

Database Search and Systematic Evidence Map (SEM) Avanti Shirke, MPH – Biologist



The views expressed in this presentation are those of the presenter and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the U.S. EPA

Office of Research and Development



Outline

- Systematic evidence map (SEM) overview
- Use of SEMs in context of ETAP
- Specific methods
 - Search strategy
 - Information sources
 - Screening processes
 - Dissemination



Systematic Evidence Map

- Pre-decisional analysis that uses systematic review methods to compile and summarize evidence but does not reach assessment hazard or toxicity value conclusions
 - Front end compilation of evidence
- Used for:
 - Prioritization
 - Problem formulation and scoping
 - Identifying data gaps
 - Determining the need for assessment update



Systematic Evidence Map Methods



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Environment International

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/envint

Short communication

Use of systematic evidence maps within the US environmental protection agency (EPA) integrated risk information system (IRIS) program: Advancements to date and looking ahead

Kristina A. Thayer^{a,*}, Rachel M. Shaffer^a, Michelle Angrish^a, Xabier Arzuaga^a, Laura M. Carlson^b, Allen Davis^a, Laura Dishaw^a, Ingrid Druwe^a, Catherine Gibbons^a, Barbara Glenn^a, Ryan Jones^b, J. Phillip Kaiser^a, Channa Keshava^a, Nagalakshmi Keshava^b, Andrew Kraft^a, Lucina Lizarraga^a, Kristan Markey^a, Amanda Persad^a, Elizabeth G Radke^a, Glenn Rice^a, Brittany Schulz^c, Teresa Shannon^a, Andrew Shapiro^b, Shane Thacker^b, Suryanarayana Vulimiri^a, George Woodall^b, Erin Yost^a

^a Center for Public Health and Environmental Assessment, Chemical & Pollutant Assessment Division, US EPA, NC, USA ^b Center for Public Health and Environmental Assessment, Health & Environmental Effects Assessment Division, US EPA, NC, USA ^c Oak Ridge Associated Universities, TN, USA

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T
Handling Editor: Adrian Covaci	Systematic evidence maps (SEMs) are increasingly used to inform decision-making and risk management priority-setting and to serve as problem formulation tools to refine the focus of questions that get addressed in

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2022.107363



Full length article

Systematic evidence map (SEM) template: Report format and methods used for the US EPA integrated risk information system (IRIS) program, provisional peer reviewed toxicity value (PPRTV) program, and other "fit for purpose" literature-based human health analyses

Kristina A. Thayer^{a,*}, Michelle Angrish^a, Xabier Arzuaga^a, Laura M. Carlson^b, Allen Davis^a, Laura Dishaw^a, Ingrid Druwe^a, Catherine Gibbons^a, Barbara Glenn^a, Rvan Jones^b, J. Phillip Kaiser^a, Channa Keshava^a, Nagalakshmi Keshava^a, Andrew Kraft^a, Lucina Lizarraga^a, Amanda Persad^a, Elizabeth G. Radke^a, Glenn Rice^a, Brittany Schulz^c, Rachel M. Shaffer^a, Teresa Shannon^a, Andrew Shapiro^b, Shane Thacker^b, Suryanarayana V. Vulimiri^a, Antony J. Williams^d, George Woodall^b, Erin Yost^a, Robyn Blain^e, Katherine Duke^e, Alexandra E. Goldstone^e, Pam Hartman^e, Kevin Hobbie^e, Brandall Ingle^e, Courtney Lemeris^e, Cynthia Lin^e, Alex Lindahl^e, Kristen McKinley^e, Parnian Soleymani^e, Nicole Vetter^e

```
<sup>a</sup> Center for Public Health and Environmental Assessment, Chemical & Pollutant Assessment Division, US EPA, NC, USA
<sup>b</sup> Center for Public Health and Environmental Assessment. Health & Environmental Effects Assessment Division, US EPA, NC, USA
<sup>c</sup> Oak Ridge Associated Universities, TN, USA
<sup>d</sup> Center for Computational Toxicology and Exposure, US EPA, NC, USA
e ICF, VA, USA
```

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2022.107468



Use of SEMs for ETAP

- Assess availability of repeated dose animal toxicity data if no suitable studies are identified in EPA ToxVal database (ToxValDB)
 - ToxValDB collates publicly available toxicity dose–effect related summary values (e.g., REACH data submissions, ToxRefDB, IRIS, PPRTV)
 - ToxValDB may miss recent studies, assessments and pertinent reviews in open literature
- ETAP considered if no repeated dose toxicity studies are available
 - Other options also considered (viability of read-across analogue approach)



