. (2018)</u> reported altered Leydig cell distribution 22 (increased single-cell clusters and decreased larger clusters) and decreased Leydig cell size and 23 cytoplasmic size in F1 male rat pups following maternal exposure to 3–12 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) by oral 24 gavage from GD 12–21, but no change in Leydig cell number or proliferation. The number of Sertoli 25 cells and the incidence of multinuclear gonocytes in the pups was not affected. Rasool et al. (2014) 26 observed damage to Leydig cells, germinal epithelium, and sperm cells in mice exposed to oral 27 doses of 1.77 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) but did not provide quantitative data on the incidence and severity 28 of the observed effects. In adult F1 male rats that had been exposed from GD 9–14 to maternal 29 doses of 17.7–70.7 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water, Kumar et al. (2017) observed a statistically 30 significant decrease in the diameter of the seminiferous tubules and lumen, number of Sertoli cells, 31 and testicular spermatocytes and spermatids; however, this measurement was presented as the 32 mean of individual animals without accounting for potential litter effects, which has the potential to 33 overestimate statistical significance (<u>Haseman et al., 2001</u>).
- Within the *high* confidence study by <u>Zheng et al. (2018)</u>, the changes in Leydig cell
 distribution may be coherent with the reported effects on testosterone in this study (see next
 section). Histopathological changes were also coherent with effects on testosterone and sperm
 parameters within *low* confidence studies, although the interpretation of those studies is more
 limited.

1 Hormones

2 Effects on reproductive hormone levels were observed across one *high* confidence and two 3 low confidence studies, which were the only studies that evaluated this outcome. The high 4 confidence study by <u>Zheng et al. (2018)</u> reported a nonmonotonic effect in which serum 5 testosterone was increased in F1 male rat pups following maternal exposure to 3 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) 6 by oral gavage from GD 12-21, but decreased in the 12 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) dose group. The low 7 confidence study by <u>Yousef et al. (2006)</u> reported a statistically significant decrease in plasma 8 testosterone in rabbits after a 12-week oral exposure to 3.6 mg/kg-day Cr(VI). Concerns about 9 selective reporting and the presentation of results were raised because authors stated that 10 testosterone measurements were performed biweekly but reported only a single mean value for 11 serum testosterone. In adult F1 males that had been exposed from GD 9–14 via maternal drinking 12 water, the *low* confidence study by <u>Kumar et al. (2017)</u> reported a statistically significant decrease 13 in testosterone in serum and testicular interstitial fluid at maternal doses of 70.7 mg/L and 17.7– 14 70.7 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water, respectively, and a statistically significant decrease in follicle 15 stimulating hormone (FSH) and luteinizing hormone (LH) in serum at a maternal dose of 70.7 mg/L 16 Cr(VI). This measurement was presented as the mean of individual animals without accounting for 17 potential litter effects, which has the potential to overestimate statistical significance (Haseman et 18 <u>al., 2001</u>). 19 These results suggest that Cr(VI) exposure has an anti-androgenic effect at higher dose

These results suggest that Cr(VI) exposure has an anti-androgenic effect at higher dose levels, although interpretation of results in the *low* confidence studies is limited. The *high* confidence studies by NTP (2007, 1997, 1996a, b) did not evaluate hormone levels, so a direct comparison with those studies is not possible; however, one mouse strain in NTP's 3-month drinking water study observed decreased testis weight (NTP, 2007), which is considered indicative of changes in androgen levels (Foster and Gray, 2013; Evans and Ganjam, 2011). The lack of effect on male reproductive organ weights in the other studies by NTP suggests that there was minimal effect on androgens on those studies.

27 Organ weight

28 Except for decreased testis weight observed in one mouse strain in the *high* confidence study by NTP (2007), effects on male reproductive organ weights were only seen in *low* confidence 29 30 studies. The 3-month drinking water exposure study by <u>NTP (2007)</u> reported a statistically 31 significant 11% decrease in absolute testis weight in *am3*-C57BL/6 mice in the highest dose group 32 (8.7 mg/kg-day Cr(VI); n = 5/group). No effects were observed in the two other mouse strains 33 (B6C3F1 and BALB/c) that were tested in this study at doses up to 8.7 mg/kg-day Cr(VI), or in 34 F344/N rats or B6C3F1 mice at doses up to 20.9 and 27.9 mg/kg-day Cr(VI), respectively (NTP. 35 2007). No effects on testis or accessory reproductive organ weights were observed in the other *high* 36 confidence RACB or 3-month dietary exposure studies in mice or rats by NTP at doses ranging from 37 0.35–37.1 mg/kg-day Cr(V1) (<u>NTP. 1997, 1996a, b</u>). There were also no effects on testis weight in

1 the low confidence studies by Glaser et al. (1986), Kim et al. (2004), Al-Hamood et al. (1998), Wang 2 et al. (2015), or Kim et al. (2012). Kim et al. (2012) also reported no effect on epididymis weight, 3 although the short exposure duration in this study (6 days) likely limited study sensitivity. 4 In contrast, four *low* confidence subchronic oral exposure studies reported Cr(VI)-induced 5 changes in testis and accessory male reproductive organ weights. The most notable findings 6 consisted of a statistically significant decrease in absolute testis, seminal vesicle, and preputial 7 gland weights in rats after 12-week exposure to 350 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water (Bataineh et al., 8 <u>1997</u>); a statistically significant decrease in relative testis and epididymis weights in rabbits after a 9 10-week exposure to 3.6 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) via oral gavage (Yousef et al., 2006); and a statistically 10 significant decrease in relative testis weight and absolute epididymal and seminal vesicle weights in 11 adult F1 rats that had been exposed from GD 9–14 to maternal doses of 17.7–70.7 mg/L Cr(VI) in 12 drinking water (Kumar et al., 2017). The measurements by Kumar et al. (2017) were presented as 13 the mean of individual animals without accounting for potential litter effects, which has the 14 potential to overestimate statistical significance (Haseman et al., 2001). Additionally, the 12-week 15 drinking water exposure study in mice by <u>Elbetieha and Al-Hamood (1997)</u> reported a statistically 16 significant decrease in relative seminal vesicle and preputial gland weight in the 1770 mg/L Cr(VI) 17 group, but a statistically significant increase in relative testis weight in the 710 and 1770 mg/L 18 Cr(VI) groups; however, the increase in relative testis weight may have been an artifact of 19 decreased body weight in these animals. It has been shown that testis weights are not modeled well 20 by an organ-to-body weight ratio because testis and body weights are not proportional (Bailey et 21 al., 2004), so relative organ weights may be a less sensitive measure than absolute testis weight. 22 Overall, these results suggest that male reproductive organ weights can be decreased by 23 Cr(VI) exposure, which is consistent with decreased androgen levels as described above. However, 24 interpretation of these results is limited because effects were predominantly observed in *low* 25 confidence studies and were not observed in the majority of the *high* confidence studies by NTP. 26 Effects on testis weight observed by Yousef et al. (2006) and Kumar et al. (2017) are coherent with 27 the decreased testosterone observed in these studies.

28 Sexual behavior

29 Effects on sexual behavior were observed in two *low* confidence subchronic oral exposure 30 studies, which were the only studies that evaluated this outcome. Neither of these studies reported 31 that any steps were taken to reduce observational bias during outcome evaluation, which is a 32 concern since behavior can be a relatively subjective measurement. In rats, <u>Bataineh et al. (1997)</u> 33 reported a statistically significant decrease in mounts and percentage of males ejaculating, and 34 significant increase in ejaculation latency and post-ejaculatory interval following 12 weeks of 35 exposure to 350 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water. This assessment of sexual behavior was performed 36 on a separate cohort of animals than those used in the fertility assay by these authors (see earlier 37 section). In rabbits, <u>Yousef et al. (2006)</u> reported a statistically significant increase in the reaction 38 time to mounting following 10-week exposure to 3.6 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) by oral gavage. These

- 1 results are suggestive of effects on sexual behavior, but interpretation of the results is limited
- 2 because these studies are considered *low* confidence.

3 Anogenital distance (AGD)

4 The low confidence gestational exposure study by Kumar et al. (2017) reported a 5 dose-related decrease in AGD in F1 male rats that had been exposed during gestation from GD 9–14 6 to maternal doses of 17.7–70.7 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water. AGD was measured at multiple 7 timepoints between PNDs 1–30. AGD is a biomarker of androgen-dependent development, so this 8 effect is coherent with the decreased androgen levels observed in these animals as adults (see 9 earlier section). This measurement was presented as the mean of individual animals without 10 accounting for potential litter effects, which has the potential to overestimate statistical significance 11 (<u>Haseman et al., 2001</u>). Overall, while this finding suggests that Cr(VI) exposure decreases AGD via 12 decreased androgen levels, interpretation of the results is limited because this study is considered 13 *low* confidence.

14

3.2.7.3. Mechanistic Evidence

15 The Cr(VI) literature provides evidence for potential mechanisms of Cr(VI)-induced male 16 reproductive toxicity; specifically, oxidative stress and apoptosis in male reproductive tissues, 17 alterations in steroid hormone signaling and the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axis, effects 18 on the blood-testis barrier, and effects on meiosis. These studies support the biological plausibility 19 that Cr(VI) may have the potential to act as a male reproductive toxicant acting through several 20 possible modes of action. Mechanistic studies are tabulated in Appendix C.2.6 and summarized 21 here.

22 The mechanistic studies reviewed here consisted of in vivo mechanistic data from several of 23 the included oral exposure studies discussed above (Table 3-41), as well as from intraperitoneal 24 (i.p.) injection studies that did not meet PECO criteria but were reviewed as informative for 25 mechanistic analysis. Dosing via i.p. injection is likely to result in higher tissue concentrations of 26 Cr(VI) compared to oral exposure due to the oral first-pass effect caused by the reduction of Cr(VI) 27 in the low pH environment of the stomach; less than 10–20% of an ingested dose may be absorbed 28 in the GI tract, and further reduction will occur in the liver prior to distribution to the rest of the 29 body (see Section 3.1 and Appendix C). Therefore, systemic effects are expected to be more likely 30 following i.p. injection or inhalation compared to oral exposure. Given their specific relevance to the 31 pattern of findings observed in a subset of the in vivo animal studies, in vitro studies that evaluated 32 Leydig, Sertoli, or male germ cells were also considered within this synthesis of mechanistic 33 evidence.

34 <u>Oxidative stress</u>

Decreased antioxidant enzyme activities [e.g., superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT),
 glutathione peroxidase (GPx), glutathione-S-transferase (GST), glucose-6-phosphate

- 1 dehydrogenase (G-6-PDH), γ-glutamyl transpeptidase (γ-GT)], decreased nonenzymatic
- 2 antioxidants (metallothionein, glutathione, vitamins A, C, E), and increased lipid peroxidation
- 3 [measured as malondialdehyde (MDA) or lipid peroxidation potential] were observed in serum or
- 4 in male reproductive tissues in rodents and monkeys concurrent with apical outcomes following
- 5 oral exposure (<u>Rasool et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2012; Subramanian et al., 2006; Aruldhas et al., 2005</u>)
- 6 or i.p. injection (<u>El-Demerdash et al., 2019</u>; <u>Marouani et al., 2015a</u>; <u>Hfaiedh et al., 2014</u>; <u>Acharya et</u>
- 7 <u>al., 2006; Acharya et al., 2004</u>). Similar markers of oxidative stress were observed in vitro in
- 8 cultured mouse Leydig cells, Sertoli cells, or spermatagonial stem cells (Lv et al., 2018; Das et al.,
- 9 <u>2015</u>). Although antioxidant levels were generally decreased across studies, increased GST or
- 10 metallothionein were observed in some cases (<u>Das et al., 2015; Marouani et al., 2015a; Aruldhas et</u>
- 11 <u>al., 2005</u>), indicating an antioxidant response.
- 12 Several in vivo studies demonstrated that effects on sperm, testicular histopathology,
- 13 hormones, and male fecundity were attenuated following cotreatment with antioxidants (<u>El-</u>
- 14 Demerdash et al., 2019; Lv et al., 2018; Hfaiedh et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2012; Subramanian et al.,
- 15 <u>2006</u>). This may imply that oxidative stress is a mechanism underlying these effects, but
- 16 interpretation is difficult because antioxidants can also decrease tissue Cr(VI) levels by stabilizing
- 17 lower Cr oxidation states. For instance, <u>Subramanian et al. (2006)</u> reported lower plasma Cr levels
- 18 with coadministration of Vitamin C. The authors hypothesized that the protective effect of Vitamin
- 19 C may be due to enhanced conversion of Cr(VI) to Cr(III).
- 20 Apoptosis of somatic and germ cells
- 21 Increased expression of the pro-apoptotic protein BAX and increased DNA fragmentation
- 22 (measured using DNA ladders or by the biomarker γ-H2AX) were observed in the testes of male
- rats and mice following i.p. injection (Lv et al., 2018; Marouani et al., 2015a). I.p. injection studies
- 24 have also reported degenerative histopathological changes in seminiferous tubules and
- 25 spermatogenic cells, absence of spermatocytes in the seminiferous tubules, and lower sperm counts
- in rats, mice, and rabbits (<u>El-Demerdash et al., 2019; Lv et al., 2018; Acharya et al., 2004; Behari et</u>
- 27 <u>al., 1978</u>).

28 In vitro studies using mouse Leydig, Sertoli, or spermatagonial stem cells provided

- 29 additional evidence of the activation of intrinsic (mitochondria-dependent) apoptotic pathways,
- 30 including increased staining in the TUNEL assay, decreased mitochondrial membrane potential,
- decreased BAX/BCL-2 ratio, and increased cleavage of caspases 3 and 9 in all three of these cell
- 32 types (Lv et al., 2018; Das et al., 2015). In vitro studies also found that biomarkers of extrinsic
- apoptosis (caspase 8, Fas) were not activated, further supporting intrinsic apoptosis as the
- 34 mechanism of cell death (Lv et al., 2018; Das et al., 2015). It was demonstrated both in vivo and in
- 35 vitro that apoptosis was attenuated following cotreatment with an antioxidant (Lv et al., 2018; Das
- 36 <u>et al., 2015</u>).

1 <u>Altered steroidogenesis and effects on the HPG axis</u>

2 As described above, a *high* confidence oral gavage study in rats reported a nonmonotonic 3 effect on fetal testosterone in F1 male rats (increased at the lowest dose and decreased at the 4 highest dose) (Zheng et al., 2018), and two low confidence oral exposure studies in rabbits (Yousef 5 et al., 2006) and rats (Kumar et al., 2017) reported decreased testosterone and gonadotropin levels. 6 <u>Zheng et al. (2018)</u> also reported nonmonotonic or decreased mRNA and/or protein expression of 7 genes involved in testicular steroidogenesis and differentiation. The mRNA and protein expression 8 changes were generally consistent with the observed effects on testosterone, although some 9 steroidogenic genes [e.g., CYP11A and steroidogenic acute regulatory protein (StAR)] were not 10 affected. Similarly, i.p. injection studies reported decreased testosterone (El-Demerdash et al., 2019; Hfaiedh et al., 2014; Marouani et al., 2012), decreased LH, and increased FSH (El-Demerdash 11 12 et al., 2019; Marouani et al., 2012) in adult male rats. Hfaiedh et al. (2014) and El-Demerdash et al. 13 (2019) found that the hormone changes were attenuated by cotreatment with an antioxidant. An in 14 vitro study in cultured mouse Leydig cells reported decreased testosterone secretion and decreased 15 transcriptional expression of genes in the steroidogenesis pathway after Cr(VI) treatment (Das et 16 al., 2015). In cultured mouse Sertoli cells, the same study reported decreased transcriptional 17 expression of androgen receptor (Ar) and follicle stimulating hormone receptor (Fshr), both of 18 which play a key role in the maturation and functioning of Sertoli cells (Das et al., 2015). The 19 transcriptional changes in the in vitro studies are coherent with the anti-androgenic effects 20 observed in the available in vivo studies. 21 Another series of studies specifically suggested that the pituitary and hypothalamus were 22 targeted by Cr(VI). Male rats exposed to 73.05 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) for 30 days by drinking water 23 were found to have Cr accumulation in the pituitary and decreased serum prolactin, but no effect on serum LH, with the same trend observed in primary rat anterior pituitary cells treated with Cr(VI) 24 25 in vitro (Quinteros et al., 2007). A follow-up study using the same experimental design but lower dose [11.6 mg/kg-day Cr(VI)] reported accumulation of Cr and evidence of oxidative stress in the 26 27 pituitary and hypothalamus (Nudler et al., 2009). Oxidative stress and apoptosis were also reported 28 in primary anterior pituitary cells treated with Cr(VI) in vitro and were mitigated by cotreatment with an antioxidant (Quinteros et al., 2008; Quinteros et al., 2007). 29

30 Effects on blood-testis barrier

Several studies reported that Cr(VI) exposure impaired the dynamics of the blood-testis
barrier. In rats exposed by i.p. injection, Murthy et al. (1991) observed leakage of Sertoli cell tight
junctions and adverse effects on late stage spermatids using electron microscopy. In cultured
mouse Sertoli cells in vitro, Cr(VI) treatment decreased transcriptional expression of tight junction
signaling molecules (Das et al., 2015). Comparatively, in a bicameral chamber culture of rat primary
Sertoli and germ cells that maintains the blood-testis barrier, gap junction coupling was decreased

- 1 and the gap junction protein connexin 43 was delocalized from the membrane to the cytoplasm, but
- 2 adherins and tight junction proteins were not affected (<u>Carette et al., 2013</u>).

3 <u>Effects on meiosis</u>

A single study provides evidence of an effect of Cr(VI) on meiosis, another potential
mechanism for effects on spermatogenesis. Using the same bicameral culture chamber model as
<u>Carette et al. (2013)</u>, <u>Geoffroy-Siraudin et al. (2010)</u> observed that Cr(VI) treatment decreased the
number of late spermatocytes and round spermatids and increased the percentage of cells with
alterations in meiotic prophase.

9

3.2.7.4. Integration of Evidence

10 Overall, the **evidence suggests** that Cr(VI) may cause male reproductive toxicity under relevant exposure circumstances. This conclusion is based on coherent evidence of effects across 11 12 human and animal studies. Decreased testosterone and decreased sperm quantity and quality were 13 observed in both human and animal studies; however, interpretation of this evidence was limited 14 because most studies that observed these effects were considered *low* confidence and there was 15 inconsistency with higher confidence studies. Integrated evidence of the male reproductive effects 16 of Cr(VI) exposure from human, animal, and mechanistic studies is summarized in an evidence 17 profile table (Table 3-42).

18 The evidence of an association between Cr(VI) exposure and male reproductive effects in 19 humans is *slight* and indicated by an inverse association between occupational exposure to Cr(VI) 20 and several sperm parameters (concentration, morphology and motility) as well as an inverse 21 association between exposure and serum testosterone concentrations. This is largely based on a 22 single *medium* confidence study in welders (Bonde and Ernst, 1992; Bonde, 1990) and supported 23 by some coherent findings from *low* confidence studies. Evidence of a dose-response pattern in 24 these associations further supports this conclusion. Though some results did not reach statistical 25 significance, this may be explained by the likely impact of exposure misclassification on study 26 sensitivity in all available studies.

27 Evidence from animal toxicology studies and supportive mechanistic data from in vivo and in vitro studies provide *slight* evidence that Cr(VI) is a male reproductive toxicant. Findings from 28 29 high confidence drinking water and dietary exposure studies by NTP that exposed rats or mice as 30 adults (NTP, 2007, 1996a, b) or for multiple generations using an RACB design (NTP, 1997) indicate 31 that the male reproductive system is not responsive to Cr(VI)-induced toxicity following oral 32 exposure, with no observed effects on sperm parameters, histopathological outcomes, or male 33 fertility or fecundity. In contrast, a *high* confidence gestational exposure study in which maternal 34 rats were dosed by oral gavage⁴¹ reported alterations in testosterone and Leydig cell size and

⁴¹As previously noted, oral gavage administration is likely to achieve higher systemic absorption of unreduced Cr(VI) than ad libitum drinking water or dietary administration.

- 1 distribution, and the available *low* confidence developmental and subchronic oral exposure studies
- 2 reported effects including decreased male fecundity (suggestive of dominant lethal mutations in
- 3 sperm), decreased sperm quantity and quality, decreased testosterone and gonadotropins,
- 4 decreased male reproductive organ weights, and altered mating behavior. These *low* confidence
- 5 studies had multiple deficiencies regarding study design, conduct, and reporting. Support for
- 6 biological plausibility of Cr(VI)-induced male reproductive toxicity is provided by mechanistic data
- 7 demonstrating evidence of oxidative stress and apoptosis in male reproductive tissues and
- 8 pituitary, altered steroid hormone signaling, disruption of the blood-testis barrier, and alterations
- 9 in meiosis, although much of this evidence was derived from i.p. injection studies and in vitro
- 10 studies that have unclear relevance for other routes of exposure.
- 11 In the only human study that provided a quantitative measure of Cr(VI) exposure (<u>Bonde</u>,
- 12 <u>1990</u>), effects were observed at air mean (SD) concentrations of 3.6 (2.8) μg/m³; these reported
- 13 concentrations may underestimate exposure in this study population due use of a cellulose fiber
- 14 filter during sampling, which can contribute to reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III). In animal toxicology
- 15 studies, the observation of decreased testis weight occurred at 8.7 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) in the 3-month
- 16 drinking water study in mice by <u>NTP (2007)</u>, and effects were observed at doses of 3–12 mg/kg-day
- 17 Cr(VI) (<u>Zheng et al., 2018</u>), 0.353 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) (<u>Marat et al., 2018</u>), or 3.6 mg/kg-day Cr(VI)
- 18 (<u>Yousef et al., 2006</u>) in oral gavage studies. For the other drinking water studies in animals, the
- 19 doses of Cr(VI) at which effects were observed could not be calculated because drinking water
- 20 consumption data was not reported. Effects were not observed in any of the three animal studies
- 21 that evaluated inhalation exposure, but those studies did not include specific measures of male
- 22 reproductive structure and function, so were considered insensitive. There is therefore inadequate
- 23 information to evaluate the extent of effects in oral versus inhalation exposure.

	Evidence summ	nary and interpretation	on		Inferences and summary
Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	judgment
Evidence from studies of	exposed humans				$\oplus \odot \odot$
SPERM PARAMETERS Medium confidence: Bonde et al. (<u>1992</u> ; <u>1990</u>) Low confidence: Danadevi et al. (2003) Hjollund et al. (1998) Jelnes and Knudsen (<u>1988</u>) Kumar et al. (2005)	Note: Sperm concentration was measured in all five studies considered; other endpoints were measured in some but not all of the studies. Decreased sperm motility in 1 medium study and 2 low confidence studies (1 statistically significant at $p < 0.001$, 1 no p- value or significance reported); a fourth study was uninformative for this measurement. Decreased % sperm with normal morphology in 2 low confidence studies (out of 4 studies), and decreased sperm concentration in 1 low confidence study (out of 5 studies). Decreased semen volume was reported in 1 medium confidence study, but no effect on volume was reported in 3 low confidence studies.	 Consistency Dose-response gradient Detection of effects despite limitations to study sensitivity Mechanistic evidence provides biological plausibility 	 High proportion of <i>low</i> confidence studies Bias from exposure misclassification and reduced study sensitivity may have impacted ability to detect an effect 	 ⊕⊙⊙ Slight Occupational (inhalation) Cr(VI) exposure is inversely associated with sperm concentration, normal sperm morphology, sperm motility, and serum testosterone. These findings are consistent and coherent across multiple studies and endpoints, but interpretation is limited because most studies evaluating sperm were considered <i>low</i> confidence. Evidence of the impact of Cr(VI) exposure on semen volume and serum LH and FSH concentrations in humans is unclear. 	The evidence suggests that Cr(VI) causes male reproductive toxicity in humans. Effects on sperm parameters and testosterone were observed in both human and animal studies. Most human and animal studies were considered <i>low</i> confidence. Effects in <i>low</i> confidence animal studies were generally not seen in the <i>high</i> confidence RACB and subchronic studies by NTP. Mechanistic findings (animals and in vitro) provide evidence supportive of male reproductive toxicity. These mechanisms are presumed relevant to humans
HORMONES <i>Medium</i> confidence:	Exposure associated with decreased serum testosterone concentration in Danish stainless-	 Dose-response gradient 	 Uncertainty about exposure measurements 		

Table 3-42. Evidence profile table for male reproductive outcomes

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

	Inferences and summary				
Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	judgment
Bonde et al. (<u>1992</u> ; <u>1990</u>)	steel welders. Decreases in serum LH or FSH concentrations that were not statistically significant were also reported.	 Mechanistic evidence provides biological plausibility 	due to multiple factors that impact exposure among welders.		
Evidence from animal stu	ıdies				
FERTILITY AND FECUNDITY High confidence: <u>NTP (1997)</u> Low confidence: <u>Al-Hamood et al. (1998)</u> Bataineh et al. (1997) Elbetieha and Al- Hamood (1997) Marat et al. (2018)	No effects on ability to impregnate females. Decreased fetal viability (indicative of dominant lethal effects) in two low confidence studies in rats and mice following paternal-only exposure; no effects on fetal viability in other three studies.	• No factors noted	• Effects observed only in <i>low</i> confidence studies	 ⊕⊙⊙ Slight Evidence of male reproductive effects was observed primarily in <i>low</i> confidence studies (drinking water or gavage) and in one <i>high</i> confidence gavage study. 	
SPERM EVALUATION High confidence: NTP (1996a) NTP (1996b) NTP (1997) NTP (2007) Low confidence: Kim et al. (2012) Kumar et al. (2017) Yousef et al. (2006)	No effects on sperm parameters in four high confidence studies in rats or mice, including an RACB study (FO and F1 males) and three 3-month exposure studies. Low confidence studies in rabbits and F1 rats report decreased sperm quality and quantity.	• No factors noted	• Effects observed only in <i>low</i> confidence studies	High confidence RACB and subchronic studies by NTP observed no male reproductive effects, aside from decreased testis weight in one mouse strain. Evidence was insufficient to evaluate the extent of effects following inhalation exposure.	
HISTOPATHOLOGY High confidence: NTP (2007) Zheng et al. (2018)	No dose-related lesions in male reproductive tissues in a high confidence 3-month drinking	 <i>High</i> confidence study Dose-response gradient 	• No factors noted		

	Inferences and summary				
Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	judgment
<i>Low</i> confidence: <u>Kumar et al. (2017)</u> <u>Rasool et al. (2014)</u>	water study in rats and a variety of mouse strains. A high confidence gestational exposure study in F1 rats reported Leydig cell alterations. Two low confidence studies in rats and mice observed histopathological changes in the testis and seminiferous tubules.	• Coherent with effects on testosterone			
HORMONES High confidence: Zheng et al. (2018) Low confidence: Kumar et al. (2017) Yousef et al. (2006)	Nonmonotonic effect on serum testosterone in a high confidence gestational exposure study in F1 rats. Decreased testosterone in two low confidence studies in adult rabbits and F1 rats.	 <i>High</i> confidence study Coherent with effects on Leydig cells Mechanistic evidence provides biological plausibility 	• No factors noted		
ORGAN WEIGHT High confidence: NTP (1996a) NTP (1996b) NTP (1997) NTP (2007) Low confidence: Al-Hamood et al. (1998) Bataineh et al. (1997) Elbetieha and Al- Hamood (1997) Glaser et al. (1986)	Decreased testis weight in one mouse strain in the high confidence 3-month drinking water study by <u>NTP (2007)</u> . Changes (typically, decrease) in testis and accessory male reproductive organ weights in 4 low confidence studies in rabbits, rats, and mice. No effects observed in other mouse strains evaluated in <u>NTP</u>	 <i>High</i> confidence study Coherent with decreased testosterone within <i>low</i> confidence studies 	 Unexplained inconsistency across high confidence studies 		

	Inferences and summary				
Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Factors tha Summary of key findings increase certa		Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	judgment
<u>Kim et al. (2004)</u> <u>Kim et al. (2012)</u> <u>Kumar et al. (2017)</u> <u>Wang et al. (2015)</u> <u>Yousef et al. (2006)</u>	(2007), or in any of the remaining studies.				
SEXUAL BEHAVIOR Low confidence: Bataineh et al. (1997) Yousef et al. (2006)	Decreased mounts, increased ejaculation latency and post- ejaculation interval, and decreased percentage of males ejaculating in rats exposed as adults. Increased reaction time to mounting in rabbits.	• No factors noted	• <i>Low</i> confidence studies		
ANOGENITAL DISTANCE Low confidence: Kumar et al. (2017)	Decreased AGD in developing F1 males.	No factors noted	• <i>Low</i> confidence study		
Mechanistic evidence			1		
Biological events or pathways	Summary of key findings and inte	rpretations	Judgments and rationale		
Oxidative stress	 Interpretation: In vivo and in vitro emale reproductive tissues or in seru testicular pathology. Key findings: Across most studies, decreased ar reproductive tissues or serum obs al., 2014; Kim et al., 2012; Subram i.p. (EI-Demerdash et al., 2019; Ma Acharya et al., 2006) and in culture spermatogonial stem cells (Ly et a 	vidence of Cr(VI)-induc im concurrent with effe ntioxidant activity or ex erved in animals expos nanian et al., 2006; Arul arouani et al., 2015a; H ed mouse Leydig, Serto I., 2018; Das et al., 201	Observations of oxidative stress, apoptosis, altered steroid hormone signaling/effects on the HPG axis, effects on the blood-testis barrier, and alterations in meiosis. Oxidative stress was concurrent with apical		

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

	Inferences and summary				
Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	judgment
Apoptosis of somatic and germ cells	 Consistent observation of increase peroxidation in animals exposed of or i.p. (El-Demerdash et al., 2019; 2014; Acharya et al., 2006; Achary oxygen species in vitro (Lv et al., 2 Cotreatment of with antioxidants histopathology, male hormones, a animals (El-Demerdash et al., 2019; et al., 2012; Subramanian et al., 2019; et al., 2012; Subramanian et al., 2018; lanepotosis in vitro (Lv et al., 2018; lanepotosis) In vivo expression of BAX and DNA injection (Lv et al., 2018; Marouan) Degenerative changes in testis and i.p. injection (El-Demerdash et al., Behari et al., 1978) In vitro evidence of intrinsic apopt mitochondrial membrane potentia increased cleavage of caspases 3 a spermatogonial stem cells (Lv et al.) 	ed testicular or epididyr orally (<u>Rasool et al., 2015</u> <u>Marouani et al., 2015a</u> ; <u>a et al., 2004</u>), and incr <u>018; Das et al., 2015</u>) mitigated effects on spi ind male fecundity in Cr <u>0</u> ; <u>Lv et al., 2018</u> ; <u>Hfaiec</u> <u>006</u>), and decreased Cr(<u>Das et al., 2015</u>) vidence of Cr(VI)-induce <u>A fragmentation in teste</u> <u>ii et al., 2015a</u>) d decreased sperm cour <u>2019</u> ; <u>Lv et al., 2018</u> ; <u>A</u> cosis (TUNEL staining, de <u>al., decreased BAX/BCL-2</u> and 9) in cultured Leydig <u>al., 2018</u> ; <u>Das et al., 201</u>	nal lipid 4; <u>Kim et al., 2012</u>) <u>Hfaiedh et al.,</u> eased reactive erm, testicular (VI)-exposed <u>Ih et al., 2014</u> ; <u>Kim</u> VI)-induced ed apoptosis in male es following i.p. hts in animals after <u>charya et al., 2004</u> ; ecreased 2 ratio, and <u>5</u>)	outcomes in some animal studies. Testicular degeneration, decreased testosterone, and apoptosis are mitigated by cotreatment with antioxidants. Much of this evidence was derived from i.p. injection studies and in vitro studies that have unclear relevance for other routes of exposure.	
Altered steroid hormone signaling and effects on the HPG axis	 Interpretation: Cr(VI) alters steroido Key findings: Decreased testosterone and altered oral (subchronic and gestational) (i.p. exposures (EI-Demerdash et al al., 2012) 	genesis in vivo and in v ed gonadotropin levels <u>Kumar et al., 2017; You</u> ., 2019; <u>Hfaiedh et al., 2</u>	itro. in animals following <u>isef et al., 2006</u>) and <u>2014</u> ; <u>Marouani et</u>		

	Evidence summ		Inferences and summary		
Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	judgment			
	 Biphasic effects on testosterone in lowest dose and decreased at high expression of steroidogenic genes Oxidative stress in pituitary and hy secretion in rats following 30-day <u>Quinteros et al., 2007</u>) and in cultu (<u>Quinteros et al., 2008; Quinteros</u> Decreased testosterone production steroidogenic genes in cultured Let <u>2015</u>) 	n one oral exposure stud nest dose), supported by and proteins in testis (<u>2</u> ypothalamus and decrea oral exposure (<u>Nudler e</u> ured rat primary anterio <u>et al., 2007</u>) on and transcriptional ex cydig and Sertoli cells in			
Effects on blood-testis barrier	 Interpretation: In vivo and in vitro et testis barrier. Key findings: Leakage of Sertoli cell tight junction spermatids in rats exposed i.p. (Mage of heat spectrum) of mole rat Sertoli cells (Das et al., 2015; Content of the spectrum) of the spectrum of the s	vidence of impaired dyr ons and adverse effects <u>urthy et al., 1991</u>) ecules that form the bloc arette et al., 2013)	namics of the blood- on late stage od-testis barrier in		
Effects on meiosis	 Interpretation: In vitro evidence of i Key findings: Evidence of impaired meiotic propusing rat primary Sertoli and germ 	mpaired meiosis. phase in a bicameral cul ^a cells (<u>Geoffroy-Siraudir</u>	ture chamber model <u>n et al., 2010</u>)		

1

3.2.8. Female reproductive effects

1 Female reproductive effects include endpoints related to the structure and function of 2 reproductive organs in pregnant and non-pregnant females, and the balance and cycling of 3 hormones from the HPG axis that regulate the development and function of these organs. This 4 section considers reproductive effects in females exposed to Cr(VI) at any life stage, including 5 exposures occurring preconception and for all stages of development. This is in accordance with 6 EPA's Framework For Assessing Health Risk of Environmental Exposures To Children (U.S. EPA, 7 2006d), which recommends that evidence for organ system toxicity be considered for all life stages 8 in order to identify populations or life stages that may be more susceptible to chemical-induced 9 toxicity. Exposure during pregnancy can affect both the mother and the fetus, and it is frequently 10 not possible to determine whether effects on the fetus are in response to or separate from maternal 11 toxicity in studies that report both. The maternal endpoints in animal toxicology studies described 12 in this section (maternal body weight gain and gestation length) must therefore be considered in 13 conjunction with the fetal endpoints (survival, growth, and structural alterations) that are 14 discussed in the Developmental Effects Section, 3.2.9.

15

3.2.8.1. Human Evidence

16 Exposure to Cr(VI) in the general population is not as well characterized as occupational

17 exposure, where men predominate, and thus limited data is available on female reproductive

18 effects. One human epidemiology study (<u>Remy et al., 2017</u>) considered female reproductive effects

- 19 of Cr(VI) exposure. The single available study was an ecologic study of a population living near a
- 20 factory that used Cr(VI) in their production processes and where there was documented
- 21 contaminated groundwater. This study was considered *low* confidence due to potential for
- 22 exposure misclassification from the ecologic design (exposure was based on location of residence in
- relation to the factory), outcome misclassification, and confounding. This study reported higher
- relative risk of reproductive organ neoplasm (RR 1.27, 95% CI: 1.08, 1.5), pelvic inflammatory
- 25 disease (1.31 (1.17,1.47)), endometriosis (1.19 (1.05, 1.36)), menstrual disorder (1.15 (1.03, 1.29)),
- and ovarian cyst (1.43 (1.23, 1.65)) in the more exposed geographic area. Overall, due to concerns
- 27 for potential bias, these data are difficult to interpret on their own.
- 28 3.2.8.2. Animal Evidence
- 29 <u>Study evaluation summary</u>

30 Table 3-43 summarizes the animal toxicology studies considered in the evaluation of the

- 31 effects of Cr(VI) on the female reproductive system. These consist of a two-generation reproductive
- 32 study with dietary exposure using NTP's Reproductive Assessment by Continuous Breeding (RACB)
- 33 protocol (<u>NTP, 1997</u>); subchronic oral exposure studies in adult animals (<u>Thompson et al., 2020</u>;
- 34 NTP, 2007; Kanojia et al., 1998; Elbetieha and Al-Hamood, 1997; Murthy et al., 1996; NTP, 1996a,

1 b); gestational exposure studies that were designed to evaluate offspring development but also 2 reported some F0 maternal outcomes, such as gestational weight gain (Zheng et al., 2018; Samuel et 3 al., 2012a; Elsaieed and Nada, 2002; Junaid et al., 1996b, 1995; Trivedi et al., 1989); and studies that 4 evaluated effects in F1 females from dams that had been exposed during gestation or lactation 5 (Banu et al., 2016; Banu et al., 2015; Sivakumar et al., 2014; Stanley et al., 2014; Stanley et al., 2013; 6 Samuel et al., 2012a; Banu et al., 2008; Al-Hamood et al., 1998). 7 The RACB study (<u>NTP, 1997</u>) and subchronic exposure studies by NTP (<u>2007</u>, <u>1996a</u>, <u>b</u>) 8 were well-reported and well-designed to evaluate reproductive outcomes and were therefore rated 9 as high confidence for all reported outcomes (Table 3-43). The subchronic exposure study in mice 10 by Thompson et al. (2020) was also rated as *high* or *medium* confidence for most outcomes. The 11 remaining studies had reporting limitations and other substantial concerns raised during study 12 evaluation and were rated as low confidence across almost all outcomes. Endpoint-specific 13 concerns are discussed in the respective sections below. Two of the low confidence studies (Al-14 Hamood et al., 1998; Elbetieha and Al-Hamood, 1997) exposed animals to high concentrations 15 (350–1770 mg/L) of Cr(VI) in drinking water, which was considered a potential confounding 16 variable as it is not possible to determine whether reproductive effects may have been exacerbated 17 by reduced water consumption and/or systemic toxicity; for instance, drinking water 18 concentrations of 350 mg/L Cr(VI) have been associated in rats with decreased water consumption 19 and site of contact toxicity (80 and 100% incidence of ulcers in the glandular stomach of males and 20 females, respectively) (NTP, 2007). There were concerns about scientific integrity for two groups of authors⁴² (Banu et al., 2016; Banu et al., 2015; Sivakumar et al., 2014; Stanley et al., 2014; Stanley et 21 22 al., 2013; Samuel et al., 2012a; Banu et al., 2008; Kanojia et al., 1998; Junaid et al., 1996b; Murthy et 23 al., 1996; Junaid et al., 1995; Trivedi et al., 1989), which reduces confidence in these studies but 24 does not necessarily discount the results.

⁴²Four studies demonstrating self-plagiarism—i.e., publication of identical data presented as separate and unique experiments—were considered *uninformative* and were excluded from the assessment. Specifically, 1) identical data were presented for rats by <u>Kanojia et al. (1996)</u> and for mice by <u>Junaid et al. (1996a</u>), despite these being presented as separate studies in different species; and 2) subsets of the data presented by Samuel et al. (<u>2012b; 2011</u>) were identical to that in an earlier publication by this laboratory group (<u>Banu et al.</u>, <u>2008</u>). Other studies by the same groups of authors, listed in the text above, were included in the assessment but considered *low* confidence.

Table 3-43. Summary of included studies for Cr(VI) female reproductive effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome.^a <u>Click to see interactive graphic with ratings rationale</u>.

				cundity	W gain	ength		icity	uberty	ht	arian ogv	
	Species	Exposure life stage	Exposure	tility, Fe	ternal B\	tation le	mones	ous cycl	ing of p	an weig	ytes/ov opathol	er
Author (vear)	(strain)	and duration	route	er	Mat	Ges	- P	str	<u> </u>	Org) oc	Cth
<u>NTP (1996a)</u>	Mice (BALBC)	Adult females; 3, 6, or 9 weeks	Diet	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Н	Н
<u>NTP (1996b)</u>	Rat (Sprague- Dawley)	Adult females; 3, 6, or 9 weeks	Diet	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Н	Н
<u>NTP (1997)</u>	Mice (BALBC)	Reproductive Assessment by Continuous Breeding (F0 to F2)	Diet	Η	Η	Н	-	Η	-	Η	Η	H
<u>NTP (2007)</u>	Rats (F344/N); Mice (B6C3F1)	Adult females; 3 months	Drinking water	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Н	Н
<u>Al-Hamood et al.</u> (1998)	Mice (BALBC)	F1 females; GD 12–PND 20	Drinking water	L	-	-	-	-	L	L	-	-
Banu et al. (2008)	Rat (Wistar)	F1 females; PND 1–21	Drinking water	-	-	-	L	L	L	-	L	-
<u>Banu et al. (2015)</u>	Rat (Sprague- Dawley)	F1 females; GD 9.5–14.5	Drinking water	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	L	-
<u>Banu et al. (2016)</u>	Rat (Sprague- Dawley)	F1 females; PND 1–21	Drinking water	-	-	-	L	-	-	-	L	-
Elbetieha and Al- Hamood (1997)	Mice (Swiss)	F0 dams; 12 weeks prior to mating	Drinking water	L	-	-	-	-	-	L	-	-
Elsaieed and Nada (2002)	Rat (Wistar)	F0 dams; GD 6–15	Drinking water	-	L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Junaid et al. (1995)	Mice (Swiss albino)	F0 dams; GD 14–19	Drinking water	-	L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Junaid et al.</u> (1996b)	Mice (Swiss albino)	F0 dams; GD 6–14	Drinking water	-	L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kanojia et al. (1998)	Rat (Druckrey)	F0 dams; 3 months prior to mating	Drinking water	L	L	-	-	L	-	-	L	-
Murthy et al. (1996)	Mice (Swiss)	Adult females; 20 or 90 days	Drinking water	-	-	-	-	L	-	-	L	-
<u>Samuel et al.</u> (2012a)	Rat (Wistar)	Study 1: F0 dams and F1 females; GD 9–21 Study 2: F1 females; GD 9–PND 65	Drinking water	-	-	-	L	L	L	L	L	-
Sivakumar et al. (2014)	Rat (strain not reported)	F1 females; GD 9.5–14.5	Drinking water	L	-	-	-	-	-	-	L	-
Stanley et al.	Rat (Sprague- Dawley)	F1 females; PND 1–21	Drinking water	-	-	-	L	-	-	-	L	-
Stanley et al.	Rat (Sprague- Dawley)	F1 females; PND 1–21	Drinking water	-	-	-	L	-	L	-	L	-
<u>Thompson et al.</u> (2020)	Mice (B6C3F1)	5-week-old females; 90 days	Drinking water	-	-	-	-	L	-	Н	н	М

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.3-267DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

Author (year)	Species (strain)	Exposure life stage and duration	Exposure route	Fertility, Fecundity	Maternal BW gain	Gestation length	Hormones	Estrous cyclicity	Timing of puberty	Organ weight	Oocytes/ovarian histopathology	Other
<u>Trivedi et al. (1989)</u>	Mice (albino)	F0 dams; GD 0–19	Drinking water	-	L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Zheng et al. (2018)	Rat (Sprague- Dawley)	F0 dams; GD 12–21	Gavage	-	L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

BW = body weight; GD = gestation day; PND = postnatal day

^aIn addition to these included studies, there were four animal toxicology studies reporting female reproductive effects that met PECO criteria but were found to be *uninformative* at the study evaluation stage: <u>Junaid et al.</u> (1996a), <u>Kanojia et al. (1996)</u>, <u>Samuel et al. (2011</u>), and <u>Samuel et al. (2012b</u>).

- 1 <u>Synthesis of evidence in animals⁴³ 44</u>
- 2 Fertility and fecundity

3 In the *high* confidence RACB study in mice (<u>NTP, 1997</u>), Cr(VI) exposure did not affect

4 pregnancy index in F0 females at doses up to 50 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) via diet, and had no effect on

5 mating index, pregnancy index, or fertility index in F1 females at doses up to 39 mg/kg-day Cr(VI)

6 via diet. Additionally, no effects on pregnancy rate were observed in the *low* confidence study by

7 <u>Elbetieha and Al-Hamood (1997)</u>, in which mice were exposed to 707–1770 mg/L Cr(VI) in

8 drinking water for 12 weeks prior to mating with untreated males.

9 In contrast, the *low* confidence study by <u>Kanojia et al. (1998)</u> reported a decrease in mating

10 index and fertility index in female rats exposed to 88.4–265 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water for

11 3 months prior to mating with untreated males. Two *low* confidence gestational exposure studies

12 also observed decreased pregnancy rates in F1 females from dams exposed to 8.8 mg/L Cr(VI) in

- drinking water from GD 9.5–14.5 (rats) (<u>Sivakumar et al., 2014</u>) or 353 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking
- 14 water from GD 12–PND 20 (mice) (<u>Al-Hamood et al., 1998</u>). Both of the gestational exposure
- 15 studies evaluated the F1 animals as individuals without considering the effects of litter, which has

⁴⁴Data are available in HAWC for:

⁴³For many of the oral studies presented here, it was not possible to estimate an average daily mg/kg dose due to lack of reporting. To estimate an average daily dose, paired records of body weight and daily intake of test article are required. This is particularly important for Cr(VI) reproductive and developmental studies, because rapid changes in maternal body weight are expected during pregnancy, and Cr(VI) affects palatability (which affects both Cr(VI) intake rate and body weight). Doses of Cr(VI) are presented where possible, however many cross-study comparisons are done on the basis of mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water. Reporting and nomenclature related to exposure concentration units and water intakes for the studies by <u>Kanojia et al.</u> (1998), <u>Murthy et al.</u> (1996), and <u>Junaid et al.</u> (1995) were inconsistent with each other. This assessment assumes that the drinking water concentrations provided by these studies (from the same laboratory) were in units of mg/L potassium dichromate.

<u>NTP (1997) (here)</u> <u>NTP (1996a) (here)</u> <u>NTP (1996b) (here)</u>.
- 1 the potential to overestimate statistical significance (<u>Haseman et al., 2001</u>). Additionally, there is
- 2 uncertainty about how pregnancy rates were determined in the study by <u>Sivakumar et al. (2014)</u>,
- 3 which bred the animals continuously for 8–10 months and presented data as the percentage of F1
- 4 females pregnant at various blocks of age (2–4, 4–6, 6–8, and 8–10 months old); the authors did not
- 5 indicate how many times the animals became pregnant within each of these 2-month windows or
- 6 provide any additional information on how these percentage were calculated. Overall, although
- 7 decreased fertility was observed across several studies, interpretation is limited because these
- 8 studies were considered *low* confidence.

9 Maternal body weight gain

10 Decreased maternal body weights at the time of delivery were observed for both F0 and F1 11 dams in the RACB study in mice (NTP, 1997), which was considered *high* confidence for this 12 outcome. For F0 dams, which were allowed to produce up to five litters, the trend was statistically 13 significant for the first four litters; dam body weights were statistically significantly 5% decreased 14 compared to controls at doses of 24.4 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) for the first litter and 5–7% decreased 15 compared to controls at 50.6 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) for the first, second, and third litters, but were not 16 statistically significantly different from the control group in the fourth or fifth litters. For F1 dams, 17 the trend towards decreased dam body weights was statistically significant but treated animals did 18 not differ significantly from controls in any dose group. This study also observed a trend towards 19 decreased F0 dam body weights during lactation for the final litter; this trend was statistically 20 significant at PNDs 1, 4, and 14, and dam body weights were statistically significantly different from 21 controls at doses of 24.4–50.6 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) at these timepoints. 22 Dose-dependent decreases in maternal gestational weight gain were also observed in five 23 *low* confidence studies in which F0 rats or mice were exposed to potassium dichromate in drinking 24 water and sacrificed near the end of gestation. None of these studies adjusted for gravid uterine 25 weight, which is considered preferable in order to distinguish between maternal and fetal toxicity

- 26 (U.S. EPA, 1991), so the magnitude of decreased gestational weight gain in these *low* confidence
- 27 studies likely reflects a combination of maternal toxicity as well as the decreased fetal growth and
- 28 survival that was observed in these studies (see "Developmental effects" section). Kanojia et al.
- 29 (1998) exposed female rats for 90 days prior to mating and reported that gestational weight gain
- 30 was decreased by 10–22% compared to controls in the 88–265 mg/L dose groups, reaching
- 31 statistical significance at 177 mg/L Cr(VI). A 10–15% mortality rate and clinical signs of hair loss
- 32 and lethargy were also noted in females in the 177 and 265 mg/L dose groups in this study. In three
- 33 studies by the same group of authors that exposed mice for various durations during pregnancy,
- 34 gestational weight gain was decreased compared to controls by 11–26% (Junaid et al., 1995), 8–
- 35 24% (Junaid et al., 1996b), and 17–20% (Trivedi et al., 1989) following exposure from GDs 14–19,
- 36 6–14, and 0–19, respectively, reaching statistical significance at 177 mg/L Cr(VI) in all studies with
- 37 no mortality or clinical signs of toxicity observed. The study by <u>Trivedi et al. (1989)</u> included a high
- 38 dose group of 354 mg/L Cr(VI) in which the dams lost weight during the treatment period and did

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.3-269DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

- 1 not produce any litters. <u>Elsaieed and Nada (2002)</u> exposed rat dams to 50 mg/L Cr(VI) from GD 6–
- 2 15 and observed a 40% decrease in maternal body weight gain.
- 3 Lastly, in the *low* confidence study by <u>Zheng et al. (2018)</u>, no effect on maternal body weight
- 4 was observed in F0 rat dams exposed from GD 12–21 at oral gavage doses up to 12 mg/kg-day
- 5 Cr(VI); however, body weight measurements in this study were taken 10 days after the exposure
- 6 ended, so are potentially insensitive due to the lag time between the exposure and endpoint
- 7 evaluation.
- 8 Gestation length

9 The only study that evaluated effects on gestation length was the *high* confidence RACB 10 study in mice by <u>NTP (1997)</u>. There was no effect on the cumulative days to litter for F0 dams over 11 the course of five litters at doses up to 50.6 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) via diet. "Cumulative days to litter" is 12 the number of days from cohabitation to the birth of each litter and is used as a metric for gestation 13 length in the RACB in lieu of checking for a copulatory plug. For F1 dams in this study, which were 14 only allowed to produce one litter and were checked for copulatory plugs to confirm mating, there

15 was likewise no effect on gestation length at doses up to 39 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) via diet.

16 Hormones

17 Statistically significant decreases in serum estrogen, testosterone, and progesterone were 18 observed in weanling and peripubertal F1 females in four *low* confidence studies in which F0 dams 19 were exposed to 17.7–70.7 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water during lactation (PND 1–21) (Banu et al., 20 2016; Stanley et al., 2014; Stanley et al., 2013; Banu et al., 2008). The same effects as well as 21 decreases in prolactin and growth hormone were observed in F1 females in the *low* confidence 22 study by <u>Samuel et al. (2012a</u>), in which F0 dams were exposed to 70.7 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking 23 water from GD 9–PND 21 and F1 females were continued on the same dosing regimen from 24 weaning through PND 65. Three of these studies also evaluated gonadotropins and observed a 25 statistically significant increase in follicle stimulating hormone (Stanley et al., 2013; Samuel et al., 26 2012a; Banu et al., 2008). Luteinizing hormone was statistically significantly increased in the study 27 by Samuel et al. (2012a), whereas it was not affected in the study by Banu et al. (2008). Across all 28 five studies, effects were observed at all tested doses and generally at all timepoints evaluated, 29 which ranged from PND 0–65. Although results were consistent across studies, it should be noted 30 that all five studies were performed by the same group of researchers, so it is unclear whether 31 results would be replicated by an outside research group or by higher confidence studies. 32 Measurements in all studies were presented as the mean of individual animals without accounting 33 for potential litter effects, which has the potential to overestimate statistical significance (Haseman 34 et al., 2001). Samuel et al. (2012a) reported that body weights were decreased in the F1 females, 35 whereas the other studies did not report whether there was an effect on body weight or other 36 evidence of overt toxicity coinciding with the hormonal effects. Overall, the results indicate that

- 1 Cr(VI) decreases sex steroid hormone levels in females exposed during development, but
- 2 interpretation is limited because all studies were considered *low* confidence.

3 Estrous cyclicity

4 There were no notable effects on estrous cycle length, number of cycles, relative time spent 5 in estrous stages, or number of females with regular cycles in F1 mice in the *high* confidence dietary 6 exposure RACB study by <u>NTP (1997)</u>. The proportion of F1 females with irregular cycles increased 7 with dose from 0/20 in the control group to 3/20 in the 39 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) dose group, but this 8 effect was not statistically significant and the remaining females had regular cycles with lengths 9 between 4–5 days. There was also no apparent effect on estrous cyclicity in mice exposed to levels 10 up to 149.3 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water for 90-days in a study by Thompson et al. (2020); 11 however, the authors did not provide quantitative data and based their conclusion on a single 12 vaginal smear taken at study termination, so the study was considered *low* confidence for this 13 outcome. 14 Four *low* confidence studies reported statistically significant increases in estrous cycle length. A direct comparison between results from these low confidence studies and NTP (1997) is 15 16 complicated by the difference in oral administration (feed vs. drinking water), and inadequate 17 reporting of body weights and/or drinking water consumption by the *low* confidence studies 18 (precluding estimates of the mg/kg-d doses⁴⁵). In adult rats exposed for 90 days, estrous cycle 19 duration was dose-dependently increased from a mean of 5.15 days in control animals to 8.66 days 20 at 265 mg/L Cr(VI) (Kanojia et al., 1998); however, effects above 88.4 mg/L Cr(VI) may be related 21 to overt toxicity, as there was a 10-15% mortality rate and decreased body weight among females 22 in the 177 and 265 mg/L dose groups. In another study in adult mice that used these same dose 23 levels but a 20-day exposure duration, there was a statistically significant increase in estrous cycle 24 duration from a mean of 4.4 days in control animals to 7.7 days at 265 mg/L Cr(VI) with no effects

- at lower dose levels (<u>Murthy et al., 1996</u>). The authors did not report whether there was an effect
 on body weights or clinical signs of toxicity, which are likely to occur at the 265-mg/L dose level
- 27 and limits the interpretation of this finding. The remaining two studies investigated estrous
- 28 cyclicity in F1 females that had been exposed during development. <u>Samuel et al. (2012a)</u> exposed
- 29 F0 dams to 70.7 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water during gestation and lactation (GD 9–PND 21) and
- 30 continued F1 females on the same dosing regimen through PND 65 and observed a statistically
- 31 significant increase in the number of hours spent in metestrous and diestrous by the F1 animals.
- 32 Similarly, <u>Banu et al. (2008)</u> reported a statistically significant increase in the number of hours
- 33 spent in diestrous for F1 females from dams exposed to 70.7 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water from
- 34 PND 1–21, but no change in other estrous phases. None of the available studies indicated whether

⁴⁵Based on the information available, the *ad libitum* drinking water doses from <u>Kanojia et al. (1998)</u> and <u>Murthy et al. (1996)</u> were higher than the dietary doses from <u>NTP (1997)</u>, while the doses in <u>Banu et al.</u> (2008) and <u>Samuel et al. (2012a)</u> were lower than <u>NTP (1997)</u>.

- 1 investigators were blinded to treatment groups during the evaluation of vaginal cytology, which
- 2 would be considered appropriate for reducing observational bias. Measurements in the
- 3 developmental exposure studies by <u>Samuel et al. (2012a)</u> and <u>Banu et al. (2008)</u> were presented as
- 4 the mean of individual F1 animals without accounting for potential litter effects, which has the
- 5 potential to overestimate statistical significance (<u>Haseman et al., 2001</u>). The finding of increased
- 6 estrous cycle duration is coherent with the decreased expression of sex steroid hormones within
- 7 the developmental studies by Samuel et al. (2012a) and Banu et al. (2008) (see "Hormones" section
- 8 above), but interpretation is limited because effects were observed only in low confidence studies.

9 *Timing of puberty*

10 Four *low* confidence studies that evaluated F1 females following developmental exposure

- 11 reported a statistically significant increase in the age at vaginal opening, which is a biomarker of
- 12 female puberty. In F1 mice from dams exposed to potassium dichromate in drinking water from GD
- 13 12–PND 20, <u>Al-Hamood et al. (1998)</u> observed a statistically significant increase in the mean age of
- vaginal opening from 24.6 days in control animals to 27 days at 353 mg/L Cr(VI); however, the
- 15 authors did not report whether there was overt maternal toxicity, which would be expected at this
- 16 high dose level (see "Maternal body weight gain" section above) and could limit the interpretation
- 17 of this finding. In two studies that exposed rat dams to potassium dichromate in drinking water
- 18 from PND 1–21, there were statistically significant increases in the mean age of vaginal opening in
- 19 F1 females from 33 days in control animals to 55 days at 70.7 mg/L Cr(VI) (Banu et al., 2008), and
- from 31 days in control animals to 42 days at 17.7 mg/L (<u>Stanley et al., 2014</u>). Another study in
- 21 developing rats by <u>Samuel et al. (2012a)</u> exposed F0 dams to 70.7 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water
- during gestation and lactation (GD 9–PND 21) and continued F1 females on the same dosing
- regimen through PND 65, and observed a statistically significant increase in the mean age of vaginal
- opening from 42.3 days in control animals to 65 days at 70.7 mg/L Cr(V)⁴⁶. In all four of these
- studies, results were presented as the mean of individual F1 animals without accounting for
- 26 potential litter effects, which has the potential to overestimate statistical significance (<u>Haseman et</u>
- 27 <u>al., 2001</u>).

28 Delayed puberty is coherent with decreased estrogen levels in three of these studies

29 (<u>Stanley et al., 2014; Stanley et al., 2013; Banu et al., 2008</u>) (see "Hormones" section above).

- **30** Delayed puberty can also be closely tied to decreased body weight (<u>Greenspan and Lee, 2018</u>), so
- 31 examination of body weight may provide a means for separating direct effects on puberty from
- 32 those that are related to general delays in development. <u>Samuel et al. (2012a)</u> reported decreased
- body weights in Cr(VI) treatment groups at multiple postnatal timepoints, whereas <u>Banu et al.</u>
- 34 (2008) and <u>Stanley et al. (2014)</u> did not report body weights. <u>Al-Hamood et al. (1998)</u> reported that
- 35 body weight of the F1 females was not affected by Cr(VI) exposure, but the study was not clear

⁴⁶Numerical values in the study by <u>Samuel et al. (2012a)</u> were extracted from a figure using WebPlotDigitizer software: <u>https://automeris.io/WebPlotDigitizer/</u>.

1 about when the body weight measurements were taken. Thus, the delayed puberty could be related

- 2 either to decreases in reproductive hormones or body weight. Overall, interpretation of these *low*
- 3 confidence studies is limited.

4 Organ weight

5 Effects on female reproductive organ weight were inconsistent across studies. No effects on 6 absolute or relative ovary weights were observed in adult F0 or F1 females in the high confidence 7 RACB study in mice at doses up to 50.6 and 39 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) via diet, respectively (NTP, 1997). 8 The *high* confidence study by Thompson et al. (2020) reported no change in the absolute weight of 9 the ovaries or uterus following a 90-day exposure to 149.3 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water. No effect 10 on relative ovary or uterus weights were observed at PND 50 in F1 female mice exposed to 11 353 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water from GD 12–PND 20 in the *low* confidence developmental 12 exposure study by (Al-Hamood et al., 1998). In the low confidence study in adult mice by (Elbetieha 13 and Al-Hamood, 1997), relative ovary weight was statistically significantly increased following 14 exposure to 1770 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water for 12 weeks, while relative uterus weight was not 15 changed. Conversely, in the low confidence study in rats by Samuel et al. (2012a), there was a dose-16 dependent decrease in absolute uterus and ovary weight in F0 rat dams exposed to potassium dichromate in drinking water from GD 9–21 that reached statistical significance at 35.3 mg/L and 17 18 70.7 mg/L Cr(VI), respectively. The study by <u>Samuel et al. (2012a)</u> also evaluated F1 females that 19 were continued on the 70.7 mg/L Cr(VI) dosing regimen through PND 65, and observed a 20 statistically significant decrease in absolute ovary and uterus weight at multiple timepoints 21 measured between PND 3 and PND 65. <u>Samuel et al. (2012a)</u> evaluated F1 animals as individuals 22 without accounting for potential litter effects, which has the potential to overestimate statistical 23 significance (<u>Haseman et al., 2001</u>). Body weights were decreased in both studies that observed 24 effects, which could have contributed to the increase in relative organ weights and decrease in 25 absolute organ weights. Overall, interpretation is limited because effects were only observed in *low* 26 confidence studies and were not seen in *high* confidence studies, and the direction of effect was

27 inconsistent.

28 *Oocytes and ovarian histopathology*

- 29 The *high* confidence subchronic studies by NTP reported no gross or microscopic changes in
 30 the ovary in adult rats or mice following up to 9 weeks of exposure to doses up to 8.5 or
- 32.5 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) via diet, respectively (<u>NTP, 1996a</u>, <u>b</u>); or in adult rats or mice following
- 32 3-month exposure to doses up to 20.9 or 27.9 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) via drinking water (<u>NTP, 2007</u>),
- respectively. No gross changes were observed in the ovary in F0 or F1 females in the *high*
- 34 confidence RACB study in mice at doses up to 50.6 and 39 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) via diet, respectively
- 35 (<u>NTP, 1997</u>). The *high* confidence study by <u>Thompson et al. (2020)</u> likewise reported no change in
- 36 the numbers of small, medium, or large follicles and no change in the incidence of follicular atresia
- in mice following 90-day exposure to levels up to 149.3 mg/L in drinking water.

1 In contrast, nine *low* confidence studies reported pathological effects in the ovary following 2 exposure to potassium dichromate in drinking water. Kanojia et al. (1998) reported a statistically 3 significant decrease in the number of corpora lutea in maternal female rats that had been exposed 4 to doses of 177 mg/L Cr(VI) and higher in drinking water for 3 months prior to mating; however, 5 there was a 10-15% mortality rate and clinical signs of toxicity among rats at these dose levels, so 6 this effect may be indicative of overt toxicity. Similarly, following exposure in adult mice for 7 20 days, Murthy et al. (1996) reported a dose-related statistically significant decrease in follicle 8 numbers at drinking water concentrations of 88.4 mg/L Cr(VI) and higher, and a statistically 9 significant decrease in the number of ova recovered when the animals were induced to 10 superovulate at concentrations of 177 mg/L Cr(VI) and higher. The remaining seven low confidence 11 studies evaluated ovarian histopathology in developing F1 females and were performed by a single 12 group of authors (Banu, Stanley, Sivakumar, Samuel, and coauthors). Following gestational 13 exposure (GD 9.5–14.5) of F0 dams to 8.8 mg/L Cr(VI), F1 female rat fetuses and newborn pups 14 were found to have decreased oocyte counts and accelerated breakdown of germ cell nests into 15 primordial follicles⁴⁷ (Banu et al., 2015; Sivakumar et al., 2014), with an increased number of 16 primary and secondary follicles at PND 4 in treated animals compared to the control group (Banu et 17 al., 2015). Following lactational exposure (PND 1–21) of F0 dams to 8.8–70.7 mg/L Cr(VI), F1 18 female rats were found to have a dose-related increase in incidence of follicular atresia⁴⁸ (Banu et 19 al., 2016; Stanley et al., 2014; Stanley et al., 2013) and decreased numbers of primordial, primary, secondary, and antral follicles (Banu et al., 2008) at timepoints between PND 21 and PND 65. 20 21 Samuel et al. (2012a) exposed F0 dams to 70.7 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water during gestation and 22 lactation (GD 9–PND 21) and continued F1 females on the same dosing regimen through PND 65. 23 and observed pyknotic nuclei and vacuolation in oocytes, stunted or arrested ovarian follicle 24 development, and abnormalities in thecal cells, granulosa cells, and luteum in F1 females at various 25 timepoints measured between PND 3–65, but did not provide quantitative data. These ovarian 26 effects are coherent with the effects on hormones that were observed in some of these studies 27 (Banu et al., 2016; Stanley et al., 2014; Stanley et al., 2013; Samuel et al., 2012a; Banu et al., 2008) 28 (see above section) since estrogens and gonadotropins play a critical role in the growth and 29 development of oocytes. However, interpretation is limited because effects were observed only in 30 *low* confidence studies and were not seen in the *high* confidence studies.

- **31** *Other histopathology of the female reproductive system*
- 32 The *high* confidence studies by NTP reported no effects on the incidence of gross or
- 33 microscopic lesions in the vagina, cervix, uterus, or clitoral gland in adult rats or mice following up
- to 9 weeks of exposure to doses up to 8.5 or 32.5 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) via diet, respectively (<u>NTP</u>.

⁴⁷Germ cell nests are clusters of oogonia that are formed in the developing ovary during late gestation. Germ cell nests are present at birth, and then are broken down into primordial follicles during the final stage of early ovarian development (<u>Wear et al., 2016</u>).

⁴⁸Follicular atresia is defined as degenerative changes in the granulosa cell layers or oocyte.

- 1 <u>1996a</u>, <u>b</u>); or in adult rats or mice following 3-month exposure to doses up to 20.9 or 27.9 mg/kg-
- 2 day Cr(VI) via drinking water (<u>NTP, 2007</u>), respectively. No treatment-related gross lesions were
- 3 observed in these organs in F0 or F1 females in the RACB study in mice at doses up to 50.6 and
- 4 39 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) via diet, respectively (<u>NTP, 1997</u>). The study by <u>Thompson et al. (2020)</u>
- 5 likewise reported no significant alterations in the gross and microscopic appearance of the corpus
- 6 and cervix uteri, vaginas, or mammary glands, but was considered *medium* confidence for this
- 7 outcome because no quantitative data was reported.
- 8

3.2.8.3. Mechanistic Evidence

9 The Cr(VI) literature provides evidence informing potential mechanisms of Cr(VI)-induced
10 female reproductive toxicity; specifically, oxidative stress and apoptosis in female reproductive
11 tissues, altered hormone signaling, and effects on the extracellular matrix. Mechanistic studies are
12 tabulated in Appendix C.2.7 and summarized here.

The mechanistic studies reviewed here consisted of in vivo mechanistic data from several of the included oral exposure studies discussed above (Table 3-43), as well as from intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection studies that did not meet PECO criteria but were reviewed as relevant to the mechanistic synthesis. Dosing via i.p. injection is likely to result in higher tissue concentrations of Cr(VI) compared to oral exposure, since an oral first-pass effect exists due to the reduction of Cr(VI) in the low pH environment of the stomach; less than 10–20% of an ingested dose may be absorbed

- 19 in the GI tract, and further reduction will occur in the liver prior to distribution to the rest of the
- 20 body (see Section 3.1 and Appendix C.1). Therefore, systemic effects are expected to be more likely
- following i.p. injection or inhalation compared to oral exposure. In vitro studies conducted in
- relevant cell types, such as thecal and granulosa cells, were also considered for mechanistic
- 23 evidence.

24 <u>Altered steroidogenesis</u>

25 The effects on hormone levels (described in sections above) are supported by changes in

- 26 the ovarian expression of genes involved in steriodogenesis, which were observed in rats and rat
- 27 granulosa cells following exposure to potassium dichromate. In F1 rats, <u>Stanley et al. (2013)</u>
- 28 reported decreased ovarian FSH receptor gene expression and <u>Banu et al. (2016)</u> reported
- 29 decreased ovarian gene expression of steroidogenic acute regulatory protein (StAR),
- **30** 3β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase, and aromatase. <u>Banu et al. (2016)</u> also reported increased gene
- 31 expression of enzymes involved in the metabolic clearance of estradiol (Cyp1a1, Cyp1b1,
- 32 UDP-glucuronosyltransferases, Sult1a1, NAD(P)H quinone oxidoreductase 1). Similar effects were
- 33 observed in an immortalized rat granulosa cell line (<u>Stanley et al., 2011; Banu et al., 2008</u>) and in
- 34 primary rat granulosa cells (<u>Stanley et al., 2013</u>; <u>Stanley et al., 2011</u>), including decreased
- 35 expression of LH receptor, FSH receptor, estrogen receptors (ERα, ERβ), StAR, steroidogenic factor
- **36** (SF)-1, and 17β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases -1 and -2. In all of these studies, these effects
- 37 (including steroid hormone measurements in the in vivo studies) were attenuated by cotreatment

1 with an antioxidant (vitamin C or resveratrol). <u>Stanley et al. (2014)</u> found that cotreatment of

2 potassium dichromate-exposed F1 female rats with estradiol restored the expression of several

3 antioxidant enzymes (Gpx1, catalase, Prdx3, and Txn2), also suggesting a relationship between

4 hormonal effects and oxidative stress.

5 <u>Oxidative stress</u>

6 Decreased antioxidant enzyme expression or activity [e.g., superoxide dismutase (SOD),
7 catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase (GPx), glutathione reductase (GR), peroxiredoxin (PRDX) 3,

- 8 and thioredoxin (TXN)], decreased nonenzymatic antioxidants (glutathione, metallothionine,
- 9 vitamin C), and increased markers of oxidative stress (lipid peroxidation, superoxide anion, H_2O_2)

10 were observed in the ovary in several of the studies in F1 rats described above (<u>Banu et al., 2016</u>;

11 <u>Stanley et al., 2014; Stanley et al., 2013; Samuel et al., 2012a</u>) and in adult mice (<u>Rao et al., 2009</u>)

12 following oral exposure, as well as in the uterus of adult rats following intraperitoneal injection

13 (<u>Marouani et al., 2015b</u>). Increased ovarian glutathione-S-transferase (GST) (<u>Stanley et al., 2013</u>)

14 and SOD expression (Banu et al., 2016) were observed in some cases. A similar spectrum of effects

15 was observed in vitro in primary granulosa and theca cells isolated from immature rats and in an

16 immortalized granulosa cell line (<u>Stanley et al., 2013</u>). <u>Sivakumar et al. (2014</u>) observed that

- 17 potassium dichromate exposure increased colocalization of p53/SOD-2 in the ovary of F1 rats and
- 18 hypothesized that this could be contributing to oxidative stress, as p53 has been demonstrated to
- 19 reduce SOD-2 antioxidant activity.
- 20 Several in vivo studies found that cotreatment of animals with antioxidants (vitamin C,

21 resveratrol, ginseng edaravone) mitigated apical outcomes including decreased maternal body

22 weight gain, follicular atresia, and effects on pubertal onset, estrous cyclicity, and hormone levels

23 (Banu et al., 2016; Stanley et al., 2014; Stanley et al., 2013; Banu et al., 2008; Elsaieed and Nada,

24 <u>2002</u>). This may imply that oxidative stress is a mechanism underlying these effects, but

- 25 interpretation is difficult because antioxidants can also decrease tissue Cr(VI) levels by stabilizing
- 26 lower Cr oxidation states. For instance, (<u>Elsaieed and Nada, 2002</u>) reported lower plasma, placenta,
- 27 and fetus Cr levels with coadministration of ginseng, and (<u>Banu et al., 2008</u>) reported lower plasma
- 28 and ovarian Cr levels with coadministration of Vitamin C.

29 Apoptosis of somatic and germ cells

30 In rat studies that reported follicular atresia and decreased follicle counts in F1 pups (<u>Banu</u>

31 <u>et al., 2016; Banu et al., 2015; Sivakumar et al., 2014; Stanley et al., 2014; Stanley et al., 2013</u>), these

32 histopathological changes were accompanied by increased apoptosis of follicular cells. Evidence

33 included increased staining in the TUNEL assay, increased expression of pro-apoptotic markers

- 34 (Bax, cytochrome c, caspase-3, p53, p27), decreased expression of anti-apoptotic markers (Bcl-2,
- 35 Bcl-XL, Bcl2l1, HIF-1 α), and decreased expression of other signaling molecules that regulate cell
- 36 survival [p-AKT, p-ERK, X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein (XIAP)]. Increased apoptotic cells
- and protein expression of Bax in the uterus was also reported in adult female rats following

- 1 intraperitoneal injection with potassium dichromate, accompanied by a decrease in the relative
- 2 weight of the uterus and ovary (<u>Marouani et al., 2015b</u>). In primary granulosa cells from immature
- 3 rats, (Banu et al., 2011) similarly reported upregulation of apoptotic markers and down-regulation
- 4 of anti-apoptotic markers and further investigated the role of signal transduction pathways that
- 5 regulate cell survival, finding that apoptosis and p53 activity were decreased after treatment with
- 6 an ERK1/2 inhibitor. Another study in primary and immortalized rat granulosa cells reported that
- 7 potassium dichromate induced cell cycle arrest, decreased expression of proteins that regulate the
- 8 progression of the cell cycle [cyclins, cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs), and proliferating cell
- 9 nuclear antigen (PCNA)], and increased expression of inhibitors of CDKs (p15, p16, and p27),
- 10 although authors stated it was unclear whether these disruptions to the cell cycle were a cause or a
- 11 consequence of apoptosis (<u>Stanley et al., 2011</u>).
- 12 Effects on the ovarian extracellular matrix
- 13 Banu et al. (2015) proposed a mechanism by which Cr(VI) induces premature ovarian
- 14 failure by targeting the metalloenzyme X-propyl aminopeptidase (coded by the gene Xpnpep2),
- 15 leading to effects on the extracellular matrix. In F1 female rats from dams that were exposed to
- 16 25 mg/L potassium dichromate in drinking water from GD 9.5–14.5, the authors reported increased
- 17 ovarian expression of Xpnpep2 during late gestation and decreased ovarian expression of Xpnpep2
- 18 during early postnatal life. Levels of ovarian collagen expression (Col1, Col3, Col4) were inversely
- 19 proportional to Xpnpep2 at each of the sample time points. The authors hypothesized that Cr(VI)
- 20 accelerates the breakdown of germ cell nests by upregulating Xpnpep2 and decreasing the
- 21 distribution of collagen in the fetal ovary and alters the histoarchitecture of the ovary in postnatal
- 22 animals by downregulating Xpnpep2.
- 23

3.2.8.4. Integration of Evidence

- Overall, the available evidence is inadequate to assess whether Cr(VI) may cause female
 reproductive effects under relevant exposure circumstances. Although an association with female
- 26 reproductive toxicity was demonstrated in a single *low* confidence epidemiology study and a series
- 26 reproductive toxicity was demonstrated in a single *low* confidence epidemiology study and a seri
- 27 of *low* confidence animal toxicology studies, effects were not observed in *medium* or *high*
- confidence studies aside from a moderate decrease in maternal body weight (<u>NTP, 1997</u>).
- 29 Integrated evidence of the female reproductive effects of Cr(VI) exposure from human, animal, and
- 30 mechanistic studies is summarized in an evidence profile table (Table 3-44).
- 31 The evidence of an association between Cr(VI) exposure and female reproductive effects in
- 32 humans is *indeterminate*. A single *low* confidence study indicated higher risk of several female
- 33 reproductive conditions in a population that was estimated to have higher Cr(VI) exposure, but
- 34 there is too much uncertainty to draw conclusions regarding these associations.
- Evidence of female reproductive effects from animal toxicology studies and supportive mechanistic data from in vivo and in vitro studies was also found to be *indeterminate*. Across *high* confidence studies in rats and mice (Thompson et al., 2020; NTP, 1997, 1996a, b), the only notable

- 1 female reproductive effect was a 5–7% decrease in F0 and F1 maternal body weights at delivery in
- 2 the RACB study in mice (<u>NTP, 1997</u>); fertility, fecundity, and estrous cyclicity were not affected, and
- 3 effects on organ weights, follicle counts, and histopathology were not observed. In contrast,
- 4 profound effects on female fertility, estrous cyclicity, hormone levels, ovarian follicles, and
- 5 reproductive development were observed across the other available studies, which were all
- 6 considered *low* confidence and many of which were from a single research group. The doses of
- 7 Cr(VI) at which effects were observed could not be calculated for any of the *low* confidence studies
- 8 because drinking water consumption data was not reported, but the available information indicates
- 9 that some were higher and some were lower than doses used by NTP; so, it is unlikely that the
- 10 discrepancy in responses between *high* and *low* confidence studies is simply due to a difference in
- 11 the dose ranges tested. Some of the *low* confidence studies used relatively high dose levels
- 12 associated with mortality or other overt toxicity, however, which limits the interpretation of the
- 13 female reproductive findings. A strength of these *low* confidence studies is that they evaluated
- 14 several indicators of female reproductive toxicity that were not included in the NTP studies:
- 15 specifically, steroid hormone and gonadotropin levels, age at pubertal development, and ovarian
- 16 histopathology during early developmental stages. The interpretation of the *low* confidence studies
- 17 is limited, however, by deficiencies in study design, conduct, and reporting. Support for biological
- 18 plausibility of Cr(VI)-induced female reproductive effects comes from mechanistic data that was
- 19 also largely published by the same laboratory group, demonstrating altered expression of steroid
- 20 hormone signaling pathways in female rats and rat cells, as well as oxidative stress and apoptosis in
- 21 rodent ovarian and uterine tissues and cells. There were no animal studies that evaluated female
- 22 reproductive effects following inhalation exposure.

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
Evidence from studies of	Evidence from studies of exposed humans				$\odot \odot \odot$
FEMALE REPRODUCTIVE EFFECTS Low confidence: <u>Remy et al. (2017)</u>	One ecologic study reported higher relative risk for reproductive organ neoplasm, pelvic inflammatory disease, endometriosis, menstrual disorder, and ovarian cysts in a higher exposed geographic area.	• No factors noted	• <i>Low</i> confidence study	⊙⊙⊙ Indeterminate There is some indication of an association between Cr(VI) exposure and female reproductive effects, but the only evidence comes from a single, <i>low</i> confidence ecologic study so there is considerable uncertainty in the findings.	The evidence is inadequate to assess whether Cr(VI) causes female reproductive toxicity in humans. The single human study and most animal studies were considered <i>low</i> confidence. With the exception of decreased maternal body weight, effects in <i>low</i> confidence animal studies were not seen in the <i>high</i>
Evidence from animal stu	ıdies				confidence RACB and subchronic and studies.
FERTILITY AND FECUNDITY High confidence: <u>NTP (1997)</u> Low confidence: <u>Kanojia et al. (1998)</u> <u>Elbetieha and Al-</u> <u>Hamood (1997)</u> <u>Al-Hamood et al. (1998)</u> <u>Sivakumar et al. (2014)</u>	No effects on mating or pregnancy rates in mice in the <i>high</i> confidence RACB study (<u>NTP</u> , <u>1997</u>) or in a <i>low</i> confidence 12- week exposure study (<u>Elbetieha</u> <u>and Al-Hamood</u> , <u>1997</u>). Decreased fertility or fecundity in female rats or mice after developmental or adult exposure was reported in 3 <i>low</i> confidence studies.	• No factors noted	• Effects observed only in <i>low</i> confidence studies	 ⊙⊙⊙ Indeterminate Evidence of female reproductive effects was observed in multiple low confidence studies. Decreased F0 and F1 maternal body weights in a RACB study in mice (NTP, 1997) was the 	Mechanistic findings (animals and in vitro) provide evidence supportive of female reproductive toxicity. These mechanisms are presumed relevant to humans.

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy. 3-279 DRA

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
MATERNAL BODY WEIGHT GAIN High confidence: NTP (1997) Low confidence: Elsaieed and Nada (2002) Junaid et al. (1995) Junaid et al. (1996) Kanojia et al. (1998) Trivedi et al. (1989) Zheng et al. (2018)	Decreased maternal body weight was reported in 6 out of 7 studies, including F0 and F1 animals in the <i>high</i> confidence RACB study. In <i>low</i> confidence studies, decreased maternal body weights during pregnancy were concurrent with decreased fetal survival and/or fetal body weight, and authors did not adjust for gravid uterine weight to distinguish between maternal and fetal effects.	 <i>High</i> confidence study Consistency Dose-response gradient 	• <i>Low</i> confidence studies did not adjust for gravid uterine weight	only notable effect in <i>high</i> confidence studies.	
GESTATION LENGTH High confidence: <u>NTP (1997)</u>	No effects on cumulative days to litter (F0 dams) or gestation length (F1 dams) in a <i>high</i> confidence RACB study in mice.	 High confidence study 	• No factors noted		
HORMONES Low confidence: Banu et al. (2008) Banu et al. (2016) Stanley et al. (2013) Stanley et al. (2014) Samuel et al. (2012a)	Decreased serum estrogen, testosterone, and progesterone and increased FSH and LH in F1 rats in five <i>low</i> confidence studies from a single laboratory group. Decreased prolactin and growth	• No factors noted	• <i>Low</i> confidence studies, all from one research group		

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
	hormone also noted in one of these studies.				
ESTROUS CYCLICITY High confidence: NTP (1997) Low confidence: Kanojia et al. (1998) Murthy et al. (1996) Banu et al. (2008) Samuel et al. (2012a) Thompson et al. (2020)	No notable effects on F1 estrous cyclicity in the <i>high</i> confidence RACB study in mice. Increased estrous cycle duration in four <i>low</i> confidence studies in rats or mice exposure during development or as adults.	• No factors noted	• Effects observed only in <i>low</i> confidence studies.		
TIMING OF PUBERTY Low confidence: Al-Hamood et al. (1998) Banu et al. (2008) Stanley et al. (2014) Samuel et al. (2012a)	Increase in the age at pubertal onset (vaginal opening) was reported in F1 female rats or mice in four <i>low</i> confidence studies.	• No factors noted	• Effects observed only in <i>low</i> confidence studies		
ORGAN WEIGHT High confidence: NTP (1997) Thompson et al. (2020) Low confidence: Elbetieha and Al- Hamood (1997) Al-Hamood et al. (1998) Samuel et al. (2012a)	Increased relative ovary weight and decreased absolute ovary and uterus weight in 2 <i>low</i> confidence studies. Otherwise, no effects were observed.	• No factors noted	 Effects observed only in <i>low</i> confidence studies May be secondary to decreased body weight 		

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
OOCYTES AND OVARIAN HISTOPATHOLOGY High confidence: NTP (1996a) NTP (1997) NTP (1997) NTP (2007) Thompson et al. (2020) Low confidence: Kanojia et al. (1998) Murthy et al. (1996) Banu et al. (2008) Banu et al. (2015) Banu et al. (2015) Banu et al. (2015) Banu et al. (2015) Sivakumar et al. (2014) Stanley et al. (2013) Stanley et al. (2014) Samuel et al. (2012a)	No gross or microscopic changes in the ovary across 5 <i>high</i> confidence studies. Decreased corpora lutea and decreased follicle numbers and ova following superovulation in <i>low</i> confidence studies. Degenerative effects on the ovary including accelerated breakdown of germ cell nests, follicular atresia, stunted or arrested follicle development, and decreased follicle counts across 7 <i>low</i> confidence studies from a single laboratory group.	• No factors noted	• Effects observed only in <i>low</i> confidence studies, mostly from one research group		
OTHER HISTOPATHOLOGY OF THE FEMALE REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM High confidence: <u>NTP (1996a)</u> <u>NTP (1996b)</u> <u>NTP (1997)</u> <u>NTP (2007)</u> Medium confidence: Thompson et al. (2020)	No gross or microscopic changes were observed in the vagina, cervix, uterus, and/or clitoral gland across 5 <i>high</i> or <i>medium</i> confidence studies.	• <i>High</i> confidence studies	• No factors noted		

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment	
Mechanistic evidence						
Biological events or pathways	Summary of key findings and inte	erpretations		Judgments and rationale		
Altered steroidogenesis	 Interpretation: Cr(VI) alters steroide Key findings: Decreased estrogen, testosterone LH in animals in F1 rats following Stanley et al., 2014; Stanley Banu et al., 2008). Decreased ovarian expression of a steroidogenic genes in F1 rats (Baanu et al., 2008). Upregulation of genes involved in (Banu et al., 2016). 	Observations of altered hormone signaling, oxidative stress, apoptosis, and effects on the ovarian extracellular matrix. Oxidative stress was concurrent with apical outcomes in some animal studies. Effects on maternal body weight gain, follicular atresia, pubertal onset, estrous cyclicity, and hormones were				
Oxidative stress	 ess Interpretation: In vivo and in vitro evidence of Cr(VI)-induced oxidative stress in female reproductive tissues concurrent with apical measurements of female reproductive toxicity. Key findings: Decreased antioxidant activity or expression in the ovary was observed in F1 					
	 rats (<u>Banu et al., 2016</u>; <u>Stanlet</u> <u>Samuel et al., 2012a</u>), in oralling in the rat uterus following i.p. injecultured rat granulosa and theca Cotreatment of with antioxidants gain, follicular atresia, and effects 	ey et al., 2014; <u>Stank</u> y exposed adult mice (<u>R</u> ection (<u>Marouani et a</u> cells (<u>Stanley et al., 2</u> mitigated effects on ma s on pubertal onset, estr	ey et al., 2013; ao et al., 2009), I., 2015b), and in 013). Iternal body weight ous cyclicity, and			

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy. 3-283 DRA

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Inferences and summary judgment			
	hormone levels (<u>Banu et al., 20</u> al., 2013; <u>Banu et al., 2008</u> ; <u>E</u>	116; <u>Stanley et al., 20</u> Isaieed and Nada, 2	<u>014; Stanley et</u> 2002).		
Apoptosis of somatic and germ cells	 Interpretation: In vivo and in vitro erfemale reproductive tissues. Key findings: Increased TUNEL assay staining, i markers, decreased expression of expression of other signaling mol in ovarian tissue of F1 rats (Banu Sivakumar et al., 2014; Stanley Similar findings reported in adult (Marouani et al., 2015b). In vitro evidence of cell cycle arreet al., 2011). 	vidence of Cr(VI)-induce ncreased expression of f anti-apoptotic markers ecules that regulate cell et al., 2016; Banu et a <u>ret al., 2014</u> ; Stanley of female rats following i.j	ed apoptosis in pro-apoptotic s, and/or decreased l survival reported al., 2015; et al., 2013). p. injection losa cells (<u>Stanley</u>		
Ovarian extracellular matrix	 Interpretation: In vivo evidence that by altering the extracellular matrix. Key findings: Ovarian expression of the metallo increased in F1 rats during late ge postnatal life and was inversely proceeding (Banu et al., 2015). 	: Cr(VI) induces prematu enzyme X-propyl aminc station and increased d roportional to ovarian co	ure ovarian failure opeptidase was uring early ollagen expression		

3.2.9. Developmental effects

- 1 Developmental toxicity encompasses effects that occur following pre- or postnatal exposure 2 of the developing organism. The major categories of developmental toxicity discussed in this 3 section are changes in survival, growth, structural alterations, and effects on the placenta. 4 Functional effects on specific organ systems following developmental exposures are considered in 5 their respective sections (e.g., "Male reproductive effects" and "Female reproductive effects" 6 sections) and are also summarized here. These endpoints are considered relevant for 7 developmental toxicity risk assessment per U.S. EPA guidelines (U.S. EPA, 1991). 8 This section considers both indirect (maternal or paternal) and direct routes of exposure to 9 the developing organism. As noted previously, it is frequently difficult to determine whether effects 10 on the fetus are in response to or separate from maternal toxicity in studies that report both, so the 11 fetal endpoints described in this section should be considered in conjunction with the maternal 12 endpoints described in the "Female reproductive effects" section. Developmental effects produced 13 at doses that cause minimal maternal toxicity are still considered to represent developmental 14 toxicity and should not be discounted as maternal toxicity (U.S. EPA, 1991). Less is known about the
- potential impact of paternal exposures prior to conception, but it is thought that offspring
 development can be affected by genetic or epigenetic changes in sperm or by direct exposure to
- 17 toxicant residues in the seminal fluid.
- 18 **3.2.9.1**. *Human Evidence*

19 <u>Study evaluation summary</u>

20 Table 3-45 summarizes the eight human epidemiology studies (seven publications) 21 considered in the evaluation of the developmental effects of Cr(VI). Two studies were excluded due 22 to critical deficiencies in one or more domains (Xia et al., 2016; Ouansah and Jaakkola, 2009). Of the 23 six included studies, three studies (four publications) from the same research group examined 24 male-mediated effects on offspring, specifically resulting from paternal occupational exposures to 25 Cr(VI) from stainless-steel welding (Hiollund et al., 2005; Hiollund et al., 2000; Hiollund et al., 1995; 26 [P et al., 1992]. Exposure was measured in these studies using questionnaires. Participants were 27 asked about their past and current welding experiences including type of metal (stainless or mild 28 steel), welding methods, timing of welding exposures (years welding), and safety precautions used 29 (ventilation). In each study, exposure was analyzed as stainless-steel welding/mild steel welding, 30 and no welding. The questionnaires were not validated, and thus all the studies were evaluated as 31 *low* confidence due to concerns in the exposure measurement domain. One study (Hjollund et al., 32 2000) was rated higher confidence across the other study evaluation domains and is considered to 33 be likely the least biased and most sensitive among these *low* confidence studies. Spontaneous 34 abortion was examined in all three, while one study (<u>IP et al., 1992</u>) also examined preterm birth, 35 fetal growth, infant death within one year of birth, and congenital malformations. One general 36 population pregnancy cohort (Peng et al., 2018) was available that examined fetal growth markers

- 1 but was limited due to exposure measurement of total chromium in urine with no additional
- 2 information on occupational exposure or air sampling. In addition, two ecologic studies were
- 3 available that examined associations based on proximity to a specific contaminated site (kilometers
- 4 from center of polluted area in <u>Eizaguirre-García et al. (2000</u>), primarily affected town vs. rest of
- 5 county in <u>Remy et al. (2017)</u>). The developmental effects examined in these studies included
- 6 spontaneous abortion, early pregnancy loss (not defined), pregnancy complications, and infant
- 7 health (<u>Remy et al., 2017</u>) and congenital malformations/anomalies (<u>Remy et al., 2017</u>; <u>Eizaguirre-</u>
- 8 <u>García et al., 2000</u>).

Table 3-45. Summary of human studies for Cr(VI) developmental effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome.^a Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales.

Author (year)	Industry	Location	Study Design	Spontaneous abortion	Preterm birth	Fetal growth	Other (infant death, congenital malformations)
Hjollund et al. (1995), JP et al. (1992) ^b	SS Welding	Denmark	Cohort (occupational)	L	L	L	L
Hjollund et al. (2000)	SS Welding	Denmark	Cohort (occupational)	L	-	_	_
<u>Hjollund et al.</u> (2005)	SS Welding	Denmark	Retrospective cohort	L	-	_	_
Eizaguirre-García et al. (2000)	General population	Scotland	Ecologic	_	_	_	L
Peng et al. (2018)	General population	China	Pregnancy cohort	_	-	L	_
<u>Remy et al.</u> (2017)	General population	U.S.	Ecologic	L	L	_	L

SS = Stainless Steel.

^aIn addition to these included studies, two additional studies reported developmental outcomes that met PECO criteria but were found to be *uninformative* at the study evaluation stage: <u>Quansah and Jaakkola (2009)</u> and <u>Xia et</u> <u>al. (2016)</u>.

^bOne study was described in two publications (<u>Hjollund et al., 1995</u>; <u>JP et al., 1992</u>) that reported different but overlapping subsamples. Results from both are described in the text but their results are not considered independent of each other.

9 <u>Synthesis of evidence in humans</u>

10 Spontaneous abortion

11 Four studies examined associations between spontaneous abortion and Cr(VI) exposure.

12 Spontaneous abortion is pregnancy loss occurring before approximately 28 weeks gestation and

13 can be subdivided into early loss (loss before pregnancy is recognized) and clinical loss (loss after

14 5 weeks gestation). Methods of spontaneous abortion ascertainment can vary in their ability to

identify early losses. When early losses are not detected, there is potential for bias if a true
 association with the exposure exists. This can even result in an apparent protective effect. In the

- 3 four available studies, one was designed to ascertain early losses. <u>Hjollund et al. (2000)</u> used daily
- 4 urine samples to identify pregnancy and early losses, which is the ideal approach. <u>Hjollund et al.</u>
- 5 (2005) used registry data from the Danish In Vitro Fertilization Register, which includes
- 6 information on clinical pregnancy identification. While this approach is not as sensitive as daily
- 7 urine samples, it is likely that pregnancies were identified early in this population. The other two
- 8 studies (<u>Remy et al., 2017; Hjollund et al., 1995</u>) identified spontaneous abortions based on hospital
- 9 discharge data, which would be limited to clinical losses, and only those in women who sought

10 medical attention.

- 11 <u>Hjollund et al. (2000)</u> reported a statistically significant increased risk of spontaneous
- 12 abortion with paternal stainless-steel welding (RR = 3.5, 95% CI: 1.3–9.1), which was specific to this
- 13 exposure group (i.e., no increase was observed with mild steel welding exposure). Conversely,
- 14 <u>Hjollund et al. (2005)</u> and <u>Hjollund et al. (1995)</u> reported inverse associations (statistically
- 15 significant in <u>Hiollund et al. (2005)</u>), although a different analysis of the population in the latter
- 16 study (<u>IP et al., 1992</u>) reported a positive association (OR = 1.9, 95% CI: 1.1–3.2). However, in this
- 17 latter analysis, spontaneous abortion was based on registry data providing the number of
- 18 spontaneous abortions preceding each birth recorded in the national registry, and this measure
- 19 was considered to be less sensitive than measures in other studies. In addition, in <u>IP et al. (1992)</u>,
- 20 there were similarly higher odds for induced abortion (OR = 2.1, 95% CI: 1.2–3.4), which increases
- 21 uncertainty about the reliability of the estimate since there is no plausibility for Cr(VI) to influence
- 22 induced abortions. A *low* confidence ecologic study (<u>Remy et al., 2017</u>) also reported higher relative
- risk of spontaneous abortion with higher exposure (RR 1.80, 95% CI: 1.20, 2.68). Overall, there is
- some indication that Cr(VI) exposure is associated with spontaneous abortion, most notably in
- 25 <u>Hiollund et al. (2000)</u>, which had the highest quality outcome ascertainment methods able to
- ascertain early losses. It is possible that the inverse associations observed in <u>Hjollund et al. (1995)</u>
- 27 were due to early losses missed by their outcome ascertainment methods, but there is not adequate
- 28 data to assess this. However, given the small number of studies and the limited nature of the
- 29 evidence there is considerable uncertainty.
- 30 *Fetal growth, preterm birth, and infant death:*

31 Three studies (Peng et al., 2018; Remy et al., 2017; IP et al., 1992) examined associations 32 with fetal growth outcomes, though in <u>Remy et al. (2017)</u> the association was reported for a 33 combination of outcomes that also included preterm birth. Peng et al. (2018) examined birth 34 weight, length, and ponderal index, as well as fetal ultrasound measurements of head and 35 abdominal circumference and femur length in all three trimesters. There were statistically 36 significant decreases in ponderal index with increased exposure, and non-statistically significant 37 decreases in birth weight and fetal head and abdominal circumference and femur length (in the 38 third trimester only). <u>IP et al. (1992)</u> reported no association with low birthweight. <u>Remy et al.</u>

1 (2017) reported higher relative risk for preterm birth, low birthweight, and small for gestational

2 age combined (RR 1.14, 95% CI: 1.05, 1.25). Thus, there is some indication of fetal growth

- 3 restriction with Cr(VI) exposure, but there is considerable uncertainty as the exposure in <u>Peng et al.</u>
- 4 (2018) was total chromium and <u>Remy et al. (2017)</u> also included preterm birth, both of which
- 5 reduce the interpretability of the findings.
- 6 In addition, <u>IP et al. (1992)</u> reported on preterm birth and infant death within the first year.
- 7 They reported a non-statistically significant association between higher Cr(VI) exposure levels and
- 8 increased odds of preterm birth (OR = 1.3, 95% CI: 0.9–1.9). No association was observed for infant
- 9 mortality, but the lack of association could be due at least in part to poor sensitivity as above. In
- addition to the preterm birth results already discussed, <u>Remy et al. (2017)</u> reported higher relative
- 11 risk for perinatal jaundice (RR 1.13, 95% CI: 1.06, 1.20) and some infant health conditions
- 12 (infectious/parasitic, nervous system). While both studies reported associations with preterm
- 13 birth, this was analyzed in a combined outcome in <u>Remy et al. (2017</u>), which again makes it difficult
- 14 to interpret. The other outcomes were observed in a single *low* confidence study.
- 15 *Congenital malformations*
- 16 Three studies examined the association between Cr(VI) exposure and congenital
- 17 malformations (<u>Remy et al., 2017</u>; <u>Eizaguirre-García et al., 2000</u>; <u>JP et al., 1992</u>). In <u>JP et al. (1992</u>),
- 18 there was no association between paternal occupational exposure and congenital malformations. In
- 19 <u>Eizaguirre-García et al. (2000)</u>, risk of congenital malformations was lowest in areas closest to the
- 20 center of the polluted area. In <u>Remy et al. (2017)</u>, there was higher relative risk of eye, ear, face,
- 21 neck, and cleft anomalies in the higher exposed geographic area (RR 1.19, 95% CI: 0.91, 1.56), but
- 22 this was only observed in one of the two time periods studied. No increase in genitourinary
- 23 anomalies was observed. Overall, there is limited evidence of an association between congenital
- 24 malformations and Cr(VI) exposure. However, all of the available studies had serious limitations
 25 which limits interpretation of their results.
- In summary, there are some indications of an association between Cr(VI exposure and
 spontaneous abortion, fetal growth, preterm birth, and congenital malformations, but the evidence
- is limited in quality and quantity.
- 29 3.2.9.2. Animal Evidence
- 30 <u>Study evaluation summary</u>
- 31Table 3-46 summarizes the animal toxicology studies considered in the evaluation of the
- 32 developmental effects of Cr(VI). These consist of one continuous breeding study using NTP's
- **33** Reproductive Assessment by Continuous Breeding (RACB) protocol (<u>NTP, 1997</u>); four studies that
- 34 evaluated effects in F1 offspring following maternal-only exposure (<u>Kanojia et al., 1998</u>; <u>Elbetieha</u>
- 35 <u>and Al-Hamood, 1997</u>) or paternal-only exposure (<u>Marat et al., 2018</u>; <u>Al-Hamood et al., 1998</u>;
- 36 <u>Bataineh et al., 1997; Elbetieha and Al-Hamood, 1997</u>) prior to mating; and thirteen studies that

1 evaluated F1 offspring from dams that were exposed during gestation (Zheng et al., 2018; Arshad et 2 al., 2017; Banu et al., 2017b; Banu et al., 2017c; Kumar et al., 2017; Shobana et al., 2017; Samuel et 3 al., 2012a; Bataineh et al., 2007; De Flora et al., 2006; Elsaieed and Nada, 2002; Junaid et al., 1996b, 4 1995; Trivedi et al., 1989) or lactation (Sánchez et al., 2015). All studies were oral exposures (diet, 5 drinking water, or oral gavage), although exposure to offspring was indirect in all studies except the 6 RACB study. 7 The RACB study by NTP (1997) and the gestational exposure study by Zheng et al. (2018) 8 were well-reported and well-designed to evaluate effects in developing animals and therefore were 9 rated as high confidence for all reported outcomes. The studies by De Flora et al. (2006) and 10 Shobana et al. (2017) had minor concerns raised during study evaluation and were rated *medium* 11 confidence. The remaining studies had reporting limitations and other substantial concerns and 12 were rated as *low* confidence across all outcomes. Endpoint-specific concerns are discussed in the 13 respective sections below. Three of the low confidence studies (Al-Hamood et al., 1998; Bataineh et 14 al., 1997; Elbetieha and Al-Hamood, 1997) exposed animals to high concentrations (350-15 1770 mg/L) of Cr(VI) in drinking water, which was considered a potential confounding variable as 16 it is not possible to determine whether developmental effects may have been exacerbated by 17 reduced water consumption and/or systemic toxicity; for instance, drinking water concentrations 18 of 350 mg/L Cr(VI) have been associated in rats with decreased water consumption and site of 19 contact toxicity (80 and 100% incidence of ulcers in the glandular stomach of males and females, 20 respectively) (NTP, 2007). There were concerns about scientific integrity for two groups of authors⁴⁹ (Banu et al., 2017b; Banu et al., 2017a; Kumar et al., 2017; Samuel et al., 2012a; Kanojia et 21 22 al., 1998; Junaid et al., 1996b, 1995), which reduces confidence in these studies but does not

23 necessarily discount the results.

⁴⁹Four studies demonstrating self-plagiarism—i.e., publication of identical data presented as separate and unique experiments—were considered *critically deficient* and were excluded from the assessment. Specifically, 1) identical data were presented for rats by <u>Kanojia et al. (1996</u>) and for mice by <u>Junaid et al.</u> (1996a), despite these being presented as separate studies in different species; and 2) subsets of the data presented by Samuel et al. (2012b; 2011) were identical to that in an earlier publication by this laboratory group (<u>Banu et al., 2008</u>). Other studies by the same groups of authors, listed in the text above, were included in the assessment but considered *low* confidence.

Table 3-46. Summary of included studies for Cr(VI) developmental effects and overall confidence classification [high (H), medium (M), low (L)] by outcome.^a <u>Click to see interactive graphic for ratings rationale.</u>

Author (vear)	Species (strain)	Exposure life stage and duration	Exposure route	Survival	Growth	Structural	olacenta	-unctional effects
<u>NTP (1997)</u>	Mice (BALBC)	Reproductive Assessment by Continuous Breeding	Diet	H	Н	-	-	Н
<u>Al-Hamood et al.</u> (1998)	Mice (BALBC)	F1 males or females exposed GD 12–PND 20 and mated with untreated animals	Drinking water	L	-	-	-	L
<u>Banu et al. (2008)</u>	Rat (Wistar)	F1 females; PND 1–21	Drinking water	-	-	-	-	L
<u>Banu et al. (2015)</u>	Rat (Sprague- Dawley)	F1 females; GD 9.5–14.5	Drinking water	-	-	-	-	L
<u>Banu et al. (2016)</u>	Rat (Sprague- Dawley)	F1 females; PND 1–21	Drinking water	-	-	-	-	L
<u>Banu et al. (2017a)</u>	Rat (Sprague- Dawley)	GD 9.5–14.5	Drinking water	-	L	-	-	-
<u>Banu et al. (2017b)</u>	Rat (Sprague- Dawley)	GD 9.5–14.5	Drinking water	-	-	-	L	-
<u>Bataineh et al.</u> (1997)	Rat (Sprague- Dawley)	F0 males exposed 12 weeks prior to mating with untreated females	Drinking water	L	-	-	-	-
<u>De Flora et al.</u> (2006)	Mice (Swiss albino)	"Duration of pregnancy"– GD 18	Drinking water	М	М	-	-	-
Elbetieha and Al- Hamood (1997)	Mice (Swiss)	F0 males or females exposed 12 weeks prior to mating with untreated animals	Drinking water	L	-	-	-	-
Elsaieed and Nada (2002)	Rat (Wistar)	GD 6–15	Drinking water	L	L	L	L	-
<u>Junaid et al. (1995)</u>	Mice (Swiss albino)	GD 14–19	Drinking water	L	L	L	L	-
<u>Junaid et al. (1996b)</u>	Mice (Swiss albino)	GD 6–14	Drinking water	L	L	L	L	-
Kanojia et al. (1998)	Rat (Druckrey)	F0 females exposed 3 months prior to mating with untreated males	Drinking water	L	L	L	L	-
<u>Kumar et al. (2017)</u>	Rat (Wistar)	GD 9–14	Drinking water	-	L	-	-	L
<u>Samuel et al.</u> (2012a)	Rat (Wistar)	Study 1: GD 9–21 Study 2: GD 9–PND 65	Drinking water	L	L	-	-	L

Author (year)	Species (strain)	Exposure life stage and duration	Exposure route	Survival	Growth	Structural	Placenta	Functional effects
<u>Shobana et al.</u> <u>(2017)</u>	Rat (Wistar)	GD 9–14	Drinking water	-	-	-	-	Μ
<u>Sivakumar et al.</u> <u>(2014)</u>	Rat (strain not reported)	F0 dams; GD 9.5–14.5	Drinking water	-	-	-	-	L
Stanley et al. (2013)	Rat (Sprague- Dawley)	F1 females; PND 1–21	Drinking water	-	-	-	-	L
Stanley et al. (2014)	Rat (Sprague- Dawley)	F1 females; PND 1–21	Drinking water	-	-	-	-	L
<u>Trivedi et al. (1989)</u>	Mice (albino)	GD 0–19	Drinking water	L	L	L	L	-
Arshad et al. (2017)	Mice (Swiss- Webster)	GD 6	Gavage	L	L	L	-	-
<u>Bataineh et al.</u> (2007)	Rat (Sprague- Dawley)	GD 1–3 or 4–6	Gavage	L	-	-	-	-
<u>Marat et al. (2018)</u>	Rat (white outbred)	Adult males; 60 days	Gavage	L	-	-	-	-
<u>Sánchez et al.</u> (2015)	Rat (Wistar)	PND 4–19	Gavage	-	-	L	-	-
<u>Zheng et al. (2018)</u>	Rat (Sprague- Dawley)	GD 12–21.5	Gavage	Н	Η	-	-	H

GD = gestation day; PND = postnatal day

^aIn addition to these included studies, there were seven animal toxicology studies reporting female reproductive effects that met PECO criteria but were found to be *uninformative* at the study evaluation stage: <u>Junaid et al.</u> (1996a), <u>Kanojia et al. (1996)</u>, <u>Soudani et al. (2011b</u>), <u>Soudani et al. (2011a</u>), <u>Soudani et al. (2013)</u>, <u>Zahid et al.</u> (1990), and <u>Borneff et al. (1968)</u>.

1 <u>Synthesis of evidence in animals⁵⁰ 51</u>

2 Fetal and postnatal survival

3

Decreased offspring survival was observed only in *low* confidence studies. Statistically

- 4 significant effects occurred at the same dose or lower compared to decreased maternal body weight
- 5 gain or clinical signs of maternal toxicity within a subset of studies that reported both maternal and
- 6 fetal endpoints (<u>Elsaieed and Nada, 2002; Kanojia et al., 1998; Junaid et al., 1996b</u>, <u>1995; Trivedi et</u>
- 7 <u>al., 1989</u>). Other *low* confidence studies provided little or no data on maternal toxicity, so the
- 8 relative sensitivity of maternal and offspring effects could not be compared in those cases.

⁵⁰Data are available in HAWC for <u>NTP (1997) here</u>.

⁵¹For many of the oral studies presented here, it was not possible to estimate an average daily mg/kg dose due to lack of reporting. To estimate an average daily dose, paired records of body weight and daily intake of test article are required. This is particularly important for Cr(VI) reproductive and developmental studies, because rapid changes in maternal body weight are expected during pregnancy, and Cr(VI) affects palatability (which affects both Cr(VI) intake rate and body weight). Doses of Cr(VI) are presented where possible, however many cross-study comparisons are done on the basis of mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water.

In the *high* confidence RACB study in mice (NTP, 1997) there was no effect on the number 1 2 of live pups per litter or proportion of pups born alive across the F1 and F2 litters at dietary doses 3 up to 30.3 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) (F0 parental animals) and 37.1 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) (F1 parental 4 animals), and no effects on survival of F1 from birth until weaning at PND 21. The *high* confidence 5 gestational exposure study by <u>Zheng et al. (2018)</u> also reported no effects on rat pup numbers or 6 sex ratio (% male pups) following maternal exposure at doses up to 12 mg/kg-d Cr(VI) via oral 7 gavage from GD 12–21. The *medium* confidence gestational exposure study by De Flora et al. (2006) 8 reported no effect on the number of fetuses at GD 18 following maternal exposure to 5 or 10 mg/L 9 Cr(VI) in drinking water throughout the duration of pregnancy. 10 In contrast to the findings in *high* and *medium* confidence studies, all *low* confidence studies 11 that exposed dams to Cr(VI) during pregnancy reported increased pre- or post-implantation loss. 12 Rat dams dosed with 25 mg/kg-day potassium dichromate via oral gavage from GD 1–3 had no 13 implantations **Bataineh et al. (2007)**; and a dose-related increase in pre-implantation loss was 14 observed in mice exposed from GD 0–19, reaching statistical significance at 177 mg/L Cr(VI) 15 (Trivedi et al., 1989). Statistically significant increases in pre-implantation loss were also reported 16 in rats exposed to 50 mg/L potassium dichromate in drinking water from GD 6-15 (Elsaieed and 17 Nada, 2002), and a dose-related decrease in implantation index (number of implantation sites / 18 number of corpora lutea) was reported in rats exposed to 50–400 mg/L Cr(VI) from GD 9–21 19 (Samuel et al., 2012a); however, these exposures began around or after the time of implantation in 20 rats (generally GD 6) and therefore effects may not have been related to treatment (U.S. EPA, 1991). 21 Statistically significant dose-related increases in post-implantation loss (resorptions or dead 22 fetuses) were observed in mice following exposure from GD 0–19 (Trivedi et al., 1989), GD 6–14 23 (<u>Junaid et al., 1996b</u>), and GD 14–19 (<u>Junaid et al., 1995</u>), reaching statistical significance at 88 or 24 177 mg/L Cr(VI). In studies that tested a single dose level, post-implantation loss was increased in 25 rats following exposure to a maternal dose of 50 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water from GD 6–15 26 (Elsaieed and Nada, 2002), in rats given a maternal dose of 8.8 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) via oral gavage 27 from GD 4–6 (Bataineh et al., 2007), and in mice given a maternal dose of 3.9–16 mg/kg Cr(VI) via 28 oral gavage on GD 6 (Arshad et al., 2017). The studies by Arshad et al. (2017) and Samuel et al. 29 (2012a) presented results in terms of the number of individual fetuses affected without indication 30 of means or variance across litters, so there is greater uncertainty in the results of these studies. 31 Three *low* confidence studies reported decreased fetal survival when maternal animals 32 were exposed to Cr(VI) prior to mating. <u>Kanojia et al. (1998)</u> exposed rat dams to Cr(VI) via 33 drinking water for 3 months prior to mating with unexposed males and reported a dose-related 2-34 to 3.1-fold increase in pre-implantation loss and a 2.2- to 4.2-fold increase in post-implantation loss, 35 reaching statistical significance at 88 mg/L Cr(VI). Elbetieha and Al-Hamood (1997) exposed adult 36 F0 female mice to Cr(VI) in drinking water for 12 weeks prior to mating with unexposed males, and 37 reported a 17–18% decrease in implantations, \sim 5–6 fold increase in the number of mice with 38 resorptions, and a 25–32% decrease in viable fetuses, all of which were statistically significant at

1 both of the tested doses [707 and 1,768 mg/L Cr(VI)]. (Al-Hamood et al., 1998) exposed F1 female 2 mice to maternal doses of 353 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water during development (from 3 GD 12-PND 20) and then mated these animals with unexposed males as adults, and reported a 4 statistically significant 12% decrease in implantations and 14% decrease in viable fetuses. 5 Male-mediated decreases in fetal survival were observed in two low confidence paternal-6 only exposure studies. Elbetieha and Al-Hamood (1997) reported a statistically significant 16–23% 7 decrease in implantations and viable fetuses when adult F0 male mice were exposed to 707 or 8 1,414 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water for 12 weeks prior to mating with untreated females; these 9 effects were not observed at the 353 or 1,768 mg/L dose levels, although some resorptions or dead 10 fetuses were noted. Marat et al. (2018) exposed adult F0 male rats to 0.353 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) via 11 oral gavage for 60 days prior to mating with untreated females and reported a 1.8-fold increase in 12 pre-implantation mortality, an 8.9-fold increase in post-implantation mortality, and a dominant 13 lethal mutation frequency of 0.665. There were no effects on the number of implantation sites and 14 viable fetuses in two other *low* confidence paternal exposure studies, both of which exposed 15 parental males to a dose level of 353 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water during development (Al-16 Hamood et al., 1998) or as adults (Bataineh et al., 1997) and mated with unexposed females.

17 Fetal and postnatal growth

18 Decreased fetal or postnatal growth were observed to some extent in almost all studies that

19 evaluated these outcomes. Statistically significant effects occurred at the same dose or lower

20 compared to decreased maternal body weight gain or clinical signs of toxicity within a subset of

21 studies that reported both maternal and fetal endpoints (<u>Elsaieed and Nada, 2002</u>; <u>Kanojia et al.</u>,

22 <u>1998; NTP, 1997; Junaid et al., 1996b</u>, <u>1995; Trivedi et al., 1989</u>). Other *low* confidence studies

23 provided little or no data on maternal toxicity, so the relative sensitivity of maternal and offspring

- 24 effects could not be compared in those cases.
- In the *high* confidence RACB study in mice, mean F1 male and female pup body weights in
 the highest dose group [F0 dietary exposure of 30.3 mg/kg-day Cr(VI)] were similar to controls at
- 27 birth but were 9–15% lower than controls at PNDs 14 and 21, although this effect was not
- 28 statistically significant⁵². By PND 74 ± 10, the effect on F1 body weights was statistically significant;

29 mean F1 male and female body weights in the highest dose group [37.1 mg/kg-day Cr(VI)] were

- 30 decreased by 9% compared to controls, and F1 females in the second highest dose group
- 31 [16.1 mg/kg-day Cr(VI)] were decreased by 4% compared to controls (<u>NTP, 1997</u>). Food
- 32 consumption was increased in the treated animals compared to controls, so the decrease in growth
- does not seem to be attributable to palatability or changes in feed consumption. There was a
- 34 statistically significant 11% decrease in F2 female pup birth weights at 37.1 mg/kg-day Cr(VI),
- 35 although pup body weights in this group were not statistically significantly lower than controls

⁵²Data are available for males (<u>PND14</u> and <u>PND21</u>) and females (<u>PND14</u> and <u>PND21</u>).

when adjusted for litter size. Otherwise, there were no effects on F2 pup birth weights, and F2
animals were not monitored further.

3 The remaining studies that observed decreased F1 growth were considered *low* confidence. 4 Kanojia et al. (1998) exposed rat dams via drinking water for 3 months prior to mating and 5 reported a dose-related 21–36% decrease in fetal body weight, reaching statistical significance at 6 88 mg/L Cr(VI). In drinking water studies that exposed pregnant dams, fetal body weights were 7 decreased in a dose-related manner compared to controls by 18–47% (Junaid et al., 1995), 3–19% 8 (<u>lunaid et al., 1996b</u>), and 32–44% (<u>Trivedi et al., 1989</u>) following exposure from GDs 14–19, 6–14, 9 and 0–19, respectively, reaching statistical significance at 88 or 177 mg/L Cr(VI). Two studies that 10 exposed pregnant dams to 50 mg/L Cr(VI) observed that fetal body weights were statistically 11 significantly decreased compared to controls by 33% following maternal exposure from GD 6–14 12 (Elsaieed and Nada, 2002) and by 31% following maternal exposure from GD 9.5–14.5 (Banu et al., 13 2017a)⁵³. One study that exposed pregnant mice on GD 6 via oral gavage reported that fetal body 14 weights were decreased by 17–27% compared to controls, reaching statistical significance at 15 22 ug/g potassium dichromate (Arshad et al., 2017). Three of the gestational exposure studies also 16 reported decreased crown-rump length (Arshad et al., 2017; Junaid et al., 1995; Trivedi et al., 17 <u>1989</u>), and the study by <u>Arshad et al. (2017)</u> reported decreased morphometric parameters 18 including head and eye circumference, and fore limb, hind limb, and tail length. In two studies that 19 assessed postnatal growth, Kumar et al. (2017) reported a dose-related statistically significant 20 11–20% decrease in body weight at PND 120 in F1 male rats from dams that had been exposed to 21 35.3 or 70.7 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water from GD 9–14, and Samuel et al. (2012a) reported a 22 statistically significant 33–41% decrease in body weights on PNDs 3, 7, 18, 45, and 65 in F1 female 23 rats that had been continuously exposed to 200 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water from GD 9-PND 24 65⁵⁴. The studies by Banu et al. (2017a), Kumar et al. (2017), and Samuel et al. (2012a) reported 25 body weights as the mean of individual offspring without accounting for litter effects, and it was not 26 clear whether results in the studies by Elsaieed and Nada (2002) or Arshad et al. (2017) were litter 27 means or the means of individual animals; this affects interpretation of the results in these studies, 28 as failure to consider litter effects has the potential to overestimate statistical significance

- 29 (<u>Haseman et al., 2001</u>).
- 30 Three studies reported no effect on F1 growth. The *high* confidence study in rats by <u>Zheng</u>
- 31 <u>et al. (2018)</u> reported no change in newborn pup body weight following maternal exposure at doses
- 32 up to 12 mg/kg-d Cr(VI) via oral gavage from GD 12–21. The *medium* confidence study in mice by
- 33 <u>De Flora et al. (2006)</u> reported no change in fetal body weight at GD 18 following maternal

⁵³Fetal body weights in <u>Banu et al. (2017a)</u> were reported graphically, but were estimated using WebPlotDigitizer to be 2.64 \pm 0.01 g in the control group and 1.82 \pm 0.14 g in the Cr(VI) exposure group. ⁵⁴F1 body weights in <u>Kumar et al. (2017</u>) and <u>Samuel et al. (2012a</u>) were reported graphically and were estimated using WebPlotDigitizer. The difference in body weights between control and Cr(VI)-exposed animals on PND 3 in the study by <u>Samuel et al. (2012a</u>) could not be estimated using WebPlotDigitizer due to the scale of the figure, so the values shown are for PNDs 7, 18, 45, and 65.

1 exposure to 5 or 10 mg/l Cr(VI) in drinking water throughout the duration of pregnancy. The *low*

2 *confidence* study by <u>Al-Hamood et al. (1998)</u> reported no effects on male or female body weight at

3 PND 50 in F1 mice that had been exposed to maternal doses of 353 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water

4 from GD 12–PND 20.

5 Structural alterations

6 A dose-related increase in structural alterations was reported in all studies that evaluated 7 these outcomes in fetuses or early postnatal animals, which consisted of *low* confidence studies. 8 Statistically significant effects occurred at the same dose or lower compared to decreased maternal 9 body weight gain or clinical signs of toxicity within a subset of studies that reported both maternal 10 and fetal endpoints (Elsaieed and Nada, 2002; Kanojia et al., 1998; Junaid et al., 1996b, 1995; 11 Trivedi et al., 1989), whereas the other two studies did not provide data on maternal toxicity. 12 Within studies, reduced ossification occurred at doses concurrent with decreased fetal growth 13 (body weight or morphometric parameters) and was mostly observed in bones that undergo rapid 14 ossification at the end of gestation (e.g., parietals, interparietals, caudal, frontals). This may indicate 15 that the delay in ossification is indicative of a generalized growth delay (<u>Carney and Kimmel, 2007</u>). 16 Four low confidence studies by the same research group evaluated fetuses at GD 19. 17 Reduced skeletal ossification was observed when F0 rat dams were exposed to potassium 18 dichromate in drinking water for 3 months prior to mating (Kanojia et al., 1998) and when F0 19 mouse dams were exposed to potassium dichromate in drinking water from GD 0-19 (Trivedi et al., 20 1989), GD 6–14 (Junaid et al., 1996b), or GD 14–19 (Junaid et al., 1995). Skeletal effects across 21 these studies reached statistical significance at levels as low as 88 mg/L Cr(VI). Trivedi et al. (1989) 22 also reported that fetuses had decreased number of ribs, which reached statistical significance at 23 177 mg/L Cr(VI). In addition to skeletal effects, these four studies each reported the same gross 24 abnormalities (drooping wrist, subdermal hemorrhagic patches, kinking tail, short tail) and

- reported that the exposed animals did not have any visceral alterations. <u>Trivedi et al. (1989)</u> also
- 26 reported "enlarged gap between fingers."

27 The remaining *low* confidence studies that evaluated fetal structural alterations have 28 greater uncertainty due to incomplete reporting of results. Elsaieed and Nada (2002) reported a 29 statistically significant increase in skeletal and visceral abnormalities in fetuses from F0 rat dams 30 that were exposed to 50 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water from GD 6–15 and sacrificed on the day 31 before delivery, and noted that some animals had incomplete ossification of the skull bone and 32 increased renal dilation; however, data were reported as the average total skeletal and visceral 33 abnormalities per litter with no quantitative incidence data provided for specific alterations. 34 Arshad et al. (2017) reported numerous skeletal and visceral abnormalities in mouse fetuses from 35 dams that were dosed on GD 6 with 3.8–16 mg/kg Cr(VI) via oral gavage and sacrificed on GD 18, 36 including reduced skeletal ossification; however, most of these abnormalities were described 37 qualitatively with no information provided on relative incidence. Quantitative incidence data was

- 1 provided for some abnormalities (anophthalmia, limb hyperextension, limb hyperflexion, limb
- 2 malrotation, limb micromelia, and spina bifida) but was reported as the total number of individual
- 3 fetuses affected without indication of potential litter effects. <u>Sánchez et al. (2015)</u> evaluated
- 4 periodontal bone development in rats dosed with 4.4 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) via oral gavage from PND
- 5 4–15 and observed that the exposed animals had statistically significant decreases in dental
- 6 alveolar bone volume, periodontal width, and degree of tooth eruption compared to controls, as
- 7 well as a decrease in dental alveolar surfaces covered in osteoblasts or osteoclasts (which are
- 8 involved in bone formation and remodeling) and an increase in resting bone surfaces covered in
- 9 bone lining cells. The authors did not indicate whether offspring growth was affected in this study,
- 10 which is a factor that is would be expected to affect tooth eruption; and reported data as the means
- of individual pups, which has the potential to overestimate statistical significance (<u>Haseman et al.</u>,
- 12 <u>2001</u>).

13 Effects on the placenta

Effects on the placenta were evaluated in several *low* confidence studies that exposed dams to Cr(VI) prior to or during gestation. Placental effects occurred at the same doses as decreased maternal body weight gain in the studies that provided both maternal and fetal data (<u>Elsaieed and</u> <u>Nada, 2002</u>; <u>Kanojia et al., 1998</u>; <u>Junaid et al., 1995</u>), although some studies reported decreased maternal body weight gain but no effect on placenta weights.

- 19 Two low confidence studies evaluated placental histopathology. In rat dams exposed to 50
 20 mg/L potassium dichromate in drinking water from GD 6–15, Elsaieed and Nada (2002) reported
 21 histologic lesions in the placenta including necrosis in the chorionic villi and focal extravasation of
 22 red blood cells in the decidua basalis. Banu et al. (2017b) reported histologic effects including
 23 increased hypertrophy and hemorrhagic lesions in the basal zone in rat dams exposed to 17.7 mg/L
 24 Cr(VI) in drinking water from GD 9.5–14.5. Neither of these studies provided quantitative data on
 25 the incidence or severity of these lesions, so interpretation of these findings is limited.
- 26 Changes in placenta weight were also observed in *low* confidence studies, although the 27 direction of effect was inconsistent across studies. Rat dams exposed to potassium dichromate in 28 drinking water for 3 months prior to mating had statistically significantly decreased placenta 29 weights in the 177 and 265 mg/L Cr(VI) dose groups in the study by Kanojia et al. (1998), whereas 30 a statistically significant dose-related increase in placenta weight was observed at exposure levels 31 ≥88 mg/L Cr(VI) in mouse dams exposed from GD 14–19 in the study by Junaid et al. (1995). In 32 other *low* confidence studies, no effects on placenta weight were observed in mouse dams exposed 33 to levels up to 265 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water from GD 6–14 (Junaid et al., 1996b) or up to 34 177 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water from GD 0–19 (Trivedi et al., 1989).

35 Functional effects (reproductive, endocrine)

Effects on the developing reproductive system are described in the "Male reproductive
effects" and "Female reproductive effects" sections and summarized briefly here. Effects on F1 male

1 and female fertility and histopathology were not observed in the *high* confidence RACB study (<u>NTP</u>,

- 2 <u>1997</u>) at doses up to 37.1 mg/kg-day Cr(VI) via diet, but were documented in several other studies.
- 3 In F1 male rats, a nonmonotonic effect on testosterone (increased at 3 mg/kg-day, decreased at 12
- 4 mg/kg-day) and altered Leydig cell distribution were observed following maternal exposure by oral
- 5 gavage from GD 12–21 in the *high* confidence study by <u>Zheng et al. (2018)</u>. The *low* confidence
- 6 study by <u>Kumar et al. (2017)</u> reported decreased sperm quality, histopathological changes in the
- 7 testis, decreased testosterone and gonadotropins, and decreased reproductive organ weights in F1
- 8 males exposed from GD 9–14 to maternal doses of 17.7–70.7 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water. In F1
- 9 female rats, a series of *low* confidence studies by one laboratory group reported pathological effects
- 10 on oocyte development following gestational and/or postnatal exposure to maternal doses of 8.8-
- 11 70.7 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water, as well as decreased sex steroid hormone levels, increased
- 12 gonadotropin levels, delayed puberty, and changes in estrous cyclicity (<u>Banu et al., 2016</u>; <u>Banu et</u>
- 13 <u>al., 2015; Sivakumar et al., 2014; Stanley et al., 2014; Stanley et al., 2013; Samuel et al., 2012a; Banu</u>
- 14 <u>et al., 2008</u>). A *low* confidence study in mice by <u>Al-Hamood et al. (1998)</u> likewise reported
- 15 decreased pregnancy rates and delayed puberty in F1 males that had been exposed to maternal
- 16 doses of 353 mg/L Cr(VI) from GD 12–PND 20. Interpretation of the *low* confidence studies is
- 17 limited, due to the study design and reporting concerns discussed in "Male reproductive effects"
- 18 and "Female reproductive effects" sections.
- 19 Other evidence of functional effects in developing animals comes from a *medium* confidence 20 study that evaluated insulin signaling in F1 rats following maternal exposure to potassium 21 dichromate in drinking water from GD 9–14 (Shobana et al., 2017). Serum insulin levels in pubertal 22 F1 rats evaluated on PND 59 were statistically significantly increased compared to controls at 23 maternal exposure levels ≥50 mg/L. Glucose uptake was increased in liver but decreased in skeletal 24 muscle, and glucose oxidation was increased in both liver and skeletal muscle at 50 mg/L Cr(VI) but 25 decreased at 100 and 200 mg/L Cr(VI). Despite these changes, there was no effect on fasting blood 26 glucose or oral glucose tolerance in these animals.
- 27

3.2.9.3. Mechanistic Evidence

28 Studies providing mechanistic evidence on the potential developmental effects of Cr(VI) are 29 tabulated in Appendix C.2.8 and summarized here. Together, these studies provide supporting 30 evidence that Cr(VI) may have adverse developmental effects if it were to reach the relevant target 31 tissues. The mechanistic studies reviewed here consisted of in vivo mechanistic data from several 32 oral exposure studies, most of which are discussed above (Table 3-46), as well as data from 33 intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection studies, in vitro studies in whole embryos, and in vitro studies in 34 trophoblast or osteoblast cell lines that did not meet PECO criteria but were reviewed as 35 informative to the mechanistic analysis. Dosing via i.p. injection is likely to result in higher tissue 36 concentrations of Cr(VI) compared to oral exposure, since an oral first-pass effect exists due to the 37 reduction of Cr(VI) in the low pH environment of the stomach; less than 10–20% of an ingested 38 dose may be absorbed in the GI tract, and further reduction will occur in the liver prior to

1 distribution to the rest of the body (see Section 3.1 and Appendix C). Therefore, systemic effects are

2 expected to be more likely following i.p. injection or inhalation compared to oral exposure. Effects

3 are also expected to be more likely in in vitro embryonic studies compared to in vivo studies, since

4 the in vitro studies incubated sperm or blastocytes directly with potassium dichromate.

5 <u>Fetal genotoxicity</u>

6 One study assessed genotoxicity [measured as the frequency of micronucleated (MN) 7 polychromatic erythrocytes (PCE) in maternal bone marrow and fetal liver and peripheral blood] in 8 mice exposed to Cr(VI) salts during gestation via i.p. injection or oral exposure (De Flora et al., 9 2006). Fetuses from dams dosed orally via drinking water with sodium dichromate dihydrate (5 or 10 10 mg/l) or potassium dichromate (10 mg/l) did not have any changes in the frequency of MN PCE 11 compared to controls. In contrast, fetuses from dams given a single i.p. injection of 50 mg/kg 12 potassium dichromate or sodium dichromate dihydrate on GD 17 had significantly increased 13 frequency of MN PCE frequency in the liver and peripheral blood. The same pattern was observed 14 in maternal bone marrow. This study suggests that Cr(VI) is genotoxic to fetuses when it reaches

15 target tissues, although bioavailability is poor through the oral route of exposure.

16 In vitro evaluations of embryo development

17 Three studies in whole embryos provided evidence that Cr(VI) impairs embryonic 18 development. One study incubated mouse sperm with potassium dichromate and used it to fertilize 19 eggs from untreated mice (Yoisungnern et al., 2015). It was found that the percentage of 20 unfertilized oocytes and embryos in the 2-cell stage increased while the percentage in the expanded 21 and hatching blastocyst stages and total number of blastocysts were decreased, suggesting delays in 22 embryonic development. These effects were observed at the lowest dose level (1.1 μ M Cr(VI)), and 23 differences became more pronounced with increasing doses, although higher doses also produced 24 statistically significant decreases in sperm viability. Blastocysts in the low dose group also had a 25 decrease in the number of trophectoderm and inner cell mass cells and decreased expression of 26 pluripotent marker genes (*sox2*, *pou5f1*, and *klf4*), indicating impaired development of the embryo 27 and placenta. A second study that incubated mouse blastocysts with potassium dichromate (lijima 28 et al., 1983) found a dose-dependent decrease in 2-layer inner cell masses after 6 days of exposure 29 to $0.088-0.71 \,\mu$ M Cr(VI), but statistically significant differences in hatching, attachment and 30 trophoblast outgrowths were not observed. Cultured embryos treated for 24 hours with 31 0.18–0.71µM Cr(VI) showed statistically significant decreases in allantois fusion, beating hearts, 32 and blood islands. Decreased crown-rump length was also observed at doses of $0.35-0.71 \, \mu M$ 33 Cr(VI). Additionally, a third study that collected mouse embryos at the 2-cell stage and incubated 34 them in culture with potassium dichromate or calcium chromate reported that Cr(VI) salts 35 inhibited blastocyst formation and hatching in a dose-dependent manner, with the high dose of 36 potassium dichromate (7.1 μ M Cr(VI)) arresting embryonic development at the 4-cell stage ([acquet 37 and Drave, 1982).

1 <u>Mechanisms affecting bone development</u>

- 2 Several in vitro and in vivo studies identified mechanisms that that are potentially relevant
- 3 to skeletal alterations and suggested oxidative stress as an underlying mechanism. In vitro studies
- 4 with immortalized rat osteoblasts show that Cr(VI) inhibits cell viability and decreases cellular
- 5 activity (protein, DNA, and RNA synthesis; production of collagen fibers) and found that effects
- 6 were mitigated by Vitamin C (ascorbic acid), which is an antioxidant (<u>Ning et al., 2002</u>; <u>Ning and</u>
- 7 <u>Grant, 2000</u>, <u>1999</u>).
- 8 Additionally, thyroid effects [decreased triiodothyronine (T3) and thyroxine (T4), and
- 9 follicle size and increased TSH concurrent with morphology changes] were observed in adult male
- 10 rats following injection with 21 μ g/kg Cr(VI) and were partially prevented when animals were
- 11 pretreated or cotreated with ascorbic acid (<u>Qureshi and Mahmood, 2010</u>). Thyroid function is
- 12 important for skeletal developmental and disruption can result in delays in skeletal ossification;
- 13 however, the relevance of this finding to developing animals is unclear since this study was
- 14 conducted in adults.

15 <u>Mechanisms affecting insulin regulation</u>

- The gestational exposure study in rats by <u>Shobana et al. (2017)</u>, described in the section
 above, also provided mechanistic information relevant to insulin signaling. Insulin receptor protein
- 18 expression in liver and gastrocnemius muscle was decreased, suggesting negative feedback
- 19 resulting from increased insulin levels, and decreasing trends were observed in the expression of
- 20 insulin receptor substrate-1 (IRS-1) and its phosphorylated form (p-IRS-1^{tyr632}) in these tissues. In
- 21 liver, the expression of the downstream signaling molecule Akt was unchanged while the
- 22 phosphorylated form (p-Akt^{Ser473}) increased; whereas in gastrocnemius muscle, Akt expression
- 23 decreased and the effects on p-Akt^{Ser473} were nonmonotonic (increased at 50 mg/L Cr(VI) but
- 24 decreased at 100 mg/L Cr(VI)). GLUT 2 was increased in liver at 50 mg/L Cr(VI) and GLUT 4 was
- 25 decreased in gastrocnemius muscle at 200 mg/L, reflecting glucose uptake in these tissues. PPARγ
- 26 expression in these tissues was increased, which the authors speculated may be involved in the
- 27 regulation of glucose transporters.
- 28

<u>Oxidative stress and apoptosis in the placenta</u>

- Studies in humans, rats, and human cell lines provide supporting evidence for oxidative
 damage and apoptosis in the placenta, as well as evidence that chromium reaches human placental
- 31 tissue. Placentae collected from healthy women in the general population showed average
- 32 chromium concentrations between 0.02 to 1.25 mg/L (<u>Banu et al., 2018</u>), although these were total
- 33 chromium concentrations and it was unclear whether the women were exposed to Cr(VI) or
- 34 another form of Cr. Two biomarkers of oxidative stress in the samples with the highest average
- 35 chromium concentrations were statistically significantly increased over the lowest concentration
- 36 group and differences were also noted in the mRNA and protein expression of some antioxidants,
- 37 but there are uncertainties in the interpretation of this data; several apoptotic markers

1 (e.g., cytochrome C, AIF, Bax and cleaved caspase-3) were elevated in addition to anti-apoptotic

- 2 markers Bcl-2 and Bcl-XL, and some results showed sexually dimorphic differences (Banu et al.,
- 3 <u>2018</u>).

4 Two studies evaluated placentae in rats administered 17.7 mg/L Cr(VI) in drinking water 5 during gestation. Banu et al. (2017c) performed immunohistochemical analysis demonstrating 6 decreased trophoblast cell populations and decreased expression of cyclin D1 in the placentas, and 7 found that placentas of Cr(VI)-treated dams had increased biomarkers of oxidative stress (LPO and 8 H2O2) and decreased expression of antioxidant enzymes (SOD, Gpx, Prdx3, and Txn2). Banu et al. 9 (2017b) reported increases in apoptosis and caspase-3 in the maternal compartment (metrial 10 gland) and the caspase-3 independent apoptotic marker AIF in both the fetal and maternal 11 compartments. Increases in p53 and related signaling cascade molecules were also observed. 12 Two studies evaluated placental cells in vitro. Banu et al. (2018) evaluated the human 13 trophoblastic cell line BeWo and observed a dose-related decrease in the mRNA expression of 14 antioxidant enzymes (SOD, Gpx, Prdx3 and Txn2) following dosing with 1.8–11 µM Cr(VI) for 15 12–24 hours. Another in vitro study by Sawicka and Długosz (2017) observed increased lipid 16 peroxidation and decreased antioxidant enzyme activity (SOD, GST) in mitochondria isolated from 17 human placental tissue following treatment with $0.05-1 \,\mu g/mL \,Cr(VI)$. The increase in lipid 18 peroxidation and decrease in SOD were mitigated by cotreatment with an estradiol metabolite, 19 4-OHE2.

20

3.2.9.4. Integration of Evidence

21 Overall, the available evidence indicates that Cr(VI) likely causes developmental effects in 22 humans under relevant exposure circumstances. This conclusion is primarily based on the 23 observation of decreased offspring growth across most animal studies, as evidenced by decreased 24 fetal or postnatal body weights and decreased skeletal ossification. Other outcomes in animal 25 studies are more uncertain because they were inconsistent among *high* and *medium* confidence 26 studies or were evaluated only in *low* confidence studies. Likewise, the available human data were 27 of *low* confidence and difficult to interpret. Integrated evidence of the developmental effects of 28 Cr(VI) exposure from human, animal, and mechanistic studies is summarized in an evidence profile 29 table (Table 3-47).

30 The evidence of an association between Cr(VI) exposure and developmental effects in 31 humans is *slight*, with an indication of higher rates of spontaneous abortion with higher exposure 32 levels in two of four *low* confidence paternal occupational exposure studies and an ecologic study 33 with exposure at the zip code level (representing both maternal and paternal exposure). Results for 34 other outcomes, including preterm birth, fetal growth, infant death, and congenital malformations 35 indicated no clear association. The available evidence was all considered low confidence and the 36 studies generally had poor sensitivity, so there is considerable uncertainty in this judgment. 37 Animal toxicology studies and supportive mechanistic data provide *moderate* evidence that 38 Cr(VI) exposure leads to developmental effects. The strength of evidence was greatest for effects on

1 fetal and postnatal growth, which were observed to some extent in the *high* confidence RACB study

- 2 in mice by <u>NTP (1997)</u> as well as all *low* confidence studies that evaluated these outcomes. The
- 3 observation of reduced ossification within several *low* confidence studies appears to be consistent
- 4 with a generalized growth delay, although there is mechanistic evidence suggestive of effects on
- 5 osteoblasts or thyroid function that could also affect skeletal development. Many studies reported
- 6 decreased fetal survival and functional effects on the developing reproductive system, but there is
- 7 more uncertainty in these findings because effects were observed primarily in *low* confidence
- 8 studies and were not recapitulated in the *high* confidence RACB study by <u>NTP (1997)</u> that evaluated
- 9 effects through the F2 generation. Other outcomes had limited data available (insulin regulation) or
- 10 were only evaluated in *low* confidence studies (effects on the placenta) and therefore also have
- 11 greater uncertainty. Within studies that used a maternal route of exposure, statistically significant
- 12 effects on fetal development were observed at exposure levels the same or lower than those that
- 13 caused maternal toxicity. Most studies did not report maternal body weights or other measures of
- 14 overt toxicity, however, so maternal and fetal toxicity could not be compared within those studies.
- 15 Decreased fetal survival in paternal-only exposure studies in rats and mice suggests dominant
- 16 lethal mutations in sperm (as discussed in the "Male reproductive effects" section) and is coherent
- 17 with human paternal occupational exposure studies. There is more uncertainty in these male-
- 18 mediated findings because the human and animal studies were rated *low* confidence and effects
- 19 were not consistent across studies.
- 20 Postnatal growth in the RACB study by <u>NTP (1997)</u> was decreased in F1 animals at dose
- 21 levels of 16.1–37.1 mg/kg-day via diet, and birth weights in F2 females were decreased before
- 22 adjusting for litter size at 37.1 mg/kg-day Cr(VI). The doses of Cr(VI) at which effects were
- 23 observed in the *low* confidence drinking water studies in animal models could not be calculated
- 24 because drinking water consumption data was not reported, and none of the available human
- 25 studies provided a quantitative measure of exposure. There were no animal studies that evaluated
- 26 developmental effects following inhalation exposure.

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
Evidence from human st					
SPONTANEOUS ABORTION Low confidence Hjollund et al. (1995), JP et al. (1992) Hjollund et al. (2000) Hjollund et al. (2005) Remy et al. (2017)	Two studies reported higher rates of spontaneous abortion with higher Cr(VI) exposure and two studies reported lower rates (in one study, the effect varied by analysis).	 Large effect size (RR = 3.5) in one study Left truncation of early losses could explain inconsistent results 	• <i>Low</i> confidence studies	 ⊕⊙⊙ Slight Based on associations with paternal occupational exposure and spontaneous abortion in the 	The evidence indicates that Cr(VI) likely causes developmental effects in humans under relevant exposure circumstances. Decreased offspring growth was observed across most animal studies; other effects were inconsistent in higher confidence studies, had limited
OTHER DEVELOPMENTAL EFFECTS Low confidence JP et al. (1992) Eizaguirre-García et al. (2000) Peng et al. (2018) Remy et al. (2017)	Two studies reported positive associations between Cr(VI) exposure and preterm birth and birth size. Inconsistent associations reported for congenital malformations.	• No factors noted	• <i>Low</i> confidence studies	study with the most sensitive and specific outcome ascertainment (<u>Hjollund et al.,</u> <u>2000</u>).	data available, or were only evaluated in <i>low</i> confidence animal studies. Coherence of spontaneous abortions after paternal occupation exposure in human studies with decreased fetal survival after paternal-only

Table 3-47. Evidence Profile Table for Developmental Effects of Cr(VI)

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
Evidence from animal st	udies				exposure in animal studies;
FETAL AND POSTNATAL SURVIVAL High confidence: <u>NTP (1997)</u> Zheng et al. (2018) Medium confidence: De Flora et al. (2006) Low confidence: Al-Hamood et al. (1998) Arshad et al. (2017) Bataineh et al. (2007) Bataineh et al. (2007) Bataineh et al. (1997) Elbetieha and Al- Hamood (1997) Elsaieed and Nada (2002) Junaid et al. (1995) Junaid et al. (1996) Kanojia et al. (2012a) Marat et al. (2012a) Trivedi et al. (1989)	No effects on fetal survival (live pups) in 2 high and 1 medium confidence studies, including NTP's RACB study in mice. Increased pre- and/or post-implantation loss in 10 low confidence studies in which maternal animals were exposed before mating or during gestation. Effects were at doses same or lower than those that caused maternal toxicity. Increased pre- and/or post-implantation loss in 2 out of 4 low confidence studies in which only paternal animals were exposed to prior to mating.	• No factors noted	• Effects observed only in <i>low</i> confidence studies	 ⊕⊕⊙ Moderate Based primarily on the observation of decreased offspring growth across most studies, including within the <i>high</i> confidence RACB in mice by NTP (1997). 	however, only in <i>low</i> confidence studies, and effects were not consistent. Mechanistic findings (animals and in vitro) provide supporting evidence of fetal genotoxicity, impaired embryo and fetal functional development, and oxidative stress and apoptosis in the placenta. These mechanisms are presumed relevant to humans.
FETAL AND POSTNATAL GROWTH High confidence: NTP (1997) Zheng et al. (2018) Medium confidence: De Flora et al. (2006)	Decreased F1 postnatal body weights in NTP's <i>high</i> confidence RACB study. Effects on F1 and F2 birth weights in this study were minimal.	 <i>High</i> confidence study Consistency Effect size Dose-response gradient 	• No factors noted		

Evidence summary and interpretation					
Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
Low confidence: <u>Al-Hamood et al. (1998)</u> <u>Arshad et al. (2017)</u> <u>Banu et al. (2017a)</u> <u>Elsaieed and Nada</u> (2002) <u>Junaid et al. (1995)</u> <u>Junaid et al. (1996b)</u> <u>Kanojia et al. (1998)</u> <u>Kumar et al. (2017)</u> <u>Samuel et al. (2012a)</u> <u>Trivedi et al. (1989)</u>	Decreased fetal or pup body weight and other morphometric parameters (e.g., crown-rump length) in 8 out of 9 <i>low</i> confidence studies. Within all studies, effects were at same or lower dose levels that those that caused decreased maternal body weight gain.	• Coherence with decreased ossification within <i>low</i> confidence studies			
STRUCTURAL ALTERATIONS Low confidence: Arshad et al. (2017) Elsaieed and Nada (2002) Junaid et al. (1995) Junaid et al. (1996b) Kanojia et al. (1998) Trivedi et al. (1989) Sánchez et al. (2015)	Decreased fetal skeletal ossification as well as some other structural abnormalities in <i>low</i> confidence studies, occurring at the same dose levels as decreased fetal growth. Decreased periodontal bone formation in one <i>low</i> confidence study.	 Coherence of decreased ossification with decreased growth 	• <i>Low</i> confidence studies		
EFFECTS ON THE PLACENTA Low confidence: Banu et al. (2017b) Elsaieed and Nada (2002) Junaid et al. (1995)	Histopathological changes in the placenta in 2 <i>low</i> confidence studies. Inconsistent effects on placenta weight across studies (increased, decreased or no effect).	• No factors noted	• <i>Low</i> confidence studies		
Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
---	--	---	--	-------------------------	------------------------------------
<u>Junaid et al. (1996b)</u> <u>Kanojia et al. (1998)</u> <u>Trivedi et al. (1989)</u>					
FUNCTIONAL ENDPOINTS High confidence: NTP (1997) Zheng et al. (2018) Medium confidence: Shobana et al. (2017) Low confidence: Al-Hamood et al. (1998) Banu et al. (2018) Banu et al. (2015) Banu et al. (2015) Banu et al. (2015) Banu et al. (2016) Kumar et al. (2017) Samuel et al. (2017) Samuel et al. (2012a) Sivakumar et al. (2014) Stanley et al. (2014)	Effects on developing male reproductive system observed in 1 <i>high</i> confidence study, and effects on developing female reproductive system observed in multiple <i>low</i> confidence studies. No effects in NTP's RACB. Increased serum insulin levels and alterations in glucose uptake and glucose oxidation in F1 rats that had been exposed during gestation.	 <i>High</i> confidence study Dose-response gradient Mechanistic evidence provides biological plausibility 	• Unexplained inconsistency across high confidence studies		
Mechanistic evidence					
Biological events or pathways	Summary of key findings and interpretat				
Fetal genotoxicity	Interpretation: In vivo evidence of fetal ge Key findings:				

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	Summary of key findings	Factors that increase certainty	Factors that decrease certainty	Judgments and rationale	Inferences and summary judgment
	 Increased frequency of fetal micronucl when mouse dams were exposed via a following repeat dose oral exposure (mechanisms by which Cr(VI) can disrupt fetal structural and			
In vitro evaluations of embryo development	 Interpretation: In vitro evidence that Cr(V development Key findings: Impaired embryo development when 0 to fertilize untreated eggs (<u>Yoisungne</u> blastocysts were incubated in solution <u>and Draye, 1982</u>). 	functional development.			
Mechanisms affecting bone development	 Interpretation: In vitro evidence that Cr(V osteoblasts, and in vivo evidence that Cr(<i>Key findings:</i> Increased cytotoxicity (<u>Ning et al., 2</u> and decreased protein, DNA, RNA, and <u>al., 2002</u>) in an immortalized osteobl Decreased thyroid hormone levels and via i.p. injection (<u>Qureshi and Mahr</u> affect bone development, but the relevant of the second s				
Mechanisms affecting insulin regulation	Interpretation: In vivo evidence that Cr(V animals. Key findings:				

Studies, outcomes, and confidence	udies, outcomes, and confidence Summary of key findings increase certainty certainty rationale							
	 Decreased expression of insulin recep offspring from dams exposed via drink <u>al., 2017</u>). 							
Oxidative stress and apoptosis in the placenta	 Interpretation: In vivo and in vitro evider and apoptosis in the placenta. Key findings: Biomarkers of oxidative stress and apo samples with relatively high Cr levels (following in vivo oral exposure (<u>Banu</u> and in placental cells (<u>Banu et al., 20</u> <u>and Długosz, 2017</u>) cultured in vitro. 	nce that Cr(VI) increases optosis observed in hun <u>Banu et al., 2018</u>), in <u>et al., 2017b</u> ; <u>Banu et</u> <u>18</u>) or placental mitoch						

1

3.3. SUMMARY OF HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND CONSIDERATIONS FOR DOSE-RESPONSE ANALYSIS

3.3.1. Susceptible Populations and Life Stages

Susceptible populations and life stages refers to groups of people who may be at increased
 risk for negative health consequences following chemical exposures due to factors such as life stage,
 genetics, health status and disease, gender, lifestyle factors, and other co-exposures. This discussion
 of susceptibility focuses on factors for which there are available Cr(VI) data and factors
 hypothesized to be important to Cr(VI).

6 A number of different factors were identified that could predispose some populations of 7 humans to be more susceptible to Cr(VI) toxicity. These factors depend on the toxicity of concern 8 and route of exposure. For all endpoints following oral exposure (GI tract cancer and noncancer, 9 hepatic effects, developmental effects), conditions that elevate stomach pH would lower an 10 individual's ability to reduce Cr(VI) effectively and could lead to a higher rate of Cr(VI) absorption 11 (see Section 3.1). Stomach pH may vary according to health status and life stage. For respiratory 12 effects, preexisting respiratory conditions may be exacerbated by inhalation of Cr(VI). Preexisting 13 GI, liver, and hematologic conditions may be exacerbated by ingestion of Cr(VI).

14 **3.3.1.1**. *Health status and disease*

15 <u>Low stomach acid</u>

16 Individuals with chronically high stomach pH are expected to detoxify Cr(VI) less 17 effectively, leading to increased uptake of Cr(VI) in the GI tract (affecting the GI and other systemic 18 tissues). Individuals with hypochlorhydria (also known as achlorhydria) have consistently low 19 stomach acid, causing high stomach pH (Kalantzi et al., 2006; Feldman and Barnett, 1991; 20 Christiansen, 1968). This condition may be caused or exacerbated by multiple preexisting gastric 21 conditions, including *H. pylori* infection. Less than 1% of the adult population may exhibit 22 hypochlorhydria, whereas 10–20% of the elderly population (age 65 and up) may exhibit this 23 condition (Russell et al., 1993). In addition, individuals taking medication to treat gastroesophageal 24 reflux disease (GERD), including calcium carbonate-based acid reducers and proton pump 25 inhibitors, have an elevated stomach pH during treatment. Approximately 20% of the population may be afflicted by GERD (Lin and Triadafilopoulos, 2015). Sensitivity analyses on high-pH 26 27 populations using the PBPK model were performed to inform the dose-response assessment (see 28 Appendix C.1.5). 29 In addition to those with medical conditions, there is a significant percentage of individuals

30 with high stomach pH due to population variability. Among adults without hypochlorhydria,

- **Solution Set in Set Institution Set in Set Institute Se**
- 32 of women may exhibit basal pH exceeding 6.8. In the healthy elderly population, the percentage of

individuals with pH > 5 may be higher than for adults (<u>Russell et al. (1993)</u> observed that 11% of
 elderly subjects had pH > 5).

3 <u>GI tract disease</u>

4 Individuals with preexisting GI conditions may be at higher risk of Cr(VI)-induced health 5 effects in the GI tract. Cr(VI) contributes to oxidative stress and inflammation in the GI tract. As a 6 result, damage to the gastric and intestinal epithelia due to preexisting inflammatory GI conditions 7 may be exacerbated by oral Cr(VI) exposure. For stomach cancer, preexisting conditions known to 8 increase risk in humans include *H. pylori* bacterial infection (Bessède et al., 2015; Fox and Wang, 9 2014) and Epstein-Barr virus (CGARN, 2014). Therefore, populations with these preexisting 10 conditions may also represent a population sensitive to Cr(VI)-induced gastrointestinal tract 11 cancer.

12 <u>Liver diseases</u>

13 Populations with preexisting liver disease represent a population susceptible to Cr(VI).

- 14 Cr(VI) contributes to oxidative stress in the liver, causes inflammation, increased fat storage
- 15 (histologically noted as vacuolation or fatty changes), and substantial increases in serum ALT and
- AST, indicative of hepatocellular injury (see Section 3.2.4). The most common chronic liver disease
 in western societies is nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), with an increasing prevalence in
- 18 line with obesity. It is estimated that 25% of the US population has NAFLD (<u>Younossi, 2019</u>). This
- 19 condition is characterized by excessive fat accumulation, especially triglycerides, in hepatocytes. If
- 20 untreated, NAFLD can progress to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and continue to fibrosis,
- 21 cirrhosis, and in some cases, hepatocellular carcinoma (<u>Monserrat-Mesquida et al., 2020</u>). Increased
- 22 oxidative stress/pro-inflammatory status is implicated in the pathogenesis of NAFLD (Videla et al.,
- 23 <u>2004</u>) and increased inflammation is associated with increased severity of NASH (<u>Monserrat-</u>
- 24 <u>Mesquida et al., 2020</u>). NAFLD is associated with type 2 diabetes, metabolic syndrome, obesity and
- 25 cardiovascular disease (<u>Younossi, 2019</u>), therefore, populations with these preexisting conditions
- 26 likely also represent a population sensitive to Cr(VI)-induced liver perturbation.

27 <u>Respiratory diseases</u>

- 28 Inhaled Cr(VI) exposure may exacerbate preexisting respiratory conditions such as asthma,
- 29 emphysema and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). This is because preexisting
- 30 conditions which reduce lung capacity, inflame airways, or obstruct breathing could be
- 31 compounded by Cr(VI) exposure, which may induce similar effects. Additionally, respiratory
- 32 conditions induced by lifestyle factors (i.e., smoking) or co-exposures (i.e., asbestos) may interact
- 33 with the effects induced by inhaled Cr(VI) exposure.

1 <u>Anemia and other blood disorders</u>

Because the evidence suggests that Cr(VI) may produce anemia-like effects such as
reduced hematocrit, hemoglobin, MCV, MCH, and MCHC (see Section 3.2.5), exposure to Cr(VI) may
exacerbate the condition in individuals with preexisting conditions such as anemia, iron deficiency
or bleeding disorders.

6 3.3.1.2. Genetic factors

7 <u>Genetic polymorphisms</u>

As summarized in Cancer MOA, Section 3.2.3.3, individuals with genetic polymorphisms
conveying deficiencies in DNA repair capacity may have increased susceptibility to Cr(VI)-induced
lung cancer. See Section 3.2.3.3 and Appendix C.3.14 for more details (see also <u>Urbano et al.</u>
(2012)).

12 <u>Carriers of a mutated cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) allele</u>

13 Suppression of the CFTR gene was shown to enhance intestinal tumorigenesis in animal 14 models (Than et al., 2016). An analysis of the toxicogenomic data reported in Kopec et al. (2012b; 15 <u>2012a</u>) from mice exposed to Cr(VI) have identified a potential role for CFTR in the carcinogenic 16 effects of Cr(VI) (see Appendix C.3.4.2). Data from Kopec et al. (2012b; 2012a) indicate that CFTR 17 was inactivated in mice exposed to Cr(VI) in drinking water concentrations as low as 0.1 mg/L. In 18 the US, more than 10 million people are carriers of a mutated CFTR allele that confers an 19 approximately 50% reduction in CFTR expression levels; the deficit in CFTR function has been 20 shown to lead to an increased risk for several conditions associated with cystic fibrosis, including 21 colorectal cancer (<u>Miller et al., 2020</u>; <u>Scott et al., 2020</u>). Thus, individuals with this preexisting 22 condition may suffer an even further reduction in CFTR expression levels following oral exposure to 23 Cr(VI). 24

Heritable adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) mutations cause most cases of familial 25 adenomatous polyposis (FAP), an inherited syndrome associated with a high risk of colorectal 26 cancers (Jasperson et al., 2017; Leoz et al., 2015). Impaired CFTR activity was also shown to 27 enhance intestinal tumorigenesis in mice carrying the mutated tumor-suppressor gene 28 adenomatous polyposis coli (*Apc*). As a result, carriers of APC mutations may be more susceptible 29 to the tumorigenicity induced by events that inactivate CFTR, including Cr(VI) exposure, and there 30 could be additional risk for individuals carrying both the CFTR and APC mutations. Although 95% 31 of patients with classic FAP develop colorectal cancer by age 35 (Leoz et al., 2015), there are over 32 1000 different types of APC mutations, many associated with a milder variant of FAP, that would 33 also be affected by CFTR inactivation.

1 3.3.1.3. *Life Stage*

2 <u>Developmental stages</u>

Because Cr(VI) was determined to likely cause developmental toxicity in humans given
relevant exposure circumstances, pregnant women are considered a sensitive subpopulation. In
human studies of Cr(VI) focusing on this population, there are some indications of an association
between Cr(VI) exposure and spontaneous abortion, fetal growth, preterm birth, and congenital
malformations, but the evidence is limited in quality and quantity (see Section 3.2.9).

8 <u>Early life stages</u>

- 9 Neonates, infants, and young toddlers generally have neutral stomach pH for the first 20–30
- 10 months, which then lowers to the normal adult range of 1–2 (<u>Neal-Kluever et al., 2019</u>; <u>Bai et al.</u>,
- 11 <u>2016</u>). Neonates also have delayed gastric emptying of milk, formula and other caloric-containing
- 12 liquids (<u>Neal-Kluever et al., 2019</u>). Delayed stomach emptying combined with elevated stomach pH
- 13 would lead to a higher uptake of ingested Cr(VI) in the stomach. In addition, incomplete stomach
- 14 reduction would lead to increased uptake of Cr(VI) in the small intestine. For chronic noncancer
- 15 effects and derivation of the RfD, this short-term change in the potential for absorbed Cr(VI) does
- 16 not impact the total lifetime average daily absorbed dose (because it occurs during such a short
- 17 time period). For cancer effects, incorporation of age-dependent adjustment factors in accordance
- 18 with the Supplemental Guidance for Assessing Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens
- 19 (U.S. EPA, 2005b) account for early-life (<2 years) susceptibility by using a 10-fold adjustment to
- 20 the slope factor.

21 Later life stages

- In general, healthy elderly men and women (age 65 and older) have similar pH profiles as
 adults (<u>Russell et al., 1993</u>), although they may have slightly lower stomach pH than adults, and
- higher duodenal pH (Bai et al., 2016). The healthy elderly population has the same gastric emptying
- rate as healthy adults, but slower transit time in the small and large intestine (<u>Bai et al., 2016</u>).
- 26 There are age-related changes in the liver affecting hepatic clearance of drugs (<u>Bai et al., 2016</u>), but
- 27 it is not clear how this may affect hepatic reduction of Cr(VI). As a result, it is uncertain how Cr(VI)
- 28 may affect the healthy elderly population differently from adults. However, elderly populations are
- 29 more likely to have preexisting health conditions that can lead to increased susceptibility to the
- 30 effects of ingested Cr(VI). The elderly have high prevalence of conditions associated with
- 31 hyporchlorhydria such as *H. pylori* infection (<u>Bai et al., 2016</u>; <u>Morihara et al., 2001</u>; <u>Russell et al.</u>,
- 32 <u>1993</u>). The elderly also have higher usage of proton pump inhibitors to treat acid reflux diseases,
- leading to increased stomach pH (Burdsall et al., 2013). As a result, it is possible that the elderly are
- 34 more susceptible to the health effects of ingested Cr(VI), but mostly due to pre-existing conditions
- 35 that are associated with ageing.

1 3.3.1.4. Sex

2 Males and females can differ greatly in body composition, organ function, and many other 3 physiological parameters that may influence the pharmacokinetics of chemicals and their 4 metabolites in the body (Gochfeld, 2007; Gandhi et al., 2004). On average, males and females are 5 expected to have the same stomach pH (Shih et al., 2003; Dressman et al., 1990). The human 6 epidemiology studies do not support any specific gender susceptibilities for noncancer effects due 7 to Cr(VI) exposure. In animals, GI tract toxicity and hepatotoxicity may have been more severe in 8 females (see Sections 3.2.2 and 3.2.4), but it is unclear if the slight differences in results by gender 9 in rodents are applicable to humans.

3.3.2. Effects Other Than Cancer

10 Evidence indicates that Cr(VI) is likely to cause GI, liver, developmental, and lower 11 respiratory toxicity in humans, given relevant exposure circumstances. Evidence suggests (but is 12 not sufficient to infer) that Cr(VI) may cause male reproductive, immune, and hematologic toxicity 13 in humans. Evidence is inadequate to assess whether Cr(VI) causes female reproductive toxicity in 14 humans. The evidence base consisted of a wide array of animal and human studies (outlined in 15 greater detail by the health effect summary subsections below). A summary of the justifications for 16 the evidence integration conclusions for each of the main hazard sections is provided below and 17 organized by health effect. 18 The strength of the evidence for each hazard differed by species and route of exposure. As 19 discussed in Section 3.1, differences in observed effects between routes of exposure can be 20 attributed to pharmacokinetics. There was a lack of sufficient dose-response data for health 21 hazards outside of the respiratory tract following inhalation exposure, and as a result derivation of 22 the RfC was limited to effects in the respiratory tract. Similarly, respiratory tract effects were not 23 observed following oral ingestion, and derivation of the RfD was limited to effects observed 24 following ingestion (GI, hepatic, and developmental effects). Additional considerations, decisions,

and rationale are presented below in Table 3-48 and in Sections 4.1 and 4.4.

Table 3-48. Dose response considerations and rationale for specific routes ofexposure and health effects

Dose response consideration	Decision	Rationale
Health effects for RfC derivation	RfC derivation for respiratory tract	Pharmacokinetic differences are
	effects only. Route-to-route	significant between inhalation and
	extrapolation not performed.	oral exposure, particularly for
		portal-of-entry effects.
Animal and human data for RfC	RfC derivation of nasal effects used	Quantitative dose-response data
derivation	human data only.	from medium and high confidence
	RfC derivation of lower respiratory	studies were limited by species and
	effects used animal data only.	effects.

Dose response consideration	Decision	Rationale
Animal and human data for RfD derivation	RfD derivation used animal data only.	Quantitative dose-response data from medium and high confidence oral studies were only available for rodents.
Appropriate exposure data for RfD derivation	Gavage studies excluded. Studies not including a dose group below 20 mg/kg-d excluded.	Concern for frank-effect toxicity.

3.3.2.1. GI tract effects

1

2 The determination that evidence indicates that Cr(VI) is likely to cause GI toxicity in humans (given relevant exposure circumstances) was based on four high confidence toxicology 3 studies. Two of these studies (NTP, 2008, 2007) contained multiple study arms, resulting in both 4 5 chronic and subchronic data across multiple species, strains, and sexes (see Table 3-49). All four 6 high confidence studies in rats and mice reported various histological effects in the GI tract 7 associated with oral exposure to Cr(VI). These include diffuse epithelial hyperplasia or crypt cell 8 hyperplasia, histiocytic cellular infiltration, squamous metaplasia, degenerative changes in the villi 9 (vacuolization, atrophy, and apoptosis), and gastric ulceration (Thompson et al., 2012b; Thompson 10 et al., 2011; NTP, 2008, 2007). The literature search for this assessment did not identify 11 epidemiological studies with analyses of GI effects in humans that met PECO criteria. 12 Mechanistic evidence supports the GI tract effects observed in animals and suggests a 13 possible MOA of Cr(VI)-induced GI toxicity involving the production of free radicals and reactive 14 intermediates through intracellular Cr(VI) reduction resulting in oxidative stress, mitochondrial 15 dysfunction, inflammation, and apoptosis. Degenerative changes to the cells lining the GI tract can 16 manifest as necrosis, apoptosis, and subsequent villous stunting, resulting in crypt abscess and 17 ulceration (Betton, 2013). Irreversible cytoplasmic vacuolization can be a marker of cell death and 18 cytoprotective autophagy in response to stress (Shubin et al., 2016). 19 The histiocytic cellular infiltration endpoint was not of concern for dose-response analysis 20 because it was also observed in tissues with no indications of degenerative changes or effects. 21 Endpoints observed in subchronic studies such as apoptosis, villous atrophy, and villous 22 cytoplasmic vacuolization are mechanistic and not considered for dose-response assessment. 23 Diffuse epithelial hyperplasia only occurred in portions of the GI tract where other 24 degenerative effects were observed. Diffuse epithelial hyperplasia, although predictive of more 25 severe manifestations of toxicity, is considered minimally adverse. Data for this endpoint are 26 available from both the chronic and subchronic studies (Table 3-49).

Reference	Study arms performed	Observations
<u>NTP (2008)</u>	F344 Rat, male and female (chronic)	Histiocytic cellular infiltration.
	B6C3F1 mouse, male and female	Diffuse epithelial hyperplasia.
		Histiocytic cellular infiltration.
<u>NTP (2007)</u>	F344 Rat, male and female (subchronic)	Histiocytic cellular infiltration.
	B6C3F1 mouse, male and female (subchronic)	Epithelial hyperplasia, histiocytic cellular infiltration.
	B6C3F1, BALB/c, and am- C57BL/6 mouse, male (subchronic strain comparison)	Epithelial hyperplasia, histiocytic cellular infiltration.
Thompson et al. (2012b)	F344 Rat, female (subchronic)	Crypt cell hyperplasia, histiocytic infiltration, apoptosis, villus atrophy
<u>Thompson et al. (2011)</u>	B6C3F1 mouse, female (subchronic)	Crypt cell hyperplasia, histiocytic infiltration, apoptosis, villus atrophy, villous cytoplasmic vacuolization

Table 3-49. Available animal studies showing histopathological changes in the duodenum

3.3.2.2. Hepatic effects

1

2 The determination that evidence indicates that Cr(VI) is likely to cause hepatic toxicity in 3 humans (given relevant exposure circumstances) was based on studies in animals that observed 4 hepatic effects following drinking water exposure. Several studies in rats and mice reported various 5 histological lesions in the liver associated with oral exposure to Cr(VI). These lesions include 6 increased inflammation and infiltration of immune cells, fatty changes and vacuolation, indications 7 of apoptosis and necrosis, and increased incidence of altered hepatic foci. NTP (2008) described 8 chronic inflammation as "minimal to mild severity" in most dose groups, with "mild to moderate" in 9 the higher dose groups. The severity ratings were used to inform BMR selection (see Section 4.1). 10 Many studies have examined serum indicators that are potentially informative for 11 predicting hepatotoxicity following exposure to Cr(VI). The most commonly reported indicators 12 included alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alkaline phosphatase 13 (ALP), and sorbitol dehydrogenase (SDH). These changes were observed across multiple studies, 14 with ALT changes exceeding twofold which is an indicator of concern for hepatic injury (Sawicka 15 and Długosz, 2017; EMEA, 2010; Boone et al., 2005). The outcomes rated medium confidence 16 showing a response were available from chronic and subchronic studies across multiple species, 17 strains, and sexes (see Table 3-50). These are discussed further in Section 4.1. 18 The human evidence for Cr(VI)-induced liver effects is limited in terms of number and 19 confidence of studies. However, two of the available three studies (one occupational and one general population study) provide some indication of exposure-related alterations of liver clinical 20 21 chemistry (Sazakli et al., 2014; Saraswathy and Usharani, 2007).

- 1 Mechanistic evidence supports the hepatic effects observed in animals and humans and
- 2 suggests a possible MOA of Cr(VI)-induced liver toxicity involving the production of free radicals
- 3 and reactive intermediates through intracellular Cr(VI) reduction resulting in oxidative stress,
- 4 mitochondrial dysfunction, inflammation, and apoptosis.

Observations* Reference Species/strain and sex NTP (2008) F344 Rat, male and female Histopathology: histiocytic cellular infiltration, chronic (chronic) inflammation, fatty change, basophilic focus Clinical chemistry (male rats only): ALT, ALP, SDH, bile acids Histopathology: histiocytic cellular infiltration, chronic B6C3F1 mouse, male and female (chronic) inflammation NTP (2007) F344 Rat, male and female Histopathology: histiocytic cellular infiltration, chronic (subchronic) focal inflammation Clinical chemistry: ALT, ALP, SDH, bile acids, cholesterol, triglycerides, 5'nucleotidase B6C3F1, BALB/c, and am-Clinical chemistry: ALT, ALP, SDH, bile acids, glycogen C57BL/6 mouse, male (B6C3F1 and am-C57BL/6 only) (subchronic) Rafael et al. Wistar rat, male (chronic) Clinical chemistry: ALT, ALP, SDH, glucose, cholesterol, (2007)total protein NTP (1996a) BALB/c mouse, male and Histopathology: cytoplasmic vacuolation (fatty change) female (subchronic) NTP (1997) BALB/c mouse, male and Histopathology: hepatocyte cytoplasmic vacuolation female (continuous breeding) (fatty change), hepatocyte individual cell necrosis, necrosis, acute inflammation Krim et al. (2013) Wistar rat, male (subchronic) Clinical chemistry: ALT, ALP, AST, cholesterol, total lipids, triglycerides, LDH Wang et al. Sprague-Dawley rat, male Clinical chemistry: ALT, AST, cholesterol, triglycerides, (2015) (subchronic) glucose Navya et al. Wistar rat, male (subchronic) Clinical chemistry: ALT, ALP, AST (2017a)

Table 3-50. Available animal studies showing histopathological and clinical chemistry changes in the liver

*Only endpoints rated medium or high confidence within each study are listed

5 3.3.2.3. *Respiratory tract effects*

6

The determination that evidence indicates that Cr(VI) is likely to cause respiratory toxicity

- 7 in humans (given relevant exposure circumstances) was based on studies in animals that observed
- 8 effects following inhalation exposure. Most animal inhalation studies of lower respiratory effects
- 9 contained data for lung histopathology, lung weight, and cellular responses. Because
- 10 histopathological and cellular changes occurred together, and in combination with serum
- 11 biomarkers indicating an inflammatory response (<u>Nikula et al., 2014</u>), these were considered
- 12 indicators of adverse responses and considered for dose-response analysis. Because lung weight is

- 1 a nonspecific endpoint for lung injury (e.g., lung weight increase in the only medium confidence
- 2 data by <u>Glaser et al. (1985)</u> may be related to accumulation of macrophages), this endpoint was not
- 3 considered for dose-response analysis. The available histopathological changes and cellular
- 4 response outcomes that were rated medium confidence are outlined in Table 3-51. These are
- 5 discussed further in Section 4.2.
- 6 The human evidence for Cr(VI)-induced lower respiratory effects is limited in terms of
- 7 number and confidence of studies. However, two of the available four studies provide some
- 8 indication of exposure-related decrements in lung function assessed using spirometry (<u>Li et al.</u>,
- 9 <u>2015b; Kuo et al., 1997b</u>).
- 10 Mechanistic evidence supports the respiratory tract effects observed in animals and
- 11 suggests a possible MOA of Cr(VI)-induced toxicity involving the production of free radicals and
- 12 reactive intermediates through intracellular Cr(VI) reduction resulting in oxidative stress.

Table 3-51. Available animal studies showing histopathological changes and cellular responses in the lung

	Species/ strain and	
Reference	sex	Observations*
<u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u>	Wistar rat, male	Histopathology: Histiocytosis, bronchioalveolar
	(subchronic)	hyperplasia, fibrosis
		BALF: LDH, ALB, total protein, macrophage effects
<u>Glaser et al. (1985)</u>	Wistar rat, male (subchronic)	BALF: Macrophage effects
Johansson et al. (1986a)	Rabbit, male (subchronic)	Histopathology: Histiocytosis
<u>Cohen et al. (2003)</u>	F344 Rat, male (chronic)	BALF: Total cells, total macrophages
Johansson et al. (1986b)	Rabbit, male (subchronic)	BALF: Total macrohpages, macrophage effects
<u>Kim et al. (2004)</u>	Sprague-Dawley Rat, male (subchronic)	Histopathology: Inflammatory markers (qualitative)

*Only endpoints rated medium or high confidence within each study are listed

13 3.3.2.4. Developmental effects

14 The determination that evidence indicates that Cr(VI) is likely to cause developmental

15 toxicity in humans (given relevant exposure circumstances) was based on the observation of

- 16 decreased offspring growth across most animal studies, as evidenced by decreased fetal or
- 17 postnatal body weights and decreased skeletal ossification. The only data suitable for
- 18 dose-response analysis were for fetal and postnatal growth, which were observed to some extent in
- 19 the high confidence RACB study in mice by <u>NTP (1997)</u> (all other studies were low confidence and
- 20 not considered for dose-response assessment). Within the animal studies, statistically significant
- effects on fetal development were observed at doses the same or lower than those that caused
- 22 decreased maternal body weight. According to EPA Guidelines, developmental effects at doses that

- 1 cause minimal maternal toxicity are still considered to represent developmental toxicity and should
- 2 not be discounted as maternal toxicity (<u>U.S. EPA, 1991</u>). Because of the correlation between
- 3 maternal dam weight and offspring body weight, the maternal dose was used as the basis for
- 4 dose-response modeling instead of the averaged F0 male and female dose.

3.3.3. Cancer

- 5 Under the 2005 Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment, Cr(VI) is "carcinogenic to
 6 humans". This determination applies to both inhalation and oral routes of exposure.
- In 1998, the EPA IRIS Toxicological Review of Hexavalent Chromium classified Cr(VI) as a
 "known human carcinogen by the inhalation route of exposure" based on consistent evidence that
- 9 inhaled Cr(VI) causes lung cancer in humans and supporting evidence of carcinogenicity in animals.
- 10 The same conclusion has since been reached by other authoritative federal and state health
- 11 agencies and international organizations and the carcinogenicity of Cr(VI) is considered to be
- 12 well-established for inhalation exposures (TCEO, 2014; IPCS, 2013; NIOSH, 2013b; IARC, 2012;
- 13 CalEPA, 2011; NTP, 2011; OSHA, 2006). As stated in the 2014 preliminary packages (U.S. EPA,
- 14 <u>2014b</u>, <u>c</u>) and the Systematic Review Protocol (Appendix A), the review of cancer by the inhalation
- 15 route focused on data that may improve the quantitative exposure-response analysis conducted in
- 16 EPA's 1998 IRIS assessment. An overview of the literature screening for exposure-response data is
- 17 contained in Section 4.4.
- 18 Determination that Cr(VI) is carcinogenic to humans by the oral route of exposure was
- 19 made based on 1) a high confidence study in rodents showing a clear dose-response relationship
- 20 between oral Cr(VI) exposure and incidence of GI tract tumors (<u>NTP, 2008</u>); 2) a meta-analysis of
- 21 Cr(VI) exposure⁵⁵ in relation to GI tract cancers which found a statistically significant increase in
- risk for colon and rectal cancer in humans (see Section 3.2.3); and 3) robust evidence that a
- 23 mutagenic MOA has a key role in Cr(VI)-induced cancer via inhalation and oral exposures (see
- 24 Section 3.2.3).
- 25 Because a mutagenic mode of action for Cr(VI) carcinogenicity (see Section 3.2.3) is
- 26 "sufficiently supported in (laboratory) animals" and "relevant to humans," EPA uses a linear low
- 27 dose extrapolation from the POD in accordance with Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment
- 28 (U.S. EPA, 2005a). Furthermore, in the absence of chemical-specific data to evaluate differences in
- 29 age-specific susceptibility, increased early-life susceptibility to hexavalent chromium is assumed
- 30 and EPA applies ADAFs in accordance with the *Supplemental Guidance for Assessing Susceptibility*
- 31 *from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens* (U.S. EPA, 2005b). Linear low dose extrapolation and

⁵⁵The exposure route for human studies in the meta-analysis was primarily inhalation. However, the findings from the meta-analysis for cancer of the GI tract were used to support the mode of action analysis and human relevance for the tumor site.

- 1 application of ADAFs are considered for both the inhalation and oral routes of exposure⁵⁶. An
- 2 overview of the datasets available for dose-response modeling of tumors in the GI tract is provided
- below in Table 3-52.

Table 3-52. Available animal studies showing increased tumor incidence following ingestion

Reference	Study arms performed	Observations
<u>NTP (2008)</u>	F344 Rat, male and female (chronic)	Dose-dependent increase in tumors of the oral cavity.
	B6C3F1 mouse, male and female (chronic)	Dose-dependent increase in tumors of the small intestine.

- 4 Due to reduction (detoxification) of Cr(VI) in the stomach compartment prior to transit to
- 5 the small intestine, dose-response modeling of tumors in the mouse small intestine incorporates
- 6 adjustments by a PBPK model when performing animal-to-human extrapolation. For tumors of the
- 7 rat oral cavity, PBPK modeling is not applied, because Cr(VI) in drinking water exposes the
- 8 epithelium of the tongue and oral mucosa prior to detoxification in the stomach.

⁵⁶Because carcinogenicity determination was not performed for lung cancer, this section focuses only on cancer of the GI tract. A discussion of the considerations for dose-response of lung cancer is contained in Section 4.4.