Flow Chart



Figure 2-1 From Standard Methods for Development of EPA Transcriptomic Assessment Products (ETAPs)

Search Strategy

- Literature search has no date or language restriction
- Preferred chemical name, CASRN, DTXSID, and synonyms used as foundation of search
 - Synonyms identified from CompTox Chemicals Dashboard indicated as "valid" or "good"
 - If number of records retrieved are few (i.e., <200), no further filtering undertaken
 - Otherwise, pre-set literature search strategies ("filters") in SWIFT Review software used to identify human health content (i.e, human, animal models for human health, and *in vitro* studies).

CASRN = Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number; DTXSID = Distributed Structure-Searchable Toxicity (DSSTox) database substance identifier



Information Sources

- Database searches
 - PubMed
 - Web of Science
 - ProQuest
- Other resources ("grey literature")
 - Manual review of reference lists in publicly available draft assessments or published journal articles
 - Manual review of reference list from studies meeting inclusion criteria
 - ECHA registration dossiers, EPA ChemView, NTP, OECD Chemicals Database and eChemPortal, EPA ECOTOX database
 - Searches of databases for Confidential Business Information (CBI)

ECHA= European Chemicals Agency; NTP = National Toxicology Program, OECD = Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development



Inclusion Criteria

PECO element	Evidence
<u>P</u> opulations	Human: Any population and lifestage (occupational or general population, including children and other sensitive populations).
	Animal: Non-human mammalian animal species (whole organism) of any lifestage (including fetal, early postnatal, adolescents and adults).
<u>E</u> xposures	Relevant forms:
	[substance X] (CAS number)
	Other forms of [chemical X] that readily dissociate (<i>e.g.</i> , list any salts, etc.).
	Known metabolites of interest, including metabolites used to estimate exposures to [chemical X].
	Human: Any exposure to [chemical X] via [oral or inhalation] route[s]. Studies will also be included if biomarkers of exposure are evaluated (e.g.,
	measured chemical or metabolite levels in tissues or bodily fluids), but the exposure route is unclear or likely from multiple routes. Other exposure
	routes, such as those that are clearly dermal, are tracked during title and abstract screening and tagged as "potentially relevant supplemental material."
	Animal: Any exposure to [chemical X] via [oral or inhalation] route[s] of >1 day duration, or any duration assessing exposure during reproduction or
	development. Studies involving exposures to mixtures will be included only if they include an experimental arm with exposure to [chemical X] alone.
	Other exposure routes, including [dermal or injection], are tracked during title and abstract as "potentially relevant supplemental material."
<u>C</u> omparators	Human: A comparison or referent population exposed to lower levels (or no exposure/exposure below detection limits), or exposure for shorter periods
	of time, or cases versus controls, or a repeated measures design. However, worker surveillance studies are considered to meet PECO criteria even if no
	statistical analyses using a referent group is presented. Case reports or case series of > 3 people will be considered to meet PECO criteria, while case
	reports describing findings in 1–3 people will be tracked as "potentially relevant supplemental material."
	Animal: A concurrent control group exposed to vehicle-only and/or untreated control (control could be a baseline measurement, e.g., acute toxicity
	studies of mortality, or a repeated measure design).
<u>O</u> utcomes	All health outcomes (cancer and non-cancer). In general, endpoints related to clinical diagnostic criteria, disease outcomes, biochemical,
	histopathological examination, or other apical/phenotypic outcomes are considered to meet PECO criteria.