4.DOSE-RESPONSE ANALYSIS

4.1. ORAL REFERENCE DOSE FOR EFFECTS OTHER THAN CANCER

1 The RfD (expressed in units of mg/kg-day) is defined as an estimate (with uncertainty 2 spanning perhaps an order of magnitude) of a daily exposure to the human population (including sensitive subgroups) that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious effects during a 3 4 lifetime. It can be derived from points of departure (PODs) such as a no-observed-adverse-effect 5 level (NOAEL), lowest-observed-adverse-effect level (LOAEL), or the 95% lower bound on the 6 benchmark dose (BMDL), with uncertainty factors (UFs) generally applied to reflect limitations of 7 the data used. 8 As discussed in Sections 3.2.2, 3.2.4, 3.2.5, and 3.2.9, based on findings in experimental

9 animals, the **evidence indicates** that exposure to Cr(VI) is likely⁵⁷ to cause GI, liver, and

10 developmental toxicity in humans. Organ-specific reference values were derived for these effects.

4.1.1. Identification of Studies for Dose-Response Analysis of Selected Effects

11 In order to identify the studies for dose-response analysis, key attributes of the studies 12 reporting the endpoints selected for each hazard were reviewed (i.e., study size and design, 13 relevance of the exposure paradigm, and measurement of the endpoints of interest). Exposure 14 paradigms including a relevant route of human environmental exposure are preferred. When 15 developing a chronic reference value, chronic or subchronic studies are preferred over studies of 16 acute exposure durations (with the exception of developmental studies, where exposures only need 17 to occur during susceptible periods). Studies with a broad exposure range and multiple exposure 18 levels are preferred to the extent that they can provide information about the shape of the 19 exposure-response relationship. 20 Human studies are generally preferred over animal studies as the basis for a reference value 21 when quantitative measures of exposure are reported, and the reported effects are determined to 22 be associated with exposure. The available epidemiological studies of worker populations exposed 23 to Cr(VI) examined the relationship between certain health endpoints and inhalation exposure; 24 however, no sufficient epidemiological studies of ingested Cr(VI) are available and route-to-route 25 extrapolation was not considered for this assessment (see Protocol, Appendix A). In the absence of

26 human data, the animal studies were considered for dose-response analysis.

Experimental animal studies considered for each health effect were evaluated using general
study quality considerations discussed in the Protocol (Appendix A). The oral animal toxicological

⁵⁷Reference values were generally not derived for hazards where only evidence suggests (but is not sufficient to infer) that Cr(VI) may induce health effects.

1 evidence base for Cr(VI) consists of chronic and subchronic studies. Because *medium* and *high*

- 2 confidence studies were available, *low* confidence studies were not considered for toxicity value3 derivation.
- 4 Cr(VI) can induce frank effects in rodents at high doses, which raises considerations of 5 exposures and study designs appropriate for dose-response analysis. Because Cr(VI) gavage 6 exposure has been shown to induce frank effects and high mortality in rodents (gut detoxification is 7 much less effective for gavage exposure), these studies were not considered for dose-response 8 assessment. This criterion resulted in the omission of one *high* confidence study (Zheng et al., 2018) 9 from consideration of dose-response analysis for developmental effects. High dose exclusion 10 criteria for drinking water and oral feed studies were also considered. At approximately 11 20 mg/kg-d *ad libitum*, <u>NTP (2007)</u> reported reduced body weight, chemical-induced stomach 12 ulcers (80–100% incidence), and reduced water consumption in rats exposed for 90 days. The 13 study also reported 10–20% decreases in final body weight relative to controls in mice exposed for 14 90 days at the high doses (approximately 15–25 mg/kg-d). In order to focus on chronic effects 15 observed in the low dose region (defined here as around 1 mg/kg-d *ad libitum* based on results 16 observed by the chronic 2-year <u>NTP (2008)</u> drinking water bioassay), studies which did not include 17 an exposed group below 20 mg/kg-d were not considered for candidate RfD derivation. This 18 criterion ultimately did not impact any decisions regarding dose-response, because all such studies
- 19 were rated *low* confidence.



Figure 4-1. Evaluation of studies from the Cr(VI) hazard identification for derivation of toxicity values. For endpoints where *medium* or *high* confidence studies were available, *low* confidence studies were not considered.

1 4.1.1.1. *GI tract toxicity*

Small intestine histopathology was considered for dose-response analysis of the GI tract
effects of oral exposure to Cr(VI). Chronic data from the NTP (2008) 2-year bioassay were used for
the dose-response assessment. The chronic 2-year NTP (2008) bioassay analyzed many of the same
endpoints as other shorter term studies (which had smaller sample sizes and typically used higher
doses). Thompson et al. (2012b; 2011) were subchronic studies which incorporated lower doses
than NTP (2008). However, these studies used smaller sample sizes and shorter exposure durations

- 1 than <u>NTP (2008)</u>, and only examined females (Table 4-1). An overview of design features of the
- 2 *medium* and *high* confidence animal studies containing data for the GI tract is provided below in
- **3** Table 4-1.

	Species/strain	Exposure	Number of	Number of	Dose range
Study reference	and sex	duration	dose groups ^b	animals/group	(mg/kg-d)
<u>NTP (2008)</u> ^a	B6C3F1 mouse,	2 years	4	50	0.3–8.9
	male and female				
<u>NTP (2008)</u>	F344 Rat, male	2 years	4	50	0.2–7.1
	and female				
<u>NTP (2007)</u>	F344 Rat, male	90 days	5	10	1.7–21
	and female				
<u>NTP (2007)</u>	B6C3F1 mouse,	90 days	5	10	3.1–27.9
	male and female				
<u>NTP (2007)</u>	B6C3F1 mouse,	90 days	3	5	2.8-8.7
	male				
<u>NTP (2007)</u>	BALB/c mouse,	90 days	3	5	2.8-8.7
	male				
<u>NTP (2007)</u>	am-C57BL/6	90 days	3	5	2.8-8.7
	mouse, male				
Thompson et al.	F344 Rat, female	90 days ^c	5	10	0.015–20
<u>(2012b)</u>					
Thompson et al.	B6C3F1 mouse,	90 days ^c	6	10	0.024-31.1
<u>(2011)</u>	female				

Table 4-1. Design features of studies that examined GI tract effects (histopathology) via the oral route of exposure

^aPreferred data for dose-response.

^bNumber does not include control group.

^cNote: <u>Thompson et al. (2012b)</u> and <u>Thompson et al. (2011)</u> also performed an 8-day sacrifice on 5 animals/group.

4 The most sensitive GI effect in mice, diffuse epithelial hyperplasia, was consistently

- 5 observed at statistically significant incidence levels in mice in all exposure groups (≥0.3 mg/kg-d
- 6 Cr(VI)) of males and females of multiple strains in three *high* confidence subchronic and chronic
- 7 studies (<u>Thompson et al., 2011</u>; <u>NTP, 2008</u>, <u>2007</u>). The hyperplastic duodenal lesions were
- 8 described as being suggestive of tissue regeneration following degenerative changes to the
- 9 intestinal villi. In rats, it was observed less consistently and at higher doses compared to mice
- 10 (<u>Cullen et al., 2015; Thompson et al., 2012b; Thompson et al., 2011</u>). Dose-response modeling was

11 performed on the chronic 2-year data for male and female mice exhibiting diffuse epithelial

12 hyperplasia of the proximal small intestine (duodenum).

13 4.1.1.2. *Hepatic toxicity*

Liver histopathology changes and serum biomarkers of liver injury were considered for
 dose-response analysis of the hepatic effects of oral exposure to Cr(VI). These were considered the
 most representative indicators of hepatic toxicity in the database. Fatty liver changes (cytoplasmic

1 vacuolation) and increased ALT are also clinical markers used in diagnosis of human liver diseases 2 (see Section 3.3). Dose-response modeling was not performed on liver weight because only 3 moderate changes were observed (see Section 3.2.4), and changes in liver histopathology and 4 serum biomarkers were more consistently observed and more sensitive than liver weight changes. 5 Generally consistent elevations of ALT (biomarkers of liver injury) were seen across various 6 multiple well-conducted studies in both rats and mice, with the magnitude of change considered to 7 be biologically significant and a specific indication of liver damage. For dose-response modeling of 8 clinical chemistry changes, <u>NTP (2008)</u> observed increased alanine aminotransferase (ALT) in male 9 F344 rats at all three data collection time points (3, 6, and 12 months). Dose-response modeling 10 was performed on the clinical chemistry endpoint ALT in male F344 rats⁵⁸ at the 12-month and 11 90-day collection periods of the NTP (2008) bioassay. ALT changes in male and female rats from 12 the 90-day <u>NTP (2007)</u> study were also modeled⁵⁹. ALT changes in male rats at the 90-day 13 timepoint from the 2-year NTP (2008) study were modeled to provide a comparison with the 14 90-day NTP (2007) data. In mice, changes in ALT only occurred at high doses during the 90-day 15 <u>NTP (2007)</u>, and there were no changes in the other clinical chemistry parameters like there were 16 in rats. Therefore, this endpoint was not modeled in mice. 17 For histopathological changes, increased incidence of chronic liver inflammation was 18 observed in rodents during the 2-year NTP (2008) bioassay, but this endpoint exhibited a 19 monotonic dose-response relationship for female rats and mice. In male rats, the increased 20 inflammation was nonmonotonic and only significantly increased for one dose group. In male mice, 21 no effect was observed. Fatty liver changes were also observed in female rats during the 2-year NTP 22 (2008) bioassay. Similar to the chronic inflammation endpoint, this effect was not consistently 23 observed across species or sex. Dose-response modeling was performed on the incidence data for 24 chronic liver inflammation and fatty liver changes in female rats from NTP (2008), and chronic 25 inflammation in female mice from NTP (2008). 26 An overview of design features of the *medium* and *high* confidence animal studies 27 containing data for hepatic effects considered for oral dose-response is provided below in Table 4-28 2. Because there were studies that were rated *high* and *medium* for endpoints within this domain

29 (see Section 3.2.4), *low* confidence studies were not considered for dose-response assessment.

⁵⁸The <u>NTP (2008)</u> 2-year study did not obtain clinical chemistry data in mice or female rats, whereas the 90day <u>NTP (2007)</u> study contained data for both male and female F344 rats and mice.

⁵⁹Note: the lowest dose (in mg/kg-d Cr(VI) was the same in males and females for the subchronic study. When taking into consideration differences in body weight in the pharmacokinetic model, the daily absorbed dose in males was slightly higher than females (see Appendix C.1.5).

Table 4-2. Design features of studies that examined hepatic effects (clinical
chemistry and histopathology) via the oral route of exposure

			Number of		
Study reference	Species/strain	Exposure	dose	Number of	Dose range
(quality)	and sex	duration	groups ^b	animals/group	(mg/kg-d)
<u>NTP (2008)</u> (high) ^a	F344 Rat, male and	2 years	4	50	0.2-7.1
	female				
<u>NTP (2008)</u> (high) ^a	B6C3F1 mouse,	2 years	4	50	0.3–8.9
	male and female				
<u>NTP (2007)</u> (high) ^a	F344 Rat, male and female	90 days	5	10	1.7–21
<u>NTP (2007)</u> (high)	B6C3F1 mouse,	90 days	5	10	3.1–27.9
	male and female				
<u>NTP (2007)</u> (high)	B6C3F1 mouse,	90 days	3	5	2.8–8.7
	male				
<u>NTP (2007)</u> (high)	BALB/c mouse, male	90 days	3	5	2.8–8.7
<u>NTP (2007)</u> (high)	am-C57BL/6	90 days	3	5	2.8-8.7
	mouse, male				
Navya et al. (2017a)	Wistar rat, male	28 days	1	6	10.6
(medium)					
<u>Rafael et al. (2007)</u>	Wistar rat, male	10 weeks	1	9 control, 19	2.96
(medium)				exposed	
<u>NTP (1996a)</u> (high)	BALB/c mouse,	9 weeks	4	24 males, 48	1.1-48.4
	male and female			females (5–6	
				males, 12	
				females/group	
				per timepoint)	
<u>NTP (1997)</u> (high)	BALB/c mouse,	13-week	3	20 (F0), 5–10	6.8–50
	male and female	continuous		(offspring)	
		breeding			
<u>Krim et al. (2013)</u>	Wistar rat, male	30	1	10	5.3
(medium)					
<u>NTP (1996b)</u> (high)	Sprague-Dawley	9 weeks	4	5	0.35–9.90
	rat, male and				
	female				
<u>Wang et al. (2015)</u>	Sprague-Dawley	4 weeks	3	8	2.5–7.6
(medium)	rat, male				

^aPreferred data for dose-response.

^bNumber does not include control group.

1 In summary, dose-response modeling was performed on the following hepatic datasets:

- 4) Increased ALT in male rats from <u>NTP (2008)</u> at the 90-day timepoint and 12-month timepoint
- 3 timepoint

2

- 1 5) Increased ALT in male and female rats from <u>NTP (2007)</u> (90 days)⁶⁰
- 2 6) Increased chronic liver inflammation in female rats from <u>NTP (2008)</u> (2 years)
- 3 7) Increased chronic liver inflammation in female mice from <u>NTP (2008)</u> (2 years)
- 4 8) Fatty liver change in female rats from <u>NTP (2008)</u> (2 years)
- 5

4.1.1.3. Developmental toxicity

As noted in Section 3.2.9, decreases in fetal and postnatal growth were observed in exposed
animals, and these were the only consistently-observed effects. The only two *medium* to *high*confidence studies that observed this effect was <u>NTP (1997)</u>. De Flora et al. (2006) did not observe
this effect. The high confidence study by <u>Zheng et al. (2018)</u> was not considered for dose-response
assessment because it was a gavage study (see 4.1.1). Dose-response modeling was performed on
fetal and postnatal growth outcomes in the F1 generation observed by <u>NTP (1997)</u>. Data are
available for males (<u>PND14</u> and <u>PND21</u>) and females (<u>PND14</u> and <u>PND21</u>).

4.1.2. Methods of Analysis

13 Biologically based dose-response models are not available for Cr(VI). In this situation, EPA 14 evaluates a range of dose-response models thought to be consistent with underlying biological 15 processes to determine how best to empirically model the dose-response relationship in the range 16 of the observed data. Consistent with this approach, EPA evaluated dose-response information with 17 the models available in EPA's Benchmark Dose Software (BMDS, Version 3.2). EPA estimated the 18 benchmark dose (BMD) and the 95% lower confidence limit on the BMD (BMDL) using a 19 benchmark response (BMR) that represents a minimal, biologically significant level of change (U.S. 20 EPA, 2012b). Endpoint-specific BMRs are described below. Where modeling was feasible, the 21 estimated BMDLs were used as points of departure (PODs); the PODs are summarized in Table 4-3. 22 Further details including the modeling output and graphical results for the model selected for each endpoint can be found in Appendix D.1. Where dose-response modeling was not feasible. 23 24 no-observed-adverse-effect levels (NOAELs) or lowest-observed-adverse-effect levels (LOAELs) 25 were identified; NOAELs and LOAELs are also summarized in Table 4-3.

26

4.1.2.1. PBPK modeling and animal-to-human extrapolation

27 Following ingestion, extracellular reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) in the stomach is a major pathway

- 28 for detoxification in both rodents and humans, and may have a significant impact on the amount of
- 29 Cr(VI) available for absorption and distribution. Uptake of Cr(VI) into tissues and intracellular
- 30 reduction occurs rapidly (see Section 3.1.1 and Appendix C.1.1 for overview). While GI tract PBPK
- 31 models are capable of estimating the extent of extracellular reduction in the stomach, the in *vivo*

⁶⁰While chronic data are preferred for dose-response, only chronic male data were available for this endpoint. Subchronic data from both the 90-day study and 2-year study were modeled to evaluate possible difference between sexes.

1 estimates of localized uptake and reduction of Cr(VI) in GI and systemic tissues exhibit high 2 uncertainties (particularly for the distal GI). Thus, all unreduced Cr(VI) that escapes stomach 3 reduction and enters the small intestine (estimated by PBPK modeling) is assumed to have the 4 potential for absorption into epithelial cells. The unreduced mg/kg-d Cr(VI) dose escaping stomach 5 reduction in the rodent can be adjusted to an internal dose⁶¹ by allometric scaling consistent with 6 Recommended Use of Body Weight^{3/4} as the Default method in derivation of the oral reference dose 7 (U.S. EPA, 2011c). This assumes that absorbed Cr(VI) is rapidly cleared (reduced or excreted), with 8 interspecies differences following allometry. While there is some uncertainty in how much of the 9 unreduced Cr(VI) escaping the stomach is reduced and absorbed by the GI tissue prior to systemic 10 distribution, the interspecies difference in this amount is likely to be low in relation to the 11 interspecies difference in gastric reduction (which is driven by differences in stomach pH and 12 Cr(VI) reduction capacity). 13 PBPK modeling revealed that the Cr(VI) dose escaping stomach reduction (and therefore 14 the internal dose) increased linearly with oral dose for rats and mice (Appendix C.1.5). Therefore, 15 performing BMD modeling on the orally administered doses and performing PK conversions at a 16 later step would ultimately produce the same POD as if BMD modeling was performed on the basis 17 of internal PK-derived rodent doses. For humans, gastric reduction is nonlinear with respect to 18 ingested dose (Appendix C.1.5). 19 The steps for candidate RfD derivation are outlined below and in Figure 4-2: 20 1) Dose-response modeling was performed on the basis of mg/kg-d Cr(VI) ingested to 21 determine a BMDL or LOAEL/NOAEL. Where possible, time-weighted average daily doses calculated from time-course data (through the time of data collection) were used. For 22 23 example, for endpoints only measured at the 12-month time point in a 2-year study, the 24 time-weighted average daily doses over 12 months were used for dose-response (as 25 opposed to the average daily doses over the full 2-year study). 26 2) The BMDL or LOAEL/NOAEL (in units of mg/kg-d Cr(VI)) was converted to an internal dose 27 using the PK model. The internal dose was the average rodent dose escaping reduction (in 28 mg/kg-d) multiplied by $(BW_A/BW_H)^{1/4}$ in accordance with *Recommended Use of Body* 29 Weight^{3/4} as the Default Method in Derivation of the Oral Reference Dose (U.S. EPA, 2011c). 30 Study-specific time-weighted average body weights relevant to the data collection time 31 were used in the model and for the BW scaling step. 32 3) The human PBPK model was used to estimate the daily mg/kg Cr(VI) dose that must be 33 ingested to achieve the internal dose calculated in step (2). To account for interindividual 34 variability, the human equivalent dose was determined by Monte Carlo analysis. The lower

⁶¹Alternatively for the small intestine, an internal dose to the small intestine may be derived by scaling the un-reduced daily Cr(VI) intake rate by intestinal tissue volume (defined as pyloric flux, mg/L-d, by <u>Thompson</u> <u>et al. (2014)</u>). Because organ volumes vary between species by allometric relationships, using the pyloric flux internal dose metric produces similar results as BW^{3/4} scaling of the un-reduced Cr(VI) dose.

- 1 1% value of 20000 Monte Carlo PK simulations needed to achieve the internal dose POD
 - was used. As a result, the intraspecies uncertainty factor (UF $_{\rm H}$) was lowered from 10 to 3
- 3 (the pharmacokinetic component of the uncertainty factor was removed as it was accounted
- 4 for with this analysis). See Appendix C.1.5.

2

5

4) The uncertainty factors are applied to derive the candidate RfD.



Figure 4-2. Process for calculating the human equivalent dose for Cr(VI).

6 4.1.2.2. GI tract Effects

7 Incidence data of diffuse epithelial hyperplasia of the duodenum in male mice from NTP 8 (2008) were amenable to BMD modeling with the highest dose omitted. A BMR of 10% extra risk 9 (ER) was applied under the assumption that it represents a minimally biologically significant level 10 of change in the absence of a biologically based BMR (U.S. EPA, 2012b). Diffuse epithelial 11 hyperplasia, although predictive of more severe manifestations of toxicity, is considered minimally 12 adverse and does not support using a lower BMR. Incidence data for male mice (all doses included) 13 are contained in HAWC. 14 Diffuse epithelial hyperplasia was not amenable to BMD modeling for female mice because 15 there was too much uncertainty in estimating the BMDL (see Appendix D.1.1). There were three 16 models which adequately fit the data in accordance with EPA's *Benchmark Dose Technical Guidance* 17 (U.S. EPA, 2012b). However, they produced significantly different BMDs and BMDLs, and one model 18 did not produce useful results due to an extremely low BMDL estimate and high BMD:BMDL ratio. 19 This is an indication that there was some model dependence of the estimates, and uncertainty in 20 the estimates was too great to be able to rely on the modeling results. The uncertainty was 21 primarily caused by the fact that the observed percent incidence at the lowest dose (38%) was 22 much higher than the BMR (10%). Alternative modeling approaches were explored, however they 23 could not address the lack of low dose data near the target 10% extra risk response level. As a

1 result, the LOAEL approach was used (the LOAEL for hyperplasia in female mice was 0.302 mg/kg-2 d). Click here to see incidence data in HAWC for female mice.

3 **4.1.2.3.** *Hepatic Effects*

4 For the liver, data for chronic liver inflammation in female mice from NTP (2008) were 5 amenable to BMD modeling. A BMR of 10% extra risk (ER) was applied under the assumption that 6 it represents a minimally biologically significant level of change. <u>NTP (2008)</u> described these 7 lesions as "minimal to mild severity", with "mild to moderate" in the higher dose groups. As a result, 8 a BMR lower than 10% was not considered.

- 9 Changes in the liver enzyme alanine aminotransferase (ALT) at 12 months in male rats from
- 10 NTP (2008) were amenable to BMD modeling. Several expert organizations, particularly those
- 11 concerned with early signs of drug-induced hepatotoxicity, have identified an increase in liver
- 12 enzymes compared with concurrent controls of two to fivefold as an indicator of concern for
- 13 hepatic injury (Sawicka and Długosz, 2017; EMEA, 2010; Boone et al., 2005; Group, 2000). For this
- 14 assessment, a twofold increase in ALT is considered indicative of liver injury in experimental
- 15 animals. Thus, a BMR of 100% change from control (1 relative deviation from control) was applied.
- 16 Data for male and female rats in the subchronic study by <u>NTP (2007)</u> were not amenable to BMD
- 17 modeling⁶², and the lowest dose was identified as the LOAEL. The chronic study by NTP (2008) also
- 18 provide subchronic data for ALT in male rats at 90 days. Because the chronic study used lower
- 19 doses, it was possible to identify a NOAEL⁶³ of 1.46 mg/kg-d, and a LOAEL of 4.30 mg/kg-d for
- 20 increased ALT in male rats at 90 days (see Appendix C for time-weighted average daily doses of the

21 first 90 days of exposure during the <u>NTP (2008)</u> 2-year study).

- 22 Fatty liver change in female rats from NTP (2008) was not amenable to BMD modeling.
- 23 Similar to hyperplasia in the female mouse duodenum, uncertainty in estimating the BMDL was too
- 24 high (see Appendix D.1.1). As a result, the NOAEL (the lowest dose level, 0.248 mg/kg-d, which
- 25 exhibited less than 10% extra risk) was used as the POD for this dataset. Similarly, chronic liver
- 26 inflammation in female rats from NTP (2008) was not amenable to BMD modeling and the LOAEL
- 27 (0.248 mg/kg-d, which exhibited greater than 10% extra risk) was used as the POD.
- 28

4.1.2.4. Developmental Effects

29

For NTP (1997), doses reported for the F0 dams⁶⁴ were 11.6, 24.4, and 50.6 mg/kg-d Cr(VI)

- 30 (via feed). Decreased postnatal growth in the F1 generation was observed beginning at 24.4 mg/kg-
- 31 d. Data are available for males (PND14 and PND21) and females (PND14 and PND21). For postnatal

⁶²For female rats, the first nonzero dose had a very high response relative to other dose levels (click here to see dose-response data). For male rats, the goodness-of-fit p-values were less than 0.1 for all statistical models (even when removing the highest dose, which had a low response relative to other exposure levels). <u>Click here</u> to see dose-response data for male rats.

⁶³Data were not amenable to BMD modeling. No change from control was observed at the first nonzero dose. ⁶⁴Maternal dam weight is highly correlated to offspring body weight. Because maternal body weight in this study was also decreased, maternal dose is examined here instead of the averaged F0 male and female dose.

- 1 growth in the F2 generation, effects were observed at the highest dose only (maternal doses for
- 2 females in the F1 generation were 7.27, 17.19, 39.15 mg Cr(VI)/kg-d). Datasets for postnatal
- 3 growth were not amenable to BMD modeling because study statistics reported by the authors were
- 4 inadequate for use in multi-generational modeling⁶⁵. A NOAEL of 11.6 mg/kg-d was used based on
- 5 outcomes observed in the F1 generation (see Section 3.2.9).

4.1.3. Derivation of Candidate Values

- 6 This section describes the data and rationale for the selection of uncertainty factors and
- 7 derivation of candidate values for each identified human health hazard. The dose-response
- 8 modeling results and rodent-to-human extrapolations are summarized in Table 4-3. Further details,
- 9 including the BMDS modeling output and graphical results for the model selected for each endpoint,
- 10 can be found in Appendix D.1.

Species/ sex	Model	BMR	BMD mg/kg-d	BMDL mg/kg-d	Internal dose ^a mg/kg-d	TWA BW kg	BW ^{3/4} adjust ^b	POD _{HED} mg/kg-day ^c
Diffuse epi	Diffuse epithelial hyperplasia of the duodenum at two years (<u>NTP, 2008</u>)							
Mice/M	Quantal linear ^d	10% ER	0.148	0.121	0.0182	0.05	2.88 × 10 ⁻³	0.0443
Mice/F	LOAEL			0.302	0.0463	0.05	7.32 × 10 ⁻³	0.0911
Changes in	the liver en	izyme al	anine amin	otransferas	e (ALT) (<u>NTP, 2008</u>	3)		
Rat/M 12 mo	Expon.2 ^d	1RD	1.82	1.55	0.168	0.395	0.0445	0.206
Rat/M 3 mo	NOAEL			1.46	0.149	0.246	0.0351	0.184
Changes in	the liver en	izyme al	anine amin	otransferas	e (ALT) at 90 days	(<u>NTP, 2007</u>)		
Rat/M	LOAEL	-		1.74	0.188	0.232	0.0436	0.203
Rat/F	LOAEL			1.74	0.181	0.160	0.0383	0.190
Chronic live	er inflamma	tion at t	two years (<u> </u>	NTP, 2008)				
Rat/F	LOAEL	-		0.248	0.0195	0.260	4.66 × 10 ⁻³	0.0669
Mice/F	Log- logistic	10% ER	3.70	1.33	0.225	0.05	0.0356	0.182
Liver fatty	change at tv	wo year	s (<u>NTP, 2008</u>	<u>3</u>)				
Rat/F	NOAEL			0.248	0.0195	0.260	4.66 × 10 ⁻³	0.0669
Decreased	offspring gr	owth (N	ITP, 1997)					

Table 4-3. Summary of derivation of points of departure following oral exposure

⁶⁵It was unclear whether standard errors reported for dose groups are based on variation among litters or among pups across litters, and individual-level data are not available.

Species/ sex	Model	BMR	BMD mg/kg-d	BMDL mg/kg-d	Internal dose ^a mg/kg-d	TWA BW kg	BW ^{3/4} adjust ^b	POD _{HED} mg/kg-day ^c
Mouse/F	NOAEL			11.6	3.09	0.0240	0.407	0.700

^aDose escaping stomach reduction in rodent (mg/kg-d) estimated by PK modeling. Animal BW set to study/sexspecific time-weighted average values for PK modeling. This explains the discrepancy in internal dose between male and female rats having the same external-dose LOAEL for ALT changes at 90 days.

^bBW^{3/4} scaling adjustment: mg/kg-d multiplied by (BW_A/80)^{1/4}). Animal BW set to study/sex-specific time-weighted average values for both BW^{3/4} scaling and bioassay PK simulation.

^cPOD_{HED} in units of mg/kg-d Cr(VI) oral dose ingested by humans (lower 1% value of 20000 Monte Carlo PK simulations needed to achieve the internal dose POD). See Appendix C.1.5 for details.

^dData were amenable to BMD modeling with the highest dose omitted.

1

26

2 Consistent with EPA's A Review of the Reference Dose and Reference Concentration Processes 3 (U.S. EPA, 2002), a series of five UFs were applied to the POD developed for each endpoint/study, 4 specifically addressing the following areas of uncertainty: interspecies uncertainty (UF_A) to account 5 for animal-to-human extrapolation, and consisting of equal parts representing pharmacokinetic and 6 pharmacodynamic differences; intraspecies uncertainty (UF_H) to account for variation in 7 susceptibility across the human population, and the possibility that the available data may not be 8 representative of individuals who are most susceptible to the effect; LOAEL-to-NOAEL uncertainty 9 (UF_L) to infer an exposure level where effects are not expected when a POD is based on a 10 lowest-observed-adverse-effect level (LOAEL); subchronic-to-chronic uncertainty (UF_S) to account for the uncertainty in using subchronic studies to make inferences about lifetime exposure, and to 11 12 consider whether lifetime exposure would have effects at lower levels (e.g., for studies other than 13 subchronic studies); and database uncertainty (UF_D) to account for database deficiencies if an 14 incomplete database raises concern that further studies might identify a more sensitive effect, organ 15 system, or life stage. An explanation of the five possible areas of uncertainty and variability follows: 16 An intraspecies uncertainty factor, UF_H, of 3 was applied to account for variability and 17 uncertainty in pharmacodynamic susceptibility in extrapolating to subgroups of the human 18 population most sensitive to the health hazards of Cr(VI) (U.S. EPA, 2002). In the case of 19 Cr(VI), the PODs were derived from studies in inbred animal strains and are not considered 20 sufficiently representative of the exposure and dose-response of the most susceptible 21 human subpopulations (see Section 3.3.1). In certain cases, the pharmacokinetic component

- of this factor may be replaced when a PK model is available that incorporates the best
 available information on variability in pharmacokinetic disposition in the human
 population (including sensitive populations). In the case of Cr(VI), a Monte Carlo analysis
- 25 using PBPK modeling (see Appendix Section C.1.5) was applied to account for
 - pharmacokinetic variability, and 3 was retained for pharmacodynamic variability.
- An interspecies uncertainty factor, UF_A, of 3 (10^{1/2} = 3.16, rounded to 3) was applied to all
 PODs to account for uncertainty in characterizing the pharmacokinetic and
 pharmacodynamic differences between rodents and humans. For all datasets used in this

assessment, a PBPK model or BW^{3/4} scaling was used to convert doses in rodents to
 equivalent doses in humans (see rationale in Section 4.1.2.1—Human Extrapolation). This
 reduces pharmacokinetic uncertainty in extrapolating from the rodents to humans, but does
 not account for interspecies differences due to pharmacodynamics. An UF_A of 3 was applied
 to account for this remaining pharmacodynamic and any residual pharmacokinetic
 uncertainty not accounted for by the PBPK model.

7 A subchronic-to-chronic uncertainty factor, UF_s , of 1 was applied to all endpoints from the 8 chronic 2-year (lifetime) study in rodents (NTP, 2008) where exposure occurred for one 9 vear or more. For example, ALT changes in rats measured at one year (12 months) were 10 assigned an UF_s of 1. An UF_s of 1 was applied to the developmental endpoint from NTP 11 (1997), because exposure occurred during the critical window. An UF_s of 3 was applied to 12 ALT changes from the 90-day study in rodents (NTP, 2007), and ALT changes reported at 3 13 months during the chronic NTP (2008) study. An UF_s = 3 (rather than 10) was applied to 14 90-day data for ALT because data collected at multiple time points from NTP (2008) 15 showed that these effects did not increase in severity between 90 days and 1 year. A value 16 of 3 was retained to account for the possibility that longer exposure may induce these 17 effects at a lower exposures (U.S. EPA, 2002), even if the effects themselves do not increase 18 in severity.

19 A LOAEL-to-NOAEL uncertainty factor, UF_L, of 1 was applied to PODs based on either a NOAEL 20 or a BMDL. An UF_L of 10 (rather than 3) was applied to PODs based on the LOAEL of ALT 21 changes in rats observed from the 90-day study (NTP, 2007), because the magnitude of 22 change from control at the lowest dose was very high (180% for males and 585% for 23 females). These measurements were somewhat volatile (for example, the changes were 24 typically very large, and the magnitude of changes varied greatly between studies, even 25 among the NTP studies in the same species and sex which were conducted under very similar 26 conditions). As a result, the higher UF_L was applied. Similarly, an UF_L of 10 was applied to the 27 LOAELs of hyperplasia in the female mouse duodenum and chronic liver inflammation in 28 female rats from NTP (2008) because responses were high (>20% extra risk) at the lowest 29 dose. Thus, an UF_L of 10 was applied to all PODs that were based on a LOAEL.

30 A database uncertainty factor, UF_D, value of 1 was applied for all endpoints. The • 31 toxicological database for oral exposure to Cr(VI) includes several occupational health 32 studies, and subchronic and chronic toxicity studies in multiple laboratory species. The 33 database also contains prenatal, multi-generational, and gestational oral studies in rodents. 34 Table 4-4 is a continuation of Table 4-3 and summarizes the application of UFs to each POD 35 to derive a candidate value for each endpoint, preliminary to the derivation of the 36 organ/system-specific reference values. These candidate values are considered individually in the 37 selection of a representative oral reference value for a specific hazard and subsequent overall RfD

38 for Cr(VI).

Endpoint and Reference	POD _{HED} (mg/kg-day)	POD Type	UFA	UF _H	UFL	UFs	UF₀	Composite UF	Candidate value (mg/kg-d)
GI tract									
Mouse (M) hyperplasia (<u>NTP,</u> <u>2008</u>)	0.0443	BMDL _{10%ER}	3	3	1	1	1	10	4.43 × 10 ⁻³
Mouse (F) hyperplasia (<u>NTP,</u> <u>2008</u>)	0.0911	LOAEL	3	3	10	1	1	100	9.11 × 10 ⁻⁴
Liver									
Rat (M) liver ALT (12 months) (<u>NTP,</u> <u>2008</u>)	0.206	BMDL _{1RD}	3	3	1	1	1	10	0.0206
Rat (M) liver ALT (3 months) (<u>NTP,</u> <u>2008</u>)	0.184	NOAEL	3	3	1	3	1	30	6.13 × 10 ⁻³
Rat (M) liver ALT (90 days) (<u>NTP, 2007</u>)	0.203	LOAEL	3	3	10	3	1	300	6.77 × 10 ⁻⁴
Rat (F) liver ALT (90 days) (<u>NTP, 2007</u>)	0.190	LOAEL	3	3	10	3	1	300	6.33 × 10 ⁻⁴
Rat (F) liver chronic inflammation (2 years) (<u>NTP, 2008</u>)	0.0669	LOAEL	3	3	10	1	1	100	6.69 × 10 ⁻⁴
Mouse (F) liver chronic inflammation (2 years) (<u>NTP, 2008</u>)	0.182	BMDL _{10%ER}	3	3	1	1	1	10	0.0182
Rat (F) liver fatty change (2 years) (<u>NTP, 2008</u>)	0.0669	NOAEL	3	3	1	1	1	10	6.69 × 10 ⁻³
Developmental									
Mouse (F) Decreased F1 postnatal growth (<u>NTP, 1997</u>)	0.700	NOAEL	3	3	1	1	1	10	0.0700

Table 4-4. Effects and corresponding derivation of candidate values



Figure 4-3. Candidate values with corresponding POD and composite UF.

4.1.4. Derivation of Organ/System-Specific Reference Doses

Table 4-5 distills the candidate values (candidate RfDs, or cRfDs) from Table 4-4 into a
single value for each organ or system (organ-specific RfDs, or osRfDs). These organ or systemspecific reference values may be useful for subsequent cumulative risk assessments that consider
the combined effect of multiple agents acting at a common site.

Each candidate value was evaluated with respect to multiple considerations, including
strength of evidence, basis of the POD (i.e., BMD vs. NOAEL vs. LOAEL), and dose-response model
uncertainties. The confidence rating of each organ-specific RfD is based on three factors: the level of
confidence in the primary study, the health effect database associated with that reference value,
and the quantification of the POD.

1 4.1.4.1. *GI tract toxicity*

2 The organ/system-specific RfD for GI effects was based on the incidence of diffuse epithelial 3 hyperplasia of the duodenum in female B6C3F1 mice reported in <u>NTP (2008)</u>. The hyperplasia in 4 the GI tract following oral exposures is considered to be representative of the constellation of histopathological observations that together result in a change in tissue function that is considered 5 6 an adverse noncancer effect. An organ-specific RfD of 9×10^{-4} mg/kg-d (9.11×10^{-4} rounded to 97 \times 10⁻⁴) was derived. There is high confidence in this osRfD because it is based on chronic 2-year 8 data from a *high confidence* study, and a strong dose-response was exhibited in both male and 9 female mice. High confidence subchronic studies (click the <u>HAWC link</u> for study evaluation details) 10 and mechanistic studies were supportive of these effects. Additionally, both male and female mice 11 exhibited tumors in the same tissues (see Section 3.2.2), and these tumors exhibited a strong dose-12 response relationship.

13 4.1.4.2. *Hepatic toxicity*

14 The organ/system-specific RfD for hepatic effects was based on the lowest candidate 15 toxicity value from the chronic data: chronic inflammation in female F344 rats reported in NTP 16 (2008). Chronic hepatic inflammation can lead to fibrosis (Koyama and Brenner, 2017), and the 17 candidate value is also protective of the other endpoints evaluated (fatty changes and chronic 18 changes in clinical chemistry). An organ-specific RfD of 7×10^{-4} mg/kg-d (6.69 $\times 10^{-4}$ rounded to 7 19 \times 10⁻⁴) was derived. There is medium confidence in this osRfD. While it is based on a *high* 20 confidence chronic study in rats and there are other subchronic data to support the liver endpoints, 21 there were differences in the dose-response relationships between species and sexes. A lower 22 organ-specific RfD confidence was assigned due to: 1) inconsistent responses across sex and 23 species (e.g., histological changes were primarily seen in female rats and were less severe in male 24 rats and mice), and 2) some uncertainty regarding the severity of the observed histological effects 25 (specifically, the available high confidence studies did not observe a progression to more severe 26 hepatic injury such as fibrosis or necrosis).

27

4.1.4.3. Developmental toxicity

28 The organ/system-specific RfD for developmental toxicity was based on the only candidate 29 RfD: decreased F1 offspring postnatal growth from the continuous breeding study in BALBC mice 30 (NTP, 1997). The organ-specific RfD was 0.07 mg/kg-d. There is low confidence in this osRfD. While 31 it is based on a high confidence continuous breeding study and similar effects on decreased 32 offspring growth observed in multiple other studies (see Section 3.2.9, click the HAWC link for study evaluation details), this effect only occurred in high dose groups where other toxicological 33 34 effects (as indicated by the lower points of departure in this section) may be occurring. For 35 example, female mice in the F0 generation (dams) were exposed to 11.6, 24.4, 50.6 mg/kg-d Cr(VI) 36 (NTP, 1997). The decreased F1 offspring growth effect was observed at maternal dose of 37 24.4 mg/kg-d, which is a relatively high dose (NTP (2007) observed high incidence of stomach

- 1 ulcers in rats at approximately 20 mg/kg-d). Other studies in the database observing similar effects
- 2 were lower confidence and used higher (or unknown) doses. A lower organ-specific RfD confidence
- 3 was assigned due to: 1) a weak health effects database for this endpoint (most studies were rated
- 4 *low confidence*), and 2) the possibility that other unknown toxicities could be affecting the animals
- 5 at the high dose. Thus, there was lowered confidence due to the database of studies examining this
- 6 endpoint, and lowered confidence in quantification of the POD.

Table 4-5. Organ/system-specific RfDs and proposed overall RfD for Cr(VI)

Effect	Basis	osRfD (mg/kg-day)	Exposure Description	Confidence
GI tract toxicity	Diffuse epithelial hyperplasia in small intestine (female mice)	9 × 10 ⁻⁴	Chronic	High
Hepatic toxicity	Chronic inflammation (female rats)	7 × 10 ⁻⁴	Chronic	Medium
Developmental toxicity	Decreased F1 offspring postnatal growth (mice)	0.07	Continuous breeding	Low
Overall RfD	GI tract effects	9 × 10 ⁻⁴	Chronic	High

4.1.5. Selection of the Overall Reference Dose

7 Choice of the overall RfD involved consideration of both the level of certainty in the 8 estimated organ/system-specific values, as well as the level of confidence in the observed effect(s). 9 An overall confidence level was assigned to the RfD to reflect an interpretation regarding 10 confidence in the collection of studies used to determine the hazard(s) and derive the RfD, the RfD 11 calculation itself, as well as the overall completeness of the database on the potential health effects 12 of hexavalent chromium exposure. 13 To estimate an exposure level below which noncancer effects from lifetime oral Cr(VI) exposure are not expected to occur, the osRfD for GI effects, 9×10^{-4} mg/kg-d, is selected as the 14 15 overall RfD for Cr(VI). This was a *high* confidence value derived from chronic exposure data. The

16 overall RfD is derived to be protective of all types of noncancer effects for lifetime exposure and is

17 intended to protect the population as a whole including potentially susceptible subgroups (<u>U.S.</u>

18 <u>EPA, 2002</u>). While the organ-specific RfD for liver was slightly lower, that value was of lower

19 confidence and the osRfD for GI effects is still protective of the other candidate values for liver that

20 were considered for the osRfD (see Figure 4-3).

21 This value should be applied in general population risk assessments. However, decisions

22 concerning averaging exposures over time for comparison with the RfD should consider the types

23 of toxicological effects and specific life stages of concern. For example, fluctuations in exposure

24 levels that result in elevated exposures during various life stages could potentially lead to an

appreciable risk, even if average levels over the full exposure duration were less than or equal to

the RfD.

4.1.6. Uncertainties in the Derivation of Reference Dose

The RfD was derived based on GI effects (diffuse epithelial hyperplasia in the duodenum) of
 female mice exposed to Cr(VI) in drinking water for two years (<u>NTP, 2008</u>). Some of the uncertainty
 considerations related to the RfD derivation are outlined below and in Section 3.3.

4

4.1.6.1. Site concordance and human relevance

5 The GI tract reference value was based on an effect observed in the small intestine of mice, 6 however it is possible that the effect may be exhibited in different sections of the alimentary tract in 7 the human (specifically, the oral cavity, esophagus, and stomach). Estimated Cr(VI) exposure to the 8 stomach epithelium may be similar to exposure to the small intestine epithelium, since both would 9 be strong functions of gastric pH, Cr(VI) concentration and reduction rate. There are differences in 10 morphologies between the small intestine and stomach, which could potentially impact the tissue 11 susceptibility. Effects in the rodent stomach only occurred at the high doses of the 90-day NTP 12 (2007) study. Rodents exposed to Cr(VI) during the 2-year NTP (2008) study did not exhibit effects 13 in the stomach.

Exposure to the oral cavity and esophagus occurs prior to Cr(VI) reduction in the stomach.
 However, no noncancer effects were observed in these tissues during the <u>NTP (2008)</u> or <u>NTP</u>

16 (2007) bioassays (aside from mild salivary gland atrophy in rats during the 2-year study).

17

4.1.6.2. Susceptible populations

18 A significant fraction of the human population may be highly susceptible to Cr(VI)-induced 19 effects in the GI tract due to high stomach pH. Individuals with hypochlorhydria (low stomach acid) 20 have consistently high stomach pH that may exceed 8 (Feldman and Barnett, 1991). Less than 1% of 21 the adult population may exhibit hypochlorhydria, whereas 10–20% of the elderly population (aged 22 65 and up) may exhibit this condition (Russell et al., 1993). For individuals without this medical 23 condition, there is still high variability (Feldman and Barnett (1991) estimated that 5% of men may 24 exhibit basal pH exceeding 5, and 5% of women may exhibit basal pH exceeding 6.8). Gut 25 microbiota and gastric juice chemistry in individuals with high gastric pH may differ from those in 26 the general population. It is not known how effective Cr(VI) can reduce to Cr(III) in this type of 27 gastric environment. Data by Kirman et al. (2016), which included some groups with high stomach 28 pH, were highly variable. 29 Individuals taking medication to treat gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), including 30 calcium carbonate-based acid reducers and proton pump inhibitors, have an elevated stomach pH 31 during treatment. This is known to be a significant fraction of the population since up to 20% of the 32 population may be afflicted by GERD, and the gastric pH for these individuals may be above 4 33 throughout the day during successful treatment (Delshad et al., 2020; GBD 2017, 2020; Lin and 34 Triadafilopoulos, 2015; Burdsall et al., 2013; Atanassoff et al., 1995). A sensitivity analysis was 35

performed on the human model (Appendix C.1.5), assuming a baseline stomach pH = 4 (as opposed
to 1.3). It was found that for internal PODs above 0.001 mg/kg-d (which apply to all the PODs), the

- 1 current Monte Carlo approach (taking the lower 1% of 20,000 simulations of the standard
- 2 population with baseline stomach pH = 1.3) was protective for the population with baseline pH = 4.
- 3 For populations with baseline pH higher than 4, cRfDs derived using the pharmacokinetic approach
- 4 would not be health-protective. Appendix D.3 contains cRfDs calculated by default approaches
- 5 without adjustment for gastric reduction, which may be health-protective at low doses for the
- 6 pH > 4 population (since those results implicitly assume gastric pH and reduction capacity in
- 7 rodents and humans are equivalent).

8 Uncertainties related to extremely high gastric pH, as well as other conditions that could 9 lead to pharmacokinetic susceptibility (*H. pylori* infection, gastric bypass, gastrectomy) cannot be 10 accounted for quantitatively. High interindividual variation was observed in ex vivo data by <u>Kirman</u> 11 <u>et al. (2016)</u>, both in health individuals with high stomach pH, and individuals taking proton pump 12 inhibitors. Additionally, no data are available studying Cr(VI) reduction in the gastric environments 13 of children, toddlers, or infants. As a result, PBPK modeling was not performed for these groups.

14

4.1.6.3. Rodent gastric modeling uncertainties

Stomach reduction in the mouse may be impacted by a number of factors. Higher reduction
efficiency may occur during the ingestion of a solid meal, since gastric emptying is delayed, and pH
is decreased (for the mouse, glandular stomach pH is decreased by the fasted state, while the
opposite is true for humans). However, this effect may be counter-acted by kinetics in the
forestomach, which humans do not have. The forestomach may not follow the same fed/fasted
pattern as the glandular stomach (Ward and Coates, 1987).

The rodent glandular stomach actively secretes digestive enzymes shortly before, during, and after a solid meal. The precise dynamics of gastric changes are uncertain, and the "well-mixed" PBPK model assumption may not be accurate due to ongoing food consumption. In addition, the rodent forestomach contents may have an elevated pH relative to the glandular stomach (Kohl et al., 2013; Browning et al., 1983; Kunstyr et al., 1976), and ingested drinking water passes through both of these stomach regions.

27 There are also uncertainties related to the pH-kinetic relationship. The dose-response 28 analysis for this assessment applied rodent pH of greater than 4.0, setting pH to values at which the 29 rodent ex vivo reduction experiments were performed. Prior to dilution with water, Proctor et al. 30 (2012) estimated the rodent stomach pH to be approximately 4, but it was increased to 31 approximately 4.5 after dilution with water for the experiments. The precise relationship between 32 pH and reduction kinetics in the rodent at lower pH is uncertain, and therefore it was desirable to 33 perform simulations assuming rodent pH of 4.0 or higher. If the true rodent stomach pH is lower, or 34 if the reduction kinetics are faster than estimated by the current model, this would ultimately lead 35 to a decreased RfD. On the other hand, the model already estimates a low percentage of Cr(VI) 36 escaping the rodent stomach (5-10%). If the true percentage was lower than this, it would mean 37 that a negligible amount of Cr(VI) enters the mouse small intestine following ingestion. It has been 38 confirmed by multiple pharmacokinetic studies that Cr(VI) is absorbed systemically in rodents

1 following exposure via drinking water. Data by <u>Kirman et al. (2012)</u> show chromium

2 concentrations in the duodenum increasing with a linear or supralinear relationship with respect to

- 3 dose in mice exposed to Cr(VI) in drinking water for 90 days. Therefore, assuming that *in vivo*
- 4 rodent gastric reduction occurs very effectively (i.e., 99% reduction) would not be consistent with
- 5 the available pharmacokinetic data.
- 6

4.1.6.4. Human gastric modeling uncertainties

7 As with the rodent gastric system, there are uncertainties in modeling the human stomach. 8 There exist complex gastric and intestinal kinetic models, and many of the parameters are highly 9 variable (Paixão et al., 2018; Talattof and Amidon, 2018; Yu et al., 2017; Hens et al., 2014; Mudie et 10 al., 2010; ICRP, 2006). While the PBPK model in this assessment adopts some parameters and 11 concepts from literature, and incorporates Monte Carlo analysis, it may not account for all 12 uncertainty and variability. Ex vivo data for Cr(VI) reduction in gastric juices show high 13 interindividual variability De Flora et al. (2016); Kirman et al. (2016). Interindividual variability in 14 gastric contents and microbiota likely introduces variation in Cr(VI) reduction. Variability in 15 reduction kinetic parameters (with the exception of the reducing capacity parameter) was not 16 incorporated into the model.

17

4.1.6.5. Uncertainty in systemic pharmacokinetics

18 The current approach uses a PBPK model of the stomach lumen to adjust the average daily 19 oral Cr(VI) dose to account for detoxification in the stomach compartment. It does not explicitly 20 model systemic whole-body pharmacokinetics. While whole-body PBPK models are available for 21 Cr(VI), the uncertainties related to the systemic pharmacokinetics in rodents and humans are high, 22 especially at low doses. However, most endpoints observed following oral ingestion were in or near 23 the GI tract, and therefore may not require an accounting of systemic chromium. Cr(VI) which 24 enters the intestinal lumen may expose the systems in which effects were observed (the small 25 intestine, and the liver by first-pass effect) prior to distribution to systemic circulation. Reduction of 26 Cr(VI) in the blood and other tissues is rapid, and this assessment neglects the impact that re-27 circulating Cr(VI) may have on the liver and small intestine. It is health-protective to assume that 28 any unreduced Cr(VI) emptying into the human small intestine is absorbed. 29 For systemic effects, there is some residual pharmacokinetic uncertainty. The modeling 30 does not take into account how much Cr(VI) may remain in the GI epithelium (or be reduced by the

G.I. tissues, liver, and blood). This loss of Cr(VI) available to absorb into systemic tissues isneglected in both animals and humans.

33

4.1.6.6. Uncertainty in dose-response modeling

For the two lowest candidate RfDs (diffuse epithelial hyperplasia in female mice, and
chronic liver inflammation in female rats from <u>NTP (2008)</u>), there was uncertainty related to the
dose-response modeling.

1 As noted in Section 4.1.6, diffuse epithelial hyperplasia was not amenable to BMD modeling

- 2 for female mice because there was too much uncertainty in estimating the BMDL. Estimates of the
- 3 epithelial hyperplasia RfD from female mice using BMD modeling (without dropping doses) range
- 4 from 7.95×10^{-5} mg/kg-d to 2.04×10^{-3} mg/kg-d (see Appendix D.1.1). The current value (derived
- 5 by a LOAEL, which resulted in a higher uncertainty factor) falls within this range and differs by
- 6 approximately 15% from the mean and median value of the three adequately fit models (1.06
- 7 $\times 10^{-3}$ mg/kg-d). If dropping the two highest doses and performing BMD modeling, the resulting
- 8 RfD would be 2.6×10^{-3} mg/kg-d (and round to 3×10^{-3} mg/kg-d).
- 9 Similarly, chronic liver inflammation in female rats from <u>NTP (2008)</u> was not amenable to
- 10 BMD modeling. Estimates of the cRfD for this endpoint range from 1.00×10^{-4} to 4.02×10^{-3} (see
- 11 Appendix D.1.1). The current value (derived by a LOAEL, which resulted in a higher uncertainty
- 12 factor) falls within this range and is about 2x lower than the mean and median values of the three
- 13 adequately fit models (mean: 1.80×10^{-3} mg/kg-d, median: 1.28×10^{-3} mg/kg-d).

4.1.7. Confidence Statement

An overall confidence level of **High**, **Medium**, or **Low** was assigned to reflect the level of confidence in the study(ies) and hazard(s) used to derive the RfD, the overall database, and the RfD itself, as described in EPA's *Methods for Derivation of Inhalation Reference Concentrations and*

- 17 Application of Inhalation Dosimetry §4.3.9.2 (U.S. EPA, 1994).
- 18 The confidence in the overall chronic RfD is **high**. The RfD is based on a *high confidence* 19 chronic 2-year drinking water study by <u>NTP (2008)</u> which exposed rats and mice of both sexes to 20 Cr(VI) as sodium dichromate dihydrate at drinking water concentrations from 5 mg/L to 180 mg/L 21 (approximately 0.2 mg/kg-d to 10 mg/kg-d). Multiple *high confidence* subchronic studies also 22 support these data (click the <u>HAWC link</u> for study evaluation details), and mechanistic studies 23 support oxidative stress as a mechanism of Cr(VI) toxicity in a variety of tissues, including the GI 24 tract. The organ-specific RfD for the liver is also supportive of the GI tract RfD, because the GI tract 25 and liver are exposed on first-pass following oral ingestion (so both should get the highest internal 26 dose). While the human database for Cr(VI) induced GI and liver toxicity was indeterminate, this did 27 not warrant changing the overall confidence from *high*.

4.1.8. Previous IRIS Assessment: Oral Reference Dose

The previous RfD assessment for hexavalent chromium was completed in September 1998.
The previous RfD was based on a NOAEL identified from a 1-year drinking water study in rats in
which animals were exposed to hexavalent chromium (MacKenzie et al., 1958). MacKenzie et al.
(1958) monitored body weight, gross external conditions, histopathology and blood chemistry and
did not observe any effects at any level of treatment. A NOAEL of 2.5 mg/kg-day was identified. A
composite uncertainty factor of 300 (10 for interspecies extrapolation, 10 for intraspecies
extrapolation, and 3 for subchronic-to-chronic extrapolation) and a modifying factor of 3 (to

1 account for concerns raised by the epidemiology study of <u>Zhang and Li (1987</u>) were applied to this

2 POD to yield an oral RfD of 3×10^{-3} mg/kg-d.

4.2. INHALATION REFERENCE CONCENTRATION FOR EFFECTS OTHER THAN CANCER

The reference concentration (RfC, expressed in units of mg/m³) is defined as an estimate (with uncertainty spanning perhaps an order of magnitude) of a continuous inhalation exposure to the human population (including sensitive subgroups) that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious effects during a lifetime. It can be derived from a NOAEL, LOAEL, or the 95% lower bound on the benchmark concentration (BMCL), with uncertainty factors generally applied to reflect limitations of the data used. As noted in Section 3.3.2, derivation of the RfC was limited to effects in the respiratory tract.

10 Upper respiratory toxicity in the form of nasal effects in humans has been determined 11 previously (see Protocol Section 3.1.2, Appendix A), and a set of human studies were evaluated for 12 data that may inform the quantitative dose-response analysis (this will be discussed in Section 13 4.2.1). Data suitable for candidate RfC derivation of upper respiratory effects were only available 14 from human studies (and these were limited to effects in the nasal airways). Data from animals of 15 effects in the upper respiratory tract (such as reported nosebleeds and other qualitative effect 16 descriptions) were not considered due to the availability of quantitative dose-response data in 17 humans. 18 Based on findings from inhalation studies in experimental animals and occupational studies 19 in humans, evidence indicates that Cr(VI) is likely to cause lower respiratory toxicity in humans

(see Section 3.2.1). Data suitable for candidate RfC derivation of lower respiratory effects were only
available from animal studies. All human studies of these effects were *low* confidence and only

22 provided information on associations (and did not provide dose-response data).

4.2.1. Identification of Studies for Dose-Response Analysis of Selected Effects

23

4.2.1.1. Upper respiratory tract effects

24 Effects in the nasal cavity of humans are well-established hazards of inhaled Cr(VI) 25 exposure, and this review focused on data that may improve the quantitative dose-response 26 analysis conducted in EPA's 1998 IRIS assessment (see Protocol Section 3.2, Appendix A). 27 Quantitative animal data for effects in the upper respiratory tract were not available. Qualitative 28 findings in rodents such as obstructive respiratory dyspnea (Glaser et al., 1990), or "peculiar sound 29 during respiration" and periodic nose bleeds (Kim et al., 2004) were not considered for dose-30 response assessment due to the availability of human data. No other effects in the upper respiratory 31 tract outside of the nasal cavity were identified during hazard identification (Section 3.2.1). 32 The epidemiological database for inhalation of Cr(VI) mainly consists of observational 33 studies of workers exposed in occupational settings. Human studies were considered suitable for
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	dose-response analysis and toxicity values derivation if they met the criteria listed below. Furthermore, preference was given to studies with <i>medium</i> or <i>high</i> overall confidence ratings based on study evaluation and to studies with larger sample sizes and exposures in the lower range of human exposures, as these are most likely to represent the relationship between inhalation exposure to Cr(VI) and adverse effects in the general population. The following considerations were made during evaluation of studies for derivation of inhalation toxicity values from human data:
8 9	• The study population must be exposed to Cr(VI) (as opposed to Cr(III)) based on air measurements or job history and industry
10	• Quantitative estimates relating exposure (or dose) to the core outcomes considered.
11	• Concentration of Cr(VI) in air must be measured at the study site
12 13	• Quality of measurements will depend on: type of sampling (personal, stationary, or both); frequency of sampling; sampling duration; number of samplers; sampling methods.
14 15	• Exposure to Cr(VI) for individuals or groups of individuals must be estimated with reasonable accuracy and precision in units of air concentration.
16 17	• If exposure is categorical, it must have corresponding air concentration estimates for each category.
18 19	• Exposure is not solely quantified in units of concentration in a biological sample such as urine or blood
20 21 22	The core outcomes for nasal effects in humans considered for evaluation of dose response included the following clinical outcomes diagnosed by a trained examiner (e.g., physician, otolaryngologist, or trained researcher): atrophy of the nasal mucosa, ulceration of the nasal
23 24	mucosa or septum, perforation of the septum, and bleeding nasal septum. The development of these outcomes is highly specific to exposure to Cr(VI) and occurrence outside this exposure scenario is
25	extremely rare. Consistent with this specificity of outcome, perforation of the septum has been
20 27	known as chrome note since the early days of chromium-related industries (including chromate
27	nathologies considered here are occasionally used as supplemental information to confirm
29	exposure to chromium in studies of non-nasal outcomes (Ciminera et al., 2016; Gibb et al., 2015;
30	Machle and Gregorius, 1948). The specificity of this outcome to Cr(VI) exposure makes it ideal for
31	the estimation of the dose-response relationship for noncancer effects in humans.
32	There were over 20 peer-reviewed studies of nasal effects that contained information
33	related to endpoints in the nasal cavity, but these did not meet all criteria for dose-response
34	analysis outlined above and were therefore not evaluated for study quality. There were also five
35	non-peer-reviewed reports examining effects in the nasal cavity available from the National
36	Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). These include <u>Ceballos et al. (2017)</u> , <u>Zey and</u>
37	Lucas (1985), Lucas (1976), Lucas and Kramkowski (1975), Cohen and Kramkowski (1973) and

1 <u>Almaguer and Kramkowski (1983)</u>. Many of these studies did not have multiple exposure groups

- 2 (either a referent or low/high concentration groups). Exposure and health effect data from these
- 3 studies were only available for short time periods, and data were only collected after health effects
- 4 were reported for the purpose of evaluating plant industrial hygiene practices (potentially leading
- 5 to bias). As a result, most of these were excluded for dose-response consideration. Only data from
- 6 <u>Cohen and Kramkowski (1973)</u> and its related peer-reviewed study (<u>Cohen et al., 1974</u>) were
- 7 considered since this study contained a referent group. All studies excluded based on criteria above
- 8 are listed at the bottom of Table 4-6, and detailed rationale for why each of these were not

9 considered is provided in Appendix D.4 Table D-27.

- **10** Four peer-reviewed studies (some of which were associated with additional related studies
- 11 containing exposure or study design information) initially met the criteria to be considered for
- 12 toxicity value derivation and underwent formal study evaluation using <u>HAWC</u>. These were <u>Gibb et</u>
- 13 al. (2000a), Lindberg and Hedenstierna (1983), Cohen et al. (1974), and Hanslian et al. (1967). All
- 14 were conducted in occupational settings and the study populations were workers in either the
- 15 chromate production or chrome electroplating industries. One study of 2,307 chromate production
- 16 workers <u>Gibb et al. (2000a</u>), though retrospective in design, utilized company records of air
- 17 concentration data, individual job and task data, and data from regular medical examinations, to
- 18 construct a dataset that included individual exposure estimates for each worker as well as the time
- 19 from baseline exposure to the incident event of the health outcome (see Table 4-23 in Section
- 20 4.4.5). The other three studies (Lindberg and Hedenstierna, 1983; Cohen et al., 1974; Hanslian et al.,
- 21 <u>1967</u>) were cross-sectional in design and were conducted in smaller study populations composed
- of chrome electroplating workers. The populations were adults, and the largest cohort (<u>Gibb et al.</u>
- 23 (2000a), which had a population size of 2307) only had male workers.
- 24 Three studies were classified as *medium* confidence (<u>Gibb et al., 2000a</u>; <u>Lindberg and</u>
- 25 <u>Hedenstierna, 1983; Cohen et al., 1974</u>), and one study was *low* confidence (<u>Hanslian et al., 1967</u>).
- 26 Because of the availability of *medium* confidence studies, data from <u>Hanslian et al. (1967)</u> were no
- 27 longer considered for dose-response. In addition to the usual factors considered during study
- evaluation, diagnosis of nasal outcomes after physical examination of the nasal cavity by a trained
- 29 examiner was considered when determining confidence ratings for nasal effects studies. Additional
- 30 study details, including the reported endpoint data, are provided in Table 4-7.

			S	tuc	ly e	eva	lua	atio	on	
	Reference	Exposure	Outcome	Selection	Confounding	Analvsis	Sensitivity	Sel. reporting	Overall confidence	
ncluded	<u>Gibb et al. (2000a)</u> related: <u>Gibb et al. (2015)</u> <u>Braver et al. (1985)</u> ; <u>Hayes</u> <u>et al. (1979)</u>	Occupational longitudinal study. Male workers in a chromate production plant in Baltimore, MD (n = 2307).	A	A	A	A	A	G	A	MED
-	Lindberg and Hedenstierna (1983)	Cross-sectional study. Male and female employees in chrome-plating industry (n = 104). Office employees (n = 19) as reference group	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	MED
	<u>Cohen et al. (1974)</u> Related: <u>Cohen and</u> <u>Kramkowski (1973)</u>	Cross-sectional study. White male and female electroplating workers in nickel-chrome department (n = 37) Randomly-chosen workers employed in other areas of the plant not significantly exposed to chromic acid as reference group (n = 15)	A	G	A	А	A	A	A	MED
Excluded	<u>Hanslian et al. (1967)</u>	Cross-sectional study. Male and female chrome-plating workers (n = 77). 53 working directly with baths, 23 working directly with chromium. No reference group.	D	A	D	A	A	A	A	LOW
Not suitable*	Almaguer and Kramkowski (2 (1928), Ceballos et al. (2019, (1972), Horiguchi et al. (1990 Korallus et al. (1982), Lee an (1948), Mancuso (1951), PHS and Zurlo (1955), Wang et al	1983), Armienta-Hernández and Rodríguez-Castillo (1. 2017), Dornan (1981), Elhosary et al. (2014), Fagliand D), Huvinen et al. (2002a), Kleinfeld and Rosso (1965), d Goh (1988), Lin et al. (1994), Lucas et al. (1976; 197 5 (1953), Royle (1975), Singhal et al. (2015), Sorhan et . (1994), Yuan et al. (2016), Zey and Lucas (1985)	<u>995</u> <u>Kit</u> <u>5</u>), al.	5), <u>E</u> an Ma (<u>19</u>	<u>3loc</u> (19 ura chl 998	<u>997</u> 997 997 997 997 997 997 997 997 997	field (), (al. nd ()87	<u>d ar</u> <u>50n</u> (20 Gre), <u>V</u>	nd I nes 003 2g0 'igli	<u>3lum</u>), rius ani

Table 4-6. Evaluation of epidemiology studies on hexavalent chromium and nasal effects. Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales.

G = good; A = adequate; P = poor.

*Studies that may have contained data for effects in the nasal cavity, but were determined not to meet PECO within the scope of derivation of nasal toxicity values, or were not suitable for dose-response analysis for other reasons. Rationale for excluding individual studies is available in Appendix D4 Table D-27.

			Result										
Study	Exposure	Conf	Format		Effects								
Lindberg	Chrome		Number of	Ulcerati	ion		Atrophy	/		Perfora	tion only	у*	
and	plating		cases	<u>8-hr mean air μg Cr(VI)/m³</u> 8-		<u>8-hr mean air μg Cr(VI)/m³</u>			<u>8-hr mean air µg Cr(VI)/m³</u>				
Hedenstiern				Group n cases (%)		Group	n	cases (%)	Group	n	cases		
<u>a (1983)</u>				≤1.9	19	0	≤1.9	19	4 (21)	≤1.9	19	0	
				2–20	24	8 (33)	2–20	24	8 (33)	2–20	24	3 (13)	
				Highest	air µg C	r(VI)/m³	Highest	air µg C	<u>r(VI)/m³</u>	Highest	air µg C	r(VI)/m ³	
				Group	n	cases	Group	n	cases	Group	n	cases	
				0.2-1.2	10	0	0.2-1.2	10	1 (10)	0.2-1.2	10	0	
				2.5–11	12	0	2.5–11	12	8 (67)	2.5–11	12	0	
				20–46	14	7 (50)	20–46	14	0	20–46	14	3 (21)	
										* 2 w/u	lceratior	n also had	
										perfora	tion (tota	al w/	
											perforation = 5)		
Gibb et al.	Chromate		Cumulative	Ulcerated nasal septum			Perforated nasal septum			Ulcerated septum relative ris			
<u>(2000a)</u>	production		incidence (%)	Effect: 6	52.9%		Effect: 17.3%			Adjuste	e risk for a 0.1		
			(n = 2307),	Mean (r	median)	exposure:	Mean (median) exposure:			mg CrO ₃ /m ³ increase (in			
			onset time,	0.054 (0).020) mg	g CrO₃/m³	0.063 (0.021) mg CrO ₃ /m ³			ambient air) = 1.2 (by Cox			
			and relative	or 28 (10) μg Cr(VI)/m ³ or 33 (11) μg Cr(VI)/m ³ prop				proport	ional ha:	zards model			
			risk (ulceration	ion Mean (median) time on job Mean (median) time on job adjuste				d for cale	endar year at				
			only)	(days) from date first hired to (days) from date first hired to hire and age				l age at l	hire <i>, p</i> = 0.0001).				
				date of	first diag	gnosis: 86 (22)	date of	first diag	gnosis: 313 (172)				
Cohen et al.	Chrome		Prevalence (%)	Nasal u	Iceration	parameter case	s, numbe	er (%)		non-ex	posed (n	ı = 15)	
(1974)	plating		(with grading			-				expose	d (n = 37	7)	
			by severity)	nasal m	ucosa (g	rade 0)				14 (93)		2 (5)	
				shallow	shallow erosion of septal mucosa (grade 1)					0		8 (22)	
				ulceration and crusting of septal mucosa (grade			grade 2)		0		12 (32)		
				avascula	avascular, scarified areas of septal mucosa w/o erosion or ulceration								
				(grade 3	(grade 3)					0		11 (30)	
				perforat	tion of se	eptal mucosa (gra	ade 4)			1 (7)		4 (11)	
				Exposed	d group a	area breathing zo	one: mea	n = 2.9 (ľ	ND-9.1) μg Cr(VI)/r	n ³			
				Referen	nt area b	reathing zone: 0.	3 (0.1–0.4	4) µg Cr(VI)/m ³				

Table 4-7. Dose-response data for effects in the nasal cavity of humans (medium confidence studies)

 $1 \text{ mg CrO}_3 = 0.52 \text{ mg Cr(VI)}.$

1 4.2.1.2. Lower respiratory tract effects

2 The inhalation animal toxicological database for Cr(VI) consists of studies with chronic, 3 subchronic, and/or acute data. Many of these studies analyzed similar or identical toxicological 4 endpoints, particularly for the respiratory system. Within the endpoint-specific databases for 5 hazard identification, a subset of these studies were considered for toxicity value derivation based 6 on factors outlined in Section 4.1.1. Preference was given to studies with larger sample sizes and 7 low concentrations, to facilitate extrapolation to levels typical of environmental human exposure 8 (U.S. EPA, 2012b). For inhalation studies of particulates, studies that provided measures of particle 9 size and distribution were preferred. Because of the availability of studies that were rated medium 10 confidence for lower respiratory tract endpoints, *low* confidence studies were not considered for 11 cRfC derivation. An outline of the process used to select candidate animal datasets for

12 dose-response analysis and cRfC derivation is provided in Figure 4-4.



Figure 4-4. Evaluation of animal studies from the Cr(VI) hazard identification for derivation of toxicity values. *Low* confidence studies were not considered.

Study reference	Species/ strain and sex	Exposure duration	Dose groups ^a	Animals/ group	Chemical and particle size	Concentration range (mg/m ³ Cr[VI])
<u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u>	Wistar Rat, Male	30/90 days (22 hr/day, 7 d/wk)	/s (22 4 10 d/wk)		Sodium dichromate MMAD 0.28 (±1.63) μm bottom two dose groups 0.39 (±1.72) μm high groups	0.05–0.4
<u>Glaser et al. (1985)</u>	Wistar Rat, Male	28/90 days (22 hr/day, 7 d/wk)	3	10	Sodium dichromate MMD 0.2 (±1.5) μm	0.025–0.2
Johansson (<u>1986b</u> ; <u>1986a</u>)	Rabbit, Male	4–6 weeks (inexact), 6 hr/day, 5 d/wk	1	8	Sodium dichromate MMAD 1 μm (approx.)	0.9
<u>Cohen et al. (2003)</u>	F344 Rat, Male	48 weeks, 5 hr/day, 5 d/wk	1	30	Calcium chromate MMAD 0.6 (±1.7) μm	0.36
<u>Kim et al. (2004)</u>	Sprague- Dawley Rat, Male	90 days, 6 hr/day, 5 d/wk	3	5	Chromium trioxide (size not reported)	0.2–1.25

Table 4-8. Design features of inhalation studies that examined effects in animals

^aNumber does not include control group

Table 4-4 outlines the inhalation studies rated *medium* or higher confidence for respiratory
tract endpoints (all were rated *medium* confidence for lung histopathology cellular responses; see
Section 3.2.1). Of the studies listed in Table 4-8 the Glaser et al. (1990; 1985) studies were
preferred for cRfC derivation due to the number of exposure groups, sample sizes, and reporting of
endpoints, methods, and particle sizes. Kim et al. (2004) did not report quantitative data for effects
or chromium particle size, and effects, and Johansson (1986b; 1986a) and Cohen et al. (2003) only

7 used a single high exposure group.

8 Lung histiocytosis, bronchioalveolar hyperplasia, and increased total protein and albumin 9 in BAL fluid were observed by <u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u> after 90 days of exposure, and these measures 10 remained slightly elevated after a 30-day recovery period (see Section 3.2.1). Although lactate 11 dehydrogenase (LDH) in BAL fluid returned to normal following the 30-day recovery period, LDH is 12 considered a sensitive indicator of cellular injury (<u>Henderson et al., 1985</u>), and there was a clear 13 dose-response relationship. Dose-response data from <u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u> following 90 days of 14 exposure (with and without the 30-day recovery period) are presented in Figures 4-5 and 4-6. 15 Because histopathological and cellular changes occurred together, and in combination with serum 16 biomarkers indicating an inflammatory response (Nikula et al., 2014), all exposure levels were 17 considered to have induced adverse responses.







Figure 4-6. Dose-response relationship for selected endpoints in male rats using data from <u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u>. Data (\pm 95% confidence interval) are for 90-day observation time immediately following exposure, and 120-day observation time (90 days of exposure followed by a 30-day period of no exposure). N = 10/group. <u>Click here for interactive graphic</u>.

- 1 The endpoints and datasets used for dose-response of lower respiratory tract effects were:
- BAL fluid measurements of total protein, albumin, and LDH from <u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u> at
 90 days
 - Lung histopathological findings of histiocytosis and bronchioalveolar hyperplasia <u>Glaser et</u> al. (1990) at 90 days
- 6 These endpoints were preferred because they are the most direct and sensitive indicators of
- 7 cellular lung injury (<u>Nikula et al., 2014</u>; <u>Henderson et al., 1985</u>).

4

5

1 4.2.1.3. *Other effects*

Inhalation data for effects outside the respiratory system are limited. The only animal
inhalation studies rating *medium* confidence for endpoints outside the respiratory tract that were
determined to be a hazard in Section 3.2 were Kim et al. (2004) (liver weight and clinical
chemistry) and <u>Glaser et al. (1985)</u> (liver histopathology). These studies did not observe effects in
the liver following inhalation exposure. All human inhalation studies of outcomes outside the
respiratory tract were rated *low* confidence for those domains. As a result, cRfCs were not derived
for effects outside of the respiratory tract.

4.2.2. Methods of Analysis

9 4.2.2.1. Analysis of animal data

Animal data by <u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u> were used to derive candidate RfCs of lower respiratory
 tract effects. As noted earlier, the candidate endpoints were 1) BAL fluid measurements of total
 protein, albumin, and LDH; and 2) Lung histopathological findings of histiocytosis and
 bronchio-alveolar hyperplasia.

- Biologically based dose-response models are not available for respiratory effects of Cr(VI).
 In this situation, EPA evaluates a range of dose-response models thought to be consistent with
 underlying biological processes to determine how best to empirically model the dose-response
 relationship in the range of the observed data. Consistent with this approach, EPA evaluated
- 18 dose-response information with the models available in EPA's Benchmark Dose Software (BMDS,
- 19 Version 3.2). However, data of lung histiocytosis, and LDH, albumin, and total protein in BAL fluid at
- 20 the 90-day observation from the <u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u> study in rats were not amenable to BMD
- 21 modeling (see Appendix Section D.2.1 for details). As a result, no-observed-adverse-effect level
- 22 (NOAEL) and lowest-observed-adverse-effect levels (LOAEL) approaches were used for these
- effects. Because the lung histopathological changes and cellular responses in BAL fluid occurred
- together at the lowest exposure level, and in combination with serum biomarkers indicating an
- 25 inflammatory response (<u>Nikula et al., 2014</u>), all exposure levels were considered to have induced
- adverse responses. Therefore, a LOAEL of 0.054 mg/m^3 was chosen as the POD for these endpoints.
- 27 Bronchioalveolar hyperplasia was amenable to BMD modeling, and a BMR of 1 standard deviation⁶⁶
- 28 change from the control mean was applied.
- 29 <u>Animal-to-human extrapolation</u>
- 30 In accordance with EPA's *Methods for Derivation of Inhalation Reference Concentrations and*
- 31 Application of Inhalation Dosimetry (U.S. EPA, 1994), duration adjustments and dosimetric
- 32 adjustment factors (DAFs) were used for extrapolating the selected/candidate PODs from animals

⁶⁶As noted in Section 4.1.2, when no biological information is readily available that allows for determining a minimally biological significant response, the BMD Technical Guidance (<u>U.S. EPA, 2012b</u>) recommends a BMR based on one standard deviation (SD).

the

1 to humans in order to calculate human equivalent concentrations (HECs). Because the RfC is

- 2 intended to apply to continuous lifetime exposures for humans (U.S. EPA, 1994), a duration
- 3 adjustment was made to convert study-specific rodent bioassay exposure regimens to continuous
- 4 exposures. Next, a dosimetric adjustment factor was applied to account for differences in particle
- 5 lung dosimetry between species. Unlike for the RfD, extracellular reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) was
- 6 assumed negligible for the inhalation route of exposure, and no additional dosimetric factors were
- 7 applied for pharmacokinetics.

8 The PODs identified from Glaser et al. (1990) were adjusted to account for discontinuous 9 daily exposure regimens as follows:

10 $POD_{ADI} = POD \times$ (hours exposed per day/24 hours) × (days exposed per week/7 days)

11 Where POD is the external exposure concentration rodent POD $(mg/m^3, determined by$ 12 dose-response modeling of rodent data or from the study NOAEL or LOAEL) and POD_{ADI} is the 13 duration-adjusted experimental exposure concentration (mg/m³).

14 Next, the POD_{HEC} was calculated from the POD_{ADI} by multiplying by a DAF, which in this case 15 was the regional deposited dose ratio (RDDR_r) for respiratory tract region r of interest as described 16 in Methods for Derivation of Inhalation Reference Concentrations and Application of Inhalation

17 *Dosimetry* (<u>U.S. EPA, 1994</u>).

$$18 \qquad POD_{HEC} = POD_{ADJ} \times RDDR_{R}$$

19 The $RDDR_r$ can be calculated based on the physiology and respiratory parameters of 20 rodents and humans, and predicted fractional deposition in each respiratory tract region for each 21 species:

22
$$RDDR_{r} = \frac{(SA_{r})_{H}}{(SA_{r})_{A}} \times \frac{(V_{E})_{A}}{(V_{E})_{H}} \times \frac{(F_{r})_{A}}{(F_{r})_{H}}$$

23 where:

24	SA_r = surface area of respiratory tract region r (m ² or cm ²)
25	V _E = ventilation rate (L/minute)
26	F_r = fractional deposition in respiratory tract region r
27	Since most effects in the BAL fluid may be indicative of effects due to deposition in the
28	entire lung (with the exception of the upper airways), the total of the pulmonary (PU) and
29	tracheobronchial (TB) surface areas and fractional depositions in these regions were used to
30	calculate an RDDR _{TB+PU} :

31
$$RDDR_{TB+PU} = \frac{(SA_{TB+PU})_{H}}{(SA_{TB+PU})_{A}} \times \frac{(V_{E})_{A}}{(V_{E})_{H}} \times \frac{(F_{TB+PU})_{A}}{(F_{TB+PU})_{H}}$$

- The factor RDDR_{TB+PU} was employed for all BAL fluid endpoints (except albumin) because
 these effects were believed to be induced by exposure in the conducting airways and deep lung. For
 albumin in BAL fluid, RDDR_{PU} was applied, because this effect is believed to be induced by exposure
 in the deep lung only.
- Fractional depositions in the pulmonary region (F_{PU}) and tracheobronchial region (F_{TB}) for
 both rats and humans were calculated using the Multi-Path Particle Dosimetry (MPPD) model
 version 2.11⁶⁷, a computational model that can be used for estimating airway particle deposition
 and clearance (ARA (2009)).
- 9 For the model runs, the Yeh-Schum 5-lobe model was used for the human and the 10 asymmetric multiple path model was used for the rat (see Appendix D.1.3 and D.1.4 for MPPD 11 model outputs). Both models were run under nasal breathing scenarios with the inhalability 12 adjustment selected. The aerosol Cr(VI) concentrations reported by Glaser et al. (1990) were 13 converted to aerosol sodium dichromate concentrations by molecular weight conversion (see 14 Appendix D.1.3). It was determined that aerosol concentration did not affect the predicted 15 fractional lung depositions (human F_r values were identical if aerosol concentration was set to 16 either 1 or 136 mg/m³). Thus, the aerosol concentration at the lowest Cr(VI) concentration was 17 applied for rodent-human extrapolation (reported concentration of 54 mg/m³ Cr(VI) is equivalent 18 to 136 mg/m³ sodium dichromate aerosol). Mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD) and 19 geometric standard deviation (GSD) reported by Glaser et al. (1990) varied slightly with 20 concentration, however this had a negligible effect on the RDDR (see Appendix Section D.1.3). For 21 MPPD simulations, the particle MMAD \pm GSD (0.28 \pm 1.63 μ m), which reported for the lower Cr(VI) 22 concentrations, was applied. The density of sodium dichromate was input as 2.52 g/cm³. 23 The inhalation parameters used for the rat were: breathing frequency, 102 per minute 24 (default); tidal volume, 2.1 mL (default); V_E, 0.214 L/minute (calculated); functional residual 25 capacity, 4 mL (default); and upper respiratory tract volume, 0.42 mL (default). Adult human lung 26 physiology was: functional residual capacity, 3,300 mL (default); and upper respiratory tract 27 volume, 50 mL (default). Since human breathing frequency and tidal volume have a significant 28 impact on the estimated F_r , and these parameters are a strong function of human activity, multiple 29 different scenarios were simulated: resting, light work, heavy work, and maximal work. Values 30 defined by EPA's Exposure Factors Handbook (U.S. EPA, 2011a) are contained in Appendix Table D-31 19, and range from 40 breaths/min at a tidal volume of 3050 mL (maximal work) to 12 32 breaths/min at a tidal volume of 500 mL (resting). All other parameters (rodent and human) were 33 set to the default MPPD software values (see Appendix D.1.3). 34 For the human, regional-specific surface areas for the respiratory tract (used as normalizing
- a factors) were 200 cm² for extrathoracic (ET), 3200 cm² for tracheobronchial (TB), and 54 m² for

⁶⁷EPA has since released newer version of the model. The differences in RDDR between MPPD v2.11 (released by Applied Research Associates) and MPPD v1.01 (released by EPA) are less than 10% (see Appendix D.1.3).

- 1 pulmonary (PU) (<u>U.S. EPA, 1994</u>). For the rat, respiratory tract surface areas were 15 cm² for ET,
- 2 22.5 cm² for TB, and 0.34 m² for PU (<u>U.S. EPA, 1994</u>). The calculated RDDR values for TB/PU
- 3 regions ranged from 2.12/7.00 (resting scenario) to 0.12/0.47 (maximal work scenario). Since the
- 4 maximal and heavy work scenarios would not be representative of average daily lifetime inhalation
- 5 rates and volumes, the RDDR values were taken to be the average of the mean adult resting and
- 6 mean light work RDDRs. Values of RDDR were calculated as:

RDDR_{PU} : 3.435 RDDR_{TB+PU} : 2.685

- 7 Table 4-9 summarizes the sequence of calculations leading to the derivation of a
- 8 human-equivalent point of departure for each data set discussed above.

Table 4-9. Summary of derivation of points of departure following inhalationexposure to hexavalent chromium. Data for male Wistar rats from Glaser et al.(1990)

Endpoint	% extra risk at LOAEL (mg/m³)ª	LOAEL or BMD (mg/m ³)	DAEL or BMD BMDL POD _{ADJ} mg/m ³) RD		RDDR	РОD _{нес} (mg/m ³)
Histopathology: histiocytosis	87.5%	0.054	N/A	0.0495	2.685 (TB+PU)	0.133
Histopathology: bronchioalveolar hyperplasia	30%	BMD _{1SD} = 0.0294 ^b	BMDL _{1SD} = 0.0168	0.0154	2.685 (TB+PU)	0.0413
Cell responses: LDH in BALF	17%	0.054 N/A 0.0495 2.685 (TB+PU)		2.685 (TB+PU)	0.133	
Cell responses: Albumin in BALF	49% 0.054 N/A 0.0495 3.435		3.435 (PU)	0.170		
Cell responses: Total protein in BALF	75%	0.054	N/A	0.0495	2.685 (TB+PU)	0.133

^a%ER = (% incidence at LOAEL – % incidence at control)/(100 – % incidence at control) × 100

 $POD_{ADJ} = (BMCL \text{ or NOAEL or LOAEL}) \times (22/24) \times (7/7)$, since rodents in the Glaser et al. studies were unexposed for 2 hours each day.

PU+TB: $POD_{HEC} = (POD_{ADJ}) \times RDDR_{TB+PU} = (POD_{ADJ}) \times 2.685.$ PU: $POD_{HEC} = (POD_{ADJ}) \times RDDR_{PU} = (POD_{ADJ}) \times 3.435.$

^b Log-logistic model selected

2

1 4.2.2.2. Analysis of human data

Human data by Gibb et al. (2000a), Lindberg and Hedenstierna (1983), and Cohen et al.

3 (1974) were used to derive candidate RfCs of upper respiratory tract effects. However, these effects
 4 could not be modeled by Benchmark Dose Software (BMDS) models or other specialized models. As

5 noted in the analysis of nasal effects by <u>OSHA (2006)</u>, the available human data were insufficient to

- 6 relate exposures and incidence. Studies either did not have the proper study design for a
- 7 quantitative analysis, or lacked short-term airborne Cr(VI) exposure data over an entire
- 8 employment period (<u>OSHA, 2006</u>). Because none of the available studies provided data for a no-
- 9 observed-adverse-effect-level (NOAEL), PODs were derived using lowest-observed-adverse-effect-
- 10 levels (LOAELs). How these uncertainties were accounted for in the quantitative derivation of the
- 11 cRfC are described later in this section.
- 12 The adjustment factors to account for differences between occupational exposures and
- 13 non-occupational exposure follow EPA guidelines (<u>U.S. EPA, 2009</u>) that acknowledges there are
- 14 differences in breathing rates between workers (10 m³ per 8-hour day) and non-workers
- 15 (20 m³ per 24-hour day) and that workers are exposed 240 days per year while non-workers are
- 16 exposed 365 days per year (<u>U.S. EPA, 2016c, 2014e, 2012d, 2011d</u>). If workplace exposure is
- 17 assumed to occur 240 workdays/year:

$LOAEL_{HEC}$ =LOAEL (µg/m ³) × (VEho/VEh) × 240 days / 365 days
Where:
$LOAEL_{HEC}$ = the LOAEL dosimetrically adjusted to an ambient human equivalent
concentration;
LOAEL = occupational exposure level (time-weighted average);
VEho = human occupational default minute volume (10 $m^3/8$ h); and
VEh = human ambient default minute volume (20 m 3 /24 h).

Table 4-10. Summary of derivation of points of departure following humaninhalation exposure to hexavalent chromium

				%	POD
		Notes and	LOAEL	incidence	HEC
Study	POD rationale	conversions	(µg/m³)	at LOAEL	(µg/m³)
Lindberg and	Ulceration of the nasal	Table 3	10	33%	3.3
<u>Hedenstierna (1983)</u>	septum. The midpoint				
	concentration for the <2 and				
	2–20 μg Cr(VI)/m ³ groups.				
	There is high uncertainty in				
	the exposure concentrations.				
<u>Gibb et al. (2000a)</u>	Ulceration of the nasal	Table 1	10.4	63%	3.4
	septum. The median exposure	20 μg CrO ₃ /m ³ =			
	at first diagnosed nasal	10.4 µg Cr(VI)/m ³			
	ulceration.				
Gibb et al. (2000a)	Ulceration of the nasal	Table 1	28	63%	9.2
	septum. The mean exposure	54 µg			
	at first diagnosed nasal	CrO ₃ /m ³ = 28 μg			
	ulceration	Cr(VI)/m ³			
<u>Cohen et al. (1974)</u>	Ulceration of the nasal	Table 6	2.9	32%	0.95
(related study: <u>Cohen</u>	septum. Mean air	0.0029 mg			
and Kramkowski	concentration for exposed	Cr(VI)/m ³			
<u>(1973)</u>)	groups.	(2.9 μg Cr(VI)/m ³)			

Exposure adjustment for all study concentrations to obtain POD HEC used the following occupational/nonoccupational factor: (10/20) × (240/365)

8 9

For ulceration of the nasal septum from <u>Gibb et al. (2000a</u>), the mean exposure

10 concentration was over 2x the median concentration. This is an indication that the data are skewed,

11 and that the median is a better estimate of the central tendency. As a result, the median result was

12 preferred to the mean for this dataset.

4.2.3. Derivation of Candidate Values

- 13 The reference concentration (RfC) is the inhalation concentration likely to be without an
- 14 appreciable risk of deleterious noncancer health effects during a lifetime (U.S. EPA, 1994).

1		Under EPA's A Review of the Reference Dose and Reference Concentration Processes [(U.S.
2	<u>EPA, 2</u>	002); Section 4.4.5], five possible areas of uncertainty and variability were considered. An
3	explan	ation of the five possible areas of uncertainty and variability follows.
4		For PODs derived using either animal (lower respiratory) or human (nasal effect) data:
5	•	A database deficiencies uncertainty factor, UF_{D} , value of 3 was applied. A value of less than
6		10 was applied because respiratory tract effects of inhaled Cr(VI) are considered
7		portal-of-entry effects, and are therefore likely to be amongst the most sensitive based on
8		current understanding of pharmacokinetics and mechanisms following inhalation. A value
9		of $UF_D = 3$ (as opposed to $UF_D = 1$) was applied because many of the inhalation studies were
10		low confidence (particularly for noncancer effects outside the portals of entry) and limited
11		in scope (working-age and mostly male humans, and only male rodents). Due to
12		pharmacokinetic differences from oral exposure (Cr(VI) is detoxified in the gut and liver on
13		first-pass), the stronger oral database could not be used to inform the UF_{D} for inhalation
14		effects.
15	For an	imal-derived PODs using data for lower respiratory effects from <u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u> :
16	٠	A subchronic-to-chronic uncertainty factor, UF_s , of 3 was incorporated to account for the
17		less-than-lifetime exposure in <u>Glaser et al. (1990)</u> (which was a 90-day study). A value of 3
18		accounts for the possibility that longer exposure may induce effects at a lower exposures
19		(<u>U.S. EPA, 2002</u>).
20	٠	An interspecies uncertainty factor, UF _A , of 3 ($10^{1/2}$ = 3.16, rounded to 3) was applied to
21		account for residual uncertainty in the extrapolation from laboratory animals to humans in
22		the absence of information to characterize pharmacodynamic differences between rats and
23		humans after inhalation exposure to Cr(VI). This value is adopted when an adjustment from
24		animal to a human equivalent concentration has been performed as described in EPA's
25		Methods for Derivation of Inhalation Reference Concentrations and Application of Inhalation
26		Dosimetry (U.S. EPA, 1994). For these animal endpoints, an RDDR factor was used to
27		estimate a human equivalent concentration from animal data.
28	٠	A LOAEL-to-NOAEL uncertainty factor, UF_{L} , of 3 was applied to LOAELs that were based on
29		lung cellular and histopathological responses in BAL fluid. A value of less than 10 was
30		applied because these responses were highly sensitive indicators of lung injury and
31		inflammation (<u>Nikula et al., 2014; Henderson et al., 1985</u>). Additionally, effects began to
32		resolve after a short recovery time (see Figures 4-5 and 4-6). Considering these
33		characteristics, the changes were interpreted to approximate adverse responses, albeit with
34		some residual uncertainty, which do not support application of a UF_L = 10.
35	٠	An intraspecies uncertainty factor, UF_{H} , of 10 was applied to account for variability and
36		uncertainty in pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic susceptibility within the human
37		population. The PODs were derived from studies in inbred animal strains, and data were

1 only available for males. This is not considered sufficiently representative of the exposure 2 and dose-response of the most susceptible human subpopulations. In the case of inhaled 3 Cr(VI), insufficient information is available to quantitatively estimate variability in human 4 susceptibility; therefore, the full value for the intraspecies UF was retained. 5 For human-derived PODs using occupational data for effects in the nasal cavity: 6 An interspecies uncertainty factor, UF_A , of 1 was applied because results were derived from 7 studies in humans. 8 • A LOAEL-to-NOAEL uncertainty factor, UF_L, of 10 was applied because this endpoint had a 9 high incidence at the lowest concentration across multiple studies. As a result, there was 10 higher uncertainty in the exposure-response relationship at lower concentrations. 11 • An intraspecies uncertainty factor, UF_H, of 3 to account for variation in susceptibility across 12 the human population and the possibility that the available data may not be representative 13 of individuals who are most susceptible to the effect. The populations evaluated were 14 mostly adult male workers, which is not representative of individuals who may be most 15 susceptible to the effect. A value of $UF_{H} = 3$ (as opposed $UF_{H} = 10$) was applied because this 16 is a portal-of-entry effect of a direct-acting corrosive, and therefore the response by 17 different subpopulations from anatomic or pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic variability is unlikely to differ (NRC, 2001). 18 19 A subchronic-to-chronic uncertainty factor, UF_{s} , of 3 was applied. While data were not from • 20 chronic lifetime exposures, the nasal effects were observed to have a short onset time (Gibb 21 et al. (2000a) estimated a median onset time of 22 days for ulcerated nasal septum, and 22 172 days for perforated nasal septum). Studies were generally consistent in showing that 23 these effects occur after 1–6 months of exposure. This may indicate that nasal effects occur 24 following short-term occupational exposures to high concentrations of Cr(VI), when 25 significant impaction of large particulates or mists containing Cr(VI) occurs along the nasal 26 passages. As noted in U.S. EPA (2020), if a POD is based on subchronic evidence, the 27 assessment considers whether lifetime exposure could have effects at lower levels of 28 exposure. A factor of up to 10 is applied when using subchronic studies to make inferences 29 about lifetime exposure. However, a factor other than 10 may be used depending on the 30 magnitude and nature of the response and the shape of the dose-response curve (U.S. EPA, 31 2002, 1998a, 1996b, 1994, 1991). Based on the available evidence, it is considered less 32 likely that exposure to Cr(VI) outside of occupational settings (where particulates are 33 larger) would induce nasal perforations/ulcerations at much lower concentrations and 34 smaller particle sizes. (Note: the high response levels at the lowest concentration groups 35 were already accounted for in the LOAEL-to-NOAEL UF selection; the rate of the effect at 36 short onset time shows that there cannot be 10x higher incidence due to prolonged 37 exposure). As a result, a factor of $UF_S < 10$ was applied. Because it is possible that prolonged

exposures to high concentrations may increase the severity of existing nasal lesions after
they occur, a value of $UF_S = 3$ (as opposed to $UF_S = 1$) was applied.

- 3 Because of the non-uniform distribution of particulates in the lung, extracellular reduction
- 4 of Cr(VI) by epithelial lining fluid and BAL fluid was not modeled. Inhaled particles may accumulate
- 5 in susceptible areas such as airway bifurcation sites (<u>Balashazy et al., 2003</u>; <u>Schlesinger and</u>
- 6 <u>Lippmann, 1978</u>). Localized dosimetry of inhaled particulates in susceptible regions could be
- 7 significantly higher than the average regional dosimetry estimated by MPPD (ARA (2009)). This
- 8 assessment assumes that the capacity to reduce Cr(VI) extracellularly in the lung fluid is exceeded
- 9 in both rodents and humans at all concentrations. Thus, uncertainty factor selections for potential
- 10 interspecies (UF_A) or intraspecies (UF_H) differences were not influenced by consideration of
- 11 differences in extracellular lung reduction at concentrations lower than those examined in the
- 12 available studies.

1 2

- 13 Table 4-11 is a continuation of Tables 4-9 and 4-10 and summarizes the application of UFs
- 14 to each POD to derive a candidate value for each data set. The candidate values presented in the
- 15 tables below are preliminary to the derivation of the organ/system-specific reference values. These
- 16 candidate values are considered individually in the selection of a representative inhalation
- 17 reference value for a specific hazard and subsequent overall RfC for Cr(VI).

Table 4-11. Effects in the lower respiratory tract and correspondingderivation of candidate values for Cr(VI)

Endpoint	POD _{HEC} (µg/m³)	POD type	UFA	UF _H	UF∟	UFs	UF₀	Composite UF	Candidate value (μg/m³)						
Data for lower respirator	Data for lower respiratory tract effects in male Wistar rats by Glaser et al. (1990)														
Histopathology: histiocytosis	133	LOAEL	3	10	3	3	3	1000	0.13						
Histopathology: bronchioalveolar hyperplasia	41.3	BMDL _{1SD}	3	10	1	3	3	300	0.14						
Cell responses: LDH in BALF	133	LOAEL	3	10	3	3	3	1000	0.13						
Cell responses: Albumin in BALF	170	LOAEL	3	10	3	3	3	1000	0.17						
Cell responses: Total protein in BALF	133	LOAEL	3	10	3	3	3	1000	0.13						
Data for effects in the na	Data for effects in the nasal cavity in humans														
Ulceration of the nasal septum (median) (<u>Gibb</u> <u>et al., 2000a</u>)	3.4	LOAEL	1	3	10	3	3	300	1.1 × 10 ⁻²						

Endpoint	POD _{HEC} (μg/m ³)	POD type	UFA	UF _H	UF∟	UFs	UF₀	Composite UF	Candidate value (μg/m³)
Nasal mucosal pathology (<u>Cohen et al.,</u> <u>1974</u>)	0.95	LOAEL	1	3	10	3	3	300	3.2 × 10 ⁻³
Ulceration of the nasal septum (<u>Lindberg and</u> <u>Hedenstierna, 1983</u>)	3.3	LOAEL	1	3	10	3	3	300	1.1 × 10 ⁻²





4.2.4. Derivation of Organ/System-Specific Reference Concentrations

1 Selection of organ-specific toxicity values can be based on the most sensitive outcome, a 2 clustering of values, or a combination. Each candidate value was evaluated with respect to multiple 3 considerations, including strength of evidence, basis of the POD (i.e., BMD vs. NOAEL vs. LOAEL), 4 and dose-response model uncertainties. A confidence level of high, medium, or low was assigned to 5 each organ-specific RfC based on the study(ies) used to derive the candidate value, and the 6 reliability of the associated POD and candidate RfC calculation(s). Confidence in the POD and 7 candidate RfC calculation(s) included considerations of the quality and variability of the exposure 8 assessment in an epidemiology study or the exposure protocols in an animal study. Moreover, 9 higher confidence was placed in the organ-specific RfC when the POD was identified close to the

range of the observed data and the magnitude of exposure was relevant to those experienced in the
 general U.S. population.

3 4.2.4.1. *Low*

4.2.4.1. Lower respiratory toxicity

4 Cr(VI)-induced cytotoxicity has been observed in epithelial tissues following both inhalation 5 and oral exposures (see Sections 3.2.1 and 3.2.2). Inhaled Cr(VI) in particles, dust, or mists of 6 respirable size may be absorbed into epithelial cells in the lung and lung airways. The organ-7 specific RfC for lower respiratory tract effects was derived from data in Glaser et al. (1990). 8 Endpoints included lung cellular responses (LDH, albumin, and total protein in BAL fluid), and 9 changes in lung histopathology (histiocytosis and bronchioalveolar hyperplasia). Because most of 10 these endpoints had the same LOAEL and uncertainty factors, they produced essentially the same 11 candidate RfCs (note: albumin in BAL fluid differed from the others slightly due to selection of a 12 different RDDR extrapolation region for animal-to-human extrapolation). BMD modeling was 13 performed on data of bronchioalveolar hyperplasia, and the resulting candidate RfC (which used a 14 lower uncertainty factor) supported the NOAEL-derived cRfCs. The osRfC for lower respiratory system effects was taken as the value of the candidate RfCs for cellular responses (total protein and 15 16 LDH in BAL fluid) and histopathology findings (histiocytosis and bronchioalveolar hyperplasia) 17 resulting in an osRfC of 0.13 μ g/m³ (rounded to 0.1 μ g/m³, or 1 × 10⁻⁴ mg/m³).

The relatively small number of *medium* confidence studies evaluating noncancer lower respiratory effects decreases the confidence of this organ-specific RfC. In addition, the endpoint was derived from subchronic rodent data. Human data for noncancer lower respiratory tract effects of Cr(VI) are scarce because studies published prior to the availability of standardized spirometry guidelines from the American Thoracic Society (first developed in 1979) (<u>ATS/ERS, 2019</u>) were considered uninformative for pulmonary function. A factor that increased confidence was the clear dose-response observed for multiple lower respiratory endpoints in rodents.

25

4.2.4.2. Upper respiratory toxicity

26 As noted earlier, Cr(VI) is cytotoxic and there is high confidence that Cr(VI) induces effects 27 at the portals of entry. Furthermore, effects in the nasal cavity of humans are well documented by occupational studies (OSHA, 2006). The osRfC for effects in the upper respiratory tract were based 28 29 on ulcerated nasal septum observed by the <u>Gibb et al. (2000a)</u> occupational study. While the study 30 reported multiple other nasal endpoints (irritated, perforated, and bleeding nasal septum), 31 ulcerated nasal septum was chosen because of its severity and high incidence (63% of the cohort 32 having the clinical finding). Gibb et al. (2000a) had higher sample sizes and better exposure data 33 than the alternative studies by <u>Cohen et al. (1974)</u>, and <u>Lindberg and Hedenstierna (1983)</u>. 34 The Baltimore plant studied by Gibb et al. (2000a) had a rigorous personal and air 35 monitoring system that spanned a period of decades (see Table 4-23 in Section 4.4.5). This greatly 36 increased confidence in the reported air concentrations and worker exposures. While the Lindberg 37 and Hedenstierna (1983) used both area and personal air samplers, the recorded data only

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.4-41DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

- 1 spanned 13 days. Furthermore, the defined concentration ranges (<2–20 μg Cr(VI)/m³) by Lindberg
- 2 <u>and Hedenstierna (1983)</u> only constituted average workday concentrations (peak values were
- 3 noted to be higher, but only limited concentration data are presented). Characterization of the nasal
- 4 endpoints by <u>Cohen et al. (1974)</u> were highly detailed, and the study employed only air
- 5 measurements consistent with current NIOSH recommendations (<u>Andrews and O'Connor, 2020</u>;
- 6 <u>NIOSH, 2013a</u>). However, the sample size was small, and the breathing-zone air samples
- 7 represented only a snapshot in time (and not the long-term exposure of the workers over time).
- 8 The osRfC for upper respiratory tract effects is based on the LOAEL for ulcerated nasal septum in
- 9 humans reported by <u>Gibb et al. (2000a</u>), resulting in an osRfC of $1.1 \times 10^{-2} \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$ (rounded to
- 10 1×10^{-5} mg/m³). Because only LOAELs could be obtained from the datasets, and because the
- 11 estimated effect incidences were high at the LOAEL (63%), there is uncertainty in the dose-
- 12 response relationship at lower concentrations. For the <u>Gibb et al. (2000a)</u> study, effects in the nasal
- 13 cavity were observed after a few months of exposure (median time on the job of 86–418 days), and
- 14 it is unknown how the effect severity may increase over a lifetime of exposure. These factors
- 15 decrease confidence in the organ-specific RfC for upper respiratory tract effects. Additional
- 16 uncertainties relevant to upper respiratory tract effects are described in detail in Section 4.2.6.
- 17 Factors that increase confidence in the organ-specific RfC for upper respiratory tract effects include
- 18 the consistency at which this effect was observed (generally between 2–20 μg Cr(VI)/m³ with early
- 19 onset time), and the thorough air sampling programs implemented for the Baltimore Cohort (see
- 20 Table 4-23) (<u>Gibb et al., 2000a</u>).

Effect	Basis	osRfC mg/m ³	Exposure description	Confidence
Lower respiratory	Increase in total protein and LDH in BAL fluid, and histiocytosis and bronchioalveolar hyperplasia in male rats <u>Glaser et al.</u> (1990)	1 × 10 ⁻⁴	90-day rat study	Medium
Upper respiratory	Ulcerated nasal septum of humans <u>Gibb et al. (2000a)</u>	1×10^{-5}	Occupational exposure	Medium
Overall RfC	Ulcerated nasal septum	1 × 10 ⁻⁵	Occupational exposure	Medium

Table 4-12. Organ/system-specific reference concentrations (RfCs) and overall RfC for Cr(VI)

As noted in Section 4.2.8, the prior assessment developed separate RfCs for "chromic acid

22 mists and dissolved hexavalent chromium aerosols," and for "hexavalent chromium dusts." The RfC

23 for chromic acid mists was based on human occupational exposure to chromic acid (H₂CrO₄) at a

24 chrome-plating facility by <u>Lindberg and Hedenstierna (1983)</u>, while the RfC for dusts was based on

- 1 data for rodent exposure to sodium dichromate (Na₂Cr₂O₇) aerosols by Glaser et al. (<u>1990</u>; <u>1985</u>).
- 2 The current database now includes noncancer data from the Baltimore chromate production plant
- 3 (Gibb et al., 2000a), which studied effects in humans occupationally exposed to a variety of
- 4 chromium species in dust form, including sodium chromates (Na₂CrO₄) and dichromates (Na₂Cr₂O₇)
- 5 (<u>Hayes et al., 1979</u>). As shown in Table 4-11 the RfCs for human nasal effects are the same between
- 6 the <u>Gibb et al. (2000a)</u> study (which contained chromium dusts) and <u>Lindberg and Hedenstierna</u>
- 7 (1983) (primarily chromic acid mists). Lindberg and Hedenstierna (1983) observed that ulceration
- 8 of the nasal septum occurred only in the highest peak exposure group (20–48 μg Cr(VI)/m³) and
- 9 the highest daily exposure group (>2–20 μ g Cr(VI)/m³). This is supportive of <u>Gibb et al. (2000a)</u>,
- 10 which reported ulceration of the nasal septum at a median concentration of approximately 10 μg
- 11 Cr(VI)/m³. Therefore, the RfC for upper respiratory tract effects is applicable to both forms of
- 12 Cr(VI) (mists and dusts). EPA also considers the RfC for lower respiratory tract effects applicable to
- 13 both forms of Cr(VI).
- 14 The previous distinction in RfCs drawn between mists and dusts is no longer supported.
- 15 However, distinctions are presented via the organ-specific RfCs (upper vs. lower respiratory tracts),
- 16 and these may be a direct function of particle or droplet size. It is generally known that large
- 17 inhaled particles (with diameter >5 μm) will deposit in the extrathoracic region, particles greater
- than 2.5 μm are generally deposited in the tracheobronchial regions, and particles less than 2.5 μm
- are generally deposited in the pulmonary region (<u>OSHA, 2006</u>). The rodent study of Na₂Cr₂O₇
- 20 aerosols by Glaser et al. (<u>1990</u>; <u>1985</u>) likely induced effects in the lower respiratory tract due to the
- 21 small particle sizes achieved by the experiment (MMAD < $0.4 \mu m$). For the human occupational
- studies, particle and droplet sizes may have been larger, causing a larger proportion of Cr(VI) to
- 23 impact in the nasal airways.

4.2.5. Selection of the Overall Reference Concentration

24 An overall RfC of 1×10^{-5} mg/m³ was selected. The overall RfC was based on effects in the 25 upper respiratory tract (ulceration of the nasal septum), because of the two endpoints 26 representative of respiratory tract effects it is the more sensitive effect and will be protective of 27 noncancer lower respiratory tract effects and systemic effects. Additional considerations of 28 uncertainty associated with this RfC are noted here and below in section 4.2.6. It was derived using 29 a LOAEL, where the incidence of the effect was high and the time of onset relatively short. The 30 occupational cohort (Gibb et al., 2000a) consisted of a population of mostly adult males and may 31 not have included sensitive individuals. It is uncertain if or how the endpoint severity may be 32 affected by lifetime chronic exposures.

4.2.6. Uncertainties in the Derivation of Reference Concentration

4.2.6.1. Onset time for nasal effects

The time between first exposure and development of nasal effects varies depending on the 2 3 severity of the effect, but nasal effects generally occur within 1 year of initial exposure for more 4 severe effects, and 1–3 months for less severe effects. Gibb et al. (2000a), the only prospective 5 study of the development of nasal effects reported the time to event in days (mean [median]) for 6 irritation (89 [20]), ulceration (86 [22]), perforation of the septum (313 [172]), and bleeding nasal 7 septum (418 [92]) (Gibb et al., 2000a). Cross-sectional studies reported a similar time to event 8 periods based on self-reported interview data (Lindberg and Hedenstierna, 1983; Cohen et al., 9 1974). <u>Cohen et al. (1974)</u> reported that severity of pathology increased with longer exposure times 10 and prevalence of ulceration or perforation in the study population was higher at 94% in workers 11 who had worked at the plant for more than 1 year at the time data were collected compared to 57% 12 among workers who had worked for less than a year at the same plant. More recently, Singhal et al. 13 (2015) showed that severity of nasal outcomes increased with years of exposure in both chromate 14 manufacturing and chrome electroplating workers. The early onset-time, combined with the fact 15 that incidences were high at the lowest concentration (the lowest concentration in this 16 occupational setting is still high relative to environmental levels) leads to uncertainty in the 17 extrapolation from occupational exposure to continuous lifetime exposure.

18

1

4.2.6.2. Hand-to-nose transfer

Only one of the candidate RfC studies reported hand-to-nose transfer of Cr(VI) originating
from surface touching (<u>Cohen et al., 1974</u>). Surface contamination of Cr(VI) throughout workplace
environments (including on gloves and other personal protective equipment), and detection of
Cr(VI) on the hands of employees have been documented (<u>Ceballos et al., 2017</u>; <u>Lucas and</u>
<u>Kramkowski, 1975</u>; <u>Cohen and Kramkowski, 1973</u>). However, no quantitative data were available
to adjust for this potential route of exposure.

25

4.2.6.3. Susceptible populations

Quantitative analysis of effects in the lower respiratory tract were based on animal data,
while analysis of effects in the upper respiratory tract were based on occupational studies of adult
humans. Data for these effects were not available in susceptible populations, such as children or
those with preexisting respiratory conditions.

4.2.7. Confidence Statement

30 An overall confidence level of **High**, **Medium**, or **Low** was assigned to reflect the level of

31 confidence in the study(ies) and hazard(s) used to derive the RfC, the overall database, and the RfC

32 itself, as described in Section 4.3.9.2 of EPA's *Methods for Derivation of Inhalation Reference*

33 Concentrations and Application of Inhalation Dosimetry (U.S. EPA, 1994).

1 The confidence in the overall chronic RfC is **medium**. The RfC for upper respiratory tract 2 effects is based on the LOAEL for ulcerated nasal septum in humans reported by Gibb et al. (2000a), 3 resulting in an RfC of 1×10^{-5} mg/m³. While there is high confidence that inhaled Cr(VI) can induce 4 effects in the nasal cavity of humans, quantitative characterization of these endpoints have 5 uncertainties. The available studies did not have enough exposure groups or individual-level data 6 adequate for a dose-response analysis, and only LOAELs could be obtained from the datasets. For 7 the Gibb et al. (2000a) study, effects in the nasal cavity were observed after a few months of 8 exposure (median time on the job of 86-418 days), and it is unknown how the effect severity may 9 increase over a lifetime of exposure. Because the estimated effect incidences were high at the

10 LOAEL (63%), there is uncertainty in the dose-response relationship at lower concentrations. As a

11 result, the confidence in the RfC for upper respiratory effects is medium.

4.2.8. Previous IRIS Assessment: Inhalation Reference Concentration

The previous IRIS assessment contained two RfCs for Cr(VI). An RfC for "chromic acid mists and dissolved hexavalent chromium aerosols" and an RfC for "hexavalent chromium dusts" were posted on the IRIS database in 1998. As noted in Section 4.2.4, health effects induced by inhalation exposure to Cr(VI) are expected to differ due to particle size distribution. These differences are now reflected in the derivation of organ-specific RfCs, which are strongly dependent on particle sizes rather than other chemical properties. Larger particles are more likely to affect the nasal airways, while smaller particles can affect the lower airways.
The 1998 RfC for Cr(VI) acid mists and dissolved aerosols was based on the human study by

19 20 Lindberg and Hedenstierna (1983). A LOAEL for nasal septum atrophy of 2 µg/m³ was identified 21 based on the lower bound of the 2-20 μ g/m³ range, and this value was adjusted using a continuous 22 exposure adjustment factor, and an adjustment factor for occupational and 24-hour average 23 breathing rates. This resulted in a LOAEL for continuous exposure of 0.714 µg/m³. A total 24 uncertainty factor of 90 was applied: 3-fold for extrapolation from a subchronic to a chronic 25 exposure, 3-fold for extrapolation from a LOAEL to a NOAEL, and 10-fold for interhuman variation. 26 This resulted in an RfC of 0.008 μ g/m³ (8 × 10⁻⁶ mg/m³) for hexavalent chromic acid mists and 27 dissolved hexavalent chromium aerosols. The current assessment derived a different LOAEL for the 28 Lindberg and Hedenstierna (1983) study, because most cases (7/8) of nasal ulceration in the 2-20 29 $\mu g/m^3$ group had peak exposure levels at or above 20 $\mu g/m^3$. 30 The previous RfC for Cr(VI) dusts was based on the studies by Glaser et al. (1990; 1985) and 31 used the modeling and data analysis of this dataset published by Malsch et al. (1994). Malsch et al. 32 (1994) developed BMCs for lung weight, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) in BAL fluid, protein in BAL 33 fluid, albumin in BAL fluid, and spleen weight. The Malsch et al. (1994) analysis defined the 34 benchmark concentration as the 95% lower confidence limit on the dose corresponding to a 10%

35 relative change in the endpoint compared to the control. A continuous exposure adjustment factor

36 was applied, and the maximum likelihood model was used to fit continuous data to a polynomial

- 1 mean response regression, yielding maximum likelihood estimates of 36–78 μg/m³ and BMCs of
- 2 $16-67 \,\mu g/m^3$. LDH was the most sensitive endpoint (BMC of $16 \,\mu g/m^3$) and was the basis of the
- 3 1998 IRIS assessment RfC for Cr(VI) dusts. An RDDR of 2.1576, derived by methods outlined in U.S.
- 4 <u>EPA (1994)</u>, was applied to this value to extrapolate a human equivalent concentration. A total
- 5 uncertainty factor of 300 was applied: 10-fold for the less-than-lifetime exposure, 10-fold for
- 6 variation in the human population, and 3-fold to account for pharmacodynamic differences not
- 7 accounted for by the RDDR. This resulted in an RfC of 1×10^{-4} mg/m³ for hexavalent chromium
- 8 dusts, which is the same as the value derived in this assessment for lower respiratory tract effects
- 9 (using the same study and similar methods).

4.3. ORAL SLOPE FACTOR FOR CANCER

The oral slope factor (OSF) is a plausible upper bound on the estimate of risk per
mg/kg-day of oral exposure. The OSF can be multiplied by an estimate of lifetime exposure (in
mg/kg-day) to estimate the lifetime cancer risk.

4.3.1. Analysis of Carcinogenicity Data

- The animal database for cancer consisted of a chronic 2-year drinking water bioassay which found "clear evidence of carcinogenic activity" of Cr(VI) in male and female rats and mice (<u>NTP</u>, 2008). These results were based on increased incidences of squamous cell neoplasms in the oral
- $\frac{2000}{2000}$ is the set of increased in increased increased increased in increa
- 16 cavity of rats, and increased incidences of neoplasms in the small intestine of mice. The data from
- 17 <u>NTP (2008)</u> indicate a dose-response relationship in both species.
- Human dose-response data for cancer via the oral route were not suitable for doseresponse analysis. The lack of individual estimates of exposure, the uncertain nature of the mortality data, and the potential impact of confounding made it difficult to draw conclusions (see Section 3.2.3). Human cancer data via the inhalation route of exposure (for either lung tumors described in Section 4.4, or GI tract risk described in the meta-analysis in Section 3.2.2) were not used for oral slope factor derivation because route-to-route extrapolations were not considered in
- 24 this assessment (see Protocol, Appendix A).

4.3.2. Dose-Response Analysis–Adjustments and Extrapolations Methods

25 A benchmark dose (BMD) approach was used to model the dose-response data. This 26 method is described in detail in Section 4.1.2. Because a mutagenic mode-of-action for Cr(VI) 27 carcinogenicity via the oral route of exposure (see Section 3.2.3) is "sufficiently supported in 28 (laboratory) animals" and "relevant to humans," EPA used a linear low dose extrapolation from the 29 POD in accordance with *Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment* (U.S. EPA, 2005a). The multistage 30 model was selected for dose-response analysis because it is consistent with low dose linearity, it is sufficiently flexible for most cancer bioassay data, and its use provides consistency across cancer 31 32 dose-response analyses (Gehlhaus et al., 2011).

1 For tumors of the small intestine of mice, a PBPK model was used to extrapolate the rodent 2 dose-response model results to a human equivalent dose, using the same methodology applied for 3 noncancer effects (Section 4.1.2). The internal dose used for mouse-to-human extrapolation was 4 the BW^{3/4}-adjusted Cr(VI) dose that is estimated to escape gastric reduction. The mean result from 5 Monte Carlo analysis was used as the POD for the OSF, as opposed to the lower 1% value (which 6 was used for the POD of the RfD). This is because intraspecies variability in pharmacokinetics and 7 pharmacodynamics is not incorporated into cancer risk assessment (U.S. EPA, 2006c), with the 8 exception for early-life considerations noted in the Supplemental Guidance for Assessing 9 Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens (U.S. EPA, 2005b). Uncertainty factors are not 10 applied during rodent-to-human extrapolation of cancer dose-response data. For comparative 11 purposes, the BW^{3/4} scaling approach without Cr(VI) gastric reduction modeling or Monte Carlo 12 analysis is also presented. This can be interpreted as the result for a susceptible subpopulation 13 having high gastric pH (>4.0) and Cr(VI) gastric juice reduction capacity equivalent to rodents (see 14 Appendix C.1.5). 15 For tumors in the oral cavity of rats, there is uncertainty regarding the appropriate internal 16 dose metric. Mice did not exhibit tumors of the oral cavity, but in a separate bioassay were 17 observed to have higher oral tissue chromium levels than rats following 90-day drinking water 18 exposure (Kirman et al., 2012). Mice rarely exhibit oral tumors from NTP bioassays, even for 19 chemicals inducing oral tumors in rats (Ibrahim et al., 2021; NTP, 2008)68. Thus, mice may be less 20 susceptible to tumors of the oral cavity due to factors that cannot be accounted for using PBPK 21 modeling. There were no observed nonneoplastic lesions in the oral mucosa of rats or mice 22 following either the chronic or subchronic high dose NTP Cr(VI) drinking water bioassays (Witt et 23 al., 2013). Unlike for the mouse, where tumors were observed in GI organs posterior to the stomach 24 (where most Cr(VI) reduction occurs), tumors of the rat oral cavity occur in tissues where Cr(VI) 25 exposure is not mitigated by extracellular reduction in the stomach. As a result, species differences 26 in Cr(VI) reduction in the stomach are not relevant for the dose-response analysis of rat oral 27 tumors. Site-specific PBPK models of Cr(VI) kinetics in the oral cavity epithelium are not available. 28 In the absence of an adequately developed theory or information to develop and characterize an 29 oral portal-of-entry dosimetric adjustment factor, application of BW^{3/4} scaling is recommended (U.S. 30 EPA, 2011c, 2005a).

⁶⁸Of the 24 test articles associated with site-specific neoplasia that produced positive, clear or some evidence of carcinogenicity in the oral cavity (<u>NTP, 2020</u>), only one (1,2,3-trichloropropane) induced tumors of the oral cavity in mice. All other test articles induced tumors in the oral cavity of male or female rats. With the exception of Cr(VI), three chemicals were found to induce both oral and small intestinal tumors (2,2-bis(Bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol, C.I. Direct blue 15, C.I. Acid red 114), although they only induced these effects in rats. In general, tumors of the small intestine are more rare in rats (compared to mice), and tumors of the oral cavity are more rare in mice (compared to rats) (see Appendix D.5).

4.3.3. Derivation of the Oral Slope Factor

1 The lifetime oral cancer slope factor for humans is defined as the slope of the line from the

2 lower 95% bound on the exposure at the POD to the control response (slope factor = $0.1/BMDL_{10}$).

3 This slope, a 95% upper confidence limit represents a plausible upper bound on the true risk. Using

4 linear extrapolation from the BMDL₁₀, human equivalent oral slope factors were derived for each

5 gender/tumor site combination. Results for all tumor types are listed in Table 4-13.

Table 4-13. Summary of the oral slope factor derivations

Species/ sex	Model	BMR	BMD mg/kg-dª	BMDL mg/kg-dª	Extrapolation Method	Internal rodent dose mg/kg-d ^b	Internal dose POD mg/kg-d ^c	POD _{HED} mg/kg- d ^d	OSF Per mg/kg-d
Adenomas	Adenomas or Carcinomas in the mouse small intestine (<u>NTP, 2008</u>)								
Mice (M)	1º MS	10	1.44	1.05	РК	0.173	0.0274	0.319	0.313
					BW ^{3/4}	N/A	N/A	0.166	0.602
Mice (F)	1° MS	10	1.34	1.03	РК	0.169	0.0267	0.316	0.317
					BW ^{3/4}	N/A	N/A	0.163	0.613
Squamous cell carcinoma or squamous cell papilloma in oral mucosa or tongue (<u>NTP, 2008</u>)									
Rats (M)	1° MS	10	6.01	3.35	BW ^{3/4}	N/A	N/A	0.917	0.109
Rats (F)	1º MS	10	4.25	2.70	BW ^{3/4}	N/A	N/A	0.645	0.155

^aUnits of administered mg/kg-d Cr(VI) dose.

^bDose escaping stomach reduction in rodent (mg/kg-d) estimated by PK modeling.

^cBW3/4 scaling adjustment of the internal rodent dose (dose escaping reduction multiplied by (BWA/BWH)1/4, where BWH = 80 kg and BWA is set to study-specific time-weighted average (TWA) values (these same study-specific BW values were also used in the PK modeling). TWA BWA = 0.450 kg for male rats, and TWA BWA = 0.260 kg for female rats at the 2-year time period in <u>NTP (2008)</u>. TWA BWA = 0.05 kg for male and female mice at the 2-year time period in <u>NTP (2008)</u>. ^dPODHED in units of mg/kg-d Cr(VI) oral dose ingested by humans. For the PK method, this is the mean value of 20000 Monte Carlo PK simulations needed to achieve the internal dose POD (see Appendix C.1.5 for details). For the standard BW^{3/4} method, no additional adjustments beyond BW^{3/4} scaling of the rodent dose are applied.

6 7 The OSF for Cr(VI) was chosen to be the value derived from small intestine tumors in male and female mice using PBPK modeling, 0.3 (mg/kg-d)⁻¹.

4.3.4. Application of age-dependent adjustment factors

8 Because a mutagenic mode of action for hexavalent chromium carcinogenicity is sufficiently

9 supported in laboratory animals and is relevant to humans (see Section 3.2.3), and in the absence of
 10 chemical-specific data to evaluate differences in age-specific susceptibility, increased early-life

chemical-specific data to evaluate differences in age-specific susceptibility, increased early-life
 susceptibility to hexavalent chromium is assumed and ADAFs should be applied, as appropriate, i

susceptibility to hexavalent chromium is assumed and ADAFs should be applied, as appropriate, in
 accordance with the *Supplemental Guidance for Assessing Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to*

Carcinogens (U.S. EPA, 2005b). The oral slope factor of 0.3 (mg/kg-day)⁻¹, calculated from data

applicable to adult exposures, does not reflect presumed early-life susceptibility to this chemical.

- 1 Example calculations for estimating cancer risks based on age at exposure are provided in Section 6
- 2 of the Supplemental Guidance for Assessing Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens
- 3 (<u>U.S. EPA, 2005b</u>).
- 4 The Supplemental Guidance for Assessing Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to
- 5 *Carcinogens* establishes ADAFs for three specific age groups. The current ADAFs and their
- 6 corresponding age groups are 10 for exposed individuals <2 years old, 3 for exposed individuals 2
- 7 to <16 years old, and 1 for exposed individuals \geq 16 years old (<u>U.S. EPA, 2005b</u>). The 10- and 3-fold
- 8 adjustments to the slope factor are to be combined with age-specific exposure estimates when
- 9 estimating cancer risks from early-life (<16 years of age) exposures to hexavalent chromium.
- 10 To illustrate the use of the ADAFs established in the *Supplemental Guidance for Assessing*
- 11 Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens (U.S. EPA, 2005b), OSF calculations are
- 12 presented for three exposure duration scenarios, including full lifetime. For oral exposures
- 13 assuming Cr(VI) exposure-response equivalence across age groups (i.e., equivalent risk from
- equivalent exposure levels, independent of body size), the ADAF calculation is fairly
- 15 straightforward. The partial and lifetime risks (per mg/kg-d) are presented below in Table 4-14.

Table 4-14. Application of ADAFs for 70-year exposure to Cr(VI) from ages 0 to 70

Age group	ADAF	Slope factor ADAF (per mg/kg-d) Duration adjustment		Partial risk (per mg/kg-d)
0-<2 yrs	10	0.3	2 yrs/70 yrs	0.0857
2-<16 yrs	3	0.3	14 yrs/70 yrs	0.180
≥16 yrs	1	0.3	54 yrs/70 yrs	0.231
			Total risk	0.497

Note that the partial risk for each age group is the product of the values in columns 2–5
(e.g., 10 × 0.3 × 2/70 = 0.0857 for exposures from age 0 to <2 years), and the total risk is the sum of
the partial risks. Thus, a lifetime estimate for the OSF for exposure starting at birth is 0.5 (per
mg/kg-d).

If calculating the cancer risk for a 30-year exposure to a constant average daily dose of 10,0001 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day from ages 0 to 30 years, the duration adjustments would be 2/70, 14/70, and 14/70, and the partial risks would be $(10 \times 0.3 \times 0.0001 \times 2/70 = 8.6 \times 10^{-6})$, $(3 \times 0.3 \times 0.0001 \times 14/70 = 1.8 \times 10^{-5})$, and $(1 \times 0.3 \times 0.0001 \times 14/70 = 6 \times 10^{-6})$, resulting in a total risk estimate of 3.3 $14/70 = 1.8 \times 10^{-5}$.

25 If calculating the cancer risk for a 30-year exposure to a constant average daily dose of

26 0.0001 mg Cr(VI)/kg-day from ages 20 to 50 years, the duration adjustments would be 0/70, 0/70,

27 and 30/70, and the partial risks would be 0, 0, and (1 × 0.3 × 0.0001 × 30/70 = 1.3 × 10⁻⁵), resulting

in a total risk estimate of 1.3×10^{-5} .

4.3.5. Uncertainties in the Derivation of the Oral Slope Factor

Because the studies and pharmacokinetics methods used to derive the OSF are the same as
 those used to derive the RfD, the major uncertainties related to OSF derivation are outlined in
 Section 3.3 and Section 4.1.6. Additional information on susceptible populations is provided in
 Section 3.3.1. Briefly,

- Uncertainties persists in the PBPK models of the human and mouse stomach. Population
 variability in kinetic parameters is unknown, and it is likely that gastric contents and
 microbiota contribute to interindividual variation.
- 8 Uncertainty in the choice of the tumor type and internal dose metric for cross-species
 9 extrapolation.
- Cr(VI) detoxification in the stomach for populations with elevated stomach pH (consumers of medicine to treat acid reflux, hypochlorhydria individuals) may differ from standard health individuals.
- There may be higher susceptibility for carriers of mutated cystic fibrosis transmembrane
 conductance regulator (CFTR) gene (see Sections 3.2.3.4 and 3.3.1).
- 15 Individuals taking medication to treat gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), including
- 16 calcium carbonate-based acid reducers and proton pump inhibitors, have an elevated stomach pH
- 17 during treatment. This is known to be a significant fraction of the population since up to 20% of the
- 18 population is afflicted by GERD, and the gastric pH for these individuals may be above 4 throughout
- 19 the day during successful treatment (<u>Delshad et al., 2020; GBD 2017, 2020; Lin and</u>
- 20 <u>Triadafilopoulos, 2015; Burdsall et al., 2013; Atanassoff et al., 1995</u>). A sensitivity analysis was
- 21 performed on the human model (Appendix C.1.5), assuming a baseline stomach pH = 4 (as opposed
- to 1.3). It was found that for internal doses near those of the cancer PODs for mice, the mean human
- equivalent dose for a population with baseline gastric pH = 4 would be approximately $\frac{1}{2}$ that of the
- standard population with baseline pH = 1.3. As a result, the OSF for this population would be 2x
- 25 more stringent. Similarly, the OSF estimated by default approaches (BW^{3/4} scaling and no
- 26 adjustment for gastric reduction) would be health-protective for this population, since that method
- 27 implicitly assumes that humans and rodents have the same gastric pH (>4) and reduction capacity.
- After rounding, the adult-based OSF for BW^{3/4} scaling (0.6 per mg/kg-d) is exactly 2x the adult-
- 29 based OSF estimated by PBPK modeling (0.3 per mg/kg-d). Under the BW^{3/4} scaling assumption, the
- 30 lifetime ADAF-adjusted value would also be exactly 2x more stringent (1.2 per mg/kg-d).
- Table 4-15 provides an overview summarizing the uncertainties and their impact on theOSF.

Impact on unit			
Consideration	risk	Decision	Justification
Target organ	↓ OSF, 3-fold, if oral tumors selected	Small intestine tumors (adenomas or carcinomas of the duodenum or jejunum or ileum in mice)	Tumor site is concordant across rats and mice in the GI tract as a whole (small intestine and mouth), increasing support for its relevance to humans. As there are no data to support any one result as most relevant for extrapolating to humans, the most sensitive result for GI tract tumors was used to derive the oral slope factor.
Data set	None	<u>NTP (2008)</u>	NTP (2008) was a high confidence study and the only to evaluate potential carcinogenicity in multiple organs and multiple species following chronic drinking water exposure.
Cross-species scaling dose metric	Alternatives could ↓ or ↑ slope factor	mg/kg-d Cr(VI) emptied from stomach, adjusted by BW ^{3/4} scaling	The amount of Cr(VI) available for absorption into the small intestine is a function of how much Cr(VI) will escape the stomach unreduced. Applying the pyloric flux dose metric defined in <u>Thompson</u> <u>et al. (2014)</u> (daily mg Cr(VI) emptied from stomach, per L small intestine) would slightly decrease the OSF (BW ^{3/4} scaling is similar as scaling by small intestine volume). Applying BW ^{3/4} scaling without taking into account interspecies differences in gastric reduction would increase the OSF by 2x.
Low dose extrapolation	↓ cancer risk estimate would be expected with the application of nonlinear low dose extrapolation	Linear extrapolation from POD (based on mutagenic MOA)	Available MOA data support linearity (mutagenicity is a primary MOA of Cr(VI)). See Appendix D.3 for an uncertainty analysis of the low dose extrapolation method
Statistical uncertainty at POD	↓ OSF 1.4-fold if BMD used as the POD rather than BMDL	BMDL (preferred approach for calculating plausible upper-bound slope factor)	Limited size of bioassay results in sampling variability; lower bound is 95% confidence interval on administered exposure at 10% extra risk of alimentary tract tumors.
Dose-response modeling	Alternatives could ↓ or ↑ slope factor	Multistage- model	No biologically based models for Cr(VI) were available. Multistage models are sufficiently flexible for most cancer bioassay data, and their use provides consistency across cancer dose-response analyses. See Appendix Section C.1.5 for additional details on the impact of alternative dose metrics.
Sensitive subpopulations	个 OSF to unknown extent	ADAFs are recommended for early-life exposures	No chemical-specific data are available to determine the range of human pharmacodynamic variability or sensitivity. Deriving an OSF from populations with high baseline gastric pH would lead to a significantly higher OSF (over 2x higher).

Table 4-15. Summary of uncertainties in the derivation of oral slope factor values for Cr(VI)

4.3.6. Previous IRIS Assessment: Oral Slope Factor

1 The previous IRIS assessment for hexavalent chromium was posted to the IRIS database in

- 2 1998. In that assessment, EPA concluded that the oral carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium
- 3 could not be determined (and was thus classified as Group D under the 1986 classification
- 4 guidelines). At the time, only one study in humans suggested an association with stomach cancer,
- 5 but other human and animal studies did not report similar effects. Therefore, no oral slope factor
- 6 was derived.

4.4. INHALATION UNIT RISK FOR CANCER

- The inhalation unit risk (IUR) is a plausible upper bound on the estimate of risk per µg/m³
 air breathed. The IUR can be multiplied by an estimate of lifetime exposure (in µg/m³) to estimate
 cancer risks over a lifetime or partial lifetime.
- 10 In 1998, the EPA IRIS Toxicological Review of Hexavalent Chromium classified Cr(VI) as a
- "known human carcinogen by the inhalation route of exposure" based on consistent evidence that
 inhaled Cr(VI) causes lung cancer in humans and supporting evidence of carcinogenicity in animals.

13 The same conclusion has since been reached by other authoritative federal and state health

14 agencies and international organizations and the carcinogenicity of Cr(VI) is considered to be

- 15 well-established for inhalation exposures (<u>TCEO, 2014</u>; <u>IPCS, 2013</u>; <u>NIOSH, 2013b</u>; <u>IARC, 2012</u>;
- 16 <u>CalEPA, 2011; NTP, 2011; OSHA, 2006</u>).

4.4.1. Analysis of Carcinogenicity Data

17 This section focuses on identifying additional appropriate studies to update the quantitative 18 exposure-response analysis and the derivation of the IUR. More recent epidemiologic studies have 19 been identified in the peer-reviewed literature which include higher quality exposure data, longer 20 follow-up times, larger sample sizes, and more sophisticated analyses than were available in 1998. 21 While the focus of the updated cancer analysis was evaluation of new information and other studies 22 that were not evaluated in the 1998 IRIS assessment, EPA did not exclude studies published prior to 23 1998. Having judged the evidence of hazard for carcinogenicity of inhaled Cr(VI) to be 24 well-established, EPA focused on studies that could inform estimation of the exposure-response 25 function which could be used to derive an IUR.

26 4.4.1.1. Identification of studies for the derivation of a Cr(VI) inhalation unit risk

27 <u>Study selection</u>

A title and abstract screening of human health studies obtained from the literature searches described in Sections 1.2 and 2.1, and backwards searching using reference lists of screened studies, identified 61 human lung cancer references. These studies then underwent full-text screening for exposure-response data that may be informative for derivation of a revised inhalation unit risk. Studies needed to be epidemiological analyses examining quantitative measures of

- 1 chromium exposure in relation to lung cancer incidence or mortality risk. Studies were excluded if
- 2 Cr(VI) measurements in air, or convertible equivalents such as CrO₃, were not presented, or if
- 3 group-level exposure assignments were based on job title (and not chromium measurements) (see
- 4 Table D-28 in Appendix D.4). Applying these criteria, there were 22 lung cancer references
- 5 identified as potentially informative for exposure-response analysis.
- 6 All 22 studies were based on occupational cohorts, and many followed the same worksites
- 7 or worker populations over time. For cohorts with multiple follow-up studies, EPA included only
- 8 the most recent follow-up, and used the prior studies to obtain information relevant to analysis of
- 9 data and study quality (see Table D-29 in Appendix D.4). Of the 22 studies, five independent cohort
- 10 studies evaluating Cr(VI) exposure and the risk of lung cancer were obtained after restricting to the
- 11 most recent cohort follow-up data (Figure 4-8 and Table 4-16). These were: (1) a chromate facility
- 12 in Baltimore, MD (<u>Gibb et al., 2020</u>; <u>Gibb et al., 2015</u>; <u>Gibb et al., 2000b</u>); (2) a chromate facility in
- 13 Painesville, OH (<u>Proctor et al., 2016</u>); (3) two chromate facilities in Germany (Leverkusen and
- 14 Uerdingen) (<u>Birk et al., 2006</u>); (4) the IARC multicenter cohort of welders in the European Union
- 15 (Gerin et al., 1993); and (5) two chromate facilities in the United States (Corpus Christi TX and
- 16 Castle Hayne NC) (Luippold et al., 2005). A sixth study (<u>AEI, 2002</u>) did not include new data, but
- 17 was a pooled analysis of the four plants evaluated in <u>Birk et al. (2006)</u> and <u>Luippold et al. (2005)</u>.



Figure 4-8. Literature screening results for studies containing exposureresponse data of Cr(VI) and lung cancer.

- 1 The next step was to evaluate the quantitative methods used in each of the analyses. It was
- 2 preferred that exposure-response analyses were conducted using estimated airborne
- 3 concentrations of speciated Cr(VI) compounds from which a slope⁶⁹ and its standard error could be
- 4 obtained. Studies were available that presented results from models using a continuous measure of

⁶⁹The beta coefficient describing the function of exposure-response relationship between exposure to Cr(VI) in air, on a continuous scale, and the risk of lung cancer.

- 1 exposure, so the four that did not (e.g., studies that only presented an overall SMR) were excluded:
- 2 <u>Davies et al. (1991)</u>, <u>Luippold et al. (2005)</u>, <u>AEI (2002)</u>, and <u>Girardi et al. (2015)</u>. An overview of all
- 3 studies excluded for exposure-response analysis of lung cancer in humans is provided in Appendix
- 4 D4 Tables D-28 through D-30. The remaining four studies were then evaluated for risk of bias and
- 5 sensitivity. Study evaluation included consideration of exposure assessment, outcome
- 6 ascertainment, population selection, confounding, selective reporting, sensitivity, and data analysis
- 7 [see Protocol Section 6.2 (Appendix A) for more details]. Considerable focus was placed on factors
- 8 that could notably affect the magnitude and direction of the effect estimates, including potential for
- 9 exposure measurement error, confounding, missing data, and the specific statistical analyses
- 10 conducted. Summaries of the study evaluations are presented in Table 4-16 along with the overall
- 11 confidence rating. Details of those evaluations are presented in HAWC (<u>click here</u>).

Table 4-16. Summary of included studies considered for the derivation of an inhalation unit risk for Cr(VI) and overall confidence classification. <u>Click to see interactive data graphic for rating rationales.</u>

		Study description	Study evaluation							
	Reference		Exposure	Outcome	Selection	Confounding	Analysis	Sensitivity	Sel. reporting	Overall confidence
ded	Gibb et al. <i>,</i> (<u>2020</u> ; <u>2015</u> ; <u>2000b</u>)ª	Occupational cohort (<i>n</i> = 2,354 male workers) in the U.S. exposed 1950– 1985 and followed until 2011.	G	G	A	А	G	A	А	High
Inclu	<u>Proctor et al.</u> (2016)	Occupational cohort (<i>n</i> = 714 male workers) in the U.S. exposed 1940– 1972 and followed until 2011.	A	A	G	D	G	A	А	Medium
	<u>Birk et al. (2006)</u>	Occupational cohort (<i>n</i> = 901 male workers) in Germany exposed 1958– 1998 and followed until 1998.	D	A	A	A	A	D	A	Low
	<u>Gerin et al.</u> (<u>1993)</u>	Pooled IARC multicenter occupational cohorts (<i>n</i> = 11,092 male welders) across 135 companies in 9 EU countries exposed during various periods 1946– 1986.	D	A	A	D	A	A	A	Low

^aThree studies were used to represent the Baltimore, MD cohort, as they had essentially the same worker population.

- 12 Three studies describing one cohort were classified as *high* confidence: Gibb et al., (2020;
- 13 <u>2015; 2000b</u>) (the Baltimore MD cohort); and one was classified as *medium* confidence: <u>Proctor et</u>
- 14 <u>al. (2016)</u> (the Painesville OH cohort). The remaining studies were *low* confidence. The *high* and
- 15 *medium* confidence studies were advanced for further consideration in the derivation of the IUR for

- 1 Cr(VI). Overviews of the two cohorts and their analyses are provided below followed by an analysis
- $\label{eq:constraint} 2 \qquad \text{of the preferred characteristics for candidate principal studies for IUR development from}$
- 3 occupational cohorts are described in Table 4-17.
- 4 <u>Overview of the Baltimore, MD cohort</u>

5 Chromate production at the Baltimore, MD site began in 1845 and ultimately ceased in 1985 6 (Gibb et al., 2000b; Haves et al., 1979). The original Baltimore cohort included workers who were 7 newly employed between 1945 and 1974 (Haves et al., 1979). The current cohort was defined by 8 Gibb et al. (2000b) and excluded most workers who began work before August 1, 1950. This cutoff 9 date coincided with when a new chromite ore mill and roasting plant were constructed, exposure 10 mitigation measures were implemented, and extensive exposure information collection began 11 (Gibb et al., 2015; Haves et al., 1979). The vital status of 2357 workers were initially followed up 12 through death or the end of 1992 (Gibb et al., 2000b) and then extended through 2011 for 2354 13 workers (Gibb et al., 2015) for a total of 91,186 person-years at risk. The mean duration of 14 employment for the 2011 update of the cohort was 3.1 years and the mean number of years of 15 follow-up was 38.9 years. The median duration of employment for the cohort was 0.4 years and the 16 median number of years of follow-up was 39.9 years. 17 Gibb et al. (2000b) estimated Cr(VI) exposures for each person in each year based on job 18 titles, the time spent in each sampling zone and exposure estimates based on \sim 70,000 19 contemporary measurements of Cr(VI) concentration in air during the study period. Samples 20 included short-term air sampling in the workers' breathing zones from 1950–1961 followed by 21 24-hour routine measurements taken by 20 air samplers rotated through 154 fixed sites 22 throughout the facility, and personal air sampling beginning in 1977. Exposure estimates were 23 merged with work history data to estimate each workers' cumulative exposures during 24 employment. All air measurements of hexavalent chromium were converted to units of mg CrO₃/m³ 25 as a common basis in <u>Gibb et al. (2000b)</u> because the prevailing regulatory standard was from the 26 metric used by the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration in its past Permissible 27 Exposure Limits for chromic acid and chromates. The mean cumulative exposure⁷⁰ to CrO₃ reported 28 in Gibb et al. (2015) Table 2 was 0.14 mg/m³-years which converts to 72.8 µg/m³-years of Cr(VI).⁷¹ 29 The 25th, 50th, and 75th percentiles were 0.52, 5.2, and 41.6 μ g/m³-years of Cr(VI). Company 30 medical records provided smoking status at the beginning of employment for 91% of the cohort 31 (Yes/No/Unknown); 74% smoked cigarettes, 16% did not smoke, and smoking status was 32 unknown for 9%. No information on pack-years of smoking or how smoking status may have 33 changed over time was available.

⁷⁰Here the cumulative exposure is unlagged and untransformed.

⁷¹Conversion of mass of CrO₃ to mass of Cr(VI) is based on the contribution of the molecular weight (MW) of Cr to MW of CrO₃. Since the MW of Cr is 51.996 g/mol and the MW of CrO₃ is 99.99 g/mol, the conversion factor is 51.996/99.99 = 0.52. Units are further converted to μ g/m³ from mg/m³ by multiplying by 1000 μ g/mg.

<u>Gibb et al. (2015)</u> reported 217 deaths from lung cancer in this cohort compared to 133
 expected deaths based on Maryland vital statistics for a SMR of 1.63 (95% CI: 1.42–1.86). The risk
 of lung cancer mortality was analyzed using a Cox proportional hazards model with age as the time
 variable and cumulative exposure as a time-varying covariate. In a model adjusted for smoking and

- 5 age⁷², each unit increase in log₁₀ cumulative Cr(VI) exposure, lagged by 5 years, was associated with
- 6 a 1.255-fold (p < 0.001) increase in the hazard ratio.
- 7 <u>Gibb et al. (2020)</u> re-analyzed this cohort with the same exposure and outcome data using a
- 8 Cox proportional hazards model adjusted for smoking and age, but without log-transforming
- 9 cumulative Cr(VI) exposure. In this analysis, untransformed cumulative Cr(VI) exposure, lagged by
- 10 5 years, was associated with a 1.64-fold (95% CI: 1.30, 2.04) increase in the hazard ratio. <u>Gibb et al.</u>
- 11 (2020) also reported analyses of the untransformed cumulative Cr(VI) exposure using a conditional
- 12 Poisson regression approach (<u>Richardson and Langholz, 2012</u>) to estimate the relative risk per unit
- 13 of cumulative exposure (controlling for age and smoking) showing that cumulative Cr(VI) exposure,
- 14 lagged by 15 years, was associated with a 1.82-fold (95% CI: 1.35, 2.45) increase in the hazard ratio.
- 15 <u>Overview of the Painesville, OH cohort</u>
- 16 The Painesville, OH chromate production plant was in operation from 1931–1972, with 17 major renovations occurring in 1949–1950 and 1962–1964 to mitigate exposure and modernize 18 plant operations (Proctor et al., 2004). Previous analyses of the Painesville plant relied on indirect 19 measures of Cr(VI) in air, using measures of air total chromium and soluble/insoluble chromium 20 dust measurements, and only studied workers employed prior to 1940 (Mancuso, 1997, 1975). The 21 current cohort was defined by Proctor et al. (2016) to include workers employed after December 22 31, 1939. The vital statistics of 714 workers were followed up through death or the end of 2011 for 23 a total of 24,535 person-years at risk. The mean duration of employment for the cohort was not 24 explicitly reported, but falls within the interval of five to nine years (see Table 1 in Proctor et al.
- 25 (2016) and the mean number of years of follow-up was 34.4 years.
- 26 The Proctor et al. (2016; 2004) studies obtained 800 measurements of airborne Cr(VI) from 27 23 historical industrial hygiene surveys for workers employed from 1940–1972. Using historical 28 records of worker job histories over time and industrial hygiene data (which included Cr(VI) 29 measurements), a job-exposure matrix (JEM) was constructed (Proctor et al., 2004). Usable data 30 were available for 1943, 1945, 1948, 1957, and 1959–1971 (excluding 1962). Exposure estimates 31 were merged with work history data to estimate each workers' cumulative exposures during 32 employment. All Cr(VI) cumulative exposure estimates were reported in mg/m³-years. The mean 33 cumulative exposure to Cr(VI) was 1.1 mg/m³-years (Proctor et al., 2016) which converts to 34 1.1×10^3 µg/m³-years with a range of 0.2×10^3 µg/m³-years to 22.1×10^3 µg/m³-years. Employee 35 records provided smoking status for 29% of the cohort (Yes/No/Unknown); of those, 22% smoked

⁷²In this Cox proportional hazards regression, the time scale used was age and this controls for age in the model.

- 1 cigarettes, 7% did not smoke, and smoking status was unknown for 72%. No information on pack-
- 2 years of smoking or how smoking status may have changed over time was available.
- 3 <u>Proctor et al. (2016)</u> reported 77 deaths from lung cancer in this cohort which yielded a
- 4 SMR of 1.86 (95% CI: 1.45–2.28) compared to lung cancer mortality in Ohio and a SMR of 2.05
- 5 (95% CI: 1.59–2.50) compared to the U.S. population. <u>Proctor et al. (2016)</u> fit several models within
- 6 the cohort and concluded that the linear Cox model with age as the time variable and controlling for
- 7 smoking and age at hire had the best fit and reported a hazard ratio of 1.19 per mg/m³-years
- 8 increase in Cr(VI) exposure based on a regression coefficient of 0.17 per mg/m³-years (95% CI:
- 9 1.11–1.27; p = 0.0006).

Table 4-17. Details of rationale for selecting a principal study on Cr(VI) for IUR derivation

Attribute	Preferred characteristics for candidate principal studies for the Cr(VI) IUR	Baltimore, MD Cohort	Painesville, OH Cohort		
Study design characteristics	Sufficient follow-up time for outcomes to develop (this can depend on the health outcome being addressed)	Total person-time at risk:	Total person-time at risk: 24.535 person-years		
	Study size and participation rates that are adequate to detect and quantify health outcomes	Size of cohort: 2354 workers	Size of cohort: 714 workers		
	population selection) are preferred.	Mean follow-up time: 38.7 years	Mean follow-up time: 34.4 years		
	Use of a study design or analytic approach that adequately addresses the relevant sources of potential confounding, including age, gender, and exposures to other risk factors for the outcome of interest.	Confounding potential: Controlled for age and smoking; no mesothelioma deaths	Confounding potential: Controlled for age and smoking; six mesothelioma deaths		
		Effect modification potential: No known asbestos exposure and no mesothelioma deaths.	Effect modification potential: asbestos exposure is strongly indicated with six mesothelioma deaths.		
Attribute	Preferred characteristics for candidate principal studies for the Cr(VI) IUR	Baltimore, MD Cohort	Painesville, OH Cohort		
--------------------------------------	--	--	--		
Relevance of exposure paradigm	Studies of chronic duration are preferred over studies of shorter exposure duration because they are most relevant to environmental exposure scenarios (potentially including both continuous exposure from ambient conditions and episodic activity-related exposures).	Chronic duration	Chronic duration		
	When available studies observe effects across different ranges of exposures, studies that include relatively low exposure intensities that may represent conditions more similar to environmental exposures are preferred as there may be less uncertainty in extrapolation of those results to lower exposure levels.	Mean exposure Cr(VI): 72.8 μg/m ³ -years. The 25th, 50th, and 75th% were 0.52, 5.2, and 41.6 μg/m ³ -years	Mean exposure Cr(VI): $1.1 \times 10^3 \ \mu g/m^3$ -years. Range from 0.2 $\ \mu g/m^3$ - years to 22.1 $\times 10^3 \ \mu g/m^3$ -years		
Measurement of exposure	Emphasis is placed on the specificity of exposure assessment in time and place with a preference for greater detail where possible. Exposure measurements that are site and task specific provide generally preferred exposure information. Where available, individual-level measurements are generally preferred. Measurement techniques that are more specific to the agent of concern are preferred over less specific analytical methods. Better	~70,000 measurements during 1950–1974. Early samples were short-term air samples in the workers' breathing zones, later 24-hours samples from 154 fixed sites, and full-shift personal air	800 measurements during 1940–1972. No personal samples. Uncertainty in short- term workers' exposures: Proctor et al. (<u>2004</u>) "company records lacked		
	characterization of airborne concentrations is preferred. Stronger studies will often be based upon knowledge of individual work histories (job titles/tasks with consideration of changes over time); however, appropriate group-based exposure estimates may also be relevant. Exposure reconstruction and estimating exposures based on air sampling from other time	sampling began in 1977. Sampling records for 9 years could not be located (1950–56, 1960–61) and those values were imputed based on existing data to model those job- specific exposure	sufficient information on these individuals to reconstruct their work histories."		
	periods and/or operations are less preferred methods of exposure estimation.	values. Individual work histories matched to job-specific exposure estimates based on sampling measurements.	Individual work histories matched to job-specific exposure estimates based on sampling measurements.		
Measurement of covariates	Studies that considered the potential effects of confounding by relevant covariates are preferred over those without such consideration—unless confounding is not a major concern.	Age is well measured. Smoking status was identified for 93% of the cohort.	Age is well measured. Smoking status was identified for 28% of the cohort.		

Attribute	Preferred characteristics for candidate principal studies for the Cr(VI) IUR	Baltimore, MD Cohort	Painesville, OH Cohort
Measurement of effect(s)	Cancer incidence data are generally preferred over cancer mortality data (<u>U.S. EPA, 2005a</u>). In the absence of cancer incidence data, cancer mortality data are appropriate with preference	Lung cancer data were obtained from death certificates.	Lung cancer data were obtained from death certificates.
	for cause of death classified using international classification disease (ICD) codes at time of death.	217 lung cancer cases.	77 lung cancer cases.
		No deaths from	3 deaths from
		mesothelioma and no	mesothelioma (of 6
		evidence of outcome	total) were initially
		misclassification.	classified as lung cancer deaths
Analysis	Studies conducting and reporting regression	Analyses included	Analyses included
methodology	results of within cohort comparisons and those	multiple model forms	multiple model forms
	with β and SE(β) are preferred over standardized	(types of regression)	(types of regression)
	mortality ratio (SMR) results. Occasionally studies	with multiple	with multiple
	reporting standardized rate ratio (SRR) or SMR	parameterizations of	parameterizations of
	results with sufficient specificity by exposure	covariates and lags for	covariates and lags for
	category may allow for post hoc estimation of β	exposure.	exposure.
	and SE(β)—although if the lowest exposure		
	category is defined by the lowest		
	quantile/category of exposure, such estimates		
	may be biased towards the null.		

Table 4-17 summarizes key considerations related to study attributes that were considered 1 2 in the rationale for identifying the principal cohort. The Baltimore, MD cohort was (1) larger than 3 the Painesville cohort, (2) had longer follow-up time, (3) had more deaths from lung cancer, (4) had 4 no deaths from mesothelioma, despite having 66,651 additional years of person-time at risk than in 5 the Painesville cohort, suggesting lower potential for confounding by asbestos exposure, (5) had 6 more than an order of magnitude lower average exposures which can be more relevant to 7 estimating effects at lower exposures and requires less extrapolation, (6) had more air samples to 8 estimate exposures, and (7) had more complete data on smoking. EPA selected the Baltimore, MD 9 cohort as the basis for deriving the IUR.

4.4.2. Dose-Response Analysis–Adjustments and Extrapolations Methods

10 The first step towards deriving an inhalation unit risk for lung cancer was to identify 11 candidate effect estimates (i.e., beta coefficients from the regression analyses) from studies of the 12 principal cohort. Once the lung cancer effect estimates have been obtained, they are adjusted for 13 differences in air volumes between workers and other populations due to exposure frequency and 14 breathing rates. Conversions between occupational Cr(VI) exposures and continuous 15 environmental exposures were made to account for differences in the number of days exposed per 16 year, and in the amount of air inhaled per day. Those adjusted values can be applied to the U.S. 17 population as a whole in EPA life-table analyses. These life-table analyses allow for the estimation

- 1 of an exposure concentration associated with a specific extra risk of cancer incidence caused by
- 2 inhalation of Cr(VI); the specific extra risk is called the benchmark response (BMR) and a value of
- 3 1% is standard for cancer outcomes in people. Those exposure concentrations serve as points of
- 4 departure (POD) from which IURs can be extrapolated. Non-occupational exposure adjustment and
- 5 methods applied for the life-table analysis are described in detail in Section 4.4.3.
- 6 Because a mutagenic mode of action for Cr(VI) carcinogenicity (see Section 3.2.3) is

relevant to humans," EPA used a linear low
dose extrapolation from the POD in accordance with Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment

9 (<u>U.S. EPA, 2005a</u>).

10 4.4.2.1. Cancer risk models for Cr(VI) inhalation exposures

A cancer risk model predicts the probability of cancer in an individual with a specified history of exposure to a cancer-causing agent. In the case of inhalation exposure to Cr(VI), the lung cancer effects are of chief concern, and workers' individual cumulative exposure to Cr(VI) are used to predict cancer risk. Different types of regression analyses were used to model the lung cancer effect of Cr(VI) in the Baltimore, MD cohort. The model forms are described below.

- 16The Cox proportional hazards model (Cox, 1972) is one of the most commonly used17statistical models for the epidemiologic analysis of survival and mortality in cohort studies with18extensive follow-up, including studies of the Baltimore, MD cohort (Gibb et al., 2020; Gibb et al.,
- **19** <u>2015</u>; <u>Gibb et al., 2000b</u>). The Cox proportional hazards model assumes that a function of covariates
- 20 (e.g., exposures) result in hazard functions that are a constant proportion of the baseline hazard
- 21 function in unexposed individuals over some timescale, typically calendar time or age (e.g., the
- 22 background age-specific rates of lung cancer in the population). One of the strengths of this model
- is that knowledge of the baseline hazard function is not necessary, and no particular shape is
- assumed for the baseline hazard; rather, it is estimated nonparametrically.

Another methodology used to analyze the Baltimore, MD cohort (<u>Gibb et al., 2020</u>) was the conditional Poisson regression approach proposed by Richardson and Langholz (R&L) to estimate

- the relative risk per unit of cumulative exposure (<u>Richardson and Langholz, 2012</u>). The R&L
- 28 approach maximizes a conditional likelihood expression that allows for covariates like age and
- smoking to be included in the model, but avoids estimation of all the stratum-specific parameters
- 30 by treating them as nuisance terms. This property is made possible by separating and then
- 31 cancelling the nuisance terms in the likelihood function. Thus, the R&L approach models the effects
- 32 of age and smoking when estimating the effect of Cr(VI), but does not yield the specific effect
- **33** estimates for age and smoking.
- 34

1 4.4.2.2. Cancer risk parameters

2

25

The Cox regression results from the Baltimore, MD cohort are shown in Table 4-18.

Table 4-18. Results of Cox proportional hazards modeling of cumulative chromium exposure (mg CrO_3/m^3 -years) by different lag periods (age and smoking are included in model). Table adapted from Table 1 of <u>Gibb et al.</u> (2020).

	β per mg		Hazard ratio		
Lag period (y)	CrO₃/m³-year	SE(β)	Exp(β)	95% CI (β)	–2 log(L)
0	0.4712	0.1133	1.60	1.28-2.00	2830.23
5	0.4868	0.1145	1.63	1.30-2.04	2829.80
10	0.4939	0.1197	1.64	1.30-2.07	2830.52
15	0.4812	0.1333	1.62	1.25-2.10	2833.03

Note: 1 mg $CrO_3 = 0.520$ mg Cr(VI); CrO_3/m^3 -year = (CrO_3/m^3) (year).

3 The measure of fit $(-2 \log(L))$ of the Cox proportional hazards models of the lung cancer 4 risk adjusted for age and smoking were very similar for all lag periods, although the fit for the 5 5-year lag was slightly better than for the other lags—although not statistically better. The rationale 6 for the lag period is that there is often a latency period for cancer beginning with the initial 7 incidence of cancer and extending to the time of cancer mortality. In this conceptual model, the 8 exposures that are experienced by the individual after cancer has begun are no longer expected to 9 cause lung cancer, and thus those exposures may not be etiologically relevant. Here the results 10 show little difference in effect size across the different lag times. This is likely due to the fact that 11 exposures ceased in 1982 and follow-up continued until 2011 so there was little difference in lagged and unlagged exposures. Section 4.4.5 provides a sensitivity analysis across the different lag 12 13 lengths. 14 The lung cancer effect estimate for the 5-year lag in Table 4-18 above (Gibb et al., 2020) is 15 in units of per mg CrO_3/m^3 -year and was converted to unit of per µg $Cr(VI)/m^3$ -year as follows: $1 \text{ mg CrO}_3/\text{m}^3$ -year • $[0.52 \text{ mg Cr}(\text{VI})/\text{mg CrO}_3]$ • $[1000 \text{ }\mu\text{g}/\text{mg}] = 520 \text{ }\mu\text{g Cr}(\text{VI})/\text{m}^3$ -year 16 17 5-year lag $\beta_{Cr(VI)} = 0.4868$ per mg CrO₃/m³-year = 0.4868/(1 mg CrO₃/m³-year) $= 0.4868/(520 \,\mu g \, Cr(VI)/m^3 - year)$ 18 19 $= 9.362 \times 10^{-4} \text{ per } \mu \text{g Cr(VI)}/\text{m}^{3}\text{-year}$ 20 The inhalation unit risk is derived from the one-sided 95th% upper bound of β . Gibb et al. 21 (2020) reported a two-sided 95% confidence interval as is the standard practice in the 22 epidemiologic literature (i.e., from the 2.5th% to the 97.5th% bounds). EPA estimated the one-sided 23 95th% upper bound (UB) of β by assuming the distribution of β was normally distributed (which is 24 appropriate for the Cox Proportional Hazards model) as follows:

One-sided 95th% UB of $\beta = \beta + 1.645(se(\beta))$

1	= 0.4868 per mg CrO ₃ /m ³ -year +1.645 • (0.1145 per mg CrO ₃ /m ³ -year)
2	= 0.6752 per mg CrO ₃ /m ³ -year
3	= $(0.6752 \text{ per mg CrO}_3/\text{m}^3\text{-year}) / (520 \mu \text{g Cr(VI)}/\text{m}^3\text{-year})$
4	= 1.298×10^{-3} per µg Cr(VI)/m ³
5	This one-sided 95th% upper bound of β from the Cox Proportional Hazards analysis in <u>Gibb</u>

- 6 <u>et al. (2020)</u> will be used to derive an estimate of the IUR using a life-table analysis.
 - R&L regression results from the Baltimore, MD cohort are shown in Table 4-19.

Table 4-19. Results for relative exponential exposure-response (R&L) model adjusted for age and smoking. Table adapted from Table 2 of <u>Gibb et al.</u> (2020).

# Age groups ^a	Lag period (y)	β	SE(β)	$RR = \exp(\beta)$	95% CI(β)	-2 log(L)
1	0	0.454	0.098	1.57	1.30–1.91	9283.51
	5	0.454	0.098	1.57	1.30–1.91	9283.62
	10	0.451	0.101	1.55	1.29–1.91	9286.50
	15	0.414	0.108	1.51	1.22–1.87	9291.89
2	0	0.454	0.098	1.57	1.30–1.91	9283.50
	5	0.461	0.098	1.59	1.31–1.92	9282.79
	10	0.463	0.100	1.59	1.31–1.93	9284.08
	15	0.474	0.107	1.60	1.30–1.98	9286.46
3	0	0.915	0.047	2.50	2.28–2.74	8854.75
	5	0.933	0.048	2.59	2.31–2.79	8846.57
	10	0.982	0.050	2.67	2.42-2.94	8845.78
	15	1.088	0.056	2.97	2.66–3.31	8848.71
4	0	0.506	0.133	1.66	1.28–2.15	4327.08
	5	0.522	0.133	1.69	1.30–2.19	4326.07
	10	0.548	0.139	1.73	1.32-2.27	4325.97
	15	0.599	0.152	1.82	1.35–2.45	4325.95
5	0	1.179	0.036	3.25	3.03–3.49	8153.85
	5	1.246	0.036	3.48	3.24–3.73	8091.17
	10	1.387	0.040	4.00	3.70–4.33	8035.39
	15	1.559	0.044	4.75	4.36–5.18	8030.41
6	0	1.142	0.036	3.13	2.92-3.36	8253.33
	5	1.164	0.036	3.20	2.98-3.44	8235.51
	10	1.200	0.038	3.39	3.08-3.58	8238.56
	15	1.375	0.043	3.95	3.64-4.30	8223.38

Note: 1 mg $CrO_3 = 0.520$ mg Cr(VI).

7

^aOne age group (all ages, 15-96); two age groups (\geq 15 to 65 and \geq 65); three age groups (ages \geq 15 to 60, \geq 60 to \geq 70); four age groups (\geq 15 to 60, \geq 60 to 65, \geq 65 to 75, and \geq 75); five age groups (ages \geq 15 to 60, \geq 60 to 65, \geq 65 to 70, \geq 70 to 75, and \geq 75);six age groups (ages \geq 15 to 55, \geq 55 to 60, \geq 60 to 65, \geq 65 to 70, \geq 70 to 75, and \geq 75).

1	The R&L analysis based on four age groups fit the Baltimore, MD cohort better than the
2	analyses based on other numbers of age groups as evidenced by the lower fit statistics, and within
3	the 4-age group analysis, the fits were very similar for all lag periods, although the fit for the
4	15-year lag was slightly better than for the other lags—although not statistically better. Section
5	4.4.5 provides a sensitivity analysis across the different lag lengths.
6	The lung cancer effect estimate for the 15-year lag in Table 2 from <u>Gibb et al. (2020)</u> is
7	0.599 per mg CrO ₃ /m ³ -year and was converted to unit of per μ g Cr(VI)/m ³ -year as follows:
8 9	1 mg CrO ₃ /m ³ -year • [0.52 mg Cr(VI)/mg CrO ₃] • [1000 μ g/mg] = 520 μ g Cr(VI)/m ³ -year 5-year lag $\beta_{Cr(VI)}$ = 0.599 per mg CrO ₃ /m ³ -year = 0.599/(1 mg CrO ₃ /m ³ -year)
10	$= 0.599/(520 \ \mu g \ Cr(VI)/m^3-year)$
11	= 1.152×10^{-3} per µg Cr(VI)/m ³ -year
12	One-sided 95th% UB of $\beta = \beta + 1.645(se(\beta))$
13	= 0.599 per mg CrO_3/m^3 -year +1.645 • (0.152 per mg CrO_3/m^3 -year)
14	= 0.849 per mg CrO_3/m^3 -year
15	= (0.849 per mg CrO ₃ /m ³ -year) / (520 μg Cr(VI)/m ³)
16	= 1.633 × 10 ⁻³ per μg Cr(VI)/m ³
17	This one-sided 95th% upper bound of β from the R&L analysis in <u>Gibb et al. (2020)</u> will be
18	used to derive an estimate of the IUR using a life-table analysis.

4.4.3. Inhalation Unit Risk Derivation

19

4.4.3.1. Life-table analysis to derive an IUR

20 The β coefficients (slopes) for lung cancer risks attributable to cumulative exposures to 21 Cr(VI) from the <u>Gibb et al. (2020)</u> are used in life-table analyses to predict the risk of cancer as a 22 result of the exposure over a lifetime. The life-table analysis divides a lifetime into small 23 age-specific intervals and sums the risks of lung cancer incidence in each age group in the presence 24 and absence of Cr(VI) exposure. This is done to assess the age-specific risk of lung cancer incidence 25 while accounting for competing causes of death. The lung cancer risk in a particular year of life is 26 conditional on the assumption that the individual is alive, and at risk of incident lung cancer, at the 27 start of the year for each age-specific interval. Consequently, the risk of a Cr(VI)-related lung cancer 28 within a specified year of life is calculated as a function of (1) the probability of being alive at the 29 start of the year, (2) the background probability of getting lung cancer, and (3) the increased risk of 30 getting lung cancer from Cr(VI) exposure within the specified year. The lifetime risk is then the sum 31 of all the yearly risks. This procedure is performed to calculate the lifetime risk both for an 32 unexposed individual (R0) and for an individual with exposure to Cr(VI) (Rx).

1 "Extra risk" for lung cancer is a calculation of risk which adjusts for background incidence

2 rates of lung cancer, by estimating risk at a specified exposure level and is calculated as follows

3 (<u>U.S. EPA, 2012a</u>):

4 Extra Risk = (Rx - R0) / (1 - R0)5 The inhalation unit risk (IUR) is the risk of incident lung cancer per unit concentration 6 $(\mu g/m^3)$ in inhaled air. The unit risk is calculated by using life-table analysis to find the exposure 7 concentration (EC) that yields a 1% (0.01) extra risk of lung cancer. The 1% value is referred to as 8 the Benchmark Response (BMR). This 1% value is used because lung cancer is a severe adverse 9 effect and 1% also represents a lung cancer response level that is near the low end of the 10 observable range (U.S. EPA, 2012a). This is also consistent with EPA's Benchmark Dose Technical 11 *Guidance* (U.S. EPA, 2012b), which notes that a BMR of 1% is typically used for epidemiological data 12 since higher values may involve upward extrapolation. 13 Because a mutagenic mode of action for Cr(VI) carcinogenicity (see Section 3.2.3) is 14 "sufficiently supported in (laboratory) animals" and "relevant to humans," EPA used a linear low 15 dose extrapolation from the POD in accordance with Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment 16 (U.S. EPA, 2005a). Given the EC associated with a 1% extra risk (EC_{01}), the unit risk is the slope of a 17 linear exposure-response line from the origin through the EC₀₁: 18 Unit Risk = $0.01 / EC_{01}$

A unit risk value may be calculated based on both the best estimate (β) and the one-sided
95% upper confidence bound (UB) on the best estimate. The value based on the one-sided upper
95% confidence bound is normally used for decision-making, since it corresponds to a one-sided
lower 5% confidence bound (LB) on the exposure level yielding 1% extra risk (LEC₀₁).

23 IUR = 0.01 / LEC₀₁

24 Life-table calculations require as input the all-cause mortality rates and lung cancer 25 incidence rate for the general U.S. population in each year of life. The all-cause mortality data were 26 obtained from the National Vital Statistics Report Vol 68 No 7 Table 1 (Arias et al. (2017), which 27 provides data from the U.S. population in 2017. Lung cancer incidence rates were obtained by 28 downloading 2017 data for malignant neoplasms of bronchus and lung (ICD-10 C33-C34) from CDC 29 WONDER⁷³. Because cause-specific rates were given for 5-year intervals, the cause-specific rate for 30 each 5-year interval was applied to each age within the interval. 31 The detailed equations for calculating lifetime excess cancer risk for a specified exposure 32 concentration in the presence of competing risks are based on the approach used by NRC (1988) for

evaluating lung cancer risks from radon. The equations are detailed in Appendix E. The SAS code for

⁷³<u>http://wonder.cdc.gov/ucd-icd10.html</u>.

- 1 lung cancer life-table analysis was provided to EPA by NIOSH⁷⁴ and was adapted for use by
- 2 (1) entering the data noted above; (2) adding adjustment factors to account for differences between
- 3 occupational exposures and non-occupational exposure; (3) adding an equation to compute extra
- 4 risk; and (4) adding a macro to solve for the EC_{01} or the LEC_{01} . The SAS codes for performing the
- 5 lung cancer life-table calculations are provided in Appendix E.
- 6 The adjustment factors to account for differences between occupational exposures and non-
- 7 occupational exposure follow EPA guidance (<u>U.S. EPA, 2009</u>) that acknowledges there are
- 8 differences in breathing rates between workers (10 m³ per 8-hour day) and non-workers (20 m³
- 9 per 24-hour day) and that workers are exposed 240 days per year while non-workers are exposed
- 10 365 days per year (U.S. EPA, 2016c, 2014e, 2012d, 2011d). Thus, a worker is assumed to inhale
- 12 2,400 m³ of workplace air per year while a non-worker is assumed to inhale 7,300 m³ of air per
- 12 year. Since the effect estimates for Cr(VI) effects on lung cancer risks are in terms of 'per
- 13 occupational year', the life-table procedure adjusts for the differences in air volume breathed per
- 14 year to represent non-occupational exposures.
- 15 16

4.4.3.2. Summary of lifetime unit risk estimates—not accounting for assumed increased early-life susceptibility

- 17 The derivation of the unit risk—not accounting for assumed increased early-life
- 18 susceptibility—is based upon the two main regression modeling results in <u>Gibb et al. (2020)</u>:
- 19 (1) the Cox Proportional Hazard model with exposure lagged by 5 years, and (2) the R&L model
- 20 with four age groups and exposure lagged by 15 years. Note that this estimate of the unit risk is
- 21 based on the assumption that the relative risks or hazard ratios are independent of age.

	Table in	β (Slope) per mg CrO₃/m³		β (Slope) β (Slope) per mg CrO₃/m³ per μg Cr(VI)/m³		Exposure Concentration associated with BMR (1% Extra Risk) [µg Cr(VI)/m ³]		Lifetime Unit Risk [per µg Cr(VI)/m ³]	
Source	original	MLE	95% UB	MLE	95% UB	EC ₀₁ MLE	LEC ₀₁ 5% LB	MLE	95% UB
<u>Gibb et al.</u> (<u>2020)</u> Cox PH Model	Table 1 5-year lag	0.487	0.675	9.36 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.30 x 10 ⁻³	1.25	0.899	8.02 x 10 ⁻³	1.11 x 10 ⁻²
<u>Gibb et al.</u> (<u>2020)</u> R&L Model	Table 2 4 age groups 15-year lag	0.599	0.849	1.15 x 10 ⁻³	1.63 x 10 ⁻³	1.35	0.952	7.41 x 10 ⁻³	1.05 x 10 ⁻²

Table 4-20. Calculation of lifetime cancer unit risk estimate not accounting forassumed increased early-life susceptibility

⁷⁴Beta Version. SAS 30NOV18, provided by Randall Smith, National Institute for Occupational Safety & Health.

1 The results from the Cox model yielded an estimate of the lifetime unit risk of 1.11×10^{-2}

- 2 per μ g Cr(VI)/m³ while the results from the R&L model yielded an estimate of the lifetime unit risk
- 3 of 1.05×10^{-2} per μ g Cr(VI)/m³. These two estimates are very close to each other and thus mutually
- 4 support one another. EPA advanced the estimate of the lifetime unit risk derived from the Cox
- 5 proportional hazards models with an exposure lag of 5 years for the following reasons: (1) the Cox
- 6 proportional hazards model is a well-established method for epidemiological analyses that is
- 7 commonly used in cohort studies, and (2) the results from this type of model have been used as the
- 8 basis for EPA IRIS IUR derivations for lung cancer (<u>U.S. EPA, 2014e</u>), breast cancer (<u>U.S. EPA</u>,
- 9 <u>2016c</u>) and lymphohematopoietic cancer (<u>U.S. EPA, 2016c</u>). In the absence of evidence of early-life
- 10 susceptibility, the lifetime unit risk for lung cancer caused by inhalation exposure to Cr(VI) is
- 11 considered to be best estimated as 1.11×10^{-2} per µg Cr(VI)/m³.
- 12 Because a mutagenic mode of action for Cr(VI) carcinogenicity (see Section 3.2.3) is
- 13 "sufficiently supported in (laboratory) animals" and "relevant to humans," and as there are no
- 14 chemical-specific data to evaluate the differences between adults and children, increased early-life
- 15 susceptibility should be assumed. If there is early-life exposure, age-dependent adjustment factors
- 16 (ADAFs) are applied, as appropriate, in accordance with the EPA's *Supplemental Guidance for*
- 17 Assessing Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens (U.S. EPA, 2005b). See Section 4.4.4
- 18 below for more details on the application of ADAFs.

4.4.4. Application of age-dependent adjustment factors

- 19 The derivation of the IUR when increased early-life susceptibility should be assumed is 20 based on the same main Cox proportional hazards regression modeling results with exposure 21 lagged by 5 years (<u>Gibb et al., 2020</u>). The process for deriving an IUR when increased early-life 22 susceptibility should be assumed involves an initial estimation of a unit risk based only on 23 adult-only exposures (<u>U.S. EPA, 2016c</u>), followed by the application of age-dependent adjustment 24 factors to age-specific risks for children under age 16 years, and a summary of risks across all ages 25 weighted by the age-dependent adjustment factors. This is accomplished with several steps.
- The first step is to apply the effect estimate (i.e., the MLE β) from the Baltimore, MD cohort and the 95% UB in a life-table initiating exposures at 16 years of age—instead of at birth.
 This process estimates the unit risks for the 54-year period between age 16 years and age 70 years (IRIS' assumption of a lifetime).
- The values of the EC01 and LEC01 are derived in the same way using the life-table
 procedure.
- These EC01 and LEC01 values are then divided into the benchmark response of 1% to
 compute the 'adult-exposure-only' unit risk estimates.
- The 'adult-exposure-only' unit risk estimates are multiplied by 70/54 to rescale the 54-year
 adult period to 70 years. This yields the 'adult-based' lifetime unit risk.

The last step is to apply the ADAFs which adjust the 'adult-based' lifetime age-specific unit risk for children ages less than two years upwards by 10-fold during those years of life, and the unit risk for children ages 2–15 upwards by 3-fold during those years of life, and then applies the unadjusted 'adult-based' lifetime unit risk for people aged 16–70 during those years of life. The weighted sum of these three partial unit risks is the ADAF-adjusted lifetime IUR.

	β (SI per μg C	ope) r(VI)/m³	Exposure Concentration associated with BMR (1% Extra Risk) Starting exposure at age 16 years [µg Cr(VI)/m ³]		Adult-exposure-only Unit Risk [per μg Cr(VI)/m³] (54 years)		Adult-based Unit Risk [per μg Cr(VI)/m³] (70 years)	
Source	MLE	95% UB	EC ₀₁ (16+) MLE	LEC ₀₁ (16+) 5% LB	MLE	95% UB	MLE	95% UB
<u>Gibb et al. (2020)</u> Cox PH Model 5-year lag	9.36 × 10 ⁻⁴	1.30 × 10 ⁻³	1.64	1.18	6.12 × 10 ⁻³	8.48 × 10 ⁻³	7.93 × 10 ⁻³	1.10 × 10 ⁻²

Table 4-21. Calculation of total cancer unit risk estimate from adult-onlyexposure

7 The results from the Cox model yielded an estimate of the 'adult-based' unit risk of 1.10×10^{-2} per µg Cr(VI)/m³. Application of the ADAFs to the 'adult-based' (rescaled as discussed above) 9 unit risk estimate for Cr(VI) for a lifetime inhalation exposure scenario is presented below. The 10 unit risk for each age group is the product of the values for the ADAF, the adult-based unit risk, 11 and the duration adjustment in columns 2–4 [e.g., $10 \times (1.10 \times 10^{-2}) \times 2/70 = 3.14 \times 10^{-3}$], and 12 the total risk is the sum of the partial risks. This lifetime inhalation unit risk estimate for a 13 constant exposure of 1 µg Cr(VI)/m³ is adjusted for potential increased early-life susceptibility,

14 assuming a 70-year lifetime.