Supplemental Material

Category (Tag)	Description
Mechanistic endpoints	Studies that do not meet PECO criteria but report measurements that inform the biological or chemical events associated with phenotypic effects related to a health outcome. Experimental design may include <i>in vitro, in vivo</i> (by various routes of exposure; includes all transgenic models), <i>ex vivo</i> , and <i>in silico</i> studies in mammalian and non-mammalian model systems. Studies using New Approach Methodologies (NAMs; <i>e.g.</i> , high throughput testing strategies, read-across applications) are also categorized here. Studies where the chemical is used as a laboratory reagent (<i>e.g.</i> , as a chemical probe used to measure antibody response) generally should not be tagged.
Classical pharmacokinetic (PK) or physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) model studies	Classical Pharmacokinetic or Dosimetry Model Studies: Classical PK or dosimetry modeling usually divides the body into just one or two compartments, which are not specified by physiology, where movement of a chemical into, between, and out of the compartments is quantified empirically by fitting model parameters to ADME (absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion) data. This category is for papers that provide detailed descriptions of PK models but are not physiologically-based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) models. The data are typically the concentration time-course in blood or plasma after oral and/or intravenous exposure, but other exposure routes can be described. Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic or Mechanistic Dosimetry Model Studies: PBPK models represent the body as various compartments (<i>e.g.</i> , liver, lung, slowly perfused tissue, richly perfused tissue) to quantify the movement of chemicals or particles into and out of the body (compartments) by defined routes of exposure, metabolism, and excretion, and thereby estimate concentrations in blood or target tissues. A defining characteristic is that key parameters are determined from a substance's physicochemical parameters (<i>e.g.</i> , particle size and distribution, octanol-water partition coefficient) and physiological parameters (<i>e.g.</i> , ventilation rate, tissue volumes).
Pharmacokinetic (ADME)	Pharmacokinetic (ADME) studies are primarily controlled experiments, where defined exposures usually occur by intravenous, oral, inhalation, or dermal routes, and the concentration of particles, a chemical, or its metabolites in blood or serum, other body tissues, or excreta are then measured. These data are used to estimate the amount absorbed (A), distributed (D), metabolized (M), and/or excreted (E). ADME data can also be collected from human subjects who have had environmental or workplace exposures that are not quantified or fully defined. ADME data, especially metabolism and tissue partition coefficient information, can be generated using <i>in vitro</i> model systems.
Non-PECO animal model	Studies reporting outcomes in animal models that meet the outcome criteria but do not meet the population criteria in the PECO (non-human mammalian models).



Supplemental Material, continued

Non-PECO route of exposure	Epidemiological or animal studies that use a non-PECO route of exposure, <i>e.g.</i> , injection studies or dermal studies if the dermal route is not part of the exposure criteria.
Susceptible populations	Studies that help to identify potentially susceptible subgroups, including studies on the influence of intrinsic factors (<i>e.g.</i> , sex, lifestage, or genotype) to toxicity, as well as some other factors (<i>e.g.</i> , health status). These studies are often co-tagged with other supplemental material categories, such as mechanistic or ADME. Studies meeting PECO criteria that also address susceptibility should be co-tagged as supplemental.
Human exposure and biomonitoring (no health outcome)	Information regarding exposure monitoring methods and reporting that are unrelated to health outcomes, but which provide information on the following: methods for measuring human exposure, biomonitoring (<i>e.g.</i> , detection of chemical in blood, urine, hair), defining exposure sources, or modeled estimates of exposure (<i>e.g.</i> , in occupational settings). Studies that compare exposure levels to a reference value, risk threshold or assessment points of departure are also included in this category.
Mixture study	Mixture studies use methods that do not allow investigation of the health effects of exposure to the chemical of interest by itself [<i>e.g.,</i> animal studies that lack exposure to chemical of interest alone or epidemiology studies that do not evaluate associations of the chemical of interest with relevant health outcome(s)].
Case reports or case series	Human studies that present an investigation of a single exposed individual or group of ≤ 3 subjects that describe health outcomes after exposure but lack a comparison group (<i>i.e.,</i> do not meet the "C" in the PECO) and typically do not include reliable exposure estimates.
Records with no original data	Records that do not contain original data, such as other agency assessments, informative scientific literature reviews, editorials, or commentaries.
Posters or conference abstracts	Records that do not contain sufficient documentation to support study evaluation and data extraction.