Table 4-22. Total cancer risk from exposure to constant Cr(VI) exposure level of 1 μ g/m³ from ages 0–70 years, adjusted for potential increased early-life susceptibility

Age Group	ADAF	Adult-based unit risk (per μg Cr(VI)/m ³)	Duration adjustment	Unit risk [per μg Cr(VI)/m³]
0–<2 years	10	1.10×10^{-2}	2 years/70 years	3.14 × 10 ⁻³
2-<16 years	3	1.10×10^{-2}	14 years/70 years	6.60×10^{-3}
≥16 years	1	1.10×10^{-2}	54 years/70 years	8.49 × 10 ⁻³
			Total Lifetime Risk	1.82 × 10 ⁻²

The lifetime inhalation unit risk for Cr(VI) is 1.82×10^{-2} per µg Cr(VI)/m³. This value is rounded to 2×10^{-2} per µg Cr(VI)/m³.

1 If calculating the cancer risk for a 30-year exposure to a constant average concentration of 2 0.01 µg hexavalent chromium/m³ from ages 0 to 30 years, the duration adjustments would be 2/70, 3 4 $\times 0.01 \times 14/70 = 6.6 \times 10^{-5}$), and $(1 \times 0.011 \times 0.01 \times 14/70 = 2.2 \times 10^{-5})$, resulting in a total risk 5 estimate of 1.2×10^{-4} . 6 If calculating the cancer risk for a 30-year exposure to a constant average average 7 concentration of 0.01 μ g hexavalent chromium/m³ from ages 20 to 50 years, the duration 8 adjustments would be 0/70, 0/70, and 30/70, and the partial risks would be 0, 0, and $(1 \times 0.011 \times 0.011)$

9 $0.01 \times 30/70 = 4.7 \times 10^{-5}$), resulting in a total risk estimate of 4.7×10^{-5} .

4.4.5. Uncertainties in the Derivation of the Inhalation Unit Risk

Several potential sources of uncertainty were identified in the derivation of the Cr(VI)
inhalation unit risks. As discussed below, these were not found to be major influences in this
evaluation—including two potential sources of uncertainties generally associated with larger
uncertainty (model uncertainty and low dose extrapolations).

14

- Sources of uncertainty in this assessment are outlined below.
- 15
- 16

4.4.5.1. Uncertainty in exposure assessment

17 Routine air sampling was initiated after construction of the new Baltimore, MD facility in 18 1950 and followed written documentation specifying strategies for air sampling. Sampling was 19 intended to represent the "typical/usual exposures" to workers (Gibb et al., 2000b). Table 4-23 20 below details the sampling regimen over time. In constructing the job-exposure-matrix to assign 21 individual exposure for each worker, Gibb et al. (2000b) relied on approximately 70,000 22 measurements across the study period. While the sampling regimes changed over time and can 23 reasonably be expected to have improved in quantity and specificity, the samples were collected 24 methodically and used the same analytical method for assessing Cr(VI) concentration in dust over 25 the study period (<u>Gibb et al., 2000b</u>). 26 These exposure estimates were used to construct a job-exposure-matrix (JEM) for each of 27 the 114 job titles in each of the 36 years of the study period. According to Gibb et al. (2000b), the 28 [EM was "virtually complete" for the later years (1971–1985) and "fairly complete" for the early 29 years from 1950–1956 and 1960–1961. While the sampling records for nine years could not be

30 located, those values were imputed based on existing data to model those job-specific exposure

31 values. EPA considered uncertainty to be low for the 24 out of 36 years when sampling records was

32 available and low-to-medium for the missing years that were bookended by actual sampling values.

- 33 As exposures may reasonably be assumed to have decreased over the study period as industrial
- 34 hygiene practices improved, the interpolation between higher and lower exposure periods was
- 35 likely to have captured those interim exposure concentrations.

Exposure measurement system	Years implemented	Frequency and duration
Airborne dust via high-volume air sampling pumps and impingers, with sampling wand held in worker breathing zone.	1950–1961	Short-term samples (tens of minutes).
24-hour routine measurements (fixed-site monitors) using 20 tape air samplers (Research Appliance Co., Allison Park, PA). Observation of how much workers spent in the vicinity of each of these monitors.	Mid-1960s- 1979 1979-1985	24 1-hour samples. Samplers rotated through 154 fixed sites representing exposure zones. After 1979, frequency reduced to 8 3-hour samples, and number of fixed sites reduced to 27.
Routine personal sample collection using NIOSH standard method P and CAM 169 (<u>NIOSH, 1972</u>).	1977–1985	Full-shift sampling.

Table 4-23. Overview of air sampling program for the Baltimore cohort evaluated by Gibb et al., (<u>2015</u>; <u>2000b</u>)

1 4.4.5.2. Uncertainty in the exposure metric

2 Gibb et al. (2000b) fit multiple models of lung cancer risks using untransformed and 3 transformed cumulative exposure to Cr(VI) with log base-10 transformed Cr(VI) providing the 4 better overall model fit. Gibb et al. (2015) also reported updated lung cancer results based on log 5 base-10 of cumulative exposure to Cr(VI). While log transformation of concentration-based 6 cumulative exposure is commonplace in epidemiological analyses because those concentrations are 7 often log-normally distributed, risk calculation based on log-transformed exposure suffer from 8 exposure-response irregularities such as zero risk whenever the exposure has a numerical value of 9 one (in any units) [i.e., log10(1) = 0 or ln(1) = 0], and when risks are extrapolated below one unit of 10 exposure, the sign of the risk estimate flips from positive to negative such that lower exposure 11 appears to be health protective as an artifact of the transformation. For the purpose of estimating 12 an IUR, exposure-response results in terms of untransformed cumulative exposures to Cr(VI) can 13 be more useful than log-transformed exposures. <u>Gibb et al. (2020)</u> reported risks of lung cancer 14 associated with untransformed cumulative Cr(VI). While a transformed exposure may provide a 15 better overall model across the entire range of exposures in a study, as in the case of Gibb et al. 16 (2020), those model results did not meet the needs for estimating an IUR based on a POD in the low 17 exposure range, and thus EPA selected the results from the models based on untransformed 18 cumulative Cr(VI)—even if there is some uncertainty concerning the relative fits of different 19 exposure metrics. 20 The two candidate IUR's are based on the same cohort that was most highly rated and 21 preferred on the majority of additional considerations for exposure-response, there are some 22 aspects of the specific modeling details that were further considered in order to judge their 23 potential impact on the IUR. Specifically, the exposure lags and the number of age groups that 24 yielded the better overall fits, often the fit differences were small enough so as to be essentially

25 equal in fit. Three additional sets of candidate unit risks were derived to show the differences in

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy. 4-70 DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

- 1 those values had those combinations been selected instead, and to allow for comparison between
- 2 the two candidate IURs on a common basis of exposure lag length.

Table 4-24. Variation in unit risks among the Cox Proportional Hazards model results by lag length

Cox Proportional Hazards	Lag period (y) in <u>Gibb et al.</u>	(2020) matched in life-table
	Lifetime Unit Risk (95%UB)	Lifetime Unit Risk (95%UB) with
Lag period (y) in <u>Gibb et al.</u>	without ADAFs	ADAFs
<u>(2020)</u>	[per µg Cr(VI)/m³]	[per µg Cr(VI)/m³]
0	1.16×10^{-2}	2.00×10^{-2}
5	1.11×10^{-2}	1.82×10^{-2}
10	1.05×10^{-2}	1.64×10^{-2}
15	9.82 × 10 ⁻³	1.47 × 10 ⁻²

3

4.4.5.3. Uncertainty in the outcome metric

Lung cancer mortality was ascertained from death certificates according to specific codes
from the International Classification of Diseases—eighth edition, and this coding system and those
of previous editions have been stable over time. Uncertainty is considered to be very low for lung
cancer mortality.

8

4.4.5.4. Uncertainty due to length of follow-up

9 There is little potential uncertainty regarding the length of follow-up for cancer mortality.
10 The hire dates among this cohort ranged from August 1, 1950 to December 31, 1974 (the mean date
11 of hire was mid-1957) (Gibb et al., 2000b). Follow-up continued until the date of death, age
12 96 years, or December 31, 2011, whichever occurred first. Therefore, the range of follow-up was
13 from 37 to 61 years, with a mean of more than 38 years.

14

4.4.5.5. Uncertainty in model form

15 For lung cancer mortality, the Cox proportional hazards model is a well-established method 16 for epidemiological analyses that is commonly used in cohort studies because this type of survival 17 analysis takes into account differences in follow-up time among the cohort and is approximately 18 linear at low exposures. This model form allows for the evaluation and control of important 19 potential confounding factors such as age and smoking, and for the modeling of exposure as a 20 continuous variable. There is little uncertainty in the choice of model form. Additionally, the R&L 21 model is an alternative approach to the Poisson model and results from this modeling yielded 22 similar results which further reduces the uncertainty in the choice of model form.

14.4.5.6. Uncertainty in control of potential confounding in modeling lung cancer2mortality.

3 It is well known that smoking is a strong independent risk factor for lung cancer. Company 4 medical records provided smoking status at the beginning of employment for 91% of the cohort 5 (Yes/No/Unknown); 74% smoked cigarettes, 16% did not smoke, and smoking status was 6 unknown for 9% (Gibb et al., 2000b). No information on pack-years of smoking or how smoking 7 status may have changed over time was available. As an important potential confounder of the lung 8 cancer mortality analysis, smoking was controlled for in the analyses of lung cancer mortality 9 associated with exposure Cr(VI) (Gibb et al., 2020; 2015; 2000b). Each of the Cox proportional 10 hazards analyses showed that smoking at the beginning of employment was a strong predictor of 11 lung cancer risk. While additional information on the cumulative exposure to smoking may have 12 been helpful to more completely control for smoking, it is clear that as measured, smoking was a 13 strong independent predictor of lung cancer risks and was independent of cumulative Cr(VI) 14 exposure as it was measured at the beginning of employment. There remains some low uncertainty 15 as to any potential residual confounding that might be attributed to lack of smoking data on 9% of 16 the cohort and the lack of information on any changes in smoking over time. However, the 17 Baltimore cohort had much better data on smoking compared to the Painesville cohort, and thus

18 the selection of the Baltimore cohort minimizes the potential for confounding by smoking among

19 the available cohorts.

20 4.4.5.7. Uncertainty due to potential effect modification

21 Among the 217 deaths from lung cancer in workers, only four were among nonsmokers 22 (Gibb et al., 2015) and the investigators were unable to evaluate any potential statistical interaction 23 between smoking and Cr(VI) exposure. It is theoretically possible that the risk of lung cancer 24 mortality estimated in this current assessment is a reflection of a positive synergy between 25 smoking and Cr(VI), and that the adverse effect of Cr(VI) among nonsmokers has been 26 overestimated. However, this possibility cannot be assessed and remains an uncertainty. The unit 27 risk of the lung cancer risk herein would be health protective for any population that had a lower prevalence of smoking than that of the Baltimore cohort. 28

29

4.4.5.8. Uncertainty in low dose extrapolation

30 A common source of uncertainty in quantitative cancer risk assessments generally derives 31 from extrapolating from high doses in animals to low doses in humans. Compared to assessments 32 based on animal data, the uncertainty from low-dose extrapolation in this assessment, which uses 33 occupational epidemiology data, is considered to be low because the POD was well within the range 34 of observed exposure data. The POD for lung cancer was based on 1% extra risk and yielded an 35 LEC₀₁ of 0.899 μ g Cr(VI)/m³ from the Cox analysis and 0.951 μ g Cr(VI)/m³ from the R&L analysis. 36 Table 2 of <u>Gibb et al. (2015)</u> shows that the median cumulative exposure to CrO_3 was 0.01 mg 37 CrO_3/m^3 -years and the 25%-tile of CrO_3 was 0.001 mg CrO_3/m^3 -years, and the minimum was zero.

- Converting to µg Cr(VI)/m³, the median was 52 µg Cr(VI)/m³ and the 25%-tile was 5.2 µg
 Cr(VI)/m³. Here the PODs appear to be between the minimum and the 25%-tile and thus not
 outside the range of observed exposures. Thus, there is little uncertainty in extrapolation of the risk
 function below the POD associated with a 1% BMR.
- 5

4.4.5.9. Uncertainty in extrapolation of findings in adults to children.

6 The analysis of lung cancer mortality using the Cox proportional hazards model assumed 7 that the effect was independent of age, while the analysis using the R&L approach allowed for 8 effects to be different by age group—although this analysis did not provide any estimates of what 9 the age effect was beyond showing that the relatively younger cohort members appeared to be at 10 higher risk of lung cancer mortality than the older cohort members. Given that both of these 11 analyses yielded approximately the same estimate of the IUR, it appears that while there may be an 12 age-related effect of Cr(VI) exposure on the risk of lung cancer, two different analyses that treated 13 age differently yielded essentially the same unit risk when the life-table analysis assumed that the 14 effect was independent of age. 15 However, Cr(VI) was found to cause cancer by a mutagenic mode of action, and chemical-16 specific data are not available to address early-life exposure. According to EPA's Supplemental

- 17 *Guidance for Assessing Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens* (U.S. EPA, 2005b),
- 18 ADAF are applied for children and risks were based on application of age-dependent risk modifiers
- 19 of an "adult-only" unit risks such that effect were independent of age among people age 16 years
- 20 and older. There is some uncertainty that these default ADAF would be health-protective of
- 21 children although this uncertainty is considered to be low.
- 22 The inhalation unit risk (IUR) is a plausible upper bound on the estimate of risk per $\mu g/m^3$ 23 air breathed. The IUR can be multiplied by an estimate of lifetime exposure (in $\mu g/m^3$) to estimate 24 the lifetime cancer risk.

4.4.6. Previous IRIS Assessment: Inhalation Unit Risk

- The previous IRIS assessment for hexavalent chromium was posted to the IRIS database in
 1998. EPA's 1998 IRIS assessment classified Cr(VI) as "Group A—known human carcinogen by the
 inhalation route of exposure" under the 1986 classification guidelines. This was based on evidence
 of a causal relationship between inhalation of Cr(VI) and increased incidence of lung cancer in
 humans in occupational settings. An inhalation unit risk (IUR) for Cr(VI) of 1.2 × 10⁻² per µg/m³
 was calculated based on increased incidence of lung cancer in chromate workers (Mancuso, 1997,
- 31 <u>1975</u>). Because Mancuso et al. (<u>1997</u>, <u>1975</u>) only provided total chromium data, there was
- 32 uncertainty in the 1998 IUR which led to an underestimation of risk.

REFERENCES

1	Abbaspour, N; Hurrell, R; Kelishadi, R. (2014). Review on iron and its importance for human health
2	[Review]. J Res Med Sci 19: 164-174.
3	ACC (American Chemistry Council). (2015). Pathology peer slide review of non-neoplastic
4	findings in the duodenum of mice and rats treated with sdd in acc-ts and ntp
5	studies.
6	Acharya, S; Mehta, K; Krishnan, S; Rao, CV. (2001). A subtoxic interactive toxicity study of ethanol
7	and chromium in male Wistar rats. Alcohol 23: 99-108. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0741-</u>
8	<u>8329(00)00139-7</u>
9	<u>Acharya, UR; Mishra, M; Mishra, I; Tripathy, RR.</u> (2004). Potential role of vitamins in chromium
10	induced spermatogenesis in Swiss mice. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol 15: 53-59.
11	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2003.08.010
12	Acharya, UR; Mishra, M; Tripathy, RR; Mishra, I. (2006). Testicular dysfunction and antioxidative
13	defense system of Swiss mice after chromic acid exposure. Reprod Toxicol 22: 87-91.
14	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2005.11.004
15	Adachi, S. (1987). [Effects of chromium compounds on the respiratory system: Part 5. Long term
10	innalation of chromic acid mist in electroplating by C57BL female mice and recapitulation of
1/ 10	our experimental studies]. Sangyo Igaku 29: 17-33.
10	Adachi S. Vashimura H. Katayama H. Takamata K (1996) [Effects of chromium compounds on
20	the respiratory system: Part 4. Long term inhalation of chromic acid mist in electronlating
20	to ICR female micel Sangyo Jgaku 28: 283-287 http://dx.doi.org/10.1539/joh1959.28.283
22	Adachi S: Yoshimura H: Miyayama R: Katayama H: Takemoto K: Kawai H (1981) [Effects of
23	chromium compounds to the respiratory system: Part 1. An experimental study of
24	inhalation of chromic acid mist in electroplating]. Sangyo Igaku 23: 294-299.
25	http://dx.doi.org/10.1539/ioh1959.23.294
26	AEI (Applied Epidemiology Inc). (2002). Collaborative cohort mortality study of four chromate
27	production facilities, 1958-1998: Final report. (8EHQ-0810-18050; 0SHA Docket H054A Ex.
28	48–1-2). Pittsburgh, PA: Industrial Health Foundation.
29	https://ntrl.ntis.gov/NTRL/dashboard/searchResults/titleDetail/OTS0602411.xhtml
30	Ahmad, MK; Syma, S; Mahmood, R. (2011). Cr(VI) Induces Lipid Peroxidation, Protein Oxidation and
31	Alters the Activities of Antioxidant Enzymes in Human Erythrocytes. Biol Trace Elem Res
32	144: 426-435. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12011-011-9119-5</u>
33	Akbar, M; Brewer, JM; Grant, MH. (2011). Effect of chromium and cobalt ions on primary human
34	lymphocytes in vitro. J Immunotoxicol 8: 140-149.
35	http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/1547691X.2011.553845
36	<u>Al-Hamood, MH; Elbetieha, A; Bataineh, H.</u> (1998). Sexual maturation and fertility of male and
37	female mice exposed prenatally and postnatally to trivalent and hexavalent chromium
38	compounds. Reprod Fertil Dev 10: 179-183.
39	<u>Alao, JP. (2007)</u> . The regulation of cyclin D1 degradation: Roles in cancer development and the
4U 41	potential for therapeutic invention [Keview]. Mol Cancer 6: 24.
4⊥ ∕\)	IIIII://UX.U0I.012/10.1180/14/0-4598-0-24 Alexander I. Asseth J. (1995). Untake of chromate in human red blood calls and isolated ret liver
42 13	<u>Alexanuer, J. Raseur, J.</u> (1975). Optake of chroniate in numan red blood cens and isofaled fat liver
45 11	tens. the role of the amon carrier. Analyst 120: $951-955$.
44	$\frac{1100}{1000} 1000000000000000000000000000000000000$

1	<u>Alexander, J: Aaseth, J: Norseth, T.</u> (1982). Uptake of chromium by rat liver mitochondria.
2	Toxicology 24: 115-122. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0300-483X(82)90050-6</u>
3	<u>Alexander, J: Mikalsen, A: Ryberg, D.</u> (1986). Microsomal reduction of Cr VI. Acta Pharmacol Toxicol
4	59: 267-269. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0773.1986.tb02759.x</u>
5	<u>Alexopoulos, EC; Cominos, X; Trougakos, IP; Lourda, M; Gonos, ES; Makropoulos, V.</u> (2008).
6	Biological monitoring of hexavalent chromium and serum levels of the senescence
7	biomarker Apolipoprotein J/Clusterin in welders. Bioinorganic Chemistry and Applications
8	2008: 420578. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2008/420578</u>
9	<u>Alguacil, J: Porta, M; Kauppinen, T; Malats, N; Kogevinas, M; Carrato, A; Grp, PIS. (2003).</u>
10	Occupational exposure to dyes, metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and other agents
11	and K-ras activation in human exocrine pancreatic cancer. Int J Cancer 107: 635-641.
12	http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ijc.11431
13	<u>Ali, AH; Kondo, K; Namura, T; Senba, Y; Takizawa, H; Nakagawa, Y; Toba, H; Kenzaki, K; Sakiyama, S;</u>
14	<u>Tangoku, A.</u> (2011). Aberrant DNA methylation of some tumor suppressor genes in lung
15	cancers from workers with chromate exposure. Mol Carcinog 50: 89-99.
16	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mc.20697</u>
17	<u>Almaguer, D; Kramkowski, R.</u> (1983). Health hazard evaluation report no. HETA-82-116-1319,
18	Modine Manufacturing, McHenry, Illinois. Cincinnati, OH: National Institute for Occupational
19	Safety and Health.
20	<u>Andersen, A; Barlow, L; Engeland, A; Kjaerheim, K; Lynge, E; Pukkala, E.</u> (1999). Work-related
21	cancer in the Nordic countries [Supplemental Data]. Scand J Work Environ Health 25: 1-116.
22	Andrews, R; O'Connor, PF. (2020). NIOSH manual of analytical methods (NMAM), 5th edition.
23	Washington, DC: National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.
24	https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/nmam/default.html
25	<u>Anger, G; Halstenberg, J; Hochgeschwender, K; Scherhag, C; Korallus, U; Knopf, H; Schmidt, P;</u>
26	Ohlinger, M. (2005). Chromium compounds. In B Elvers (Ed.), Ullmann's Encyclopedia of
27	Industrial Chemistry (7th ed., pp. 1-36). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co.
28	http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/14356007.a07 067
29	Antonini, JM; Lawryk, NJ; Murthy, GG; Brain, JD. (1999). Effect of welding fume solubility on lung
30	macrophage viability and function in vitro. J Toxicol Environ Health A 58: 343-363.
31	http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/009841099157205
32	Antonini, JM; Roberts, JR; Chapman, RS; Soukup, JM; Ghio, AJ; Sriram, K. (2010a). Pulmonary toxicity
33	and extrapulmonary tissue distribution of metals after repeated exposure to different
34	welding fumes. Inhal Toxicol 22: 805-816.
35	http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/08958371003621641
36	Antonini, JM; Taylor, MD; Zimmer, AT; Roberts, JR. (2010b). Pulmonary responses to welding
37	fumes: Role of metal constituents [Review]. J Toxicol Environ Health A 67: 233-249.
38	http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15287390490266909
39	Anwar, RA; Langham, RF; Hoppert, CA; Alfredson, BV; Byerrum, RU. (1961). Chronic toxicity
40	studies: III. Chronic toxicity of cadmium and chromium in dogs. Arch Environ Health 3: 456-
41	460.
42	<u>Aoki, Y; Matsumoto, M; Matsumoto, M; Masumura, K; Nohmi, T.</u> (2019). Mutant frequency is not
43	increased in mice orally exposed to sodium dichromate. Food Saf 7: 2-10.
44	http://dx.doi.org/10.14252/foodsafetyfscj.2018014
45 4C	<u>Apte, AD; 1 are, V; Bose, P. (2006). Extent of oxidation of Cr(III) to Cr(VI) under various conditions</u>
40	pertaining to natural environment. J Hazard Mater 128: 164-174.
4/	<u>nttp://ax.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.0/.05/</u>
4ð 40	Arakawa, H; Anmad, K; Naoui, M; Tajmir-Kiani, HA. (2000). A comparative study of call thymus DNA
49 50	binding to Cr(III) and Cr(VI) ions. Evidence for the guanine N-/-chromium-phosphate
50	cheiate formation. J Biol Chem 275: 10150-10153.

1	<u>Arakawa, H; Weng, MW; Chen, WC; Tang, MS.</u> (2012). Chromium (VI) induces both bulky DNA
2	adducts and oxidative DNA damage at adenines and guanines in the p53 gene of human lung
3	cells. Carcinogenesis 33: 1993-2000. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgs237</u>
4	Arias, E; Heron, M; Xu, J. (2017). United States life tables, 2013. National Vital Statistics Reports; vol
5	66 no 3. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics.
6	<u>Armienta-Hernández, MA; Rodríguez-Castillo, R.</u> (1995). Environmental exposure to chromium
7	compounds in the valley of León, México. Environ Health Perspect 103 Suppl 1: 47-51.
8	<u>Arshad, M; Ahmad, N; Khalid, M; Asmatullah; Tahir, M; Naveed, K; Iqbal, A.</u> (2017). Exposure of
9	pregnant mice to hexavalent chromium causes fetal defects. Pakistan J Zool 49: 1383-1389.
10	http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.pjz/2017.49.4.1383.1389
11	<u>Aruldhas, MM; Subramanian, S; Sekar, P; Vengatesh, G; Chandrahasan, G; Govindarajulu, P;</u>
12	<u>Akbarsha, MA.</u> (2005). Chronic chromium exposure-induced changes in testicular
13	histoarchitecture are associated with oxidative stress: study in a non-human primate
14	(Macaca radiata Geoffroy). Hum Reprod 20: 2801-2813.
15	http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dei148
16	<u>Aruldhas, MM; Subramanian, S; Sekhar, P; Hasan, GC; Govindarajulu, P; Akbarsha, MA. (2004).</u>
17	Microcanalization in the epididymis to overcome ductal obstruction caused by chronic
18	exposure to chromium - a study in the mature bonnet monkey (Macaca radiata Geoffroy).
19	Reproduction 128: 127-137. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1530/rep.1.00067</u>
20	<u>Aruldhas, MM; Subramanian, S; Sekhar, P; Vengatesh, G; Govindarajulu, P; Akbarsha, MA.</u> (2006). In
21	vivo spermatotoxic effect of chromium as reflected in the epididymal epithelial principal
22	cells, basal cells, and intraepithelial macrophages of a nonhuman primate (Macaca radiata
23	Geoffroy). Fertil Steril 86: 1097-1105. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2006.03.025</u>
24	<u>Asatiani, N; Abuladze, M; Kartvelishvili, T; Kulikova, N; Asanishvili, L; Holman, HY; Sapojnikova, N.</u>
25	(2010). Response of antioxidant defense system to chromium (VI)-induced cytotoxicity in
26	human diploid cells. Biometals 23: 161-172. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10534-009-9276-</u>
27 20	<u>D</u> Acctioni N. Kertuslishvili T. Abuladza M. Accuichvili I. Consinitava N. (2011). Chromium (III) con
28	Asatiani, N; Kartvelishvili, 1; Abuladze, M; Asamshvili, L; Sapojnikova, N. (2011). Unromium (VI) Can
29 20	http://dy.doi.org/10.1007/c12011.010.9206 y
30 21	<u>Inttp://ux.uoi.org/10.100//S12011-010-6600-y</u> Acetiani N. Sanajnikova N. Abuladza M. Kartualichvili T. Kulikova N. Kiziria F. Namchovadza F.
3J 2T	Holman, HV (2004) Effects of Cr(VI) long-term and low-dose action on mammalian
22 22	antioxidant enzymes (an in vitro study) I Inorg Biochem 98: 490-496
37	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jiporghio.2003.12.014
35	Aspharian B: Price () (2009) Multiple-path particle dosimetry model (MPPD v 2 11) (Version 2 11)
36	[Computer Program] Albuquerque NM: Applied Research Associates Retrieved from
37	https://www.ara.com/mppd/
38	Atanassoff, PG: Brull, SI: Weiss, BM: Landefeld, K: Alon, E: Rohling, R. (1995). The time course of
39	gastric pH changes induced by omeprazole and ranitidine: A 24-hour dose-response study.
40	Anesth Analg 80: 975–979. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00000539-199505000-00021
41	ATS/ERS (American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society). (2019). Standardization
42	of spirometry 2019 update. Am I Respir Crit Care Med 200: e70-e88.
43	http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201908-1590ST
44	ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). (2005). Toxicological profile for nickel
45	[ATSDR Tox Profile]. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Public
46	Health Service. <u>http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp15.pdf</u>
47	ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). (2012). Toxicological profile for
48	chromium. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health
49	Service. http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/ToxProfiles/tp.asp?id=62&tid=17

1	<u>Azad, N; Iyer, AK; Manosroi, A; Wang, L; Rojanasakul, Y.</u> (2008). Superoxide-mediated proteasomal
2	degradation of Bcl-2 determines cell susceptibility to Cr(VI)-induced apoptosis.
3	Carcinogenesis 29: 1538-1545. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgn137</u>
4	Bach, SP; Renehan, AG; Potten, CS. (2000). Stem cells: the intestinal stem cell as a paradigm
5	[Review]. Carcinogenesis 21: 469-476. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/carcin/21.3.469</u>
6	Badding, MA; Fix, NR; Antonini, JM; Leonard, SS. (2014). A Comparison of Cytotoxicity and Oxidative
7	Stress from Welding Fumes Generated with a New Nickel-, Copper-Based Consumable
8	versus Mild and Stainless Steel-Based Welding in RAW 264.7 Mouse Macrophages. PLoS
9	ONE 9: e101310. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0101310</u>
10	Bagchi, D; Bagchi, M; Stohs, SJ. (2001). Chromium (VI)-induced oxidative stress, apoptotic cell death
11	and modulation of p53 tumor suppressor gene. Mol Cell Biochem 222: 149-158.
12	Bagchi, D; Balmoori, J; Bagchi, M; Ye, X; Williams, CB; Stohs, SJ. (2000). Role of p53 tumor
13	suppressor gene in the toxicity of TCDD, endrin, naphthalene, and chromium (VI) in liver
14	and brain tissues of mice. Free Radic Biol Med 28: 895-903.
15	Bagchi, D; Balmoori, J; Bagchi, M; Ye, X; Williams, CB; Stohs, SJ. (2002). Comparative effects of TCDD,
16	endrin, naphthalene and chromium (VI) on oxidative stress and tissue damage in the liver
17	and brain tissues of mice. Toxicology 175: 73-82. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0300-</u>
18	<u>483X(02)00062-8</u>
19	Bagchi, D; Hassoun, EA; Bagchi, M; Muldoon, DF; Stohs, SJ. (1995a). Oxidative stress induced by
20	chronic administration of sodium dichromate [Lr[VI]] to rats. Comp Biochem Physiol C
21	Pharmacol Toxicol Endocrinol 110: 281-287. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0/42-</u>
22	<u>8413(94)00103-H</u> Basehi D. Hasseyn F.A. Basehi M. Staba SI (1005h) Chromium induced evention of urinom linid
23	Bageni, D; Hassoun, EA; Bageni, M; Stons, SJ. (1995b). Unromium-induced excretion of urmary lipid
24	metabolites, DNA damage, multicoxide production, and generation of reactive oxygen
25	110, 177 197 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0742.9412(04)00002 D
20 27	110: 1/7-187. <u>http://ux.uoi.org/10.1010/0742-8415(94)00095-P</u> Bagchi D: Vuchatich DI: Bagchi M: Hassoup EA: Tran MY: Tang L: Stohs SI (1997) Induction of
27	ovidative stress by chronic administration of sodium dichromate [chronium VI] and
20	cadmium chloride [cadmium II] to rate. Free Radic Biol Med 22: 471-478
30	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0891-5849(96)00352-8
31	Bai IPF: Burckart GI: Mulberg AE (2016) Literature review of gastrointestinal physiology in the
32	elderly, in pediatric patients, and in patients with gastrointestinal diseases [Review]. I
33	Pharm Sci 105: 476-483. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ips.24696
34	Bailey, SA: Zidell, RH: Perry, RW. (2004). Relationships between organ weight and body/brain
35	weight in the rat: What is the best analytical endpoint? Toxicol Pathol 32: 448-466.
36	http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01926230490465874
37	Balachandar, V; Arun, M; Mohana Devi, S; Velmurugan, P; Manikantan, P; Karthick Kumar, A;
38	Sasikala, K; Venkatesan, C. (2010). Evaluation of the genetic alterations in direct and
39	indirect exposures of hexavalent chromium [Cr(VI)] in leather tanning industry workers
40	North Arcot District, South India. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 83: 791-801.
41	http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00420-010-0562-y
42	Balashazy, I; Hofmann, W; Heistracher, T. (2003). Local particle deposition patterns may play a key
43	role in the development of lung cancer. J Appl Physiol (1985) 94: 1719-1725.
44	http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00527.2002
45	Ban, M; Langonné, I; Goutet, M; Huguet, N; Pépin, E. (2010). Simultaneous analysis of the local and
46	systemic immune responses in mice to study the occupational asthma mechanisms induced
47	by chromium and platinum. Toxicology 277: 29-37.
48	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2010.08.010
49	Banu, SK; Samuel, JB; Arosh, JA; Burghardt, RC; Aruldhas, MM. (2008). Lactational exposure to
50	hexavalent chromium delays puberty by impairing ovarian development, steroidogenesis

1	and pituitary hormone synthesis in developing Wistar rats. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 232:
2	Pany SV. Stanlay IA, Lee J. Stanhan SD. Arach IA, Heyer DD. Dyrghardt DC (2011) Heyevelent
<u>э</u>	<u>Danu, SK; Stanley, JA; Lee, J; Stephen, SD; Arosh, JA; Hoyer, PB; Durgharut, RC.</u> (2011). Recavalent
4	of Bel 2 members EDK1/2 and p52 Toxicol Appl Dharmacol 251, 252 266
6	http://dx doi org/10.1016/i toop 2011.01.011
7	Banu SK: Stanley IA: Siyakumar KK: Arosh LogA (2017a) Exposure to CrVI During Farly
8	Pregnancy Increases Ovidative Stress and Disrunts the Expression of Antiovidant Proteins
q	in Placental Compartments, Reproductive Sciences 24, 2134-2134
10	Banu SK: Stanley IA: Siyakumar KK: Arosh IA: Barhoumi R: Burghardt RC (2015) Identifying a
11	novel role for X-prolyl aminopentidase (Xpppen) 2 in CrVI-induced adverse effects on germ
12	cell nest breakdown and follicle development in rats. Biol Reprod 92: 67.
13	http://dx.doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.114.125708
14	Banu, SK: Stanley, IA: Siyakumar, KK: Arosh, IA: Burghardt, RC. (2016), Resveratrol protects the
15	ovary against chromium-toxicity by enhancing endogenous antioxidant enzymes and
16	inhibiting metabolic clearance of estradiol. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 303: 65-78.
17	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2016.04.016
18	Banu, SK; Stanley, JA; Sivakumar, KK; Arosh, JA; Taylor, RJ; Burghardt, RC. (2017b). Chromium VI -
19	Induced developmental toxicity of placenta is mediated through spatiotemporal
20	dysregulation of cell survival and apoptotic proteins. Reprod Toxicol 68: 171-190.
21	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2016.07.006
22	<u>Banu, SK; Stanley, JA; Sivakumar, KK; Taylor, RJ; Arosh, JA; Burghardt, RC.</u> (2017c). Editor's
23	highlight: Exposure to CrVI during early pregnancy increases oxidative stress and disrupts
24	the expression of antioxidant proteins in placental compartments. Toxicol Sci 155: 497-511.
25	http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfw231
26	Banu, SK; Stanley, JA; Taylor, KJ; Sivakumar, KK; Arosh, JA; Zeng, L; Pennathur, S; Padmanabhan, V.
2/	(2018). Sexually Dimorphic Impact of Chromium Accumulation on Human Placental
20	bttp://dv.doi.org/10.1002/toyogi/lbf224
29	Baresic M: Cornily J: Badonic B: Zlonasa O: Cubarey N: Casparovic V (2009) Survival after severe
30	acute chromic acid poisoning complicated with renal and liver failure. Intern Med 48: 711-
32	715
33	Barnhart, I. (1997). Chromium chemistry and implications for environmental fate and toxicity.
34	Iournal of Soil Contamination 6: 561-568. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15320389709383589
35	Basak, O; van de Born, M; Korving, J; Beumer, J; van der Elst, S; van Es, JH; Clevers, H. (2014).
36	Mapping early fate determination in Lgr5+ crypt stem cells using a novel Ki67-RFP allele.
37	EMBO J 33: 2057-2068. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.15252/embj.201488017</u>
38	Basketter, DA; Scholes, EW; Kimber, I. (1994). The performance of the local lymph node assay with
39	chemicals identified as contact allergens in the human maximization test. Food Chem
40	Toxicol 32: 543-547.
41	Bataineh, H; Al-Hamood, MH; Elbetieha, A; Bani Hani, I. (1997). Effect of long-term ingestion of
42	chromium compounds on aggression, sex behavior and fertility in adult male rat. Drug
43	Chem Toxicol 20: 133-149. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/01480549709003875</u>
44	Bataineh, HN; Bataineh, ZM; Daradka, H. (2007). Short-term exposure of female rats to industrial
45	metal salts: Effect on implantation and pregnancy. Reproductive Medicine and Biology 6:
40 47	1/9-105. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.144/-05/8.200/.00183.x</u> Perument II. Sedman DM. Dermelde, SD. Sharman, CD. Li, LH. Harred, DA. Sandr, MS. Zaiss, J.
47 78	Deaumont, JJ; Seuman, KM; Reymons, SD; Snerman, CD; LI, LH; HOWQ, KA; Sangy, MS; Zelse, L;
40 70	<u>Mickeen, uv.</u> (2000). Cancer mortainty in a Gilliese population exposed to nexavalent chromium in drinking water. Epidemiology $10, 12, 23$
49 50	http://dy.doi.org/10.1097/FDF.0b013e31815ces4c
50	map. / anatolog 10.10// LDL.00013C31013Cate

1	Bedinger, GM. (2015). 2014 Minerals yearbook. Arsenic [advance release]. Reston, VA: U.S.
2	Geological Survey.
3 ⊿	Bednar, UM; Kles, C. (1991). Inorganic contaminants in drinking water correlated with disease
4	occurrence in Nedraska. J Am Water Resour Assoc 27: 031-035.
5	<u>Inttp://ux.uoi.org/10.1111/j.1/52-1688.1991.tb01464.x</u> Debari I. Chandra, C.W. Tandan, C.W. (1070). Componenting toxicity of twivelent and housevelont
0	Benari, J: Chandra, SV; Tandon, SK. (1978). Comparative toxicity of trivalent and nexavalent
/	Chromium to rabbits in Biochemical and histological changes in testicular tissue. Biomed
0	Diociliii Acid 57: 405-406. Per David II. Amon A. (2020). Contact is according an appleid in general [Daview]. Not Dav Const.
9 10	21: 44-62. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41576-019-0171-x
11	Benova, D: Hadiidekova, V: Hristova, R: Nikolova, T: Boulanova, M: Georgieva, I: Grigorova, M:
12	Popov, T: Panev, T: Georgieva, R: Natarajan, AT: Darroudi, F: Nilsson, R. (2002), Cytogenetic
13	effects of hexavalent chromium in Bulgarian chromium platers. Mutat Res 514: 29-38.
14	Bessède, E: Dubus, P: Mégraud, F: Varon, C. (2015). Helicobacter pylori infection and stem cells at
15	the origin of gastric cancer [Review]. Oncogene 34: 2547-2555.
16	http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/onc.2014.187
17	Betton, GR. (2013). A review of the toxicology and pathology of the gastrointestinal tract [Review].
18	Cell Biol Toxicol 29: 321-338. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10565-013-9257-v
19	Bick, RL: Girardi, TV: Lack, WI: Costa, M: Titelbaum, D. (1996), Hodgkin's disease in association with
20	hexavalent chromium exposure. Int J Hematol 64: 257-262.
21	Birk, T; Mundt, KA; Dell, LD; Luippold, RS; Miksche, L; Steinmann-Steiner-Haldenstaett, W; Mundt,
22	DI. (2006). Lung cancer mortality in the German chromate industry, 1958 to 1998. J Occup
23	Environ Med 48: 426-433. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.jom.0000194159.88688.f8
24	Bitterman, PB; Saltzman, LE; Adelberg, S; Ferrans, VJ; Crystal, RG. (1984). ALVEOLAR
25	MACROPHAGE REPLICATION - ONE MECHANISM FOR THE EXPANSION OF THE
26	MONONUCLEAR PHAGOCYTE POPULATION IN THE CHRONICALLY INFLAMED LUNG. J Clin
27	Invest 74: 460-469. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI111443</u>
28	Blaser, H; Dostert, C; Mak, TW; Brenner, D. (2016). TNF and ROS Crosstalk in Inflammation
29	[Review]. Trends Cell Biol 26: 249-261. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2015.12.002</u>
30	<u>Błasiak, J; Trzeciak, A; Małecka-Panas, E; Drzewoski, J; Iwanienko, T; Szumiel, I; Wojewódzka, M.</u>
31	(1999). DNA damage and repair in human lymphocytes and gastric mucosa cells exposed to
32	chromium and curcumin. Teratog Carcinog Mutagen 19: 19-31.
33	http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1520-6866(1999)19:1<19::AID-TCM3>3.0.CO;2-H
34	Bloomfield, JJ; Blum, W. (1928). Health hazards in chromium plating. Public Health Rep 43: 527-
35	528. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/4578958</u>
36	Boland, CR; Goel, A. (2010). Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer [Review].
37	Gastroenterology 138: 20/3-2087.e20/3. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2009.12.064</u>
38	Bonassi, S; Loskun, E; Leppi, M; Lando, L; Bolognesi, L; Burgaz, S; Holland, N; Kirsh-Volders, M;
39	<u>Knasmueller, S; Zeiger, E; Carnesoltas, D; Cavallo, D; da Silva, J; de Andrade, VM; Demircigil,</u>
40 41	GC; Dominguez Odio, A; Donmez-Altuntas, H; Gattas, G; GIFI, A; GIFI, S; Gomez-Meda, B;
41 42	Gomez-Arroyo, S; Haujuekova, V; Haveric, A; Kamboj, M; Kurtesni, K; Martino-Roth, MG;
42 12	Montero Montoya, K; Nersesyan, A; Pastor-Denno, S; Pavero Salvadon, DM; Snaposninkova,
43 11	<u>A, Stopper, II, Hiomas, F, Torres-Dugarni, O, Tauav, AS, Zuniga Gonzaiez, G, Fenecii, M.</u> (2011a) The Hilman MicroNuclous project on oYfol jated huccal colls (HIMN(YL)); the role
44 15	of life-style host factors, occupational exposures, health status, and assay protocol
46	[Review] Mutat Res 728.88-97 http://dx doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2011.06.005
47	Bonassi S. El-Zein R. Bolognesi C. Fenech M (2011h) Micronuclei frequency in nerinheral blood
48	lymphocytes and cancer risk: evidence from human studies [Review]. Mutagenesis 26, 93-
49	100. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mutage/geo075
-	$- \frac{r}{r}, \frac{r}{r},$

1	<u>Bonassi, S; Norppa, H; Ceppi, M; Stromberg, U; Vermeulen, R; Znaor, A; Cebulska-Wasilewska, A;</u>
2	<u>Fabianova, E; Fucic, A; Gundy, S; Hansteen, IL; Knudsen, LE; Lazutka, J; Rossner, P; Sram, RJ;</u>
3	Boffetta, P. (2008). Chromosomal aberration frequency in lymphocytes predicts the risk of
4	cancer: results from a pooled cohort study of 22 358 subjects in 11 countries.
5	Carcinogenesis 29: 1178-1183. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgn075
6	Bonassi, S; Znaor, A; Ceppi, M; Lando, C; Chang, WP; Holland, N; Kirsch-Volders, M; Zeiger, E; Ban, S;
7	<u>Barale, R; Bigatti, MP; Bolognesi, C; Cebulska-Wasilewska, A; Fabianova, E; Fucic, A; Hagmar,</u>
8	<u>L; Joksic, G; Martelli, A; Migliore, L; Mirkova, E; Scarfi, MR; Zijno, A; Norppa, H; Fenech, M.</u>
10	(2007). An increased micronucleus frequency in peripheral blood lymphocytes predicts the
10	risk of cancer in numans. Carcinogenesis 28: 625-631.
11 12	<u>nttp://dx.doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgi1//</u> Pende ID (1990). Somen quality and say hormonog among mild steel and steinloss steel welders: A
12	<u>bolide, JP.</u> (1990). Semen quality and sex normones among mild steel and statiliess steel welders: A cross sectional study. Br Lind Med 47: 508-514
1/	Ronda IP: Ernst F (1992) Say hormones and semen quality in welders exposed to havavalent
15	chromium Hum Fyn Toyicol 11: 259-263
16	Boone L: Meyer, D: Cusick, P: Ennulat, D: Bolliger, AP: Everds, N: Meador, V: Elliott, G: Honor, D:
17	Bounous, D: Jordan, H. (2005). Selection and interpretation of clinical pathology indicators
18	of hepatic injury in preclinical studies [Review]. Vet Clin Pathol 34: 182-188.
19	http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1939-165X.2005.tb00041.x
20	Boorman, G; Dixon, D; Elwell, M; Kerlin, R; Morton, D; Peters, T; Regan, K; Sullivan, J; Group, SHW.
21	(2003). Assessment of hyperplastic lesions in rodent carcinogenicity studies. Toxicol Pathol
22	31: 709-710.
23	Borella, P; Bargellini, A. (1993). Effects of trace elements on immune system: results in cultured
24	human lymphocytes. J Trace Elem Med Biol 7: 231-233.
25	Borges, KM; Boswell, JS; Liebross, RH; Wetterhahn, KE. (1991). Activation of chromium(VI) by thiols
26	results in chromium(V) formation, chromium binding to DNA and altered DNA
2/	conformation. Carcinogenesis 12: 551-561. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/carcin/12.4.551</u>
28	Bornen, J; Engelnardt, K; Griem, W; Kunte, H; Reichert, J. (1968). Kanzerogene substanzen in wasser
29	IIIU DOUEII. AAII. MAUSEIIII AIIKVEISUUI IIIII 5,4-DEIIZPYTEII UIIU KAIIUIIUIII OIIIAI.
30	henznyren und kaliumchromatl. Archiv fuer Hygiene und Bakteriologie 152: 45-53
32	Boscolo, P: Di Gioacchino, M: Bayazzano, P: White, M: Sabhioni, E. (1997). Effects of chromium on
33	lymphocyte subsets and immunoglobulins from normal population and exposed workers.
34	Life Sci 60: 1319-1325. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0024-3205(96)00669-8
35	Bovet, P; Lob, M; Grandjean, M. (1977). Spirometric alterations in workers in the chromium
36	electroplating industry. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 40: 25-32.
37	http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00435514
38	Brandt-Rauf, P. (2006). Editorial retraction. Cancer mortality in a Chinese population exposed to
39	hexavalent chromium in water. J Occup Environ Med 48: 749.
40	http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00043764-200607000-00019
41	Braver, ER; Infante, P; Chu, K. (1985). An analysis of lung cancer risk from exposure to hexavalent
42	chromium. Birth Defects Res B Dev Reprod Toxicol 5: 365-378.
43	Bridgewater, LC; Manning, FC; Patierno, SR. (1994). Base-specific arrest of in vitro DNA replication
44 15	by carcinogenic chromium: relationship to DNA interstrand crosslinking. Carcinogenesis 15:
40 46	2421-2427. <u>IIIII://UX.UUI.UI</u>
40 //7	asthma due to chrome and nickel electronlating Thoray 52, 28-22
48	Brilliant MH: Gondo Y. Eicher EM (1991) Direct molecular identification of the mouse ninb-oved
49	unstable mutation by genome scanning. Science 252: 566-569
50	http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1673574

1	Brown, S: Lockart, MM; Thomas, CS; Bowman, MK; Woski, SA; Vincent, JB. (2020). Molecular
2	Structure of Binary Chromium(III)-DNA Adducts. Chembiochem 21: 628-631.
3	http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cbic.201900436
4	Browning, CL; Qin, Q; Kelly, DF; Prakash, R; Vanoli, F; Jasin, M; Wise, JP. (2016). Prolonged
5	Particulate Hexavalent Chromium Exposure Suppresses Homologous Recombination Repair in Human Lung Colls, Toxicol Sci 152: 70, 78, http://dx.doi.org/10.1092/toxsci/kfw102
7	Browning CI Wise IP (2017) Prolonged exposure to particulate chromate inhibits PAD51 nuclear
2 2	import mediator proteins. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 331: 101-107
q	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2017.05.030
10	Browning I: Gannon BI: O'Brien P (1983) The microvasculature and gastric luminal nH of the
11	forestomach of the rat: A comparison with the glandular stomach Int I Microcirc Clin Fyn 2:
12	109-118
13	Bryant HE: Ying, S: Helleday, T. (2006). Homologous recombination is involved in repair of
14	chromium-induced DNA damage in mammalian cells. Mutat Res 599: 116-123.
15	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.mrfmmm.2006.02.001
16	Buerge, II: Hug, SI, (1997), Kinetics and pH dependence of chromium(VI) reduction by iron(II).
17	Environ Sci Technol 31: 1426-1432.
18	Burdsall, DP; Flores, HC; Krueger, J; Garretson, S; Gorbien, MJ; Iacch, A; Dobbs, V; Homa, T. (2013).
19	Use of proton pump inhibitors with lack of diagnostic indications in 22 Midwestern US
20	skilled nursing facilities. J Am Med Dir Assoc 14: 429-432.
21	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2013.01.021</u>
22	Burke, T; Fagliano, J; Goldoft, M; Hazen, RE; Iglewicz, R; Mckee, T. (1991). Chromite ore processing
23	residue in Hudson County, New Jersey. Environ Health Perspect 92: 131-137.
24	Burton, ED; Choppala, G; Karimian, N; Johnston, SG. (2019). A new pathway for hexavalent
25	chromium formation in soil: Fire-induced alteration of iron oxides. Environ Pollut 247: 618-
26	625. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.01.094</u>
2/ 20	Laglieri, A; Goldoni, M; De Palma, G; Mozzoni, P; Gemma, S; Vicni, S; Testal, E; Panico, F; Corradi, M;
20	<u>Tagilalerri, S; Costa, LG.</u> (2008). Exposure to low levels of nexavalent chromium: target
29	104 115
21	Calder I. (1988). Chromium contamination of groundwater. In IO Nriagu: F. Nieboer (Eds.)
32	Chromium in the natural and human environments (nn. 215-229) New York, NY: Wiley
33	https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Chromium+in+the+Natural+and+Human+Environments+-
34	p-9780471856436
35	CalEPA (California Environmental Protection Agency). (2003). Ambient air monitoring for
36	hexavalent chromium and metals in Barrio Logan: May 2001 through May 2002. (Report:
37	2003-10-14). Sacramento, CA.
38	<u>CalEPA</u> (California Environmental Protection Agency). (2004). Barrio Logan report: A compilation
39	of air quality studies in Barrio Logan. November 2004. (Report: 2005-11-00). Sacramento,
40	CA.
41	<u>CalEPA</u> (California Environmental Protection Agency). (2011). Public health goal for Hexavalent
42	Chromium (Cr VI) in drinking water. Sacramento, CA: Pesticide and Environmental
43	Toxicology Branch, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment.
44	http://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/water/public-health-goal/cr6phg072911.pdf
45	<u>(CARB</u> (California Air Resources Board). (2004). Neighborhood scale monitoring in Barrio Logan.
40 47	(Paper / 0255). Sacramento, UA.
47 78	<u>Larence, D; remard, Min; risani, N; Gilleron, J; Politis, G; Segretain, D; Durand, P.</u> (2013). Heyavalent chromium at low concentration alters Sorteli cell barrier and connevin 42 con
40 70	11 11 11 1
- 50	Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 268: 27-36. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.taan.2013.01.016
	rr

1	Carlisle, DL; Pritchard, DE; Singh, J; Owens, BM; Blankenship, LJ; Orenstein, JM; Patierno, SR. (2000).
2	Apoptosis and P53 induction in human lung fibroblasts exposed to chromium (VI): Effect of
3	ascorbate and tocopherol. Toxicol Sci 55: 60-68. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/55.1.60</u>
4	Carney, EW; Kimmel, CA. (2007). Interpretation of skeletal variations for human risk assessment:
5	Delayed ossification and wavy ribs. Birth Defects Res B Dev Reprod Toxicol 80: 473-496.
6	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/bdrb.20133</u>
7	Casadevall, M; da Cruz Fresco, P; Kortenkamp, A. (1999). Chromium(VI)-mediated DNA damage:
8	oxidative pathways resulting in the formation of DNA breaks and abasic sites. Chem Biol
9	Interact 123: 117-132.
10	<u>Casteleyn, C; Rekecki, A; Van der Aa, A; Simoens, P; Van den Broeck, W.</u> (2010). Surface area
11	assessment of the murine intestinal tract as a prerequisite for oral dose translation from
12	mouse to man. Lab Anim 44: 176-183. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1258/la.2009.009112</u>
13	<u>Ceballos, D; West, C; Methner, M; Gong, W.</u> (2017). Health hazard evaluation report: HHE-2013-
14	0011-3278, May 2017. Evaluation of chromium, hexavalent chromium, cadmium, and
15	isocyanate exposures in an African refinishing plant. (HHE-2013-0011-3278). National
16	Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.
17	https://ntrl.ntis.gov/NTRL/dashboard/searchResults/titleDetail/PB2017102698.xhtml
18	<u>Ceballos, D; West, C; Methner, M; Gong, W.</u> (2019). Hexavalent Chromium Exposure and Nasal
19	Tissue Effects at a Commercial Aircraft Refinishing Facility. J Occup Environ Med 61: e69-
20	e/3. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.109//JOM.00000000001510</u>
21	<u>CGARN</u> (Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network). (2014). Comprehensive molecular
22 22	http://dy.doi.org/10.1029/potupo12400
25 24	<u>Intp://ux.u0i.01g/10.1056/Ildule15460</u> CCAPN (Cancer Conome Atlas Besearch Network) (2019a) Comparative molecular analysis of
24 25	<u>CUARN</u> (Calicel Genome Atlas Research Network). (2010a). Comparative molecular analysis of gastrointectinal adonocarcinomas. Cancor Coll 22: 721–725
25 26	$\frac{1}{2}$
20 27	CCARN (Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network) (2018h) The immune landscape of cancer
27	$\frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000$
29	Chandra SA: Nolan MW: Malarkey DE (2010) Chemical carcinogenesis of the gastrointestinal
30	tract in rodents: an overview with emphasis on NTP carcinogenesis bioassays [Review].
31	Toxicol Pathol 38: 188-197. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0192623309356452
32	Chappell, GA: Wolf, IC: Thompson, CM. (In Press) Crypt and villus transcriptomic responses in
33	mouse small intestine following oral exposure to hexavalent chromium. Toxicol Sci.
34	http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfab152
35	Chatterjee, N; Walker, GC. (2017). Mechanisms of DNA damage, repair, and mutagenesis [Review].
36	Environ Mol Mutagen 58: 235-263. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/em.22087
37	<u>Chebeir, M; Liu, H.</u> (2016). Kinetics and mechanisms of Cr(VI) formation via the oxidation of Cr(III)
38	solid phases by chlorine in drinking water. Environ Sci Technol 50: 701-710.
39	http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b05739
40	Chen, F; Ye, J; Zhang, X; Rojanasakul, Y; Shi, X. (1997). One-electron reduction of chromium(VI) by
41	alpha-lipoic acid and related hydroxyl radical generation, dG hydroxylation and nuclear
42	transcription factor-kappaB activation. Arch Biochem Biophys 338: 165-172.
43	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/abbi.1996.9849</u>
44	Chen, QY; Murphy, A; Sun, H; Costa, M. (2019). Molecular and epigenetic mechanisms of Cr(VI)-
45	induced carcinogenesis [Review]. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 377: 114636.
46	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2019.114636</u>
47	Cheng, L; Liu, S; Dixon, K. (1998). Analysis of repair and mutagenesis of chromium-induced DNA
48	damage in yeast, mammalian cells, and transgenic mice. Environ Health Perspect 106: 1027-
49	1032.

1	Cheng, L; Sonntag, DM; de Boer, J; Dixon, K. (2000). Chromium(VI)-induced mutagenesis in the
2	lungs of big blue transgenic mice. J Environ Pathol Toxicol Oncol 19: 239-249.
3	<u>Chiba, T; Marusawa, H; Ushijima, T.</u> (2012). Inflammation-associated cancer development in
4	digestive organs: mechanisms and roles for genetic and epigenetic modulation [Review].
5	Gastroenterology 143: 550-563. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2012.07.009</u>
6	<u>Chopra, A; Pereira, G; Gomes, T; Pereira, J; Prabhu, P; Krishnan, S; Rao, CV. (1996). A study of</u>
7	chromium and ethanol toxicity in female Wistar rats. Toxicol Environ Chem 53: 91-106.
8	http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02772249609358274
9	Chowdhury AR: Mitra, C. (1995). Spermatogenic and steroidogenic impairment after chromium
10	treatment in rats. Indian J Exp Biol 33: 480-484.
11	Christensen, MM; Ernst, E; Ellermann-Eriksen, S. (1992). Cytotoxic effects of hexavalent chromium
12	in cultured murine macrophages. Arch Toxicol 66: 347-353.
13	Christensen, OB: Christensen, MB: Majhach, HI. (1984). Flare-up reactions and desensitization from
14	oral dosing in chromate-sensitive guinea nigs Contact Derm 10: 277-279
15	Christiansen PM (1968) The incidence of achlorhydria and hypochlorhydria in healthy subjects
16	and nation to with gostrointoctinal diseases. Scand I Castroontorol 2: 407 509
17	http://dy.doi.org/10.2100/0026EE26000170000
1/ 10	<u>IIIIp://ux.uoi.org/10.5109/005055208091/9909</u> Cid MC: Loria D: Vilandry M. Miatti II. Mataa F. (1001) Leather terming werkers, shreweesens
10	<u>Cid, MG; Loria, D; viiensky, M; Miotu, JL; Matos, E. (1991)</u> . Leather tanning workers: chromosomai
19	aberrations in peripheral lymphocytes and micronuclei in extentiated cells in urine. Mutat
20	Res 259: 197-201. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-1218[91]90053-0</u>
21	<u>Cieślak-Golonka, M.</u> (1996). Toxic and mutagenic effects of chromium(VI). A review. Polyhedron 15:
22	3667-3689. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0277-5387(96)00141-6</u>
23	<u>Ciminera, P; Superior, MJ; Bullman, T.</u> (2016). Findings from the Department of Veterans Affairs
24	Qarmat Ali Medical Surveillance Program. Mil Med 181: 307-310.
25	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.7205/MILMED-D-15-00278</u>
26	Clementino, M; Kim, D; Zhang, Z. (2019). Constitutive Activation of NAD-Dependent Sirtuin 3 Plays
27	an Important Role in Tumorigenesis of Chromium(VI)-Transformed Cells. Toxicol Sci 169:
28	224-234. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfz032</u>
29	Coelho, P; García-Lestón, J; Costa, S; Costa, C; Silva, S; Dall'Armi, V; Zoffoli, R; Bonassi, S; de Lima, IP;
30	Gaspar, JF; Pásaro, E; Laffon, B; Teixeira, JP. (2013). Genotoxic effect of exposure to
31	metal(loid)s. A molecular epidemiology survey of populations living and working in
32	Panasqueira mine area. Portugal. Environ Int 60: 163-170.
33	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.envint.2013.08.014
34	Coffing S: Engel M: Dickinson D: Thiffeault C: Spellman R: Shutsky T: Schuler M (2011) The rat
25	gut micronucleus assay: a good choice for alternative in vivo genetic toxicology testing
36	strategies Environ Mol Mutagen 52: 269-279 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/em.20616
27	Cohon MD: Pronhoto C: Sisco M: Chon LC: Zolikoff IT: Smoo II: Holder AA: Crans DC (2006)
37 20	<u>Collen, MD, Fropriete, C, Sisco, M, Chen, LC, Zenkon, JT, Sinee, JJ, Holder, AA, Clairs, DC.</u> (2000).
20 20	Pullionary initiation of potentials of metals are governed by select physicochemical
39	properties: chromium agents. J immunotoxicol 3: 69-81.
40	<u>nttp://dx.doi.org/10.1080/154/6910600/18434</u>
41	<u>Conen, MD; Sisco, M; Baker, K; Bowser, D; Cnen, LC; Schlesinger, RB.</u> (2003). Impact of coexposure
42	to ozone on the carcinogenic potential of inhaled chromium. 1. Effects on retention and on
43	extra- and intracellular distribution. J Toxicol Environ Health A 66: 39-55.
44	http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15287390306459
45	<u>Cohen, MD; Sisco, M; Prophete, C; Yoshida, K; Chen, LC; Zelikoff, JT; Smee, J; Holder, AA;</u>
46	Stonehuerner, J; Crans, DC; Ghio, AJ. (2010). Effects of metal compounds with distinct
47	physicochemical properties on iron homeostasis and antibacterial activity in the lungs:
48	Chromium and vanadium. Inhal Toxicol 22: 169-178.
49	http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/08958370903161232

1	Cohen, MD; Zelikoff, JT; Chen, LC; Schlesinger, RB. (1998). Immunotoxicologic effects of inhaled
2	chromium: role of particle solubility and co-exposure to ozone. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol
3	152: 30-40. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/taap.1998.8502</u>
4	<u>Cohen, RE; Neiders, ME; Bedi, GS; Comeau, R.</u> (1993). Induction of type 2 cystatin in rat
5	submandibular glands by systemically administered agents. Arch Oral Biol 38: 319-325.
6	Cohen, SR; Davis, DM; Kramkowski, RS. (1974). Clinical manifestations of chromic acid toxicity:
7	Nasal lesions in electroplate workers. Cutis 13: 558-568.
8	Cohen, SR; Kramkowski, RS. (1973). Health hazard evaluation/toxicity determination. Marsh
9	Plating Corporation, Ypsilanti, Michigan. (HHE-72-118-104). Cincinnati, OH: National
10	Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.
11	<u>https://ntrl.ntis.gov/NTRL/dashboard/searchResults.xhtml?searchQuery=PB232740</u>
12	Cole, P; Rodu, B. (2005). Epidemiologic studies of chrome and cancer mortality: a series of meta-
13	analyses. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 43: 225-231.
14	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2005.06.009</u>
15	<u>Collins, BJ; Stout, MD; Levine, KE; Kissling, GE; Melnick, RL; Fennell, TR; Walden, R; Abdo, K;</u>
16	<u>Pritchard, JB; Fernando, RA; Burka, LT; Hooth, MJ.</u> (2010). Exposure to hexavalent
17	chromium resulted in significantly higher tissue chromium burden compared with trivalent
18	chromium following similar oral doses to male F344/N rats and female B6C3F1 mice.
19	Toxicol Sci 118: 368-379. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfq263</u>
20	<u>Costa, M; Zhitkovich, A; Toniolo, P.</u> (1993). DNA-protein cross-links in welders: molecular
21	implications. Cancer Res 53: 460-463.
22	<u>Cotton, FA; Wilkinson, G; Murillo, CA; Bochmann, M.</u> (1999). The elements of the first transition
23	series. In Advanced Inorganic Chemistry. New York: Wiley.
24	Lox, DR. (1972). Regression models and life-tables. J R Stat Soc Ser B 34: 187-220.
25	<u>Creasy, DM; Chapin, RE.</u> (2018). Chapter 17 - Male reproductive system. In Fundamentals of
20	http://dy.doi.org/10.1016/P079.0.12.200041.7.00017.4
27 20	<u>Intp://ux.u0i.01g/10.1010/D9/8-0-12-809841-7.00017-4</u> Crow KD: Nougut AI (2004) Epidemiology of upper gastrointestinal malignancies. Semin Oncol
20 20	<u>Crew, KD, Neugut, AI.</u> (2004). Epidemology of upper gastromestinal manghancies. Semin Oncor 31: 450-464, http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/i.seminoncol.2004.04.021
20	Crissman IW: Coodman DC: Hildebrandt PK: Maronnot RR: Prater DA: Riley IH: Seaman WI:
30	Thake DC (2004) Best practices guideline: Toxicologic histopathology Toxicol Pathol 32:
32	$\frac{11000}{126}$ $\frac{1200}{126}$ 1
32	Cullen IM: Ward IM: Thompson CM (2015) Reevaluation and Classification of Duodenal Lesions
34	in B6C3F1 Mice and F344 Rats from 4 Studies of Hexavalent Chromium in Drinking Water
35	Toxicol Pathol 44: 279-289. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0192623315611501
36	Cummings, DE: Fendorf, S: Singh, N: Sani, RK: Peyton, BM: Magnuson, TS. (2007), Reduction of
37	Cr(VI) under acidic conditions by the facultative Fe(III)-reducing bacterium Acidiphilium
38	cryptum. Environ Sci Technol 41: 146-152. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es061333k
39	D'Agostini, F; Izzotti, A; Bennicelli, C; Camoirano, A; Tampa, E; De Flora, S. (2002). Induction of
40	apoptosis in the lung but not in the liver of rats receiving intra-tracheal instillations of
41	chromium(VI). Carcinogenesis 23: 587-593. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/carcin/23.4.587
42	Dai, L; Xu, W; Li, H; Frank, JA; He, C; Zhang, Z; Chen, G. (2017). Effects of hexavalent chromium on
43	mouse splenic T lymphocytes. Toxicol In Vitro 45: 166-171.
44	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2017.09.006
45	Dana Devi, K; Rozati, R; Saleha Banu, B; Jamil, K; Grover, P. (2001). In vivo genotoxic effect of
46	potassium dichromate in mice leukocytes using comet assay. Food Chem Toxicol 39: 859-
47	865.
48	Danadevi, K; Rozati, R; Banu, BS; Grover, P. (2004). Genotoxic evaluation of welders occupationally
49	exposed to chromium and nickel using the Comet and micronucleus assays. Mutagenesis 19:
50	35-41. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mutage/geh001</u>

1	<u>Danadevi, K; Rozati, R; Reddy, PP; Grover, P.</u> (2003). Semen quality of Indian welders
2	occupationally exposed to nickel and chromium. Reprod Toxicol 17: 451-456.
3	Das, J: Kang, MH; Kim, E; Kwon, DN; Choi, YJ; Kim, JH. (2015). Hexavalent chromium induces
4	apoptosis in male somatic and spermatogonial stem cells via redox imbalance. Sci Rep 5:
5	13921. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep13921</u>
6	Davies, JM; Easton, DF; Bidstrup, PL. (1991). Mortality from respiratory cancer and other causes in
7	United Kingdom chromate production workers. Br J Ind Med 48: 299-313.
8	Day, CP; James, OF. (1998). Hepatic steatosis: Innocent bystander or guilty party? [Review].
9	Hepatology 27: 1463-1466. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hep.510270601</u>
10	<u>De Flora, S; Badolati, GS; Serra, D; Picciotto, A; Magnolia, MR; Savarino, V.</u> (1987a). Circadian
11	reduction of chromium in the gastric environment. Mutat Res Lett 192: 169-174.
12	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-7992(87)90051-0
13	De Flora, S; Camoirano, A; Bagnasco, M; Bennicelli, C; Corbett, GE; Kerger, BD. (1997). Estimates of
14 15	the chromium(VI) reducing capacity in human body compartments as a mechanism for attenuating its potential toxicity and carcinogenicity. Carcinogenesis 18: 531-537.
16	De Flora S: Camoirano A: Micale RT: La Maestra S: Savarino V: Zentilin P: Marabotto E: Sub M:
17	Proctor, DM, (2016), Reduction of hexavalent chromium by fasted and fed human gastric
18	fluid. I. Chemical reduction and mitigation of mutagenicity. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 306:
19	113-119. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.taap.2016.07.004
20	De Flora, S: D'Agostini, F: Balansky, R: Micale, R: Baluce, B: Izzotti, A. (2008), Lack of genotoxic
21	effects in hematopoietic and gastrointestinal cells of mice receiving chromium(VI) with the
22	drinking water [Review]. Mutat Res 659: 60-67.
23	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2007.11.005
24	De Flora, S; Iltcheva, M; Balansky, RM. (2006). Oral chromium(VI) does not affect the frequency of
25	micronuclei in hematopoietic cells of adult mice and of transplacentally exposed fetuses.
26	Mutat Res 610: 38-47. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mrgentox.2006.06.011
27	De Flora, S; Petruzzelli, S; Camoirano, A; Bennicelli, C; Romano, M; Rindi, M; Ghelarducci, L; Giuntini,
28	<u>C.</u> (1987b). Pulmonary metabolism of mutagens and its relationship with lung cancer and
29	smoking habits. Cancer Res 47: 4740-4745.
30	<u>De Mattia, G; Bravi, MC; Laurenti, O; De Luca, O; Palmeri, A; Sabatucci, A; Mendico, G; Ghiselli, A.</u>
31	(2004). Impairment of cell and plasma redox state in subjects professionally exposed to
32	chromium. Am J Ind Med 46: 120-125. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajim.20044</u>
33	Deloughery, Z; Luczak, MW; Ortega-Atienza, S; Zhitkovich, A. (2015). DNA double-strand breaks by
34	Cr(VI) are targeted to euchromatin and cause ATR-dependent phosphorylation of histone
35	H2AX and its ubiquitination. Toxicol Sci 143: 54-63.
36	http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfu207
37	Delshad, SD; Almario, CV; Chey, WD; Spiegel, BMR. (2020). Pevalence of gastroesophageal reflux
38	disease and proton pump inhibitor-refractory symptoms. Gastroenterology 158: 1250.
39	http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2019.12.014
40	Deng, C; Lee, HH; Xian, H; Yao, M; Huang, J; Ou, B. (1988). Chromosomal aberrations and sister
41	chromatid exchanges of peripheral blood lymphocytes in Chinese electroplating workers:
42	Effect of nickel and chromium. J Trace Elem Exp Med 1: 57-62.
43	Deng, G; Wu, K; Cruce, AA; Bowman, MK; Vincent, JB. (2015). Binding of trivalent chromium to
44 4	serum transferrin is sufficiently rapid to be physiologically relevant. J Inorg Biochem 143:
45 16	40-55. <u>IIIIP://UX.U0I.07g/10.1016/J.JIII07gDI0.2014.12.004</u>
40	7 (2010) The Effect of Hervardont Chromium on the Incidence and Mortality of Human
+/ /2	L. (2017). The Effect of Hexavalent Chromitani on the incluence and Mortality of Human Cancers: A Meta-Analysis Based on Published Enidemiological Cohort Studios 0, 24
40 /0	bttp://dy.doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2019.00024
	<u>mtp://ux.uui.01g/10.3307/1010.2017.00024</u>

1	Dertinger, SD; Torous, DK; Hayashi, M; Macgregor, JT. (2011). Flow cytometric scoring of
2	micronucleated erythrocytes: an efficient platform for assessing in vivo cytogenetic damage
3	[Review]. Mutagenesis 26: 139-145. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mutage/geq055</u>
4	<u>Devoy, J; Géhin, A; Müller, S; Melczer, M; Remy, A; Antoine, G; Sponne, I.</u> (2016). Evaluation of
5	chromium in red blood cells as an indicator of exposure to hexavalent chromium: An in
6	vitro study. Toxicol Lett 255: 63–70. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2016.05.008</u>
7	Dobrovolsky, VN; Heflich, RH. (2018). Chapter eleven: Detecting mutations in vivo. In Mutagenicity:
8	Assays and applications. Cambridge, MA: Academic Press. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-</u>
9	<u>0-12-809252-1.00011-0</u>
10	Doki, K; Darwich, AS; Patel, N; Rostami-Hodjegan, A. (2017). Virtual bioequivalence for achlorhydric
11	subjects: The use of PBPK modelling to assess the formulation-dependent effect of
12	achlorhydria. Eur J Pharm Sci 109. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejps.2017.07.035</u>
13	Dornan, JD. (1981). Occupational dermatoses amongst chrome platers in the Sheffield area 1977
14	80. Contact Derm 7: 354-355. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0536.1981.tb04116.x</u>
15	Dressman, JB; Berardi, RR; Dermentzoglou, LC; Russell, TL; Schmaltz, SP; Barnett, JL; Jarvenpaa, KM.
16	(1990). Upper gastrointestinal (GI) pH in young, healthy men and women. Pharm Res 7:
17	756-761.
18	Eastmond, DA. (2014). Sister chromatid exchanges [Encyclopedia]. In P Wexler (Ed.), Encyclopedia
19	of toxicology: Volume 4 (3rd ed., pp. 276-277). Cambridge, MA: Academic Press.
20	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-386454-3.00062-2
21	<u>Eastmond, DA; Hartwig, A; Anderson, D; Anwar, WA; Cimino, MC; Dobrev, I; Douglas, GR; Nohmi, T;</u>
22	Phillips, DH; Vickers, C. (2009). Mutagenicity testing for chemical risk assessment: Update of
23	the WHO/IPCS harmonized scheme. Mutagenesis 24: 341-349.
24	http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mutage/gep014
25	Eferl, R; Wagner, EF. (2003). AP-1: a double-edged sword in tumorigenesis [Review]. Nat Rev
26	Cancer 3: 859-868. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrc1209</u>
27	Egger, M; Smith, GD; Schneider, M; Minder, C. (1997). Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple,
28	graphical test. Br Med J 315: 629-634. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629</u>
29	<u>Eizaguirre-García, D; Rodríguez-Andrés, C; Watt, G.</u> (2000). Congenital anomalies in Glasgow
30	between 1982 and 1989 and chromium waste. J Public Health (Oxf) 22: 54-58.
31	http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/22.1.54
32	<u>El-Demerdash, FM; Jebur, AB; Nasr, HM; Hamid, HM.</u> (2019). Modulatory effect of Turnera diffusa
33	against testicular toxicity induced by fenitrothion and/or hexavalent chromium in rats.
34	Environ Toxicol 34: 330-339. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/tox.22688</u>
35	<u>El-Demerdash, FM; Yousef, MI; Elaswad, FA.</u> (2006). Biochemical study on the protective role of
36	folic acid in rabbits treated with chromium (VI). J Environ Sci Health B 41: 731-746.
37	http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03601230600704282
38	El Safty, AMK; Samir, AM; Mekkawy, MK; Fouad, MM. (2018). Genotoxic effects due to exposure to
39	chromium and nickel among electroplating workers. Int J Toxicol 37: 234-240.
40	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.11///1091581818/64084</u>
41	Elbetiena, A; AI-Hamood, MH. (1997). Long-term exposure of male and female mice to trivalent and
4Z 42	hexavalent chromium compounds: effect on fertility. Toxicology 116: 39-47.
43	IIIIp://ux.uoi.org/10.1016/50300-483X[96]03516-0
44 15	Elitosary, N; Makiau, A; Soliman, E; El-Asimawy, N; Oreby, M. (2014). Evaluation of oxidative stress
45 16	anu DNA damage in cement and tamery workers in Egypt. Innar Toxicol 26: 289-298.
40 //7	<u>Intp://ux.uui.uig/10.3107/007303/0.2014.003100</u> Elegiand FM: Nada SA (2002) Toratogenicity of heyevelent chromium in rate and the heneficial
47 48	role of ginseng Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 68, 361-368
40 49	http://dx doi org/10.1007/s00128-001-0262-0
-1-5	$\frac{1000}{1000} \frac{1000}{1000} $

1	<u>Elshazly, MO; Morgan, AM; Ali, ME; Abdel-Mawla, E; Abd El-Rahman, SS.</u> (2016). The mitigative
2	effect of Raphanus sativus oil on chromium-induced geno- and hepatotoxicity in male rats.
3	JAR 7: 413-421. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2016.02.008</u>
4	EMEA (European Medicines Agency). (2008). Non-clinical guideline on drug induced hepatotoxicity.
5	(Doc. Ref. EMEA/CHMP/SWP/150115/2006). London, UK.
6	http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Scientific_guideline/2009/09/
7	<u>WC500003355.pdf</u>
8	<u>EMEA</u> (European Medicines Agency). (2010). Reflection paper on non-clinical evaluation of drug-
9	induced liver injury (DILI). (EMEA/CHMP/SWP/150115/2006). London, UK.
10	http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Scientific_guideline/2010/07/
11	WL500094591.pdf
12	<u>Engstrom, G; Heablad, B; Berglund, G; Janzon, L; Lindgarde, F.</u> (200/a). Plasma levels of
14	study. I Uum Uumortone 21, 276, 292, http://dy.doi.org/10.1029/gijibh.1002120
14 15	Engetröm C: Hedblad B: Erikeson KE: Janzon L: Lindgärdo E (2005) Complement C2 is a risk
16	<u>Eligstrolli, G, Heublau, B, Elikssoli, KF; Jalizoli, E, Elilugalue, F.</u> (2005). Completinent C5 is a lisk factor for the development of diabates: a population based sobort study. Diabates 54: 570
17	575 http://dx doi org/10/2337/diabetes 54/2 570
18	Engström G: Hedblad B: Janzon L: Lindgärde F (2007b) Complement C3 and C4 in plasma and
19	incidence of myocardial infarction and stroke: a population-based cohort study. Eur I
20	Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil 14: 392-397.
21	http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.hjr.0000244582.30421.b2
22	Evans, TJ; Ganjam, VK. (2011). Chapter 2 - Reproductive anatomy and physiology. In RC Gupta (Ed.),
23	Reproductive and Developmental Toxicology (1st ed., pp. 7-32). San Diego, CA: Academic
24	Press. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-382032-7.10002-5</u>
25	<u>Ewis, AA; Kondo, K; Dang, F; Nakahori, Y; Shinohara, Y; Ishikawa, M; Baba, Y.</u> (2006). Surfactant
26	protein B gene variations and susceptibility to lung cancer in chromate workers. Am J Ind
27	Med 49: 367-373. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajim.20283</u>
28	<u>Fagliano, JA; Savrin, J; Udasin, I; Gochfeld, M.</u> (1997). Community exposure and medical screening
29	near chromium waste sites in New Jersey. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 26: 513-522.
50 21	Eaux SD: Cao M. Aux TC: Praithuraite DA: Loury LS (1004) Molecular enidemiological studies in
32	<u>raux, SP; Gdo, M; Aw, TC; Brattiwaite, KA; Levy, LS.</u> (1994). Molecular epidemiological studies in workers exposed to chromium-containing compounds. Clin Chem 40: 1454-1456
32	Féhel H: Szegedi B: Huszár S (2001) Absorption of inorganic trivalent and hexavalent chromium
34	following oral and intrajejunal doses in rats. Acta Vet Hung 49: 203-209
35	Feldman, M: Barnett, C. (1991). Fasting gastric pH and its relationship to true hypochlorhydria in
36	humans. Dig Dis Sci 36: 866-869. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01297133
37	Fendorf, S: Wielinga, BW; Hansel, CM. (2000). Chromium transformations in natural environments:
38	The role of biological and abiological. processes in chromium(VI) reduction. International
39	Geology Review 42: 691-701. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00206810009465107</u>
40	Fendorf, SE. (1995). Surface reactions of chromium in soils and waters. Geoderma 67: 55-71.
41	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0016-7061(94)00062-F
42	Fendorf, SE; Li, G. (1996). Kinetics of Chromate Reduction by Ferrous Iron. Environ Sci Technol 30:
43	1614-1617. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es950618m</u>
44	Fernandes, MA; Mota, IM; Silva, MT; Oliveira, CR; Geraldes, CF; Alpoim, MC. (1999). Human
45	erythrocytes are protected against chromate-induced peroxidation. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf
40	43: 38-46. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/eesa.1998.1/55</u>
47 10	remandez-meto, M; Quirce, S; Carnes, J; Sastre, J. (2006). Occupational astrma due to chromium
40 10	and model saits. Interest occup Environ realth $73:483-486$.
49	<u>mtp://ux.u0i.01g/10.100//S00420-005-00/8-2</u>

1	Figgitt, M; Newson, R; Leslie, IJ; Fisher, J; Ingham, E; Case, CP. (2010). The genotoxicity of
2	physiological concentrations of chromium (Cr(III) and Cr(VI)) and cobalt (Co(II)): an in
3	vitro study. Mutat Res 688: 53-61. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2010.03.008</u>
4	<u>Finley, BL; Kerger, BD; Katona, MW; Gargas, ML; Corbett, GC; Paustenbach, DJ.</u> (1997). Human
5	ingestion of chromium (VI) in drinking water: pharmacokinetics following repeated
6	exposure. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 142: 151-159.
7	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/taap.1996.7993</u>
8	Floro, NA; Wetterhahn, KE. (1984). Effect of chromium(III) on poly(dG-dC) conformation. Biochem
9	Biophys Res Commun 124: 106-113. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0006-291x(84)90923-9
10	Foley, GL, (2001), Overview of male reproductive pathology [Review], Toxicol Pathol 29; 49-63.
11	http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/019262301301418856
12	Foster, P: Gray, LE, Ir. (2013), Toxic responses of the reproductive system. In CD Klaassen (Ed.)
13	Casarett & Doull's toxicology: The basic science of noisons (8th ed. nn. 861-906). New York
1/	NV: McCraw-Hill Education https://www.mbeducation.com/highered/product/casarett-
15	doull s toxicology basic science poicons 0th adition klasson /0701250062745 html
16	Eav. IC. Wang, TC. (2007). Inflammation, attempty and gastria concer [Deview]. I. Clin Invest 117, 60
17	<u>FOX, JG; Wallg, TC.</u> (2007). Initialinitation, all opiny, and gastric cancer [Keview]. J Chill Invest 117: 00-
10	69. <u>IIIIp://UX.U0I.01g/10.11/2/JUI30111</u> For IC: Wong TC (2014) Distance for the modulate Helicohester associated metric concernin
10	<u>Fox, JG; Wang, TC.</u> (2014). Dietary factors modulate Helicobacter-associated gastric cancer in
19	Frenche & Mag & (2021). Dethology review of herevelout shremium mode of action evidence. U.S.
20	Francke, S; Mog, S. (2021). Pathology review of nexavalent chromium mode of action evidence. U.S.
21	Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration.
22	Frey, M; Seidel, C; Edwards, M. (2004). Occurrence survey of boron and nexavalent chromium. (No.
23	91044FJ. Denver, CO: American water Works Association Research Foundation.
24	<u>nttp://www.waterrf.org/PublicReportLibrary/91044F.pdf</u>
25	Fryzek, JP; Mumma, MT; Mclaughlin, JK; Henderson, BE; Blot, WJ. (2001). Cancer mortality in
26	relation to environmental chromium exposure. J Occup Environ Med 43: 635-640.
27	Furman, D; Campisi, J; Verdin, E; Carrera-Bastos, P; Targ, S; Franceschi, C; Ferrucci, L; Gilroy, DW;
28	<u>Fasano, A; Miller, GW; Miller, AH; Mantovani, A; Weyand, CM; Barzilai, N; Goronzy, JJ; Rando,</u>
29	<u>TA; Effros, RB; Lucia, A; Kleinstreuer, N; Slavich, GM.</u> (2019). Chronic inflammation in the
30	etiology of disease across the life span. Nat Med 25: 1822-1832.
31	http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41591-019-0675-0.
32	Gabay, M; Li, Y; Felsher, DW. (2014). MYC activation is a hallmark of cancer initiation and
33	maintenance [Review]. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 4.
34	http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a014241
35	<u>Gambelunghe, A; Piccinini, R; Abbritti, G; Ambrogi, M; Ugolini, B; Marchetti, C; Migliorati, G;</u>
36	<u>Balducci, C; Muzi, G.</u> (2006). Chromium VI-induced apoptosis in a human bronchial
37	epithelial cell line (BEAS-2B) and a lymphoblastic leukemia cell line (MOLT-4). J Occup
38	Environ Med 48: 319-325. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.jom.0000197859.46894.7d</u>
39	<u>Gambelunghe, A; Piccinini, R; Ambrogi, M; Villarini, M; Moretti, M; Marchetti, C; Abbritti, G; Muzi, G.</u>
40	(2003). Primary DNA damage in chrome-plating workers. Toxicology 188: 187-195.
41	Gandhi, M; Aweeka, F; Greenblatt, RM; Blaschke, TF. (2004). Sex differences in pharmacokinetics
42	and pharmacodynamics [Review]. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 44: 499-523.
43	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pharmtox.44.101802.121453</u>
44	<u>Gao, M; Binks, SP; Chipman, JK; Levy, LS; Braithwaite, RA; Brown, SS.</u> (1992). Induction of DNA
45	strand breaks in peripheral lymphocytes by soluble chromium compounds. Hum Exp
46	Toxicol 11: 77-82. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/096032719201100203</u>
47	<u>Gao, M; Levy, LS; Faux, SP; Aw, TC; Braithwaite, RA; Brown, SS.</u> (1994). Use of molecular
48	epidemiological techniques in a pilot study on workers exposed to chromium. Occup
49	Environ Med 51: 663-668. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oem.51.10.663</u>

1	Gatto, NM; Kelsh, MA; Mai, DH; Suh, M; Proctor, DM. (2010). Occupational exposure to hexavalent
2	chromium and cancers of the gastrointestinal tract: a meta-analysis. Cancer Detect Prev 34:
3	388-399. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.canep.2010.03.013</u>
4	Gazon, H; Barbeau, B; Mesnard, JM; Peloponese, JM. (2017). Hijacking of the AP-1 Signaling Pathway
5	during Development of ATL [Review]. FMICB 8: 2686.
6	http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02686
7	<u>GBD 2017</u> (GBD 2017 Gastro-oesophageal Reflux Disease Collaborators). (2020). The global,
8	regional, and national burden of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease in 195 countries and
9	territories, 1990–2017:Aa systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017.
10	Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology 5: 561-581. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2468-</u>
11	<u>1253(19)30408-X</u>
12	<u>Geetha, S; Sai Ram, M; Mongia, SS; Singh, V; Ilavazhagan, G; Sawhney, RC.</u> (2003). Evaluation of
13	antioxidant activity of leaf extract of Seabuckthorn (Hippophae rhamnoides L.) on
14	chromium(VI) induced oxidative stress in albino rats. J Ethnopharmacol 87: 247-251.
15	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-8741(03)00154-5
16	Gehlhaus, MW, III; Gift, JS; Hogan, KA; Kopylev, L; Schlosser, PM; Kadry, AR. (2011). Approaches to
17	cancer assessment in EPA's Integrated Risk Information System [Review]. Toxicol Appl
18	Pharmacol 254: 170-180. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2010.10.019</u>
19	Gelberg, H. (2018). Pathophysiological mechanisms of gastrointestinal toxicity. In CA McQueen
20	(Ed.), Comprehensive toxicology, vol 3 (3rd ed., pp. 139-178). Amsterdam, Netherlands:
21	Elsevier. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B9/8-0-12-801238-3.10923-7
22	<u>Geoliroy-Siraudin, C; Perrard, MH; Chaspoul, F; Lanteaume, A; Gamce, P; Durand, P; Guichaoua, MK.</u>
25	(2010). Valuation of a rat semininerous tubule culture model as a suitable system for studying toyicant impact on mologic effort of however, and the mouth of the 206
24	206 http://dv.doi.org/10/1002/toysci/l/fa000
25	Corin M: Eletcher AC: Cray C: Winkelmann D: Reffetta D: Simonate J. (1992) Development and
20	<u>use of a welding process exposure matrix in a historical prospective study of lung cancer</u>
27	risk in European welders. Int I Enidemiol 22: S22-S28
29	Gibb H: Wang I: O'Leary K: Chen C: Bateson TF: Konyley I. (2020) The effect of age on the
30	relative risk of lung cancer mortality in a cohort of chromium production workers. Am I Ind
31	Med 63: 774-778. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajim.23152
32	Gibb, HJ; Lees, PS; Pinsky, PF; Rooney, BC. (2000a). Clinical findings of irritation among chromium
33	chemical production workers. Am J Ind Med 38: 127-131.
34	Gibb, HI: Lees, PS: Pinsky, PF: Rooney, BC. (2000b). Lung cancer among workers in chromium
35	chemical production. Am J Ind Med 38: 115-126.
36	Gibb, HJ: Lees, PS; Wang, J: Grace O'Leary, K. (2015). Extended followup of a cohort of chromium
37	production workers. Am J Ind Med 58: 905-913. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajim.22479</u>
38	<u>Girardi, P; Bressan, V; Mabilia, T; Merler, E.</u> (2015). [Confirmation of an excess of cancer mortality in
39	a cohort of workers of a chromium thin-layer plating]. Epidemiol Prev 39: 183-187.
40	<u>Glaser, U; Hochrainer, D; Klöeppel, H; Kuhnen, H.</u> (1985). Low level chromium (VI) inhalation
41	effects on alveolar macrophages and immune functions in Wistar rats. Arch Toxicol 57: 250-
42	256. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00324787</u>
43	<u>Glaser, U; Hochrainer, D; Kloeppel, H; Oldiges, H.</u> (1986). Carcinogenicity of sodium dichromate and
44	chromium (VI/III)oxide aerosols inhaled by male Wistar rats. Toxicology 42: 219-232.
45	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0300-483X(86)90011-9</u>
46	Glaser, U; Hochrainer, D; Steinhoff, D. (1990). Investigation of irritating properties of inhaled Cr(VI)
4/	with possible influence on its carcinogenic action. In NH Seemayer; W Hadnagy (Eds.),
4ð 40	Environmental nyglene II (pp. 239-245). Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag.
49 F0	<u>Goomera, M.</u> (2007). Framework for gender differences in numan and animal toxicology [Review].
50	Environ kes 104: 4-21. <u>http://ax.aoi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2005.12.005</u>

1	Goldberg, MT; Blakey, DH; Bruce, WR. (1983). Comparison of the effects of 1,2-dimethylhydrazine
2	and cyclophosphamide on micronucleus incidence in bone marrow and colon. Mutat Res
3	Fundam Mol Mech Mutagen 109: 91-98. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0027-5107(83)90098-2</u>
4	<u>Gomes, ER.</u> (1972). Incidence of chromium-induced lesions among electroplating workers in Brazil.
5	Ind Med Surg 41: 21-25.
6	Goulart, M; Batoréu, MC; Rodrigues, AS; Laires, A; Rueff, J. (2005). Lipoperoxidation products and
7	thiol antioxidants in chromium exposed workers. Mutagenesis 20: 311-315.
8	http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mutage/gei043
9	<u>Goullé, JP; Saussereau, E; Grosjean, J; Doche, C; Mahieu, L; Thouret, JM; Guerbet, M; Lacroix, C.</u>
10	(2012). Accidental potassium dichromate poisoning. Toxicokinetics of chromium by ICP-
11	MS-CRC in biological fluids and in hair. Forensic Sci Int 217: e8-12.
12	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2011.10.020
13	<u>Greenspan, LC; Lee, MM.</u> (2018). Endocrine disrupters and pubertal timing [Review]. Curr Opin
14	Endocrinol Diabetes Obes 25: 49-54. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MED.000000000000377</u>
15	<u>Gromadzińska, J; Wasowicz, W; Skłodowska, M; Bulikowski, W; Rydzyński, K.</u> (1996). The influence
16	of atmospheric chromium on selenium content and glutathione peroxidase activity in blood
17	of tannery workers. Environ Health Perspect 104: 1312-1316.
18	http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.104-1469531
19	Group, FW. (2000). Nonclincial assessment of potential hepatotoxicity in man (a concept paper
20	meant to provide a framework for discussion at a February 12&13 Workshop. FDA Working
21	Group.
22	<u>Güerci, A: Seoane, A: Dulout, FN.</u> (2000). Aneugenic effects of some metal compounds assessed by
23	chromosome counting in MRC-5 human cells. Mutat Res 469: 35-40.
24	<u>Guo, X; Feng, L; Lemos, B; Lou, J.</u> (2019). DNA methylation modifications induced by hexavalent
25	chromium. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev 37: 133-145.
26	http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10590501.2019.1592640
27 20	<u>Guo, YJ; Pan, WW; Liu, SB; Shen, ZF; Xu, Y; Hu, LL.</u> (2020). EKK/MAPK signalling pathway and
20	http://dv.doi.org/10.2002/otm 2020.9454
29	Cuttmann D: Deage C: Johnston T: Thitkovich A (2009) Reduction with glutathione is a weakly
50 21	<u>Buttinalini, D; Podge, G; Johnston, T; Zintkovici, A.</u> (2006). Reduction with glutatinone is a weakly mutagonic nathway in chromium(VI) matabalism. Cham Das Tavical 21, 2199–2104
33	http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ty800265g
22	Halasova F: Adamkov M: Matakova T: Kaycova F: Poliacek I: Singliar A (2010) Lung cancer
37	incidence and survival in chromium exposed individuals with respect to expression of anti-
35	anontotic protein survivin and tumor suppressor P53 protein. Fur I Med Res 15: 55-59
36	Halasova F: Matakova T: Musak L: Polakova V: Letkova L: Dobrota D: Vodicka P (2012)
37	Evaluating chromosomal damage in workers exposed to hexavalent chromium and the
38	modulating role of polymorphisms of DNA repair genes. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 85:
39	473. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00420-011-0684-x
40	Halasova, E: Matakova, T: Musak, L: Polakova, V: Vodicka, P. (2008), Chromosomal damage and
41	polymorphisms of DNA repair genes XRCC1 and XRCC3 in workers exposed to chromium.
42	Neuro Endocrinol Lett 29: 658-662.
43	Halasova, E; Matakova, T; Skerenova, M; Krutakova, M; Slovakova, P; Dzian, A; Javorkova, S; Pec, M;
44	Kypusova, K; Hamzik, J. (2016). Polymorphisms of selected DNA repair genes and lung
45	cancer in chromium exposure. Adv Exp Med Biol 911: 17-22.
46	http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/5584_2016_218
47	Hamilton, EM; Young, SD; Bailey, EH; Watts, MJ. (2018). Chromium speciation in foodstuffs: A
48	review [Review]. Food Chem 250. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.01.016</u>
49	Hanahan, D. (2022). Hallmarks of cancer: New dimensions [Review]. Cancer Discovery 12: 31-46.
50	http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-21-1059

1	Hanahan D: Weinherg RA (2011) Hallmarks of cancer: The next generation [Review] Cell 144:
2	646-674, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013
3	Hanaoka, T: Yamano, Y: Katsuno, N: Kagawa, I: Ishizu, S. (1997). Elevated serum levels of pantropic
4	p53 proteins in chromium workers. Scand J Work Environ Health 23: 37-40.
5	http://dx.doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.176
6	Hanslian, L; Navratil, J; Jurak, J. (1967). [Damage to the upper respiratory tract by a chromic acid
7	aerosol]. Czech J Occup Med 19: 294-298.
8	Hantson, P; Van Caenegem, O; Decordier, I; Haufroid, V; Lison, D. (2005). Hexavalent chromium
9	ingestion: Biological markers of nephrotoxicity and genotoxicity. Clin Toxicol 43: 111-112.
10	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1081/CLT-50414</u>
11	Harris, HH; Levina, A; Dillon, CT; Mulyani, I; Lai, B; Cai, Z; Lay, PA. (2005). Time-dependent uptake,
12	distribution and biotransformation of chromium(VI) in individual and bulk human lung
13	cells: application of synchrotron radiation techniques. J Biol Inorg Chem 10: 105-118.
14	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00775-004-0617-1</u>
15	Haschek, WM; Rousseaux, CG; Wallig, MA. (2009). Male reproductive system. In Fundamentals of
16	toxicologic pathology (2nd ed.). Cambridge, MA: Academic Press.
17	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-370469-6.00018-0
18	Haseman, JK; Bailer, AJ; Kodell, RL; Morris, R; Portier, K. (2001). Statistical issues in the analysis of
19	low-dose endocrine disruptor data. Toxicol Sci 61: 201-210.
20	Hausladen, DM; Alexander-Ozinskas, A; Mcclain, CN; Fendorf, S. (2018). Hexavalent chromium
21	sources and distribution in California groundwater. Environ Sci Technol 52: 8242-8251.
22	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est./b0662/</u> Heusleden DM: Fonderf S. (2017) Heuselent chromium concretion within naturally structured
23	Haustaden, DM; Fendori, S. (2017). Hexavalent chromnum generation within naturally structured
24 25	solis and sequinents. Environ Sci Technol 51: 2058-2007. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acc.oct.6b04029
25	Havakawa V: Fox IC: Conda T: Worthlay DL: Muthunalani S: Wang TC (2013) Mouse models of
20	gastric cancer Cancers 5: 92-130 http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/cancers5010092
28	Havashi M (2016) The micronucleus test-most widely used in vivo genotoxicity test [Review]
29	Genes Environ 38: 18. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s41021-016-0044-x
30	Haves, RB: Lilienfeld, AM: Snell, LM, (1979). Mortality in chromium chemical production workers: A
31	prospective study. Int J Epidemiol 8: 365-374. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ije/8.4.365
32	He, F; Ru, X; Wen, T. (2020). NRF2, a transcription factor for stress response and beyond [Review].
33	International Journal of Molecular Sciences 21: 4777.
34	http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21134777
35	He, J; Qian, X; Carpenter, R; Xu, Q; Wang, L; Qi, Y; Wang, ZX; Liu, LZ; Jiang, BH. (2013). Repression of
36	miR-143 Mediates Cr (VI)-Induced Tumor Angiogenesis via IGF-IR/IRS1/ERK/IL-8
37	Pathway. Toxicol Sci 134: 26-38. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kft101</u>
38	Hedberg, YS. (2018). Metal allergy: Chromium. In J Chen; J Thyssen (Eds.), Metal allergy (pp. 349-
39	364). Cham, Switzerland: Springer. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-58503-1_27</u>
40	Helmbold, P; Rytter, M; Ziegler, V; Haustein, UF. (1993). Induction of type IV hypersensitivity to
41	contact allergens in guinea pigs by in vitro haptenized allogenic peritoneal exudate cells.
42	Acta Derm Venereol 73: 365-369. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2340/0001555573365369</u>
43	Henderson, KF. (1984). Use of bronchoalveolar lavage to detect lung damage [Review]. Environ
44	Health Perspect 56: 115-129.
45 16	<u>Henderson, Kr; Benson, JM; Hann, Fr; Hobbs, CH; Jones, KK; Mauderly, JL; McUellan, KU; Pickrell,</u>
40 47	IA. (1000). New approaches for the evaluation of pulmonary toxicity: BronchoalVeolar
47 78	Iavage IIulu dildiysis [Review]. Iuxicul sci 5: 431-430. Hans B: Brouwars I: Annavald B: Corsetti M: Symillidae M: Vartzoni M: Pannae C: Turner DB:
40 70	Augustiing P (2014) Costrointectinal transfor. In vive evaluation and implementation in in
+5	<u>Augustijiis, 1.</u> (2017). Gasti olitestillai translet. Ili vivo evaluation and implementation in fi

1	vitro and in silico predictive tools. Eur J Pharm Sci 63: 233-242.
2	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejps.2014.07.008
3	Hertle, E; van Greevenbroek, MM; Stehouwer, CD. (2012). Complement C3: an emerging risk factor
4	in cardiometabolic disease. Diabetologia 55: 881-884. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00125-</u>
5	<u>012-2462-z</u>
6	Hfaiedh, M; Brahmi, D; Zourgui, L. (2014). Protective role of cactus cladodes extract on sodium
7	dichromate-induced testicular injury and oxidative stress in rats. Biol Trace Elem Res 159:
8	304-311. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12011-014-9969-8
9	Hilali, A; Anane, R; Jaaouani, N; Creppy, EE; Verschaeve, L. (2008). Cytogenetic analysis of tannery
10	workers in Morocco. J Appl Toxicol 28: 439-442. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jat.1291
11	Hill, AB. (1965). The environment and disease: Association or causation? Proc R Soc Med 58: 295-
12	300.
13	Hiller, MM; Leggett, RW. (2020). A biokinetic model for trivalent or hexavalent chromium in adult
14	humans. J Radiol Prot 40: 19-39. http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1361-6498/ab4286
15	Hinds, WC. (1999). 11: Respiratory Deposition. In Aerosol Technology: Properties, Behavior, and
16	Measurement of Airborne Particles (2 ed.), New York, NY: Wiley- Interscience.
17	Hirose, T; Kondo, K; Takahashi, Y; Ishikura, H; Fujino, H; Tsuyuguchi, M; Hashimoto, M; Yokose, T;
18	Mukai, K; Kodama, T; Monden, Y. (2002). Frequent microsatellite instability in lung cancer
19	from chromate-exposed workers. Mol Carcinog 33: 172-180.
20	Hjollund, NH; Bonde, JP; Ernst, E; Lindenberg, S; Andersen, AN; Olsen, J. (2005). Spontaneous
21	abortion in IVF couplesa role of male welding exposure. Hum Reprod 20: 1793-1797.
22	http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/humrep/deh680
23	Hjollund, NH; Bonde, JP; Hansen, KS. (1995). Male-mediated risk of spontaneous abortion with
24	reference to stainless steel welding. Scand J Work Environ Health 21: 272-276.
25	http://dx.doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.37
26	<u>Hjollund, NH; Bonde, JP; Jensen, TK; Ernst, E; Henriksen, TB; Kolstad, HA; Giwercman, A;</u>
27	Skakkebaek, NE: Olsen, J. (1998). Semen quality and sex hormones with reference to metal
28	welding. Reprod Toxicol 12: 91-95.
29	<u>Hjollund, NH; Bonde, JP; Jensen, TK; Henriksen, TB; Andersson, AM; Kolstad, HA; Ernst, E;</u>
30	Giwercman, A; Skakkebaek, NE; Olsen, J. (2000). Male-mediated spontaneous abortion
31	among spouses of stainless steel welders. Scand J Work Environ Health 26: 187-192.
32	Holmes, AL; Wise, SS; Wise, JP. (2008). Carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium [Review]. Indian J
33	Med Res 128: 353-372.
34	Hooftman, RN; Arkesteyn, CWM; Roza, P. (1988). Cytotoxicity of some types of welding fume
35	particles to bovine alveolar macrophages. Ann Occup Hyg 32: 95-102.
36	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/annhyg/32.1.95</u>
37	Horiguchi, S; Morinaga, K; Endo, G. (1990). Epidemiological study of mortality from cancer among
38	chromium platers. Asia Pac J Public Health 4: 169-174.
39	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/101053959000400316</u>
40	<u>Hu, G; Li, P; Cui, X; Li, Y; Zhang, J; Zhai, X; Yu, S; Tang, S; Zhao, Z; Wang, J; Jia, G.</u> (2018). Cr(VI)-
41	induced methylation and down-regulation of DNA repair genes and its association with
42	markers of genetic damage in workers and 16HBE cells. Environ Pollut 238: 833-843.
43	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.03.046</u>
44	Hu, G; Li, P; Li, Y; Wang, T; Gao, X, in; Zhang, W; Jia, G. (2016). Methylation levels of P16 and TP53
45	that are involved in DNA strand breakage of 16HBE cells treated by hexavalent chromium.
46	Toxicol Lett 249: 15-21. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2016.03.003</u>
47	Huang, L; Yu, CH; Hopke, PK; Lioy, PJ; Buckley, BT; Shin, JY; Fan, ZT. (2014). Measurement of soluble
48	and total hexavalent chromium in the ambient airborne particles in New Jersey. Aerosol Air
49	Qual Res 14: 1939-1949. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2013.10.0312</u>

1	Huang, YL; Chen, CY; Sheu, JY; Chuang, IC; Pan, JH; Lin, TH. (1999). Lipid peroxidation in workers
2	exposed to hexavalent chromium. J Toxicol Environ Health A 56: 235-247.
3	http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/009841099158088
4	Husgafvel-Pursiainen, K; Kalliomaki, PL; Sorsa, M. (1982). A chromosome study among stainless
5	steel welders. J Occup Environ Med 24: 762-766.
6	Huvinen, M; Mäkitie, A; Järventaus, H; Wolff, H; Stjernvall, T; Hovi, A; Hirvonen, A; Ranta, R;
7	Nurminen, M; Norppa, H. (2002a). Nasal cell micronuclei, cytology and clinical symptoms in
8	stainless steel production workers exposed to chromium. Mutagenesis 17: 425-429.
9	http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mutage/17.5.425
10	Huvinen, M; Uitti, J; Oksa, P; Palmroos, P; Laippala, P. (2002b). Respiratory health effects of long-
11	term exposure to different chromium species in stainless steel production. Occup Med
12	(Lond) 52: 203-212.
13	Huvinen, M; Uitti, J; Zitting, A; Roto, P; Virkola, K; Kuikka, P; Laippala, P; Aitio, A. (1996).
14	Respiratory health of workers exposed to low levels of chromium in stainless steel
15	production. Occup Environ Med 53: 741-747.
16	Hydrometrics. (2013). Project report background concentrations of inorganic constituents in
17	Montana surface soils. Helena, MT: Hydrometrics, Inc.
18	https://deg.mt.gov/Portals/112/Land/StateSuperfund/Documents/InorganicBackground/
19	<u>BkgdInorganicsReport.pdf</u>
20	<u>IARC</u> (International Agency for Research on Cancer). (2012). A review of human carcinogens. Part
21	C: Arsenic, metals, fibres, and dusts [IARC Monograph]. Lyon, France: World Health
22	Organization. <u>http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol100C/mono100C.pdf</u>
23	Iarmarcovai, G; Sari-Minodier, I; Chaspoul, F; Botta, C; De Meo, M; Orsiere, T; Berge-Lefranc, JL;
24	Gallice, P: Botta, A. (2005). Risk assessment of welders using analysis of eight metals by ICP-
25	MS in blood and urine and DNA damage evaluation by the comet and micronucleus assays;
26	influence of XRCC1 and XRCC3 polymorphisms. Mutagenesis 20: 425-432.
27	http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mutage/gei058
28	Ibrahim, R; Brix, A; Malarkey, DE; Nyska, A; Asif, M; Ramot, Y. (2021). Chemical-induced oral
29	squamous cell neoplasms in rodents: An overview of NTP 2-year cancer studies. Toxicol
30	Pathol. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0192623321989956</u>
31	ICRP (International Commission on Radiological Protection). (1994). Human respiratory tract
32	model for radiological protection. Ann ICRP 24.
33	<u>ICRP</u> (International Commission on Radiological Protection). (2006). Human alimentary tract
34	model for radiological protection. ICRP Publication 100. A report of The International
35	Commission on Radiological Protection. Ann ICRP 36: 25-327, iii.
36	<u>lijima, S: Spindle, A: Pedersen, RA.</u> (1983). Developmental and cytogenetic effects of potassium
37	dichromate on mouse embryos in vitro. Teratology 27: 109-115.
38	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/tera.1420270116</u>
39	Ikarashi, Y; Momma, J; Tsuchiya, T; Nakamura, A. (1996). Evaluation of skin sensitization potential
40	of nickel, chromium, titanium and zirconium salts using guinea-pigs and mice. Biomaterials
41	17: 2103-2108. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0142-9612(96)00038-5</u>
42	Ikarashi, Y; Tsuchiya, T; Nakamura, A. (1992). Detection of contact sensitivity of metal salts using
43	the murine local lymph node assay. Toxicol Lett 62: 53-61.
44	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4274(92)90078-x
45	<u>IOM</u> (Institute of Medicine). (2011). Dietary reference intakes for calcium and vitamin D. In AC
46	Ross; CL Taylor; AL Yaktine; HB Del Valle (Eds.). Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
4/	$\frac{\text{nttp://ax.doi.org/10.1/226/13050}}{\text{UDCC}}$
48	<u>IPCS</u> (International Programme on Chemical Safety). (2012). Harmonization project document no.
49	10: Guidance for immunotoxicity risk assessment for chemicals. (Harmonization Project

1	Document No. 10). Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
2	http://www.inchem.org/documents/harmproj/harmproj/harmproj10.pdf
3	IPCS (International Programme on Chemical Safety). (2013). Inorganic chromium(VI) compounds.
4	(78). Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
5	http://www.who.int/ipcs/publications/cicad/cicad_78.pdf
6	<u>Ishikawa, Y; Nakagawa, K; Satoh, Y; Kitagawa, T; Sugano, H; Hirano, T; Tsuchiya, E. (</u> 1994a).
7	Characteristics of chromate workers' cancers, chromium lung deposition and precancerous
8	bronchial lesions: an autopsy study. Br J Cancer 70: 160-166.
9	<u>Ishikawa, Y; Nakagawa, K; Satoh, Y; Kitagawa, T; Sugano, H; Hirano, T; Tsuchiya, E. (1994b). Hot</u>
10	spots of chromium accumulation at bifurcations of chromate workers' bronchi. Cancer Res
11	54: 2342-2346.
12	Islam, LN; Rahman, F; Hossain, A. (2019). Serum Immunoglobulin Levels and Complement Function
13	of Tannery Workers in Bangladesh. 9: 190308. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5696/2156-9614-</u>
14	<u>9.21.190308</u>
15	<u>Izzotti, A; Bagnasco, M; Camoirano, A; Orlando, M; De Flora, S.</u> (1998). DNA fragmentation, DNA-
16	protein crosslinks, 32P postlabeled nucleotidic modifications, and 8-hydroxy-2'-
17	deoxyguanosine in the lung but not in the liver of rats receiving intratracheal instillations of
18	chromium(VI). Chemoprevention by oral N-acetylcysteine. Mutat Res Fundam Mol Mech
19	Mutagen 400: 233-244. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0027-5107(98)00028-1</u>
20	Jacquet, P: Draye, JP. (1982). Toxicity of chromium salts to cultured mouse embryos. Toxicol Lett
21	12: 53-57. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4274(82)90198-9</u>
22	Jardine, PM; Mehlhorn, TL; Bailey, WB; Brooks, SC; Fendorf, S; Gentry, RW; Phelps, TJ; Saiers, JE.
23	(2011). Geochemical processes governing the fate and transport of chromium(III) and
24	chromium(VI) in soils. Vadose Zone J 10: $1058-1070$.
25	$\frac{\text{http://dx.doi.org/10.2136/vzj2010.0102}}{MDA have by (2017) ADC Associated Balance is Conditioned to MDA have but$
20	Jasperson, KW; Patel, SG; Annen, DJ. (2017). APC-Associated Polyposis Conditions. In MP Adam; HH
27 20	Ardinger; KA Pagon; SE Wallace; LJH Bean; G Mirzaa; A Amemiya (Eds.), Genereviews
20	[Internet]. Seattle, WA: University of Washington, Seattle.
30	215 http://dx doi org/10.1016/0890-6238(88)90025-1
30	Lia G (2021) [Request sent to Professor Guang Lia for information from nublication with reply
32	response to request and follow-up attached]. Available online
33	Jin, Y; Liu, L; Zhang, S; Tao, B; Tao, R; He, X; Ou, L; Huang, J; Wang, X; Fu, Z. (2016). Chromium alters
34	lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammatory responses both in vivo and in vitro. Chemosphere
35	148: 436-443. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.01.057
36	Jin, Y; Zhang, S; Tao, R; Huang, J; He, X; Qu, L; Fu, Z. (2014). Oral exposure of mice to cadmium (II),
37	chromium (VI) and their mixture induce oxidative- and endoplasmic reticulum-stress
38	mediated apoptosis in the livers. Environ Toxicol 31: 693-705.
39	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/tox.22082</u>
40	<u>Jirova, D; Janeckovo, V; Znojemska, S; Pekarek, J.</u> (1983). Adjuvant Activity Of Muramyldipeptide In
41	A Guinea Pig Model Of Contact Allergy To Chromium. J Hyg 27: 395-402.
42	Johansson, A; Robertson, B; Curstedt, T; Camner, P. (1986a). Rabbit lung after inhalation of hexa-
43	and trivalent chromium. Environ Res 41: 110-119.
44	Johansson, A; Wiernik, A; Jarstrand, C; Camner, P. (1986b). Rabbit alveolar macrophages after
45	inhalation of hexa- and trivalent chromium. Environ Res 39: 372-385.
46	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0013-9351(86)80063-9
47	Johnson, J: Schewel, L: Graedel, TE. (2006). The contemporary anthropogenic chromium cycle.
48	Environ Sci Technol 40: 7060-7069. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es060061i</u>
1	Jones, KB; Klein, OD. (2013). Oral epithelial stem cells in tissue maintenance and disease: the first
-----------	--
2	steps in a long journey [Review]. International Journal of Oral Science 5: 121-129.
3	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ijos.2013.46</u>
4	<u>Jones, RP; Sutton, PA; Evans, JP; Clifford, R; Mcavoy, A; Lewis, J; Rousseau, A; Mountford, R;</u>
5	Mcwhirter, D; Malik, HZ. (2017). Specific mutations in KRAS codon 12 are associated with
6	worse overall survival in patients with advanced and recurrent colorectal cancer. Br J
7	Cancer 116: 923-929. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2017.37</u>
8	<u>JP, B; Olsen, JH; Hansen, KS.</u> (1992). Adverse pregnancy outcome and childhood malignancy with
9	reference to paternal welding exposure. Scand J Work Environ Health 18: 169-177.
10	Juanes, MA. (2020). Cytoskeletal control and Wnt signaling-APC's dual contributions in stem cell
11	division and colorectal cancer [Review]. Cancers 12: 3811.
12	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/cancers12123811</u>
13	Junaid, M; Murthy, RC; Saxena, DK. (1995). Chromium fetotoxicity in mice during late pregnancy.
14	Vet Hum Toxicol 37: 320-323.
15	Junaid, M; Murthy, RC; Saxena, DK. (1996a). Embryo and fetotoxicity of chromium in
16	pregestationally exposed mice. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 57: 327-334.
1/	Junaid, M; Murthy, RC; Saxena, DK. (1996b). Embryotoxicity of orally administered chromium in
10	mice: exposure during the period of organogenesis. Toxicol Lett 84: 143-148.
20 19	<u>Kaiser, JP; Lipscomb, JC; Wesseikamper, SC.</u> (2012). Putative mechanisms of environmental
20	http://dy.doi.org/10.1177/1001501012466410
21	Kalahasthi RB: Rao RH: Murthy RB: Kumar MK (2006) Effect of chromium(VI) on the status of
22	nlasma linid neroxidation and erythrocyte antioxidant enzymes in chromium nlating
23	workers Chem Biol Interact 164: 192-199 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chi 2006.09.012
25	Kalantzi, L. Goumas, K. Kalioras, V. Abrahamsson, B. Dressman, IB: Reppas, C. (2006).
26	Characterization of the human upper gastrointestinal contents under conditions simulating
27	bioavailability/bioequivalence studies. Pharm Res 23: 165-176.
28	http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11095-005-8476-1
29	Kaltreider, RC; Pesce, CA; Ihnat, MA; Lariviere, JP; Hamilton, JW. (1999). Differential effects of
30	arsenic(III) and chromium(VI) on nuclear transcription factor binding. Mol Carcinog 25:
31	219-229. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2744(199907)25:3<219::AID-
32	MC8>3.0.C0;2-X
33	Kanojia, RK; Junaid, M; Murthy, RC. (1996). Chromium induced teratogenicity in female rat. Toxicol
34	Lett 89: 207-213. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4274(96)03812-X</u>
35	Kanojia, RK; Junaid, M; Murthy, RC. (1998). Embryo and fetotoxicity of hexavalent chromium: a
36	long-term study. Toxicol Lett 95: 165-172. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-</u>
37	<u>4274(98)00034-4</u>
38	<u>Kararli, TT.</u> (1995). Comparison of the gastrointestinal anatomy, physiology, and biochemistry of
39	numans and commonly used laboratory animals [Review]. Biopharm Drug Dispos 16: 351-
40 41	380. <u>IIIIp://ux.uoi.org/10.1002/000.2510160502</u> Varaulay, AV, Banjari, EA, Smalyagin, AL, Mikhaylaya, IV, Stadnikov, AA, Bagun, DN, Tsarauhas, K.
41 42	<u>Naraulov, Av; Relliell, EA; Sillolyagili, Al; Mikilaylova, Iv; Staulikov, AA; Beguil, DN; Tsaroullas, K;</u>
42 //2	<u>Durid Djorujevic, A; Harturig, T; Tsatsakis, A.</u> (2019). Long-term enects of chom Toxicol 132:
43 ΔΔ	110748 http://dx doi org/10.1016/j fct 2019.110748
45	Katahami, M. Dosaka-Akita, H. Mishina, T. Honma, K. Kimura, K. Uchida, V. Morikawa, K. Mikami, H.
46	Fukuda, S: Inuvama, Y: Ohsaki, Y: Kawakami, Y. (2000). Frequent cyclin D1 expression in
47	chromate-induced lung cancers. Hum Pathol 31: 973-979.
48	http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/hupa.2000.9081

1	Katiyar, S; Awasthi, SK; Sahu, RK. (2008). Suppression of IL-6 level in human peripheral blood
2	mononuclear cells stimulated with PHA/LPS after occupational exposure to chromium. Sci
3	Total Environ 390: 355-361. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2007.10.031</u>
4	Kempe, DS; Lang, PA; Duranton, C; Akel, A; Lang, KS; Huber, SM; Wieder, T; Lang, F. (2006).
5	Enhanced programmed cell death of iron-deficient erythrocytes. FASEB J 20: 368-370.
6	http://dx.doi.org/10.1096/fj.05-4872fie
7	Kerger, BD; Butler, WJ; Paustenbach, DJ; Zhang, J; Li, S. (2009). Cancer mortality in chinese
8	populations surrounding an alloy plant with chromium smelting operations. J Toxicol
9	Environ Health A 72: 329-344. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15287390802529898
10	Kerger, BD; Finley, BL; Corbett, GE; Dodge, DG; Paustenbach, DJ. (1997). Ingestion of chromium (VI)
11	in drinking water by human volunteers: absorption, distribution, and excretion of single and
12	repeated doses. J Toxicol Environ Health 50: 67-95.
13	Kerger, BD; Paustenbach, DJ; Corbett, GE; Finley, BL. (1996). Absorption and elimination of trivalent
14	and hexavalent chromium in humans following ingestion of a bolus dose in drinking water.
15	Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 141: 145-158. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/taap.1996.0271</u>
16	Khan, DA; Mushtaq, S; Khan, FA; Khan, MQA. (2013). Toxic effects of chromium on tannery workers
17	at Sialkot (Pakistan). Toxicol Ind Health 29: 209-215.
18	http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0748233711430974
19	Kim, H; Cho, SH; Chung, MH. (1999). Exposure to hexavalent chromium does not increase 8-
20	hydroxydeoxyguanosine levels in Korean chromate pigment workers. Ind Health 37: 335-
21	341.
22	Kim, HY; Lee, SB; Jang, BS. (2004). Subchronic inhalation toxicity of soluble hexavalent chromium
23	trioxide in rats. Arch Toxicol 78: 363-368. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00204-004-0553-4</u>
24	<u>Kim, JG; Dixon, JB.</u> (2002). Oxidation and fate of chromium in soils. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 48: 483-490.
25	http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2002.10409230
26	<u>Kim, SH; Lee, I, nC; Baek, HS; Moon, C; Kang, SS, oo; Bae, CS, ik; Kim, SH, o; Shin, DH, o; Kim, JC.</u>
2/	(2012). Pychogenol (R) prevents nexavalent chromium-induced spermatotoxicity in rats.
28	Mol Cell Toxicol 8: 249-256. $\frac{\text{nttp://dx.doi.org/10.100//S132/3-012-0030-8}}{\text{Mol Cell Toxicol 8: 249-256.}}$
29	<u>Kim, WR; Flamm, SL; DI Biscegile, AM; Bodenneimer, HC.</u> (2008). Serum activity of alanine
50 21	http://dv.doi.org/10.1002/hop.22100
27 27	Kim VD: An SC: Outana T: Kauramata T: Kim H (2002) Ovidative stress hagg1 expression and
22	<u>NIII, 1D, All, 5C, Oyalia, 1, Kawaliloto, 1, Kilii, H.</u> (2005). Oxidative stress, hogg1 expression and NE-kappaB activity in cells exposed to low level chromium 1 Occup Health 45: 271-277
37	http://dx.doi.org/10.1539/job.45.271
25	Kimber J: Bentley AN: Hilton J (1990) Contact sensitization of mice to nickel subbate and
36	notassium dichromate Contact Derm 23: 325-330 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/i.1600-
37	0536 1990 th05166 x
38	Kimbrough, DE: Cohen, Y: Winer, A: Creelman, L: Mabuni, C. (1999). A critical assessment of
39	chromium in the environment. Crit Rev Environ Sci Tech 29: 1-46.
40	http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10643389991259164
41	Kirman, CR; Aylward, LL; Suh, M; Harris, MA; Thompson, CM; Haws, LC; Proctor, DM; Lin, SS; Parker,
42	W; Hays, SM. (2013). Physiologically based pharmacokinetic model for humans orally
43	exposed to chromium. Chem Biol Interact 204: 13-27.
44	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2013.04.003
45	Kirman, CR; Hays, SM; Aylward, LL; Suh, M; Harris, MA; Thompson, CM; Haws, LC; Proctor, DM.
46	(2012). Physiologically based pharmacokinetic model for rats and mice orally exposed to
47	chromium. Chem Biol Interact 200: 45-64. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2012.08.016</u>
48	Kirman, CR; Suh, M; Hays, SM; Gürleyük, H; Gerads, R; De Flora, S; Parker, W; Lin, S; Haws, LC;
49	Harris, MA; Proctor, DM. (2016). Reduction of hexavalent chromium by fasted and fed

1	human gastric fluid. II. Ex vivo gastric reduction modeling. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 306:
2	120-133. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2016.0/.002</u>
3	<u>Kirman, CR; Suh, M; Proctor, DM; Hays, SM.</u> (2017). Improved physiologically based
4	pharmacokinetic model for oral exposures to chromium in mice, rats, and humans to
5	address temporal variation and sensitive populations. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol.
6	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2017.03.023
/	<u>Kirpnick-Sobol, Z; Reliene, R; Schiestl, RH.</u> (2006). Carcinogenic Cr(VI) and the nutritional
8	supplement Cr(III) induce DNA deletions in yeast and mice. Cancer Res 66: 3480-3484.
9	http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-3944
10	<u>Kitamura, F; Yokoyama, K; Araki, S; Nishikitani, M; Choi, JW; Yum, YT; Park, HC; Park, SH; Sato, H.</u>
11	(2003). Increase of olfactory threshold in plating factory workers exposed to chromium in
12	Korea. Ind Health 41: 279-285. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2486/indhealth.41.279</u>
13	Kleinfeld, M; Rosso, A. (1965). Ulcerations of the nasal septum due to inhalation of chromic acid
14	mist. Indian J Med Surg 34: 242-243.
15	Kleinstreuer, C; Zhang, Z; Donohue, JF. (2008). Targeted drug-aerosol delivery in the human
16	respiratory system. Annu Rev Biomed Eng 10: 195-220.
17	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bioeng.10.061807.160544</u>
18	Kodavanti, U. (2014). Respiratory toxicity biomarkers. In R Gupta (Ed.), Biomarkers in toxicology
19	(pp. 217-239). San Diego, CA: Academic Press. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-</u>
20	<u>404630-6.00012-9</u>
21	Kohl, KD; Stengel, A; Samuni-Blank, M; Dearing, MD. (2013). Effects of anatomy and diet on
22	gastrointestinal pH in rodents. J Exp Zool A Ecol Genet Physiol 319: 225-229.
23	http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jez.1786
24	Kołaciński, Z; Kostrzewski, P; Kruszewska, S; Raźniewska, G; Mielczarska, J. (1999). Acute
25	potassium dichromate poisoning: a toxicokinetic case study. J Toxicol Clin Toxicol 37: 785-
26	791.
27	Kolacinski, Z; Kruszewska, S; Winnicka, R; Razniewska, G; Kolacinska, M. (2004). Toxicokinetics of
28	Chromium Elimination in Hemodialysis and Forced Diuresis Based on a Case of Potassium
29	Dichromate Intoxication. J Toxicol Clin Toxicol 42.
30	Kondo, K; Hino, N; Sasa, M; Kamamura, Y; Sakiyama, S; Tsuyuguchi, M; Hashimoto, M; Uyama, T;
31	Monden, Y. (1997). Mutations of the p53 gene in human lung cancer from chromate-
32	exposed workers. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 239: 95-100.
33	http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.1997.7425
34	Kondo, K; Takahashi, Y; Hirose, Y; Nagao, T; Tsuyuguchi, M; Hashimoto, M; Ochiai, A; Monden, Y;
35	Tangoku, A. (2006). The reduced expression and aberrant methylation of p16(INK4a) in
36	chromate workers with lung cancer, Lung Cancer 53: 295-302.
37	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.lungcan.2006.05.022
38	Kondo, K: Takahashi, Y: Ishikawa, S: Uchihara, H: Hirose, Y: Yoshizawa, K: Tsuvuguchi, M: Takizawa,
39	H: Miyoshi, T: Sakiyama, S: Monden, Y. (2003), Microscopic analysis of chromium
40	accumulation in the bronchi and lung of chromate workers. Cancer 98: 2420-2429.
41	http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cncr.11818
42	Kopec, AK: Kim, S: Forgacs, AL: Zacharewski, TR: Proctor, DM: Harris, MA: Haws, LC: Thompson,
43	CM. (2012a). Genome-wide gene expression effects in B6C3F1 mouse intestinal enithelia
44	following 7 and 90 days of exposure to hexavalent chromium in drinking water. Toxicol Annl
45	Pharmacol 259: 13-26. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2011.11.012
46	Kopec, AK: Thompson, CM: Kim, S: Forgacs, AL: Zacharewski, TR. (2012b), Comparative
47	toxicogenomic analysis of oral Cr(VI) exposure effects in rat and mouse small intestinal
48	enithelia. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 262, 124-138
<u>1</u> 0 Δ0	http://dy.doi.org/10.1016/j.teen.2012.04.026
	<u>map.//an.uoholg/10.10/j.uup.2012.01.020</u>

1	Korallus, U; H-J, L; Neiss, A; Zwingers, T. (1982). [Relationships between hygienic measures and the
2	bronchial carcinoma mortality in the chromate producing industry]. Arbeitsmed Sozialmed
3	Praventivmed 17: 159-167.
4	Korotzer, B; Ong, S; Hansen, JE. (2000). Ethnic differences in pulmonary function in healthy
5	nonsmoking Asian-Americans and European-Americans. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 161:
6	1101-1108. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.161.4.9902063</u>
7	Koshi, K; Yagami, T; Nakanishi, Y. (1984). Cytogenetic analysis of peripheral blood lymphocytes
8	from stainless steel welders. Ind Health 22: 305-318.
9	http://dx.doi.org/10.2486/indhealth.22.305
10	Koutras, GA; Hattori, M; Schneider, AS; Ebaugh, FG; Valentine, WN. (1964). STUDIES ON
11	CHROMATED ERYTHROCYTES. EFFECT OF SODIUM CHROMATE ON ERYTHROCYTE
12	GLUTATHIONE REDUCTASE. J Clin Invest 43: 323-331.
13	http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI104917
14	Koyama, Y: Brenner, DA. (2017). Liver inflammation and fibrosis [Review]. J Clin Invest 127: 55-64.
15	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.11/2/JCI88881</u>
16	<u>Krawic, A; Luczak, M; Zhitkovich, A.</u> (2017). Variation in extracellular detoxification is a link to
1/	aliferent carcinogenicity among chromates in rodent and human lungs. Chem Res Toxicol
10	30: 1/20-1/29. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrestox./b001/2</u>
20 19	Krim, M; Messaadia, A; Maldi, I; Addacheri, U; Saka, S. (2013). Protective effect of ginger against
20	1000000000000000000000000000000000000
21	Kumar A: Barthwal P (1991) Hevavalent chromium effects on hematological indices in rate Bull
22	Environ Contam Toxicol 46: 761-768 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BE01689965
23	Kumar A: Rana SVS (1982) Linid accumulation in chromium poisoned rats. Int L Tissue React 4:
25	291-295
25	
26	Kumar, KM: Aruldhas, MM: Banu, SL: Sadasiyam, B: Vengatesh, G: Ganesh, KM:
26 27	<u>Kumar, KM; Aruldhas, MM; Banu, SL; Sadasivam, B; Vengatesh, G; Ganesh, KM;</u> Navaneethabalakrishnan, S: Navin, AK: Michael, FM: Venkatachalam, S: Stanley, IA:
26 27 28	<u>Kumar, KM; Aruldhas, MM; Banu, SL; Sadasivam, B; Vengatesh, G; Ganesh, KM;</u> <u>Navaneethabalakrishnan, S; Navin, AK; Michael, FM; Venkatachalam, S; Stanley, JA;</u> Ramachandran, I; Banu, SK: Akbarsha, MA, (2017). Male reproductive toxicity of CrVI: In-
26 27 28 29	<u>Kumar, KM; Aruldhas, MM; Banu, SL; Sadasivam, B; Vengatesh, G; Ganesh, KM;</u> <u>Navaneethabalakrishnan, S; Navin, AK; Michael, FM; Venkatachalam, S; Stanley, JA;</u> <u>Ramachandran, I; Banu, SK; Akbarsha, MA.</u> (2017). Male reproductive toxicity of CrVI: In- utero exposure to CrVI at the critical window of testis differentiation represses the
26 27 28 29 30	Kumar, KM; Aruldhas, MM; Banu, SL; Sadasivam, B; Vengatesh, G; Ganesh, KM; <u>Navaneethabalakrishnan, S; Navin, AK; Michael, FM; Venkatachalam, S; Stanley, JA;</u> <u>Ramachandran, I; Banu, SK; Akbarsha, MA.</u> (2017). Male reproductive toxicity of CrVI: In- utero exposure to CrVI at the critical window of testis differentiation represses the expression of Sertoli cell tight junction proteins and hormone receptors in adult F1 progeny
26 27 28 29 30 31	Kumar, KM; Aruldhas, MM; Banu, SL; Sadasivam, B; Vengatesh, G; Ganesh, KM; <u>Navaneethabalakrishnan, S; Navin, AK; Michael, FM; Venkatachalam, S; Stanley, JA;</u> <u>Ramachandran, I; Banu, SK; Akbarsha, MA.</u> (2017). Male reproductive toxicity of CrVI: In- utero exposure to CrVI at the critical window of testis differentiation represses the expression of Sertoli cell tight junction proteins and hormone receptors in adult F1 progeny rats. Reprod Toxicol 69: 84-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.02.007
26 27 28 29 30 31 32	 Kumar, KM; Aruldhas, MM; Banu, SL; Sadasivam, B; Vengatesh, G; Ganesh, KM; <u>Navaneethabalakrishnan, S; Navin, AK; Michael, FM; Venkatachalam, S; Stanley, JA;</u> <u>Ramachandran, I; Banu, SK; Akbarsha, MA.</u> (2017). Male reproductive toxicity of CrVI: In- utero exposure to CrVI at the critical window of testis differentiation represses the expression of Sertoli cell tight junction proteins and hormone receptors in adult F1 progeny rats. Reprod Toxicol 69: 84-98. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.02.007</u> Kumar, S; Sathwara, NG; Gautam, AK; Agarwal, K; Shah, B; Kulkarni, PK; Patel, K; Patel, A; Dave, LM;
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	 Kumar, KM; Aruldhas, MM; Banu, SL; Sadasivam, B; Vengatesh, G; Ganesh, KM; Navaneethabalakrishnan, S; Navin, AK; Michael, FM; Venkatachalam, S; Stanley, JA; Ramachandran, I; Banu, SK; Akbarsha, MA. (2017). Male reproductive toxicity of CrVI: In- utero exposure to CrVI at the critical window of testis differentiation represses the expression of Sertoli cell tight junction proteins and hormone receptors in adult F1 progeny rats. Reprod Toxicol 69: 84-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.02.007 Kumar, S; Sathwara, NG; Gautam, AK; Agarwal, K; Shah, B; Kulkarni, PK; Patel, K; Patel, A; Dave, LM; Parikh, DJ; Saiyed, HN. (2005). Semen quality of industrial workers occupationally exposed
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	 Kumar, KM; Aruldhas, MM; Banu, SL; Sadasivam, B; Vengatesh, G; Ganesh, KM; Navaneethabalakrishnan, S; Navin, AK; Michael, FM; Venkatachalam, S; Stanley, JA; Ramachandran, I; Banu, SK; Akbarsha, MA. (2017). Male reproductive toxicity of CrVI: In- utero exposure to CrVI at the critical window of testis differentiation represses the expression of Sertoli cell tight junction proteins and hormone receptors in adult F1 progeny rats. Reprod Toxicol 69: 84-98. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.02.007</u> Kumar, S; Sathwara, NG; Gautam, AK; Agarwal, K; Shah, B; Kulkarni, PK; Patel, K; Patel, A; Dave, LM; Parikh, DJ; Saiyed, HN. (2005). Semen quality of industrial workers occupationally exposed to chromium. J Occup Health 47: 424-430.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	 Kumar, KM; Aruldhas, MM; Banu, SL; Sadasivam, B; Vengatesh, G; Ganesh, KM; Navaneethabalakrishnan, S; Navin, AK; Michael, FM; Venkatachalam, S; Stanley, JA; Ramachandran, I; Banu, SK; Akbarsha, MA. (2017). Male reproductive toxicity of CrVI: In- utero exposure to CrVI at the critical window of testis differentiation represses the expression of Sertoli cell tight junction proteins and hormone receptors in adult F1 progeny rats. Reprod Toxicol 69: 84-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.02.007 Kumar, S; Sathwara, NG; Gautam, AK; Agarwal, K; Shah, B; Kulkarni, PK; Patel, K; Patel, A; Dave, LM; Parikh, DJ; Saiyed, HN. (2005). Semen quality of industrial workers occupationally exposed to chromium. J Occup Health 47: 424-430. Kunstyr, I; Peters, K; Gärtner, K. (1976). Investigations on the function of the rat forestomach. Lab
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	 Kumar, KM; Aruldhas, MM; Banu, SL; Sadasivam, B; Vengatesh, G; Ganesh, KM; Navaneethabalakrishnan, S; Navin, AK; Michael, FM; Venkatachalam, S; Stanley, JA; Ramachandran, I; Banu, SK; Akbarsha, MA. (2017). Male reproductive toxicity of CrVI: In- utero exposure to CrVI at the critical window of testis differentiation represses the expression of Sertoli cell tight junction proteins and hormone receptors in adult F1 progeny rats. Reprod Toxicol 69: 84-98. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.02.007</u> Kumar, S; Sathwara, NG; Gautam, AK; Agarwal, K; Shah, B; Kulkarni, PK; Patel, K; Patel, A; Dave, LM; Parikh, DJ; Saiyed, HN. (2005). Semen quality of industrial workers occupationally exposed to chromium. J Occup Health 47: 424-430. Kunstyr, I; Peters, K; Gärtner, K. (1976). Investigations on the function of the rat forestomach. Lab Anim Sci 26: 166-170.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	 Kumar, KM; Aruldhas, MM; Banu, SL; Sadasivam, B; Vengatesh, G; Ganesh, KM; Navaneethabalakrishnan, S; Navin, AK; Michael, FM; Venkatachalam, S; Stanley, JA; Ramachandran, I; Banu, SK; Akbarsha, MA. (2017). Male reproductive toxicity of CrVI: In- utero exposure to CrVI at the critical window of testis differentiation represses the expression of Sertoli cell tight junction proteins and hormone receptors in adult F1 progeny rats. Reprod Toxicol 69: 84-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.02.007 Kumar, S; Sathwara, NG; Gautam, AK; Agarwal, K; Shah, B; Kulkarni, PK; Patel, K; Patel, A; Dave, LM; Parikh, DJ; Saiyed, HN. (2005). Semen quality of industrial workers occupationally exposed to chromium. J Occup Health 47: 424-430. Kunstyr, I; Peters, K; Gärtner, K. (1976). Investigations on the function of the rat forestomach. Lab Anim Sci 26: 166-170. Kuo, HW; Chang, SF; Wu, KY; Wu, FY. (2003). Chromium (VI) induced oxidative damage to DNA:
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	 Kumar, KM; Aruldhas, MM; Banu, SL; Sadasivam, B; Vengatesh, G; Ganesh, KM; Navaneethabalakrishnan, S; Navin, AK; Michael, FM; Venkatachalam, S; Stanley, JA; Ramachandran, I; Banu, SK; Akbarsha, MA. (2017). Male reproductive toxicity of CrVI: In- utero exposure to CrVI at the critical window of testis differentiation represses the expression of Sertoli cell tight junction proteins and hormone receptors in adult F1 progeny rats. Reprod Toxicol 69: 84-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.02.007 Kumar, S; Sathwara, NG; Gautam, AK; Agarwal, K; Shah, B; Kulkarni, PK; Patel, K; Patel, A; Dave, LM; Parikh, DJ; Saiyed, HN. (2005). Semen quality of industrial workers occupationally exposed to chromium. J Occup Health 47: 424-430. Kunstyr, I; Peters, K; Gärtner, K. (1976). Investigations on the function of the rat forestomach. Lab Anim Sci 26: 166-170. Kuo, HW; Chang, SF; Wu, KY; Wu, FY. (2003). Chromium (VI) induced oxidative damage to DNA: increase of urinary 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine concentrations (8-OHdG) among
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	 Kumar, KM; Aruldhas, MM; Banu, SL; Sadasivam, B; Vengatesh, G; Ganesh, KM; Navaneethabalakrishnan, S; Navin, AK; Michael, FM; Venkatachalam, S; Stanley, JA; Ramachandran, I; Banu, SK; Akbarsha, MA. (2017). Male reproductive toxicity of CrVI: In- utero exposure to CrVI at the critical window of testis differentiation represses the expression of Sertoli cell tight junction proteins and hormone receptors in adult F1 progeny rats. Reprod Toxicol 69: 84-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.02.007 Kumar, S; Sathwara, NG; Gautam, AK; Agarwal, K; Shah, B; Kulkarni, PK; Patel, K; Patel, A; Dave, LM; Parikh, DJ; Saiyed, HN. (2005). Semen quality of industrial workers occupationally exposed to chromium. J Occup Health 47: 424-430. Kunstyr, I; Peters, K; Gärtner, K. (1976). Investigations on the function of the rat forestomach. Lab Anim Sci 26: 166-170. Kuo, HW; Chang, SF; Wu, KY; Wu, FY. (2003). Chromium (VI) induced oxidative damage to DNA: increase of urinary 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine concentrations (8-OHdG) among electroplating workers. Occup Environ Med 60: 590-594.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	 Kumar, KM; Aruldhas, MM; Banu, SL; Sadasivam, B; Vengatesh, G; Ganesh, KM; Navaneethabalakrishnan, S; Navin, AK; Michael, FM; Venkatachalam, S; Stanley, JA; Ramachandran, I; Banu, SK; Akbarsha, MA. (2017). Male reproductive toxicity of CrVI: In- utero exposure to CrVI at the critical window of testis differentiation represses the expression of Sertoli cell tight junction proteins and hormone receptors in adult F1 progeny rats. Reprod Toxicol 69: 84-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.02.007 Kumar, S; Sathwara, NG; Gautam, AK; Agarwal, K; Shah, B; Kulkarni, PK; Patel, K; Patel, A; Dave, LM; Parikh, DJ; Saiyed, HN. (2005). Semen quality of industrial workers occupationally exposed to chromium. J Occup Health 47: 424-430. Kunstyr, I; Peters, K; Gärtner, K. (1976). Investigations on the function of the rat forestomach. Lab Anim Sci 26: 166-170. Kuo, HW; Chang, SF; Wu, KY; Wu, FY. (2003). Chromium (VI) induced oxidative damage to DNA: increase of urinary 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine concentrations (8-0HdG) among electroplating workers. Occup Environ Med 60: 590-594. Kuo, HW; Lai, JS; Lin, TI. (1997a). Concentration and size distribution of airborne hexavalent
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	 Kumar, KM; Aruldhas, MM; Banu, SL; Sadasivam, B; Vengatesh, G; Ganesh, KM; Navaneethabalakrishnan, S; Navin, AK; Michael, FM; Venkatachalam, S; Stanley, JA; Ramachandran, I; Banu, SK; Akbarsha, MA. (2017). Male reproductive toxicity of CrVI: In- utero exposure to CrVI at the critical window of testis differentiation represses the expression of Sertoli cell tight junction proteins and hormone receptors in adult F1 progeny rats. Reprod Toxicol 69: 84-98. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.02.007</u> Kumar, S; Sathwara, NG; Gautam, AK; Agarwal, K; Shah, B; Kulkarni, PK; Patel, K; Patel, A; Dave, LM; Parikh, DJ; Saiyed, HN. (2005). Semen quality of industrial workers occupationally exposed to chromium. J Occup Health 47: 424-430. Kunstyr, I; Peters, K; Gärtner, K. (1976). Investigations on the function of the rat forestomach. Lab Anim Sci 26: 166-170. Kuo, HW; Chang, SF; Wu, KY; Wu, FY. (2003). Chromium (VI) induced oxidative damage to DNA: increase of urinary 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine concentrations (8-OHdG) among electroplating workers. Occup Environ Med 60: 590-594. Kuo, HW; Lai, JS; Lin, TI. (1997a). Concentration and size distribution of airborne hexavalent chromium in electroplating factories. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 58: 29-32.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	 Kumar, KM; Aruldhas, MM; Banu, SL; Sadasivam, B; Vengatesh, G; Ganesh, KM; Navaneethabalakrishnan, S; Navin, AK; Michael, FM; Venkatachalam, S; Stanley, JA; Ramachandran, I; Banu, SK; Akbarsha, MA. (2017). Male reproductive toxicity of CrVI: In- utero exposure to CrVI at the critical window of testis differentiation represses the expression of Sertoli cell tight junction proteins and hormone receptors in adult F1 progeny rats. Reprod Toxicol 69: 84-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.02.007 Kumar, S; Sathwara, NG; Gautam, AK; Agarwal, K; Shah, B; Kulkarni, PK; Patel, K; Patel, A; Dave, LM; Parikh, DJ; Saiyed, HN. (2005). Semen quality of industrial workers occupationally exposed to chromium. J Occup Health 47: 424-430. Kunstyr, I; Peters, K; Gärtner, K. (1976). Investigations on the function of the rat forestomach. Lab Anim Sci 26: 166-170. Kuo, HW; Chang, SF; Wu, KY; Wu, FY. (2003). Chromium (VI) induced oxidative damage to DNA: increase of urinary 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine concentrations (8-OHdG) among electroplating workers. Occup Environ Med 60: 590-594. Kuo, HW; Lai, JS; Lin, TI. (1997a). Concentration and size distribution of airborne hexavalent chromium in electroplating factories. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 58: 29-32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15428119791013044
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	 Kumar, KM; Aruldhas, MM; Banu, SL; Sadasivam, B; Vengatesh, G; Ganesh, KM; Navaneethabalakrishnan, S: Navin, AK; Michael, FM; Venkatachalam, S; Stanley, JA; Ramachandran, I; Banu, SK; Akbarsha, MA. (2017). Male reproductive toxicity of CrVI: In- utero exposure to CrVI at the critical window of testis differentiation represses the expression of Sertoli cell tight junction proteins and hormone receptors in adult F1 progeny rats. Reprod Toxicol 69: 84-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.02.007 Kumar, S; Sathwara, NG; Gautam, AK; Agarwal, K; Shah, B; Kulkarni, PK; Patel, K; Patel, A; Dave, LM; Parikh, DJ; Saiyed, HN. (2005). Semen quality of industrial workers occupationally exposed to chromium. J Occup Health 47: 424-430. Kunstyr, I; Peters, K; Gärtner, K. (1976). Investigations on the function of the rat forestomach. Lab Anim Sci 26: 166-170. Kuo, HW; Chang, SF; Wu, KY; Wu, FY. (2003). Chromium (VI) induced oxidative damage to DNA: increase of urinary 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine concentrations (8-OHdG) among electroplating workers. Occup Environ Med 60: 590-594. Kuo, HW; Lai, JS; Lin, TI. (1997a). Concentration and size distribution of airborne hexavalent chromium in electroplating factories. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 58: 29-32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15428119791013044 Kuo, HW; Lai, JS; Lin, TI. (1997b). Nasal septum lesions and lung function in workers exposed to
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	 Kumar, KM; Aruldhas, MM; Banu, SL; Sadasivam, B; Vengatesh, G; Ganesh, KM; Navaneethabalakrishnan, S; Navin, AK; Michael, FM; Venkatachalam, S; Stanley, JA; Ramachandran, I; Banu, SK; Akbarsha, MA. (2017). Male reproductive toxicity of CrVI: In- utero exposure to CrVI at the critical window of testis differentiation represses the expression of Sertoli cell tight junction proteins and hormone receptors in adult F1 progeny rats. Reprod Toxicol 69: 84-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.02.007 Kumar, S; Sathwara, NG; Gautam, AK; Agarwal, K; Shah, B; Kulkarni, PK; Patel, K; Patel, A; Dave, LM; Parikh, DJ; Saiyed, HN. (2005). Semen quality of industrial workers occupationally exposed to chromium. J Occup Health 47: 424-430. Kunstyr, J; Peters, K; Gärtner, K. (1976). Investigations on the function of the rat forestomach. Lab Anim Sci 26: 166-170. Kuo, HW; Chang, SF; Wu, KY; Wu, FY. (2003). Chromium (VI) induced oxidative damage to DNA: increase of urinary 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine concentrations (8-OHdG) among electroplating workers. Occup Environ Med 60: 590-594. Kuo, HW; Lai, JS; Lin, TI. (1997a). Concentration and size distribution of airborne hexavalent chromium in electroplating factories. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 58: 29-32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15428119791013044 Kuo, HW; Lai, JS; Lin, TI. (1997b). Nasal septum lesions and lung function in workers exposed to chromic acid in electroplating factories. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 70: 272-276.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	 Kumar, KM; Aruldhas, MM; Banu, SL; Sadasivam, B; Vengatesh, G; Ganesh, KM; Navaneethabalakrishnan, S; Navin, AK; Michael, FM; Venkatachalam, S; Stanley, JA; Ramachandran, J; Banu, SK; Akbarsha, MA. (2017). Male reproductive toxicity of CrVI: In- utero exposure to CrVI at the critical window of testis differentiation represses the expression of Sertoli cell tight junction proteins and hormone receptors in adult F1 progeny rats. Reprod Toxicol 69: 84-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.02.007 Kumar, S; Sathwara, NG; Gautam, AK; Agarwal, K; Shah, B; Kulkarni, PK; Patel, K; Patel, A; Dave, LM; Parikh, DJ; Saiyed, HN. (2005). Semen quality of industrial workers occupationally exposed to chromium. J Occup Health 47: 424-430. Kunstyr, J; Peters, K; Gärtner, K. (1976). Investigations on the function of the rat forestomach. Lab Anim Sci 26: 166-170. Kuo, HW; Chang, SF; Wu, KY; Wu, FY, (2003). Chromium (VI) induced oxidative damage to DNA: increase of urinary 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine concentrations (8-OHdG) among electroplating workers. Occup Environ Med 60: 590-594. Kuo, HW; Lai, JS; Lin, TI. (1997a). Concentration and size distribution of airborne hexavalent chromium in electroplating factories. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 58: 29-32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15428119791013044 Kuo, HW; Lai, JS; Lin, TI. (1997b). Nasal septum lesions and lung function in workers exposed to chromic acid in electroplating factories. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 70: 272-276. Kuo, HW; Wu, ML. (2002). Effects of chromic acid exposure on immunological parameters among
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	 Kumar, KM; Aruldhas, MM; Banu, SL; Sadasivam, B; Vengatesh, G; Ganesh, KM; Navaneethabalakrishnan, S; Navin, AK; Michael, FM; Venkatachalam, S; Stanley, JA; Ramachandran, I; Banu, SK; Akbarsha, MA. (2017). Male reproductive toxicity of CrVI: In- utero exposure to CrVI at the critical window of testis differentiation represses the expression of Sertoli cell tight junction proteins and hormone receptors in adult F1 progeny rats. Reprod Toxicol 69: 84-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.02.007 Kumar, S; Sathwara, NG; Gautam, AK: Agarwal, K; Shah, B; Kulkarni, PK; Patel, K; Patel, A: Dave, LM; Parikh, DJ; Saiyed, HN. (2005). Semen quality of industrial workers occupationally exposed to chromium. J Occup Health 47: 424-430. Kunstyr, I; Peters, K; Gärtner, K. (1976). Investigations on the function of the rat forestomach. Lab Anim Sci 26: 166-170. Kuo, HW; Chang, SF; Wu, KY; Wu, FY. (2003). Chromium (VI) induced oxidative damage to DNA: increase of urinary 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine concentrations (8-OHdG) among electroplating workers. Occup Environ Med 60: 590-594. Kuo, HW; Lai, JS; Lin, TI. (1997a). Concentration and size distribution of airborne hexavalent chromium in electroplating factories. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 58: 29-32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15428119791013044 Kuo, HW; Lai, JS; Lin, TI. (1997b). Nasal septum lesions and lung function in workers exposed to chromic acid in electroplating factories. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 70: 272-276. Kuo, HW; Wu, ML. (2002). Effects of chromic acid exposure on immunological parameters among electroplating workers. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 75: 186-190.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 42 43 44 45 46 47	 Kumar, KM; Aruldhas, MM; Banu, SL; Sadasivam, B; Vengatesh, G; Ganesh, KM; Navaneethabalakrishnan, S; Navin, AK; Michael, FM; Venkatachalam, S; Stanley, JA; Ramachandran, I; Banu, SK; Akbarsha, MA. (2017). Male reproductive toxicity of CrVI: In- utero exposure to CrVI at the critical window of testis differentiation represses the expression of Sertoli cell tight junction proteins and hormone receptors in adult F1 progeny rats. Reprod Toxicol 69: 84-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.02.007 Kumar, S; Sathwara, NG; Gautam, AK; Agarwal, K; Shah, B; Kulkarni, PK; Patel, K; Patel, A; Dave, LM; Parikh, DJ; Saiyed, HN. (2005). Semen quality of industrial workers occupationally exposed to chromium. J Occup Health 47: 424-430. Kunstyr, I; Peters, K; Gärtner, K. (1976). Investigations on the function of the rat forestomach. Lab Anim Sci 26: 166-170. Kuo, HW; Chang, SF; Wu, KY; Wu, FY. (2003). Chromium (VI) induced oxidative damage to DNA: increase of urinary 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine concentrations (8-OHdG) among electroplating workers. Occup Environ Med 60: 590-594. Kuo, HW; Lai, JS; Lin, TI. (1997a). Concentration and size distribution of airborne hexavalent chromium in electroplating factories. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 58: 29-32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15428119791013044 Kuo, HW; Lai, JS; Lin, TI. (1997b). Nasal septum lesions and lung function in workers exposed to chromic acid in electroplating factories. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 70: 272-276. Kuo, HW; Wu, ML. (2002). Effects of chromic acid exposure on immunological parameters among electroplating workers. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 75: 186-190. Kurosaki, K; Nakamura, T; Mukai, T; Endo, T. (1995). Unusual findings in a fatal case of poisoning with abreate company de Garamatic Gai M: 275 C76.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 5 46 47 48	 Kumar, KM; Aruldhas, MM; Banu, SL; Sadasivam, B; Vengatesh, G; Ganesh, KM; Navaneethabalakrishnan, S; Navin, AK; Michael, FM; Venkatachalam, S; Stanley, JA; Ramachandran, I; Banu, SK; Akbarsha, MA (2017). Male reproductive toxicity of CrVI: In- utero exposure to CrVI at the critical window of testis differentiation represses the expression of Sertoli cell tight junction proteins and hormone receptors in adult F1 progeny rats. Reprod Toxicol 69: 84-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.02.007 Kumar, S; Sathwara, NG; Gautam, AK; Agarwal, K; Shah, B; Kulkarni, PK; Patel, K; Patel, A; Dave, LM; Parikh, DJ; Saiyed, HN, (2005). Semen quality of industrial workers occupationally exposed to chromium. J Occup Health 47: 424-430. Kunstyr, I; Peters, K; Gärtner, K. (1976). Investigations on the function of the rat forestomach. Lab Anim Sci 26: 166-170. Kuo, HW; Chang, SF; Wu, KY; Wu, FY. (2003). Chromium (VI) induced oxidative damage to DNA: increase of urinary 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine concentrations (8-0HdG) among electroplating workers. Occup Environ Med 60: 590-594. Kuo, HW; Lai, JS; Lin, TI. (1997a). Concentration and size distribution of airborne hexavalent chromium in electroplating factories. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 58: 29-32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15428119791013044 Kuo, HW; Lai, JS; Lin, TI. (1997b). Nasal septum lesions and lung function in workers exposed to chromic acid in electroplating factories. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 70: 272-276. Kuo, HW; Wu, ML. (2002). Effects of chromic acid exposure on immunological parameters among electroplating workers. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 75: 186-190. Kurosaki, K; Nakamura, T; Mukai, T; Endo, T. (1995). Unusual findings in a fatal case of poisoning with chromate compounds. Forensic Sci Int 75: 57-65.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 9 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 90	 Kumar, KM; Aruldhas, MM; Banu, SL; Sadasivam, B; Vengatesh, G; Ganesh, KM; Navaneethabalakrishnan, S; Navin, AK; Michael, FM; Venkatachalam, S; Stanley, JA; Ramachandran, I; Banu, SK; Akbarsha, MA (2017). Male reproductive toxicity of CrVI: In- utero exposure to CrVI at the critical window of testis differentiation represses the expression of Sertoli cell tight junction proteins and hormone receptors in adult F1 progeny rats. Reprod Toxicol 69: 84-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.02.007 Kumar, S; Sathwara, NG; Gautam, AK; Agarwal, K; Shah, B; Kulkarni, PK; Patel, K; Patel, A; Dave, LM; Parikh, DJ; Saiyed, HN. (2005). Semen quality of industrial workers occupationally exposed to chromium. J Occup Health 47: 424-430. Kunstyr, J; Peters, K; Gärtner, K. (1976). Investigations on the function of the rat forestomach. Lab Anim Sci 26: 166-170. Kuo, HW; Chang, SF; Wu, KY; Wu, FY. (2003). Chromium (VI) induced oxidative damage to DNA: increase of urinary 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine concentrations (8-OHdG) among electroplating workers. Occup Environ Med 60: 590-594. Kuo, HW; Lai, JS; Lin, TI. (1997a). Concentration and size distribution of airborne hexavalent chromium in electroplating factories. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 58: 29-32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15428119791013044 Kuo, HW; Lai, JS; Lin, TI. (1997b). Nasal septum lesions and lung function in workers exposed to chromic acid in electroplating factories. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 70: 272-276. Kuo, HW; Wu, ML. (2002). Effects of chromic acid exposure on immunological parameters among electroplating workers. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 75: 186-190. Kurosaki, K: Nakamura, T; Mukai, T; Endo, T. (1995). Unusual findings in a fatal case of poisoning with chromate compounds. Forensic Sci Int 75: 57-65. Lacerda, LM: Garcia, SC: da Silva, LB: de Ávila Dornelles, M: Presotto, AT; Lourenço, ED; de Ervencerchi ID: Formendos F: Warnmendos F: Warnmendos

1	AB; Oliveira, E; Trombini, TL; Feksa, LR. (2019). Evaluation of hematological, biochemical
2	parameters and thiol enzyme activity in chrome plating workers. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int
3	26: 1892-1901. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3755-7</u>
4	Lai, JS; Kuo, HW; Liao, FC; Lien, CH. (1998). Sister chromatid exchange induced by chromium
5	compounds in human lymphocytes. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 71: 550-553.
6	Laskin, DL; Malaviya, R; Laskin, JD. (2019). Role of Macrophages in Acute Lung Injury and Chronic
7	Fibrosis Induced by Pulmonary Toxicants. Toxicol Sci 168: 287-301.
8	http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfy309
9	Lee, HS; Goh, CL. (1988). Occupational dermatosis among chrome platers. Contact Derm 18: 89-93.
10	http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0536.1988.tb02746.x
11	Lee, YH; Su, SB; Huang, CC; Sheu, HM; Tsai, JC; Lin, CH; Wang, YJ; Wang, BJ. (2014). N-acetylcysteine
12	attenuates hexavalent chromium-induced hypersensitivity through inhibition of cell death,
13	ROS-related signaling and cytokine expression. PLoS ONE 9: e108317.
14	http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0108317
15	Leininger, JR; Schutten, M. (2018). Chapter 4 - Oral cavity, teeth and gingiva. In AW Suttie (Ed.),
16	Boorman's pathology of the rat (2nd ed., pp. 15-21). Cambridge, MA: Academic Press.
17	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-391448-4.00004-6
18	Leoz, ML; Carballal, S; Moreira, L; Ocaña, T; Balaguer, F. (2015). The genetic basis of familial
19	adenomatous polyposis and its implications for clinical practice and risk management
20	[Review]. The Application of Clinical Genetics 8: 95-107.
21	http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/TACG.S51484
22	Levina, A; Lay, PA. (2005). Mechanistic studies of relevance to the biological activities of chromium.
23	Coord Chem Rev 249: 281-298. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2004.02.017</u>
24	Levina, A; Pham, TH; Lay, PA. (2016). Binding of chromium(III) to transferrin could be involved in
25	detoxification of dietary chromium(III) rather than transport of an essential trace element.
26	Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 55: 8104-8107. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201602996
27	Lewis, RJ, Sr; Hawley, GG. (2007). Chromium. In RJ Lewis, Sr.; GG Hawley (Eds.), Hawley's
28	condensed chemical dictionary (15th ed., pp. 298-299). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
29	http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780470114735.hawley03760
30	<u>Li, C; Park, S; Zhang, X; Eisenberg, LM; Zhao, H; Darzynkiewicz, Z; Xu, D.</u> (2017). Nuclear Gene
31	33/Mig6 regulates the DNA damage response through an ATM serine/threonine kinase-
32	dependent mechanism. J Biol Chem 292: 16746-16759.
33	http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M117.803338
34	<u>Li, H; Chen, Q; Li, S; Yao, W; Li, L; Shi, X; Wang, L; Castranova, V; Vallyathan, V; Ernst, E; Chen, C.</u>
35	(2001). Effect of Cr(VI) exposure on sperm quality: human and animal studies. Ann Occup
36	Нуд 45: 505-511.
37	Li, LT; Jiang, G; Chen, Q; Zheng, JN. (2015a). Ki67 is a promising molecular target in the diagnosis of
38	cancer [Review]. Mol Med Rep 11: 1566-1572. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2014.2914</u>
39	Li, P; Gu, Y; Yu, S; Li, Y; Yang, J; Jia, G. (2014a). Assessing the suitability of 8-OHdG and micronuclei
40	as genotoxic biomarkers in chromate-exposed workers: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open
41	4: e005979. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005979</u>
42	<u>Li, P; Li, Y; Zhang, J, i; Yu, SF, a; Tong, W, ei; Hu, X; Jia, G.</u> (2015b). Biomarkers for Lung Epithelium
43	Injury in Occupational Hexavalent Chromium-Exposed Workers. J Occup Environ Med 57:
44	E45-E50. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/JOM.00000000000436</u>
45	Li, Y; Hu, G; Li, P; Tang, S; Zhang, J; Jia, G. (2016). miR-3940-5p enhances homologous
46	recombination after DSB in Cr(VI) exposed 16HBE cell. Toxicology 344-346: 1-6.
47	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2016.02.003
48	Li, Y; Li, P; Yu, S; Zhang, J; Wang, T; Jia, G. (2014b). miR-3940-5p associated with genetic damage in
49	workers exposed to hexavalent chromium. Toxicol Lett 229: 319-326.
50	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2014.06.033

1	Liang, Q: Xiao, Y; Liu, K; Zhong, C; Zeng, M; Xiao, F. (2018a). Cr(VI)-induced autophagy protects L-02
2	hepatocytes from apoptosis through the ROS-AKT-mTOR pathway. Cell Physiol Biochem 51:
3	1863-1878. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000495713</u>
4	<u>Liang, Q; Zhang, Y; Huang, M; Xiao, Y; Xiao, F.</u> (2019). Role of mitochondrial damage in
5	Cr(VI)-induced endoplasmic reticulum stress in L-02 hepatocytes. Mol Med Rep 19: 1256-
6	1265. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2018.9704</u>
7	Liang, Q; Zhang, Y; Zeng, M; Guan, L; Xiao, Y; Xiao, F. (2018b). The role of IP3R-SOCCs in Cr(VI)-
8	induced cytosolic Ca2+ overload and apoptosis in L-02 hepatocytes. Toxicology Research 7:
9	521-528. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c8tx00029h</u>
10	Lide, D. (2008). Chromium and compounds. In CRC handbook of chemistry and physics. Boca Raton,
11	FL: CRC Press.
12	Lin, D; Triadafilopoulos, G. (2015). Dual ambulatory pH monitoring in patients with
13	gastroesophageal reflux rendered asymptomatic with proton pump inhibitor therapy. Dig
14	Dis Sci 60: 1343–1349. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10620-014-3324-y</u>
15	Lin, SC; Tai, CC; Chan, CC; Wang, JD. (1994). Nasal septum lesions caused by chromium exposure
16	among chromium electroplating workers. Am J Ind Med 26: 221-228.
17	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajim.4700260207</u>
18	Lindberg, E; Hedenstierna, G. (1983). Chrome plating: Symptoms, findings in the upper airways, and
19	effects on lung function. Arch Environ Occup Health 38: 367-374.
20	Lindberg, M; Forslind, B; Wahlberg, JE. (1982). Reactions of epidermal keratinocytes in sensitized
21	and non-sensitized guinea pigs after dichromate exposure: an electron microscopic study.
22	Acta Derm Venereol 62: 389-396.
23	Lindemann, M; Rietschel, F; Zabel, M; Grosse-Wilde, H. (2008). Detection of chromium allergy by
24	cellular in vitro methods. Clin Exp Allergy 38: 1468-1475.
25	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2222.2008.02970.x</u>
26	Linos, A; Petralias, A; Christophi, CA; Christoforidou, E; Kouroutou, P; Stoltidis, M; Veloudaki, A;
27	<u>Tzala, E; Makris, KC; Karagas, MR.</u> (2011). Oral ingestion of hexavalent chromium through
28	drinking water and cancer mortality in an industrial area of Greecean ecological study.
29	Environ Health 10: 50. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-10-50</u>
30	Linqing, Y; Bo, X; Xueqin, Y; Hong, D; Desheng, W; Huimin, Z; Gaofeng, J; Jianjun, L; Zhixiong, Z.
31	(2016). Mitochondrial DNA hypomethylation in chrome plating workers. Toxicol Lett 243:
32	1-6. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2015.11.031</u>
33	Lischka, G. (1971). [Lymphocyte transformation test in chromium-hypersensitivity]. 240: 212-218.
34	Littorin, M; Hogstedt, B; Stromback, B; Karlsson, A; Welinder, H; Mitelman, F; Skerfving, S. (1983).
35	No cytogenetic effects in lymphocytes of stainless steel welders. Scand J Work Environ
36	Health 9: 259-264.
3/	Liu, S; Medvedovic, M; Dixon, K. (1999). Mutational specificity in a snuttle vector replicating in
38	chromium(vi)-treated mammalian cells. Environ Mol Mutagen 33: 313-319.
39 40	<u>IIIIp://ux.uoi.org/10.1002/[SiCI]1098-2280[1999]33:4</u> <313::AID-EM8>3.0.CU;2-V
40 41	LOCKE, WJ; GUAIIZOII, D; Ma, C; LIEW, TJ; DUESIIIg, KR; FUIIg, K; KOSS, JP. (2019). DNA Illetityiation
41 42	http://dy.doi.org/10.2200/fgope.2010.01150
42 12	$\frac{\operatorname{Intp://ux.u0i.01g/10.3309/1gene.2019.01130}}{\operatorname{Long}(C_Lin_Lin_Lin_L) + \operatorname{Long}(C_Lin_Lin_Lin_Lin_Lin_Lin_Lin_Lin_Lin_Lin$
43 11	<u>Long, C, Eu, J, Hu, O, Peng, H, Zhou, D, Wang, J, Zhai, A, Zhao, Z, Hu, S, Wang, T, Jia, G.</u> (2017). Modulation of homologous recombination repair gene polymorphisms on genetic damage in
44 15	chromate exposed workers. Environ Toxical Pharmacol 66: 126-132
46	http://dx doi org/10/1016/j etan 2019/01/004
47	Losi ME: Amrhein C: Frankenberger WT (1994) Fnvironmental hiochemistry of chromium
48	[Review], Rev Environ Contam Toxicol 136: 91-121, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-
49	4612-2656-7 3
-	

1	Loubières, Y; de Lassence, A; Bernier, M; Vieillard-Baron, A; Schmitt, JM; Page, B; Jardin, F. (1999).
2	Acute, latal, of al chi offic acid poisoning. J Toxicol Chill Toxicol 57: 555-550.
3 ⊿	Lu, J; Tang, M; Liu, Y; Wang, J; Wu, Z. (2018). Comparative Proteomics of Chromium-Transformed
4	Beas-2B Cells by 2D-DIGE and MALDI-TOF/TOF MS. BIOLTrace Elem Res.
5	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.100//s12011-01/-1222-9</u>
6	Lucas, JB. (1976). Health Hazard Evaluation Determination, Report No. HHE-74-111-283, Cook
/	Paint and Varnish Company, North Kansas City, Missouri, April 1976 (pp. 74-111).
8	(NIOSH/00099446). Lucas, JB.
9	Lucas, JB; Kramkowski, RS. (1975). Health Hazard Evaluation Report No. HHE-74-87-221, Industrial
10	Platers, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (pp. 74-87). (NIOSH/00049983). Cincinnati, OH: National Inst.
11	for Occupational Safety and Health.
12	Luczak, MW; Green, SE; Zhitkovich, A. (2016). Different ATM Signaling in Response to
13	Chromium(VI) Metabolism via Ascorbate and Nonascorbate Reduction: Implications for in
14	Vitro Models and Toxicogenomics. Environ Health Perspect 124: 61-66.
15	http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1409434
16	Luippold, RS; Mundt, KA; Dell, LD; Birk, T. (2005). Low-level hexavalent chromium exposure and
17	rate of mortality among US chromate production employees. J Occup Environ Med 47: 381-
18	385. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.jom.0000158703.32263.0d
19	Lum, G. (1995). Significance of low serum alkaline phosphatase activity in a predominantly adult
20	male population. Clin Chem 41: 515-518.
21	Luo, D: Xu, Z: Hu, X: Zhang, F: Bian, H: Li, N: Wang, O: Lu, Y: Zheng, O: Gu, L (2016), URI prevents
22	notassium dichromate-induced oxidative stress and cell death in gastric cancer cells 8
23	5399-5409
24	Luo H: Lu Y: Shi X: Mao Y: Dalal NS (1996) Chromium (IV)-mediated fenton-like reaction causes
25	DNA damage: implication to genotoxicity of chromate Ann Clin Lab Sci 26: 185-191
25	Lupescu A: Jilani K: Zelenak C: Zhidah M: Oadri SM: Lang F. (2012). Hevavalent chromium-
20	induced erythrocyte membrane phospholinid asymmetry. Biometals 25: 300-318
21 70	http://dv.doi.org/10.1007/c10524.011.0507.5
20	Intp://ux.u0i.01g/10.100//S10334-011-930/-3
29	Luster, MI; Politier, C; Pait, DG; Rosentilal, GJ; Germolec, DR; Corsini, E; Didylock, BL; Poliock, P; Kouchi, V. Craig, W. (1992). Dick accessment in immunotoxicology. II. Polationshing
50 21	<u>Koucini, I; Claig, W.</u> (1995). Kisk assessment in initiatiouxicology. II. Relationships
31 22	between immune and nost resistance tests. Fundam Appi Toxicol 21: 71-82.
3Z 22	Luster, MI; Portier, C; Pait, DG; White, KL, JF; Gennings, C; Munson, AE; Rosenthal, GJ. (1992). Risk
33	assessment in immunotoxicology. I. Sensitivity and predictability of immune tests. Fundam
34	Appl Toxicol 18: 200-210. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/02/2-0590[92]9004/-L</u>
35	Lv, Y; Zhang, P; Guo, J; Zhu, Z; Li, X; Xu, D; Zeng, W. (2018). Melatonin protects mouse
36	spermatogonial stem cells against hexavalent chromium-induced apoptosis and epigenetic
37	histone modification. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 340: 30-38.
38	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2017.12.017</u>
39	Macfie, A; Hagan, E; Zhitkovich, A. (2010). Mechanism of DNA-protein cross-linking by chromium.
40	Chem Res Toxicol 23: 341-347. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/tx9003402</u>
41	Macgregor, JT; Wehr, CM; Henika, PR; Shelby, MD. (1990). The in vivo erythrocyte micronucleus
42	test: Measurement at steady state increases assay efficiency and permits integration with
43	toxicity studies. Fundam Appl Toxicol 14: 513-522. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0272-</u>
44	<u>0590(90)90255-I</u>
45	Machle, W; Gregorius, F. (1948). Cancer of the respiratory system in the United States chromate-
46	producing industry. Public Health Rep 63: 1114-1127. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/4586677</u>
47	<u>MacKenzie, RD; Byerrum, RU; Decker, CF; Hoppert, CA; Langham, RF.</u> (1958). Chronic toxicity
48	studies. II. Hexavalent and trivalent chromium administered in drinking water to rats. AMA
49	Arch Ind Health 18: 232-234.