Screening Process

- Each record reviewed independently by 2 screeners at title and abstract (TIAB) and full-text levels
 - PECO criteria guide screening decisions
 - Supplemental tagging can occur at TIAB or full-text levels
- Conflicts tracked for resolution
- TIAB screening
 - Include, exclude, or unclear
- "Include" or "unclear" records advance to full-text
- Specialized systematic review software used to save time and keep track of screening decisions



Documentation

Search	Search Strategy	Date and Results
wos	TS="2,2,3,3-Tetrafluoro-3-(trifluoromethoxy)propanoic acid" OR TS="377-73-1" OR TS="O=C(O)C(F)(F)C(F)(F)OC(F)(F)F" OR TS="Perfluoro-3-methoxypropanoic acid" OR TS="Perfluoro-4-oxapentanoic acid" OR TS="Propanoic acid, 2, 2, 3, 3- tetrafluoro- 3- (trifluoromethoxy) -" OR TS="BRN 1795024" OR TS="Perfluoromethoxypropionic acid" OR TS="PERFLUORO PFMPA" OR TS="PF4OPeA" OR TS="PF-4O-PeA" OR TS="PFMOPrA" OR TS="PFMPA" OR TS="PFPE-2" OR TS="Propionic acid, 2, 2, 3, 3- tetrafluoro- tetrafluoro-3-(trifluoromethoxy)-"	12/19/2022 5 results
PubMed	"2,2,3,3-Tetrafluoro-3-(trifluoromethoxy)propanoic acid"[tw] OR "377-73-1"[tw] OR "377-73-1"[rn] OR "O=C(O)C(F)(F)C(F)(F)OC(F)(F)F"[tw] OR "Perfluoro-3-methoxypropanoic acid"[tw] OR "Perfluoro-4-oxapentanoic acid"[tw] OR "Propanoic acid, 2, 2, 3, 3- tetrafluoro- 3- (trifluoromethoxy) -"[tw] OR "BRN 1795024"[tw] OR "Perfluoromethoxypropionic acid"[tw] OR "PERFLUORO PFMPA"[tw] OR "PF4OPeA"[tw] OR "PF-4O-PeA"[tw] OR "PFMOPrA"[tw] OR "PFMPA"[tw] OR "PFPE- 2"[tw] OR "Propionic acid, 2,2,3,3-tetrafluoro-3-(trifluoromethoxy)-"[tw]	12/19/2022 4 results
ProQuest	ABSTRACT,TITLE("2,2,3,3-Tetrafluoro-3-(trifluoromethoxy)propanoic acid") OR ABSTRACT,TITLE("377-73-1") OR ABSTRACT,TITLE("O=C(O)C(F)(F)C(F)(F)OC(F)(F)F") OR ABSTRACT,TITLE("Perfluoro-3-methoxypropanoic acid") OR ABSTRACT,TITLE("Perfluoro-4-oxapentanoic acid") OR ABSTRACT,TITLE("Propanoic acid, 2, 2, 3, 3- tetrafluoro- 3- (trifluoromethoxy) -") OR ABSTRACT,TITLE("BRN 1795024") OR ABSTRACT,TITLE("Perfluoromethoxypropionic acid") OR ABSTRACT,TITLE("PERFLUORO PFMPA") OR ABSTRACT,TITLE("PF4OPeA") OR ABSTRACT,TITLE("PF-4O-PeA") OR ABSTRACT,TITLE("PFMOPrA") OR ABSTRACT,TITLE("PFMPA") OR ABSTRACT,TITLE("PFPE-2") OR ABSTRACT,TITLE("Propionic acid, 2,2,3,3-tetrafluoro-3-(trifluoromethoxy)-")	12/19/2022 3 results
	5	

Appendix 1 From EPA Transcriptomic Assessment Product (ETAP) for Perfluoro-3-Methoxypropanoic Acid

Dissemination

The five unique references identified for perfluoro-3-methoxypropanoic acid are:

- Miller, KE; Strynar, MJ. (2022). Improved Tandem Mass Spectrometry Detection and Resolution of Low Molecular Weight Perfluoroalkyl Ether Carboxylic Acid Isomers Environmental Science & Technology Letters 9:747-751. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.2c00509 <u>HERO ID: 10584196</u>
- Wan, Y; Li, Z; Huang, Z; Hu, B; Lv, W; Zhang, C; San, H; Zhang, S. (2022). Wafer-Level Self-Packaging Design and Fabrication of MEMS Capacitive Pressure Sensors http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/mi13050738 <u>HERO ID:</u> <u>10603997</u>
- 3. Woodlief, T; Vance, S; Hu, Q; Dewitt, J. (2021). Immunotoxicity of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances: Insights into short-chain PFAS exposure Toxics 9:100. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/toxics9050100 <u>HERO ID:</u> <u>9959537</u>
- 4. Zhang, W; Cao, H; Liang, Y. (2021). Plant uptake and soil fractionation of five ether-PFAS in plant-soil systems Science of the Total Environment 771:144805. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144805 <u>HERO ID: 9952516</u>
- Kometani, N; Kaneko, M; Morita, T; Yonezawa, Y. (2008). The formation of photolytic silver clusters in water/supercritical CO2 microemulsions Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects 321:301-307. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2008.02.005 <u>HERO ID: 5387167</u>