1	Maeng, SH; Chung, HW; Kim, KJ; Lee, BM; Shin YC Kim, SJ; Yu, IJ. (2004). Chromosome aberration
2	and lipid peroxidation in chromium-exposed workers. Biomarkers 9: 418-434.
3	http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1354/500400022200
4	<u>Maeng, SH; Chung, HW; Yu, IJ; Kim, HY; Lim, CH; Kim, KJ; Kim, SJ; Ootsuyama, Y; Kasai, H.</u> (2003).
5	Changes of 8-OH-dG levels in DNA and its base excision repair activity in rat lungs after
6	inhalation exposure to hexavalent chromium. Mutat Res 539: 109-116.
7	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(03)00154-2
8	Malsch, PA; Proctor, DM; Finley, BL. (1994). Estimation of a chromium inhalation reference
9 10	concentration using the benchmark dose method: A case study [Review]. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 20: 58-82, http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/rtph.1994.1036
11	Mancuso TF (1951) Occupational cancer and other health hazards in a chromate plant: A medical
12	appraisal. II. Clinical and toxicologic aspects. Ind Med Surg 20: 393-407.
13	Mancuso, TF. (1975). Consideration of chromium as an industrial carcinogen. In International
14	conference on heavy metals in the environment: v III, health; October. Toronto, ON, Canada:
15	Institute for Environmental Studies.
16	Mancuso, TF, (1997), Chromium as an industrial carcinogen: Part I, Am I Ind Med 31: 129-139.
17	http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0274(199702)31:2<129::AID-AIIM1>3.0.CO:2-V
18	Mandervelt, C: Clottens, FL: Demedts, M: Nemery, B. (1997), Assessment of the sensitization
19	potential of five metal salts in the murine local lymph node assay. Toxicology 120: 65-73.
20	Marat, J: Arstan, M: Galymzhan, Y: Timur, J: Yerbolat, J: Almasbek, Y. (2018), Impact of chromium
21	and boron compounds on the reproductive function in rats. Toxicol Ind Health 34: 365-374.
22	http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0748233718759162
23	Margonis, GA: Kim, Y: Spolverato, G: Eiaz, A: Gupta, R: Cosgrove, D: Anders, R: Karagkounis, G: Choti,
24	MA: Pawlik, TM, (2015). Association between specific mutations in KRAS codon 12 and
25	colorectal liver metastasis. IAMA Surgery 150: 722-729.
26	http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamasurg.2015.0313
27	Marin, RA: Bose, R: Dabrowski, B: Kolesnik, S. (2018). Magnetic Characterization of Chromium
28	Intermediates in the Reduction of Chromium (VI) by Glutathione in Acidic Solutions, 4.
29	http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/magnetochemistry4020023
30	Marouani, N: Tebourbi, O: Hallègue, D: Mokni, M: Yacoubi, MT: Sakly, M: Benkhalifa, M: Rhouma, KB.
31	(2015a). Mechanisms of chromium hexavalent-induced apontosis in rat testes. Toxicol Ind
32	Health 33: 97-106, http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0748233715600333
33	Marouani N: Tehourhi O: Mahiouh S: Yacouhi MT: Sakly M: Benkhalifa M: Rhouma KB. (2012).
34	Effects of hexavalent chromium on reproductive functions of male adult rats. Reprod Biol
35	12: 119-133
36	Marouani N. Tehourhi O. Mokni M. Yacouhi MT. Sakly M. Benkhalifa M. Ben Bhouma K. (2015h)
37	Hexavalent chromium-induced apontosis in rat uterus: Involvement of oxidative stress
38	Arch Environ Occup Health 70: 189-195
39	http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/19338244.2013.828673
40	Martin, BD: Schoenhard, IA: Hwang, IM: Sugden, KD. (2006). Ascorbate is a pro-oxidant in
41	chromium-treated human lung cells Mutat Res 610: 74-84
42	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mrgentox 2006.06.014
43	Marynka-Kalmani K: Treves S: Yafee M: Rachima H: Gafni Y: Cohen MA: Pitaru S (2010) The
44	lamina propria of adult human oral mucosa harbors a novel stem cell population. Stem Cells
45	28: 984-995, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/stem 425
46	Mathebula, MW: Mandiwana, K: Panichev, N. (2017). Speciation of chromium in bread and breakfast
47	cereals. Food Chem 217: 655-659. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.foodchem.2016.09.020
48	Matsuzawa, T; Nomura, M; Unno, T. (1993). Clinical pathology reference ranges of laboratory
49	animals. Nihon Juigaku Zasshi 55: 351-362. http://dx.doi.org/10.1292/jvms.55.351

1	McClain, CN; Fendorf, S; Webb, SM; Maher, K. (2017). Quantifying Cr(VI) production and export
2	from serpentine soil of the California coast range. Environ Sci Technol 51: 141-149.
3	http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b03484
4	Mcconnell, EL; Basit, AW; Murdan, S. (2008). Measurements of rat and mouse gastrointestinal pH,
5	fluid and lymphoid tissue, and implications for in-vivo experiments. J Pharm Pharmacol 60:
6	63-70. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1211/jpp.60.1.0008</u>
7	Mckinzie, PB; Parsons, BL. (2002). Detection of rare K-ras codon 12 mutations using allele-specific
8	competitive blocker PCR. Mutat Res 517: 209-220. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s1383-</u>
9	<u>5718(02)00077-3</u>
10	Mckinzie, PB; Parsons, BL. (2011). Accumulation of K-Ras codon 12 mutations in the F344 rat distal
11	colon following azoxymethane exposure. Environ Mol Mutagen 52: 409-418.
12	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/em.20644</u> Madairaa MC: Badrinuag AS: Bataráu MC: Lairaa A: Bueff I: Zhithariah A. (2002). Elevated levala
13	<u>Medeiros, MG; Rodrigues, AS; Batoreu, MC; Laires, A; Rueri, J; Znitkovicn, A.</u> (2003). Elevated levels
14 1 C	of DNA-protein crossinks and micronuclei in peripheral lymphocytes of tannery workers
15	Moonalyshi CE: Dadmini E: Motlag DP (1990) Comparative tovicity of trivalent and hevevalent
17	chromium in rate. Indian I Environ Health 31: 250-256
18	Meng F: Knapp GW: Green T: Ross IA: Parsons BL (2010) K-Ras mutant fraction in A/I mouse
19	lung increases as a function of benzo[a]pyrene dose. Environ Mol Mutagen 51: 146-155.
20	http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/em.20513
21	Mezencev, R; Auerbach, SS. (2021). Inferred inactivation of the Cftr gene in the duodena of mice
22	exposed to hexavalent chromium (Cr(VI)) in drinking water supports its tumor-suppressor
23	status and implies its potential role in Cr(VI)-induced carcinogenesis of the small intestines.
24	Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 433: 115773. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2021.115773
25	Migliore, L; Parrini, M; Sbrana, I; Biagini, C; A; Loprieno, N. (1991). Micronucleated lymphocytes in
26	people occupationally exposed to potential environmental contaminants: The age effect.
27	Mutat Res 256: 13-20. <u>http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/0921-8734(91)90028-A</u>
28	Mignini, F; Streccioni, V; Baldo, M; Vitali, M; Indraccolo, U; Bernacchia, G; Cocchioni, M. (2004).
29	Individual susceptibility to hexavalent chromium of workers of shoe, hide, and leather
30	industries. Immunological pattern of HLA-B8, DR3-positive subjects. Prev Med 39: 767-775.
31	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2004.02.048
32 22	Mignini, F; Tomassoni, D; Traini, E; Vitali, M; Scuri, S; Baldoni, E; Grappasonni, I; Coccnioni, M.
22 24	(2009). Infinutiological pattern alteration in Shoe, inde, and leather industry workers
25	exposed to nexavalent chromatin. Environ roxicol 24: 594-602.
36	Miksche I.W. Lewalter I (1997) Health surveillance and biological effect monitoring for
37	chromium-exposed workers [Review]. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 26: S94-S99.
38	http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/rtph.1997.1146
39	Miller, AC: Comellas, AP: Hornick, DB: Stoltz, DA: Cavanaugh, IE: Gerke, AK: Welsh, MI: Zabner, I:
40	Polgreen, PM. (2020). Cystic fibrosis carriers are at increased risk for a wide range of cystic
41	fibrosis-related conditions. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 117: 1621-1627.
42	http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1914912117
43	Mills, JC; Shivdasani, RA. (2011). Gastric epithelial stem cells [Review]. Gastroenterology 140: 412-
44	424. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2010.12.001</u>
45	Mirsalis, JC; Hamilton, CM; O'Loughlin, KG; Paustenbach, DJ; Kerger, BD; Patierno, S. (1996).
46	Chromium (VI) at plausible drinking water concentrations is not genotoxic in the in vivo
47	bone marrow micronucleus or liver unscheduled DNA synthesis assays. Environ Mol
48	Mutagen 28: 60-63. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2280(1996)28:1</u> <60::AID-
49	EM9>3.0.CO;2-1

1	<u>Mitrov, D; Hadzi-Petrushev, N; Stojkovski, V; Gjorgievska, E; Gagov, H; Mladenov, M.</u> (2014).
2	Influence of chronic chromium exposition on the processes of lipid peroxidation
3	inflammation and platelet activation in rats [Letter]. J Biol Regul Homeost Agents 28: 531-
4	535.
5	Miyamoto, T; Ichikawa, K; Kabe, J; Horiuchi, Y. (1975). Delayed-type hypersensitivity reaction in the
6	lungs of guinea pigs due to potassium dichromate. J Allergy Clin Immunol 56: 464-472.
7	Mo, J; Tang, C; Wang, X; Lu, W; Tong, H, ui; Zhang, C; Zhang, G. (2018). Histopathological analysis of
8	ductular reaction in rabbit liver after chronic exposure of chromium (VI). Acta med
9	Mediterr 34: 1213-1219. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.19193/0393-6384_2018_5_186</u>
10	Monserrat-Mesquida, M; Quetglas-Llabrés, M; Abbate, M; Montemayor, S; Mascaró, CM; Casares, M;
11	<u>Tejada, S; Abete, I; Zulet, MA; Tur, JA; Martínez, JA; Sureda, A.</u> (2020). Oxidative stress and
12	pro-inflammatory status in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Antioxidants 9:
13	759. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/antiox9080759</u>
14	Mor, S; Ben-Efraim, S; Leibovici, J; Ben-David, A. (1988). Successful contact sensitization to
15	chromate in mice. International Arch Allergy Appl Immunol 85: 452-457.
16	http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000234550
17	Morihara, M; Aoyagi, N; Kaniwa, N; Kojima, S; Ogata, H. (2001). Assessment of gastric acidity of
18	Japanese subjects over the last 15 years. Biol Pharm Bull 24: 313-315.
19	http://dx.doi.org/10.1248/bpb.24.313
20	Moschandreas, DJ; Karuchit, S; Berry, MR; O'Rourke, MK; Lo, D; Lebowitz, MD; Robertson, G. (2002).
21	Exposure apportionment: ranking food items by their contribution to dietary exposure. J
22	Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol 12: 233-243. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.jea.7500230</u>
23	Moulin, JJ; Clavel, T; Roy, D; Dananché, B; Marquis, N; Févotte, J; Fontana, JM. (2000). Risk of lung
24	cancer in workers producing stainless steel and metallic alloys. Int Arch Occup Environ
25	Health 73: 171-180. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s004200050024</u>
26	Moulin, JJ; Portefaix, P; Wild, P; Mur, JM; Smagghe, G; Mantout, B. (1990). Mortality study among
27	workers producing ferroalloys and stainless steel in France. Br J Ind Med 47: 537-543.
28	http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oem.47.8.537
29	<u>Moulin, JJ; Wild, P; Haguenoer, JM; Faucon, D; De Gaudemaris, R; Mur, JM; Mereau, M; Gary, Y;</u>
30	Toamain, JP; Birembaut, Y. (1993a). A mortality study among mild steel and stainless steel
31	welders. Br J Ind Med 50: 234-243. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oem.50.3.234</u>
32	Moulin, JJ; Wild, P; Mantout, B; Fournier-Betz, M; Mur, JM; Smagghe, G. (1993b). Mortality from lung
33	cancer and cardiovascular diseases among stainless-steel producing workers. Cancer
34	Causes Control 4: 75-81. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00053147</u>
35	Mozafari, P; Rezazadeh Azari, M; Shokoohi, Y; Sayadi, M. (2016). Feasibility of biological effective
36	monitoring of chrome electroplaters to chromium through analysis of serum
37	malondialdehyde. Int J Occup Environ Med 7: 199-206.
38	http://dx.doi.org/10.15171/ijoem.2016.782
39	Mudie, DM; Amidon, GL; Amidon, GE. (2010). Physiological parameters for oral delivery and in vitro
40	testing [Review]. Mol Pharm 7: 1388-1405. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/mp100149j</u>
41	Murthy, RC; Junaid, M; Saxena, DK. (1996). Ovarian dysfunction in mice following chromium (VI)
42	exposure. Toxicol Lett 89: 147-154. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4274(96)03803-9</u>
43	Murthy, RC; Saxena, DK; Gupta, SK; Chandra, SV. (1991). Ultrastructural observations in testicular
44	tissue of chromium-treated rats. Reprod Toxicol 5: 443-447.
45	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0890-6238(91)90008-4
46	Myers, CR; Antholine, WE; Myers, JM. (2010). The pro-oxidant chromium(VI) inhibits mitochondrial
47	complex I, complex II, and aconitase in the bronchial epithelium: EPR markers for Fe-S
48	proteins. Free Radic Biol Med 49: 1903-1915.
49	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2010.09.020

1	Myers, JM; Antholine, WE; Myers, CR. (2008). Hexavalent Chromium Causes the Oxidation of
2	Thioredoxin in Human Bronchial Epithelial Cells. Toxicology 246(2-3): 222-233.
3	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2008.01.017
4	Myers, JM; Antholine, WE; Myers, CR. (2011). The intracellular redox stress caused by hexavalent
5	chromium is selective for proteins that have key roles in cell survival and thiol redox
6	control. Toxicology 281: 37-47. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2011.01.001</u>
7	Myers, JM; Myers, CR. (2009). The effects of hexavalent chromium on thioredoxin reductase and
8	peroxiredoxins in human bronchial epithelial cells. Free Radic Biol Med 47: 1477-1485.
9	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2009.08.015
10	Nagaya, T. (1986). No increase in sister-chromatid exchange frequency in lymphocytes of
11	chromium platers. Mutat Res 170: 129-132.
12	<u>Nagaya, T; Ishikawa, N; Hata, H.</u> (1989). Sister chromatid exchange analysis in lymphocytes of
13	workers exposed to hexavalent chromium. Br J Ind Med 46: 48-51.
14	Nagaya, T; Ishikawa, N; Hata, H; Otobe, T. (1991). Sister-chromatid exchanges in lymphocytes from
15	12 chromium platers: a 5-year follow-up study. Toxicol Lett 58: 329-335.
16	Nature. (2019). Cytokines in the balance [Editorial]. Nat Immunol 20: 1557.
17	http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-019-0557-0
18	<u>Navya, K; Kumar, GP; Anilakumar, KR.</u> (2017a). Ameliorating effect of Curculigo orchoides on
19	chromium(VI) induced oxidative stress via, modulation of cytokines, transcription factors
20	and apoptotic genes. J Appl Biomed 15: 299-306.
21	$\frac{\text{http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jab.2017.03.003}}{\text{Model}}$
22	<u>Navya, K; Phani Kumar, G; Chandrasekhar, Y; Anilakumar, KR.</u> (2017b). Evaluation of potassium
23	dichromate (K2Cr2O7)-induced liver oxidative stress and amellorative effect of Picrorniza
24 25	kurroa extract in wistar albino rats. Biol 1race Elem Res 184: 154–164.
25	Neel Kluever A: Fisher J. Crylack J. Kakiuchi Kiyota S. Halpern W. (2010) Physiology of the
25 26 27	<u>Neal-Kluever, A; Fisher, J; Grylack, L; Kakiuchi-Kiyota, S; Halpern, W.</u> (2019). Physiology of the Neonatal Castrointestinal System Belevant to the Disposition of Orally Administered
26 27 28	Neal-Kluever, A; Fisher, J; Grylack, L; Kakiuchi-Kiyota, S; Halpern, W. (2019). Physiology of the Neonatal Gastrointestinal System Relevant to the Disposition of Orally Administered Medications. Drug Metab Dispos 47: 296-313. http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.118.084418
26 27 28 29	Neal-Kluever, A; Fisher, J; Grylack, L; Kakiuchi-Kiyota, S; Halpern, W. (2019). Physiology of the Neonatal Gastrointestinal System Relevant to the Disposition of Orally Administered Medications. Drug Metab Dispos 47: 296-313. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.118.084418</u> Negrini S: Corgoulis VC: Halazonetis TD (2010). Cenomic instability — an evolving ballmark of
26 27 28 29 30	 Neal-Kluever, A; Fisher, J; Grylack, L; Kakiuchi-Kiyota, S; Halpern, W. (2019). Physiology of the Neonatal Gastrointestinal System Relevant to the Disposition of Orally Administered Medications. Drug Metab Dispos 47: 296-313. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.118.084418</u> Negrini, S; Gorgoulis, VG; Halazonetis, TD. (2010). Genomic instability — an evolving hallmark of cancer [Review]. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11: 220-228. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm2858
26 27 28 29 30 31	 Neal-Kluever, A; Fisher, J; Grylack, L; Kakiuchi-Kiyota, S; Halpern, W. (2019). Physiology of the Neonatal Gastrointestinal System Relevant to the Disposition of Orally Administered Medications. Drug Metab Dispos 47: 296-313. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.118.084418</u> Negrini, S; Gorgoulis, VG; Halazonetis, TD. (2010). Genomic instability — an evolving hallmark of cancer [Review]. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11: 220-228. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm2858</u> Nettesheim P: Hanna MG. Ir: Doherty, DG: Newell, RF: Hellman, A. (1971). Effect of calcium
26 27 28 29 30 31 32	 Neal-Kluever, A; Fisher, J; Grylack, L; Kakiuchi-Kiyota, S; Halpern, W. (2019). Physiology of the Neonatal Gastrointestinal System Relevant to the Disposition of Orally Administered Medications. Drug Metab Dispos 47: 296-313. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.118.084418</u> Negrini, S; Gorgoulis, VG; Halazonetis, TD. (2010). Genomic instability — an evolving hallmark of cancer [Review]. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11: 220-228. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm2858</u> Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1971). Effect of calcium chromate dust, influenza virus, and 100 R whole-body X radiation on lung tumor incidence
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	 Neal-Kluever, A; Fisher, J; Grylack, L; Kakiuchi-Kiyota, S; Halpern, W. (2019). Physiology of the Neonatal Gastrointestinal System Relevant to the Disposition of Orally Administered Medications. Drug Metab Dispos 47: 296-313. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.118.084418</u> Negrini, S; Gorgoulis, VG; Halazonetis, TD. (2010). Genomic instability — an evolving hallmark of cancer [Review]. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11: 220-228. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm2858</u> Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1971). Effect of calcium chromate dust, influenza virus, and 100 R whole-body X radiation on lung tumor incidence in mice. I Natl Cancer Inst 47: 1129-1144.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	 Neal-Kluever, A; Fisher, J; Grylack, L; Kakiuchi-Kiyota, S; Halpern, W. (2019). Physiology of the Neonatal Gastrointestinal System Relevant to the Disposition of Orally Administered Medications. Drug Metab Dispos 47: 296-313. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.118.084418</u> Negrini, S; Gorgoulis, VG; Halazonetis, TD. (2010). Genomic instability — an evolving hallmark of cancer [Review]. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11: 220-228. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm2858</u> Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1971). Effect of calcium chromate dust, influenza virus, and 100 R whole-body X radiation on lung tumor incidence in mice. J Natl Cancer Inst 47: 1129-1144. Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1970). Effect of chronic
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	 Neal-Kluever, A; Fisher, J; Grylack, L; Kakiuchi-Kiyota, S; Halpern, W. (2019). Physiology of the Neonatal Gastrointestinal System Relevant to the Disposition of Orally Administered Medications. Drug Metab Dispos 47: 296-313. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.118.084418</u> Negrini, S; Gorgoulis, VG; Halazonetis, TD. (2010). Genomic instability — an evolving hallmark of cancer [Review]. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11: 220-228. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm2858</u> Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1971). Effect of calcium chromate dust, influenza virus, and 100 R whole-body X radiation on lung tumor incidence in mice. J Natl Cancer Inst 47: 1129-1144. Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1970). Effect of chronic exposure to air pollutants on the respiratory tracts of mice: Histopathological findings. In P
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	 Neal-Kluever, A; Fisher, J; Grylack, L; Kakiuchi-Kiyota, S; Halpern, W. (2019). Physiology of the Neonatal Gastrointestinal System Relevant to the Disposition of Orally Administered Medications. Drug Metab Dispos 47: 296-313. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.118.084418</u> Negrini, S; Gorgoulis, VG; Halazonetis, TD. (2010). Genomic instability — an evolving hallmark of cancer [Review]. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11: 220-228. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm2858</u> Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1971). Effect of calcium chromate dust, influenza virus, and 100 R whole-body X radiation on lung tumor incidence in mice. J Natl Cancer Inst 47: 1129-1144. Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1970). Effect of chronic exposure to air pollutants on the respiratory tracts of mice: Histopathological findings. In P Nettesheim: MG Hanna, Ir.; IW Deatherage, Ir, (Eds.). Morphology of experimental
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	 Neal-Kluever, A; Fisher, J; Grylack, L; Kakiuchi-Kiyota, S; Halpern, W. (2019). Physiology of the Neonatal Gastrointestinal System Relevant to the Disposition of Orally Administered Medications. Drug Metab Dispos 47: 296-313. http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.118.084418 Negrini, S; Gorgoulis, VG; Halazonetis, TD. (2010). Genomic instability — an evolving hallmark of cancer [Review]. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11: 220-228. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm2858 Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1971). Effect of calcium chromate dust, influenza virus, and 100 R whole-body X radiation on lung tumor incidence in mice. J Natl Cancer Inst 47: 1129-1144. Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1970). Effect of chronic exposure to air pollutants on the respiratory tracts of mice: Histopathological findings. In P Nettesheim; MG Hanna, Jr.; JW Deatherage, Jr. (Eds.), Morphology of experimental respiratory carcinogenesis: proceedings of a biology division, Oak Ridge National
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	 Neal-Kluever, A; Fisher, J; Grylack, L; Kakiuchi-Kiyota, S; Halpern, W. (2019). Physiology of the Neonatal Gastrointestinal System Relevant to the Disposition of Orally Administered Medications. Drug Metab Dispos 47: 296-313. http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.118.084418 Negrini, S; Gorgoulis, VG; Halazonetis, TD. (2010). Genomic instability — an evolving hallmark of cancer [Review]. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11: 220-228. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm2858 Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1971). Effect of calcium chromate dust, influenza virus, and 100 R whole-body X radiation on lung tumor incidence in mice. J Natl Cancer Inst 47: 1129-1144. Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1970). Effect of chronic exposure to air pollutants on the respiratory tracts of mice: Histopathological findings. In P Nettesheim; MG Hanna, Jr.; JW Deatherage, Jr. (Eds.), Morphology of experimental respiratory carcinogenesis: proceedings of a biology division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory conference; May; Gatlinburg, TN. Oak Ridge, TN: U.S. Atomic Energy
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	 Neal-Kluever, A; Fisher, J; Grylack, L; Kakiuchi-Kiyota, S; Halpern, W. (2019). Physiology of the Neonatal Gastrointestinal System Relevant to the Disposition of Orally Administered Medications. Drug Metab Dispos 47: 296-313. http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.118.084418 Negrini, S; Gorgoulis, VG; Halazonetis, TD. (2010). Genomic instability — an evolving hallmark of cancer [Review]. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11: 220-228. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm2858 Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1971). Effect of calcium chromate dust, influenza virus, and 100 R whole-body X radiation on lung tumor incidence in mice. J Natl Cancer Inst 47: 1129-1144. Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1970). Effect of chronic exposure to air pollutants on the respiratory tracts of mice: Histopathological findings. In P Nettesheim; MG Hanna, Jr.; JW Deatherage, Jr. (Eds.), Morphology of experimental respiratory carcinogenesis: proceedings of a biology division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory conference; May; Gatlinburg, TN. Oak Ridge, TN: U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	 Neal-Kluever, A; Fisher, J; Grylack, L; Kakiuchi-Kiyota, S; Halpern, W. (2019). Physiology of the Neonatal Gastrointestinal System Relevant to the Disposition of Orally Administered Medications. Drug Metab Dispos 47: 296-313. http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.118.084418 Negrini, S; Gorgoulis, VG; Halazonetis, TD. (2010). Genomic instability — an evolving hallmark of cancer [Review]. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11: 220-228. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm2858 Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1971). Effect of calcium chromate dust, influenza virus, and 100 R whole-body X radiation on lung tumor incidence in mice. J Natl Cancer Inst 47: 1129-1144. Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1970). Effect of chronic exposure to air pollutants on the respiratory tracts of mice: Histopathological findings. In P Nettesheim; MG Hanna, Jr.; JW Deatherage, Jr. (Eds.), Morphology of experimental respiratory conference; May; Gatlinburg, TN. Oak Ridge, TN: U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. Ng, AW; Bidani, A; Heming, TA. (2004). Innate host defense of the lung: effects of lung-lining fluid
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	 Neal-Kluever, A: Fisher, J: Grylack, L; Kakiuchi-Kiyota, S; Halpern, W. (2019). Physiology of the Neonatal Gastrointestinal System Relevant to the Disposition of Orally Administered Medications. Drug Metab Dispos 47: 296-313. http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.118.084418 Negrini, S: Gorgoulis, VG; Halazonetis, TD. (2010). Genomic instability — an evolving hallmark of cancer [Review]. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11: 220-228. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm2858 Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1971). Effect of calcium chromate dust, influenza virus, and 100 R whole-body X radiation on lung tumor incidence in mice. J Natl Cancer Inst 47: 1129-1144. Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1970). Effect of chronic exposure to air pollutants on the respiratory tracts of mice: Histopathological findings. In P Nettesheim; MG Hanna, Jr.; JW Deatherage, Jr. (Eds.), Morphology of experimental respiratory conference; May; Gatlinburg, TN. Oak Ridge, TN: U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. Ng, AW; Bidani, A; Heming, TA. (2004). Innate host defense of the lung: effects of lung-lining fluid pH [Review]. Lung 182: 297-317. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00408-004-2511-6
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	 Neal-Kluever, A; Fisher, J; Grylack, L; Kakiuchi-Kiyota, S; Halpern, W. (2019). Physiology of the Neonatal Gastrointestinal System Relevant to the Disposition of Orally Administered Medications. Drug Metab Dispos 47: 296-313. http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.118.084418 Negrini, S; Gorgoulis, VG; Halazonetis, TD. (2010). Genomic instability — an evolving hallmark of cancer [Review]. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11: 220-228. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm2858 Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1971). Effect of calcium chromate dust, influenza virus, and 100 R whole-body X radiation on lung tumor incidence in mice. J Natl Cancer Inst 47: 1129-1144. Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1970). Effect of chronic exposure to air pollutants on the respiratory tracts of mice: Histopathological findings. In P Nettesheim; MG Hanna, Jr.; JW Deatherage, Jr. (Eds.), Morphology of experimental respiratory carcinogenesis: proceedings of a biology division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory conference; May; Gatlinburg, TN. Oak Ridge, TN: U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. Ng, AW; Bidani, A; Heming, TA. (2004). Innate host defense of the lung: effects of lung-lining fluid pH [Review]. Lung 182: 297-317. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00408-004-2511-6 Nguyen, HT; Duong, HQ. (2018). The molecular characteristics of colorectal cancer: Implications for
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	 Neal-Kluever, A; Fisher, J; Grylack, L; Kakiuchi-Kiyota, S; Halpern, W. (2019). Physiology of the Neonatal Gastrointestinal System Relevant to the Disposition of Orally Administered Medications. Drug Metab Dispos 47: 296-313. http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.118.084418 Negrini, S; Gorgoulis, VG; Halazonetis, TD. (2010). Genomic instability — an evolving hallmark of cancer [Review]. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11: 220-228. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm2858 Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1971). Effect of calcium chromate dust, influenza virus, and 100 R whole-body X radiation on lung tumor incidence in mice. J Natl Cancer Inst 47: 1129-1144. Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1970). Effect of chronic exposure to air pollutants on the respiratory tracts of mice: Histopathological findings. In P Nettesheim; MG Hanna, Jr.; JW Deatherage, Jr. (Eds.), Morphology of experimental respiratory carcinogenesis: proceedings of a biology division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory conference; May; Gatlinburg, TN. Oak Ridge, TN: U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. Ng, AW; Bidani, A; Heming, TA. (2004). Innate host defense of the lung: effects of lung-lining fluid pH [Review]. Lung 182: 297-317. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00408-004-2511-6 Nguyen, HT; Duong, HQ. (2018). The molecular characteristics of colorectal cancer: Implications for diagnosis and therapy [Review]. Oncol Lett 16: 9-18.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	 Neal-Kluever, A: Fisher, J: Grylack, L: Kakiuchi-Kiyota, S: Halpern, W. (2019). Physiology of the Neonatal Gastrointestinal System Relevant to the Disposition of Orally Administered Medications. Drug Metab Dispos 47: 296-313. http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.118.084418 Negrini, S: Gorgoulis, VG: Halazonetis, TD. (2010). Genomic instability — an evolving hallmark of cancer [Review]. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11: 220-228. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm2858 Nettesheim, P: Hanna, MG, Jr: Doherty, DG: Newell, RF: Hellman, A. (1971). Effect of calcium chromate dust, influenza virus, and 100 R whole-body X radiation on lung tumor incidence in mice. J Natl Cancer Inst 47: 1129-1144. Nettesheim, P: Hanna, MG, Jr: Doherty, DG: Newell, RF: Hellman, A. (1970). Effect of chronic exposure to air pollutants on the respiratory tracts of mice: Histopathological findings. In P Nettesheim; MG Hanna, Jr.; JW Deatherage, Jr. (Eds.), Morphology of experimental respiratory carcinogenesis: proceedings of a biology division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory conference; May; Gatlinburg, TN. Oak Ridge, TN: U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. Ng, AW; Bidani, A; Heming, TA. (2004). Innate host defense of the lung: effects of lung-lining fluid pH [Review]. Lung 182: 297-317. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00408-004-2511-6 Nguyen, HT: Duong, HQ. (2018). The molecular characteristics of colorectal cancer: Implications for diagnosis and therapy [Review]. Oncol Lett 16: 9-18. http://dx.doi.org/10.3892/ol.2018.8679
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	 Neal-Kluever, A; Fisher, J; Grylack, L; Kakiuchi-Kiyota, S; Halpern, W. (2019). Physiology of the Neonatal Gastrointestinal System Relevant to the Disposition of Orally Administered Medications. Drug Metab Dispos 47: 296-313. http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.118.084418 Negrini, S; Gorgoulis, VG; Halazonetis, TD. (2010). Genomic instability — an evolving hallmark of cancer [Review]. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11: 220-228. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm2858 Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1971). Effect of calcium chromate dust, influenza virus, and 100 R whole-body X radiation on lung tumor incidence in mice. J Natl Cancer Inst 47: 1129-1144. Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1970). Effect of chronic exposure to air pollutants on the respiratory tracts of mice: Histopathological findings. In P Nettesheim; MG Hanna, Jr.; JW Deatherage, Jr. (Eds.), Morphology of experimental respiratory conference; May; Gatlinburg, TN. Oak Ridge, TN: U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. Ng, AW; Bidani, A; Heming, TA. (2004). Innate host defense of the lung: effects of lung-lining fluid pH [Review]. Lung 182: 297-317. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00408-004-2511-6 Nguyen, HT; Duong, HQ. (2018). The molecular characteristics of colorectal cancer: Implications for diagnosis and therapy [Review]. Oncol Lett 16: 9-18. http://dx.doi.org/10.3892/ol.2018.8679 Nickens, KP; Patierno, SR; Ceryak, S. (2010). Chromium genotoxicity: A double-edged sword
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	 Neal-Kluever, A; Fisher, J; Grylack, L; Kakiuchi-Kiyota, S; Halpern, W. (2019). Physiology of the Neonatal Gastrointestinal System Relevant to the Disposition of Orally Administered Medications. Drug Metab Dispos 47: 296-313. http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.118.084418 Negrini, S; Gorgoulis, VG; Halazonetis, TD. (2010). Genomic instability — an evolving hallmark of cancer [Review]. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11: 220-228. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm2858 Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1971). Effect of calcium chromate dust, influenza virus, and 100 R whole-body X radiation on lung tumor incidence in mice. J Natl Cancer Inst 47: 1129-1144. Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1970). Effect of chronic exposure to air pollutants on the respiratory tracts of mice: Histopathological findings. In P Nettesheim; MG Hanna, Jr.; JW Deatherage, Jr. (Eds.), Morphology of experimental respiratory conference; May; Gatlinburg, TN. Oak Ridge, TN: U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. Ng, AW; Bidani, A; Heming, TA. (2004). Innate host defense of the lung: effects of lung-lining fluid pH [Review]. Lung 182: 297-317. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00408-004-2511-6 Nguyen, HT; Duong, HQ. (2018). The molecular characteristics of colorectal cancer: Implications for diagnosis and therapy [Review]. Oncol Lett 16: 9-18. http://dx.doi.org/10.3892/ol.2018.8679 Nickens, KP; Patierno, SR; Ceryak, S. (2010). Chromium genotoxicity: A double-edged sword [Review]. Chem Biol Interact xx: xxx. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2010.04.018
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	 Neal-Kluever, A; Fisher, J; Grylack, L; Kakiuchi-Kiyota, S; Halpern, W, (2019). Physiology of the Neonatal Gastrointestinal System Relevant to the Disposition of Orally Administered Medications. Drug Metab Dispos 47: 296-313. http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.118.084418 Negrini, S; Gorgoulis, VG; Halazonetis, TD. (2010). Genomic instability — an evolving hallmark of cancer [Review]. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11: 220-228. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm2858 Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1971). Effect of calcium chromate dust, influenza virus, and 100 R whole-body X radiation on lung tumor incidence in mice. J Natl Cancer Inst 47: 1129-1144. Nettesheim, P; Hanna, MG, Jr; Doherty, DG; Newell, RF; Hellman, A. (1970). Effect of chronic exposure to air pollutants on the respiratory tracts of mice: Histopathological findings. In P Nettesheim; MG Hanna, Jr.; JW Deatherage, Jr. (Eds.), Morphology of experimental respiratory carcinogenesis: proceedings of a biology division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory conference; May; Gatlinburg, TN. Oak Ridge, TN: U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. Ng, AW; Bidani, A; Heming, TA. (2004). Innate host defense of the lung: effects of lung-lining fluid pH [Review]. Lung 182: 297-317. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00408-004-2511-6 Nguyen, HT; Duong, HQ. (2018). The molecular characteristics of colorectal cancer: Implications for diagnosis and therapy [Review]. Oncol Lett 16: 9-18. http://dx.doi.org/10.3892/ol.2018.8679 Nickens, KP; Patierno, SR; Ceryak, S. (2010). Chromium genotoxicity: A double-edged sword [Review]. Chem Biol Interact xx: xxx. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2010.04.018 Nielsen, J; Dahlqvist, M; Welinder, H; Thomassen, Y; Alexandersson, R; Skerfving, S. (1993). Small
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	 Neal-Kluever, A: Fisher, J: Grylack, L: Kakiuchi-Kiyota, S: Halpern, W. (2019). Physiology of the Neonatal Gastrointestinal System Relevant to the Disposition of Orally Administered Medications. Drug Metab Dispos 47: 296-313. http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.118.084418 Negrini, S: Gorgoulis, VG: Halazonetis, TD. (2010). Genomic instability — an evolving hallmark of cancer [Review]. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11: 220-228. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm2858 Nettesheim, P: Hanna, MG, Jr: Doherty, DG: Newell, RF: Hellman, A. (1971). Effect of calcium chromate dust, influenza virus, and 100 R whole-body X radiation on lung tumor incidence in mice. J Natl Cancer Inst 47: 1129-1144. Nettesheim, P: Hanna, MG, Jr: Doherty, DG: Newell, RF: Hellman, A. (1970). Effect of chronic exposure to air pollutants on the respiratory tracts of mice: Histopathological findings. In P Nettesheim; MG Hanna, Jr.; JW Deatherage, Jr. (Eds.), Morphology of experimental respiratory carcinogenesis: proceedings of a biology division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory conference; May; Gatlinburg, TN. Oak Ridge, TN: U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. Ng, AW: Bidani, A: Heming, TA. (2004). Innate host defense of the lung: effects of lung-lining fluid pH [Review]. Lung 182: 297-317. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00408-004-2511-6 Nguyen, HT: Duong, HQ. (2018). The molecular characteristics of colorectal cancer: Implications for diagnosis and therapy [Review]. Oncol Lett 16: 9-18. http://dx.doi.org/10.3892/ol.2018.8679 Nickens, KP; Patierno, SR; Ceryak, S. (2010). Chromium genotoxicity: A double-edged sword [Review]. Chem Biol Interact xx: xxx. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2010.04.018 Nielsen, J: Dahlqvist, M: Welinder, H: Thomassen, Y: Alexandersson, R: Skerfving, S. (1993). Small airways function in aluminium and stainless steel welders. Int Arch Occup Environ Health

1	NIH (National Institutes of Health). (2017). Dietary supplement fact sheet: Chromium — health
2	professional fact sheet. Available online at <u>https://ods.od.nih.gov/factsheets/Chromium-</u>
3	HealthProfessional/ (accessed June 12, 2017).
4	Nijs, M; Kirsch-Volders, M. (1986). Induction of spindle inhibition and abnormal mitotic figures by
5	Cr(II), Cr(III) and Cr(VI) ions. Mutagenesis 1: 247-252.
6	http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mutage/1.4.247
7	<u>Nikula, KJ; Mccartney, JE; Mcgovern, T; Miller, GK; Odin, M; Pino, MV; Reed, MD.</u> (2014). STP
8	Position Paper: Interpreting the Significance of Increased Alveolar Macrophages in Rodents
9	Following Inhalation of Pharmaceutical Materials. Toxicol Pathol 42: 472-486.
10	http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0192623313507003
11	Ning, J: Grant, MH. (1999). Chromium (VI)-induced cytotoxicity to osteoblast-derived cells. Toxicol
12	In Vitro 13: 879-887.
13	Ning, J: Grant, MH. (2000). The role of reduced glutathione and glutathione reductase in the
14	cytotoxicity of chromium (VI) in osteoblasts. Toxicol In Vitro 14: 329-335.
15	Ning, J: Henderson, C: Grant, MH. (2002). The cytotoxicity of chromium in osteoblasts: Effects on
16	macromolecular synthesis. J Mater Sci Mater Med 13: 47-52.
17	http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1013630401959
18	NIOSH (National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health). (1972). Chromic acid mist in air. In
19	Physical and Chemical Analysis Branch. (NIOSH/00051221). Rockville, MD.
20	<u>NIOSH</u> (National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health). (2013a). Criteria for a recommended
21	standard: Occupational exposure to hexavalent chromium. (NIOSH Publication Number
22	2013-128). Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
23	https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/2013-
24	<u>128/pdfs/2013_128.pdf?id=10.26616/NIOSHPUB2013128</u>
25	<u>NIOSH</u> (National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health). (2013b). Occupational exposure to
20	nexavalent chromium. (DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2013–128). Department of Health
27 20	http://www.ede.gov/piech/doce/2012_129/pdfe/2012_129.pdf
20	<u>Inttp://www.cuc.gov/inosii/ubcs/2015-120/puis/2015-120.pui</u>
20	Shibuya T: Ikada H: Sofuni T (1996) A new transgenic mouse mutagenesis test system
30	using Spi- and 6-thioguanine selections. Environ Mol Mutagen 28: 465-470
32	http://dx doi org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2280(1996)28.4<465AID-EM24>3.0 CO.2-C
33	Nolte T: Brander-Weber P: Dangler C: Deschl II: Elwell MR: Greaves P: Hailey R: Leach MW:
34	Pandiri, AR: Rogers, A: Shackelford, CC: Spencer, A: Tanaka, T: Ward, IM, (2016).
35	Nonproliferative and proliferative lesions of the gastrointestinal tract, pancreas and salivary
36	glands of the rat and mouse [Review]. I Toxicol Pathol 29(1 Suppl.): 1S-125S.
37	http://dx.doi.org/10.1293/tox.29.1S
38	Norppa, H; Bonassi, S; Hansteen, IL; Hagmar, L; Strömberg, U; Rössner, P; Boffetta, P; Lindholm, C;
39	Gundy, S: Lazutka, J: Cebulska-Wasilewska, A: Fabiánová, E: Srám, RJ: Knudsen, LE: Barale,
40	R; Fucic, A. (2006). Chromosomal aberrations and SCEs as biomarkers of cancer risk
41	[Review]. Mutat Res 600: 37-45. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2006.05.030</u>
42	NRC (National Research Council). (1988). Health risks of radon and other internally deposited
43	alpha emitters: BEIR IV. Washington, DC.
44	http://books.nap.edu/openbook.php?record_id=1026&page=R1
45	NRC (National Research Council). (2001). Standing operating procedures for developing acute
46	exposure guideline levels (AEGLs) for hazardous chemicals. Washington, DC: National
47	Academies Press. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.17226/10122</u>
48	NRC (National Research Council). (2011). Review of the Environmental Protection Agency's draft
49	IRIS assessment of formaldehyde (pp. 1-194). Washington, DC: The National Academies
50	Press. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.17226/13142</u>

1	Nriagu, JO. (1989). A global assessment of natural sources of atmospheric trace metals. Nature 338:
2	47-49. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/338047a0</u>
3	Nriagu, JO: Pacyna, JM. (1988). Quantitative assessment of worldwide contamination of air, water
4	and soils by trace metals. Nature 333: 134-139. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/333134a0</u>
5	<u>NTP</u> (National Toxicology Program). (1996a). Final report on the reproductive toxicity of potassium
6	dichromate (hexavalent) (CAS No. 7778-50-9) administered in diet to BALB/c mice [NTP].
7	Research Triangle Park: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health
8	Service.
9	<u>NTP</u> (National Toxicology Program). (1996b). Final report on the reproductive toxicity of
10	potassium dichromate (hexavalent) (CAS No. 7778-50-9) administered in diet to SD rats
11	[NTP]. Research Triangle Park: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public
12	Health Service.
13	<u>NTP</u> (National Toxicology Program). (1997). Final report on the reproductive toxicity of potassium
14	dichromate (CAS No. 7778-50-9) administered in diet to BALB/c mice [NTP]. Research
15	Triangle Park: National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Toxicology
16	Program.
17	NTP. (2005). Immunotoxicity of hexavalent chromium in female B6C3F1 mice. Protocol: RF-HCR-
18	28-1 M-DW. RTP: NIEHS.
19	NTP. (2006a). Immunotoxicity of hexavalent chromium in female Fischer 344 rats. Protocol: RF-
20	HCR-28-2R-DW. RTP: NIEHS.
21	<u>NTP.</u> (2006b). Immunotoxicity of hexavalent chromium in female Sprague Dawley rats. Protocol:
22	RF-HCR-28-1R-DW. RTP: NIEHS.
23	<u>NTP</u> (National Toxicology Program). (2007). NTP technical report on the toxicity studies of sodium
24	dichromate dihydrate (CAS No. 7789-12-0) administered in drinking water to male and
25	female F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice and male BALB/c and am3-C57BL/6 mice [NTP].
26	(Toxicity Report Series 72). Research Triangle Park, NC.
27	https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/htdocs/st_rpts/tox072.pdf
28	<u>NTP</u> (National Toxicology Program). (2008). Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of sodium
29	dichromate dihydrate (Cas No. 7789-12-0) in F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice (drinking
30	water studies) (pp. 1-192). (NTP TR 546; NIH Publication No. 08-5887).
31	<u>NTP</u> (National Toxicology Program). (2010). NTP toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of
32	chromium picolinate monohydrate (CAS No. 27882-76-4) in F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice
33	(feed studies). (NTP TR 556; NIH Publication No. 10-5897). Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S.
34	Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of
35	Health, National Toxicology Program. <u>https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/go/tr556abs</u>
36	<u>NTP</u> (National Toxicology Program). (2011). Report on carcinogens. Twelfth Edition, 2011 (12th
37	ed., pp. 507). Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services,
38	Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health.
39	<u>https://ntrl.ntis.gov/NTRL/dashboard/searchResults/titleDetail/PB2011111646.xhtml</u>
40	<u>NTP</u> (National Toxicology Program). (2020). Organ sites with neoplasia: number of test articles
41	associated with site-specific neoplasia that produced positive, clear or some evidence of
42	carcinogenicity. Available online at <u>https://manticore.niehs.nih.gov/organsites/</u>
43	<u>Nudler, SI; Quinteros, FA; Miler, EA; Cabilla, JP; Ronchetti, SA; Duvilanski, BH.</u> (2009). Chromium VI
44	administration induces oxidative stress in hypothalamus and anterior pituitary gland from
45	male rats. Toxicol Lett 185: 187-192. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2009.01.003</u>
46	<u>O'Brien, TJ: Ceryak, S: Patierno, S. R.</u> (2003). Complexities of chromium carcinogenesis: role of
47	cellular response, repair and recovery mechanisms [Review]. Mutat Res 533: 3-36.
48	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2003.09.006
49	<u>O'Brien, TJ; Ding, H; Suh, M; Thompson, CM; Parsons, BL; Harris, MA; Winkelman, WA; Wolf, JC;</u>
50	<u>Hixon, JG; Schwartz, AM; Myers, MB; Haws, LC; Proctor, DM.</u> (2013). Assessment of K-Ras

1	mutant frequency and micronucleus incidence in the mouse duodenum following 90-days of
2	exposure to Cr(VI) in drinking water. Mutat Res 754: 15-21.
3	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mrgentox.2013.03.008</u>
4	<u>O'Flaherty, EJ.</u> (1993). A pharmacokinetic model for chromium. Toxicol Lett 68: 145-158.
5	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4274(93)90127-J</u>
6	<u>O'Flaherty, EJ.</u> (1996). A physiologically based model of chromium kinetics in the rat. Toxicol Appl
7	Pharmacol 138: 54-64. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/taap.1996.0097</u>
8	<u>O'Flaherty, EJ; Kerger, BD; Hays, SM; Paustenbach, DJ.</u> (2001). A physiologically based model for the
9	ingestion of chromium(III) and chromium(VI) by humans. Toxicol Sci 60: 196-213.
10	http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/60.2.196
11	<u>O'Flaherty, EJ: Radike, MJ.</u> (1991). Pharmacokinetic modeling of trivalent and hexavalent chromium
12	based on ingestion and inhalation of soluble chromium compounds. (AL-TR-1991-0162).
13	Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio: Armstrong Laboratory.
14	https://ntrl.ntis.gov/NTRL/dashboard/searchResults.xhtml?searchQuery=ADA256238
15	<u>O'Neil, M; Heckelman, P; Koch, C.</u> (2006). Chromium and compounds. In The Merck index.
16	Whitehouse Station, NJ: Merck & Co. Inc.
1/	<u>O'Hara, KA; Klei, LR; Vaghjiani, RJ; Barchowsky, A.</u> (2004). Selective signaling pathways for
18	chromium(VI) induced patterns of transcription factor binding in exposed airway epithelial
19	cells [Abstract]. Toxicologist 78: 239.
20	<u>UAQPS</u> (EPA Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards). (2012). Residual risk assessment for the
21	chromic acid anouizing, decorative chromium electropiating, and hard chromium
22	
23	Agency. Ochiang J. Chaudhuri C. (2010) Cystatin superfamily [Review] I Health Care Poor Underserved 21:
24	$\frac{\text{Ochieng, j. Chaudinari, O. (2010). Cystatin superianny [Review]. J nearth Care 1001 Onderserved 21.}{51-70 \text{ http://dx.doi.org/10.1353/hpu.0.0257}}$
26	OFCD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) (2016a) Test no 474:
27	Mammalian erythrocyte micronucleus test [Standard]. In OECD guidelines for the testing of
28	chemicals Section 4, health effects. Paris, France: OECD Publishing.
29	http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264264762-en
30	OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development), (2016b), Test no. 478; Rodent
31	dominant lethal test. In OECD guidelines for the testing of chemicals, Section 4: Health
32	effects. Paris, France. http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264264823-en
33	OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). (2020). Test no. 488:
34	Transgenic rodent somatic and germ cell gene mutation assays. In OECD Guidelines for the
35	Testing of Chemicals, Section 4: Health Effects. Paris, France.
36	http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264203907-en
37	<u>Ohyama, W; Gonda, M; Miyajima, H; Kondo, K; Noguchi, T; Yoshida, J; Hatakeyama, S; Watabe, E;</u>
38	<u>Ueno, Y; Hayashi, M; Tokumitsu, T.</u> (2002). Collaborative validation study of the in vivo
39	micronucleus test using mouse colonic epithelial cells. Mutat Res 518: 39-45.
40	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s1383-5718(02)00061-x
41	Okada, E; Fujiishi, Y; Narumi, K; Kado, S; Ohyama, W. (2019). Evaluation of a 28-day repeated-dose
42	micronucleus test in rat glandular stomach, colon, and liver using gastrointestinal tract-
43	targeted genotoxic-carcinogens and non-carcinogens. Mutat Res 844: 62-68.
44	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mrgentox.2019.05.008
45	<u>Olaguibel, JM; Basomba, A.</u> (1989). Occupational asthma induced by chromium salts [Review].
46	Allergol Immunopathol 17: 133-136.
4/	Uregon DEU (Uregon Department of Environmental Quality). (2014). Update: Former Ken Foster
48	Farm cleanup in Sherwood [Fact Sheet]. Portland, OR.
49	<u>nttp://www.deq.state.or.us/Iq/cu/nwr/kenfoster/KenFosterFarmsFactSheet.pdf</u>

1	Oregon DEQ (Oregon Department of Environmental Quality). (2016a). Bullseye Glass area-wide soil
2	sampling report. Portland, OR.
3 ⊿	<u>Oregon DEQ</u> (Oregon Department of Environmental Quality). (2016b). Portland Metals air
4 5	Oregon DEO (Oregon Department of Environmental Quality) (2016c) Uroboros Class area-wide
5	soil sampling report Portland OP
7	http://www.oregon.gov/deg/FilterDocs/soilsamplingUro.pdf
, 8	OSHA (Occupational Safety & Health Administration), (2006), Occupational exposure to hexavalent
9	chromium. Final rule. Fed Reg 71: 10099-10385.
10	Ottenwaelder, H; Wiegand, H; Bolt, HM. (1988). Uptake of 51Cr(VI) by human erythrocytes:
11	Evidence for a carrier-mediated transport mechanism. Sci Total Environ 71: 561-566.
12	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697(88)90237-9
13	Oze, C; Bird, DK; Fendorf, S. (2007). Genesis of hexavalent chromium from natural sources in soil
14	and groundwater. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 6544-6549.
15	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0701085104</u>
16	Oze, C; Fendorf, S; Bird, DK; Coleman, RG. (2004). Chrominium geochemistry of serpentine soils.
17	International Geology Review 46: 97-126. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2747/0020-6814.46.2.97</u>
18	Pacyna, J; Nriagu, J. (1988). Atmospheric emissions of chromium from natural and anthropogenic
19	sources. In JO Nriagu; E Nieboer (Eds.), Chromium in the natural and human environments
20	(pp. 105-123). New York, NY: Wiley. <u>https://www.wiley.com/en-</u>
21	us/Chromium+in+the+Natural+and+Human+Environments+-p-9780471856436
22	Page, BJ; Loar, GW. (2004). Chromium compounds. In Kirk-Othmer encyclopedia of chemical
23	technology. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons.
24 25	Paixao, P; Bermejo, M; Hens, B; Tsume, Y; Dickens, J; Shedden, K; Salehi, N; Koenigsknecht, MJ;
25	Baker, JK; Hasler, WL; Lionberger, R; Fan, J; Wysocki, J; Wen, B; Lee, A; Frances, A; Amidon,
20 27	<u>GE; YU, A; Benningnoff, G; Lobenberg, R; Talattof, A; Sun, D; Amidon, GL.</u> (2018). Gastric
21 70	in human subjects: A multi compartment stomach approach. Fur I Pharm Biopharm 120:
20 20	162-174, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.einh.2018.05.033
20	Pan CH: leng HA: Lai CH (2017) Biomarkers of oxidative stress in electronlating workers exposed
30	to hexavalent chromium I Fyno Sci Environ Enidemiol 28: 76-83
32	http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/jes.2016.85
33	Park, S: Zhang, X: Li, C: Yin, C: Li, I: Fallon, IT: Huang, W: Xu, D. (2017). Single-cell RNA sequencing
34	reveals an altered gene expression pattern as a result of CRISPR/cas9-mediated deletion of
35	Gene 33/Mig6 and chronic exposure to hexavalent chromium in human lung epithelial cells.
36	Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 330: 30-39. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2017.07.003
37	Parker, D; Turk, IL; Drossler, K. (1984). Comparison of DNFB and K2Cr2O7 'flare-up' reactions in
38	the guinea pig. International Arch Allergy Appl Immunol 73: 123-128.
39	http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000233451
40	Parrott, N; Lukacova, V; Fraczkiewicz, G; Bolger, MB. (2009). Predicting pharmacokinetics of drugs
41	using physiologically based modelingapplication to food effects [Review]. AAPS J 11: 45-
42	53. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1208/s12248-008-9079-7</u>
43	Parsons, BL; Marchant-Miros, KE; Delongchamp, RR; Verkler, TL; Patterson, TA; Mckinzie, PB; Kim,
44	LT. (2010). ACB-PCR quantification of K-RAS codon 12 GAT and GTT mutant fraction in
45	colon tumor and non-tumor tissue. Cancer Invest 28: 364-375.
46	http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/07357901003630975
47	Pascal, LE; Tessier, DM. (2004). Cytotoxicity of chromium and manganese to lung epithelial cells in
48	vitro. Toxicol Lett 147: 143-151. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2003.11.004</u>

1	Patlolla, AK: Barnes, C: Hackett, D: Tchounwou, PB. (2009). Potassium dichromate induced
2	cytotoxicity, genotoxicity and oxidative stress in human liver carcinoma (HepG2) cells. Int J
3	Environ Res Public Health 6: 643-653. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph6020643
4	Paustenbach, DJ; Hays, SM; Brien, BA; Dodge, DG; Kerger, BD. (1996). Observation of steady state in
5	blood and urine following human ingestion of hexavalent chromium in drinking water. J
6	Toxicol Environ Health 49: 453-461. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/009841096160682
7	Pellerin, C; Booker, SM. (2000). Reflections on hexavalent chromium: Health hazards of an
8	industrial heavyweight. Environ Health Perspect 108: a402-a407.
9	http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.108-a402
10	Peng, N; Zhao, X. (2014). Comparison of K-ras mutations in lung, colorectal and gastric cancer.
11	Oncol Lett 8: 561-565. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3892/ol.2014.2205</u>
12	Peng, Y; Hu, J; Li, Y; Zhang, B; Liu, W; Li, H; Zhang, H; Hu, C; Chen, X; Xia, W; Lu, S; Xu, S. (2018).
13	Exposure to chromium during pregnancy and longitudinally assessed fetal growth: Findings
14	from a prospective cohort. Environ Int 121: 375-382.
15	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.09.003
16	Peterson-Roth, E; Reynolds, M; Quievryn, G; Zhitkovich, A. (2005). Mismatch repair proteins are
17	activators of toxic responses to chromium-DNA damage. Mol Cell Biol 25: 3596-3607.
18	http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/MCB.25.9.3596-3607.2005
19	Petrilli, FL; De Flora, S. (1982). Interpretations on chromium mutagenicity and carcinogenicity. In M
20	Sorsa; H Vainio (Eds.), Mutagens in our environment: Proceedings of the twelfth annual
21	meeting of the European Environmental Mutagen Society (pp. 453-464). New York, NY: A. R.
22	Liss.
23	<u>Petrilli, FL; Rossi, GA; Camoirano, A; Romano, M; Serra, D; Bennicelli, C; De Flora, A; De Flora, S.</u>
24	(1986). Metabolic reduction of chromium by alveolar macrophages and its relationships to
25	cigarette smoke. J Clin Invest 77: 1917-1924. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI112520</u>
26	PHS (U.S. Public Health Service). (1953). Health of workers in chromate producing industry. (No.
27	192). Washington, DC.
28	<u>Poljsak, B; Gazdag, Z; Jenko-Brinovec, S; Fujs, S; Pesti, M; Bélagyi, J; Plesnicar, S; Raspor, P. (2005).</u>
29	Pro-oxidative vs antioxidative properties of ascorbic acid in chromium(VI)-induced
30	damage: an in vivo and in vitro approach. J Appl Toxicol 25: 535-548.
31	http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jat.1093
32	Pool-Zobel, BL; Lotzmann, N; Knoll, M; Kuchenmeister, F; Lambertz, R; Leucht, U; Schröder, HG;
33	<u>Schmezer, P.</u> (1994). Detection of genotoxic effects in human gastric and nasal mucosa cells
34	isolated from biopsy samples. Environ Mol Mutagen 24: 23-45.
35	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/em.2850240105</u>
36	Popp, W; Vahrenholz, C; Schmieding, W; Krewet, E; Norpoth, K. (1991). Investigations of the
3/	frequency of DNA strand breakage and cross-linking and of sister chromatid exchange in the
38	lymphocytes of electric welders exposed to chromium- and nickel-containing fumes. Int
39	Arch Occup Environ Health 63: 115-120. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.100//BF003/90/4</u>
40	<u>Popper, HH; Grygar, E; Ingolic, E; Wawschinek, O.</u> (1993). Cytotoxicity of chromium-III and
41	chromium-vi compounds. I. in vitro studies using different cell culture systems. Innai
42	10X1C01 5: 345-369. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/089583/9308998392</u>
43	Potten, CS; Booth, C; Pritchard, DM. (1997). The intestinal epithelial stem cell: the mucosal governor
44 15	[Keview]. Int J Exp Patnol 78: 219-243. <u>http://dx.dol.org/10.1046/].1365-</u> 2612 1007 200262 w
40 16	<u>2013.1777.200302.X</u> Dotton CS Candara D. Mahida VD. Loofflor M. Wright NA (2000) The storn calls of small
40	<u>rotterinal crypter whore are they?</u> Call Drolif 42: 721, 750
47 70	intestinal (1ypts: where are they: ten r1011 42: $731-750$.
40	<u>1111p://ux.uui.uig/10.11111/j.1505-4164.2009.00042.x</u>

1	Pournourmohammadi, S; Khazaelp, P; Eslamizad, S; Tajvar, A; Mohammadirad, A; Abdollahi, M.
2	(2008). Study on the oxidative stress status among cement plant workers. Hum Exp Toxicol
5 1	27: 403-409. <u>IIII)//UX.U0I.01g/10.11///090032/108094930</u> Drector DM: Daples ID: Lishig EW: Daystanbach DL (2004) Estimating historical accumational
4 5	<u>Procedi, DM, Paliko, JP; Liebig, EW, Pausielibacii, DJ.</u> (2004). Estimating historical occupational
5	Occup Environ Hyg 1: 752 767 http://dx doi org/10.1020/15450620400522204
7	Proctor DM, Sub M, Aulward LL, Kirman CP, Harris MA, Thompson CM, Cürlovük H, Corada P.
2 2	Haws I C: Have SM (2012) Heyavalent chromium reduction kinetics in redent stomach
۵ ۵	contents Chemosphere 89: 487-493
10	http://dx doi org/10.1016/i chemosphere 2012.04.065
11	Proctor DM: Sub M: Mittal L iz: Hirsch S: Salgado RV: Bartlett C: Van Landingham C: Rohr A:
12	Crump K (2016) Inhalation cancer risk assessment of hexavalent chromium based on
13	undated mortality for Painesville chromate production workers. I Expo Sci Environ
14	Fnidemiol 26: 224-231 http://dx doi.org/10.1038/jes.2015.77
15	Pukkala, E: Martinsen, I: Lynge, E: Gunnarsdottir, H: Sparén, P: Tryggyadottir, L: Weidernass, E:
16	Kiaerheim, K. (2009). Occupation and cancer - follow-up of 15 million people in five Nordic
17	countries. Acta Oncol 48: 646-790. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02841860902913546
18	Oavyum, S; Ara, A; Usmani, JA. (2012). Effect of nickel and chromium exposure on buccal cells of
19	electroplaters. Toxicol Ind Health 28: 74-82.
20	http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0748233711407237
21	Qian, Q, in; Li, P; Wang, T; Zhang, J, i; Yu, S; Chen, T; Yan, L, ei; Song, Y; Liu, X; Gu, Y; Wang, Y, un; Jia,
22	G. (2013). Alteration of Th1/Th2/Th17 cytokine profile and humoral immune responses
23	associated with chromate exposure. Occup Environ Med 70: 697-702.
24	http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2013-101421
25	Quansah, R; Jaakkola, JJ. (2009). Paternal and maternal exposure to welding fumes and metal dusts
26	or fumes and adverse pregnancy outcomes. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 82: 529-537.
27	http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00420-008-0349-6
28	Quievryn, G; Goulart, M; Messer, J; Zhitkovich, A. (2001). Reduction of Cr (VI) by cysteine:
29	Significance in human lymphocytes and formation of DNA damage in reactions with variable
30	reduction rates. Mol Cell Biochem 222: 107-118.
31	<u>Uulevryn, G; Messer, J; Zhitkovich, A.</u> (2002). Larcinogenic chromium(VI) induces cross-linking of
3Z 22	Vitamin C to DNA in vitro and in numan lung A549 cells. Biochemistry 41: 3156-3167.
22 24	<u>Quievryn, G'Messer, J' Zintkovich, A.</u> (2006). Lower inutagementy but higher stability of Gr-DNA
25 25	2216 2221 http://dv.doi.org/10.1002/carcin/hgl076
32	Oujevryn C. Peterson F. Messer J. Thitkovich A (2003) Cenotoxicity and mutagenicity of
30	chromium(VI)/ascorbate-generated DNA adducts in human and bacterial cells
38	Biochemistry 42: 1062-1070 http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/hi0271547
39	Ouinteros, FA: Machiavelli, LI: Miler, FA: Cabilla, IP: Duvilanski, BH. (2008), Mechanisms of
40	chromium (VI)-induced apoptosis in anterior pituitary cells. Toxicology 249: 109-115.
41	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2008.04.012
42	Ouinteros, FA: Poliandri, AH: Machiavelli, LI: Cabilla, IP: Duvilanski, BH, (2007). In vivo and in vitro
43	effects of chromium VI on anterior pituitary hormone release and cell viability. Toxicol Appl
44	Pharmacol 218: 79-87. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2006.10.017</u>
45	Qureshi, IZ; Mahmood, T. (2010). Prospective role of ascorbic acid (vitamin C) in attenuating
46	hexavalent chromium-induced functional and cellular damage in rat thyroid. Toxicol Ind
47	Health 26: 349-359. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0748233710371109</u>
48	<u>Radke, EG; Glenn, B; Galizia, A; Persad, A; Nachman, R; Bateson, T; Wright, JM; Navas-Acien, A;</u>
49	<u>Arroyave, WD; Puett, RC; Harville, EW; Pollack, AZ; Burns, JS; Lynch, CD; Sagiv, SK; Stein, C;</u>
50	<u>Cooper, GS.</u> (2019). Development of outcome-specific criteria for study evaluation in

1	systematic reviews of epidemiology studies. Environ Int 130: 104884.
2	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.05.078
3	Radtke, F; Clevers, H. (2005). Self-renewal and cancer of the gut: two sides of a coin [Review].
4	Science 307: 1904-1909. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1104815
5	Rafael, AI; Almeida, A; Santos, P; Parreira, I; Madeira, VM; Alves, R; Cabrita, AM; Alpoim, MC. (2007).
6	A role for transforming growth factor-beta apoptotic signaling pathway in liver injury
7	induced by ingestion of water contaminated with high levels of Cr(VI). Toxicol Appl
8	Pharmacol 224: 163-173. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2007.07.004</u>
9	Rager, JE; Ring, CL; Fry, RC; Suh, M; Proctor, DM; Haws, LC; Harris, MA; Thompson, CM. (2017).
10	High-throughput screening data interpretation in the context of in vivo transcriptomic
11	responses to oral Cr(VI) exposure. Toxicol Sci 158: 199-212.
12	http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfx085
13	Rao, MV; Chawla, SL; Sharma, SR. (2009). Protective role of vitamin E on nickel and/or chromium
14	induced oxidative stress in the mouse ovary. Food Chem Toxicol 47: 1368-1371.
15	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2009.03.018
16	Rasool, M; Zaigham, K; Malik, A; Naseer, MI; Umm-E-Habiba, MI; Manan, A; Qazi, MH; Asif, M.
17	(2014). Potential reproductive health effects and oxidative stress associated with exposure
18	to potassium dichromate (K2Cr2O7) and magnesium sulphate (MgSO4) in male mice.
19	Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences online 30: 819-823.
20	Rawla, P; Barsouk, A. (2019). Epidemiology of gastric cancer: Global trends, risk factors and
21	prevention [Review]. Prz Gastroenterol 14: 26-38.
22	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5114/pg.2018.80001</u>
23	Remy, LL; Byers, V; Clay, T. (2017). Reproductive outcomes after non-occupational exposure to
24	hexavalent chromium, Willits California, 1983-2014. Environ Health 16: 18.
25	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12940-017-0222-8</u>
26	Ren, K; Li, Y; Lu, H; Li, Z; Han, X. (2017). miR-3940-5p Functions as a Tumor Suppressor in Non-
27	Small Cell Lung Cancer Cells by Targeting Cyclin D1 and Ubiquitin Specific Peptidase-28.
28	Translational Oncology 10: 80-89. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tranon.2016.11.004</u>
29	Reynolds, M; Armknecht, S; Johnston, T; Zhitkovich, A. (2012). Undetectable role of oxidative DNA
30	damage in cell cycle, cytotoxic and clastogenic effects of Cr(VI) in human lung cells with
31	restored ascorbate levels. Mutagenesis 27: 437-443.
32	http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mutage/ger095
33	<u>Reynolds, M; Zhitkovich, A.</u> (2007). Cellular vitamin C increases chromate toxicity via a death
34	program requiring mismatch repair but not p53. Carcinogenesis 28: 1613-1620.
35	http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgm031
36	<u>Richardson, DB; Langholz, B.</u> (2012). Background stratified Poisson regression analysis of cohort
3/	data. Radiat Environ Biopnys 51: 15-22. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.100//s00411-011-0394-5</u>
30	<u>KIICIIIE, KF; Palomaki, GE; Neveux, LM; Navoiolskaia, O; Ledue, TB; Craig, W1.</u> (2004). Reference
39 40	anstributions for complement proteins C3 and C4: A practical, simple and clinically relevant
40 41	Dive Li Dandiri, AD, Li VD, Dreen A, Hewinson L Queil MA, Iver V, Shenherd D, Herbert DA.
41 42	<u>Kiva, L, Faliuli I, AK, Li, TK, Dioop, A, Hewilisoli, J, Quali, MA, Iyer, V, Silepheru, K, Herbert, KA,</u>
42 12	<u>campuent, FJ, Shis, RC, Alexandi OV, LD, Dalinani, A; Adams, DJ.</u> (2020). The inutational signature profile of known and suspected human carginogons in mice. Nat Const E2, 1100
43 AA	1107 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s/1588.020.0602.4
44 15	Royle H (1975) Toxicity of chromic acid in the chromium plating industry (2) Environ Pos 10.
46	$\frac{1}{141-163}$ http://dx doi org/10.1016/0013-0351(75)00079-1
47	Rudnykh AA: Zasukhina GD. (1985). DNA renair synthesis in lymnhocytes of neonle contacting
48	chromium compounds in industry. Cytology and Genetics 19, 68-69
	voinpoundo in madou j. Gjeregj und deneded 19100 091

1	Russell, TL; Berardi, RR; Barnett, JL; Dermentzoglou, LC; Jarvenpaa, KM; Schmaltz, SP; Dressman, JB.
2	(1993). Upper gastrointestinal pH in seventy-nine healthy, elderly, North American men
3	and women. Pharm Res 10: 187-196. http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1018970323716
4	Russo, P; Catassi, A; Cesario, A; Imperatori, A; Rotolo, N; Fini, M; Granone, P; Dominioni, L. (2005).
5	Molecular mechanisms of hexavalent chromium-induced apoptosis in human
6	bronchoalveolar cells. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 33: 589-600.
7	http://dx.doi.org/10.1165/rcmb.2005-02130C
8	Saleh, FY; Parkerton, TF; Lewis, RV; Huang, JH; Dickson, KL. (1989). Kinetics of chromium
9	transformations in the environment. Sci Total Environ 86: 25-41.
10	Saloga, J; Knop, J; Kolde, G. (1988). Ultrastructural cytochemical visualization of chromium in the
11	skin of sensitized guinea pigs. Arch Dermatol Res 280: 214-219.
12	<u>Samuel, JB; Stanley, JA; Roopha, DP; Vengatesh, G; Anbalagan, J; Banu, SK; Aruldhas, MM.</u> (2011).
13	Lactational hexavalent chromium exposure-induced oxidative stress in rat uterus is
14	associated with delayed puberty and impaired gonadotropin levels. Hum Exp Toxicol 30:
15	91-101. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0960327110364638</u>
16	Samuel, JB; Stanley, JA; Sekar, P; Princess, RA; Sebastian, MS; Aruldhas, MM. (2012a). Persistent
17	hexavalent chromium exposure impaired the pubertal development and ovarian
18	histoarchitecture in wistar rat offspring. Environ Toxicol 29: 814-828.
19	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/tox.21810</u>
20	<u>Samuel, JB; Stanley, JA; Vengatesh, G; Princess, RA; Muthusami, S; Roopha, DP; Suthagar, E; Kumar,</u>
21	KM; Sebastian, MS; Aruldhas, MM. (2012b). Ameliorative effect of vitamin C on hexavalent
22	chromium-induced delay in sexual maturation and oxidative stress in developing Wistar rat
23	ovary and uterus. Toxicol Ind Health 28: 720-733.
24	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0748233711422728</u>
25	Sánchez-Martín, FJ; Fan, Y; Carreira, V; Ovesen, JL; Vonhandorf, A; Xia, Y; Puga, A. (2015). Long-term
26	coexposure to hexavalent chromium and B[a]P causes tissue-specific differential biological
27	effects in liver and gastrointestinal tract of mice. Toxicol Sci 146: 52-64.
28	http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfv070
29	Sánchez, LM; Lewicki, M; De Lucca, RC; Ubios, AM. (2015). Impairment of bony crypt development
30	associated with hexavalent chromium exposure during tooth eruption. Acta Odontol
31	Latinoam 28: 203-209.
32	Saraswathy, CP: Usharani, MV. (2007). Monitoring of cellular enzymes in the serum of
33	electroplating workers at Coimbatore. J Environ Biol 28: 287-290.
34	Sarlinova, M; Majerova, L; Matakova, T; Musak, L; Slovakova, P; Skereňová, M; Kavcová, E; Halašová,
35	<u>E.</u> (2015). Polymorphisms of DNA repair genes and lung cancer in chromium exposure. Adv
36	Exp Med Biol 833: 1-8. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/5584_2014_82</u>
37	<u>Sarto, F; Cominato, I; Bianchi, V; Levis, AG.</u> (1982). Increased incidence of chromosomal aberrations
38	and sister chromatid exchanges in workers exposed to chromic acid (CrO3) in electroplating
39	factories. Carcinogenesis 3: 1011-1016. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/carcin/3.9.1011</u>
40	Sarto, F; Tomanin, R; Giacomelli, L; Iannini, G; Cupiraggi, AR. (1990). The micronucleus assay in
41	numan exfoliated cells of the nose and mouth: Application to occupational exposures to
42	chromic acid and ethylene oxide. Mutat Res 244: 345-351. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-</u>
43	$\frac{7992(90)90083-V}{1000000000000000000000000000000000000$
44 45	Sasso, AF; Schlosser, PM. (2015). An evaluation of in vivo models for toxicokinetics of nexavalent
40 46	chi offium in the stomach. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 287: 293-298.
40 47	$\frac{\Pi(\Pi, \mu)}{(4X, 001, 01g/10, 1010/1, 1010/1, 100, 010, 010, 010,$
4/ 10	<u>Sawicka, E; Diugosz, A. (2017)</u> . The role of 1/β-estradiol metabolites in chromium-induced
40 40	oxidative stress. Advances in clinical and Experimental Medicine 26: 215-221.
49	<u>nup://ax.aoi.org/10.1/219/acem/6221/</u>

1	Sazakli, E; Villanueva, CM; Kogevinas, M; Maltezis, K; Mouzaki, A; Leotsinidis, M. (2014). Chromium
2	in Drinking Water: Association with Biomarkers of Exposure and Effect. Int J Environ Res
3	Public Health 11: 10125-10145. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph111010125</u>
4	SCAQMD (South Coast Air Quality Management District). (2016). Summary of efforts in paramount:
5	significant improvements since October 2016.
6	Schiestl, RH: Aubrecht, J: Khogali, F: Carls, N. (1997). Carcinogens induce reversion of the mouse
7	pink-eyed unstable mutation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94: 4576-4581.
8	http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.9.4576
9	Schlesinger, RB; Lippmann, M. (1978). Selective particle deposition and bronchogenic carcinoma.
10	Environ Res 15: 424-431.
11	Schlosser, PM: Sasso, AF, (2014). A revised model of ex-vivo reduction of hexavalent chromium in
12	human and rodent gastric juices. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 280: 352-361.
13	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.taap.2014.08.010
14	Schneeberger, HW: Forck, G. (1974). Experimental chromium allergy of animals: The influence of
15	valences and chemical properties of chromium ions. 249: 71-81.
16	Schroeder, WH: Dobson, M: Kane, DM: Johnson, ND, (1987), Toxic trace elements associated with
17	airborne particulate matter: A review [Review]. I Air Waste Manag Assoc 37: 1267-1285.
18	http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08940630.1987.10466321
19	Schwitalla, S: Fingerle, AA: Cammareri, P: Nebelsiek, T: Göktuna, SI: Ziegler, PK: Canli, O: Heijmans,
20	I: Huels, DI: Moreaux, G: Rupec, RA: Gerhard, M: Schmid, R: Barker, N: Clevers, H: Lang, R:
21	Neumann, I: Kirchner, T: Taketo, MM: van den Brink, GR: Sansom, OI: Arkan, MC: Greten, FR.
22	(2013). Intestinal tumorigenesis initiated by dedifferentiation and acquisition of stem-cell-
23	like properties. Cell 152: 25-38. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.12.012
24	Scott, P; Anderson, K; Singhania, M; Cormier, R. (2020). Cystic Fibrosis, CFTR, and Colorectal Cancer
25	[Review]. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 21.
26	http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891
26 27	http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891 Sedman, RM: Beaumont, J: Mcdonald, TA: Reynolds, S: Krowech, G: Howd, R. (2006). Review of the
26 27 28	http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891 Sedman, RM; Beaumont, J; Mcdonald, TA; Reynolds, S; Krowech, G; Howd, R. (2006). Review of the evidence regarding the carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium in drinking water [Review].
26 27 28 29	http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891 Sedman, RM: Beaumont, J: Mcdonald, TA: Reynolds, S: Krowech, G: Howd, R. (2006). Review of the evidence regarding the carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium in drinking water [Review]. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev 24: 155-182.
26 27 28 29 30	http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891 Sedman, RM; Beaumont, J; Mcdonald, TA; Reynolds, S; Krowech, G; Howd, R. (2006). Review of the evidence regarding the carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium in drinking water [Review]. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev 24: 155-182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10590500600614337
26 27 28 29 30 31	 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891</u> <u>Sedman, RM; Beaumont, J; Mcdonald, TA; Reynolds, S; Krowech, G; Howd, R.</u> (2006). Review of the evidence regarding the carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium in drinking water [Review]. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev 24: 155-182. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10590500600614337</u> <u>Sekihashi, K; Sasaki, T; Yamamoto, A; Kawamura, K; Ikka, T; Tsuda, S; Sasaki, YF.</u> (2001). A
26 27 28 29 30 31 32	http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891 Sedman, RM; Beaumont, J; Mcdonald, TA; Reynolds, S; Krowech, G; Howd, R. (2006). Review of the evidence regarding the carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium in drinking water [Review]. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev 24: 155-182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10590500600614337 Sekihashi, K; Sasaki, T; Yamamoto, A; Kawamura, K; Ikka, T; Tsuda, S; Sasaki, YF. (2001). A comparison of intraperitoneal and oral gavage administration in comet assay in mouse eight
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	 http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891 Sedman, RM; Beaumont, J; Mcdonald, TA; Reynolds, S; Krowech, G; Howd, R. (2006). Review of the evidence regarding the carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium in drinking water [Review]. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev 24: 155-182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10590500600614337 Sekihashi, K; Sasaki, T; Yamamoto, A; Kawamura, K; Ikka, T; Tsuda, S; Sasaki, YF. (2001). A comparison of intraperitoneal and oral gavage administration in comet assay in mouse eight organs. Mutat Res 493: 39-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(01)00157-7
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	 http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891 Sedman, RM; Beaumont, J; Mcdonald, TA; Reynolds, S; Krowech, G; Howd, R. (2006). Review of the evidence regarding the carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium in drinking water [Review]. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev 24: 155-182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10590500600614337 Sekihashi, K; Sasaki, T; Yamamoto, A; Kawamura, K; Ikka, T; Tsuda, S; Sasaki, YF. (2001). A comparison of intraperitoneal and oral gavage administration in comet assay in mouse eight organs. Mutat Res 493: 39-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(01)00157-7 Sellappa, S; Prathyumnan, S; Keyan, KS; Joseph, S; Vasudevan, BS; Sasikala, K. (2010). Evaluation of
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	 http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891 Sedman, RM; Beaumont, J; Mcdonald, TA; Reynolds, S; Krowech, G; Howd, R. (2006). Review of the evidence regarding the carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium in drinking water [Review]. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev 24: 155-182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10590500600614337 Sekihashi, K; Sasaki, T; Yamamoto, A; Kawamura, K; Ikka, T; Tsuda, S; Sasaki, YF. (2001). A comparison of intraperitoneal and oral gavage administration in comet assay in mouse eight organs. Mutat Res 493: 39-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(01)00157-7 Sellappa, S; Prathyumnan, S; Keyan, KS; Joseph, S; Vasudevan, BS; Sasikala, K. (2010). Evaluation of DNA damage induction and repair inhibition in welders exposed to hexavalent chromium.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	 http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891 Sedman, RM; Beaumont, J; Mcdonald, TA; Reynolds, S; Krowech, G; Howd, R. (2006). Review of the evidence regarding the carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium in drinking water [Review]. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev 24: 155-182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10590500600614337 Sekihashi, K; Sasaki, T; Yamamoto, A; Kawamura, K; Ikka, T; Tsuda, S; Sasaki, YF. (2001). A comparison of intraperitoneal and oral gavage administration in comet assay in mouse eight organs. Mutat Res 493: 39-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(01)00157-7 Sellappa, S; Prathyumnan, S; Keyan, KS; Joseph, S; Vasudevan, BS; Sasikala, K. (2010). Evaluation of DNA damage induction and repair inhibition in welders exposed to hexavalent chromium. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 11: 95-100.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	 http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891 Sedman, RM; Beaumont, J; Mcdonald, TA; Reynolds, S; Krowech, G; Howd, R. (2006). Review of the evidence regarding the carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium in drinking water [Review]. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev 24: 155-182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10590500600614337 Sekihashi, K; Sasaki, T; Yamamoto, A; Kawamura, K; Ikka, T; Tsuda, S; Sasaki, YF. (2001). A comparison of intraperitoneal and oral gavage administration in comet assay in mouse eight organs. Mutat Res 493: 39-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(01)00157-7 Sellappa, S; Prathyumnan, S; Keyan, KS; Joseph, S; Vasudevan, BS; Sasikala, K. (2010). Evaluation of DNA damage induction and repair inhibition in welders exposed to hexavalent chromium. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 11: 95-100. Sengupta, T; Chattopadhyay, D; Ghosh, N; Das, M; Chatterjee, GC. (1990). Effect of chromium
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	 http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891 Sedman, RM: Beaumont, J: Mcdonald, TA: Reynolds, S: Krowech, G: Howd, R. (2006). Review of the evidence regarding the carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium in drinking water [Review]. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev 24: 155-182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10590500600614337 Sekihashi, K; Sasaki, T; Yamamoto, A; Kawamura, K; Ikka, T; Tsuda, S; Sasaki, YF. (2001). A comparison of intraperitoneal and oral gavage administration in comet assay in mouse eight organs. Mutat Res 493: 39-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(01)00157-7 Sellappa, S: Prathyumnan, S: Keyan, KS; Joseph, S: Vasudevan, BS; Sasikala, K. (2010). Evaluation of DNA damage induction and repair inhibition in welders exposed to hexavalent chromium. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 11: 95-100. Sengupta, T; Chattopadhyay, D; Ghosh, N; Das, M; Chatterjee, GC. (1990). Effect of chromium administration on glutathione cycle of rat intestinal epithelial cells. Indian J Exp Biol 28:
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	 http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891 Sedman, RM; Beaumont, J; Mcdonald, TA; Reynolds, S; Krowech, G; Howd, R. (2006). Review of the evidence regarding the carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium in drinking water [Review]. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev 24: 155-182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10590500600614337 Sekihashi, K; Sasaki, T; Yamamoto, A; Kawamura, K; Ikka, T; Tsuda, S; Sasaki, YF. (2001). A comparison of intraperitoneal and oral gavage administration in comet assay in mouse eight organs. Mutat Res 493: 39-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(01)00157-7 Sellappa, S; Prathyumnan, S; Keyan, KS; Joseph, S; Vasudevan, BS; Sasikala, K. (2010). Evaluation of DNA damage induction and repair inhibition in welders exposed to hexavalent chromium. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 11: 95-100. Sengupta, T; Chattopadhyay, D; Ghosh, N; Das, M; Chatterjee, GC. (1990). Effect of chromium administration on glutathione cycle of rat intestinal epithelial cells. Indian J Exp Biol 28: 1132-1135.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	 http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891 Sedman, RM: Beaumont, J: Mcdonald, TA; Reynolds, S; Krowech, G; Howd, R. (2006). Review of the evidence regarding the carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium in drinking water [Review]. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev 24: 155-182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10590500600614337 Sekihashi, K; Sasaki, T; Yamamoto, A; Kawamura, K; Ikka, T; Tsuda, S; Sasaki, YF, (2001). A comparison of intraperitoneal and oral gavage administration in comet assay in mouse eight organs. Mutat Res 493: 39-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(01)00157-7 Sellappa, S; Prathyumnan, S; Keyan, KS; Joseph, S; Vasudevan, BS; Sasikala, K. (2010). Evaluation of DNA damage induction and repair inhibition in welders exposed to hexavalent chromium. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 11: 95-100. Sengupta, T; Chattopadhyay, D; Ghosh, N; Das, M; Chatterjee, GC. (1990). Effect of chromium administration on glutathione cycle of rat intestinal epithelial cells. Indian J Exp Biol 28: 1132-1135. Seoane, AI; Dulout, FN. (1999). Contribution to the validation of the anaphase-telophase test:
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	 http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891 Sedman, RM; Beaumont, J; Mcdonald, TA; Reynolds, S; Krowech, G; Howd, R. (2006). Review of the evidence regarding the carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium in drinking water [Review]. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev 24: 155-182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10590500600614337 Sekihashi, K; Sasaki, T; Yamamoto, A; Kawamura, K; Ikka, T; Tsuda, S; Sasaki, YF. (2001). A comparison of intraperitoneal and oral gavage administration in comet assay in mouse eight organs. Mutat Res 493: 39-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(01)00157-7 Sellappa, S; Prathyumnan, S; Keyan, KS; Joseph, S; Vasudevan, BS; Sasikala, K. (2010). Evaluation of DNA damage induction and repair inhibition in welders exposed to hexavalent chromium. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 11: 95-100. Sengupta, T; Chattopadhyay, D; Ghosh, N; Das, M; Chatterjee, GC. (1990). Effect of chromium administration on glutathione cycle of rat intestinal epithelial cells. Indian J Exp Biol 28: 1132-1135. Seoane, AI; Dulout, FN. (1999). Contribution to the validation of the anaphase-telophase test: Aneugenic and clastogenic effects of cadmium sulfate, potassium dichromate and nickel
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	 http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891 Sedman, RM; Beaumont, J; Mcdonald, TA; Reynolds, S; Krowech, G; Howd, R. (2006). Review of the evidence regarding the carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium in drinking water [Review]. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev 24: 155-182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10590500600614337 Sekihashi, K; Sasaki, T; Yamamoto, A; Kawamura, K; Ikka, T; Tsuda, S; Sasaki, YF. (2001). A comparison of intraperitoneal and oral gavage administration in comet assay in mouse eight organs. Mutat Res 493: 39-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(01)00157-7 Sellappa, S; Prathyumnan, S; Keyan, KS; Joseph, S; Vasudevan, BS; Sasikala, K. (2010). Evaluation of DNA damage induction and repair inhibition in welders exposed to hexavalent chromium. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 11: 95-100. Sengupta, T; Chattopadhyay, D; Ghosh, N; Das, M; Chatterjee, GC. (1990). Effect of chromium administration on glutathione cycle of rat intestinal epithelial cells. Indian J Exp Biol 28: 1132-1135. Seoane, AI; Dulout, FN. (1999). Contribution to the validation of the anaphase-telophase test: Aneugenic and clastogenic effects of cadmium sulfate, potassium dichromate and nickel chloride in Chinese hamster ovary cells. Genet Mol Biol 22: 551-555.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	 http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891 Sedman, RM: Beaumont, J; Mcdonald, TA; Reynolds, S; Krowech, G; Howd, R. (2006). Review of the evidence regarding the carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium in drinking water [Review]. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev 24: 155-182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10590500600614337 Sekihashi, K; Sasaki, T; Yamamoto, A; Kawamura, K; Ikka, T; Tsuda, S; Sasaki, YF. (2001). A comparison of intraperitoneal and oral gavage administration in comet assay in mouse eight organs. Mutat Res 493: 39-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(01)00157-7 Sellappa, S; Prathyumnan, S; Keyan, KS; Joseph, S; Vasudevan, BS; Sasikala, K. (2010). Evaluation of DNA damage induction and repair inhibition in welders exposed to hexavalent chromium. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 11: 95-100. Sengupta, T; Chattopadhyay, D; Ghosh, N; Das, M; Chatterjee, GC. (1990). Effect of chromium administration on glutathione cycle of rat intestinal epithelial cells. Indian J Exp Biol 28: 1132-1135. Seoane, AI; Dulout, FN. (1999). Contribution to the validation of the anaphase-telophase test: Aneugenic and clastogenic effects of cadmium sulfate, potassium dichromate and nickel chloride in Chinese hamster ovary cells. Genet Mol Biol 22: 551-555. Seoane, AI; Dulout, FN. (2001). Genotoxic ability of cadmium, chromium and nickel salts studied by
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	 http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891 Sedman, RM: Beaumont, J: Mcdonald, TA; Reynolds, S; Krowech, G; Howd, R. (2006). Review of the evidence regarding the carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium in drinking water [Review]. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev 24: 155-182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10590500600614337 Sekihashi, K; Sasaki, T; Yamamoto, A; Kawamura, K; Ikka, T; Tsuda, S; Sasaki, YF. (2001). A comparison of intraperitoneal and oral gavage administration in comet assay in mouse eight organs. Mutat Res 493: 39-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(01)00157-7 Sellappa, S; Prathyumnan, S; Keyan, KS; Joseph, S; Vasudevan, BS; Sasikala, K. (2010). Evaluation of DNA damage induction and repair inhibition in welders exposed to hexavalent chromium. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 11: 95-100. Sengupta, T; Chattopadhyay, D; Ghosh, N; Das, M; Chatterjee, GC. (1990). Effect of chromium administration on glutathione cycle of rat intestinal epithelial cells. Indian J Exp Biol 28: 1132-1135. Seoane, AI; Dulout, FN. (1999). Contribution to the validation of the anaphase-telophase test: Aneugenic and clastogenic effects of cadmium sulfate, potassium dichromate and nickel chloride in Chinese hamster ovary cells. Genet Mol Biol 22: 551-555. Seoane, AI; Dulout, FN. (2001). Genotoxic ability of cadmium, chromium and nickel salts studied by kinetochore staining in the cytokinesis-blocked micronucleus assay. Mutat Res 490: 99-106.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	 http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891 Sedman, RM: Beaumont, J: Mcdonald, TA: Reynolds, S: Krowech, G: Howd, R. (2006). Review of the evidence regarding the carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium in drinking water [Review]. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev 24: 155-182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10590500600614337 Sekihashi, K: Sasaki, T: Yamamoto, A: Kawamura, K: Ikka, T: Tsuda, S: Sasaki, YF, (2001). A comparison of intraperitoneal and oral gavage administration in comet assay in mouse eight organs. Mutat Res 493: 39-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(01)00157-7 Sellappa, S: Prathyumnan, S: Keyan, KS: Joseph, S: Vasudevan, BS: Sasikala, K. (2010). Evaluation of DNA damage induction and repair inhibition in welders exposed to hexavalent chromium. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 11: 95-100. Sengupta, T: Chattopadhyay, D: Ghosh, N: Das, M: Chatterjee, GC. (1990). Effect of chromium administration on glutathione cycle of rat intestinal epithelial cells. Indian J Exp Biol 28: 1132-1135. Seoane, AI: Dulout, FN. (1999). Contribution to the validation of the anaphase-telophase test: Aneugenic and clastogenic effects of cadmium sulfate, potassium dichromate and nickel chloride in Chinese hamster ovary cells. Genet Mol Biol 22: 551-555. Seoane, AI: Dulout, FN. (2001). Genotoxic ability of cadmium, chromium and nickel salts studied by kinetochore staining in the cytokinesis-blocked micronucleus assay. Mutat Res 490: 99-106. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(00)00145-5
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	 http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891 Sedman, RM: Beaumont, J: Mcdonald, TA: Reynolds, S: Krowech, G: Howd, R. (2006). Review of the evidence regarding the carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium in drinking water [Review]. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev 24: 155-182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10590500600614337 Sekihashi, K: Sasaki, T: Yamamoto, A: Kawamura, K: Ikka, T: Tsuda, S: Sasaki, YF. (2001). A comparison of intraperitoneal and oral gavage administration in comet assay in mouse eight organs. Mutat Res 493: 39-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(01)00157-7 Sellappa, S: Prathyumnan, S: Keyan, KS: Joseph, S: Vasudevan, BS: Sasikala, K. (2010). Evaluation of DNA damage induction and repair inhibition in welders exposed to hexavalent chromium. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 11: 95-100. Sengupta, T: Chattopadhyay, D: Ghosh, N: Das, M: Chatterjee, GC. (1990). Effect of chromium administration on glutathione cycle of rat intestinal epithelial cells. Indian J Exp Biol 28: 1132-1135. Seoane, AI: Dulout, FN. (1999). Contribution to the validation of the anaphase-telophase test: Aneugenic and clastogenic effects of cadmium sulfate, potassium dichromate and nickel chloride in Chinese hamster ovary cells. Genet Mol Biol 22: 551-555. Seoane, AI: Dulout, FN. (2001). Genotoxic ability of cadmium, chromium and nickel salts studied by kinetochore staining in the cytokinesis-blocked micronucleus assay. Mutat Res 490: 99-106. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(00)00145-5 Seoane, AI: Güerci, AM: Dulout, FN. (2002). Malsegregation as a possible mechanism of aneuploidy
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	 http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891 Sedman, RM: Beaumont, J: Mcdonald, TA: Reynolds, S: Krowech, G: Howd, R. (2006). Review of the evidence regarding the carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium in drinking water [Review]. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev 24: 155-182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10590500600614337 Sekihashi, K: Sasaki, T: Yamamoto, A: Kawamura, K: Ikka, T: Tsuda, S: Sasaki, YF. (2001). A comparison of intraperitoneal and oral gavage administration in comet assay in mouse eight organs. Mutat Res 493: 39-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(01)00157-7 Sellappa, S: Prathyumnan, S: Keyan, KS: Joseph, S: Vasudevan, BS: Sasikala, K. (2010). Evaluation of DNA damage induction and repair inhibition in welders exposed to hexavalent chromium. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 11: 95-100. Sengupta, T: Chattopadhyay, D: Ghosh, N: Das, M: Chatterjee, GC. (1990). Effect of chromium administration on glutathione cycle of rat intestinal epithelial cells. Indian J Exp Biol 28: 1132-1135. Seoane, AI: Dulout, FN. (1999). Contribution to the validation of the anaphase-telophase test: Aneugenic and clastogenic effects of cadmium sulfate, potassium dichromate and nickel chloride in Chinese hamster ovary cells. Genet Mol Biol 22: 551-555. Seoane, AI: Dulout, FN. (2001). Genotoxic ability of cadmium, chromium and nickel salts studied by kinetochore staining in the cytokinesis-blocked micronucleus assay. Mutat Res 490: 99-106. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(00)00145-5 Seoane, AI: Guierci, AM: Dulout, FN. (2002). Malsegregation as a possible mechanism of aneuploidy induction by metal salts in MRC-5 human cells. Environ Mol Mutagen 40: 200-206.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	 http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891 Sedman, RM: Beaumont, J: Mcdonald, TA: Reynolds, S: Krowech, G: Howd, R. (2006). Review of the evidence regarding the carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium in drinking water [Review]. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev 24: 155-182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10590500600614337 Sekihashi, K: Sasaki, T: Yamamoto, A: Kawamura, K: Ikka, T: Tsuda, S: Sasaki, YF. (2001). A comparison of intraperitoneal and oral gavage administration in comet assay in mouse eight organs. Mutat Res 493: 39-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(01)00157-7 Sellappa, S: Prathyumnan, S: Keyan, KS: Joseph, S: Vasudevan, BS; Sasikala, K. (2010). Evaluation of DNA damage induction and repair inhibition in welders exposed to hexavalent chromium. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 11: 95-100. Sengupta, T: Chattopadhyay, D; Ghosh, N: Das, M: Chatterjee, GC. (1990). Effect of chromium administration on glutathione cycle of rat intestinal epithelial cells. Indian J Exp Biol 28: 1132-1135. Seoane, Al; Dulout, FN. (1999). Contribution to the validation of the anaphase-telophase test: Aneugenic and clastogenic effects of cadmium sulfate, potassium dichromate and nickel chloride in Chinese hamster ovary cells. Genet Mol Biol 22: 551-555. Seoane, Al; Dulout, FN. (2001). Genotoxic ability of cadmium, chromium and nickel salts studied by kinetochore staining in the cytokinesis-blocked micronucleus assay. Mutat Res 490: 99-106. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(00)00145-5 Seoane, Al; Guierci, AM; Dulout, FN. (2002). Malsegregation as a possible mechanism of aneuploidy induction by metal salts in MRC-5 human cells. Environ Mol Mutagen 40: 200-206. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/em.10110
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49	 http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21082891 Sedman, RM: Beaumont, J: Mcdonald, TA: Reynolds, S: Krowech, G: Howd, R. (2006). Review of the evidence regarding the carcinogenicity of hexavalent chromium in drinking water [Review]. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev 24: 155-182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10590500600614337 Sekihashi, K; Sasaki, T: Yamamoto, A: Kawamura, K: Ikka, T: Tsuda, S: Sasaki, YF. (2001). A comparison of intraperitoneal and oral gavage administration in comet assay in mouse eight organs. Mutat Res 493: 39-54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(01)00157-7 Sellappa, S: Prathyumnan, S: Keyan, KS: Joseph, S: Vasudevan, BS; Sasikala, K. (2010). Evaluation of DNA damage induction and repair inhibition in welders exposed to hexavalent chromium. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 11: 95-100. Sengupta, T: Chattopadhyay, D: Ghosh, N: Das, M: Chatterjee, GC. (1990). Effect of chromium administration on glutathione cycle of rat intestinal epithelial cells. Indian J Exp Biol 28: 1132-1135. Seoane, AI: Dulout, FN. (1999). Contribution to the validation of the anaphase-telophase test: Aneugenic and clastogenic effects of cadmium sulfate, potassium dichromate and nickel chloride in Chinese hamster ovary cells. Genet Mol Biol 22: 551-555. Seoane, AI: Dulout, FN. (2001). Genotoxic ability of cadmium, chromium and nickel salts studied by kinetochore staining in the cytokinesis-blocked micronucleus assay. Mutat Res 490: 99-106. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(00)00145-5 Seoane, AI: Güerci, AM; Dulout, FN. (2002). Malsegregation as a possible mechanism of aneuploidy induction by metal salts in MRC-5 human cells. Environ Mol Mutagen 40: 200-206. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/em.10110 Sharma, N: Chauhan, S; Varma, S. (2003). Fatal potassium dichromate ingestion [Letter]. J Postgrad

1	Sharma, P; Bihari, V; Agarwal, SK; Verma, V; Kesavachandran, CN; Pangtey, BS; Mathur, N; Singh, KP;
2	<u>Srivastava, M; Goel, SK.</u> (2012). Groundwater contaminated with hexavalent chromium [Cr
3	(VI)]: a health survey and clinical examination of community inhabitants (Kanpur, India).
4	PLoS ONE 7: e47877. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0047877</u>
5	<u>Sharma, S; Kelly, TK; Jones, PA.</u> (2010). Epigenetics in cancer [Review]. Carcinogenesis 31: 27-36.
6	http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgp220
7	Shaw Environmental (Shaw Environmental, Inc). (2006). Final report. Industry profile, exposure
8	profile, technological feasibility evaluation, and environmental impact for industries
9	affected by a revised OSHA standard for hexavalent chromium (General industry,
10	construction, and maritime sectors). (OSHA-H054A-2006-0064-2537). Washington, DC: U.S.
11	Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration.
12	https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=OSHA-H054A-2006-0064-2537
13	Shi, H; Hudson, LG; Liu, KJ. (2004). Oxidative stress and apoptosis in metal ion-induced
14	carcinogenesis [Review]. Free Radic Biol Med 37: 582-593.
15	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2004.03.012</u>
10	<u>Sni, X; Daiai, NS.</u> (1994). Generation of hydroxyl radical by chromate in biologically relevant
1/ 10	systems: role of Cr(V) complexes versus tetraperoxochromate(V). Environ Health Perspect
10	102 Suppi 5: 251-250.
20	Jin, A, Dillg, M, Te, J, Wallg, S, Leollaru, SS, Zallg, L, Castraliova, V, Vallyatilali, V, Cillu, A, Dalai, N,
20	breaks and dC hydroxylation via free radical reactions. I horg Biochem 75: 37-44
21	http://dx doi org/10.1016/S0162-0134(99)00030-6
22	Shih GL: Brensinger C: Katzka DA: Metz DC (2003) Influence of age and gender on gastric acid
24	secretion as estimated by integrated acidity in patients referred for 24-hour ambulatory pH
25	monitoring. Am I Gastroenterol 98: 1713-1718. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0002-
26	9270(03)00435-0
27	Shih, IM; Wang, TL; Traverso, G; Romans, K; Hamilton, SR; Ben-Sasson, S; Kinzler, KW; Vogelstein, B.
28	(2001). Top-down morphogenesis of colorectal tumors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98: 2640-
29	2645. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.051629398
30	Shindo, Y; Toyoda, Y; Kawamura, K; Kurebe, M; Shimada, H; Hattori, C; Satake, S. (1989).
31	Micronucleus test with potassium chromate(VI) administered intraperitoneally and orally
32	to mice. Mutat Res 223: 403-406.
33	<u>Shobana, N; Aruldhas, MM; Tochhawng, L; Loganathan, A; Balaji, S; Kumar, MK; Banu, LAS; Navin,</u>
34	<u>AK; Mayilvanan, C; Ilangovan, R; Balasubramanian, K.</u> (2017). Transient gestational
35	exposure to drinking water containing excess hexavalent chromium modifies insulin
36	signaling in liver and skeletal muscle of rat progeny. Chem Biol Interact 277: 119-128.
37	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2017.09.003
38	Shrivastava, R; Srivastava, S; Upreti, RK; Chaturvedi, UC. (2005a). Effects of dengue virus infection
39	on peripheral blood cells of mice exposed to hexavalent chromium with drinking water.
40	Indian J Med Res 122: 111-119.
41	Shrivastava, R; Upreti, RK; Chaturvedi, UC. (2003). Various cells of the immune system and intestine
42	differ in their capacity to reduce hexavalent chromium. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 38:
43	65-70.
44 45	Snrivastava, K; Upreti, KK; Unaturvedi, UL. (2005b). Effects of dengue virus infection on the spleen
45 16	or male mice given nexavalent chromium with drinking water. Toxicol Mech Meth 15: 323-
40 17	547. <u>IIIIII//UX.UUI.UIg/IU.IU00/I55/05471007/34</u> Shubin AV: Domiduuk IV: Komissarov AA: Patiova IM: Kastrov SV (2016) Cutanlasmia
47 78	vacuolization in cell death and survival [Peview] Onet 7: 55962 55990
40 70	vacuonzation in ten utati anu sui vivai [Keview]. Olitt 7 : 33003-33007.
-+	$\frac{1}{1}$

1	Shumilla, JA: Barchowsky, A. (1999). Inhibition of protein synthesis by chromium(VI) differentially
2	affects expression of urokinase and its receptor in human type II pneumocytes. Toxicol Appl
3	Pharmacol 158: 288-295. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/taap.1999.8704</u>
4	<u>Siegenthaler, U; Laine, A; Polak, L.</u> (1983). Studies on contact sensitivity to chromium in the guinea
5	pig The role of valence in the formation of the antigenic determinant. J Invest Dermatol 80:
7	Singhal VK: Decwal BS: Singh BN (2015) Study of skin and mucous membrane disorders among
2 2	workers engaged in the sodium dichromate manufacturing industry and chrome plating
0 0	industry Indian I Occup Environ Med 10: 129-133 http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/0019-
10	5278.173994
11	Sitalakshmi, R: Saikumar, P: Jevachandran, P: Manoharan, P: Thangavel, P: Thomas, J. (2016), Civil
12	construction work: The unseen contributor to the occupational and global disease burden.
13	Indian I Occup Environ Med 20: 103-108. http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/0019-5278.197542
14	Sivakumar, KK: Stapley, IA: Arosh, IA: Pepling, ME: Burghardt, RC: Banu, SK. (2014). Prenatal
15	exposure to chromium induces early reproductive senescence by increasing germ cell
16	anontosis and advancing germ cell cyst breakdown in the F1 offspring Dev Biol 388, 22-34
17	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.vdbio.2014.02.003
18	Smith AH. (2008). Hexavalent chromium, vellow water, and cancer: a convoluted saga [comment]
19	[Letter]. Enidemiology 19: 24-26.
20	Smith, DB: Cannon, WF: Woodruff, LG: Solano, F: Kilburn, IE: Fey, DL, (2013), Geochemical and
21	mineralogical data for soils of the conterminous United States: U.S. Geological Survey data
22	series 801 (pp. 19). (Data Series 801). Reston, VA: U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S.
23	Geological Survey, http://pubs.usgs.gov/ds/801/
24	Smith, MT: Guyton, KZ: Gibbons, CF: Fritz, IM: Portier, CI: Rusyn, I: DeMarini, DM: Caldwell, IC:
25	Kavlock, RJ; Lambert, PF; Hecht, SS; Bucher, JR; Stewart, BW; Baan, RA; Cogliano, VJ; Straif,
26	K. (2016). Key characteristics of carcinogens as a basis for organizing data on mechanisms
27	of carcinogenesis [Review]. Environ Health Perspect 124: 713-721.
28	http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1509912
29	Snow, ET; Xu, LS. (1991). Chromium(III) bound to DNA templates promotes increased polymerase
30	processivity and decreased fidelity during replication in vitro. Biochemistry 30: 11238-
31	11245.
32	Snyder, CA; Udasin, I; Waterman, SJ; Toioli, E; Gochfeld, M. (1996). Reduced IL-6 levels among
33	individuals in Hudson County, New Jersey, an area contaminated with chromium. Arch
34	Environ Health 51: 26-28. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00039896.1996.9935989</u>
35	Snyder, CA; Valle, CD. (1991). Immune function assays as indicators of chromate exposure. Environ
36	Health Perspect 92: 83-86. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.919283</u>
37	Soares, ME: Vieira, E; Bastos, M. (2010). Chromium speciation analysis in bread samples. J Agric
38	Food Chem 58: 1366-1370. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jf903118v</u>
39	<u>Sobaszek, A; Edme, JL; Boulenguez, C; Shirali, P; Mereau, M; Robin, H; Haguenoer, JM.</u> (1998).
40	Respiratory symptoms and pulmonary function among stainless steel welders. J Occup
41	Environ Med 40: 223-229.
42	Song, Y; Zhang, J; Yu, S; Wang, T; Cui, X; Du, X; Jia, G. (2012). Effects of chronic chromium(vi)
43	exposure on blood element homeostasis: an epidemiological study. Metallomics 4: 463-472.
44	http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c2mt20051a
45	Sorahan, T; Burges, DC; Hamilton, L; Harrington, <u>IM</u> . (1998). Lung cancer mortality in
46	nickel/chromium platers, 1946-95. Uccup Environ Med 55: 236-242.
4/	nttp://ax.doi.org/10.1136/oem.55.4.236
48	Soranan, 1; Burges, DUL; Waternouse, JAH. (1987). A mortality study of nickel/chromium platers.
49	Br J Ina Mea 44: 250-258.