Appendix 1 From EPA Transcriptomic Assessment Product (ETAP) for Perfluoro-3-Methoxypropanoic Acid



Dissemination, continued



Exclude (TIAB) Actions * Miller KE, Strynar MJ 202 Improved Tandem Mass Spectrometry Detection and Resolution of Low Molecular Weight Perfluoroalkyl Ether Carboxylic Acid Isomers phasing out legacy PFAS, some manufacturers developed short-chain alternatives like perfluoroalkyl ether carboxylic acids (PFECA). Published ouid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) methods cover a wide ranze of these replacement chemicals including PEMPA (needloors-3-methowyronanoic acid) and PEMBA (needloors-4-methowbutanoic acid). However, many methods do not monitor for the anched isomers, PMPA (perfluoro-2-methoxypropanoic acid) and PEPA (perfluoro-2-ethoxypropanoic acid), respectively. Although these somers are chromatographically separable under certain conditions, using the common MS/MS transitions for PEMPA (m/z 229 - 85) and MBA (m/z 279 - 85) can yield low or no detection signals for PMPA and PEPA, thus leading to underestimated values or nondetects. We moved various MS/MS transitions for these isomers and determined the ontimal transitions for PMPA (m/z 185 - 85) and PEPA (m/z 735 -35). We applied the developed method to water sampled near two chemical manufacturing plants and obser spected third isomer. Using these MS/MS transitions will ensure all isomers are detected and will lead to better Exclude (TIAB) PLANUE IS HERO IS DOI IS HUNC IS Wafer-Level Self-Packaging Design and Fabrication of MEMS Capacitive Pressure Sensors This paper reports a MEMS capacitive pressure sensor (CPS) based on the operating principle of touch mode. The CPS was designed and fabricated using wafer-level self-packaged MEMS processes. The variable capacitance sensing structure was vacuum-sealed in a cavity using th i-glass anodic bonding technique, and the embedded AI feedthrough lines at the SI-glass interface were used to realize the ele onnections between the parallel plate electrodes and the electrode pads through Al vias. The optimal design of the CPS structure wa erformed to trade-off the performance and reliability using finite element simulation. The CPS based on a circular-shaped diaphragm wit 76/FS in the pressure range of 100,500 kPa when the ambient temperature is less than 50 °C PLANNER BY HERO BY DOI BY HANCE BY

Does not meet PECO

Plant uptake and soil fractionation of five ether-PFAS in plant-soil systems

Exclude (full text)

nated substances (PEAS) production and use of fi compounds (ether-PFAS) have been on the rise. These ether-PFAS are deemed as PFAS replacement chemicals. To understand distribution o ther-PFAS in plant-soil systems, we investigated plant uptake of five selected ether-PFAS (i.e., PFMOPrA, PFMOBA, GenX, ADONA, F53B) by nosa (longhair sedge) and the fractionation of these compounds in soil. Our results demonstrated that all five ether were taken up by C. comosa and translocated to plant shoots to different extents. Exposure concentration and time both positively affected ant uptake of ether-PFAS. Unlike the other four ether-PFAS, F53B with the longest carbon chain length and a sull reely accumulated in C. comosa roots with limited translocation to plant shoots. Results from sequential extractions revealed that the five er-PFAS had different distributions in soil with regard to extractable by water, basic methanol, acidic methanol and non-extractable. Concentration of ether-PFAS in water-soluble fraction increased with decreasing carbon chain length and logKow values and had a positive near relationship with the mass of ether-PFAS in plant shoots (R2 = 0.64) and in whole plants (R2 = 0.94). Our results also indicated that the ging process could facilitate ether-PFAS to become non-extractable, hence reducing their mobility in soil and bioavailability to plants.

Environmental Topics

Export to File 📥

(HERO)

🗌 1. %

Peer Reviewed

Journal Article

🗆 2. 🧼

Journal Article



- SEMs are a comprehensive approach to identifying data using systematic review methods.
- By using the SEM approach, we have a high degree of confidence that no data exist and ETAP is an appropriate next step.



Questions?