1	<u>Soudani, N; Bouaziz, H; Sefi, M; Chtourou, Y; Boudawara, T; Zeghal, N.</u> (2013). Toxic effects of
2	chromium (VI) by maternal ingestion on liver function of female rats and their suckling
3	pups. Environ Toxicol 28: 11-20. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/tox.20692</u>
4	<u>Soudani, N; Ibtissem Ben Amara, N; Troudi, A; Bouaziz, H; Boudawara, T; Zeghal, N. (2011a).</u>
5	Oxidative stress induced by chromium (VI) in bone of suckling rats. Toxicol Ind Health 27:
6	724-734. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0748233710395992</u>
7	Soudani, N; Sefi, M; Bouaziz, H; Chtourou, Y; Boudawara, T; Zeghal, N. (2011b). Nephrotoxicity
8	induced by chromium (VI) in adult rats and their progeny. Hum Exp Toxicol 30: 1233-1245.
9	http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0960327110387454
10	Spiteri, NJ. (1982). Circadian patterning of feeding, drinking and activity during diurnal food access
11	in rats. Physiol Behav 28: 139-147. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0031-9384(82)90115-9</u>
12	Squier, CA; Kremer, MJ. (2001). Biology of oral mucosa and esophagus [Review]. J Natl Cancer Inst
13	Monogr 29: 7-15.
14	Standeven, AM: Wetterhahn, KE. (1991). Ascorbate is the principal reductant of chromium (VI) in
15	rat liver and kidney ultrafiltrates. Carcinogenesis 12: 1733-1737.
16	http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/carcin/12.9.1733
17	Standeven, AM; Wetterhahn, KE. (1992). Ascorbate is the principal reductant of chromium(VI) in
18	rat lung ultrafiltrates and cytosols, and mediates chromium-DNA binding in vitro.
19	Carcinogenesis 13: 1319-1324. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/carcin/13.8.1319</u>
20	Stanley, JA; Lee, J; Nithy, TK; Arosh, JA; Burghardt, RC; Banu, SK. (2011). Chromium-VI arrests cell
21	cycle and decreases granulosa cell proliferation by down-regulating cyclin-dependent
22	kinases (CDK) and cyclins and up-regulating CDK-inhibitors. Reprod Toxicol 32: 112-123.
23	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2011.05.007
24	<u>Stanley, JA; Sivakumar, KK; Arosh, JA; Burghardt, RC; Banu, SK.</u> (2014). Edaravone mitigates
25	hexavalent chromium-induced oxidative stress and depletion of antioxidant enzymes while
26	estrogen restores antioxidant enzymes in the rat ovary in F1 offspring. Biol Reprod 91: 12.
27	http://dx.doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.113.113332
28	<u>Stanley, JA; Sivakumar, KK; Nithy, TK; Arosh, JA; Hoyer, PB; Burghardt, RC; Banu, SK.</u> (2013).
29	Postnatal exposure to chromium through mother's milk accelerates follicular atresia in F1
30	offspring through increased oxidative stress and depletion of antioxidant enzymes. Free
31	Radic Biol Med 61: 179-196. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2013.02.006</u>
32	Stearns, DM. (2000). Is chromium a trace essential metal? [Review]. BioFactors 11: 149-162.
33	http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/biof.5520110301
34	<u>Stearns, DM; Kennedy, LJ; Courtney, KD; Giangrande, PH; Phieffer, LS; Wetterhahn, KE.</u> (1995).
35	Reduction of chromium(VI) by ascorbate leads to chromium-DNA binding and DNA strand
36	breaks in vitro. Biochemistry 34: 910-919. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bi00003a025
37	Stearns, DM; Wetterhahn, KE. (1994). Reaction of chromium(VI) with ascorbate produces
38	chromium(V), chromium(IV), and carbon-based radicals. Chem Res Toxicol 7: 219-230.
39	http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/tx00038a016
40	Stearns, DM; Wetterhahn, KE. (1997). Intermediates Produced in the Reaction of Chromium(VI)
41	with Denydroascorbate Cause Single-Strand Breaks in Plasmid DNA. Chem Res Toxicol 10:
4Z	2/1-2/8. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/tx9601521</u> Steinbeff, D. Sh.C. C. Hetfield, CK, Michaeld, C. Canaira equivity at description of the second structure of the
43	Steinnon, D; Snc, G; Hatneid, GK; Monr, U. (1986). Carcinogenicity study with sodium dichromate in
44 45	rats. Exp Toxicol Pathol 30: 129-141. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/50232-1513(86)80085-8</u>
45 16	<u>Stella, M; Molitalul, A; ROSSI, K; ROSSI, G; LEVIS, AG.</u> (1982). Glastogenic effects of chromium on human lumphocytos in vitro and in vivo. Mutat Des Const Tovicel 101, 151–164
40 17	human lymphocytes in vito and in vivo. Mutat Kes Genet Toxicol 101: 151-104. http://dv.doi.org/10.1016/0165_1219(92)00005_2
47 78	<u>IIIIII.//UX.UUI.UIZ/IU.IUIU/UIU5-1210[02]70005-2</u> Storn AH: Vu CH: Black K: Lin L: Liov DI: Cochfold M: Fan 7H (2010) Hovevelont chromium in
40 70	bouse dust-a comparison between an area with historic contamination from chromate
- , ,	nouse austa companison between an area with historie containination noni chi on childle

1	production and background locations. Sci Total Environ 408: 4993-4998.
2	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.07.035</u>
3	Stift, A: Friedl, J: Laengle, F. (1998). Liver transplantation for potassium dichromate poisoning
4	[Letter]. N Engl J Med 338: 766-767. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199803123381115</u>
5	<u>Stift, A; Friedl, J; Längle, F; Berlakovich, G; Steininger, R; Mühlbacher, F.</u> (2000). Successful
6	treatment of a patient suffering from severe acute potassium dichromate poisoning with
7	liver transplantation. Transplantation 69: 2454-2455.
8	<u>Stout, MD; Nyska, A; Collins, BJ; Witt, KL; Kissling, GE; Malarkey, DE; Hooth, MJ.</u> (2009). Chronic
9	toxicity and carcinogenicity studies of chromium picolinate monohydrate administered in
10	feed to F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice for 2 years. Food Chem Toxicol 47: 729-733.
11	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2009.01.006</u>
12	<u>Subramanian, S; Rajendiran, G; Sekhar, P; Gowri, C; Govindarajulu, P; Aruldhas, MM.</u> (2006).
13	Reproductive toxicity of chromium in adult bonnet monkeys (Macaca radiata Geoffrey).
14	Reversible oxidative stress in the semen. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 215: 237-249.
15	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2006.03.004</u>
16	Sudha, S; Kripa, SK; Shibily, P; Joseph, S; Balachandar, V. (2011). Biomonitoring of genotoxic effects
1/	among shielded manual metal arc welders. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 12: 1041-1044.
18	Sugaen, KD; Campo, CK; Martin, BD. (2001). Direct oxidation of guanine and 7,8-dinydro-8-
19	oxoguanine in DNA by a nign-valent chromium complex: a possible mechanism for
20	Chromate genotoxicity. Chem Res Toxicol 14: 1315-1322.
21	suggen, KD; Stearns, DM. (2000). The role of chromium(V) in the mechanism of chromate-induced
22 22	Sugden KD: Wetterhahn KE (1997) Direct and hydrogen perovide induced chromium(V)
23 74	ovidation of deoxyribose in single-stranded and double-stranded calf thymus DNA Chem
25	Res Toxicol 10: 1397-1406 http://dx doi.org/10.1021/tx970135r
26	Sub M: Thompson CM: Kirman CR: Carakostas MC: Haws LC: Harris MA: Proctor DM (2014)
27	High concentrations of hexavalent chromium in drinking water alter iron homeostasis in
28	F344 rats and B6C3F1 mice. Food Chem Toxicol 65: 381-388.
29	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2014.01.009
30	Suh, M; Wikoff, D; Lipworth, L; Goodman, M; Fitch, S; Mittal, L; Ring, C; Proctor, D. (2019).
31	Hexavalent chromium and stomach cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Rev
32	Toxicol 49: 140-159. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10408444.2019.1578730
33	Suzuki, Y. (1990). Synergism of ascorbic acid and glutathione in the reduction of hexavalent
34	chromium in vitro. Ind Health 28: 9-19.
35	Suzuki, Y; Fukuda, K. (1990). Reduction of hexavalent chromium by ascorbic acid and glutathione
36	with special reference to the rat lung. Arch Toxicol 64: 169-176.
37	http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02010721
38	Taioli, E; Zhitkovich, A; Kinney, P; Udasin, I; Toniolo, P; Costa, M. (1995). Increased DNA-protein
39	crosslinks in lymphocytes of residents living in chromium-contaminated areas. Biol Trace
40	Elem Res 50: 175-180. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02785408</u>
41	<u>Iakanasni, Y; Kondo, K; Hirose, I; Nakagawa, H; Isuyugucni, M; Hasnimoto, M; Sano, I; Ucnial, A;</u>
42	Monden, Y. (2005). Microsatellite instability and protein expression of the DNA mismatch
43	150 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mo.20072
44 15	Talattof A: Amidon CL (2019) Pulso packet stochastic model for gastric emptying in the fasted
45 16	<u>I alattol, A, Alinuoli, GL.</u> (2010). Puise packet stochastic inouer for gastric emptying in the fasted
40 47	http://dx doi org/10/1021/acs molpharmaceut 7b01077
48	Tanigawa, T: Araki, S: Sata, F: Nakata, A: Araki, T. (1998). Effects of smoking aromatic amines and
49	chromates on CD4+ and CD8+ T lymphocytes in male workers [Comment]. Environ Res 78:
50	59-63. http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/enrs.1997.3827

<u>Taniguchi, K; Karin, M.</u> (2018). NF-κB, inflammation, immunity and cancer: coming of age. Nat Rev
Immunol309-324. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nri.2017.142</u>
Tarrant, JM. (2010). Blood cytokines as biomarkers of in vivo toxicity in preclinical safety
assessment: Considerations for their use [Review]. Toxicol Sci 117: 4-16.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfq134
TCEQ (Texas Commission on Environmental Quality). (2014). Development support document for
hexavalent chromium (Particulate compounds). Austin, TX.
<u>Than, BL; Linnekamp, JF; Starr, TK; Largaespada, DA; Rod, A; Zhang, Y; Bruner, V; Abrahante, J;</u>
<u>Schumann, A; Luczak, T; Walter; Niemczyk, A; O'Sullivan, MG; Medema, JP; Fijneman, RJ;</u>
<u>Meijer, GA; Van Den Broek, E; Hodges, CA; Scott, PM; Vermeulen, L; Cormier, RT.</u> (2016).
CFTR is a tumor suppressor gene in murine and human intestinal cancer. Oncogene 35:
4179-4187. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/onc.2015.483</u>
Thermo Fisher (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). (2009). Safety data sheet: Potassium dichromate.
<u>Thomann, RV; Snyder, CA; Squibb, KS.</u> (1994). Development of a pharmacokinetic model for
chromium in the rat following subchronic exposure: I. The importance of incorporating
long-term storage compartment. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 128: 189-198.
<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/taap.1994.1197</u>
<u>Thompson, CM; Donahue, DA; Hobbs, C; Costecalde, Y; Franzen, A; Suh, M; Proctor, DM; Harris, MA.</u>
(2020). Exposure to environmentally-relevant concentrations of hexavalent chromium does
not induce ovarian toxicity in mice. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol104729.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2020.104729
<u>Thompson, CM; Fedorov, Y; Brown, DD; Suh, M; Proctor, DM; Kuriakose, L; Haws, LC; Harris, MA.</u>
(2012a). Assessment of Cr(VI)-induced cytotoxicity and genotoxicity using high content
analysis. PLoS ONE 7: e42720. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0042720</u>
<u>Thompson, CM; Kirman, CR; Proctor, DM; Haws, LC; Suh, M; Hays, SM; Hixon, JG; Harris, MA.</u> (2014).
A chronic oral reference dose for hexavalent chromium-induced intestinal cancer. J Appl
Toxicol 34: 525-536. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jat.2907</u>
Inompson, LM; Proctor, DM; Haws, LL; Hebert, LD; Grimes, SD; Snertzer, HG; Kopec, AK; Hixon, JG;
Zacharewski, TR; Harris, MA. (2011). Investigation of the mode of action underlying the
Torrigol Sci 122, 59, 70, http://dv.doi.org/10.1002/torrogi/l/fr164
Thempson CM: Proctor DM: Sub M: Haws LC: Hébert CD: Mann JE: Shortzor HC: Hivon JC:
Harris MA (2012b) Comparison of the effects of hevavalent chromium in the alimentary
canal of F344 rate and B6C3F1 mice following exposure in drinking water: implications for
carcinogenic modes of action. Toxicol Sci 125, 79-90
http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfr280
Thompson CM: Proctor DM: Sub M: Haws LC: Kirman CR: Harris MA (2013) Assessment of the
mode of action underlying development of rodent small intestinal tumors following oral
exposure to hexavalent chromium and relevance to humans [Review]. Crit Rev Toxicol 43:
244-274, http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/10408444.2013.768596
Thompson, CM: Seiter, I: Chappell, MA: Tappero, RV: Proctor, DM: Suh, M: Wolf, IC: Haws, LC: Vitale,
R; Mittal, L; Kirman, CR; Hays, SM; Harris, MA. (2015a). Synchrotron-based imaging of
chromium and γ -H2AX immunostaining in the duodenum following repeated exposure to
Cr(VI) in drinking water. Toxicol Sci 143: 16-25. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfu206
Thompson, CM; Wolf, JC; Elbekai, RH; Paranjpe, MG; Seiter, JM; Chappell, MA; Tappero, RV; Suh, M;
Proctor, DM; Bichteler, A; Haws, LC; Harris, MA. (2015b). Duodenal crypt health following
exposure to Cr(VI): Micronucleus scoring, γ-H2AX immunostaining, and synchrotron X-ray
fluorescence microscopy. Mutat Res Genet Toxicol Environ Mutagen 789-790: 61-66.
<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mrgentox.2015.05.004</u>

1	Thompson, CM; Young, RR; Dinesdurage, H; Suh, M; Harris, MA; Rohr, AC; Proctor, DM. (2017).
2	Assessment of the mutagenic potential of hexavalent chromium in the duodenum of big
3	blue® rats. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 330: 48-52.
4	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2017.07.002</u>
5	<u>Thompson, CM; Young, RR; Suh, M; Dinesdurage, HR; Elbekai, RH; Harris, MA; Rohr, AC; Proctor,</u>
6	<u>DM.</u> (2015c). Assessment of the mutagenic potential of Cr(VI) in the oral mucosa of Big
7	Blue((R)) transgenic F344 rats. Environ Mol Mutagen 56: 621-628.
8	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/em.21952</u>
9	<u>Tian, M; Guo, F; Sun, Y; Zhang, W; Miao, F; Liu, Y; Song, G; Ho, CL; Yu, X; Sun, JZ; Wong, WY.</u> (2014). A
10	fluorescent probe for intracellular cysteine overcoming the interference by glutathione. Org
11	Biomol Chem 12: 6128-6133. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c4ob00382a</u>
12	<u>Tielemans, E; Burdorf, A; Te Velde, ER; Weber, RFA; Van Kooij, RJ; Veulemans, H; Heederik, DJJ.</u>
13	(1999). Occupationally related exposures and reduced semen quality: A case-control study.
14	Fertil Steril 71: 690-696.
15	<u>Toebak, MJ; Pohlmann, PR; Sampat-Sardjoepersad, SC; von Blomberg, BM; Bruynzeel, DP; Scheper,</u>
16	RJ: Rustemeyer, T: Gibbs, S. (2006). CXCL8 secretion by dendritic cells predicts contact
17	allergens from irritants. Toxicol In Vitro 20: 117-124.
18	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2005.06.039
19	Travlos, GS; Mirris, RW; Elwell, MR; Duke, A; Rosenblum, S; Thompson, MB. (1996). Frequency and
20	relationships of clinical chemistry and liver and kidney histopathology findings in 13-week
21	toxicity studies in rats. Toxicology 107: 17-29. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0300-
22	<u>483X(95)03197-N</u>
23	Trivedi, B; Saxena, DK; Murthy, RC; Chandra, SV. (1989). Embryotoxicity and fetotoxicity of orally
24	administered hexavalent chromium in mice. Reprod Toxicol 3: 275-278.
25	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0890-6238(89)90022-1
26	Trzeciak, A; Kowalik, J; Małecka-Panas, E; Drzewoski, J; Wojewódzka, M; Iwaneńko, T; Błasiak, J.
27	(2000). Genotoxicity of chromium in human gastric mucosa cells and peripheral blood
28	lymphocytes evaluated by the single cell gel electrophoresis (comet assay). Med Sci Monit 6:
29	24-29.
30	Tsao, DA: Tseng, WC: Chang, HR. (2011). The expression of RKIP. RhoGDI, galectin, c-Myc and p53
31	in gastrointestinal system of Cr(VI)-exposed rats. I Appl Toxicol 31: 730-740.
32	http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/iat.1621
33	Tsukamoto, T: Mizoshita, T: Tatematsu, M. (2007). Animal models of stomach carcinogenesis
34	[Review] Toxicol Pathol 35: 636-648 http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01926230701420632
35	Tully DB: Collins BI: Overstreet ID: Smith CS: Dinse GF: Mumtaz MM: Chanin BF (2000) Effects
36	of arsenic cadmium chromium and lead on gene expression regulated by a battery of 13
37	different promoters in recombinant HenG2 cells Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 168, 79-90
38	http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/taap.2000.9014
30	Turk II · Parker D (1977) Sensitization with Cr. Ni and Zr salts and allergic type granuloma
<u>40</u>	formation in the guinea nig. I Invest Dermatel 69: 241-245
40 //1	US EPA (IIS Environmental Protection Agency) (1986a) Effect of chloring on chromium
41	<u>O.S. EFA</u> (O.S. Elivirolimental Frotection Agency). (1900a). Effect of chromite on chromitum
42 12	Speciation in tap water [EFA Report]. (EFA/000/M-00/015). Washington, D.C.: 0.5.
43	LIVITOILIEIItal Flotection Agency.
44 45	<u>U.S. EPA</u> (U.S. Elivironmental Protection Agency). (1980b). Guidennes for mutagenicity fisk
45	assessment (pp. 1-17). (EPA/630/R-98/003). Washington, DC: 0.5. Environmental
40	Protection Agency, Risk Assessment Forum. <u>https://www.epa.gov/risk/guidennes-</u>
4/	<u>mutageniCity-risk-assessment</u>
48	U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1988). Recommendations for and documentation
49	of biological values for use in risk assessment [EPA Report]. (EPA600687008). Cincinnati,
50	OH. http://ctpub.epa.gov/ncea/ctm/recordisplay.ctm?deid=34855

1 U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1991). Guidelines for developmental toxicity risk 2 assessment. Fed Reg 56: 63798-63826. 3 U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1994). Methods for derivation of inhalation 4 reference concentrations and application of inhalation dosimetry [EPA Report]. 5 (EPA600890066F). Research Triangle Park, NC. 6 https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/risk/recordisplay.cfm?deid=71993&CFID=51174829&CFTOKE 7 N=25006317 8 U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1996a). Guidelines for reproductive toxicity risk 9 assessment. Fed Reg 61: 56274-56322. U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1996b). Guidelines for reproductive toxicity risk 10 assessment (pp. 1-143). (EPA/630/R-96/009). Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental 11 12 Protection Agency, Risk Assessment Forum. 13 https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2014-14 11/documents/guidelines repro toxicity.pdf 15 U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1998a). Guidelines for neurotoxicity risk 16 assessment [EPA Report] (pp. 1-89). (EPA/630/R-95/001F). Washington, DC: U.S. 17 Environmental Protection Agency, Risk Assessment Forum. http://www.epa.gov/risk/guidelines-neurotoxicity-risk-assessment 18 19 U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1998b). Health effects test guidelines OPPTS 20 870.7800 immunotoxicity. (EPA 712-C-98-351). Washington, DC: Prevention, Pesticides and 21 Toxic Substances, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. http://www.epa.gov/ocspp/pubs/frs/publications/Test Guidelines/series870.htm 22 23 U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2002). A review of the reference dose and 24 reference concentration processes. (EPA630P02002F). Washington, DC. 25 https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2014-12/documents/rfd-final.pdf 26 U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2005a). Guidelines for carcinogen risk assessment [EPA Report]. (EPA630P03001F). Washington, DC. 27 28 https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2013-29 09/documents/cancer guidelines final 3-25-05.pdf 30 U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2005b). Supplemental guidance for assessing susceptibility from early-life exposure to carcinogens [EPA Report]. (EPA/630/R-03/003F). 31 32 Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Risk Assessment Forum. 33 https://www.epa.gov/risk/supplemental-guidance-assessing-susceptibility-early-life-34 exposure-carcinogens 35 U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2006a). 2006 community water system survey -Volume I: Overview [EPA Report]. (EPA 815-R-09-001). Washington, DC: U.S. 36 37 Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water. 38 https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi?Dockey=P1009JJI.txt 39 U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2006b). 2006 community water system survey -40 Volume II: Detailed tables and survey methodology [EPA Report]. (EPA 815-R-09-002). 41 Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water. 42 https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZvPDF.cgi?Dockey=P1009USA.txt 43 U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2006c). Approaches for the application of physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) models and supporting data in risk 44 45 assessment (Final Report) [EPA Report] (pp. 1-123). (EPA/600/R-05/043F). Washington, 46 DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National 47 Center for Environmental Assessment. 48 http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=157668 49 U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2006d). A framework for assessing health risk of 50 environmental exposures to children (pp. 1-145). (EPA/600/R-05/093F). Washington, DC:

1	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Center
2	for Environmental Assessment.
3	http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=158363
4	U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2009). Risk assessment guidance for superfund
5	volume I: Human health evaluation manual (Part F, supplemental guidance for inhalation
6	risk assessment): Final [EPA Report]. (EPA/540/-R-070/002). Washington, DC.
7	https://www.epa.gov/risk/risk-assessment-guidance-superfund-rags-part-f
8	U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2011a). Exposure factors handbook: 2011
9	edition [EPA Report]. (EPA/600/R-090/052F). Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental
10	Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Center for Environmental
11	Assessment, http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=236252
12	U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency), (2011b), (Fourth) Five-year review report for
13	United Chrome Products ORD 009043001 Corvallis, Benton County, Oregon Draft August
14	2011 revision 5. Seattle, WA: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10.
15	U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency), (2011c), Recommended use of body weight 3/4
16	as the default method in derivation of the oral reference dose. (EPA100R110001).
17	Washington, DC, https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2013-
18	09/documents/recommended-use-of-bw34.pdf
19	U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency), (2011d), Toxicological review of
20	trichloroethylene (CAS No. 79-01-6) in support of summary Information on the Integrated
21	Risk Information System (IRIS) [EPA Report]. (EPA635R09011F). Washington, DC.
22	https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZvPURL.cgi?Dockev=P100CB6V.txt
23	U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency), (2012a), Benchmark Dose Software (BMDS),
24	Version 2.2. Retrieved from http://www.epa.gov/NCEA/bmds/index.html
25	U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2012b). Benchmark dose technical guidance
26	[EPA Report]. (EPA100R12001). Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,
27	Risk Assessment Forum. https://www.epa.gov/risk/benchmark-dose-technical-guidance
28	U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2012c). The third Unregulated Contaminant
29	Monitoring Rule (UCMR 3). Presentation presented at US EPA Webinar, September, 2012,
30	OGWDW, SRMD Technical Support Center Cincinnati Ohio.
31	U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2012d). Toxicological review of
32	tetrachloroethylene (Perchloroethylene) (CASRN 127-18-4) in support of summary
33	information on the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) [EPA Report].
34	(EPA635R08011F). Washington, DC: National Center for Environmental Assessment.
35	https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/iris/iris_documents/documents/toxreviews/0106tr.pdf
36	U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2014a). Discharge monitoring report (DMR)
37	pollutant loading tool. Washington, DC. Retrieved from
38	https://cfpub.epa.gov/dmr/index.cfm
39	U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2014b). Preliminary materials for the Integrated
40	Risk Information System (IRIS) toxicological review of hexavalent chromium part 1:
41	Experimental animal studies [CASRN) 18540-29-9] [EPA Report]. (EPA/635/R-14/094).
42	Research Triangle Park, NC. https://www.epa.gov/iris/iris-bimonthly-public-meeting-jun-
43	2014
44	U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2014c). Preliminary materials for the Integrated
45	Risk Information System (IRIS) toxicological review of hexavalent chromium part 2: Human.
46	toxicokinetic, and mechanistic Studies [CASRN] 18540-29-9] [EPA Report]. (EPA/635/R-
47	14/218). Research Triangle Park, NC. <u>https://www.epa.gov/iris/iris-bimonthly-public-</u>
48	meeting-oct-2014

1	U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2014d). The third Unregulated Contaminant
2	Monitoring Rule (UCMR 3) occurrence data. Retrieved from
3	http://water.epa.gov/lawsregs/rulesregs/sdwa/ucmr/data.cfm#ucmr2013
4	U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2014e). Toxicological review of libby amphibole
5	asbestos: In support of summary information on the Integrated Risk Information System
6	(IRIS) [EPA Report]. (EPA/635/R-11/002F). Washington, DC: Integrated Risk Information
7	System, National Center for Environmental Assessment, Office of Research and
8	Development.
9	https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/iris/iris_documents/documents/toxreviews/1026tr.pdf
10	<u>U.S. EPA</u> (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2016a). 2014 National Emissions Inventory
11	(NEI) data (Version 2). Washington, DC. Retrieved from <u>https://www.epa.gov/air-</u>
12	emissions-inventories/2014-national-emissions-inventory-nei-data
13	U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2016b). EPA's air monitoring archive for
14	hazardous air pollutants [Database]. Retrieved from
15	https://www3.epa.gov/ttn/amtic/toxdat.html#data
16	U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2016c). Evaluation of the inhalation
1/	carcinogenicity of ethylene oxide (CASRN 75-21-8): In support of summary information on
18	the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) [EPA Report]. (EPA/635/R-16/350Fa).
19	Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and
20	Development, National Center for Environmental Assessment.
21	<u>nttps://ctpub.epa.gov/ncea/iris/iris_documents/documents/toxreviews/1025tr.pdf</u>
22 22	U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (20160). US EPA's report on the environment (ROF). Available online at https://cfpub.ong.gov/reg/index.cfm
25	(ROE). Available offine at <u>intps://cipub.epa.gov/roe/index.cim</u>
24	<u>U.S. EFA</u> (U.S. Eliviroliniental Frotection Agency). (2016). Toxic Release inventory (TRI). Retrieved
25	US EDA (US Environmental Protection Agency) (2010) Systematic Review Protocol for the
20	<u>U.S. EI A</u> (U.S. Elivitolimental i lotection Agency). (2017). Systematic Review i lotocol for the Heyavalent Chromium IRIS Assessment (Preliminary Assessment Materials) [FPA Report]
27	(FPA /635/R-18/155)
29	US EPA (US Environmental Protection Agency) (2020) ORD staff handbook for developing IRIS
30	assessments (public comment draft) [EPA Report]. (EPA/600/R-20/137). Washington, DC:
31	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Office of Research and Development. Center for
32	Public Health and Environmental Assessment.
33	https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/iris_drafts/recordisplay.cfm?deid=350086
34	U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2021a). National Emissions Inventory (NEI).
35	Available online at <u>https://www.epa.gov/air-emissions-inventories/national-emissions-</u>
36	inventory-nei (accessed February 10, 2021).
37	U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2021b). TRI Toxics Tracker. Available online at
38	https://edap.epa.gov/public/extensions/TRIToxicsTracker/TRIToxicsTracker.html
39	Urbano, AM; Ferreira, LMR; Alpoim, MC. (2012). Molecular and cellular mechanisms of hexavalent
40	chromium-induced lung cancer: An updated perspective [Review]. Curr Drug Metab 13:
41	284-305.
42	USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). (1995). Chromium life cycle study. (IC 9411). Washington, D.C.: U.S.
43	Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines.
44	<u>Vaglenov, A; Nosko, M; Georgieva, R; Carbonell, E; Creus, A; Marcos, R.</u> (1999). Genotoxicity and
45	radioresistance in electroplating workers exposed to chromium. Mutat Res 446: 23-34.
46	Valentine, CC; Young, RR; Fielden, MR; Kulkarni, R; Williams, LN; Li, T; Minocherhomji, S; Salk, JJ.
47	(2020). Direct quantification of in vivo mutagenesis and carcinogenesis using duplex
48	sequencing. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 117: 33414-33425.
49	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2013724117</u>

1	<u>van Heerden, PV; Jenkins, IR; Woods, WP; Rossi, E; Cameron, PD.</u> (1994). Death by tanninga case
2	of fatal basic chromium sulphate poisoning [Review]. Intensive Care Med 20: 145-147.
3	van Oud Alblas, AB; van Furth, R. (1979). Origin, Kinetics, and characteristics of pulmonary
4	macrophages in the normal steady state. J Exp Med 149: 1504-1518.
5	Vandamme, D; Herrero, A; Al-Mulla, F; Kolch, W. (2014). Regulation of the MAPK pathway by Raf
6	kinase inhibitory protein [Review]. Crit Rev Oncog 19: 405–415.
7	http://dx.doi.org/10.1615/critrevoncog.2014011922
8	Vanhauwaert, A; Vanparys, P; Kirsch-Volders, M. (2001). The in vivo gut micronucleus test detects
9	clastogens and aneugens given by gavage. Mutagenesis 16: 39-50.
10	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mutage/16.1.39</u>
11	<u>Vengosh, A; Coyte, R; Karr, J; Harkness, JS; Kondash, AJ; Ruhl, LS; Merola, RB; Dywer, GS. (2016).</u>
12	Origin of hexavalent chromium in drinking water wells from the piedmont aquifers of North
13	Carolina. Environ Sci Technol Lett 3: 409-414.
14	http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.6b00342
15	<u>Verschoor, MA; Bragt, PC; Herber, RFM; Zielhuis, RL; Zwennis, WCM.</u> (1988). Renal function of
16	chrome-plating workers and welders. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 60: 67-70.
17	http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00409381
18	<u>Videla, LA; Rodrigo, R; Orellana, M; Fernandez, V; Tapia, G; Quiñones, L; Varela, N; Contreras, J;</u>
19	<u>Lazarte, R; Csendes, A; Rojas, J; Maluenda, F; Burdiles, P; Diaz, JC; Smok, G; Thielemann, L;</u>
20	Poniachik, J. (2004). Oxidative stress-related parameters in the liver of non-alcoholic fatty
21	liver disease patients. Clin Sci (Lond) 106: 261-268.
22	http://dx.doi.org/10.1042/CS20030285
23	Viechtbauer, W. (2010). Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor package. J Stat Softw 36: 1-
24	
25	<u>Vignani, EC; Zurio, N. (1955). Erfanrungen der Clinica del Lavoro mit einigen maximalen</u>
20	Arbeitsplatzkonzentrationen (MAK) von industrieginen. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 13:
27 20	520-554. <u>IIII.p://ux.u0i.01g/10.100//DF00512/50</u> Vincent I. (2012). Chromium: is it assential pharmacologically relevant or toxic? In Interrolations
20 20	<u>wincent, J.</u> (2013). Chroninum: Is it essential, pharmacologically relevant, or toxic: in interrelations
30	http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-7500-8_6
31	Vincent IB (2017) New evidence against chromium as an essential trace element I Nutr 147.
32	2212-2219. http://dx.doi.org/10.3945/in.117.255901
33	Voitkun, V: Zhitkovich, A: Costa, M. (1998). Cr(III)-mediated crosslinks of glutathione or amino
34	acids to the DNA phosphate backbone are mutagenic in human cells. Nucleic Acids Res 26:
35	2024-2030.
36	Vreeburg, KI; de Groot, K; van Hoogstraten, IM; von Blomberg, BM; Scheper, RJ. (1991). Successful
37	induction of allergic contact dermatitis to mercury and chromium in mice. International
38	Arch Allergy Appl Immunol 96: 179-183. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000235491</u>
39	<u>Wang, BJ; Guo, YL; Chang, HY; Sheu, HM; Pan, MH; Lee, YH; Wang, YJ.</u> (2010a). N-acetylcysteine
40	inhibits chromium hypersensitivity in coadjuvant chromium-sensitized albino guinea pigs
41	by suppressing the effects of reactive oxygen species. Exp Dermatol 19: e191-e200.
42	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0625.2009.01045.x</u>
43	<u>Wang, BJ; Sheu, HM; Guo, YL; Lee, YH; Lai, CS; Pan, MH; Wang, YJ.</u> (2010b). Hexavalent chromium
44	induced ROS formation, Akt, NF-kappaB, and MAPK activation, and TNF-alpha and IL-1alpha
45	production in keratinocytes. Toxicol Lett 198: 216-224.
46	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2010.06.024</u>
47	Wang, L; Qiu, JG; He, J; Liu, WJ; Ge, X; Zhou, FM; Huang, YX; Jiang, BH; Liu, LZ. (2019). Suppression of
48	miR-143 contributes to overexpression of IL-6, HIF-1 α and NF- κ B p65 in Cr(VI)-induced
49	human exposure and tumor growth. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 378: 114603.
50	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2019.114603</u>

1	Wang, S; Chen, F; Zhang, Z; Jiang, BH; Jia, L; Shi, X. (2004). NF-kappaB prevents cells from undergoing (r(VI)) induced anontoris. Mol Coll Biochem 255: 129-127
2	Wang TC, Jia C, Song V, anS, Zhang J, it Ma V, an Huit Fong W, aiYuat Jan J, anZ, Zhang M, Zhang
З Д	Wang, TC, Jia, G, Song, T, ans, Zhang, J, I, Ma, T, annui, Feng, W, en ue, Leu, L, anz, zhang, N, zhou, IW en Van L ei 7hen S en Oian O in (2012a) Effects of chronic chromate exposure on
5	human serum prostate specific antigen: A cross sectional study. Ind Health 50: 95-102
6	http://dx.doi.org/10.2486/indbealth MS1278
7	Wang TC Song YS Wang H Zhang I Yu SE Gu YE Chen T Wang Y Shen HO lia G (2012h)
, 8	Oxidative DNA damage and global DNA hypomethylation are related to folate deficiency in
9	chromate manufacturing workers I Hazard Mater 213-214. 440-446
10	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.ihazmat.2012.02.024
11	Wang, X: Oin, O: Xu, X: Xu, I: Wang, I: Zhou, I: Huang, S: Zhai, W: Zhou, H: Chen, J. (1994), Chromium-
12	Induced Early Changes in Renal Function among Ferrochromium-Producing Workers.
13	Toxicology 90: 93-101. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0300-483X(94)90208-9
14	Wang, XF; Lou, XM; Shen, Y; Xing, ML; Xu, LH. (2010c). Apoptotic-related protein changes induced
15	by hexavalent chromium in mice liver. Environ Toxicol 25: 77-82.
16	http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/tox.20478
17	Wang, XF; Xing, ML; Shen, Y; Zhu, X; Xu, LH. (2006). Oral administration of Cr(VI) induced oxidative
18	stress, DNA damage and apoptotic cell death in mice. Toxicology 228: 16-23.
19	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2006.08.005</u>
20	Wang, Y; Wu, W; Yao, C; Lou, J; Chen, R; Jin, L; Wu, N; Gao, M; Song, P; Tan, Y; Liu, K. (2015). Elevated
21	tissue Cr levels, increased plasma oxidative markers, and global hypomethylation of blood
22	DNA in male Sprague-Dawley rats exposed to potassium dichromate in drinking water.
23	Environ Toxicol. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/tox.22117</u>
24	Wang, Z; Peng, H; Zhang, R; Jiang, X; Chen, S; Guo, P; Xiao, Y; Zeng, X; Wang, Q; Aschner, M; Chou,
25	WC; Li, D; Chen, W; Chen, L. (In Press) Assessment of intestinal injury of hexavalent
26	chromium using a modified in vitro gastrointestinal digestion model. Toxicol Appl
27	Pharmacol. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2022.115880</u>
28	<u>wang, Z; Yang, C.</u> (2019). Metal carcinogen exposure induces cancer stem cell-like property through
29	epigenetic reprograming: A novel mechanism of metal carcinogenesis [Review]. Semin
50 21	Ward EW: Costor ME (1997) Costraintectinal pH massurement in rate: Influence of the microbial
3J 2T	flora diet and fasting Lab Anim 21: 216-222
22 22	http://dv.doi.org/10.1258/002367787781268693
33	Waters AM: Der CL (2018) KRAS: The critical driver and theraneutic target for nancreatic cancer
35	[Review] Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 8: a031435
36	http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a031435
37	Watford, S: Ly Pham, L: Wignall, I: Shin, R: Martin, MT: Friedman, KP. (2019), ToxRefDB version 2.0:
38	Improved utility for predictive and retrospective toxicology analyses. Reprod Toxicol 89:
39	145-158. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.reprotox.2019.07.012
40	Wear, HM; Mcpike, MJ; Watanabe, KH. (2016). From primordial germ cells to primordial follicles: A
41	review and visual representation of early ovarian development in mice [Review]. Journal of
42	Ovarian Research 9: 36. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13048-016-0246-7</u>
43	Weaver, C; Murphy, K. (2016). Janeway's Immunobiology (9 ed.). New York, NY: Garland Science.
44	Welling, R; Beaumont, JJ; Petersen, SJ; Alexeeff, GV; Steinmaus, C. (2015). Chromium VI and stomach
45	cancer: a meta-analysis of the current epidemiological evidence [Review]. Occup Environ
46	Med 72: 151-159. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2014-102178</u>
47	Werfel, U; Langen, V; Eickhoff, I; Schoonbrood, J; Vahrenholz, C; Brauksiepe, A; Popp, W; Norpoth, K.
48	(1998). Elevated DNA single-strand breakage frequencies in lymphocytes of welders
49	exposed to chromium and nickel. Carcinogenesis 19: 413-418.

1	Westbrook, A, yaM; Szakmary, A; Schiestl, RH. (2010). Mechanisms of intestinal inflammation and
2	development of associated cancers: Lessons learned from mouse models [Review]. Mutat
3	Res Rev Mutat Res 705: 40-59. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2010.03.001</u>
4	Wetterhahn, KE; Hamilton, JW; Aiyar, J; Borges, KM; Floyd, R. (1989). Mechanism of chromium(VI)
5	carcinogenesis: Reactive intermediates and effect on gene expression [Review]. Biol Trace
6	Elem Res 21: 405-411. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02917282</u>
7	Whalan, JE. (2000). A guide to clinical pathology in animals. Whalan, JE.
8	<u>Whalan, JE.</u> (2015). Clinical Chemistry. 67-94. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-15853-2_6</u>
9	WHO (World Health Organization). (2003). Chromium in drinking water.
10	(WHO/SDE/WSH/03.04/04). Geneva, Switzerland.
11	http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/dwq/chemicals/chromium.pdf
12	WHO (World Health Organization). (2010). WHO laboratory manual for the examination and
13	processing of human semen. In WHO laboratory manual for the examination and processing
14	of human semen (5th ed.). Geneva, Switzerland.
15	Wiegand, HJ; Ottenwälder, H; Bolt, HM. (1985). Fast uptake kinetics in vitro of 51Cr (VI) by red
16	blood cells of man and rat. Arch Toxicol 57: 31-34. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00286571</u>
17	Wiegand, HJ; Ottenwälder, H; Bolt, HM. (1986). Disposition of a soluble chromate in the isolated
18	perfused rat liver. Xenobiotica 16: 839-844.
19	http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/00498258609038965
20	Williams, AB; Schumacher, B. (2016). p53 in the DNA-Damage-Repair Process [Review]. Cold Spring
21	Harb Perspect Med 6. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a026070</u>
22	<u>Wisconsin DHS</u> (Wisconsin Department of Health Services). (2010). Unromium. Available online at
23	<u>nttp://www.ans.wisconsin.gov/en/CnemFS/is/cnromium.ntm</u>
24	wise, 55; Aboueissa, AE; Martino, J; Wise, JP. (2018). Hexavalent chromium-induced chromosome
25	repair deficient phonetyme. Cancer Dec 79: 4202 4214 http://dv.doi.org/10.1159/0009
20	5472 CAN_18-0531
27	Wise SS: Wise IP Sr. (2010) Aneunloidy as an early mechanistic event in metal carcinogenesis
20	[Review] Biochem Soc Trans 38: 1650-1654 http://dx.doi.org/10.1042/BST0381650
30	Witt KL: Stout MD: Herbert RA: Travlos GS: Kissling GE: Collins BI: Hooth MI (2013)
31	Mechanistic Insights from the NTP Studies of Chromium, Toxicol Pathol 41: 326-342.
32	http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0192623312469856
33	Wolford, ST: Schroer, RA: Gohs, FX: Gallo, PP: Brodeck, M: Falk, HB: Ruhren, R. (1986), Reference
34	range data base for serum chemistry and hematology values in laboratory animals. I Toxicol
35	Environ Health A 18: 161-188. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15287398609530859
36	Wong, V; Armknecht, S; Zhitkovich, A. (2012). Metabolism of Cr(VI) by ascorbate but not
37	glutathione is a low oxidant-generating process. 26: 192-196.
38	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtemb.2012.04.016
39	<u>Wu, FY; Tsai, FJ; Kuo, HW; Tsai, CH; Wu, WY; Wang, RY; Lai, JS.</u> (2000). Cytogenetic study of workers
40	exposed to chromium compounds. Mutat Res Genet Toxicol Environ Mutagen 464: 289-296.
41	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(99)00206-5
42	Wu, FY; Wu, WY; Kuo, HW; Liu, CS; Wang, RY; Lai, JS. (2001). Effect of genotoxic exposure to
43	chromium among electroplating workers in Taiwan. Sci Total Environ 279: 21-28.
44	Wultsch, G; Nersesyan, A; Kundi, M; Jakse, R; Beham, A; Wagner, KH; Knasmueller, S. (2014). The
45	sensitivity of biomarkers for genotoxicity and acute cytotoxicity in nasal and buccal cells of
46	welders. Int J Hyg Environ Health 217: 492-498.
47	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2013.09.005
48	Wultsch, G; Nersesyan, A; Kundi, M; Mišík, M; Setayesh, T; Waldherr, M; Vodicka, P; Vodickova, L;
49	Knasmüller, S. (2017). Genotoxic and cytotoxic effects in exfoliated buccal and nasal cells of

1	chromium and cobalt exposed electroplaters. J Toxicol Environ Health A 80: 1-10.
2	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1528/394.2017.1286918</u>
3	<u>Xia, W; Hu, J; Zhang, B; Li, Y; Wise, JP; Bassig, BA; Zhou, A; Savitz, DA; Xiong, C; Zhao, J; Du, X; Zhou,</u>
4	<u>Y; Pan, X; Yang, J; Wu, C; Jiang, M; Peng, Y; Qian, Z; Zheng, T; Xu, S. (</u> 2016). A case-control
5	study of maternal exposure to chromium and infant low birth weight in China.
6	Chemosphere 144: 1484-1489. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.10.006</u>
7	<u>Xiao, F; Feng, X; Zeng, M; Guan, L; Hu, Q; Zhong, C.</u> (2012a). Hexavalent chromium induces energy
8	metabolism disturbance and p53-dependent cell cycle arrest via reactive oxygen species in
9	L-02 hepatocytes. Mol Cell Biochem 371: 65-76. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11010-012-</u>
10	<u>1423-7</u>
11	Xiao, F; Li, Y; Dai, L; Deng, Y; Zou, Y; Li, P; Yang, Y; Zhong, C. (2012b). Hexavalent chromium targets
12	mitochondrial respiratory chain complex I to induce reactive oxygen species-dependent
13	caspase-3 activation in L-02 hepatocytes. Int J Mol Med 30: 629-635.
14	http://dx.doi.org/10.3892/ijmm.2012.1031
15	Xiao, F; Li, Y; Luo, L; Xie, Y; Zeng, M; Wang, A; Chen, H; Zhong, C. (2014). Role of mitochondrial
16	electron transport chain dysfunction in Cr(VI)-induced cytotoxicity in L-02 hepatocytes. Cell
17	Physiol Biochem 33: 1013-1025. http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000358672
18	Xiao, Y; Zeng, M; Yin, L; Li, N; Xiao, F. (2019). Clusterin increases mitochondrial respiratory chain
19	complex I activity and protects against hexavalent chromium-induced cytotoxicity in L-02
20	hepatocytes. Toxicology Research 8: 15-24. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c8tx00231b</u>
21	Xiaohua, L; Yanshuang, S; Li, W; Yuhui, L; Ji, Z; Yanhui, M; Yun, W; Wenjun, M; Lei, Y; Guang, J.
22	(2012). Evaluation of the correlation between genetic damage and occupational chromate
23	exposure through BNMN frequencies. J Occup Environ Med 54: 166-170.
24	http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0b013e31823d86b4
25	Xie, Y; Xiao, F; Luo, L; Zhong, C. (2014). Activation of autophagy protects against ROS-mediated
26	mitochondria-dependent apoptosis in L-02 hepatocytes induced by Cr(VI). Cell Physiol
27	Biochem 33: 705-716. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000358646</u>
28	Xu, J; Zhao, M; Pei, L; Zhang, R; Liu, X; Wei, L; Yang, M; Xu, Q. (2018). Oxidative stress and DNA
29	damage in a long-term hexavalent chromium-exposed population in North China: a cross-
30	sectional study. BMJ Open 8: e021470. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-021470</u>
31	Yamate, J: Izawa, T: Kuwamura, M. (2016). Histopathological analysis of rat hepatotoxicity based on
32	macrophage functions: In particular, an analysis for thioacetamide-induced hepatic lesions
33	[Review]. Food Saf 4: 61-73. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.14252/foodsafetyfscj.2016012</u>
34	<u>Yang, F; Zhao, L; Mei, D; Jiang, L; Geng, C; Li, Q; Yao, X; Liu, Y; Kong, Y; Cao, J.</u> (2017). HMGA2 plays
35	an important role in Cr (VI)-induced autophagy. Int J Cancer.
36	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ijc.30789</u>
37	Yang, L. (2006). Incidence and mortality of gastric cancer in China [Review]. World J Gastroenterol
38	12: 17-20. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v12.i1.17</u>
39	Yazar, S; Yildirim, IY. (2018). Oxidative DNA damage in electroplaters co-exposed to chromium and
40	nickel. Fresen Environ Bull 27: 6831-6836.
41	Ye, J; Zhang, X; Young, HA; Mao, Y; Shi, X. (1995). Chromium(VI)-induced nuclear factor-kappa B
42	activation in intact cells via free radical reactions. Carcinogenesis 16: 2401-2405.
43	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/carcin/16.10.2401</u>
44	<u>Yi, X; Xiao, F; Zhong, X; Duan, Y; Liu, K; Zhong, C.</u> (2017). A Ca(2+) chelator ameliorates chromium
45	(VI)-induced hepatocyte L-02 injury via down-regulation of voltage-Dependent anion
46	channel 1 (VDAC1) expression. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol 49: 27-33.
47	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2016.11.007</u>
48	Yi, X; Zhang, Y; Zhong, C; Zhong, X; Xiao, F. (2016). The role of STIM1 in the Cr(vi)-induced [Ca(2+)]i
49	increase and cell injury in L-02 hepatocytes. Metallomics 8: 1273-1282.
50	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c6mt00204h</u>

1	Yoisungnern, T; Das, J; Choi, YJ; Parnpai, R; Kim, JH. (2015). Effect of hexavalent chromium-treated
2	sperm on in vitro fertilization and embryo development. Toxicol Ind Health.
3	http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0748233715579805
4	Younossi, ZM. (2019). Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease – A global public health perspective
5	[Review]. J Hepatol 70: 531-544. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2018.10.033</u>
6	Yousef, MI; El-Demerdash, FM; Kamil, KI; Elaswad, FA. (2006). Ameliorating effect of folic acid on
7	chromium(VI)-induced changes in reproductive performance and seminal plasma
8	biochemistry in male rabbits. Reprod Toxicol 21: 322-328.
9	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2005.09.005
10	<u>Yu, A; Jackson, T; Tsume, Y; Koenigsknecht, M; Wysocki, J; Marciani, L; Amidon, GL; Frances, A;</u>
11	<u>Baker, JR; Hasler, W; Wen, B; Pai, A; Sun, D.</u> (2017). Mechanistic Fluid Transport Model to
12	Estimate Gastrointestinal Fluid Volume and Its Dynamic Change Over Time. AAPS J 19:
13	1682-1690. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1208/s12248-017-0145-x</u>
14	Yuan, JH. (1993). Modeling blood/plasma concentrations in dosed feed and dosed drinking water
15	toxicology studies. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 119: 131-141.
16	Yuan, WM; Lu, YQ; Wei, Z; Chen, M; He, SL; Mai, WY; Zhang, MB. (2016). An epistaxis emergency
17	associated with multiple pollutants in elementary students. Biomed Environ Sci 29: 893-
18	897. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3967/bes2016.119</u>
19	Yuan, Y; Ming, Z; Gong-Hua, H; Lan, G; Lu, D; Peng, L; Feng, J; Cai-Gao, Z. (2012a). Cr(VI) induces the
20	decrease of ATP level and the increase of apoptosis rate mediated by ROS or VDAC1 in L-02
21	hepatocytes. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol 34: 579-587.
22	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2012.06.016
23	Yuan, Y; Peng, L; Gong-Hua, H; Lu, D; Xia-Li, Z; Yu, Z; Cai-Gao, Z. (2012b). Chi-square analysis of the
24	reduction of ATP levels in L-02 hepatocytes by hexavalent chromium. 45: 482-487.
	nTTD / / DV DOI OTD / TH I SUH / SHHH V / UV / HI / SHHH/H
25	<u>Intp://ux.uoi.org/10.1390/30100-07982012007300040</u>
25 26 27	Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Drebickas, V; Semaska, V; Jonauskiene, I. (2009). Investigation of
25 26 27	Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Drebickas, V; Semaska, V; Jonauskiene, I. (2009). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and sodium fluoride in experiments on rats. Trace Elem
25 26 27 28 29	Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Drebickas, V; Semaska, V; Jonauskiene, I. (2009). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and sodium fluoride in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 26: 67-71. Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Kalibatas, I; Baltanavisione, A; Juozulynas, A; Cocentas, A. (2006).
25 26 27 28 29 30	 Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Drebickas, V; Semaska, V; Jonauskiene, I. (2009). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and sodium fluoride in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 26: 67-71. Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Kalibatas, J; Paltanaviciene, A; Juozulynas, A; Gocentas, A. (2006).
25 26 27 28 29 30 31	 Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Drebickas, V; Semaska, V; Jonauskiene, I. (2009). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and sodium fluoride in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 26: 67-71. Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Kalibatas, J; Paltanaviciene, A; Juozulynas, A; Gocentas, A. (2006). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and nitrate in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 23: 287-291
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	 Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Drebickas, V; Semaska, V; Jonauskiene, I. (2009). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and sodium fluoride in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 26: 67-71. Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Kalibatas, J; Paltanaviciene, A; Juozulynas, A; Gocentas, A. (2006). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and nitrate in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 23: 287-291. Zabid 7B: Al-bakkak 7S; Kadhim AHH; Elias FA: Al-jumaily JS (1990). Comparative effects of
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	 Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Drebickas, V; Semaska, V; Jonauskiene, I. (2009). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and sodium fluoride in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 26: 67-71. Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Kalibatas, J; Paltanaviciene, A; Juozulynas, A; Gocentas, A. (2006). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and nitrate in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 23: 287-291. Zahid, ZR; Al-hakkak, ZS; Kadhim, AHH; Elias, EA; Al-jumaily, IS. (1990). Comparative effects of trivalent and hexavalent chromium on spermatogenesis of the mouse. Toxicol Environ
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	 Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Drebickas, V; Semaska, V; Jonauskiene, I. (2009). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and sodium fluoride in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 26: 67-71. Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Kalibatas, J; Paltanaviciene, A; Juozulynas, A; Gocentas, A. (2006). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and nitrate in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 23: 287-291. Zahid, ZR; Al-hakkak, ZS; Kadhim, AHH; Elias, EA; Al-jumaily, IS. (1990). Comparative effects of trivalent and hexavalent chromium on spermatogenesis of the mouse. Toxicol Environ Chem 25: 131-136 http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02772249009357512
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	 Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Drebickas, V; Semaska, V; Jonauskiene, I. (2009). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and sodium fluoride in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 26: 67-71. Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Kalibatas, J; Paltanaviciene, A; Juozulynas, A; Gocentas, A. (2006). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and nitrate in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 23: 287-291. Zahid, ZR; Al-hakkak, ZS; Kadhim, AHH; Elias, EA; Al-jumaily, IS. (1990). Comparative effects of trivalent and hexavalent chromium on spermatogenesis of the mouse. Toxicol Environ Chem 25: 131-136. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02772249009357512 Zendehdel, R; Shetab-Boushehri, SV; Azari, MR; Hosseini, V; Mohammadi, H. (2014). Chemometrics
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	 Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Drebickas, V; Semaska, V; Jonauskiene, I. (2009). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and sodium fluoride in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 26: 67-71. Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Kalibatas, J; Paltanaviciene, A; Juozulynas, A; Gocentas, A. (2006). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and nitrate in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 23: 287-291. Zahid, ZR; Al-hakkak, ZS; Kadhim, AHH; Elias, EA; Al-jumaily, IS. (1990). Comparative effects of trivalent and hexavalent chromium on spermatogenesis of the mouse. Toxicol Environ Chem 25: 131-136. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02772249009357512 Zendehdel, R; Shetab-Boushehri, SV; Azari, MR; Hosseini, V; Mohammadi, H. (2014). Chemometrics models for assessment of oxidative stress risk in chrome-electroplating workers. Drug
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	 Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Drebickas, V; Semaska, V; Jonauskiene, I. (2009). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and sodium fluoride in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 26: 67-71. Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Kalibatas, J; Paltanaviciene, A; Juozulynas, A; Gocentas, A. (2006). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and nitrate in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 23: 287-291. Zahid, ZR; Al-hakkak, ZS; Kadhim, AHH; Elias, EA; Al-jumaily, IS. (1990). Comparative effects of trivalent and hexavalent chromium on spermatogenesis of the mouse. Toxicol Environ Chem 25: 131-136. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02772249009357512 Zendehdel, R; Shetab-Boushehri, SV; Azari, MR; Hosseini, V; Mohammadi, H. (2014). Chemometrics models for assessment of oxidative stress risk in chrome-electroplating workers. Drug Chem Toxicol 38: 1-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/01480545.2014.922096
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	 Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Drebickas, V; Semaska, V; Jonauskiene, I. (2009). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and sodium fluoride in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 26: 67-71. Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Kalibatas, J; Paltanaviciene, A; Juozulynas, A; Gocentas, A. (2006). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and nitrate in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 23: 287-291. Zahid, ZR; Al-hakkak, ZS; Kadhim, AHH; Elias, EA; Al-jumaily, IS. (1990). Comparative effects of trivalent and hexavalent chromium on spermatogenesis of the mouse. Toxicol Environ Chem 25: 131-136. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02772249009357512 Zendehdel, R; Shetab-Boushehri, SV; Azari, MR; Hosseini, V; Mohammadi, H. (2014). Chemometrics models for assessment of oxidative stress risk in chrome-electroplating workers. Drug Chem Toxicol 38: 1-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/01480545.2014.922096 Zeng, M; Xiao, F; Zhong, X; Jin, F; Guan, L; Wang, A; Liu, X; Zhong, C. (2013). Reactive oxygen species
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	 Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Drebickas, V; Semaska, V; Jonauskiene, I. (2009). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and sodium fluoride in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 26: 67-71. Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Kalibatas, J; Paltanaviciene, A; Juozulynas, A; Gocentas, A. (2006). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and nitrate in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 23: 287-291. Zahid, ZR; Al-hakkak, ZS; Kadhim, AHH; Elias, EA; Al-jumaily, IS. (1990). Comparative effects of trivalent and hexavalent chromium on spermatogenesis of the mouse. Toxicol Environ Chem 25: 131-136. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02772249009357512 Zendehdel, R; Shetab-Boushehri, SV; Azari, MR; Hosseini, V; Mohammadi, H. (2014). Chemometrics models for assessment of oxidative stress risk in chrome-electroplating workers. Drug Chem Toxicol 38: 1-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/01480545.2014.922096 Zeng, M; Xiao, F; Zhong, X; Jin, F; Guan, L; Wang, A; Liu, X; Zhong, C. (2013). Reactive oxygen species play a central role in hexavalent chromium-induced apoptosis in Hep3B cells without the
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	 Zabulyte, D: Uleckiene, S: Drebickas, V: Semaska, V: Jonauskiene, I. (2009). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and sodium fluoride in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 26: 67-71. Zabulyte, D: Uleckiene, S: Kalibatas, J: Paltanaviciene, A: Juozulynas, A: Gocentas, A. (2006). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and nitrate in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 23: 287-291. Zahid, ZR: Al-hakkak, ZS: Kadhim, AHH; Elias, EA: Al-jumaily, IS. (1990). Comparative effects of trivalent and hexavalent chromium on spermatogenesis of the mouse. Toxicol Environ Chem 25: 131-136. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02772249009357512 Zendehdel, R: Shetab-Boushehri, SV: Azari, MR; Hosseini, V: Mohammadi, H. (2014). Chemometrics models for assessment of oxidative stress risk in chrome-electroplating workers. Drug Chem Toxicol 38: 1-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/01480545.2014.922096 Zeng, M; Xiao, F; Zhong, X: Jin, F; Guan, L; Wang, A; Liu, X; Zhong, C. (2013). Reactive oxygen species play a central role in hexavalent chromium-induced apoptosis in Hep3B cells without the functional roles of p53 and caspase-3. Cell Physiol Biochem 32: 279-290.
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	 Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Drebickas, V; Semaska, V; Jonauskiene, I. (2009). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and sodium fluoride in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 26: 67-71. Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Kalibatas, J; Paltanaviciene, A; Juozulynas, A; Gocentas, A. (2006). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and nitrate in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 23: 287-291. Zahid, ZR; Al-hakkak, ZS; Kadhim, AHH; Elias, EA; Al-jumaily, IS. (1990). Comparative effects of trivalent and hexavalent chromium on spermatogenesis of the mouse. Toxicol Environ Chem 25: 131-136. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02772249009357512 Zendehdel, R; Shetab-Boushehri, SV; Azari, MR; Hosseini, V; Mohammadi, H. (2014). Chemometrics models for assessment of oxidative stress risk in chrome-electroplating workers. Drug Chem Toxicol 38: 1-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/01480545.2014.922096 Zeng, M; Xiao, F; Zhong, X; Jin, F; Guan, L; Wang, A; Liu, X; Zhong, C. (2013). Reactive oxygen species play a central role in hexavalent chromium-induced apoptosis in Hep3B cells without the functional roles of p53 and caspase-3. Cell Physiol Biochem 32: 279-290. http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000354436
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	 Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Drebickas, V; Semaska, V; Jonauskiene, I. (2009). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and sodium fluoride in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 26: 67-71. Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Kalibatas, J; Paltanaviciene, A; Juozulynas, A; Gocentas, A. (2006). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and nitrate in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 23: 287-291. Zahid, ZR; Al-hakkak, ZS; Kadhim, AHH; Elias, EA; Al-jumaily, JS. (1990). Comparative effects of trivalent and hexavalent chromium on spermatogenesis of the mouse. Toxicol Environ Chem 25: 131-136. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02772249009357512 Zendehdel, R; Shetab-Boushehri, SV; Azari, MR; Hosseini, V; Mohammadi, H. (2014). Chemometrics models for assessment of oxidative stress risk in chrome-electroplating workers. Drug Chem Toxicol 38: 1-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/01480545.2014.922096 Zeng, M; Xiao, F; Zhong, X; Jin, F; Guan, L; Wang, A; Liu, X; Zhong, C. (2013). Reactive oxygen species play a central role in hexavalent chromium-induced apoptosis in Hep3B cells without the functional roles of p53 and caspase-3. Cell Physiol Biochem 32: 279-290. http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000354436 Zev, IN; Lucas, C. (1985). Health hazard evaluation report no. HETA-82-358-1558, United Catalysts,
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	 Zabulyte, D: Uleckiene, S: Drebickas, V: Semaska, V: Jonauskiene, I. (2009). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and sodium fluoride in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 26: 67-71. Zabulyte, D: Uleckiene, S: Kalibatas, J: Paltanaviciene, A: Juozulynas, A: Gocentas, A. (2006). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and nitrate in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 23: 287-291. Zahid, ZR; Al-hakkak, ZS; Kadhim, AHH; Elias, EA; Al-jumaily, IS. (1990). Comparative effects of trivalent and hexavalent chromium on spermatogenesis of the mouse. Toxicol Environ Chem 25: 131-136. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02772249009357512 Zendehdel, R; Shetab-Boushehri, SV; Azari, MR; Hosseini, V; Mohammadi, H. (2014). Chemometrics models for assessment of oxidative stress risk in chrome-electroplating workers. Drug Chem Toxicol 38: 1-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/01480545.2014.922096 Zeng, M; Xiao, F; Zhong, X; Jin, F; Guan, L; Wang, A; Liu, X; Zhong, C. (2013). Reactive oxygen species play a central role in hexavalent chromium-induced apoptosis in Hep3B cells without the functional roles of p53 and caspase-3. Cell Physiol Biochem 32: 279-290. http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000354436 Zey, IN; Lucas, C. (1985). Health hazard evaluation report no. HETA-82-358-1558, United Catalysts, Inc. South Plant, Louisville, Kentucky. (HETA-82-358-1558). Cincinnati, OH: National
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	 Zabulyte, D: Uleckiene, S: Drebickas, V; Semaska, V; Jonauskiene, I. (2009). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and sodium fluoride in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 26: 67-71. Zabulyte, D: Uleckiene, S: Kalibatas, J: Paltanaviciene, A: Juozulynas, A: Gocentas, A. (2006). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and nitrate in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 23: 287-291. Zahid, ZR: Al-hakkak, ZS: Kadhim, AHH: Elias, EA; Al-jumaily, IS. (1990). Comparative effects of trivalent and hexavalent chromium on spermatogenesis of the mouse. Toxicol Environ Chem 25: 131-136. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02772249009357512 Zendehdel, R; Shetab-Boushehri, SV; Azari, MR; Hosseini, V; Mohammadi, H. (2014). Chemometrics models for assessment of oxidative stress risk in chrome-electroplating workers. Drug Chem Toxicol 38: 1-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/01480545.2014.922096 Zeng, M; Xiao, F; Zhong, X; Jin, F; Guan, L; Wang, A; Liu, X; Zhong, C. (2013). Reactive oxygen species play a central role in hexavalent chromium-induced apoptosis in Hep3B cells without the functional roles of p53 and caspase-3. Cell Physiol Biochem 32: 279-290. http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000354436 Zey, JN; Lucas, C. (1985). Health hazard evaluation report no. HETA-82-358-1558, United Catalysts, Inc. South Plant, Louisville, Kentucky. (HETA-82-358-1558). Cincinnati, OH: National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	 Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Drebickas, V; Semaska, V; Jonauskiene, I. (2009). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and sodium fluoride in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 26: 67-71. Zabulyte, D; Uleckiene, S; Kalibatas, J; Paltanaviciene, A; Juozulynas, A; Gocentas, A. (2006). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and nitrate in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 23: 287-291. Zahid, ZR; Al-hakkak, ZS; Kadhim, AHH; Elias, EA; Al-jumaily, IS. (1990). Comparative effects of trivalent and hexavalent chromium on spermatogenesis of the mouse. Toxicol Environ Chem 25: 131-136. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02772249009357512 Zendehdel, R; Shetab-Boushehri, SV; Azari, MR; Hosseini, V; Mohammadi, H. (2014). Chemometrics models for assessment of oxidative stress risk in chrome-electroplating workers. Drug Chem Toxicol 38: 1-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/01480545.2014.922096 Zeng, M; Xiao, F; Zhong, X; Jin, F; Guan, L; Wang, A; Liu, X; Zhong, C. (2013). Reactive oxygen species play a central role in hexavalent chromium-induced apoptosis in Hep3B cells without the functional roles of p53 and caspase-3. Cell Physiol Biochem 32: 279-290. http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000354436 Zey, JN; Lucas, C. (1985). Health hazard evaluation report no. HETA-82-358-1558, United Catalysts, Inc. South Plant, Louisville, Kentucky. (HETA-82-358-1558). Cincinnati, OH: National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. https://ntrl.ntis.gov/NTRL/dashboard/searchResults.xhtml?searchQuery=PB86116951
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	 Zabulyte, D: Uleckiene, S: Drebickas, V: Semaska, V: Jonauskiene, I. (2009). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and sodium fluoride in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 26: 67-71. Zabulyte, D: Uleckiene, S: Kalibatas, J: Paltanaviciene, A: Juozulynas, A: Gocentas, A. (2006). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and nitrate in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 23: 287-291. Zahid, ZR: Al-hakkak, ZS: Kadhim, AHH: Elias, EA: Al-jumaily, IS. (1990). Comparative effects of trivalent and hexavalent chromium on spermatogenesis of the mouse. Toxicol Environ Chem 25: 131-136. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02772249009357512 Zendehdel, R: Shetab-Boushehri, SV: Azari, MR; Hosseini, V: Mohammadi, H. (2014). Chemometrics models for assessment of oxidative stress risk in chrome-electroplating workers. Drug Chem Toxicol 38: 1-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/01480545.2014.922096 Zeng, M: Xiao, F: Zhong, X; Jin, F: Guan, L: Wang, A; Liu, X; Zhong, C. (2013). Reactive oxygen species play a central role in hexavalent chromium-induced apoptosis in Hep3B cells without the functional roles of p53 and caspase-3. Cell Physiol Biochem 32: 279-290. http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000354436 Zey, JN: Lucas, C. (1985). Health hazard evaluation report no. HETA-82-358-1558, United Catalysts, Inc. South Plant, Louisville, Kentucky. (HETA-82-358-1558). Cincinnati, OH: National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. https://ntrl.ntis.gov/NTRL/dashboard/searchResults.xhtml?searchQuery=PB86116951 Zhang, B; Zhou, Y; Lin, N; Lowdon, RF; Hong, C; Nagarajan, RP; Cheng, JB; Li, D; Stevens, M; Lee, HJ;
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	 Zabulyte, D: Uleckiene, S: Drebickas. V: Semaska, V: Jonauskiene, I. (2009). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and sodium fluoride in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 26: 67-71. Zabulyte, D: Uleckiene, S: Kalibatas, J: Paltanaviciene, A: Juozulynas, A: Gocentas, A. (2006). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and nitrate in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 23: 287-291. Zahid, ZR: Al-hakkak, ZS: Kadhim, AHH: Elias, EA: Al-jumaily, IS. (1990). Comparative effects of trivalent and hexavalent chromium on spermatogenesis of the mouse. Toxicol Environ Chem 25: 131-136. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02772249009357512 Zendehdel, R: Shetab-Boushehri, SV: Azari, MR: Hosseini, V: Mohammadi, H. (2014). Chemometrics models for assessment of oxidative stress risk in chrome-electroplating workers. Drug Chem Toxicol 38: 1-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/01480545.2014.922096 Zeng, M: Xiao, F: Zhong, X: Jin, F: Guan, L: Wang, A: Liu, X: Zhong, C. (2013). Reactive oxygen species play a central role in hexavalent chromium-induced apoptosis in Hep3B cells without the functional roles of p53 and caspase-3. Cell Physiol Biochem 32: 279-290. http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000354436 Zey, JN: Lucas, C. (1985). Health hazard evaluation report no. HETA-82-358-1558, United Catalysts, Inc. South Plant, Louisville, Kentucky. (HETA-82-358-1558). Cincinnati, OH: National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. https://ntrl.ntis.gov/NTRL/dashboard/searchResults.xhtml?searchQuery=PB86116951 Zhang, B: Zhou, Y: Lin, N: Lowdon, RF: Hong, C: Nagarajan, RP: Cheng, JB: Li, D: Stevens, M: Lee, HJ: Xing, X: Zhou, J: Sundaram, V: Elliott, G: Gu, J: Shi, T: Gascard, P: Sigaroudinia, M: Tlsty, TD;
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	 Zabulyte, D: Uleckiene, S: Drebickas, V: Semaska, V: Jonauskiene, I. (2009). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and sodium fluoride in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 26: 67-71. Zabulyte, D: Uleckiene, S; Kalibatas, J: Paltanaviciene, A; Juozulynas, A: Gocentas, A. (2006). Investigation of combined effect of chromium (VI) and nitrate in experiments on rats. Trace Elem Electroly 23: 287-291. Zahid, ZR: Al-hakkak, ZS; Kadhim, AHH; Elias, EA; Al-jumaily, IS. (1990). Comparative effects of trivalent and hexavalent chromium on spermatogenesis of the mouse. Toxicol Environ Chem 25: 131-136. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02772249009357512 Zendehdel, R; Shetab-Boushehri, SV; Azari, MR; Hosseini, V; Mohammadi, H. (2014). Chemometrics models for assessment of oxidative stress risk in chrome-electroplating workers. Drug Chem Toxicol 38: 1-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/01480545.2014.922096 Zeng, M; Xiao, F; Zhong, X; Jin, F; Guan, L; Wang, A; Liu, X; Zhong, C. (2013). Reactive oxygen species play a central role in hexavalent chromium-induced apoptosis in Hep3B cells without the functional roles of p53 and caspase-3. Cell Physiol Biochem 32: 279-290. http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000354436 Zey, JN: Lucas, C. (1985). Health hazard evaluation report no. HETA-82-358-1558, United Catalysts, Inc. South Plant, Louisville, Kentucky. (HETA-82-358-1558). Cincinnati, OH: National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. https://ntrl.ntis.gov/NTRL/dashboard/searchResults.xhtml?searchQuery=PB86116951 Zhang, B; Zhou, Y; Lin, N; Lowdon, RF; Hong, C; Nagarajan, RP; Cheng, IB; Li, D; Stevens, M; Lee, HJ; Xing, X: Zhou, J; Sundaram, V; Elliott, G; Gu, J; Shi, T; Gascard, P; Sigaroudinia, M; Tlsty, TD; Kadlecek, T; Weiss, A; O'Geen, H; Farnham, PJ; Maire, CL; Ligon, KL; Madden, PA; Tam, A;

1	methylation differences between tissues, cell types, and across individuals discovered using
2	the M&M algorithm. Genome Res 23: 1522-1540. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gr.156539.113</u>
3	Zhang, JD; Li, S. (1997). RETRACTED: Cancer mortality in a Chinese population exposed to
4	hexavalent chromium in water. J Occup Environ Med 39: 315-319.
5	http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00043764-199704000-00008
6	Zhang, JD; Li, XL. (1987). [Chromium pollution of soil and water in Jinzhou]. Zhonghua Yufang Yixue
/	Zazhi 21: 262-264.
ð	<u>Znang, R; Xiang, Y; Ran, Q; Deng, X; Xiao, Y; Xiang, L; Li, Z.</u> (2014). Involvement of calcium, reactive
9 10	Physiol Biochem 34: 1780-1791 http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000366378
11	Zhang, XH: Zhang, X: Wang, XC: Jin, LF: Yang, ZP: Jiang, CX: Chen, O: Ren, XB: Cao, IZ: Wang, O: Zhu,
12	YM. (2011). Chronic occupational exposure to hexavalent chromium causes DNA damage in
13	electroplating workers. BMC Public Health 11: 224. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-
14	<u>11-224</u>
15	Zhang, XH; Zhang, X; Zhang, L; Chen, Q; Yang, Z; Yu, J; Fu, H; Zhu, YM. (2012). XRCC1 Arg399Gln was
16	associated with repair capacity for DNA damage induced by occupational chromium
17	exposure. BMC Research Notes 5: 263. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1756-0500-5-263</u>
18	Zhang, Y; Xiao, F; Liu, X; Liu, K; Zhou, X; Zhong, C. (2017). Cr(VI) induces cytotoxicity in vitro
19	through activation of ROS-mediated endoplasmic reticulum stress and mitochondrial
20	dysfunction via the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway. Toxicol In Vitro 41: 232-244.
21	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2017.03.003
22	Zhang, Y; Zhang, Y; Xiao, Y; Zhong, C; Xiao, F. (2019). Expression of Clusterin suppresses Cr(VI)-
23	induced premature senescence through activation of PI3K/AKT pathway. Ecotoxicol
24	Environ Sat 183: 109465. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.109465</u>
25	<u>Zhang, Y; Zhang, Y; Zhong, C; Xiao, F.</u> (2016). Cr(VI) induces premature senescence through ROS-
20	mediated p53 pathway in L-02 nepatocytes. Sci Rep $6(345/8)$: 1-10.
27 20	Theo Ly Song V: Pu Ly Cuo Ly Wang V: Chan 7: Chan Ty Cu Vy Jia C (2014) Effects of repeated
20	Cr(VI) intratracheal instillation on club (Clara) cells and activation of nuclear factor-kanna B
30	nathway via oxidative stress. Toxicol Lett 231: 72-81
31	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2014.09.011
32	Zheng, W: Ge, F: Wu, K: Chen, X: Li, X: Chen, Y: Lv, Y: Lian, O: Ge, RS. (2018). In utero exposure to
33	hexavalent chromium disrupts rat fetal testis development. Toxicol Lett 299: 201-209.
34	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2018.10.010
35	Zhitkovich, A. (2005). Importance of chromium-DNA adducts in mutagenicity and toxicity of
36	chromium(VI) [Review]. Chem Res Toxicol 18: 3-11. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/tx049774</u> +
37	Zhitkovich, A. (2011). Chromium in drinking water: sources, metabolism, and cancer risks [Review].
38	Chem Res Toxicol 24: 1617-1629. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/tx200251t</u>
39	Zhitkovich, A; Lukanova, A; Popov, T; Taioli, E; Cohen, H; Costa, M; Toniolo, P. (1996a). DNA-protein
40	crosslinks in peripheral lymphocytes of individuals exposed to hexavalent chromium
41	compounds. Biomarkers 1: 86-93. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/13547509609088675</u>
42	Zhitkovich, A; Song, Y; Quievryn, G; Voitkun, V. (2001). Non-oxidative mechanisms are responsible
43	for the induction of mutagenesis by reduction of Cr(VI) with cysteine: role of ternary DNA
44	adducts in Cr(III)-dependent mutagenesis. Biochemistry 40: 549-560.
45 46	<u>Inttp://dx.doi.org/10.1021/Di0015459</u> Zhitheoride A. Voithun V. Costa M. (1006b). Formation of the amine acid DNA complements
40 47	<u>Lintkovich, A; voltkun, v; costa, M. (1990)</u> . Formation of the amino acid-DNA complexes by
4/	nexavalent and trivalent chromium in vitro: importance of trivalent chromium and the
	nhoenhata graun Viachamietru 75,777,57707

1	Zhong, X; de Cássia da Silveira E Sá, R; Zhong, C. (2017a). Mitochondrial biogenesis in response to
2	chromium (VI) toxicity in human liver cells. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 18.
3	<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms18091877</u>
4	Zhong, X; Yi, X; da Silveira E Sá, RC; Zhang, Y; Liu, K; Xiao, F; Zhong, C. (2017b). CoQ10 Deficiency
5	May Indicate Mitochondrial Dysfunction in Cr(VI) Toxicity. International Journal of
6	Molecular Sciences 18. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms18040816</u>
7	Zhong, X; Zeng, M; Bian, H; Zhong, C; Xiao, F. (2017c). An evaluation of the protective role of vitamin
8	C in reactive oxygen species-induced hepatotoxicity due to hexavalent chromium in vitro
9	and in vivo. J Occup Med Toxicol 12: 12. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12995-017-0161-x</u>
10	Zhou, W; Yu, T; Vazin, M; Ding, J; Liu, J. (2016). Cr(3+) binding to DNA backbone phosphate and
11	bases: Slow ligand exchange rates and metal hydrolysis. Inorg Chem 55: 8193-8200.
12	http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.6b01357
13	Zhu, L; Li, X; Yuan, Y; Dong, C; Yang, M. (2021). APC promoter methylation in gastrointestinal cancer
14	[Review]. Front Oncol 11: 653222. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.653222</u>
15	Zuo, Z; Cai, T; Li, J; Zhang, D; Yu, Y; Huang, C. (2012). Hexavalent chromium Cr(VI) up-regulates
16	COX-2 expression through an NFκB/c-Jun/AP-1-dependent pathway. Environ Health
17	Perspect 120: 547-553. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104179</u>